

A DIGEST OF INDIAN LAW CASES;

CONTAINING

HIGH COURT REPORTS, 1862-1886,

AND

PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA,
1836-1886,

WITH AN INDEX OF CASES.

COMPILED UNDER THE ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

BY

JOSEPH VERE WOODMAN,

OF THE MIDDLE TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW, AND ADVOCATE OF THE HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA.

IN FIVE VOLUMES.

VOLUME V. T—Z, AND INDEX OF CASES.

CALCUTTA:

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING, INDIA.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA, 1862—1886	iv
HIGH COURT, MADRAS, 1862—1886	vii
HIGH COURT, BOMBAY, 1862—1886	viii
HIGH COURT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, 1866—1886	ix
MEMBERS OF JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF PRIVY COUNCIL, 1836—1886	x
TABLE OF HEADINGS, SUB-HEADINGS, AND CROSS-REFERENCES	xii
CORRIGENDA	xv
DIGEST OF CASES	5987
TABLE OF CASES IN DIGEST	

HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA, 1862—1886

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable	SIR BARNES PEACOCK, KT.
"	SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT.
"	SIR RICHARD GARTH, KT.
"	SIR W. COMER PETHERAM, KT.

JUDGES.

Honourable	SIR CHARLES R. M. JACKSON, KT.
"	SIR MORDAUNT L. WELLS, KT.
"	H. T. RAIKES.
"	C. B. TREVOR.
"	G. LOCH.
"	H. V. BAYLEY.
"	C. STEER.
"	J. P. NORMAN.
"	W. MORGAN.
"	F. B. KEMP.
"	W. S. SETON-KARR.
"	L. S. JACKSON, C.I.E.
"	EDWARD DE LATOUR (
"	G. CAMPBELL.
"	SUMBHOONATH PUNDIT.
"	E. P. LEVINGE.
"	A. A. ROBERTS (<i>Offg.</i>).
"	H. MILLS, Q.C.
"	E. JACKSON.
"	R. J. SCOTT.
"	A. T. T. PETERSON.
"	J. B. PHEAR.
"	A. G. MACPHERSON.
"	W. MARKBY.
"	DWARKANATH MITTER.
"	F. A. B. GLOVER.
"	SIR C. P. HOBHOUSE, BART.
"	G. C. PAUL (<i>Offg.</i>).
"	ONOCOOL CHUNDER MOOKERJEE.
"	C. PONTIFEX.
"	W. AINSLIE.
"	E. G. BIRCH.
"	G. G. MORRIS.
"	ROMESH CHUNDER MITTER.
"	J. S. WHITE.
"	H. S. CUNNINGHAM.
"	W. F. McDONELL.
"	H. B. LAWFORD.
"	H. T. PRINSEP.
"	J. PITT-KENNEDY (
"	A. WILSON.
"	L. R. TOTENHAM.
"	L. P. D. BROUGHTON (<i>Offg.</i>).

JUDGES—*continued*.

Honourable A. T. MACLEAN.

" C. D. FIELD.

" J. F. NORRIS.

" J. Q. PIGOT.

" J. O'KINEALY.

" M. L. BOSE (*Offg.*).

" W. MACPHERSON.

" C. J. WILKINSON (*Offg.*).

" E. J. TREVELYAN.

" CHUNDER MADHUB GHOSE.

" H. BEVERLEY.

" W. F. AGNEW (*Offg.*)." J. P. GRANT (*Offg.*)." G. E. PORTER (*Offg.*).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. H. COWIE.

" J. GRAHAM.

" G. C. PAUL, C.I.E.

STANDING COUNSEL.

J. GRAHAM.

H. A. EGLINTON.

J. PITT-KENNEDY.

C. J. WILKINSON.

A. PHILLIPS (*Offg. A. G.*).J. D. BELL (*Offg. A. G.*).W. C. BONNERJEE (*Offg.*).

HIGH COURT, MADRAS, 1862—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR COLLEY HARMAN SCOTLAND, KT.
„ SIR WALTER MORGAN, KT.
„ SIR CHARLES A. TURNER, KT., C.I.E.
„ ARTHUR J. H. COLLINS, KT.

JUDGES.

Honourable SIR ADAM BITTLESTON.
„ T. L. STRANGE.
„ H. D. PHILLIPS.
„ H. FEEBE.
„ W. HOLLOWAY.
„ L. C. INNES.
„ C. COLLETT.
„ G. H. ELLIS (*Offg.*).
„ D. F. CARMICHAEL (*Offg.*).
„ J. KERNAN.
„ J. R. KINDERSLEY.
„ L. FORBES.
„ MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, C.I.E.
„ T. M. BUSTEED (*Offg.*).
„ H. J. TABERANT (*Offg.*).
„ P. P. HUTCHINS.
„ F. BRANDT.
„ G. A. PARKER (*Offg.*).
„ J. W. HANDLEY (*Offg.*).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. SYDNEY SMYTH.
„ J. B. NORTON.
„ J. D. MAYNE.
„ H. S. CUNNINGHAM.
„ P. O'SULLIVAN.
„ H. H. SHEPHERD (*Offg.*).

HIGH COURT, BOMBAY, 1862—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR MATHEW R. SAUSSE, KT.
„ SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT.
„ SIR MICHAEL R. WESTROPP, KT.
„ SIR CHARLES SARGENT, KT.

JUDGES.

Honourable SIR JOSEPH ARNOULD, KT.
„ H. HEBBERT.
„ A. K. FORBES.
„ C. J. ERSKINE.
• „ R. COUCH.
„ H. NEWTON.
„ M. R. WESTROPP.
„ H. P. TUCKER.
A. B. WARDEN.
JAMES HORE (*Offg.*).
JANARDHAN VASUDEVJEE.
T. C. ANSTAY (
J. GIBBS.
SIR C. SARGENT, KT.
L. H. BAYLEY.
F. LLOYD.
M. MELVILL.
C. G. KEMBALL.
J. P. GREEN.
R. WEST.
R. H. PINHEY.
J. MARRIOTT (*Offg.*).
NANABHAI HARIDAS.
BARON DE H. LARPENT (*Offg.*).
G. ATKINSON (*Offg.*).
F. D. MELVILL (*Offg.*).
F. L. LATHAM (*Offg.*).
J. SCOTT.
H. M. BIRDWOOD.
W. E. HART (*Offg.*).
SIR W. WEDDERBURN, BART. (*Offg.*).
J. JARDINE.
C. F. FARRAN (*Offg.*).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable A. J. LEWIS.
„ L. H. BAYLEY.
J. S. WHITE.
C. J. MAYHEW (*Offg.*).
A. R. SCOBLE.
J. MARRIOTT.
F. L. LATHAM.
B. LANG (*Offg.*).
C. F. FARRAN (*Offg.*).
H. M. STARLING (*Offg.*).
J. MACPHERSON (*Offg.*).

HIGH COURT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, 1866—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR WALTER MORGAN, KT.
„ SIR ROBERT STUART, KT., Q.C.
„ SIR W. COMER PETHERAM, KT., Q.C.
„ SIR JOHN EDGE, KT., Q.C.

JUDGES.

Honourable A. ROSS.
„ W. EDWARDS.
„ W. ROBERTS.
„ F. B. PEARSON.
„ C. A. TURNER.
„ R. SPANKIE.
„ W. JARDINE (*Offg.*).
„ G. D. TURNBULL (*Offg.*)
„ R. C. OLDFIELD.
„ D. STRAIGHT.
„ M. BRODHURST.
„ W. DUTHOIT (D.C.L.)
„ W. TYRELL.
„ SAHYAD MAHMUD.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL WHO HAVE TAKEN PART IN THE DECISIONS CONTAINED IN THIS DIGEST, 1836—1886.

The Right Honourable	LORD WYNFORD.
"	LORD BROUGHAM.
"	LORD DENMAN.
"	LORD LANGDALE.
"	SIR JOHN NICHOLL, KT.
"	SIR LANCELOT SHADWELL, KT.
"	THOMAS ERSKINE.
"	SIR JAMES PARKE, KT. (afterwards LORD WENSLEYDALE).
"	SIR JOHN B. BOSANQUET, KT.
"	SIR JOHN VAUGHAN, KT.
"	SIR HERBERT JENNER, KT. (afterwards SIR HERBERT JENNER FUST KT.).
"	STEPHEN LUSHINGTON, D.C.L.
"	LORD WHARNCLIFFE (Lord President of the Council).
"	SIR JAMES LEWIS KNIGHT-BRUCE, KT.
"	SIR JAMES WIGRAM, KT.
"	T. PEMBERTON LEIGH (afterwards LORD KINGSDOWN).
"	SIR FREDERICK POLLOCK, KT.
"	LORD LANSDOWNE (Lord President of the Council).
"	DUKE OF BUCCLEUGH (Lord President of the Council).
"	LORD CRANWORTH.
"	LORD TEURO.
"	LORD CAMPBELL.
"	SIR EDWARD RYAN, KT.
"	SIR JOHN JERVIS, KT.
"	SIR JOHN ROMILLY, KT. (afterwards LORD ROMILLY).
"	SIR GEORGE JAMES TURNER, KT.
"	SIR JOHN PATERSON, KT.
"	SIR JOHN DODSON, KT.
"	LORD WENSLEYDALE.
"	SIR WILLIAM H. MAULE, KT.
"	LORD CHELMSFORD.
"	LORD KINGSDOWN.
"	SIR CRESSWELL CRESSWELL, KT.
"	SIR JOHN TAYLOR COLERIDGE, KT.
"	SIR WILLIAM ERLE, KT.
"	LORD WESTBURY.
"	SIR JAMES WILLIAM COLVILLE, KT.
"	SIR EDWARD VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, KT.
"	SIR FITZBOY KELLY, KT.
"	SIR WILLIAM PAGE WOOD, KT. (afterwards LORD HATHERLEY).
"	SIR RICHARD TOBIN KINDERSLEY, KT.
"	LORD CAIENS.
"	LORD ROMILLY.
"	SIR JOHN ROLT, KT.
"	SIR ROBERT PHILLIMORE, KT.
"	SIR CHARLES JASPER SELWYN, KT.
"	SIR JOSEPH NAPIER, BART.
"	SIR GEORGE MARKHAM GIFFORD, KT.
"	SIR WILLIAM MILBOURNE JAMES, KT.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL.

The Right Honourable SIR GEORGE MELLISH, KT.
" SIR BARNES PEACOCK, KT.
" LORD HATHERLEY.
" SIR JOHN STUART, KT.
" SIR JAMES SHAW WILLES, KT.
" SIR MONTAGUE EDWARD SMITH, KT.
" SIR ROBERT PORRETT COLLIER, KT. (afterwards LORD MONKSWELL).
" LORD SELBORNE.
" SIR JOHN BARNARD BYLES, KT.
" SIR HENRY SINGEE KEATING, KT.
" LORD BLACKBURN.
" LORD WATSON.
" LORD FITZGERALD.
" SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT.
" SIR JOHN MELLOR, KT.
" SIR ARTHUR HOBHOUSE, KT. (afterwards LORD HOBHOUSE).
" LORD MONKSWELL.
" LORD HOBHOUSE.
" LORD HALSBURY.

PRIVY COUNCILLORS, ASSESSORS.

The Right Honourable SIR EDWARD HYDE EAST, BART.
" SIR ALEXANDER JOHNSTON, KT.
" SIR EDWARD RYAN, KT.
" SIR LAWRENCE PEEL, KT.
" SIR JAMES WILLIAM COLVILLE, KT.

TABLE

OF

HEADINGS, SUB-HEADINGS, AND CROSS-REFERENCES.

The headings and sub-headings under which the cases are arranged are printed in this table in capitals, the headings in black type, and the sub-headings in small capitals. The cross-references are printed in ordinary type.

Tacking.	TOLLS.
Talook, Meaning of—	Torts.
Talookdar.	Torture.
Tank, Order to destroy—	Total loss.
Tanks, Maintaining ancient—	Towage, Lien for—
TAX.	Towage contract.
TAXATION OF BILL OF COSTS.	Towing, Rules for—
TAZI MANDI CHITTIS.	TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY.
Tehsildar.	Trade, Contract in restraint of—
Temple, Suit for declaration of trusts of—	TRADE MARK.
Tenant.	Trader.
TENDER.	Tradesman's account.
Tenure created under Court of Wards.	Transfer by endorsement.
Territorial jurisdiction, Effect of cession on—	TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE.
TERRITORIAL LAW OF BRITISH INDIA.	1. GENERAL CASES.
TERRITORY, TRANSFER OF—	2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13.
Testator.	3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.
Thakbust award.	TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE.
THEFT.	1. GENERAL CASES.
Thekadar.	2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29.
Ticket, Refusal to produce—	3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.
Timber, Right to—	TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.
Time for appearance of defendant, Extension of—	TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882).
Time bargain.	———— s. 2.
TITLE.	———— s. 10.
1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—	———— s. 52.
(a) GENERALLY.	———— s. 54.
(b) LONG POSSESSION.	———— s. 131.
2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.	———— s. 135.
Title of suit, Irregularity in—	Transfer of Shares, Registration of—
Title-deeds.	TRANSFER OF TENURE.
Titles of honour.	Transfer, Registration of—
Toda garas haq.	Transferable tenure.
	Trans-shipment permit.
	Transportation.

TABLE OF HEADINGS.

Treason.

TREASURE TROVE.

TREATIES.

Trees.

TRESPASS.

1. GENERAL CASES.

2. HOUSE-TRESPASS.

Trespasser.

TROVER.

TRUST.

Trust-deed for benefit of creditors.

Trust-property.

TRUSTEE.

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.

TRUSTEE ACT (XXIV OF 1841).

TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII OF 1866).

———— s. 3.

———— ss. 20 and 32.

———— s. 35.

**TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT
(XXVIII OF 1866, s. 43).**

Turn of worship of idol, Right to—

Umpire, Incapacity to act as—

Unchastity.

Undertaking not to sue.

Under-tenure.

Underwriter, Liability of—

UNDUE INFLUENCE.

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.

Unliquidated damages.

Unprofessional conduct.

UNNATURAL OFFENCE.

Unseaworthiness.

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.

Unstamped documents, Admissibility of, in evidence.

Upan chowki tenure.

USER.

Usufructuary mortgage.

Usury.

Vacation, Closing of Court for—

Vakalatnama.

Vakil.

Vakil and client.

Valuation of appeal.

Valuation of right, title, and interest created by mortgage.

VALUATION OF SUIT.

1. SUITS.

2. APPEALS.

Value of property, Statement in will as to—

Variance between bought and sold notes.

**VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING
AND PROOF.**

1. GENERAL CASES.

2. SPECIAL CASES.

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM.

Vatan.

Vatandars Act (Bombay Act III of 1874).

Vendor, Petition by, to have document registered.

VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

1. BILLS OF SALE.

2. BREACH OF COVENANT.

3. BREACH OF WARRANTY.

4. CAVEAT EMPTOR.

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.

6. CONDITIONAL SALES.

7. CONSIDERATION.

8. FRAUD.

9. INVALID SALES.

10. LIEN.

11. NOTICE.

12. POSSESSION.

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS
PURCHASER.

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—

16. SETTING ASIDE SALES.

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

Verbal contract.

Verdict of Criminal Court.

VERDICT OF JURY.

1. GENERAL CASES.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS.

Vested interests.

Vesting order.

Vicinage.

Village accountant.

Village cattle.

Village chowkidar.

Village Munsiff.

Village Munsiff's peon.

Voluntary assignment.

VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE.

Voluntary payment.

Voluntary settlement, Breach of covenants in—

Wagering contract.

Wages.

Waging war.

**WAGING WAR AGAINST
QUEEN.**

WAIVER.

Wakf.

WARRANT.

WARRANT OF ARREST.

1. CIVIL CASES.
2. CRIMINAL CASES.

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.**WARRANT OF COMMITMENT.****WARRANT OF EXECUTION.**

Warranty, Breach of—

Warranty of title.

Washerman.

WASTE.**WASTE LANDS.**

Water, Escape of, Liability for damage done by—

Water-course, Right to use of—

Water-supply, Causing diminution of—

**WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUD-
ULENT USE OF—**

Wharfinger.

WHIPPING.

Widow.

Widows inheriting jointly.

Wife.

WILD ANIMALS.**WILL.**

1. EXECUTION.
2. ATTESTATION.
3. FORM OF WILL.
4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL.
5. VALIDITY OF WILL.
6. INSPECTION OF WILL.
7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR.
8. CONSTRUCTION.

WILLS ACT (XXV OF 1838).

Windows or doors, Suit to close—

Withdrawal from prosecution.

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.**WITNESS—CIVIL CASES.**

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.
3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES.
4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES.
5. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.
6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—
 - (a) GENERALLY.
 - (b) CROSS-EXAMINATION.
7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.
8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES.

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES.

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.
3. AVOIDING SERVICE.
4. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—
 - (a) GENERALLY.
 - (b) EXAMINATION BY COURT.
 - (c) CROSS-EXAMINATION.

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.
Workman.

WRITTEN STATEMENT.**WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.**

Wrongful conversion.

Wrongful dismissal.

WRONGFUL DETENTION.**WRONGFUL DISTRRAINT.**

Wrongful loss.

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

Wrongful seizure in execution.

WRONGFUL RESTRAINT.

Year, Agricultural—

Zanzibar.

ZEMINDAR.**ZEMINDAR, POWER OF—****ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF—**

Zemindar and ryot.

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

Zemindari dues and cesses, Suit for—

APPENDIX.**ABATEMENT OF SUIT.****ABETMENT.****ACCOMPLICE.**

Accumulations.

Act—1842—IV.

Act—1856—XV.

Act—1861—IX.

Act—1868—VI (N.-W. P. Municipal Improvement)

CORRIGENDA.

VOL. I.

- COL. 22.—Under heading "ABSENCE BY REASON OF TRANSPORTATION" for "LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 13" read "LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 7."
- COL. 153.—Under heading "AGENT, AUTHORITY OF" for "B. L. R., 195" read "5 B. L. R., 195".
- COL. 208.—Case 222, reference, for "8 B. L. R." read "7 B. L. R."
- COL. 469.—Under heading "BENAMI TRANSACTION" insert reference, "See ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY CONDUCT, 19 W. R., 292."
- COL. 722.—Line 12 from top, reference, for "10 W. R., 57" read "10 W. R., Cr., 7".
- COL. 773.—Case 2, under "s. 138" for "3 I. L. R." read "3 B. L. R."
- COL. 823.—Line 23 from top, reference, for "53 All., 33" read "3 All., 533".
- COL. 1360.—Case 46, reference, for "7 W. R., 169" read "2 N. W., 169".
- COL. 1463.—Line 24 from top, reference, for "I. L. R., 7 Bom., 11" read "I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11".
- COL. 1488.—Case 169, reference, for "2 W. R., 230" read "2 N. W., 230".

VOL. II.

- COL. 1588.—Case 39, reference, for "10 Moore's I. A." read "11 Moore's I. A.", and for "W. R. P. C." read "9 W. R., P. C".
- COL. 1621.—Under case 202, reference, for "16 W. R., 471" read "14 W. R., 471".
- COL. 1785.—Case 341, reference, before "11 W. R., P. C." insert "2 B. L. R., P. C., 85".
- COL. 1856.—Line 1 from top, after "EXCISE ACT" omit "1856".
- COL. 1888.—Line 8 from top, name of case should be "BALAJI RANCHODDAS, IN RE."
- COL. 2057.—Line 7 from top, for "12 W. R., 33" read "12 W. R., P. C., 33".
- COL. 2066.—Case 1, reference, for "5 I. L. R." read "5 B. L. R."
- COL. 2075.—Case 17, reference, for "Ind. Jur., N. S." read "Ind. Jur., O. S."
- COL. 2103.—Case 7, reference, for "Calc." read "Bom."
- COL. 2221.—Case 18, reference, omit "16 W. R., 142".
- COL. 2306.—Case 145, reference, for "12 B. L. R." read "14 B. L. R.", and for "29 W. R., 490" read "22 W. R., 496".
- COL. 2538.—Line 7 from top, for "7 Bom." read "1 Bom."
- COL. 2559.—Case 73, immediately before name of case insert "Held also that the trust for maintenance and the religious trusts, as being mere perpetual trusts for the benefit of the family, were likewise void."
- COL. 2768.—Case 21, reference, for "6 B. L. R." read "4 B. L. R."
- COL. 2772.—Under case 15, reference, for "2 W. R., 142" read "2 N. W., 142".
- COL. 2793.—Line 9 from top, the name of case should be "BALAJI RANCHODDAS, IN RE."
- COL. 2795.—Case 22, for "4 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R.", and for "634" read "434".
- COL. 2855.—Case 92, reference, for "4 B. L. R." read "6 B. L. R."

VOL. III.

- COL. 3102.—*Line 13 from top, reference, for "3 All." read "2 Mad."*
 COL. 3112.—*Case 3, name of case, for "HYDER SINGH" read "HYDER JUNG BANADOOR."*
 COL. 3515.—*Case 167, line 11, after "89" insert "followed."*
 COL. 3650.—*Case 7, reference, for "24 W. R." read "2 Hay".*
 COL. 3868.—*Case 1, name of case, for "MITTER" read "MOZUMDAR."*
 COL. 4100.—*Line 11 from top, reference, for "2 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R."*
 COL. 4118.—*Case 1, line 4 of heading, for "defence" read "reference".*
 COL. 4126.—*Case 6, reference, for "13 Agra" read "3 Agra".*

VOL. IV.

- COL. 4398.—*Case 7, reference, for "3 B. L. R." read "7 B. L. R."*
 COL. 4615.—*Case 20, reference, for "2 A. C." read "2 B. L. R., A. C."*
 COL. 4853.—*Case 23, reference, for "2 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R."*
 COL. 4907.—*Case 63, reference, for "4 N. W." read "5 N. W."*
 COL. 4913.—*Case 93, reference, for "20 W. R." read "9 W. R."*
 COL. 5951.—*Line 13 from top, reference, for "2 All." read "7 All."*

VOL. V.

- COL. 6144.—*Line 13 from top, reference, for "10 Bom." read "9 Bom."*
 COL. 6240.—*Line 23 from top, reference, after "2 Moore's I. A., 424" omit "6 W. R., P. C., 46".*
 COL. 6248.—*Case 1, reference, for "6 B. L. R." read "4 B. L. R."*

REFERENCES OMITTED IN TABLE OF CASES.

Jivi v. Ramji, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207	3 Bom., 207	.	.	.	3373
Khemkor v. Umiashankar Ranchchor	10 Bom., 381	.	.	.	2436
Leelahund Singh v. Hamidooddin	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 576	.	.	.	987
Paluckdhary Roy v. Radha Purshad Singh	.	.	.	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 50; 4 C. L. R.,	.	.	.	204
				}	342.				

A DIGEST
OF
THE HIGH COURT REPORTS
1862—1886
AND OF
THE PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA,
1836—1886.

TACKING.

See CASES UNDER MORTGAGE—TACKING.

TALOOK, MEANING OF—

See GRANT—CONSTRUCTION OF GRANT.

[18 W. R., 469

22 W. R., 326

See LEASE—CONSTRUCTION.

[22 W. R., 326

TALOOKDAR.

——— Conduct of, as indicating his successor.

See OUDH ESTATES ACT, 1869, s. 22.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626

Registered, Interest of—

See OUDH ESTATES ACT, 1869.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522

See CASES UNDER OUDH TALOOKDARS' RELIEF ACT.

TANK, ORDER TO DESTROY—

See NUISANCE—UNDER CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODES . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 27

TANKS, MAINTAINING ANCIENT—

See ZEMINDAR . 14 B. L. R., P. C., 209

TAX.

illegally levied.

See MADRAS TOWNS IMPROVEMENT ACT, 1871, s. 85 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158

See STATUTE, CONSTRUCTION OF—

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158

8 Bom., A. C., 213

TAX—continued.

——— **Muhtarafa.**—*Trade tax, Zemidar's right to collect.*—*Mad. Reg. XXV of 1802, s. 4.*—*Mad. Reg. XXV of 1832.*—The right of collecting the muhtarafa or trade tax from artisans in his zemindari has not been delegated by Government to the Zemindar of Karvairnagar, and cannot be legally exercised by his assignees. *Quære.*—Whether it was competent for Government to delegate the collection of the muhtarafa to zemindars for their own use. *VEDANTA v. KANNIYAPPA*

[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14

TAXATION OF BILL OF COSTS.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 84 (1871, ART. 85) . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253

[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 1

1. ——— **Attendance before taxing officer.**—*Attorney.*—*Appearance for several parties.*—*Summons to attend taxation.*—*Practice.*—Any work which an attorney does jointly for several parties together he can only make one charge for, and where he appears for any number of parties before the taxing officer at the taxation of the costs of a suit, he must be taken to represent them jointly. The taxing officer should not issue separate summonses to different parties who appear by one attorney. *KENNY v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL* . . . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 50

2. **Accountants employed not under order of Court.**—*Useful and necessary expense.*—In a suit to set aside a settlement, two accountants were employed at the plaintiff's instance, and not by order of Court, to examine the settlor's books and give evidence. *Held* that the investigation being most useful to the Court, and adapted to the ends of justice, the taxing master was right in allowing their expenses. *MACNAIR v. HOGG*

[2 Hyde, 89

TAZI MANDI CHITTIS.

See CONTRACT—WAGERING CONTRACTS.

[4 Moore's I. A., 339
5 Moore's I. A., 109
6 Moore's I. A., 251]

Principal and agent.—*Gambling Act (XXI of 1848)*.—Where the plaintiff had expended money at the request of the defendant in the purchase or settlement of tazi mandi chittis,—*Held*, he was entitled to recover notwithstanding Act XXI. of 1848. *KANAYALAL v. CHAGMAL BATTIA*

[8 B. L. R., 412]

BHAIKARNATH KHETTRI v. JUMANRAM DHAN-DARIA . . . 8 B. L. R., 415, note

TEHSILDAR.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 7 (1859, s. 1, CL. 2).

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 20: 10 W. R., 260]

TEMPLE, SUIT FOR DECLARATION OF TRUSTS OF—

See ACT XX OF 1863.

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 55]

TENANT.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT.

Suit against, for share of rent.

See CASES UNDER CO-SHARERS—SUITS BY CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY—KABULIATS.

See CASES UNDER CO-SHARERS—SUITS BY CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY—ENHANCEMENT OF RENT.

See CASES UNDER CO-SHARERS—SUITS BY CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY—RENT.

TENDER.

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46.

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 7: 10 W. R., 101
16 W. R., 79
2 W. R., Act X, 88]

Validity of tender.—Contract

Act, s. 38.—Tender of interest on mortgage-debt.—Under a mortgage-deed taken to secure the repayment within three years of a sum of R16,000 advanced by the plaintiff, with interest at 15 per cent. from the 2nd July 1874, the date of the mortgage, it was stipulated that interest should be paid every six months, but that if a year's interest should be unpaid, then the whole amount due for principal and interest should become payable at once; and also that the mortgagor might, after payment of interest, pay towards satisfaction of the principal any sum not less than R1,000. The first year's interest was allowed to get into arrear, but in September 1875 the defendant went to the plaintiff with R19,000, a greater sum than was due for principal and interest, and told him to repay himself from that sum. The

TENDER.—Liability of tender—continued.

offer was refused, and the plaintiff thereupon brought a suit on the 9th July 1877 for R16,000, with interest from the date of the mortgage to the date of the suit and subsequent interest. *Held* that the tender made by the defendant, although not valid according to English law, was valid under section 38 of the Contract Act. *Per WILSON, J.*—Section 38 of the Contract Act substantially requires that there should be a genuine and unconditional offer, in case of payment, to pay unconditionally at a proper place, made by a person in a position to pay. *KANYE LALL KHAN v. KHETTERMONKY DOSSEE*

[5 C. L. R., 105]

2. ————— Unconditional ten-

der.—Costs.—In a suit to recover R1,323-15-6, the balance of the price of goods sold, on which an account had been come to between the parties, it appeared that the defendant had tendered before suit a sum of R1,043-5, stating in the letter of tender that the sum so tendered was the only sum due. At the trial, the plaintiff obtained a decree for the full amount claimed by him. *Held*, both in the Court below and on appeal, that the tender was bad, and therefore the plaintiffs were entitled to their costs. *Held per KENNEDY, J.*, that the tender was bad, being a tender of part of an entire debt. *Held per GARTH, C. J. (MAREBY, J., concurring)*, that the tender was also bad, as the plaintiffs could not have accepted the sum tendered without giving up the remainder of their claim. *CHUNDER CAUNT MOOKERJEE v. JODOONATH KHAN*

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 468: 1 C. L. R., 470]

3. .**- Agent.—Cheque in**

payment of debt for rent.—Suit for rent.—Costs.—The landlord of a house through his agent sent in rent-bills to his lessee. The lessee gave the agent a cheque payable to her attorney for the amount demanded. The attorney realised the amount of the cheque and gave the money to the agent, who tendered it to the landlord's attorney, who refused to accept, and the money was returned to the lessee's attorney. *Held*, in a suit for the rent, that, under the circumstances, the tender amounted to payment. *Held*, further, that although, as a general rule, the amount of a tender not accepted ought to be paid into Court in order to entitle the defendant to costs, yet that, as the tender in this case amounted to payment, the defendant was entitled to have the suit dismissed with costs. *BOLYE CHUND SING v. MOULARD* . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 572

TENURE CREATED UNDER COURT OF WARDS.

See BENGAL ACT IV OF 1870.

[15 B. L. R., 343]

TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION, EFFECT OF CESSION ON—

See CESSION OF BRITISH TERRITORY IN INDIA . . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 367

[10 Bom., 37]

TERRITORIAL LAW OF BRITISH INDIA.

——— *Nature of territorial law.—English law.*—The territorial law of British India is a modified form of English law. **SECRETARY OF STATE v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL**

[**I. L. R., O. C., 87**

TERRITORY, TRANSFER OF—

——— *District of Kanara.—16 and 17 Vict., c. 95; 21 and 22 Vict., c. 106.—Councils Act, 24 and 25 Vict., c. 67.*—The power given by 16 and 17 Victoria, Cap. 95, to alter the distribution of territories among the presidencies, was vested by 21 and 22 Victoria, Cap. 106, in the Secretary of State for India, by whose order of 28th of February 1862 North Canara was annexed, the new arrangement of territory to take effect from such date as the Governor General of India in Council should by proclamation appoint for the purposes of the Councils Act, 1861, which Act has reference solely to the constitution and functions of the Legislative Councils, and does not purport to affect in any way the exercise of the general powers of Government, or the administration of justice, and the jurisdiction and authority of the Courts of Justice, the annexation for those purposes being made by the Secretary of State, and not being qualified or controlled by the proviso in section 47 of 24 and 25 Victoria clause 67, which cannot be construed as a substantive enactment, or as qualifying or restraining the power vested in the Secretary of State. **REG. v. VYANKATSVAMI**

[**2 Bom., 112 : 2nd Ed., 108**

TESTATOR.

——— **Acknowledgment of signature by—**

See WILL—EXECUTION.

[**I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547**

——— **Application for probate of will of Hindu.**

See PROBATE—OPPOSITION TO AND REVOCATION OF GRANT.

[**I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208**

——— **Debts of Hindu.**

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—NOTICE.

[**I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897**

——— **Power of—**

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WILL—POWER OF DISPOSITION.

[**I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561**

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—WILL.

[**I. L. R., 2 Calc., 184**

THAKBUST AWARD.

See ACT XIII OF 1848.

[**2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6**

THEFT.

See PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY.

[**13 B. L. R., 307, 308, note; 310, note**

THEFT—continued.

——— committed out of British territory.

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—OFFENDERS COMMITTED ONLY PARTY IN ONE DISTRICT. **I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171**

1. ——— Penal Code, s. 378.—Definition of theft.—As to what constitutes theft as defined in the Penal Code. **QUEEN v. MADARRE**

[**3 W. R., Cr., 2**

2. ——— Moving property and severing it.—The moving by the same act which effects the severance may constitute a theft. **ANONYMOUS**

5 Mad., Ap., 38

3. ——— Removal of property against wish of ostensible purchaser thereof.—Apparent title or colour of right to property.—To constitute theft it is sufficient if property is removed against his wish, from the custody of a person who has an apparent title, or even a colour of right, to such property. **CAPE v. SCOTT, L. R., 2 Q. B., 269**, followed. **QUEEN-EMPRESS v. GANGARAM SANTHEAM**

[**I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135**

4. ——— Giving up right of possession in property by owner.—A conviction for theft under the Penal Code is illegal if the owner has given up all property in and all possession of the subject of the alleged theft. **ANONYMOUS**

[**4 Mad., Ap., 30**

5. ——— Making away with property lawfully possessed.—The making away with property of which a person has been put in lawful possession by superior authority is not theft, but criminal breach of trust. **QUEEN v. BHARUT CHUNDER**

1 W. R., Cr., 2

6. ——— Unexplained possession of rice.—Meaning of corpus delicti.—Where a prisoner was found in possession of rice not thrashed in the usual way, and having no paddy land of his own, he failed to account satisfactorily for his possession of the rice, *Held*, he could not be convicted of theft without more evidence. The meaning of the term *corpus delicti* explained. **ANONYMOUS**

[**7 Mad., Ap., 19**

7. ——— Dishonest taking, Omission of allegation of.—The prisoner was convicted of theft on his own confession. The charge to which the prisoner pleaded did not allege the taking out of the possession of some person dishonestly, and there was no evidence of such taking. *Held* that the conviction was bad. **ANONYMOUS**

5 Mad., Ap., 37

8. ——— Abetment of theft.—Receiving stolen property.—Joint undivided Hindu family.—A Hindu, intending to separate himself from his family, emigrated to Demerara as a coolie. After an absence of thirty years he returned to his family, bringing with him money and other moveable property which he had acquired in Demerara by manual labour as a coolie. On his return to his family he lived in commensality with it, but he did

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.

not treat such property as joint family property but as his own property. *Held* that such property was his sole property, and his brother was not a joint owner of it, and could properly be convicted of theft in respect of it. It is irregular to convict and punish a person for abetment of theft, and at the same time to convict and punish him for receiving the stolen property. *EMPRESS v. SITA RAM RAI* [I. L. R., 3 All., 181]

9.

Mahomedan married

woman.—Husband and wife.—Taking property of husband.—A Mahomedan married woman may be convicted of theft, or abetment of theft, in respect of the property of her husband. *REG. v. KHATABAI* [6 Bom., Cr., 9]

10.

Hindu woman removing

stridhan from possession of her husband.—A Hindu woman who removes from the possession of her husband, and without his consent, her pulla or stridhan, cannot be convicted of theft, nor can any person who joins her in removing it be convicted of that offence. *REG. v. NATHA KALYAN* . 8 Bom., Cr., 11

11.

Removal of family

jewels by wife and persons coming to commit adultery with her.—Two persons were committed for trial, the first prisoner for adultery, enticing away a married woman, and theft, and the second prisoner for abetment of the enticing away and theft. The first prisoner was acquitted of the charges of adultery and enticing away. The case for the prosecution was that the prosecutor's wife left her husband's house in company with the first prisoner, and that previous to her departure she, by means of false keys supplied to her by the second prisoner, opened the room where the family jewels and money were kept and removed them. The jewels were deposited with the second prisoner for safe custody. Part of the money was handed to the first prisoner. *Held* that, notwithstanding the acquittal, the prisoners were not entitled to be discharged without trial on the charge of theft. *ANONYMOUS*

[5 Mad., Ap., 23]

12.

and s. 114.—Forcibly

carrying off crop.—Want of consent of owner.—Where a Court finds that parties came with a number of armed men, and carried off a crop, the finding amounts to that of a forcible carrying off without the consent of the owner. Even if they took no part in the actual taking, they must, with reference to section 114, Penal Code, be considered guilty of the substantive offence under section 378. *QUEEN v. SHIB CHUNDER MUNDLE* . 8 W. R., Cr., 59

13.

Person acting under

ill-founded claim of right.—A person acting under a claim of right (however ill-founded such claim may be) is not guilty of theft by asserting it. *QUEEN v. RAM CHURN SINGH* . 7 W. R., Cr., 57

14.

Assertion of right by

accused.—Defence to charge of theft.—A bare assertion by an accused charged with committing theft of a proprietary right in the alleged stolen property

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.

is no reason for a Magistrate to refuse to entertain a charge of theft. *QUEEN v. KALICHARAN MISSEER* [7 B. L. R., Ap., 55]

S. C. RUNNOO SINGH v. KALI CHURN MISSEER

[16 W. R., Cr., 18]

See KHETTER NATH DUTT v. INDO JALIA

[16 W. R., Cr., 78]

HURIS CHUNDRAS DAS v. BOLAI ADTHICARRE

[16 W. R., 75]

15.

Plunder of crops.—

Assertion of right to crops.—The mere assertion of a fair claim of property or right, or the mere existence of a doubt as to right, is not sufficient to justify an acquittal in a case of plunder of crops. The claim to the property must be proved by evidence to be fair and good. *NASSIB CHOWDREY v. NANNOO CHOWDREY* . 15 W. R., Cr., 47

16.

and s. 442.—Boat.

Moveable property.—A boat may be the subject of theft. Although, under section 442 of the Penal Code, it is for certain purposes classed with houses, it does not cease to be moveable property under section 378. *QUEEN v. MEHAR DOWALLA* [16 W. R., Cr., 63]

17.

Intention to convert to

his own use, Want of.—Temporary use.—When an accused charged with murder was alleged to have taken a boat from a place where it had been secured by its owner, and after proceeding some distance in it had abandoned it, and when he was charged with the theft of the boat, —*Held* that the charge was unsustainable, inasmuch as it was evidently not his intention to convert it to his own use, and make it permanently his own property, but merely to make use of it for the purpose of aiding him in escaping. *ADU SHIKDAR v. QUEEN-EMPRESS*

[I. L. R., 11 Calc., 635]

18.

Property removed with

criminal intent but with consent of owner.—A. sought the aid of B. with the intention of committing a theft of the property of B.'s master. B. with the knowledge and consent of his master, and for the purpose of procuring A.'s punishment, aided A. in carrying out his object. On the prosecution of A. for theft, —*Held* that as the property removed was so taken with the knowledge of the owner, the offence of theft had not been committed. *EMPRESS v. TROY-LUKHONATH CHOWDHRY*

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 366 : 3 C. L. R., 525]

19.

Possession of wood by

forest inspector.—Removal of wood without payment of fees.—Possession of wood by a forest inspector, who is a servant of Government, is possession of the Government itself; and a dishonest removal of it, without payment of the necessary fees, from his possession, albeit with his actual consent, constitutes theft within the meaning of section 378 of the Penal Code, if that consent was unauthorised or fraudulent. *REG. v. HANMANTA* . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610

F.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.

20. ———— and s. 95.—*Valueless produce.*—Property almost valueless.—Conviction and sentence by a Magistrate reversed, as the act of which the accused was convicted—taking pods (almost valueless) from a tree standing upon Government waste ground—came within the meaning of section 95 of the Penal Code, and did not therefore amount, to theft. *REG. v. KASYA BIN RAVJI.*

[5 Bom., Cr., 35]

21. ———— *Retaining possession of nets of poachers.*—The prisoner, acting *bond fide* in the interests of his employers, and finding a party of fishermen poaching on his master's fisheries, took charge of the nets, and retained possession of them, pending the orders of his employers. *Held* that the prisoner was not guilty of theft. *QUEEN v. NOBIN CHUNDER HOLDAR.*

6 W. R., Cr., 79

22. ———— *Taking fish in navigable river.*—The taking fish in that portion of a navigable river over which a right of julkur exists in another person does not fall within section 378 of the Penal Code. *HURI MOTI MODDOCK v. DENONATH MALO.*

19 W. R., Cr., 47

BHUSUN PARUI v. DENONATH BANERJEE

[20 W. R., Cr., 15]

23. ———— *Taking fish from creek.*—The wrongful taking of fish from a creek is not theft. *QUEEN v. REVU POTHADU.*

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390]

24. ———— s. 379.—*Possession.*—*in an enclosed tank.*—Where the accused were found fishing without permission in an enclosed tank belonging to the municipality of the town of Sirsi, it was held that they could be convicted of theft, as the tank from which the fish were taken was apparently an enclosed tank, and the fish were, therefore, restrained of their natural liberty, and liable to be taken at any time according to the pleasure of the owner, and were, therefore, subjects of theft. *Bhusun Parui v. Denonath Banerjee*, 20 W. R., Cr., 15; and *Queen v. Revu Pothadu*, I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390, distinguished. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. ADAM VALAD SHAIK FARID.*

I. L. R., 10 Bom., 193

25. ———— *Illegal seizure and impounding of cattle.*—The illegal seizure and impounding of cattle is not theft within the meaning of the Penal Code, even if effected with the malicious intent of subjecting the owners to additional expense, inconvenience, and annoyance. *ABADHUN MUNDUL v. MYAN KHAN TAKADGEE.*

[24 W. R., Cr., 7]

26. ———— *Removal of salt naturally formed.*—*Bom. Salt Acts, XXVII of 1837 and XXXI of 1850.*—Dishonest removal of salt naturally formed in a creek which was under the supervision of an officer belonging to the Customs Department constitutes theft, the salt having been legally appropriated by such officer. *Per BAYLEY and WEST, JJ.*—But removal for one's own use from a creek of such salt not legally appropriated constitutes no offence under the Penal Code or the Salt Acts, though the

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.

salt becomes liable to detention. *REG. v. MANSANG BHAYSANG.*

10 Bom., 74

27. ———— *Taking salt from swamp surrounded by police.*—*Possession.*—A swamp, the property of Government, having been surrounded with police guards by Government to prevent salt being removed,—*Held* that the taking against the will of Government, and with the intention of obtaining an unlawful gain, of salt which had been spontaneously produced on the swamp, was theft, *QUEEN v. TAMMA GHANTAYA.*

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 228]

28. ———— and s. 204.—*Secreting document produced before arbitrator.*—*Intention.*—*Remoteness of object.*—Where the plaintiff in a suit referred to arbitration by consent, with a view to prevent a witness from referring to an endorsement on a bond (which tended to show that defendant had paid more than it was alleged had been paid by him) snatched up the bond which was lying beside the arbitrator, ran away, and refused to produce it,—*Held* that the offence committed was not theft, but secreting a document under section 204 of the Penal Code. *SUBRAMANIA GHANAPATI v. QUEEN.*

[I. L. R., 3 Mad., 261]

29. ———— s. 380.—*Theft in a building.*—*Requisites for offence.*—All that is necessary in order to constitute the offence of theft in a building, is that the property should be under the protection of the building: it is not necessary to show unlawful entrance into the building. *QUEEN v. ISHREE PERSHAD.*

24 W. R., Cr., 49

30. ———— and s. 409.—*Constables taking property from house under their charge.*—Theft by constables of property from the house they were employed to guard is punishable under section 380, and not section 409, Penal Code. *QUEEN v. BOIDNATH SINGH.*

3 W. R., Cr., 29

31. ———— s. 381.—*Theft by servant.*—*Hired boatman.*—A hired boatman does not come within the definition of a clerk or servant under section 381 of the Penal Code. Theft by such a person on board a boat comes under section 380. *QUEEN v. BAWOOL MANJEE.*

[8 W. R., Cr., 32]

32. ———— ss. 381, 409.—*Stealing money in accused's charge.*—*Criminal breach of trust.*—The prisoners were charged with having stolen a sum of money shut up in a box and placed in the police treasury buildings, over which they, as burkundauzes, were placed on guard. *Held* that the charge should have been made under section 381 of the Penal Code (theft by servant in possession of property), and not under section 409 (criminal breach of trust by public servant). *QUEEN v. JUGGUENATH SINGH.*

2 W. R., Cr., 55

THEKADAR.

1. ———— *Meaning of term.*—The term "thekadar" is properly applicable to hereditary culti-

THEKADAR.—Meaning of term—continued.

vators only when they have also a theka or lease of a share in, or the whole of, the profits of an estate.
BAIJ NATH v. MUNGLER . . . 2 N. W., 411

2.

Mode of creation of thekadar's interest.—Effect of accepting theka.—A thekadar is ordinarily a person who holds a theka or lease of the whole of a zemindar's interest in a village. There is nothing in the law which renders a writing necessary to the creation of such an interest. It is not to be inferred from the mere circumstance that persons accepted a theka that they forewent their existing right. *LEELA DHUR v. BHUGWUNT* . . . 3 N. W., 39

TICKET, REFUSAL TO PRODUCE—

See RAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2.

25

See RAILWAY ACT, 1879, ss. 17, 31.

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 192

TIMBER, RIGHT TO—

See CUSTOM—EVIDENCE OF CUSTOM.

[9 W. R., 97

TIME FOR APPEARANCE OF DEFENDANT, EXTENSION OF—

See NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS, SUMMARY PROCEDURE ON—

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395

3 B. L. R., O. C., 83

See PRACTICE—CIVIL CASES—LEAVE TO SUE OR DEFEND.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 539

TIME BARGAIN.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARYING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791

TITLE.

Col.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE . 6000

(a) GENERALLY . . . 6000

(b) LONG POSSESSION . . . 6005

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES . . . 6007

See CHUR LANDS . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 4
 [17 W. R., 73

See CASES UNDER CLAIMS TO ATTACHED PROPERTY.

See CASES UNDER ONUS PROBANDI—POSSESSION AND PROOF OF TITLE.

See PARTITION—JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT IN SUITS RESPECTING PARTITION . 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—SUITS FOR POSSESSION—PROOF OF PARTICULAR TITLE.

TITLE—continued.

See CASES UNDER RESISTANCE OR OBSTRUCTION TO EXECUTION OF DECREE.

— Acknowledgment of—

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 19. (1871, ART. 148)—ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF OTHER RIGHTS.

— Breach of covenant for—

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—BREACH OF CONTRACT.

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273

— Decision of Revenue Court as to—

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—REVENUE COURTS.

— Declaration of; Suit for—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR—DECLARATION OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER ONUS PROBANDI—DECLARATION OF TITLE.

See POSSESSION—SUITS FOR POSSESSION—PROOF OF PARTICULAR TITLE.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224

I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699

— Denial of—

See CASES UNDER ESTOPPEL—LANDLORD AND TENANT, DENIAL OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—FORFEITURE—DENIAL OF TITLE.

— Disclaimer of—

See ADMISSION—ADMISSION IN STATEMENTS AND PLEADINGS.

[15 B. L. R., 10

— Extinction of—

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 28.

See RELINQUISHMENT OF TENURE.

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 91, 208

— Investigation of—

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 236 (1859, s. 214) . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

— Notice of—

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—NOTICE.

— Obstruction to—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR—SUITS CONCERNING DOCUMENTS.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 688

— Proof of—

See ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY DEEDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 397

—Proof of—*continued*.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—MIRASDARS.
[I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340]

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE
OF POSSESSION.

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE
OF TITLE.

See SANAD . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340
[12 B. L. R., 120]

— Question of—

See APPEAL—NORTH-WEST PROVINCES
ACTS . I. L. R., 1 All., 366

See CASES UNDER BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869,
s. 102.

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION—
PROCEDURE . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616
[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 303]

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 26.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434]

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—
GENERAL JURISDICTION.
[2 N. W., 202]

See JURISDICTION OF REVENUE COURT—
BOMBAY REGULATIONS AND ACTS.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 624]

See PROBATE—OPPOSITION TO AND RE-
VOCATION OF GRANT.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 1]

See RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—
GENERAL CASES.
[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 832]

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COM-
PETENT COURT—REVENUE COURTS.

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COM-
PETENT COURT—SMALL CAUSE COURT
CASES.

See CASES UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT,
MOFUSSIL — JURISDICTION — TITLE,
QUESTIONS OF—

See CASES UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT,
PRESIDENCY TOWNS—JURISDICTION
—RECOVERY OF IMMOVABLE PRO-
PERTY.

See SPECIAL APPEAL—SMALL CAUSE COURT
SUITS—TITLE, QUESTION OF—
[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470]

— Slander of—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR—
DECLARATION OF TITLE.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65]

— Warranty of—

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—
PURCHASERS, TITLE OF GENERALLY.

[4 Bom., A. C., 114

6 Bom., A. C., 258

12 W. R., 41

I. L. R., 2 All., 108, 828]

TITLE.—Warranty of—*continued*.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—
SETTING ASIDE SALE—RIGHTS OF PUR-
CHASERS—RECOVERY OF PURCHASE-
MONEY . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

— by long possession.

See ACCRETION—RE-FORMATION AFTER
DILUVIATION . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 796

See BOMBAY REGULATION V OF 1827, s. 1.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352]

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE
OF TITLE.

on sale of goods.

See CONTRACT—BREACH OF CONTRACT.
[8 B. L. R., 581]

— to land between high and low
water marks.

See SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE—SPECIFIC
PERFORMANCE ALLOWED.
[9 B. L. R., 128]

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE.

(a) GENERALLY.

1. — Evidence of title.—*Oral evi-
dence*.—Where the Principal Sudder Ameen, reversing
the decision of the Munsif, dismissed a claim to the
possession of certain land, on the ground that "mere
oral evidence unsupported by any documentary evi-
dence was not admissible to establish a man's title
to landed property," the High Court on appeal
reversed his decision and held that oral evidence, if
believed, may be as good for proving a man's title as
documentary evidence. *DURBAN FAKKEE v. NOBIN-
CHANDRA MAZUMDAR*

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 16 : 10 W. R., 217]

2. — *Documentary evi-
dence in India*.—The presumption in favour of the
genuineness of documents offered in evidence in India
is very weak, but it must not be held that the presump-
tion is in favour of forgery, and when a long series of
documents is produced showing a reasonable origin
of title nearly a century ago, a regular deduction of
that title and a possession consistent with it, confirm-
ed by the fact of such possession existing at the time
of the commencement of the respondents' title by
purchase in 1833, the evidence of extrinsic improb-
ability should be very strong indeed to counter-
balance the weight of such testimony. *WISE v.
BHOOBUN MOYEE DEBIA*

[3 W. R., P. C., 5 : 10 Moore's I. A., 165]

3. — *Pottah granted by
Collector*.—A pottah of land in Calcutta granted by
the Collector is not a muniment of title, but only evi-
dence of a holding according to a local and fiscal
regulation. *FREEMAN v. FAIRLIE*

[1 Moore's I. A., 305]

4. — *Forged documents*.
—If a party put in evidence in support of his title,

TITLE—continued.**1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE**
—continued.**(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Evidence of title—continued.**

documents proved to be forged, but the other evidence adduced by him is not impeached, the Court, in rejecting the forged documents, will take the unimpeached evidence into consideration, and, if satisfied, adjudicate thereon. **SEVVAJI VIJAYA RAGHUNADHA VALOJI KRISTNAN GOPALAR v. CHINNA NATANA CHETTI** . . . **10 Moore's I. A., 151**

5. *Suit for possession.*—In a suit to recover possession of land which both the plaintiff and defendant claimed to have reclaimed from jungle and to have possessed many years, and for which each claimed to have obtained a pottah from Government, the mere fact that the land was included in plaintiff's pottah was held to be insufficient, without going into the facts to ascertain possession, to entitle him to a decree. **GOHAM REZA CHOWDREY v. CHANDOO MEAH LUSHKUR**

[15 W. R., 45]

6. *Possession.—Presumption.*—The ordinary presumption that possession goes with the title would be of no avail in the presence of clear evidence to the contrary; but where there is strong evidence of possession on one side opposed by evidence apparently strong also on the other side, the Privy Council held that in estimating the weight due to the evidence on both sides, the presumption might, under the circumstances of the case, be regarded, and that with the aid of it there is stronger probability that the case of the side that had possession was true than that of the party out of possession. **RUNJET RAM PANDAY v. GOBERDHUN RAM PANDAY** . . . **20 W. R., P. C., 25**

7. *Possession.—Limitation Act (XV of 1877), arts. 143, 144.—Conflicting evidence of possession.—Presumption of title.*—Where two adverse parties are each trying to make out a possession of twelve years, and the evidence is conflicting and not conclusive on either side,—*Held* that the presumption that possession goes with the title must prevail. **DHARM SINGH v. HUR PERSHAD SINGH** . . . **I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38**

8. *Possession, Presumption of.—Waste lands.*—In disputes as to the right to the possession of jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have the title has also possession. **RAM BANDHU v. KUSU BHATTU**

[5 C. L. R., 481]

9. *Suit for possession.—Possession of title-deeds and receipts for rent.*—In a case involving the alternative question of fact whether certain land belonged to *E.* or *C.*, neither the one nor the other of the opposite party venturing to state who his opponent was, and the testimony of the witnesses on this point being doubtful,—*Held* that *E.*, who was in possession of the title-deeds and

TITLE—continued.**1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE**
—continued.**(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Evidence of title—continued.**

of the receipts of rent, ought to succeed, unless there was something on the record to countervail such strong evidence. **KHODA BUKSH KHAN v. CHOA**

[19 W. R., 162]

10. *Suit for declaration of title.—Onus probandi.—Production of title-deeds.*—The plaintiff sued for declaration of her title to property of which the defendant was in possession, but of which she produced the title-deeds in favour of herself. *Held*, the onus was on the defendant to disprove the plaintiff's title, and the defendant was not allowed to raise certain fresh issues; but the plaintiff was, under the circumstances of the case, entitled to rely on the title given her by the production of the title-deeds in her favour. **SWARNAMAYI RAUB v. SRINIBASH KOYAL** . . . **6 B. L. R., 144**

11. *Possession.—Uninterrupted and undisputed possession.*—Uninterrupted and undisputed possession for a long time constitutes sufficient *prima facie* evidence of title; but if this possession is admitted to be under an adoption, it will avail nothing if the adoption fails. **HAINUN CHULL SINGH v. GUNSHAM SINGH**

[5 W. R., P. C., 69]

12. *Suit for possession.*—Where, in a suit to recover possession of land, the plaintiff succeeded in proving that he had been in possession up to a recent date, and that he had been forcibly dispossessed by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court threw upon the defendant the burden of proving his title, and, on his failing to do so, decreed the case. *Held* that this was a fair inference of title and of a right to be replaced in possession without going further into the title,—that is, to the mode of its acquisition. **TRILOCHUN GHOSE v. KAILAS NATH SIDHANTO BHOWMIK BHUTTACHARJI** . . . **3 B. L. R., A. C., 298; 12 W. R., 175**

13. *Proof of title.—Suit for possession.*—In a suit to recover possession, on the allegation that plaintiff had been illegally ousted, though holding under a lease from defendant, the latter urged that, though plaintiff had been allowed to hold the tenure as a *tehsildar* or collector of rents, he had never been the *ijaradar* or farmer in possession. The Judge found that the estate was really let out in *ijara* to the plaintiffs by the defendant, who had recovered rents and granted him receipts on account of the *ijara* mehal. *Held* that this was a complete finding in favour of the plaintiff's title, and that it was not necessary for him to sue for the pottah which had been wrongfully denied him by defendant. **JOHREEROODDEEN MAHOMED v. DABEN PERSHAD SINGH** . . . **13 W. R., 21**

14. *Proof of title.—Evidence of possession.*—In a suit to establish title, evidence of plaintiff's possession prior to the summary award under section 15, Act XIV of 1859,

TITLE—continued.**1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE**
—continued.**(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Evidence of title—continued.**

under which he was dispossessed, may be good evidence of his title, and must be considered. **BULLUBE KANT BHUTACHARJEE v. DOORJO DRUN SHIKDAR** [7 W. R., 89]

15.**Possession.—Evi-**

dence Act, s. 110.—Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 9.—In a suit for possession, where the plaintiff proved that he had been in possession of the lands in dispute, and that he had been ousted by the defendants, who were unable to give any proof of their right so to oust him, or of a superior title,—*Held* (PRINSEP, J., *dissentiente*) that the prior possession of the plaintiff was *prima facie* evidence of title, and that he was entitled to a decree. *Per* PRINSEP, J.—Proof of prior possession and of illegal dispossession are in themselves no evidence of title, except in a possessory suit under the Specific Relief Act (I of 1877). Section 110 of the Evidence Act applies only to actual and present possession, and does not declare generally that possession shall always be *prima facie* evidence of title. **KAWA MANJI v. KHUWAZ NUSSIO**

[5 C. L. R., 278]

16.**Possession.—**

Lands attached by Government as being disputed lands.—Disputes respecting the boundaries of the zemindaris of Yettiapooram and Ramnad in the district of Madura having led to acts of violence by the ryots, the Government, in the year 1836, to preserve the public peace attached the disputed lands and took possession for the benefit of the party to whom the lands should be judicially awarded. At and before the time of the Government taking such possession, the zemindar of Yettiapooram was in possession of certain lands adjacent to, and taken as a part of, the lands in dispute. The lands remained under attachment by Government for a period of nearly twenty years, no steps having been taken regarding them till the year 1855, when the zemindar of Yettiapooram brought a suit against the Collector of Madura and the zemindar of Ramnad to recover possession of the land so formerly occupied by him, and for the mesne profits thereof while in the possession of the Government. Although no clear title in this suit was proved by either zemindar, it was held by the Courts in India, and affirmed on appeal by the Judicial Committee, that the fact of possession of the lands by the zemindar of Yettiapooram before and at the time of the attachment by Government was, under the circumstances, evidence of title, and the Government was ordered to restore the lands to him. **ZEMINDAR OF RAMNAD v. ZEMINDAR OF YETTIAPOORAM** . . . 10 Moore's I. A., 47

17.**Proof of posses-**

sion.—Suit for possession.—In a suit to recover possession of two plots as parcels of the plaintiff's ancestral jammai lands, the Court of first instance found that one plot was parcel, but was not satisfied that the other was so also. The lower

TITLE—continued.**1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE**
—continued.**(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Evidence of title—continued.**

Appellate Court agreed with the first finding, but further found that there was sufficient evidence of possession to show that both plots were parcels of the jammai. *Held*, reversing the decision of FIELD, J., that on second appeal the High Court was not entitled to question the sufficiency of that evidence; and, further, that one plot having been found to be parcel of the jammai, it was sufficient to give evidence of possession and ownership to prove that the other plot was also parcel. **Dadabhai Naridas v. Sub-Collector of Broach, 7 Bom., A. C., 82**, distinguished. **BARODA KANTO BEEPARI v. JODHISTEER NATH** . . . 10 C. L. R., 99

18.**Registration after proclamation.—Evidence of assertion of title.**

—The act of registration after a proclamation under section 20, Regulation XXXVII of 1793, amounts to a public, open, and notorious assertion of title on the one side, and the omission to register, unexplained by proof of the ill-health of the claimant, or absence in a distant country, or ignorance, affords an equally strong presumption of the non-existence of any title on the other. **USUDOOLLAH v. IMAMAN**

[5 W. R., P. C., 26; 1 Moore's I. A., 19]

19.**Proof of title.—**

Registration in Collector's books.—Registration in the Collector's books is not of itself a proof of title. **GOBIND NATH SEIN v. GOBIND CHUNDER SEIN**

[10 W. R., 393]

AMEERROONISSA BIBEE v. WOOMAROODDEEN MAHOMED CHOWDHRY . . . 14 W. R., 49

20.**Entry in Collector's books.—Proof of title.**

—The Collector's book is kept for purposes of revenue, not for purposes of title, and the fact of a person's name being entered in the Collector's book as occupant of land does not necessarily of itself establish that person's title, or defeat the title of any other person. **FATMA KOM NUBI SAHEB v. DARYA SAHEB**

[10 Bom., 187]

COLLECTOR OF POONA v. BHAYANBAV BALKRISHNA . . . 10 Bom., 192

SANGAPA MALAPA v. BHIMANGOWDA MARIAPA [10 Bom., 194]

21.**Co-proprietors.—**

Registration of shares in land.—Registration of land under Bengal Act VII of 1876 is not only no conclusive proof, but no evidence at all, upon the question of title of a proprietor so registered. Such registration does not relieve a plaintiff from the onus of proving his title to land claimed by him. **RAM BHUSAN MAHTO v. JEBLI MAHTO**

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 853]

See also **SARASWATI DAS v. DHANPUT SINGH** [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431; 12 C. L. R., 12]

TITLE—continued.**1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE**
—continued.**(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Evidence of title—continued.****22.***Mirasi title.—*

Payment of rent.—Presumption.—Continuous payment of rent for about a hundred years held to give rise to a presumption that the tenant held under a mirasi title. **BRAJANATH, KUNDU CHOWDHRY v. LAKHI NARAYAN ADDI** . . . **7 B. L. R., 211**

23.*Title confirmed*

by decree.—Where a proprietary title is affirmed by a decree, the property is not subsequently held under the decree alone, but under the original title. **AMBIT KOOR v. ROOP KOOR**

[**2 N. W., 459; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240**]**(b) LONG POSSESSION.****24.***Title by long*

possession.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—Twelve years' continuous possession of land by a wrong-doer not only bars the remedy and extinguishes the title of the rightful owner, but confers a good title upon the wrong-doer. *Semble.*—Such title may be transferred to a third person whilst it is in course of acquisition, and before it has been perfected by possession. **GOSSAIN DASS CHUNDER v. ISSUR CHUNDER NATH** . . . **I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224**

See GOLUCK CHUNDER MASANTA v. NUNDO COOMAR ROY

[**I. L. R., 4 Calc., 689; 3 C. L. R., 450**]**25.***Title by long pos-*

session.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—Grant made by wife during absence of husband.—A wife, during the prolonged absence of her husband, who was erroneously supposed to be dead, acting in excess of the limited powers of a wife in possession of her absent husband's property, made a mirasi grant of a portion of her husband's estate. The grantee entered into and remained in possession for upwards of twelve years. *Held* that the position of the grantee was not that of a lessee, and that his possession (although in its inception an act of trespass against the husband) having continued for upwards of twelve years, had perfected his title to the lands. One who holds possession on behalf of another does not, by mere denial of that other's title, make his possession adverse, so as to give himself the benefit of the statute of limitation. **BEJOY CHUNDER BANERJEE v. KALLY PROSONNO MOOKERJEE** . . . **I. L. R., 4 Calc., 327**

26.*Declaration of*

title.—Adverse possession.—Variation between pleading and proof.—A declaration of title may be made upon proof of twelve years' adverse possession. Such declaration cannot, however, be given on a title not distinctly stated in the plaint or in the issues. **SHIBO KUMARI DEBI v. GOVIND SHAW TANTI** . . . **I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418**

TITLE—continued.**1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE**
—continued.**(b) LONG POSSESSION—continued.****Evidence of title—continued.****27.***Suit for declara-*

tion of title.—Failure to prove stated title.—Title by long possession.—In a suit for a declaration of title to a share of landed estate, although the plaintiffs fail to satisfy the Court that their title to the land has been acquired in the way they state, yet if it is admitted that they have been in possession for more than twelve years, the effect of such possession is to extinguish other titles, if these existed, and the plaintiffs ought to have the declaration sought. **RAM LOCHUN CHUCKERBUTTY v. RAM SOONDER CHUCKERBUTTY** . . . **20 W. R., 104**

JUGGUT CHUNDER v. BANEE MADHUB BANERJEE
[**23 W. R., 205**]

28.*Proof of title.—*

Possession for period of limitation.—Plaintiff stating that he was obstructed in the cultivation of certain land which belonged to him, asked that the obstruction be removed and damages granted. The damages were disallowed, but the Civil Judge made a declaration of title in the plaintiff's favour, basing that entitlement on the statute of limitations. *Held* that where a man seeks a declaration of a title other than the possession which he has, mere possession for the period of the statute will not justify the declaration, which, allowing it to be made, ought to be based upon a finding of the title alleged by plaintiff, and not upon the existence of a possession for the period required by the statute to bar the action of another. Accordingly, the lower Appellate Court was required to return a finding on the issue "whether the title asserted by plaintiff is proved." **TRU-MALASAMI REDDI v. RAMA SAMI REDDI**

[**6 Mad., 420**]**29.***Proof of title.—Suit for decla-*

ration of title.—Adverse possession.—Case made in plaint.—Where a specific title has been alleged, but not proved, and the plaintiff endeavours to succeed in the first Court or second Court of Appeal upon a title by twelve years' adverse possession, he must be prepared to show that this other title by twelve years' adverse possession was raised in the Court of first instance with sufficient clearness to enable his adversary to understand that he claimed to succeed as well by twelve years' adverse possession as by the specific title alleged. **KRISHNA CHURN BAISACK v. PROTAB CHUNDER SURMA** . **I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560**

30.*Adverse posses-*

sion.—Unregistered deed of sale.—On the 18th January 1876 plaintiff became purchaser at a Court's sale of the right, title, and interest of *G.* and *N.* in a shop, and, having been obstructed by defendant in obtaining possession of it, sued to recover it from him. The plaint was filed on the 27th January 1877. Defendant answered that he purchased it from *G.* under a deed of sale dated 5th January 1865, and that he had been in possession since that day. The deed of sale was not admitted in evidence

TITLE—continued.**1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE**
—continued.**(b) LONG POSSESSION—continued.****Proof of title—continued.**

for want of registration, but it was found that defendant had been in possession as owner since 5th January 1865. *Held* that, although the defendant could not prove a title by purchase, it was open to him to establish his title without the aid of the deed of sale; that his possession of the premises for more than twelve years prior to the institution of the suit was adverse both to *G.* and *N.*, and that the claim of the plaintiff, who was assignee of their interest, was consequently barred. *Balaram Nemchand v. Appa*, 9 Bom., 121, explained; *Somu Gurukul v. Rangammal*, 7 Mad., 13, referred to and followed. *SAMBHUBHAI KARSANDASS v. SHIVLALDASS SADA*. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 89

31.

Long possession.—Liability to assessment of revenue.—A title to hold land free from assessment to revenue cannot be acquired by any length of possession revenue-free. *SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL v. RAM UGRAH SINGH*. I. L. R., 7 All, 140

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

32.

Right to raise question of title.—Boundary dispute.—Suit for possession.—In a boundary dispute the title of the plaintiff is not, except under very peculiar circumstances, open to attack; but when the plaintiff sues for possession of property in the defendant's hands, not as forming part of another estate, but claiming a right thereto under a superior title, then the defendant has a right to call the plaintiff's title in question. *RAMCHUNDER BANERJEE v. MUDDUNMOHUN TEWARRIE* [W. R., 1864, 355

33.

Suit for possession of land held under superior holders.—The plaintiff sued to recover possession of certain lands said to have been included in a talooka pottah given him by the zemindars, alleging that the defendants were obstructing his possession. For the defence it was averred that these lands fell within a 9 annas share which belonged to one *D.*, and that by process of sale they became the right of other parties under whom defendants held as lessees. *Held* that, notwithstanding the parties to this suit held under a superior landholder, plaintiff was entitled to have his title put in issue and determined. *NAGUB CHAND v. DOORGA DOSS CHOWDREY* [11 W. R., 137

See DINOMONEE BANERJEE v. GYRUTOOLLAH KHAN [2 W. R., 138

34.

Onus probandi.—Proof of title.—Suit for confirmation of title and declaratory decree.—When a plaintiff sues for confirmation of possession and seeks a declaratory decree, he must make out his title affirmatively. If the Indian Courts agree in holding that he has not done so,

TITLE—continued.**2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—continued.****Onus probandi—continued.**

even though the High Court may not have attended to the depositions of material witnesses, the Judicial Committee will not disturb the decision of the High Court. *TORAB ALLY v. MAHOMED TUKKER*

[19 W. R., P. C., 1

35.

Claim under particular title.—Presumption.—Where a plaintiff claims, not under any general right of inheritance, but expressly under a deed, he must prove that deed: no legal presumption as to the contents of the deed can arise from a consideration of what the party, through whom he claims, would have been entitled to by the law of inheritance, had there been such a deed. *MOOAD MULLICK v. BELAT MULLICK*

[9 W. R., 385

36.

Possession.—Proof of title and possession.—Suit for injunction.—Hindu law.—*K. C.*, a Hindu, died in March 1864, possessed, among certain other property, of a house, and leaving three sons, *R.*, *B.*, and *T.* He also left a will, of he which appointed *R.* executor, and declared that "the whole of my estate, both real and personal, and the existing shop, you, *R.*, are the proprietor of the whole." *R.* was directed to furnish the expenses of the household and carry on the shop, and pay for religious observances, &c. The testator then left legacies to his daughters and others, but made no mention of his sons *B.* and *T.* *R.* applied for probate of the will and a caveat was entered by *B.*, but the opposition was withdrawn on a compromise, and the will was proved; the compromise, however, was never carried out. In August 1866 *R.* died, leaving a son, *M.*, and his two brothers, *B.* and *T.*, surviving him, and having made a will appointing *T.* executor, and giving him the power of dealing with all the property. *T.* applied for probate, but was opposed by *M.*; but on 23rd May 1867 probate was granted. On 26th March 1867 *B.* and *T.* mortgaged a two-third share in the house to the defendant, and on default in payment of the mortgage-debt, the defendant obtained a decree for payment or sale on 6th January 1868. On 17th August 1867 *T.* mortgaged the whole house to the plaintiffs to secure payment of money borrowed to carry out *A.*'s will. The plaintiffs obtained a decree for foreclosure on 15th July 1869, and subsequently a decree for possession. In a suit brought by the plaintiffs in possession, alleging that the defendant's mortgage and decree threw a cloud on their title, and that they would be injured by the sale, the plaintiff prayed that the defendant might be restrained by injunction from proceeding to sale, and his mortgage be brought into Court and cancelled. *Held* that, to entitle them to relief, they must prove their title as well as their possession, and on failure to do so the suit must be dismissed. *ROOPALL KHETTRY v. MOHENDRO NATH ROY*

[10 B. L. R., 271, note

37.

False deed set up in support of rightful claim.—A party is not precluded from succeeding upon a title established by a genuine

TITLE—continued.**2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—continued.**

False deed set up in support of rightful claim—continued.

deed, because he sets up a false deed which, if treated as a conveyance and not as a mere confirmation, may be inconsistent with that title. *PATTABHATRAMI v. VENKATARAO NAICKEN*

[7 B. L. R., P. C., 136: 15 W. R., 35
13 Moore's I. A., 560]

38.

Transfer of property.—

Relinquishment of dur-mokurrari lease.—Necessity for conveyance.—Where a dur-mokurrari has been granted and then relinquished for valuable consideration to the grantors, no formal reconveyance is necessary to revest the title in the latter. *IMAMBANDI BEGUM v. KUMLESWARI PERSHAD*

[L. R., 13 I. A., 160: I. L. R., 14 Calc., 109]

TITLE OF SUIT, IRREGULARITY IN—

See HIGH COURT, JURISDICTION OF—HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA—CIVIL.

[2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245]

TITLE-DEEDS.

See CASES UNDER DEPOSIT OF TITLE—

— Delivery of, for specific purpose.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.

[15 B. L. R., AP., 15]

Possession of—

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MODE OF DEALING WITH EVIDENCE.

[2 W. R., P. C., 167
8 Moore's I. A., 4]

— Production of—

See INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS.

[5 Bom., O. C., 152
I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496
I. L. R., 11 Calc., 655
I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808]

See ONUS PROBANDI—DECLARATION OF TITLE . . . 6 B. L. R., 144

See TITLE—EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—GENERALLY . 6 B. L. R., 144

[19 W. R., 162]

Suit to recover—

See JURISDICTION—SUITS FOR LAND—GENERAL CASES.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322]

TITLES OF HONOUR.

See PLAINT—FORM AND CONTENTS OF PLAINT—DEFENDANTS.

[12 B. L. R., 443; 445, note]

TODA GARAS HAQ.

See DUTIES.

[2 Bom., 253: 2nd Ed., 239
7 Bom., A. C., 50]

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 144—INTEREST IN IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY.

[13 B. L. R., 254]

See PENSIONS ACT, 1871, ss. 3 AND 4.

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 203
I. L. R., 4 Bom., 443
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408]

See PENSIONS ACT, 1871, s. 11.

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 432]

TODDY.

See BOMBAY ABKARI ACT, 1878, s. 14 AND s. 24 . . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 398

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462]

See BOMBAY REVENUE JURISDICTION ACT, 1876 . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—REV- ENUE . . . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

TOLLS.

1. ——— Lessee of tolls.—Act VIII of 1851.—A lessee of tolls was held not to be a person employed in the management and collection of tolls within the meaning of Act VIII of 1851. IN THE MATTER OF BANKA BIHARI GHOSH

[2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 11 W. R., 26]

2. ——— Illegal collection of tolls.—Act VIII of 1851, s. 6.—Public road.—To justify a conviction under section 6, Act VIII of 1851, for illegal collection of a toll on a public road, it was necessary that the road should be a public road within the meaning of section 2 of the Act. IN RE NARENDRONARAIN SINGH. . . 6 W. R., Cr., 48

3. ——— Illegal demand of toll.—Act VIII of 1851, s. 6.—Summary offence.—A charge of an illegal demand of toll under Act VIII of 1851, section 6, ought not to be dealt with summarily under Chapter XVIII of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872. The power of levying tolls under Act VIII of 1851 is vested in the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, and is restricted to levying tolls only at the toll-bar: the establishment of a toll must be by some distinct resolution of the Government notified in some way or other by the Government. The word "extortionately" in section 6 of Act VIII of 1851 is not used in the same sense as it is used in the Penal Code, but as meaning an unlawful demand of toll accompanied by pressure, the pressure in this case being the exercise of the powers indicated in section 3 of the Act by seizing the complainant's horses and carts and detaining them until the toll was paid. *UTTOM CHUNDER GANGOOLY v. ISSUR CHUNDER MOOKERJEE*

[22 W. R., Cr., 76]

TORTS.

See CASES UNDER DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—TORTS.

TORTS—continued.

See CASES UNDER DAMAGES—MEASURE
AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—
TORTS.

TORTURE.

See ABETMENT—TORTURE.

[7 W. R., Cr., 8
21 W. R., Cr., 11]

See POLICE OFFICER . 7 W. R., Cr., 3

TOTAL LOSS.

See INSURANCE—MARINE INSURANCE.

[6 B. L. R., 218: 7 B. L. R., 347
3 Bom., A. C., 1
Bourke, O. C., 17, 228]

TOWAGE, LIEN FOR—

See BOTTOMRY BOND . 6 B. L. R., 323

TOWAGE CONTRACT.

See ACTION IN REM.

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 865]

TOWING, RULES FOR—

See STEAM-TUGS . . . 1 Hyde, 293
[2 W. R., P. C., 51: 8 Moore's I. A., 103]

TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY.

Act XIX of 1844.—Suit to a tax on cotton and cotton seeds purchased in, and exported from, Broach.—Cess illegal.—Agency.—Trust.—The plaintiff, manager and part proprietor of a Vallabhlacharya temple at Broach, sued the defendant to establish the right of the temple to levy a cess on cotton and cotton seed purchased in Broach and exported from it. The defendant denied the plaintiff's right and contended (*inter alia*) that, even if the right existed until 1844, it was then abolished by Act XIX of that year, which "enacted that from the first day of October 1844 all town duties, kusubviras, mohtarphas, baluti taxes, and cesses of every kind on trades and professions, under whatsoever name, levied within the Presidency of Bombay, and not forming a part of the land revenue, shall be abolished." *Held* that Act XIX of 1844 applied to the cess claimed by the plaintiff. The expression "cesses of every kind" included the cess on cotton and cotton seeds, and absolutely put an end to the right, if any existed, of the Government or of any private individual of levying the same. *Held*, also, the suit could not be regarded as a suit for money had and received by the defendant to the plaintiff's use, or as one to recover money received by the defendant as trustee or agent. *GOSVAMI SHRI PURUSHOTAMJI MAHARAJ v. ROBB* [I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398]

TRADE, CONTRACT IN RESTRAINT OF—

See CASES UNDER CONTRACT ACT, s. 27.

TRADE MARK.

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT
OF DAMAGES—TORTS.

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 617]

See INJUNCTION—SPECIAL CASES—TRADE
MARK. . . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 4

Cor., 150

1. ——— Injunction to restrain use of trade marks.—*Combination of figures.*—The plaintiffs, from 1872, imported and sold an article described as 7½ lb grey shirtings, and marked as follows: "In the centre of each piece of cloth a stamp in blue colour of a turtle in a star, with the words 'trade mark;' underneath, in a semi-circular form, is the name 'Fleming, Galbraith, & Co., Manchester,' and under this the number 89 within a star, and at the bottom of each piece the number 2008." In 1877 the plaintiffs discovered that the defendants were importing from the same manufacturers, and selling cloth of a similar quality marked as follows: "A stamp in blue colour of a rose in a square; underneath are the words 'Ralli and Mavrojan!' arranged in a semi-circular form, and under this the number 39 in a star, and at the bottom the number 2008." On the facts of the case the lower Court (MACPHERSON, J.) granted an *interim* injunction to restrain the defendants from so marking their cloth, on the ground that it was a colourable imitation of the plaintiffs' mark and calculated to mislead the public; and on appeal the Court (GARTH, C. J., and MARKBY, J.) upheld that decision so far as to continue the injunction. *Held per* GARTH, C. J.—If the imitation of the plaintiffs' marks generally, or the use of the number 2008 in particular, would be calculated to deceive or mislead the public, the defendants ought to be restrained from such use or imitation. Under the circumstances the use of their marks by the defendants would be calculated to deceive the public into the belief that they were purchasing goods imported by the plaintiffs. *Per* MARKBY, J.—The number 2008 was not part of the plaintiffs' trade mark proper: nor on the evidence was it so associated with the plaintiffs' name as to indicate to the public that the goods bearing that number came only from the plaintiffs' firm as importers; on the evidence it was merely a quality mark, and therefore not calculated to mislead the public into the belief that they were purchasing the plaintiffs' goods while in fact they were purchasing those imported by the defendants. *Semble*,—There may be a right to exclusive use of a trade mark by traders who are importers only. *RALLI v. FLEMING*

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 417: 2 C. L. R., 93]

2. ——— Right to use of trade mark.—*Rival traders.—Similarity of name.*—No trader importing goods can lawfully adopt a trade mark which is calculated to cause his goods to bear in the market the same name as those of a rival trader. *TAYLOR v. VIRASAMI* . . . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108

3. ——— Restraining use of trade mark.—*Evidence of fraud.*—The ground upon which a person is restrained from using another's trade mark is that he is gaining an advantage by the use of a particular trade mark which is the property

TRADE MARK.—Restraining use of trade mark—continued.

of another. It is not necessary to prove intentional fraud, or to show that persons have been actually deceived. It is sufficient if the Court be satisfied that the resemblance is such as would be likely to cause the one mark to be mistaken for the other. *EWING v. GRANT, SMITH, & Co.* . 2 Hyde, 185

BALFOUR & Co. v. KILBURN & Co.

[1 Hyde, 270]

4. ——— Possession and use of trade mark.—*User in foreign market.—Abandonment.—Estoppel by conduct.*—Such possession and use of a trade mark in one market as to constitute a right in it, establishes in the owner thereof an exclusive right to that trade mark in other markets, although the owner may not have used it in such markets. To constitute a mark a trade mark, it must have been adopted as a symbol devised to distinguish a particular class of goods as the goods of that class manufactured or selected by a particular manufacturer or merchant. Where the plaintiffs by their conduct led the defendant to believe that they claimed no right to a certain trade mark, and that it was open to the defendant to adopt it as his own, and the defendant did adopt it, and by his industry secured a wide popularity for it in the Indian market.—*Held* that the plaintiffs were estopped from denying the defendant's right to use the trade mark in the Indian market. *LAVEGNE v. HOOPEE*

[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149]

TRADER.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 7.

[I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411]

I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 60.

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 1

2 Hyde, 1, 177

7 Bom., O. C., 22

TRADESMAN'S ACCOUNT.

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, PRESIDENCY

TOWNS—JURISDICTION—GENERALLY.

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570]

TRANSFER BY ENDORSEMENT.

See STAMP ACT, 1869, ss. 34, 41.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347]

Instrument of—

See STAMP ACT, 1869, SCH. II, ART. 38.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 399]

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE.

Col.

1. GENERAL CASES 6013

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13 6019

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER 6022

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Power to transfer.—*Mad. Reg. IV of 1816, s. 26—Village Munsif.—Jurisdiction.—*

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Power to transfer—continued.**

In a suit under Regulation IV of 1816, the defendant having objected to the Village Munsif trying the suit on the ground of personal hostility, the Munsif transferred the suit to another Village Munsif. *Held* that this transfer was illegal. *Per HUTCHINS, J.—Semble*,—In such a case the Village Munsif should report the facts to the District Court, and the District Judge should transfer the case for trial to another Village Munsif. *LAKSHMAKKA v. BALI*

[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500]

2.

Transfer to Munsif of Small Cause Court suit.—A suit within the cognisance of the Small Cause Court cannot be lawfully transferred for trial to a Munsif's Court. *JOGBRAJ CHOWKEEDAR v. WHELAN*

[13 W. R., 399]

3.

Case remanded for local inquiry.—A case remanded to a District Judge for the purpose of a local inquiry cannot be transferred to a Subordinate Judge for disposal. *CHOWDRI HAMEDOOLLAH v. MUTEECONISSA BIBEE*

[15 W. R., 574]

4.

Power of Judge to transfer case under Act XVI of 1868.—A Judge had no authority under Act XVI of 1868 to order a Subordinate Judge to try proceedings in execution of a decree which were a portion of the original civil suit tried by himself. *AFTABOODDEEN AHMED v. MOHINEE MOHUN DOSS* 15 W. R., 48

5.

Beng. Civil Courts Act, VI of 1871, s. 19.—Though a Subordinate Judge may very properly, if he find the subject-matter of a suit to be of the value of less than Rs. 1,000, transfer it for trial to a Munsif, yet a Subordinate Judge is empowered under section 19, Act VI of 1871, to try causes of any value. *SUFEEOOLLAH SIEGAR v. BEGUM BIBEE* 25 W. R.,

6.

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Withdrawal of suits from subordinate Courts.—Remand by higher Court.—Fresh suit.—The power given by section 6 of Act VIII of 1859 to a Zillah Judge for the withdrawal of suits from subordinate Courts should only be exercised upon cause shown, and ordinarily not without opportunity given to the parties to the suit to be heard upon the question. The terms of section 6 were inapplicable to suits which the subordinate Court had received by order of remand from a Court to which the District Court was itself subordinate. A suit sent by the High Court to a subordinate Court under a remand to the High Court by Her Majesty's order in Council, and in which, under the Council's remand order, the plaint has been amended, a new statement filed, and new issues framed, is substantially a new suit. *MAHOMED ZAHOOB ALI KHAN v. RUTTA KUNWOOR* 2 N. W., 481

7.

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Transfer of part-heard case to be

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Power to transfer—continued.**

completed in another Court.—Section 6 of Act VIII of 1859 did not authorise the taking a case in progress of trial off the file of a Subordinate Judge in order that it might be completed by the Judge himself, or some other Court. It is clear that such transfer must take place on the institution of the suit. **RAM NATH v. GOWHUR** **2 N. W., 230**

DUMREE SAHOO v. JUGDHAREE . **13 W. R., 398**

ABDOOL HYE v. MACRAE . . . **23 W. R., 1**

8. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.*—*Transfer after evidence has been taken.*—*Quære.*—Whether a case could be transferred from one Court to another, under section 6, after the evidence had been taken in the former Court. **AS-MEDH KUNWAR v. TAYLER. KHORSHED ALI v. TAYLER** **W. R., 1864, 15**

9. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.*—*Suit brought whilst Court is closed for vacation.*—The Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Thana being closed during vacation, a plaint which, under section 6 of the Civil Procedure Code, ought to have been instituted in that Court, was, by the order of the District Judge, referred for trial to the Assistant Judge, entered in the register of suits in the Judge's Court, and tried by the Assistant Judge. *Held*, reversing the decree of the District Court in appeal, that it was not lawful for the Judge to refer the suit, without its having first been instituted in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court. **MOTILAL RAMDAS v. JAMNADAS JAVEDAS** . . . **2 Bom., 42; 2nd Ed., 40**

10. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.*—*District Court.*—*Power to receive plaint when lowest Court closed.*—Where a plaintiff presented a plaint to the District Court, the Subordinate Judge's Court, in which he ought to have presented it, being then temporarily closed, it was held that the District Court could not be considered a Court of first instance, competent to receive the plaint. The decision in *In re Ganesh Sadashiv*, 5 Bom., A. C., 117, overruled; and **Motilal Ramdas v. Jamnadas Javedas**, 2 Bom., A. C., 42, followed. **RAMAYA ELAPA v. MUHAMADBHAI** . **10 Bom., 495**

11. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 5 and 6.*—*Jurisdiction.*—*Held* that though both suits were properly cognisable by the Court at Cawnpore, yet the Sudder Court's order, which it was competent to pass under section 6, Act VIII of 1859, gave jurisdiction to the Principal Sudder Ameen of another district, whose decision was not liable to be set aside for want of jurisdiction, in reference to the provisions of section 5 of that enactment. **RAM BUX v. GIRDHAREE LALL**

[1 *Agra*, 178

12. *Evidence recorded by one officer and decision given by another.*—A suit for enhancement was filed under Act X of 1859, in the Court of a Deputy Collector. The issues were

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Power to transfer—continued.**

framed and the evidence recorded by an Assistant Collector, apparently not invested with the powers of a Deputy Collector, who wrote a report recommending the mode in which the suit should be disposed of. It was then disposed of by another Deputy Collector who was probably acting at the time as Collector. *Held* that there was no power to transfer the case, and that the procedure by which the suit was heard by one officer and decided by another was illegal. **HURDYAL OOPUDHYA v. MAHOMED NAEEM** [1 *N. W.*, Part II, p. 19: *Ed.* 1873, 79

13. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 5 and 6.*—Where a District Court had jurisdiction under section 5, Act VIII of 1859, to try a suit, and defendant made no application to the Judge, or communication to the plaintiff, with a view to its being tried in a different district, the case was held to be not one for the exercise of any special power by the High Court for that purpose. **KRISTO DASS KOONDOL v. ISSUR CHUNDER CHOWDHRY** [11 *W. R.*, 189

14. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.*—*Notification giving jurisdiction as Small Cause Court.*—*Power to transfer pending cases.*—Where, on 3rd March 1870, the Government issued a notification under sections 4 and 5, Madras Act IV of 1863, investing the Additional Principal Sudder Ameen of Mangalore with exclusive jurisdiction to try Small Cause suits for sums under Rs500 within the jurisdiction of the District Munsif,—*Held* that the Munsif had no power after the notification to transfer to the Principal Sudder Ameen an application pending before himself at the date of the notification under section 6 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859, the notification not being retrospective in its operation. **NARAYANA MALYA v. GOVIND SHETTY** **6 Mad., 18**

15. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 13.*—*Power of Sudder Courts.*—Section 13, Act VIII of 1859, enacted that, where a suit was brought for immovable property situated within districts subject to different Sudder Courts, the Judge in whose Court the suit was brought should apply to the Sudder Court to which he was subject for authority to proceed, and the Sudder Court to which the application was made, with the concurrence of the other Sudder Court within whose jurisdiction the property was partly situated, might give authority to proceed. But no power was expressly given in the section cited, or elsewhere in the Act, to direct the transfer of a suit brought in a Court subordinate to one Sudder Court to a Court subordinate to another Sudder Court. *Quære.*—Whether Sudder Courts acting in concurrence had power to make such a transfer. **SKINNER alias NAWAB MIEZA v. ORDE** [1 *L. R.*, 2 *All.*, 241

16. *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 13.*—*Family domains of the Maharaja of Benares.*—*Held*, following S. A. No. 969 of 1877, decided the 14th December 1877, that the

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Power to transfer—continued.**

provisions of section 13 of Act VIII of 1859 were not applicable in a case in which a portion of the immoveable property was situate within the limits of the family domains of the Maharaja of Benares, those domains not constituting a district within the meaning of that section. **RAGHU NATH DASS v. KAKKAN MAL** . . . **I. L. R., 3 All., 568**

17. ————— Civil Procedure

Code, 1877, s. 24.—Place of suing.—grounds for transfer.—Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code does not empower a High Court to transfer a suit instituted within its own jurisdiction to the jurisdiction of another High Court, but only to declare in which Court a suit shall proceed, and, if necessary, to stay all further proceedings within its own jurisdiction. The defendants in a suit instituted at Mainpuri, who resided and carried on business at Surat, applied under section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code that the suit might be tried at Surat, on the ground that it would be tried with greater convenience to them at that place. *Held* that there being no balance in favour of either justice or convenience on the side of the Surat Court, the suit should proceed at Mainpuri. **TULA RAM v. HARJIWAN DASS**

[I. L. R., 5 All., 60]**18. ————— Civil Procedure**

Code, 1877, s. 25.—Power of High Court.—The High Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case under section 25 of the Code of Civil Procedure unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. **PEARY LALL MOZOOMDAR v. KOMAL KISHORE DASSIA**

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30]**19. ————— Civil Procedure**

Code, 1877, s. 25.—Transfer from Court in which a suit has been wrongly instituted.—A suit for the infringement of certain inventions, instead of being instituted in the Court having, by virtue of section 22 of Act XV of 1859, jurisdiction to entertain it, was instituted in a Court subordinate to such Court not having such jurisdiction. The Court having jurisdiction to entertain such suit, at the joint request of the parties, transferred it for trial to itself under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and tried it. *Held* in the High Court that, inasmuch as the parties had assented to the transfer of the suit, and its transfer brought it into the right Court, the fact that the suit had been originally instituted in the wrong Court did not render the transfer illegal, and the Court having jurisdiction had properly tried the suit. **PERMAN v. BULL** . . . **I. L. R., 5 All., 371**

But held by the Privy Council (reversing this decision) that under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code the superior Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. **Peary Lall Mozoomdar v. Komal Kishore Dassia**, **I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30**, approved. A suit having been instituted in the Court of the Subordinate Judge, who was incompetent to try it, the case was transfer-

TRANSFER OF CIVIL**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Power to transfer—continued.**

red by consent of parties to the Court of the District Judge for convenience of trial. *Held* that such transference was incompetent, and that such consent did not operate as a waiver of the plea to the jurisdiction which was taken in the defendant's written statement and subsequently insisted upon. **LEDGARD v. BULL**

[I. L. R., 13 I. A., 134: I. L. R., 9 All., 191]**20. ————— Civil Procedure**

Code, 1882, s. 331.—Claim below ordinary pecuniary limit.—By virtue of section 647 of the Code of Civil Procedure, a superior Court may, for sufficient cause, transfer a claim, registered under section 331, to a subordinate Court for trial. **SITHALAKSHMI v. VYTHILINGA** . . . **I. L. R., 8 Mad., 548**

21. ————— Reasons for trans-

fer.—Amending issues.—Procedure on transfer.—The mere transfer of a suit for the convenience of the public, or for the acceleration of business, from one subordinate Court to another, does not affect the authority of the Judge of the District Court to transfer it to his own file, or to another Court, or to re-transfer it, if he see sufficient cause for so doing; nor would the circumstance that a case had been up on appeal to the High Court on a preliminary point, and been remanded for a trial on the merits, limit the authority of the District Court Judge to bring it upon his own file, or to transfer it to the file of a Court other than that in which it was instituted. The omission of the Judge to assign his reason for transferring the case does not vitiate his proceeding. When a Judge transfers a case to his own file, he is at liberty to amend the issues first laid down, and to raise additional issues, and to go into the whole case, except upon any question upon which there has been a judicial finding. **TABUCKNATH MOOKERJEE v. GOUREE CHURN MOOKERJEE** . . . **3 W. R., 147**

22. ————— Procedure on transfer.—Evi-

dence of witnesses.—Where a suit which was filed originally before a Principal Sudder Ameen, who had fixed the issues and recorded the evidence of witnesses, is transferred by a Judge to his own file, the Judge, his Court being a Court of original jurisdiction, ought to have the witnesses before him and take their evidence *de novo*. **UNNOPOORNA v. HUBBULUB SINGH** . . . **8 W. R., 465**

23. ————— Civil Procedure

Code, 1882, s. 25.—Court to which suit is transferred not taking fresh evidence.—Where the trial of a suit was commenced by a Subordinate Judge and then transferred by the District Judge to his own file under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and the latter did not retake the evidence, but dealt with the case as it came to him from the Subordinate Judge and dismissed the suit,—*Held* that the District Judge had not tried the case within the meaning of section 25 of the Code. **BANDHU NAIK v. LAKHI KUAR**

[I. L. R., 7 All., 342]**24. ————— Case referred to**

arbitration.—Power of Judge to decide after trans-

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Procedure on transfer—continued.**

fer.—A case having been withdrawn by the Judge, for trial in his own Court, from the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, where it had already been referred to arbitration,—*Held* that the Judge was quite competent to decide the case himself, without necessarily being bound also to refer it to arbitration. **ABOO MAHOMED v. KISHEN MOHUN SURMA**

[6 W. R., 290]

25.**Application for transfer.—**

Transfer of several separate suits.—*Separate applications.*—Where it is desired to have a number of suits transferred, a separate application should be made in each case for transfer. **KISHOREE LAL v. LUCHMUN DOSS**

[2 N. W., 147]

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13.**26.****Transfer to High Court.—Ju-**

risdiction of High Court, Calcutta.—*Sessions Court, Allahabad.*—The High Court at Calcutta had no jurisdiction over the Court of the Sessions Judge at Allahabad, such Court not being subject to the superintendence of the High Court under the 13th section of the Charter. **GREAT EASTERN HOTEL COMPANY v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA**

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219]

27.**Ground for trans-**

fer.—*Prejudice to interests of party.*—A suit will not be removed from a Zillah Court in which it was instituted, to the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court, unless it be clearly shown that the interests of the party petitioning for such removal will be prejudiced by a non-removal. **BORRADAILE v. GREGORY**

[Bourke, Ex. O. C., 1]

28.**Power to trans-**

fer.—*Grounds for transfer.*—*Inconvenience.*—*Expense.*—The 13th section of the Letters Patent (1865) of the High Court at Fort William gives the Court power to order a suit to be transferred for trial only where the transfer is agreed on by the parties, or for the purposes of justice; and in the absence of agreement it must be made out that there will be inconvenience amounting to this, that, if the case be tried in the Court in which it was originally laid, the trial will be unsatisfactory. The mere fact that it would be less expensive to try the case in the High Court is not sufficient of itself for the Court to act upon and order the case to be transferred. **OJODERAM KHAN v. NOBINMONEY DOSSEE**

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396]

29.**Ground for trans-**

fer.—*Nature of questions for disposal.*—*Conduct of Judge.*—On an application under the Letters Patent, 1865, clause 13, for the removal of a suit,—*Held* that, having regard to the whole circumstances connected with the case from the beginning, the questions to be disposed of, and the conduct of the Judge before whom the proceedings were, it was proper and necessary for the purposes of justice that the suit should

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13—continued.****Transfer to High Court—continued.**

be removed. **THAKOOR KAPILNATH SAHAI DEO v. GOVERNMENT**

[10 B. L. R., 168]

30.**Ground for trans-**

fer.—*Nature of questions for disposal.*—*Local prejudice.*—The Court refused to transfer a case from the mofussil, where there were, among other alleged reasons, suggestions that the plaintiff's case might be prejudiced by being tried in the mofussil, and that difficult and intricate questions of law would arise in the case, the Court not being satisfied by the evidence that such reasons existed. **COURJON v. COURJON**

[9 B. L. R., 10]

31.**Ground for trans-**

fer.—*Consent of parties.*—*Expense.*—A suit for an account and for other relief relating to immovable property situated without the local limits of the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court, was instituted against several defendants in the Court of the Subordinate Judge of the district within which the property was situated. Upon a petition by one of the defendants, consented to by most of the other defendants and by the plaintiff, the High Court ordered the suit to be removed from the Court in which it had been instituted, to be tried and determined by the High Court as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, on the grounds that the parties and the witnesses resided in Calcutta, that it would be cheaper to try the suit in Calcutta, and that all parties appearing on the motion desired a transfer. **PAYN v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL**

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 766; 6 C. L. R., 221]

32.**Ground for trans-**

fer.—*Difficult questions of English law in case.*—The Court will order a suit to be removed from the mofussil, and tried in the High Court, when difficult points of English law arise, and when generally it appears to be an unfit case to be tried in the mofussil. **DOUCETT v. WISE**

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94]

33.**Ground for trans-**

fer.—*Questions of English law.*—*Parties.*—*British subjects and residents of Calcutta.*—Where a case was originally tried by a Zillah Judge, and on appeal to the High Court on its appellate side the Judges of that Court remanded it to the Court below for a fresh trial, intimating that it was a proper case to be transferred under clause 13 of the Letters Patent constituting the High Court; and where it appeared that questions of English law were involved in the case, that the witnesses and parties were chiefly British subjects, and the plaintiff an officer of the High Court and resident in Calcutta, the Court ordered the case to be transferred for trial to the High Court, original jurisdiction. **DOUCETT v. WISE**

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227]

34.**Ground for trans-**

fer.—*Sale in execution of decrees.*—*Order winding up company.*—On 25th October 1870 a petition for the winding up of the *B. T. E. Company* of

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13**
—continued.**Transfer to High Court—continued.**

Assam was presented to the Court of Chancery in England by one of the shareholders of the Company, and a provisional liquidator was appointed. On 5th November, at an extraordinary meeting of the Company, it was resolved that the Company should be wound up, and liquidators were appointed. On 12th November, the petition for winding up came on for hearing, and an order was made that the voluntary winding up should continue subject to the supervision of the Court. On 18th November, by deed under the hands and seals of the liquidators, *M.* was appointed their attorney in India. On 27th October certain immoveable properties in Assam belonging to the Company were attached in execution of decrees in certain suits in the Court of the Munsif of Debrogurh. On 9th December the properties were put up for sale, and purchased at prices which it was alleged were considerably under their value. Applications were made in the Munsif's Court at Debrogurh by the purchasers for confirmation of the sales, which applications were opposed by *M.*, and pending the Munsif's decision an application was made to the Deputy Commissioner of Luckimpore for an order to stay all proceedings in the decreesuits, on the ground of the order for winding up the Company of 12th November, which application was refused on 15th February 1871. On 16th February 1871 the Munsif made an order confirming the sales. *M.* thereupon petitioned the High Court for the removal of the suits from Assam to the High Court, to be tried in its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, on the ground that no appeal would lie against the order of 15th February, refusing to stay the proceedings in the suits; and that if an appeal should be preferred to the Deputy Commissioner from the order of the Munsif confirming the sales, his decision would be final. The application was opposed on behalf of the purchasers. *Held*, the Munsif not having had notice of the winding-up order of 12th November, had power to sell the property on 9th December, and the sale having actually taken place, and there being nothing to show that there was any irregularity in the proceedings, the High Court would have no power, if the cases were brought down, to set aside the sale. This, therefore, was not a proper case for the exercise of the power which the High Court possesses under clause 13 of the Letters Patent. *IN THE MATTER OF DECREE-SUITS IN THE COURT OF MUNSIF OF DEBROGHUR* . . . **7 B. L. R., 305**

35. *Law governing case.*—Where a suit was originally instituted in the Hooghly Court, and *H. S.*, who was a defendant, and not subject to the jurisdiction of that Court, joined in an application to have the case tried by the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, which application was granted,—*Held per PHEAR, J.*, that the suit must be treated as if the plaintiff had been originally filed in the High Court, the proceedings in the Hooghly Court being without jurisdiction, and the cause of action having

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.**2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13**
—continued.**Transfer to High Court—continued.**

arisen wholly within the jurisdiction of the High Court. *Held* on appeal by *PEACOCK, C. J.*, and *MACPHERSON, J.*, that the defendant *H. S.*, by joining in the application to have the suit removed to the High Court, admitted the jurisdiction of that Court to try the suit in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, and could not afterwards dispute the jurisdiction. The law, therefore, to be administered by the High Court must be the same law and equity which ought to have been applied if the suit had been tried in the Court at Hooghly. *Per MACPHERSON, J.*—The law which would have been applicable to the case if it had been tried at Hooghly, is practically the same as the English law, whatever may be the nationality of the parties. *GROSE v. AMRITAMAYI DAS*

[**4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13**

36. *Application for transfer.*—Before whom application should be made. An application to the High Court to remove a case from a District Court, and to try it as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, under section 13 of the Charter, should be made to a Judge sitting on the Original Side of the Court. *DOUCETT v. WISE* . . . **4 W. R., Mis., 7**

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

37. *Expense, inconvenience, or other good reason.*—*Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), s. 23.*—*Practice.*—Section 23 of Act XIV of 1882 is only intended to provide for those cases where, on the ground of expense or convenience, or some other good reason, the Court thinks that the place of trial ought to be changed. Parties desirous of obtaining the transfer of a case from one forum to another ought clearly to explain to the Court by petition and affidavit what is the nature of the claim and defence; they should further state what are the issues and the evidence required, and then satisfy the Court that, either on the ground of expense or convenience, or otherwise, the place of trial ought to be changed. *KHATJA BIBI v. TARUK CHUNDER DUTT*

[**I. L. R., 9 Calc., 980: 13 C. L. R., 182**

38. *Portion of property in another jurisdiction.*—*Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 23.—Procedure.*—The fact that a portion of property, the whole of which is sued for in the Court of the Munsif of A., is of less value than the remaining portion which is within the jurisdiction of the Munsif of B., is no sufficient ground for an application under the Code of Civil Procedure, section 23, for a transfer to the latter Court. A party applying under section 23, Act X of 1877, must first of all give notice to the other party or side; the application should then be received by the Munsif and transmitted to the High Court through the District Court. *PURBUNJOTE v. DEON PANDAY* . . . **2 C. L. R., 352**

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE.

Col

1. GENERAL CASES 6023
2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29 6027
3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER 6028

See APPEAL IN CRIMINAL CASES—ACTS—
BURMA COURTS ACT.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 667

See CASES UNDER COMPLAINT—POWER
TO REFER TO SUBORDINATE MAGIS-
TRATE.

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ———— **Power to transfer.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 178.*—Reference to *High Court.*—*Burma Courts Act (Act XVII of 1875), s. 80.*—The Local Government has no power, under section 178 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to transfer for trial to the Court of a Commissioner a criminal case duly committed for trial to the Court of the Recorder of Rangoon; but the Local Government has the power to transfer a case from the district of Rangoon to the Sessions division of Pegu. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. NGA THA MOUNG*

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643

2. ———— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526.*—*District Magistrate and Civil and Sessions Judge (quâ Magistrate) of Bangalore subordinate to High Court.*—The District Magistrate and the Civil and Sessions Judge of the civil and military station at Bangalore are Magistrates subordinate to the High Court at Madras within the meaning of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The High Court, therefore, has power to transfer a case from the Courts of those Judges to any other Criminal Court. Under the circumstances disclosed, the High Court transferred this case. *SCOTT v. RICKETTS*. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 356

3. ———— *Power of High Court, Bombay.*—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526—Act III of 1884, s. 11.*—*Cantonment Magistrate, Secunderabad.*—The High Court of Bombay having been vested by notification of the Governor General of India in Council, No. 178, of 23rd September 1874, with original and appellate criminal jurisdiction over European British subjects, being Christians, resident, amongst other places, at Secunderabad, outside the Presidency of Bombay and within the territories of His Highness the Nizam of Hyderabad, the Cantonment Magistrate of Secunderabad in his character of a District Magistrate is subordinate to the High Court in criminal matters relating to Christian European British subjects in Hyderabad within the contemplation of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Act X of 1882, as amended by Act III of 1884, section 11; and the High Court possesses, by virtue of the appellate jurisdiction so vested in it, the power of transferring a criminal case pending in the Cantonment Magistrate's Court either to itself or to any Criminal Court of equal or superior jurisdiction. The High Court by an order under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code

**TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—con-
tinued.****1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Power to transfer—continued.**

(Act X of 1882) transferred the present case of defamation from the Court of the Cantonment Magistrate at Secunderabad to the High Court for trial, on the ground that no machinery for a trial by jury existed at Secunderabad. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. EDWARDS*. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 333

4. ———— *Power of High Court, Bombay.*—*Aden Act II of 1864.*—*Transfer of case from Court of Political Resident at Aden.*—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526.*—A prisoner charged with having committed murder at Perim was committed by the Magistrate there on the 26th August 1885, for trial before the Political Resident at Aden, by whom he was convicted and sentenced to death on the 14th September 1885. On the 26th January 1886, the High Court of Bombay reversed the conviction and sentence, on the ground that the Court of the Resident had no jurisdiction over the Island of Perim, and that the Resident, not having been appointed a Judge of a Court of Session for that island, was not competent to try the prisoner. The High Court ordered a re-trial before a competent Court. On the 10th February 1886 the Government of Bombay issued the notification (No. 823) above set forth. On the 11th March 1886 an application was made to the High Court of Bombay for the transfer of the case to another Court of Session or to the High Court for trial. *Held* that Perim is a Sessions Division, and that, after the establishment, under the Code of Criminal Procedure, of a Court of Session for the Perim Sessions Division and the appointment of the Resident at Aden as Sessions Judge of that Court, the accused stood properly committed to a Court of Session. The High Court, therefore, could transfer the case from that Court, under section 526 of the Code, to any other Court of equal or superior jurisdiction, or to the High Court of Bombay. *Per BIRDWOOD, J.*—The High Court cannot, under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), any more than under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), direct the transfer of a case, which is not properly before a subordinate Court of competent jurisdiction, to receive and try it. *Peary Lall Mozoomdar v. Komul Kishore Dossia*, I. L. R., 6 Cal., 30, followed; *Queen-Empress v. Thaku*, I. L. R., 8 Bom., 312, distinguished. *Per JARDINE, J.*—After the High Court had annulled the proceedings in the Court of the Resident at Aden as without jurisdiction, the case could not be treated as still pending in his Court; and as there was no Court of Session in existence at the time of the commitment, it necessarily followed that the case remained in the Magistrate's Court. But, whether the case was considered as pending in the Court of a Magistrate or of a Resident, or of a Sessions Judge, the High Court had the power to transfer it, and that under the circumstances the case should be so transferred to the High Court for trial. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. MANGAL TRECHAND*. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 274

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.**

5. ———— Transfer to High Court.—*High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, X of 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526) and s. 115.*—“Case” referred to High Court.—Reference to Police Magistrate.—*Semble*,—That the “case” mentioned in section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, X of 1875, must refer to some question in the nature of a criminal proceeding, and not to a matter of a quasi-civil character, such as the reference to a Police Magistrate contemplated in section 115. *R&G. v. RAMADAS SAMALDAS. EX PARTE MADAVJI DHAR-RAMSI* 12 Bom., 217

6. ———— High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526).—“Other proceeding.”—Commitment, Application to quash.—24 & 25 Vict., c. 104, ss. 13 and 15.—The words “or other proceeding” in section 147 of Act X of 1875 did not include a commitment, and an application to have a commitment quashed could be entertained under the provisions of that section. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF CHAROO CHUNDER MULLICK. CHAROO CHUN-DEE MULLICK v. EMPRESS*

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 387]

7. ———— Acquittal.—*Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 181.—Calcutta Municipal Act (Bengal Act IV of 1876), ss. 75, 79.*—The powers of interference given to the High Court by section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, were not intended to be exercised in the case of an acquittal by the Magistrate, but only in the case of convictions or other orders whereby a defendant is aggrieved or injured. *CORPORATION OF CALCUTTA v. BHEECUNNAM NAPIT alias BHEEUN NAPIT* . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 290

8. ———— High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526).—Notice to prosecutor.—Penal Code, ss. 292 and 294.—Specific charge.—*Procedure on transfer to High Court.*—In an application for the transfer of a case under section 147, Act X of 1875, in which the prisoner has been convicted and is undergoing imprisonment, it is in the discretion of the Court to order, for sufficient *prima facie* cause shown, that the case be removed without notice to the Crown. *Semble*,—A charge under sections 292 and 294 of the Penal Code should be made specific in regard to the representations and words alleged to have been exhibited and uttered, and to be obscene; and the Magistrate, in convicting, should in his decision state distinctly what were the particular representations and words which he found on the evidence had been exhibited and uttered, and which he adjudged to be obscene within the meaning of those sections. Where no such specific decision has been given, the High Court, when the case has been transferred under section 147, Act X of 1875, may either try the case *de novo*, or dismiss it on the ground that the Magistrate has come to no finding on which the conviction can be sustained. *QUEEN v. UPENDRONATH DOSS*

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356]

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Transfer to High Court—continued.**

9. ———— High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—*Transfer of case before Magistrate.*—Power to issue *mandamus*.—A charge was made against the accused of using criminal force under section 141 of the Penal Code. The Police Magistrate heard the evidence for the prosecution, and, without disbelieving it, decided it did not amount to the offence charged. *Held* that, assuming that an error of law had been committed, the High Court had no power to issue a *mandamus* to the Magistrate to commit the defendants; it was not a case where the Magistrate had declined jurisdiction; he had exercised his jurisdiction and heard the case. *Held*, also, it was not a case which the Court could transfer under section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act. *IN THE MATTER OF THE EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF MALCOLM v. GASPER* . . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 279

10. ———— High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—*Case transferred to High Court.*—Refund of fine on quashing conviction.—Notes of evidence taken by Magistrate.—The High Court had no power, under section 147, Act X of 1875, to order a fine to be refunded on quashing a conviction. The Court in this instance decided whether the case should be transferred under section 147 on the notes of the evidence taken by the Magistrate at the trial. *QUEEN ON THE PROSECUTION OF MORAD ALI v. JEEBUN BUX*

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354]

11. ———— High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—Costs.—Police Magistrates.—Notes of evidence.—In a case transferred to the High Court under section 147, Act X of 1875, the Court had no power to give costs. *Semble*,—The case may be transferred after final determination by the Magistrate. Notes of the proceedings before them should be taken in all cases by the judicial officers of all Criminal Courts subject to the Act. *IN THE MATTER OF LOUIS. IN THE MATTER OF BENGAL ACT VI OF 1866*

[15 B. L. R., Ap., 14]

12. ———— Power of District Magistrate.—Power to call for case.—*Procedure when, having called for it, he finds it out of his jurisdiction.*—The Magistrate of the district has authority to call up to his own Court any criminal case without limitation as to the stage of proceeding at which it may be called. If the Magistrate, having in the exercise of his authority withdrawn any case, finds that it did not come within the jurisdiction of his Magistracy, he would not merely be competent but bound to refuse to proceed further with the case. *VILAEETEE KHANUM v. MEHER ALI*

[24 W. R., Cr., 4]

13. ———— *Held* that, although the Magistrate of a district is competent to order the removal of any particular case from the

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Power of District Magistrate—continued.**

file of a subordinate Court to his own, it is doubtful whether he can by general proceeding direct the transfer of cases which have no existence, and which are not pending before any of his subordinates. **GOVERNMENT v. GIRDHARE LALL**

[1 Agra, Cr., 24

14. Application for transfer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Power of Judge acting on English committee.—An application for the transfer of a case under section 64 of the Criminal Procedure Code should be made, not by letter to the English Department of the High Court, but before the Court in its judicial capacity, and should be supported by affidavits or affirmation in the usual way. **QUEEN v. ZUHIRUDDIN**

[1 L. R., 1 Calc., 219: 25 W. R., Cr., 27

15. Notice of transfer.—Subordinate Magistrates.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 48.—Notice to the parties before the transfer is made.—Before a Magistrate of a district can transfer a case from a Court subordinate to him to any other subordinate Court, notice of such intended transfer should be served upon the parties, so as to enable any or either of the parties to come forward and show cause why such transfer should not be made. **IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF TEACOTTA SHEKDAR. TEACOTTA SHEKDAR v. AMBER MAJEE**

[1 L. R., 8 Calc., 393: 10 C. L. R., 399

16. Transfer of partly-heard case.—Hearing of evidence.—Where a case which has been partly heard by one officer is transferred to another officer for trial, the latter should hear all the evidence in the case before deciding it. **KOPIL NATH SAHI v. KONEERAM**

14 W. R., Cr., 3

QUEEN v. KULLIAN SINGH . 2 N. W., 468

The High Court, however, declined to interfere in a case of this sort, as the prisoners did not appeal or raise any objection to the trial on this ground. **KOPIL NATH SAHI v. KONEERAM**

[14 W. R., Cr., 3

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29.**17. Transfer to High Court.—**

Power to transfer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Section 29 of the Letters Patent of 1865 empowers the High Court to transfer for trial before itself an appeal to a Court of Session from the sentence of a District Magistrate, and this power was not affected by section 64 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, which authorised the High Court to transfer an appeal from one subordinate Court of criminal jurisdiction to another. **SITAPATHI NAYUDU v. QUEEN**

[1 L. R., 6 Mad., 32

18. ———— Power to transfer.—“Competency” to investigate case.—The con-

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.**2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29—continued.****Transfer to High Court—continued.**

struction of clause 29 of the Letters Patent, 1865, is, that the High Court has power, if in its discretion it thinks right to exercise it, to transfer the investigation or trial of any criminal offence committed in Calcutta to a mofussil Court, which is otherwise competent to try it, or to direct the trial by the High Court of an offence committed in the mofussil. “Competent to investigate it” does not include competency as regards local jurisdiction, but only competency with regard to the offender, the nature of the offence, and the punishment. **QUEEN v. NABADWIP GOSWAMI**

[1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 15: 15 W. R., Cr., 71, note

19. ————

Power to transfer.—Power of single Judge on original side of High Court.—On an application made for the transfer of a case from the Sessions Court at Patna for trial by the High Court at Calcutta, on the grounds mainly that all but one of the charges against the prisoners were for offences committed in Calcutta; that the selection of Patna as the place of trial was calculated to prejudice the prisoners; that the police at Patna were getting up the case against the prisoners by improper and illegal means; that by these means was created such a feeling of dread and insecurity among the witnesses and others in Patna as would prevent a fair trial from taking place there; that some of the witnesses for the defence, although willing to give evidence in Calcutta, refused to go to Patna to give evidence; and that many difficult points of law were likely to arise at the trial; but these allegations were denied by the affidavits filed in opposition to the application,—**Held (MACPHERSON, J., doubting), the High Court had power under clause 29 of the Letters Patent to transfer the case for trial by itself.** The Court, however, refused the application, on the ground that a sufficient case had not been made out for the exercise of the power of the Court. **Per PHEAR, J.**—A single Judge, sitting on the original side of the Court, has power to entertain an application for the removal of a criminal case from a Court in the mofussil to the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original criminal jurisdiction. **QUEEN v. AMBER KHAN**

[7 B. L. R., 240: 15 W. R., Cr., 69

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

20. ———— Nature of grounds for transfer.—Transfer from one Magistrate to another.—The High Court will not, except on very strong and very clear grounds, transfer a case from one Magistrate's Court to that of another Magistrate. **IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SHANKAR ABAJI HOSHING. REG. v. SHANKAR ABAJI HOSHING**

6 Bom., Cr., 69

21. ————

Probability of unfair trial.—Transfer from one Magistrate to another.—It is only when there is reason to suppose that the prisoner

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.**3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER—continued.****Probability of unfair trial—continued.**

will not have a fair trial that the High Court will transfer a case from one magisterial officer to another. *QUEEN v. KISTO CHUNDER GHOSE*

[2 W. R., Cr., 58]

22. ————— Proof of grounds for transfer.—*Grounds necessary to obtain transfer when application is opposed by accused.*—Before the transfer of a case from one Criminal Court to another can be made, in cases in which the accused objects to the transfer, the prosecution must bring forward the very best evidence to prove that a fair trial cannot be had in the district in which the case is ordinarily triable. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF THE LEGAL REMEMBRANCE. EMPRESS v. NOBO GOPAL BOSE*

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491]

23. ————— Prosecution initiated by Magistrate.—*Conviction before same Magistrate.*—*Transfer of appeal from Magistrate to Sessions Judge.*—Where the Magistrate of the district had procured the initiation of a number of prosecutions against the same person, and one of them which had resulted in conviction came up before him in appeal, the High Court, considering that it was not altogether seemly that he should hear the appeal, ordered its transfer to the Sessions Judge. *RAMZAN ALI v. DURPO KOMILLA* . 24 W. R., Cr., 58

24. ————— Judge forming premature opinion.—*Convenience.*—*Relieving judicial officer of case he wishes not to try.*—The High Court does not exercise its powers of transfer in a case of forgery or perjury solely on the ground that the Judge who is to try the case has formed an opinion that the document has been forged or the perjury committed. But when the transfer can be made without risk of any improper interference with the course of justice, and without much inconvenience to the parties and witnesses, the transfer would be proper, not only as a fair concession to the accused person, but as a means of relieving the Judge from a position which he would himself desire to avoid. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ARUNACHALLA REDDI* 5 Mad., 212

25. ————— Illegal procedure by Magistrate.—*Magistrate antagonistic to accused.*—*Power of High Court.*—Where the procedure in the case of a person charged with an offence was found to be irregular and illegal, and the Magistrate was prejudiced and antagonistic to the prisoner, the High Court made an order (as in the *Bancoorah case*, 4 B. L. R., Ap., 1) to transfer the proceedings to be tried by another officer appointed or deputed by the Government of Bengal to try the case. *ABDOOL KADIR KHAN v. MAGISTRATE OF PUENEAH* [11 B. L. R., Ap., 8 : 20 W. R., Cr., 23]

26. ————— Judicial officers interested in case.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.*—*Road Cess case.*—*Transfer of appeal for trial.*—

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.**3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER—continued.****Judicial officers interested in case—continued.**

Where it appeared that the only officers in the district of P. otherwise competent to hear an appeal from a conviction for theft of property alleged to have belonged to the Road Cess Committee of the district were, by reason of their connection with that committee, interested in the result of the appeal, the High Court directed that the petition of appeal, together with all papers connected therewith, should be forwarded to the Sessions Judge of the 24-Per-gunnahs, to be dealt with as an appeal presented in his own Court. *IN THE MATTER OF DWARKA NATH BANERJEE* 6 C. L. R., 279

27. ————— Magistrate expressing opinion unfavourable to accused.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 36.*—*Transfer by Magistrate.*—Although section 36 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not require a Magistrate to state his reasons for transferring a criminal case from a Court subordinate to him to his own or to any other subordinate Court, the High Court set aside an order of a Magistrate transferring a case after the Subordinate Magistrate, before whom it was, had taken the evidence for the prosecution, and had expressed an opinion unfavourable to the prosecution. *QUEEN v. NOBOCOMAR BANERJEE* 14 W. R., Cr., 12

28. ————— Jurisdiction.—*Place of commission of offence.*—*Transfer of preliminary investigation.*—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 64 and 69.*—The High Court, under sections 64 and 69 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, directed the preliminary investigation in this case, in which the accused was charged with criminal breach of trust, to be held in Calcutta, the place where the offence charged was, if not wholly, at all events partly, committed. *QUEEN v. MACDONALD* 22 W. R., Cr., 6

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.**See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.**

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554]

————— Erection of building for school on land.—*Gift of building for a school.*—*Position of managers of school.*—*Suit by managers for trover and trespass.*—Where a party who, partly with his own funds and partly with Rs100 subscribed by the village, erected a building for a school, never gave the property to the school, and never even acquiesced in the managers of the school entering upon it,—*Held* that the managers entered upon it as trespassers, and that although the proprietor acquiesced in their having taken possession, he did not thereby convey any property in the school to the subscribers, and was not bound to repay that portion of the money which he expended himself in building the house, or to do more than return that portion of the funds which were subscribed by the village. *SREE-HURRY ROY v. HILLS* 7 W. R., 476

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY—continued.**Erection of building for school on land—continued.**

S. C. on reference on original trial
[8 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21

while transferor is out of possession.

See **VENDOR AND PURCHASER—BILLS OF SALE** . . . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111

1. ——— **Sale while vendor is out of possession.**—*Right of purchaser to sue for possession.*—It is the practice of the Courts in this country to give effect to sales of property made by persons out of possession, and to recognise the title of the purchaser to maintain a suit. **RUNNOO PANDAY v. BUKSH ALI** . . . 3 N. W., 2

KUMUROODDEEN v. BHADOO . . . 11 W. R., 134

AULOCK MONEE DOSSIA v. AULOCK MONEE DEBIA . . . 25 W. R., 48

FRANKEISHNA DEY v. BISWAMBHAR SEIN
[2 B. L. R., A. C., 207
11 W. R., 81

2. ——— *Right of purchaser to sue for possession.*—*Want of consideration.*—Alleged purchasers whose vendors were not in possession, and who have paid nothing for what is said to have been sold to them, are not competent to maintain a suit for possession of the property in dispute. **BISHONATH DRY ROY v. CHUNDER MOHUN DUTT BISWAS** . . . 23 W. R., 165

See **TARA SMOONDORREE CHOWDHRAIN v. COLLECTOR OF MYMENSINGH**

[13 B. L. R., 495: 20 W. R., 446

3. ——— *Right of purchaser to sue for possession.*—The current of High Court decisions on the question whether a purchaser from a party not in possession is competent to maintain a suit to recover the land, is in favour of the right to bring a suit. **BISSESSUR DOSS v. JOYKISHORE DOSS** . . . 25 W. R., 223

4. ——— *Wrongful disposition of vendor.*—*Right of vendee to sue for possession.*—Where a conveyance of property was made by a person who had been in possession and enjoyment for years before she was wrongfully ousted, the conveyance was held to give a right to sue for immediate possession. **BIKAN SINGH v. PARBUTTY KOORE**

[22 W. R., 99

NITTANUND GOSSAIN v. SHAMA CHURN CHATTERJEE . . . 23 W. R., 163

See **GUNGA HURRY NUNDEE v. RAGHURAM NUNDEE** . 14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 181

5. ——— *Right of assignee to sue for possession.*—*Third parties.*—*Requisite proof.*—An assignee of property, of which the assignor was not in possession when the assignment was made, can only recover, even from the hands of third persons, upon showing that he would have a right to enforce specific performance of his contract against

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY—continued.**Sale while vendor is out of possession—continued.**

his assignor if the property were come back to the hands of the assignor. **BOODHUN SINGH v. LUTERFUNG** . . . 22 W. R., 535

6. ——— *Right to specific performance after purchase of right to sue.*—Where a purchaser of a right to sue for possession brings a suit for specific performance, and it is not shown that he has left undone anything necessary to entitle him to what he claims, it must be taken in special appeal that the plaintiff is entitled to insist on specific performance of his contract with his vendor. **LALLA SABIL CHAND v. GOODUR KHAN**

[22 W. R., 187

7. ——— *Suit by assignee for possession.*—*Effect of bill of sale.*—The assignees, R., K., and G., of certain property brought against the assignor, L., and others, a suit to obtain possession of a portion of assigned property of which he, L., never had possession, and to obtain a declaration of right of ownership to the other portion already in the possession of one or more of themselves. *Held* that as L., at the time when the assignments were made, was not in either actual or constructive possession, he was unable thereby to pass the property, and that the bill of sale was only evidence of a contract to be performed in future upon the happening of a contingency. **RAM KHELAWUN SINGH v. OUDH KOORE** . . . 21 W. R., 101

And see **BOODHUN v. BOODHUN SINGH**

[21 W. R., 156

8. ——— *Suit by assignee for possession.*—*Validity of transfer.*—The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of certain land, claiming under a *karinama* executed to them by one Mutyawa. The defendants contended that Mutyawa had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as Mutyawa was not in possession at the time when the *karinama* was executed, the plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court,—*Held*, reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Court, that the circumstance of Mutyawa's not having been in possession at the time the *karinama* was executed did not prevent the plaintiffs from recovering possession from the defendants. **Kalidas v. Kanhaya Lal**, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121: L. R., 11 I. A., 219, referred to and followed. **UGARCHAND MANACKCHAND v. MADAPA SOMANA**

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 324

9. ——— *Sale in execution of decree.*—*Assignment by purchaser who has not obtained possession.*—Upon a sale in execution of a decree the property in the thing sold passes to the purchaser; and there is nothing in either the Hindu or the English law which debars a third person from taking an assignment of such property from the auction-purchaser, albeit it has not been reduced into possession by him. **GOVIND KAGUNATH v. GOVIND JAGOJI** . . . I. J. R., 1 Bom., 500

TRANSFER OF

ued.

10. Hypothecation of property without possession.—Incomplete title.—Held that the hypothecation of property to which a judgment-debtor had not acquired absolute title was incomplete and insufficient to create a valid and perfect lien in favour of the mortgagee enforceable by law against the actual possessor. **HERCHUND SINGH v. RAM SINGH** . . . **I. L. R., 1 Agra, 286**

11. Lease granted while lessor is out of possession.—A valid lease cannot be granted by a person not in possession of the lands leased. **TIRRY v. KRISTO MOHUN BOSE. HOVEN-DEH v. AKBAR ALI** . . . **L. R., 1 I. A., 76**

12. — Rights of lessee. — Suit for possession.—A transfer of property of which the transferor is not at the time of the transfer in possession, is not *ipso facto* void. Where a putnidar, while out of possession of the putni estate, granted a dur-putni thereof,—Held that the dur-putnidar's suit against third persons, who were in possession of the estate, to recover possession, would lie, it appearing that the plaintiff had paid an adequate consideration for the dur-putni, and that the dur-putni pottah was not evidence of a contract to be performed in future on the happening of a certain contingency, or that if it were so, that the plaintiff had done all he was bound to do to entitle him to specific performance of the agreement by the putnidar. **LOKE-NATH GHOSE v. JUGOBUNDHOO ROY**

[**I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297**

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882).

See **LEASE—CONSTRUCTION.**

[**I. L. R., 7 Bom., 256**

See **MORTGAGE—FORECLOSURE—DEMAND AND NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE.**

[**I. L. R., 8 All., 388**

s. 2.

See **s. 10** . . . **I. L. R., 7 All., 516**

1. — Mortgage executed before Act came into force.—Assignment of after Act in operation.—The provisions of the Transfer of Property Act apply to the assignment of a mortgage made after that Act came into force, although the mortgage may have been made before the commencement of that Act. **LALA JUGDEO SAHAI v. BRIJ BEHARI LAL** . . . **I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505**

2. — and ss. 67, 86.—Suit for foreclosure of mortgage.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.—Procedure.—Act I of 1868 (General Clauses Act), s. 6.—A mortgagee by conditional sale, under an instrument executed while Regulation XVII of 1806 was in force, and before the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, which repealed that Regulation, came into force, sued, after the repeal of that Regulation, for foreclosure of the mortgage, not having proceeded in accordance with the provisions of section 8 of that Regulation. Held (STUART, C. J., dissenting) that the procedure of that section

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 2, and ss. 67, 86—continued.

was not saved by clause (c) of section 2 of the Transfer of Property Act, but the provisions of that Act were applicable to the suit. **GANGA SAHAI v. KISHEN SAHAI** . . . **I. L. R., 6 All., 262**

3. and ss. 67, 86.—Mortgage-decree.—Execution of decree.—A decree-holder, who had obtained a decree in the year 1880 against his judgment-debtor, declaring his title in certain mortgaged properties and authorising a sale, sought, after several previous applications keeping the decree alive, to execute his decree again on the 15th April 1885. The judgment-debtor objected, on the ground that no suit had been instituted or decree obtained under section 67 of the Transfer of Property Act as directed by section 99. Held that section 99 of that Act was not intended to apply to decrees already obtained declaring a lien and authorising a sale, but even assuming that it was so intended, section 2 of the Act saved the right of the decree-holder to obtain a sale of the mortgaged properties. **Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 262, distinguished. **DINENDRA NATH SANNYAL v. CHANDRA KISHORE MUNSHI****

[**I. L. R., 12 Calc., 496**

gage.—Conditional sale.—Suit for possession on foreclosure.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.—The procedure laid down in the Transfer of Property Act may be applied to the case of foreclosure of a mortgage executed before the Act came into operation, provided it be so applied as not to affect the rights saved by section 2, clause (c) of the Act. Where, therefore, under the provisions of Regulation XVII of 1806, notice of foreclosure had been served on a mortgagor by conditional sale, the mortgage having been executed and the foreclosure proceedings taken before the Transfer of Property Act came into force, and after the expiry of the year of grace the money not having been paid, the mortgagee instituted a suit for possession on foreclosure, and when such suit was defended by a third party who had purchased the mortgaged property at an execution sale and obtained possession before the commencement of the foreclosure proceedings, and the necessary notice had not been served upon him,—Held that it was competent to the Court to apply the procedure prescribed by the Transfer of Property Act and grant the mortgagee a decree in the terms of section 86, substituting the period of "one year" for the period of "six months" therein mentioned. **Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 622, referred to. **PERGASH KOER v. MAHABIB PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH** . . . **I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582****

5. Mortgage.—Foreclosure, Suit for.—Mortgage by conditional sale.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806.—Procedure.—Statute, Construction of.—Where a suit is brought, after the date of the Transfer of Property Act, for the foreclosure of a mortgage dated previous to the Act, the procedure to be followed is that given by the Transfer of Property Act; the procedure of Regulation XVII, 1806, not being saved by section 2 (clause c)

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 2, cl. (c), and s. 86—continued.

of Act IV, 1882. *Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai*, I. L. R., 6 All., 262, approved. *Per WILSON, J.*—It is a general rule in construing statutes that in matters of substantive right they are not to be so read as to take away vested rights, but that in matters of procedure they are general in their operation. There is nothing in the Transfer of Property Act from which it can be beyond reasonable doubt concluded that the Legislature intended to depart from this settled principle of legislation. *Per TREVELYAN, J.*—There is a clear distinction between “relief” and the mode or procedure for obtaining such relief. The “relief” remains unaffected by a change of procedure. The “rights and liabilities” of a mortgagor and mortgagee, and the “relief” in respect of such rights and liabilities, are the same under Act IV of 1882 as they were before. A different procedure for enforcing such rights and obtaining such relief has, however, been adopted by the Transfer of Property Act. *BHOBO SUNDARI DEBI v. RAKAL CHUNDER BOSE*

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 583]

1. — s. 10.—*Married Woman's Property Act (III of 1874), s. 8.*—*Restraint on anticipation.*—Section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act merely excepts from the general rule laid down in section 8 of the Married Woman's Property Act, III of 1874, the particular case of a married woman, and does not give to a restraint upon anticipation any greater force than it had before the passing of the Act, but merely preserves to it the effect it had previously, leaving the Married Woman's Property Act of 1874 and the decisions upon it untouched. *HIPPOLITE v. STUART* . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522

2. — and s. 2.—*Condition against alienation.*—*Inheritance.*—*Deed of compromise.*—*Beng. Civil Courts Act, VI of 1871, s. 24.*—In a suit for possession of certain shares in certain villages, a compromise was effected between the plaintiffs and B., the defendant. The terms of the compromise were embodied in a deed, the terms of which were (*inter alia*) as follows: “The said B. will hold possession as a proprietor, generation by generation, without the power of transferring in any shape. The following shares recorded in B.'s name shall not be transferred or sold in auction in payment of any debt payable by the said B., and in the event of their being transferred or sold, such transfer will be invalid, and the plaintiffs will then be entitled to set aside that transfer, and to obtain possession.” B. obtained possession of the shares allotted to him by the compromise. Subsequently, certain creditors of B. attached the shares referred to in the deed in execution of a decree obtained against the heirs of B. for money lent to B. on a bond, which he had executed while in possession of the shares, and in which he made a simple mortgage of them. The representatives of the plaintiffs in the suit in which the compromise was made objected to the attachment. *Held* by *OLDFIELD, J.*, that the deed of compromise passed an absolute estate to B. and his heirs to which the law annexed a power of transfer, and that, in reference to section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act,

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 10 and s. 2—continued.

the stipulation against alienation on B.'s part, or against sale by auction in execution of decrees against him, was void. *Per MAHMOOD, J.*—That the rule contained in section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act was not binding upon the Court in this case, inasmuch as the question was one of succession or inheritance, to be governed by section 24 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act; that it was for those objecting to the attachment to show that, under the Hindu law, the rights of B. in the property ceased to exist at his death, or that his estate devolved upon them free of his debts; that, the Hindu law being silent on this subject, the principles of justice, equity, and good conscience must be applied, to which, so far as transfer was concerned, effect was given by section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act; that the restriction imposed by the deed of compromise upon B.'s powers of alienating the absolute estate which it conferred upon him were opposed to the policy of the law and could not be recognised; and that B. must be held to have had an absolute estate which would devolve upon his heirs, and which could be sold in execution of decrees for his debts. *The Tagore Case*, 9 B. L. R., 377, referred to. *BHAIBO v. PARMESHWI DAYAL* . I. L. R., 7 All., 516

— ss. 10, 11.

'ee RIGHT OF SUIT—CONTRACTS OR AGREEMENTS . I. L. R., 8 All., 452

— ss. 41, 48.

See N.-W. P. RENT ACT, s. 7.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 409]

— s. 51.

See DECREE—FORM OF DECREE—MORTGAGE . I. L. R., 8 All., 502

s. 52.

v s. 135 . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297

— *Registered and unregistered documents.*—*Transfer of property “pendente lite.”*—*Act III of 1877 (Registration Act), s. 50.*—B. held a decree for the sale of property which had been mortgaged to him by an instrument which was not compulsorily registrable and was not registered. N. purchased the same property *pendente lite* by a registered deed of sale. *Held* that there was here no competition between a registered and an unregistered instrument to which section 50 of the Registration Act could apply; and that N.'s purchase was, by section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, subject to the decree passed in B.'s favour. *BHAGWAN DAS v. NATHU SINGH* . I. L. R., 6 All., 444

s. 53.

See REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 50.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 540]

— s. 54.

See PRE-EMPTION—CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 482, 626]

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 54—continued.*Optional registration.—Per*

GARTH, C. J.—Section 54 of the Transfer of Property Act virtually abolishes optional registration. *NARAIN CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY v. DATARAM ROY* . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597; 10 C. L. R., 241

— s. 58.

See PRE-EMPTION — CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 258, 343

— s. 59.

See COMPROMISE—COMPROMISE OF SUITS UNDER CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE.

[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103

— s. 62.

See MORTGAGE—REDEMPTION—MODE OF REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORECLOSURE . I. L. R., 8 All., 402

— s. 65.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443

— s. 67.

See s. 2 . . . I. L. R., 6 All.,
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

— s. 68.

See MORTGAGE—POSSESSION UNDER MORTGAGE . . . I. L. R., 6 All., 298

— s. 76.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443

See MORTGAGE—ACCOUNTS.

[I. L. R., 6 All., 303

— s. 84.

See MORTGAGE—REDEMPTION—MODE OF REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORECLOSURE . I. L. R., 8 All., 502

— s. 86.

See s. 2.
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436, 505, 583
I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582
I. L. R., 6 All., 262

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 135.

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 614

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—IRREGULARITY—GENERAL CASES . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346

— s. 87.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—IRREGULARITY—GENERAL CASES . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346

— s. 88.

See EXECUTION OF DECREE—MODE OF EXECUTION—MORTGAGE.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 194

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882)—continued.

— s. 95.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 148.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 295

— s. 99.

See s. 2 . . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

— s. 100.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 148.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 295

— ss. 106, 111.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—EJECTMENT —NOTICE TO QUIT.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 596, 599

— s. 118.

See PRE-EMPTION — CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ . I. L. R., 7 All., 626

— s. 123.

See ATTACHMENT—SUBJECTS OF ATTACHMENT—ANNUITY OR PENSION.

[I. L. R., 6 All., 634

1. s. 131.—*Transfer of debts.—Notice of transfer.—Assignment of mortgage.—Mortgagor, Liability of, to assignee of mortgagee when no notice of assignment given.*—An assignment is perfectly valid though the notice referred to in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act has not been given, though the title of the assignee as against third parties is not complete until such notice has been given; the object of such notice being the protection of the assignee. Section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act makes no alteration in the law as it obtained in England previous to the passing of that Act and as laid down in the cases cited in the note to *Ryall v. Rowles*, 2 W. & T. L. C., 777, the first portion of the section merely fixing the time when the section comes into operation, and the latter providing for the protection of the debtor if he deals with the debt before that time. Where, therefore, an assignee of a mortgagee brought a suit on the mortgage against the mortgagor and the mortgagee, and no notice of the assignment had been given to the mortgagor under section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act,—*Held* that the Court was wrong in dismissing the suit merely on the ground that no notice was served, as after the suit was instituted the mortgagor became aware of the assignment, and the transfer accordingly came into operation on the date when he thus became aware of it. *LALA JUGDEO SAHAI v. BRIJ BEHARI LAL* . . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505

2. *Decree.—Debt.*—A decree is not a “debt” within the meaning of that word as used in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act. A “debt” under that section means an actionable claim, and not a claim which has already passed into a decree. *AFZAL v. RAM KUMAR BHUDRA*
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610

1. — s. 135.—*Transferee of a claim for matter value.—Recovery of full amount of debt.*—It

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 135—continued.

is not the object of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act absolutely to prevent a transferee, who has purchased a claim at a smaller value, from recovering the full amount of the debt due from the debtor. **GRISH CHANDRA v. KASHISATURI DEBI**

[I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145]

2. ——— *Right of Suit.—Suit to set aside a document.—Actionable claim.*—The co-sharers of a Hindu family, one of whom was a minor, owned certain immoveable property in Munshigunge near Dacca. In 1873 a perpetual lease of this property, executed by all the co-sharers except the minor, was granted to certain persons hereinafter called the lessees. On the minor's behalf the lease was executed by his elder brother as guardian of the minor. In May 1882, the minor, who had previously attained his majority, sued the lessees and his co-sharers for a declaration of his right to and for possession of his share in the said property, alleging that the perpetual lease was not binding on him. On the day after the institution of the suit the plaintiff sold all his interest therein to A. for Rs. 600. *Held* that A.'s purchase was an actionable claim within the meaning of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act. **RAJANIKANTH v. RAI CHOWDHURI v. HARI MOHAN GUHA**

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 470]

3. ——— and s. 52.—*Sale of immoveable property by person out of possession.—Actionable claim.*—A transfer of ownership of immoveable property is not a sale of an actionable claim, although the owner at the time of the sale may not be in possession. A. and B. being owners of an 8 annas share of certain immoveable property sold it under a kotala to C. and D. At the time of the sale X. and Y. were in adverse possession of the share. *Held* that the transaction was a sale under section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, to which the provisions of Chapter VIII of the Act, specially those of section 135, were inapplicable. *Semble*,—Section 135 refers to claims for money of some kind or the like, although the money claim may be a charge on immoveable property. **MUDUN MOHUN DUT v. FUTTARUNNISSA**

[I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297]

TRANSFER OF SHARES, REGISTRATION OF—

See BANK OF BENGAL.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 392]

TRANSFER OF TENURE.

See BENGAL REGULATION VIII OF 1819.

[3 B. L. R., P. C., 48]

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY LANDLORD.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY—TRANSFER OF RIGHT.

See STAMP ACT, 1862, s. 14.

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 30]

TRANSFER OF

1. ——— *Grant for purpose of living on the land.—Per PEACOCK, C. J.*—If one man grants a tenure to another for the purpose of living upon the land, that tenure, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, is assignable. **BENI MADHUB BANERJEE v. JAI KRISHNA MOOKERJEE**

[7 B. L. R., 152; 12 W. R., 495]

Upholding, on appeal, **KEMP, J.**, in **BANEE MADHUB BANERJEE v. JOY KISHEN MOOKERJEE**

[11 W. R., 354]

2. ——— *Mokurrari tenure.*—It is necessary that a tenure should be mokurrari in order to be transferable. **HUROMOHUN MOOKERJEE v. JALUN-MONEE DASSEE**

1 W. R., 5

3. ——— *Surburakari tenure in Cuttack.—Consent of zemindar.*—The alienation of surburakari tenure in Cuttack is not practicable without the consent of the zemindar. **DOORJODHUN DOSS v. CHOOTA DAYE**

1 W. R., 322

4. ——— *Ryotwari tenure.—Consent of zemindar or talookdar.—Quare.*—Whether a transfer of a ryotwari tenure can be effected without the consent of the zemindar or talookdar, as the case might be, the immediate successor in estate. **SHIBESUREE DEBIA v. MOTHOORANATH ACHARJEE**

[13 W. R., P. C., 18; 13 Moore's I. A., 270]

TRANSFER, REGISTRATION OF—

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46.

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 7

10 W. R., 101

8 W. R., 138]

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TENANCY, &c.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE

13 B. L. R., 146

See SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—EFFECT OF SALE

9 W. R., 161

[7 W. R., 409

Marsh., 212

1 W. R., 138]

TRANSFERABLE TENURE.

See ACQUIESCENCE

7 B. L. R., 152

[10 B. L. R., Ap., 5

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See PATNI TENURE.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 437]

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY—TRANSFER OF RIGHT.

TRANSHIPMENT PERMIT.

See SEA CUSTOMS ACT, s. 128.

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 447]

TRANSPORTATION.

See SENTENCE—TRANSPORTATION.

Absence by reason of—

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 7.

[1 B. L. R., 8. N., 25

TREASON.

See WAGING WAR AGAINST THE QUEEN.

[7 B. L. R., 63

TREASURE TROVE.

1. ———— **Beng. Reg. V of 1817.—Hidden treasure.—Duty of finder of hidden treasure.—Rights of finder, zemindar, and Government.**—Some persons while digging a field in a certain zemindari found an earthen pot containing money. The finder and the zemindar both claimed to be entitled to the treasure, but the provisions of Regulation V of 1817, with regard to the finding of hidden treasure, were not complied with by them, and on this ground the Judge held they were not entitled to it. The Government claimed the money on the ground that the provisions of the Regulation had not been complied with, and it was made over to the Collector, an appeal to the High Court being dismissed. IN RE UMA CHARAN BANERJEE . . . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 3

S. C. OMA CHURN BANERJEE v. COLLECTOR OF HOOGHLY . . . 15 W. R., 525

2. ———— **s. 6 and s. 2.—Valuable property.—Ornament.**—The owner of the house where an ornament has been found concealed may, under section 6, Regulation V of 1817, retain possession of it as a "valuable property" under section 2, if no one else has substantiated any claim thereto. PREM MOYEE BEWAH v. NOBIN CHUNDER CHOWDHEY [4 W. R., Mis., 8

3. ———— **Mad. Reg. XI of 1832.—Right to idols discovered.—Right of owner of property.—Right of trespasser.—Omission to give notice to authorities.**—Certain idols and vessels of copper were discovered accidentally by one Shaik Mira and his brother, while digging for stones, in a masonry building underneath the ground in a rather elevated part of the bed of the tank of Anandur which belongs to the zemindari of Shivaganga. No intimation of the discovery was given by the finders to any public authority, but the Subordinate Magistrate, being informed of it by the police, proceeded to the spot and recovered the idols on the third or fourth day after they were found. They were then sent by the Magistracy to the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Madura to be dealt with under the provisions of Regulation XI of 1832. Proclamation inviting claimants was made and petitions asking for possession of the idols were presented by three parties—1st, by the Rani of Shivaganga, on the ground that she was trustee of the Devasthanams on her estate, on which the idols had been found; 2nd, by the Stanikam of a temple in the village of Anandur; and 3rd, by the finder. The Principal Sudder Ameen adjudged the idols to be the property of the Rani, and directed that they should be delivered to her. The finder appealed to the Civil Court, which re-

TREASURE TROVE.—Mad. Reg. [XI] of 1832—continued.

versed the decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen and directed delivery to the appellant. Against this order the Rani appealed to the High Court on the grounds—1st, that Regulation XI of 1832 only applies to cases in which the ownership of the property is undiscovered, and that, in the present case, the Rani was presumably the owner of the property found; 2nd, that a trespasser could not benefit by the finding. Held that the Rani had no title to what had been hidden in former times in the soil now belonging to her: that it had been found that these idols were hidden in a stone chamber specially appropriated to that purpose, and that she could not, therefore, claim a title as owner. As to the objection that the finder, being a trespasser, could not benefit,—Held that it was unnecessary to consider this objection unless the Rani had some right or title to the treasure, the same as she had in the soil of the tank: that she had not such right, and therefore that the contention as to the right to the property found lay between the finder and the State, which had made no claim. An objection, not before taken, was allowed to be argued at the hearing,—viz., that the formalities prescribed by the Regulation had not been complied with. Held that, though immediate notice had not been given by the finder, the property was within three or four days of its discovery in the hands of the authorities, who might be said, therefore, to have supplied the necessary notice. KATTAMA NATCHIAR (ZEMINDARNI OF SHIVAGANGA) v. MUHAMMAD MIRA RAVUTAN [7 Mad., 150

TREATIES.

1. ———— **Tenure of territory in Bombay.**—The nature and results of Governor Aungier's Convention stated, and the origin of "pension and tax" in Bombay traced. Treaty of the 23rd June 1661 between Charles II. and the King of Portugal considered. The treaty in 1664-65 by Mr. Humphry Cook with the Viceroy of Goa was entered into without authorisation by the Crown of England or the Crown of Portugal, was not ratified by either, was expressly repudiated by the former, and never was of any force. *Doe d. de Silveira v. Teixeira*, 2 Mor. Dig., 250, observed upon. NAOROJI BEHAMJI v. ROGERS . . . 4 Bom., O. C., 1

2. ———— **Cession of Bombay and Salsette to Portuguese.**—The treaty made between Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat and the King of Portugal on the cession of Bassein and its dependencies (including Bombay and Salsette) to the Portuguese referred to. SECRETARY OF STATE IN COUNCIL v. BOMBAY LANDING AND SHIPPING COMPANY [5 Bom., O. C., 23

See LOPES v. LOPES . . . 5 Bom., O. C., 172

TREES.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—PROPERTY IN TREES PLANTED ON LAND.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 28 (1871, s. 29) . . . 1. L. R., 3 All., 435

TREES—continued.

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL—JURISDICTION—MOVEABLE PROPERTY.

[I. L. R., 5 All, 564
24 W. R., 394
3 Mad., 237
I. L. R., 3 All, 168]

TRESPASS.

	Col.
1. GENERAL CASES	. 6043
2. HOUSE-TRESPASS	. 6045

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 244
—QUESTIONS IN EXECUTION OF DECREES.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413
12 B. L. R., 208, note]

See CASES UNDER CRIMINAL TRESPASS.

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—
TORTS . . . 8 Bom., A. C., 177
[7 N. W., 47
25 W. R., 548]

See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR.
[2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7]

See EXECUTION OF DECREE—LIABILITY
FOR WRONGFUL EXECUTION.
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413
12 B. L. R., 208, note]

See MASTER AND SERVANT.
[2 B. L. R., A. C., 227
2 B. L. R., O. C., 140]

See RAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25]

See RIOTING . . . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 245
[10 C. L. R., 278
W. R., 1864, Cr., 21]

See WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 261]

. by cattle.

See NUISANCE—UNDER CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODES . . . 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45
9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Landlord and tenant.—*Damage to reversionary interests.—Right of landlord to sue for damage.—English law, Non-applicability of.*—Many of the tenures in India are in the nature of a partnership, in which he to whom the land belongs participates with the cultivators in the crop. Therefore the law of England, that a landlord who has parted with his possession to a tenant cannot sue in trespass for damage to the property, unless the wrongful act complained of imports a damage to the reversionary interests, does not apply to landlords in India. VENKATACHALAM CHETTI v. ANDIAPPAN AMBALAM . . . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 232

2. ——— Wrongful distraint of crops.
—*Distraint without notice.—Penal Code, s. 79.—Resistance to wrongful distraint.*—A zemindar was held to be justified in exercising his right of private distraint of crops, if he had served the defaulters

TRESPASS—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Wrongful distraint of crops—continued.**

with written notices under Act X of 1859, section 116; and, in such a case, ryots, who knowingly resisted the distraint, were held to be not protected by the Penal Code, section 79. But, if the zemindar's people enter upon crops with intention of distraining without notice, the ryot-owners are justified in considering such action as trespass. QUEEN v. KANHAI SHARU [23 W. R., Cr., 40]

3. ——— Land taken by Government without formality prescribed by Beng. Reg. I of 1825.—*Right of owner to maintain suit against Government for rent.*—Lands were occupied by the Government for the purpose of making an embankment without the observance of the formality required by Regulation I of 1825. Held that the owner of the land was entitled to maintain a suit against Government for the rent of the land during the time he was kept out of possession. JOYNARAIN BOSE v. COLLECTOR OF 24-PERGUNNAHS

[Marsh., 56]

COLLECTOR OF 24-PERGUNNAHS v. JOYNARAIN BOSE . . . W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Hay, 122

4. ——— Suit to prevent trespass.—*Suit to close doors.—Cause of action.—Possibility of injury.*—No suit can lie to close doors opened by a person in his own wall, on the ground of a possibility of his committing trespass on the land of the plaintiff, or of his having actually committed such trespass. It will only lie when the opening of the doors is in itself such an irremediable injury that the plaintiff would not be sufficiently compensated by money damages. GIBBON v. ABDUR RAHMAN KHAN . . . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 411

5. ——— Sale for arrears of rent.—*Sale under defective notice.—Reversal of sale for irregularity.*—A., a zemindar, sold the right of B., his putnidar, for arrears of rent under Regulation VIII of 1819. This sale was subsequently set aside at the suit of B. for irregularity. A. then sued B. for the arrears under Act X of 1859, and B. pleaded limitation. Held that A. was not guilty of a trespass in bringing the property to sale under a defective notice, and A. could not have sued for arrears pending the proceedings to set aside the sale. SWARNAMAYI v. SHASHI MUKHI BARMANI

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 10]

S. C. SUENOMOYEE v. SHOSHIE MOKHEE BURMONIA . . . 12 Moore's I. A., 244
[11 W. R., P. C., 5]

6. ——— Trespass on burial-ground.—*Penal Code, s. 297.—Trespass by co-owner.*—A., B., C., and D. were co-owners of a plot of land in which they were accustomed to bury their dead. A. and B. opened a saw-pit close to the graves of D.'s relatives, but did not disturb any of the graves. Held that they were wrongly convicted under section 297 of the Penal Code. IN EE MUHAMMAD HAMIN KHAN

[I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178]

TRESPASS—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.**

7. Liability for trespass by defendants not actually committing it.—*Committee under Act XX of 1863.*—*Held*, in a suit under Act XX of 1863, that where the evidence showed that certain acts of trespass by one of the defendants were for the benefit and on behalf of the members of the committee, and were afterwards adopted and taken advantage of by them when they had acquired a full knowledge of those acts, the defendants for whose benefit the acts were done were liable for the trespass. *VENKATASA NAIKER v. SRINIVASSA CHARIAR* **4 Mad., 410**

2. HOUSE-TRESPASS.

8. ——— Breaking open chest in house by inmate of house.—*Penal Code, s. 457.*—*T.*, being an inmate of his uncle's house, broke open a chest and took out property from it. He was convicted of an offence under section 457 of the Penal Code. *Held* that he could not properly be convicted under that section. *QUEEN v. TASUDUK HOSSEIN* **6 N. W., 301**

9. ——— Breaking open door in execution of decree.—*Penal Code, s. 456.*—Where the accused persons, execution-creditors, in company with an authorised bailiff, broke open complainant's door before sunrise with intent to distrain his property for which they were convicted on a charge of lurking house-trespass by night or house-breaking by night,—*Held* that as they were not guilty of the offence of criminal trespass, there being no finding of any such intent as is required to constitute that offence, and that as criminal trespass is an essential ingredient of either of the offences with which they were charged, the conviction must be quashed. *IN THE MATTER OF JOTHARAM DAYAY*

[**I. L. R., 2 Mad., 30**

10. ——— Cattle-yard.—Building used for custody of property.—*Penal Code, ss. 442, 457.*—The Court inclined to hold that a cattle-yard which was originally walled on four sides, and in one side of which, fallen out of repair, there was a gap stopped with a thorn, was a building used as a place for the custody of property, within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. *QUEEN v. DULLEE* **6 N. W., 307**

11. ——— Entry into house with forged warrant of arrest.—*Penal Code, s. 452.*—Where *A.* goes with a forged warrant of arrest into a house, and takes away one of the inmates against his will under the authority of such warrant, he is guilty of house-trespass, by putting such person in fear of wrongful restraint, under section 452 of the Penal Code. *QUEEN v. NUNDOMHUN SIRKAR* **12 W. R., Cr., 33**

12. ——— Right of wife to enter husband's house.—*Wife excommunicated from caste.*—Excommunication from caste *per se* does not deprive a Hindu wife of her right of joint enjoyment of her husband's house, so as to make her a trespasser

TRESPASS—continued.**2. HOUSE-TRESPASS—continued.**

Right of wife to enter husband's house—continued.

if she enters the house to claim maintenance. *QUEEN v. MARIMUTTU* **I. L. R., 4 Mad., 243**

13. ——— Entering lock-up with intent to convey food to prisoner.—*Penal Code, s. 442.*—Where a person entered into a havalat with intent to convey or attempt to convey food to a prisoner under trial, such act on his part did not amount to house-trespass within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. *EMPRESS v. LALAI* [**I. L. R., 2 All., 301**

TRESPASSER.

——— Suit by, to recover money paid.

See WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

[**I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566**

——— Suit for mesne profits against—

See MESNE PROFITS—MODE OF ASSESSMENT AND CALCULATION.

[**I. L. R., 1 All., 518**

TROVER.

Suit in—

See HUSBAND AND WIFE.

[**I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285**

1. ——— Right of stoppage in transitu.—*Contract for goods free on board.*—*Insolvency.*—Goods contracted to be sold and delivered "free on board," to be paid for by cash or bills at the option of the purchasers, were delivered on board, and receipts taken from the mate by the lighterman employed by the sellers, who handed the same over to them. The sellers apprised the purchasers of the delivery, who elected to pay for the goods by a bill, which the sellers having drawn, was duly accepted by the purchasers. The sellers retained the mate's receipts for the goods, but the master signed the bill of lading in the purchasers' names, who, while the bill they accepted was running, became insolvent. In such circumstances, held by the Privy Council (reversing the decision of the Supreme Court at Bombay) that trover would not lie for the goods, for that on their delivery on board the vessel they were no longer in *transitu* so as to be stopped by the sellers; and that the retention of the receipts by the sellers was immaterial, as after their election to be paid by a bill the receipts of the mate were not essential to the transaction between the seller and purchaser. *FRAMJEE COWASJEE v. THOMPSON*

[**3 Moore's I. A., 422**

2. ——— Conversion.—*Assignment of goods in certain warehouses on advances.*—*Seizure of goods.*—*Advance and assignment not simultaneous.*—*Incomplete assignment.*—A bill of sale and assignment of goods described as being in certain warehouses belonging to *A.* was given by him for the loan of a sum expressed to have been paid on the day of the date thereof. Upon an action of trover

TROVER.—Conversion—continued.

brought against the assignee of *A.*, who had seized the goods, it appeared in evidence that a portion only of the goods was in the warehouse specified at the date of the sale, and that no part of the loan was paid on that day, the same being discharged by instalments a few days afterwards: whereupon the Judges of the Supreme Court held that there had been no valid transfer, and consequently no conversion, and gave an interlocutory judgment in accordance with such view. *Held* by the Judicial Committee on appeal from that decision, and from an order refusing a new trial, that the decision was not justified by the evidence, and must be reversed and a new trial granted. *MUTTYLOLL SEAL v. O'DOWDA* [4 Moore's I. A., 382]

3. ——— Suit to recover notes lost by gambling.—*Act XXI of 1848.—Illegal consideration.—Bond fide holder for value.—Trust for specific purpose.*—The plaintiff, the manager of the Oriental Bank, placed in the hands of *D.*, a broker, thirteen Government currency notes for Rs. 1,000 each on *D.*'s representation that there was some Company's paper at a certain place which he could procure at a more reasonable rate than in the Calcutta market, if the money were given him to purchase it. If the Company's paper was not procurable, the notes were to be returned to the plaintiff. *D.* did not go to the place stipulated to purchase the Company's paper, but, meeting the defendant and others, he went into a house hired for gambling, and lost at cards, and paid away to the defendant some of the notes he had received from the plaintiff. The plaintiff now sued the defendant to recover the notes so entrusted by him to *D.* for a specific purpose, and that the defendant was not a *bond fide* holder for value. He (the plaintiff) stated in evidence "that if the paper had been bought, he would either have taken the papers at the most favourable market price for the bank, or have sold them and given *D.* the profit." *Held*, the plaintiff was entitled to recover. The defendant was not a *bond fide* holder for value. *Per* PAUL, J., in the Court below, and *per* NORMAN, J., on appeal.—The notes were especially entrusted to *D.* for the purchase of the Company's paper. *Per* PHEAR, J.—Upon the case put forward by the plaintiff, the transaction was a short loan, and not a bailment, and did not bear the character of a trust. But upon the evidence the notes were the property of the bank, and remained so in *D.*'s hands, and therefore the plaintiff was entitled to recover on behalf of the bank. *BULDEO NABAIN v. SCRYMGEOUR*

[6 B. L. R., 581]

TRUST.

See ECCLESIASTICAL TRUST.

[2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12]

See ENGLISH LAW—TRUST, DECLARATION OF— . . . 4 Mad., 460

TRUST—continued.

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—PERPETUITIES, TRUSTS, AND REQUESTS TO A CLASS.

[2 B. L. R., O. C., 11
4 B. L. R., O. C., 103, 281]

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 113 (1871, ART. 113) . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 323

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420
I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325]**Constructive—**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10.

[I. L. R., 2 All., 361
I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91]**Disavowal of—**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 144 (1871, ART. 145)—ADVERSE POSSESSION.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 403]

Notice of—

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 134 (1871, ART. 134).

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269]

Revocation of—

See ONUS PROBANDI—TRUST, REVOCATION OF— . . . 10 B. L. R., 19

Right to account of administration of—

See ACCOUNT, SUIT FOR—

343

For specific purpose.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10) . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 455, 897

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 402]

See TROVER . . . 6 B. L. R., 581

1. ——— Creation of trust.—*Owner of property constituting himself trustee.—Father opening an account in name of his son.*—In order that the owner of a fund may constitute himself a trustee of it, he must either expressly declare himself a trustee, or must use language which, taken in connection with his acts, shows a clear intention on his part to divest himself of all beneficial interest in it, and to exercise dominion and control over it exclusively in the character of a trustee. From the single circumstance that an account has been opened by a father in his books in the name of his son, in which money is credited to the son, no presumption can be raised in India that the father intends to create a trust, in favour of his son, of the sums appearing in the account. *ASHBAI v. TYEB HAJI RAHIMTULLA* [I. J., R., 9 Bom., 115]

TRUST.—Creation of trust—continued.

2. *Subsequent disposition by settlor.—Disposition out of income.*—Held that where a trust has been once perfectly created, although there may have been no transmutation of possession, it cannot be defeated by any subsequent act of the settlor, and apparent dispositions of portions of the property afterwards made by him to particular members of a family, the individuals constituting which have, as a class, a beneficial interest in the whole, must be regarded, not as gifts to them for creations of new trusts in their favour which he had no power to make, but as the acts of a trustee, and available only to the extent of the shares to which such persons may be entitled. But this applies only to dispositions out of the principal of the fund, and not to payments made out of its income to particular members of the family for their maintenance, or other expenses, as there may be circumstances which would render it inequitable to take an account of the latter, so as to charge such persons with what they may have received beyond their respective shares. *JAMSETJI JIJIBHAI v. SONABAI* . . . **2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133**

3. *Invalid declaration of trust.—Intended transfer of property.—Incomplete gift.—Evidence of interested parties.*—The plaintiff, *H.*, was the daughter of one *K.*, deceased. *K.*, some two years before his death in 1866, contemplated conferring a bounty to the extent of Rs5,000 on each of his daughters, *M.* and *H.* For *M.* he bought a house at Zanzibar and settled it on her by a formal deed of settlement with various limitations. For *H.*, too, he at first intended to buy a house; but finding houses in Bombay were too dear, he purchased a Government promissory note of the nominal value of Rs5,000. The note was purchased in his own name, and a separate account of it opened in his books, headed "The account of one promissory note bearing 5 per cent. interest." This account he debited with all expenses over and above the Rs5,000 incurred in and about the purchase of the note, such as for premium, carriage hire, &c.,—charging, moreover, 9 per cent. interest on these items of debit (which interest he carried as a gain to his general interest account) and he credited the account with the interest collected on the note from time to time, allowing interest at 6 per cent. on these items of credit. He kept also a separate account of the proceeds of the note, headed "The account of interest on one promissory note for Rs5,000." The plaintiff stated that on the day when the note was bought her father *K.* brought it and showed it to her, saying, "This is your note; take it when you want it;" and that she left it in his custody, saying, "I will take the note when my sons grow up and do business." Corroborative evidence—which, however, differed in details from, and was in some respects inconsistent with, the evidence of the plaintiff—was given by the plaintiff's son and husband, as well as by a fourth witness not interested in the case. No interest was ever paid to the plaintiff by *K.* himself, although he lived for two years after the purchase of the note; but after *K.*'s death his son recognised some claim in the plaintiff

TRUST.—Creation of trust—continued.

to the income of the sum of Rs5,000 set apart by *K.*, and he paid her sums, equivalent to the proceeds of the note, with more or less regularity down to the date of his death. The note itself, however, he sold without communicating with the plaintiff, and appropriated to himself the sum realised by the sale, although he continued the account of interest on the note, and even headed that account in *H.*'s name. Later still, after the death of *K.*'s son, his grandsons, the defendants, made similar payments for some time, but irregularly, and finally they refused to pay anything further. The plaintiff sued for the note or its value, and for arrears of interest accrued due thereon, asserting that the evidence established a declaration of trust in respect of the note. Held that the evidence was insufficient to establish a valid declaration of trust, for while *K.*'s books of account might very well be held to corroborate the testimony of a trust which was itself of a satisfactory description, they were insufficient of themselves to establish such a trust; while the oral testimony—which, if taken together and accepted as reliable, might well suffice to establish the acknowledgment of a trust—contained such discrepancies and was so generally misty and uncertain in character that it ought not to be accepted unless corroborated by undisputed facts in the case incapable of being explained except on the hypothesis advanced by the plaintiff. *Per SARGENT, C. J.*—The equitable doctrine of the transfer of ownership by acknowledgment of trust, when it is sought to establish it by oral evidence, requires to be applied in this country with the greatest caution; and we cannot doubt that to allow an acknowledgment of trust to be established by the evidence of interested parties, speaking as to conversations which took place seventeen years ago without the corroboration derived from other evidence pointing irresistibly in the same direction, would be to introduce a most dangerous mode of appreciating evidence in this country, and would offer a direct encouragement to perjury. The suit dismissed, but without costs, *K.*'s intention that *H.* should have the benefit of the Rs5,000—to which, however, he had failed to give effect—being clear. *HIRBAI v. JAN MAHOMED KHALAKDINA* . **I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229**

4. *Gift.—Requisites to complete gift.—Donor constituting himself trustee for donee.—Enforcement of trust by representative of donee.—Trustee, Liability of.*—The plaintiffs *M.* and *R.* were Parsis, and were married in the year 1851. The defendant was the widow of *B. M.*, who was the father of the plaintiff *R.* The plaintiffs sued to recover from the defendant certain Government promissory notes which they alleged had been presented by *B.* to *M.* at her marriage for her sole and separate use. They alleged that the said notes, then of the nominal value of Rs1,500, were endorsed in the name of the said *B.*, and had been deposited by him for safe custody with *M.*'s grandfather *J.*; that the said *B.* during his life used from time to time to receive the said notes from *J.* and draw the interest thereon for *M.*; that *B.* died in 1864, and that after his death the defendant, who was his widow and executrix, used to draw the interest for *M.*; that in 1869 she obtained possession of the said notes, and had

TRUST.—Creation of trust—continued.

ever since continued in possession thereof, informing the plaintiffs that she was duly keeping them and collecting the interest for *M.*; that the plaintiffs had been living with the defendant until shortly before the present suit, and having then separated from her, had called upon her to hand over the notes and the accumulated interest, which she refused to do. The defendant denied that her husband *B.* had ever presented *M.* with Government notes for her separate use. She alleged that the notes which had been deposited by *B.* with *J.* were her own separate property, and not *M.*'s; that she and her husband had dealt from time to time with them, and that no interest was ever paid to the plaintiffs, or either of them, or for their benefit. She further stated that some of the notes which had been deposited with *J.* had been disposed of by *B.* in his lifetime with her consent; that in 1869 she obtained the remaining notes from *J.* and sold them, and applied the proceeds to her own benefit. At the hearing it was proved that, on the occasion of the plaintiff's marriage, presents were made to *M.* both by her own family and by that of the bridegroom *R.* Two accounts were then opened in the books of the firm of *J. N. & Co.*, of which *M.*'s grandfather *J.* was a partner, one of which showed her acquisitions from her own family, and the other her acquisitions from the family of her husband. The latter account contained an entry (under date August 1854) to the effect that *B.*, the father-in-law of *M.*, had bought two Government notes for Rs. 1,500 in *M.*'s name, and had obtained the interest on them, which was duly credited to her. Other documents were produced, proved to be in the handwriting of *B.* and *J.*, in which the said Government notes were alluded to as the property of *M.*, and as having been purchased with her moneys. In 1864 *B.* died without having endorsed the notes over to *M.* or to any one in her behalf, and they remained in his name in the hands of *J.* until 1869, when the defendant got possession of them. *Held* that *B.* was liable to answer for the notes as a trustee, and after *B.* the defendant as his executrix and representative. In the documents put in evidence, *B.* alluded to the notes as *M.*'s property. His placing them, as he did, with *M.*'s grandfather, was itself an acknowledgment, according to the practice of the class to which he belonged, that the benefit was to be hers and her children's. He thus sufficiently admitted an obligation as trustee. The legal ownership was his, but he had acknowledged with sufficient clearness an obligation to hold and use the ownership for the benefit of another. Such a purpose clearly manifested constitutes a trust, and burdened with a trust the property passed from *B.* to the defendant as his representative, and could be enforced against her. *Held*, further, that having regard to the general practice among Parsis, the conduct of *B.* in relation to the notes showed that it was his intention that the property should be enjoyed in sole and separate use by *M.* and her children. *MERBAI v. PEROZBAI*

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268]

5. Suit for declaration of trust.

—*Possession of trust property.—Breach of duty.*—In a suit for declaration of trust, with reference to

TRUST.—Suit for declaration of trust—continued.

lands, it must be shown that the party against whom the trust is prayed must have obtained a more or less rightful possession of the lands, but impressed with the obligation of a trust; that in a suit such as last mentioned, it must be shown that some duty *prima facie* fell on the defendants, of which they were committing a breach. *MUZHUR HOSSAIN v. DINOBUNDO SEN* *Bourke, O. C.*, 8: Cor., 94

6. — Recognition of trust.—Dead of gift, Validity of.—Oudh Estates Act (I of 1869), s. 8.

—A talookdar, deceased before annexation, had provided by will for the succession of his five widows, one at a time, to his estate, with remainder to a son of his nephew. Settlement was made with the senior widow after the Mutiny, a sanad granted to her as talookdar, with full power of alienation, and her name was afterwards entered in the lists prepared under section 8 of the Oudh Estates Act, 1869. But certain of her acts were not explicable except on the understanding that she was abiding by the will. *Held*, in a suit by the widow next in order, that such senior widow had undertaken the trust of carrying out the provisions of the will, and that a deed of gift made by her transferred only her interest, which was an estate for life. *RAMANUND KUAR v. RAGHUNATH KUAR. ANUNT BAHADUR SINGH v. RAGHUNATH KUAR*

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 769: 11 C. L. R., 149
I. R., 9 I. A., 41]**7. Cessation of trust.—Cessation of performance by congregation of particular form of worship.—Commencement of different form of worship.**

—If the congregation of a church as a body cease to follow the observances of a particular form of worship, and in preference for forty years follow those of a different form of worship, there would be no one left for whom and by whom the original form of worship can be continued, the objects of the original trust cease to exist, and the church funds and property become impressed with a trust for the performance of the later form of worship. *MELLUS v. VICAR APOSTOLIC OF MALABAR*

[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 295]

8. — Suit to enforce trust.—Suit for enforcing religious or charitable trusts.—Right of suit.—Pleading.—Security for costs.

—The representatives of a testator, who has created trusts for religious or charitable purposes, in which the representatives are not personally interested, may institute proceedings to have abuses in the trust rectified, there being no officer in this country who has such power of enforcing the due administration of religious or charitable trusts by information at the relation of some private individual, as is possessed by the Attorney General in England. A suit for this purpose should not be admitted unless the plaintiff gives sufficient security for costs. In order that a decree for an account may be made in favour of the plaintiff in such a suit, he must allege substantially in his plaint that which must be a distinct breach of trust;

TRUST.—Suit to enforce trust—continued.

it is not sufficient for him to make out a case of mere suspicion, or to rely on particular passages in the defendant's written statement. **BRJOMOHUN DOSS v. HURROLOLL DOSS**. **I. L. R., 5 Calc., 700**

9. Transfer of property on trust.—Transfer of property by convict sentenced to transportation.—*B.* having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zemindari estate, that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his name might be removed from the revenue registers and that of *P.* be recorded in its stead. *Held* that the transfer of the property by *B.* to *P.* was in the nature of a trust. **Durga Prasad v. Asa Ram**, **I. L. R., 2 All., 361**, referred to. **HAIR RAM v. DURGA PRASAD**. **I. L. R., 5 All., 609**

10. Property held on trust.—Assignment by trustees.—Limitation.—In 1870 the purchasers and recorded proprietors of a four biswas share of a certain village caused a statement to be recorded in the village record of rights to the effect that *B.* claimed to be the proprietor of a moiety of such share, and that they were willing to admit his right whenever he paid them a moiety of the sum which they had paid in respect of the arrears of revenue due on such share. In 1843 *M.* purchased such share and became its recorded proprietor. In 1877 *K.*, the son of *B.*, sued the representative of *M.*, for possession of a moiety of such share, alleging, with reference to the statement recorded in the record of rights, that such moiety had vested in *M.*'s assignors in trust to surrender it to *B.* or his heirs on payment of a moiety of the sum they had paid on account of revenue, and paying into Court a moiety of such sum. *Held* that that statement could not be regarded as evidence of the alleged trust, and that, assuming that the alleged trust existed, the suit was barred by limitation, *M.* having purchased without notice of the trust and for valuable consideration. **KAMAL SINGH v. BATUL FATIMA**. **I. L. R., 2 All., 460**

11. —Holder of missing person's estate.—Possession.—The possession by the widow or some other member of the family, of a missing person's estate may, in the absence of an indication of its being adverse, be considered to be that of a trustee until the expiry of the term fixed for his return. **NABAIN SAHAI v. POSOO**. **2 Agra, 78**

12. —Absconding shareholder.—Custom for his share to be considered as held in trust for a certain time.—Failure to reclaim share.—The plaintiffs sued to recover a share in a village on the allegation that it had been taken by the other shareholders of the village in trust for their father, according to custom, on his absconding from the village by reason of his inability to pay his quota of Government revenue. The only evidence of the custom was a provision in a wajib-ul-urz that the share of a person who absconded should be held in

TRUST.—Property held on trust—continued.

trust for him for twelve years only. *Held* that, as the father of the plaintiffs did not reclaim his share within twelve years, the plaintiffs' right was forfeited. **NAHANA v. DYA RAM**. **5 N. W., 170**

13. —Wajib-ul-urz.—Absconding co-sharer.—Where a clause of the wajib-ul-urz of a village stated in general terms that absconders from such village should receive back their property on their return, and certain persons who absconded from such village before such wajib-ul-urz was framed sued to enforce such clause against the purchaser of their property from the co-sharer who had taken possession of it on their absconding, and who was no party to such wajib-ul-urz, alleging that their property had vested in such co-sharer in trust for them,—*Held* that before such co-sharer could be taken to have held their property as a trustee, there must be evidence that he accepted such trust, and this fact could not be taken as proved by the wajib-ul-urz. **PIAREY LAL v. SALIGA**

[**I. L. R., 2 All., 394**

14. —Wajib-ul-urz.—Absent shareholders.—*Held* that a village administration-paper which provides for the surrender to absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them does not necessarily constitute a valid trust in their favour, although it may be evidence of such a trust. Where a village administration-paper provided for the surrender to certain absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them, but did not contain any declaration of a trust as existing between such absent shareholders and the occupiers of their lands at the time such administration-paper was framed,—*Held* that the administration-paper could not be regarded as evidence of a pre-existing trust between such persons, nor as an admission of such a trust by such occupiers. **HARBHAI v. GUMANI**

[**I. L. R., 2 All., 493**

15. —Absent co-sharer.—Wajib-ul-urz.—*S.* and his brother owned an 8 annas share of a village, and *H.* and *D.* owned the other 8 annas share, the parties being related to each other by blood. In 1865 (Sambat 1921), at the settlement of the village, the following statement was recorded by the settlement officer in the wajib-ul-urz at the instance of *H.* and *D.*, with whom the settlement was made, *S.* and his brother being absent from the village and having been absent for some ten years: "We, *H.* and *D.*, are equal sharers of one 8 annas and *S.* and (his brother) of the other 8 annas in the village according to descent: ten years ago *S.* and (his brother) went away into Orai; their present residence is not known: they have not left woman, child, or heir of any kind in the village: on that account the entire 16 annas of the village are in possession of us, *H.* and *D.* At the time of the preparation of the khewat we made a gift of 4 annas of our own eight annas to *P.*, and have given him possession of 4 annas of the 8 annas belonging to *S.* and (his brother), keeping the remaining four annas in our own possession: when *S.* and

TRUST.—Property held on trust—

(his brother) return to the village, we three who are in possession shall give up the 8 annas share of the aforesaid persons." In March 1880 *S.* sued *P.* for possession of the 4 annas mentioned in the wajib-ul-urz as having been made over to him by *H.* and *D.* out of the 8 annas share belonging to *S.* and his brother. He based his suit upon the wajib-ul-urz, but did not expressly state that the share in suit had been entrusted to *H.* and *D.* on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it. The lower Appellate Court dismissed the suit as barred by limitation, on the ground that *P.*'s possession of the share in suit became adverse in 1866 or 1867, more than twelve years before the institution of the suit, when *S.*, having returned to the village, had claimed the share and *P.* had refused to surrender it. On second appeal it was contended by *S.* that under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz *P.*'s possession was that of a trustee, and his possession could not be held to be adverse. *Per SPANKE, J.*—That inasmuch as there was no direct evidence that the share in suit had been entrusted by *S.* to *H.* and *D.* on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it, and as such a trust could not be implied from the terms of the wajib-ul-urz, which amounted to nothing more than an acknowledgment of *S.*'s title and an offer to surrender possession when he returned, and as when he did return in 1866 or 1867 *P.* refused to surrender possession, *S.* was bound to have sued to recover the share in suit within twelve years from the date of such refusal, and as he had failed to do so, the suit was barred by limitation. *Per PEARSON, J.*—That although no mention was made in the wajib-ul-urz of such a trust as was contended for, yet the terms of that document strongly suggested the creation of such a trust. Having regard to the terms of the wajib-ul-urz, and to the fact that *S.* and his brother were not strangers to *H.* and *D.*, nor merely co-sharers; but near blood-relations, probably residing together on the same premises and partners in agricultural labours, further inquiry should be made with the view of elucidating the nature of the acquisition of *H.* and *D.* of the share and of their subsequent possession. *SIRDAR SAINBY v. PIRAN SINGH*. **I. L. R., 3 All., 453**

16. Retirement and disability of trustees.—*Effect of, on trust.*—Where property is assigned to trustees by an insolvent trader for the purpose of having it equally distributed among his creditors, such a trust does not become inoperative by reason of the retirement of two out of three trustees, and of the inability of the third to discharge his duties properly. *BAUMGARTNER v. STEPHENSON* [3 Agra, 321]

17. Creditor's trust-fund.—*Unclaimed dividends, Suit for distribution of.*—Where a creditor's trust-deed contained no provision for redistribution of unclaimed dividends, and a suit was brought by the representatives of one of the creditors, party to the deed, for the administration and distribution of funds in the defendant's possession allotted to other creditors by way of dividends, but

TRUST.—Creditor's trust-fund.—continued.

unclaimed by them for forty years,—*Held* that the plaintiff was not entitled to such relief. *Wilde v. Banning, L. R., 2 Eq., 577*, distinguished. *MANICKAVELU MUDALI v. ARBUTHNOT & Co.* [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404]

18. Resulting trust.—*Intention of party.*—*Implied trust, Presumption of.*—Suit brought to recover possession of a talook, upon the alleged ground that the moneys with which the purchase was made were not the moneys of the person in whose name the property was bought, but of a lady with whom he was living as her husband, and that there was a resulting trust in her favour. The Privy Council considered that the very principle of a resulting trust was that the property had been purchased with money belonging to another, with an implied trust that it should belong to that other person to whom the money also belonged; but that if it was the intention of the person to whom the money belonged that there should be no such trust, no such implied trust could arise by implication, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. *AMERBOONISSA KHANUM v. ASHBOFFOON-NISSA*. **17 W. R., 259; 14 Moore's I. A., 433**

19. Statute of Frauds.—*Stat. 29 Charles II., c. 3.*—The plaintiff, who was the widow of *G.*, sued the defendant, the executrix of *J.*, to recover a sum of Rs. 7,394-9-6, part of the purchase-money of a house which had been sold by *J.* in his lifetime, and which the plaintiff alleged had been, shortly before his death, conveyed by her husband *G.* to *J.* in trust to sell and hold the proceeds in trust for *G.*'s family. The defendant denied the trust and insisted that *J.* had purchased the house from *G.* for valuable consideration. Both *J.* and *G.* were Parsis. *Held* that, even assuming that no consideration was given by *J.* to *G.* for the house, the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed. In the absence of consideration, the trust of the house, which was admittedly conveyed by *G.* to *J.*, would have resulted to *G.*, unless, under the provisions of section 7 of the Statute of Frauds (29 Charles II., Cap. 3), he (*G.*) had declared in writing some other trust which was to supersede the resulting trust in his own favour. No such declaration of trust in writing was proved. If, on the other hand, the trust did result to *G.*, he, no doubt, might, as equitable owner of the house, have disposed of his interest by will. If he did so, the plaintiff had not qualified herself to sue as his representative. Probate had not been obtained of the will, and until the will was proved it could not be said that *G.* had made a particular declaration of trust by it. Nor without probate could the plaintiff take up the position of legal representative of her deceased husband entitled to enforce his rights, and, amongst others, his rights under the supposed resulting trust. Except as executrix or as administratrix the plaintiff could not recover property, or enforce rights equitably vested in her deceased husband. *BAI MANECK-BAI v. BAI MEEBAI*. **I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363**

TRUST—continued.

20. Breach of trust.—Parties.—Defaulting trustees.—*Breach of trust beneficial to trust-estate.*—The Court will not, at the instance of one of two defaulting trustees, declare the liabilities arising from a breach of trust without having all the parties concerned before it. Nor will the Court pass an order which might in any way tend to be construed as an assent to a breach of trust already committed, even though the breach may have been beneficial to the trust-estate. *BARRY v. STEEL*

[1 C. L. R., 80

21. Revocation of trust.—Voluntary settlement.—*A.*, being at the time unmarried, executed a voluntary settlement by which he created trusts for himself for life, and after his death for his issue and widows (if any), with ultimate trusts over. The deed contained a provision empowering *A.* at any time, with the consent of the trustee, to revoke the trusts, and to declare any new or other trusts. *A.* subsequently married, and, after his marriage, executed a deed of revocation, declaring that the trust-property should be held for himself absolutely. The trustees refused to hand over the trust-property, and *A.* thereupon instituted a suit to have the trust set aside. His wife was a minor, and there was no issue of the marriage. *Held* that, although there might be cases in which, where no other person but the settlor was interested, the deed might be regarded as a mere direction as to the manner in which the settlor's property should be applied for his benefit, and as such revocable by the settlor, yet that, in the present case, there being an infant beneficiary, the deed could not be revoked. *GOLAM YASSIN v. OFFICIAL TRUSTEE OF BENGAL*

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 887

TRUST-DEED FOR BENEFIT OF CREDITORS.

See BILL OF EXCHANGE.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 174

See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR.

[11 Moore's I. A., 317

3 Agra, 104, 321

8 Bom., A. C., 245

1 Bom., 233

I. L. R., 7 Bom., 101

TRUST-PROPERTY.

See COURT FEES ACT, SCH. I, ART. 11.

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 138

11 B. L. R., Ap., 39

7 B. L. R., 57

14 B. L. R., 184

TRUSTEE.

See COSTS—SPECIAL CASES—TRUSTEES.

[13 B. L. R., 363

I. L. R., 11 Calc., 628

See EXECUTOR. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388

See HINDU LAW—ENDOWMENT—CREATION OF ENDOWMENT.

[14 B. L. R., 175

I. L. R., 9 Bom., 169

I. L. R., 4 Calc., 58

TRUSTEE—continued.

See HINDU LAW—ENDOWMENT—SUCCESSION IN MANAGEMENT.

[5 B. L. R., 181

I. L. R., 7 Mad., 499

* *See HINDU LAW—ENDOWMENT—TRANSFER OF RIGHT OF WORSHIP.*

[3 C. L. R., 112

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 40.

[I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10; 1859, s. 2).

See MALABAR LAW—JOINT FAMILY.

[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 328

I. L. R., 1 Mad., 153

See OUDH ESTATES ACT.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522, 645

See PARTIES—PARTIES TO SUITS—DEBTOR AND CREDITOR, SUITS BETWEEN—

[I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See CASES UNDER TRUST.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—

[7 B. L. R., 113

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 53

I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228

— Constructive—

See INSOLVENCY—ORDER AND DISPOSITION. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542

— Claim to immoveable property against—

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION—RIGHT TO SUE OR EXECUTE DECREE WITHOUT CERTIFICATE.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

— Distinction between trustee and director.

See COMPANY—POWERS, DUTIES, AND LIABILITIES OF DIRECTORS.

[6 B. L. R., 278

— of temple, Breach of trust by—

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT.—GENERAL JURISDICTION.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 55

— Suit by—

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—VESTED AND CONTINGENT INTERESTS. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

1. — **Relinquishment by one trustee.**—*Effect of relinquishment.*—In a contest between three trustees or managers of an endowment,

TRUSTEE.—Relinquishment by one trustee—continued.

each entitled to a third share in the profits of the property, if one of them withdraws from the contest, his share is held to have been relinquished in favour of the remaining partners, and to have merged in the general account to be rendered by the trustees or managers. *BUZZ RUHIM v. LUTAFUT HOSSEIN. KHODEJOONISSA BIBES v. LUTAFUT HOSSEIN* **W. R., 1864, 171**

2. — Breach of trustees' duty.—

Mixing trust funds with money of trustees.—Commission on trust moneys.—It is a grave breach of duty in trustees, or administrators taking out letters of administration, to estates in this country under powers of attorney from executors or next of kin abroad, to mix the incomes raised by them from trust properties, or the funds of the estate, in one common fund with their own moneys, and such a course of dealing may expose the trustees or administrators to criminal as well as civil liabilities. **IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF COWIE** .

[**I. L. R., 6 Calc., 70: 7 C. L. R., 19**

3. — Appointment of new trustees.—

Probate.—Executors.—Executors alienating property of their testator's estate before obtaining probate.—Title of alienees to such property.—Right of holder of property to vote at election of trustee before obtaining probate.—Trustee elected by debenture-holders.—Meeting of debenture-holders to elect a trustee.—Exclusion from meeting of holders of debentures obtained from executors before probate.—Validity of election of trustee elected at meeting from which such debenture-holders were excluded.—

In order to secure certain money which it had borrowed by the issue of debentures, the *D. Company* on the 23rd November 1883 conveyed certain lands, &c., to three trustees, *K., G., and D.*, by way of mortgage. With regard to the appointment of new trustees in case any trustee should die, &c., the indenture of mortgage provided that, in certain events, the surviving or continuing trustees might convene a meeting of the debenture-holders for the purpose of nominating a new trustee; and that at such meeting the election of such new trustee should be decided by a majority of votes of the debenture-holders present in person, each party having only one vote, and in case of an equality of votes, then the chairman of the meeting should have a casting vote. *K.*, one of the trustees appointed under the deed, died on the 9th February 1886, leaving a will whereby he appointed three executors. At the time of his death *K.* was the holder of one moiety of the debentures, *viz.*, 1,400 debentures of the value of **£7,00,000**. The two remaining trustees, *G. and D.*, called a meeting of the debenture-holders for the 27th February 1886 to elect a trustee. Previously to the meeting and for the purpose of having the large interests of *K.'s* estate adequately represented, the executors of *K.* distributed some of the debentures in their hands, belonging to *K.'s* estate, among nominees for the purpose of voting at the meeting; and they also sold some of the debentures. Among the persons to whom debentures were sold were the first three plaintiffs. Pursuant

TRUSTEE.—Appointment of new trustees—continued.

to the notice convening the meeting, the plaintiffs and other persons, to whom debentures belonging to the estate of *K.* had been given or sold, presented themselves and claimed to attend the meeting; but none of them, except the three executors (plaintiffs 4, 5, and 6) of *K.* were allowed to attend, and they were admitted only in their capacity as executors. Defendant No. 1 was chairman of the meeting, and he ruled that the three executors had a joint right, in their capacity as executors, to give one vote upon any proposition that might be submitted to the meeting. At the meeting it was proposed that the holders of the debentures, who claimed admission to the meeting, should be permitted to attend. The chairman ruled the motion irrelevant, and would not allow it to be put. The executors, therefore, withdrew from the meeting. After they had withdrawn, the third defendant, *P.*, was elected a trustee. At the date of the meeting the executors had not obtained probate of *K.'s* will. On behalf of the defendants it was contended that *P.'s* election was valid; and that the persons to whom the executors had given or sold debentures belonging to *K.'s* estate had been properly excluded from the meeting of the 27th February, inasmuch as the executors had not at that time obtained probate, and, consequently, the title of their alienees to the debentures was still incomplete. Held that *P.* (defendant No. 3), had not been validly appointed a trustee to the indenture of the 23rd November 1883. Under that indenture, debenture-holders had the right to vote; and the debentures were payable to bearer. The fact that the executors had not at the date of the meeting obtained probate did not affect the rights of those to whom they had given or sold debentures, and such persons had, consequently, been improperly excluded from the meeting. **MATTHURADAS LOWJI v. GOCULDAS MADHROWJI**

[**I. L. R., 10 Bom., 468**

4. — Breach of trust.—Liability of passive trustee.—A trustee who, having accepted a trust, remains passive and takes no steps to see the trust carried into execution, is liable for losses arising from the breach of trust of his co-trustee. **BAI JADAV v. TRIBHUVANDAS JAGJIVANDAS**

[**9 Bom., 333**

5. — Fiduciary relationship.—Assignment by married woman.—*L. M.* died in 1856, having bequeathed certain personal property to *J. S.*, who then and at the time of the subsequently-mentioned suit was a married woman, and who executed a power of attorney, authorising *O. G. & Co.* to receive payment of the legacy, and to execute a settlement of a portion of the same according to articles contained in the power. This settlement was made, and under it a portion of the legacy was assigned to trustees, who did not execute the deed or undertake the trust, and no other trustee was substituted for them. *O. G. & Co.* at various times advanced money to *J. S.*, and in acknowledgment received promissory notes from her for a portion of such advances; and in a suit, by *O. G. & Co.* to recover the amount of these advances, it was held that

TRUSTEE.—Fiduciary relationship—continued.

O. G. & Co., standing in a fiduciary relation to *J. S.*, before they could avail themselves of her acts, must show that she did them with a full knowledge of the circumstances of the case and of her own position with regard to it. *SMITH v. STEWART*

[*Bourke, O. C.*, 292

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.

1. ——— Cause of action.—*Adverse possession.*—*Limitation.*—When property is placed in the hands of another by way of trust, no cause of action arises to the owner until there has been a demand by the owner for the restoration of the property and a refusal by the trustee to give up the property. The period of limitation begins to run from the date of such refusal or distinct assertion of adverse right, and not from the date the trustee enters into possession. *RAKHALDAS MADAK v. MADHUMADAK*

[*3 B. L. R.*, *A. C.*, 409; *12 W. R.*, 319

2. ——— Suit to set aside alienations by trustee.—*Bona fide purchasers.*—A suit brought by a *cestui que* trust to set aside as fraudulent certain alienations made by the trustee was dismissed by the lower Appellate Court as barred by limitation, merely on the ground that more than twelve years had, at the commencement of the suit, elapsed since the execution of such deeds of alienation. *Held* (1) that this was not sufficient, and that the Court should have tried whether the purchasers were cognisant at the time of their purchase of a subsisting trust affecting the property, for if so they would have taken it subject to the trust, and would stand in the shoes of the original trustee, and would not be *bona fide* purchasers from trustees entitled to the benefit of the law of limitation; (2) that if the trustee had power to make valid grants, the grantees would have a perfectly good title, if they took for valuable consideration, without notice of the trust. *LUTEEFUN v. BEGO JAN. BEGO JAN v. CHEBAG ALI*

[*5 W. R.*, 120

3. ——— Suit for mesne profits where estates had been under care of Court of Wards.—*Cause of action.*—*Fiduciary relationship.*—Plaintiff, the zemindar of Shivaganga, sued to recover two villages which she alleged formed part of the Shivaganga zemindari. The villages originally belonged to *P.*, mother of the present defendant, *B.*, the ex-zemindar of Shivaganga. In 1856 they were purchased by the Court of Wards on behalf of *B.*, who was then a minor, with part of the rents and profits of the zemindari, and in 1860 were given by him to his mother. In 1864 *B.* was ousted by a decree of the Privy Council, and became liable to the present plaintiff for the mesne profits of the zemindari. In the account taken of mesne profits due, the amount expended on the purchase of these villages was excluded by plaintiff's consent from the sum debited to the ex-zemindar. Plaintiff now sued *P.*, and, she dying, the suit was continued against *B.* as her representative. *Held* that there being in the decree of the Privy Council nothing directly giving a

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.—Suit for mesne profits where estates had been under care of Court of Wards—continued.

right to maintain the suit, there was but one ground upon which the suit could be supposed to lie,—namely, the existence of the relation of trustee and beneficiary between the Collector and the plaintiff at the time of the purchase. *Held*, also, that such relation did not exist. *KATTAMA NACHIAR v. BOTHAGURUSAMI TEVAR*

[*6 Mad.*, 293

TRUSTEE ACT.

——— (XXIV of 1841).—*Application for appointment of new trustees.*—Trustees were appointed for a company in 1845, and the partnership was to last twenty years, which expired on December 31st, 1864. The shareholders thereupon appointed *S.*, a new trustee, to sell the business, and he sold it to *R.* The old trustees had left the country. In an application, with the consent of all parties, under Act XXIV of 1841, that *S.* might sign the deed of transfer, the Court held that it was necessary to show that the old trustees had no lien on any other property in the concern before the order asked for could be made. *IN THE MATTER OF FORT GLOUCESTER MILLS Co.* . *Bourke, O. C.*, 260

——— (XXVII of 1866), s. 3.—*Hindu trusts.*—*Equitable jurisdiction of High Court.*—*Appointment of new trustee.*—*Supreme Court Charter, 1823.*—The High Court may exercise the summary powers conferred upon it by the Trustee Act (XXVII of 1866) in the case of Hindu trusts. Section 3 of the Trustee Act, which provides that the power and authority given by the Act to the High Court shall be exercised only "in cases to which English law is applicable," cannot be intended to limit the operation of the Act only to cases to which, in their whole extent, the law prevailing in England applies without qualification or reserve, as this would virtually exclude the Act in any case on which an Act of the Indian Legislature has any bearing. The cases referred to in the section must be cases to which English law is in some measure applicable, but in what measure is not indicated in the Act. English law must be regarded as applicable in the sense intended if the principles recognised by the English Equity Courts are applicable. At the date of the grant of the Charter to the Supreme Court of Bombay in the year 1823, English equity had become a system which would deal with a body of quasi-common law in a scientific manner and in obedience to known and uniform rules. When it applied its method to the determination or the constitution of a right, even based on the Hindu or Mahomedan law, it administered English law. In this sense "English law was applicable" at the date of the passing of the Trustee Act of 1866 to all cases in which peculiarly equitable doctrines had obtained recognition in the relations between the native inhabitants of Bombay. Those doctrines could not be employed to subvert the native substantive laws, but they afforded a means of ameliorating them by a system

**TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII of 1866, s. 3—
continued.**

of rules borrowed from the English Court of Equity. Trusts are recognised in the Hindu as well as in the English system of law. But while the substantive Hindu law insists strongly on the suppression of fraud and the fulfilment of promises, it fails to furnish the detailed rules by which effect is to be given to its principles in cases of trust. If the Court is called on to give effect to a trust in any given case, it looks to the Hindu law of property to determine the estate of the trustee, but with reference to the duties of trustee and the rights of beneficiaries it is governed by the rules of English equity. There are no others that it can apply. In meeting an exigency, or in taking cognisance of a form of right not directly provided for in the Shastras, the Court, in exercising its jurisdiction under section 41 of the Charter of 1823, may apply Hindu law. But, taking Hindu law as one of its data, it applies "English law" also in the form of equity to all or nearly all the questions that arise. *IN RE KAHANDAS NARENDAS*. **I. L. R., 5 Bom., 154**

ss. 20 & 32.—*Appointment of person to convey property on behalf of persons out of the jurisdiction and under other disabilities.*—Where property has been, by an order of Court, directed to be sold, and where some of the parties interested in such property are either out of the jurisdiction, married women, or minors, and the place of abode of others of them is unknown, the Court will, on petition, under the Trustee Act, appoint a person to convey the interest of such persons to any purchaser, notwithstanding that, at the time the order is applied for, no contract for the sale of the property has been entered into. But the Court cannot make such an order with respect to the interest of a party who has not been served, and who has not entered appearance. *LACKERSTEEN v. ROSTAN* [**I. L. R., 7 Calc., 32**]

s. 35.—*Application for removal of trustee.—Ground for removal.—Stat. 13 & 14 Vict., c. 60, s. 32.*—Where a petition was presented to the High Court praying for the removal, under section 35, Act XXVII of 1866, of certain trustees of a will, on the grounds, *inter alia*, of misappropriation, waste, and breach of trust, and for the appointment of new ones,—*Held* that the matters alleged were much too grave to be disposed of on a mere application, and that as the respondents opposed the appointment of new trustees, the petitioners should institute a regular suit. Act XXVII of 1866, section 35, is analogous to 13 and 14 Victoria, Cap. 60, section 32. The Courts in this country ought, in analogy to the rulings of the English Court of Chancery, to refuse jurisdiction under this section on a mere application alleging misconduct or any other cause, when the trustees whom it is sought to remove are willing to act, and refer the applicant to a suit. *IN THE GOODS OF POWELL*. **6 N. W., 54**

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT.
(XXVIII of 1866), s. 43.—*Administrator General.—Taking opinion of Court on question respecting the administration.—Question*

**TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT
(XXVIII of 1866), s. 43—continued.**

affecting rights of parties inter se.—Refusal of Court to express opinion.—The Administrator General of Bombay having taken out letters of administration (having effect throughout the Bombay Presidency) to the estate of one *A. B.*, deceased, and having a balance in his hands to the credit of the said estate after having fully administered the same, was applied to by *G. B.*, the brother of the said *A. B.*, deceased, who had taken out letters of administration in England to the estate of his deceased brother, to hand over to him, the said *G. B.*, the balance in question,—the said *G. B.* claiming to be the administrator of the domicile of the deceased, and, as such, to be entitled to all the personal assets of his estate wheresoever situate. Being in doubt as to whether he might safely accede to the request, the Administrator General of Bombay, by petition under section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, submitted the question to the High Court for its opinion, advice, and direction. *Held* that the question being one of considerable difficulty and importance, and involving, moreover, in its decision questions which might seriously affect the rights of parties *inter se*, it was not a question such as was contemplated by section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, nor one upon which the Court ought to give any opinion merely on an *ex parte* petition of this character. *IN RE THE GOODS OF HEBBETON. IN THE MATTER OF THE TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGES ACT, 1866*. **I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381**

**TURN OF WORSHIP OF IDOL, RIGHT
TO—**

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—TORTS. **I. L. R., 3 Calc., 390**
See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 131 (1871, ART. 131). **I. L. R., 4 Calc., 683**
[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 807
6 B. L. R., 352; 15 W. R., 29

UMPIRE, INCAPACITY TO ACT AS—

See ARBITRATION—APPOINTMENT OF ARBITRATORS AND UMPIRES.
[4 B. L. R., O. C., 89

UNCHASTITY.

See HINDU LAW—MAINTENANCE—RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE—WIDOW.
[12 B. L. R., 238
I. L. R., 1 Bom., 559
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 84
I. L. R., 9 Bom., 108
See HINDU LAW—STRIDHAN—EFFECT OF UNCHASTITY. **I. L. R., 1 All., 46**
See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW—DISQUALIFICATIONS—UNCHASTITY.

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE.

See ARREST—CIVIL ARREST.
[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE—continued.

See WARRANT OF ARREST—CRIMINAL CASES . . . 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17

UNDER-TENURE.

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—REVENUE COURTS—ORDERS OF REVENUE COURTS . . . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 625

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—PORTION OF UNDER-TENURE, SALE OF—

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—SALE OF UNDER-TENURE.

Avoidance of—

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—INCUMBRANCES.

Suit to cancel—

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 121 (1871, ART. 119) . . . I. L. R., 4 Cal., 860

UNDERWRITER, LIABILITY OF—

See INSURANCE—MARINE INSURANCE.
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 550
12 Bom., 23]

UNDUE INFLUENCE.

See CHAMPERTY . . . 13 B. L. R., 509

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—INVALID SALES . . . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 95
[Cor., 57
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450]

See WILL—VALIDITY OF WILL.
[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515]

Onus of proof.—*Third party not in confidential relationship.*—A third person who, stands in no confidential relation to a grantor who is under age is not bound in the first instance to show that undue influence was used in a transaction. The subject of undue influence considered. *RAJ COOMAR ROY v. ALFUZUDDIN AHMED* . . . 8 C. L. R., 419

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.

1. ————— *Penal Code, s. 141.—Rioting.—Assembly originally lawful.*—An assembly, lawful in its inception, may become unlawful by its acts. If force is used, the higher offence of rioting is committed. *QUEEN v. KHEMER SINGH*

[1 W. R., Cr., 19]

2. ————— *Common object.*—In order to convict of the offence of being members of an unlawful assembly, it must be shown that the accused were actuated by a common object, and that the acts done by them were of such a nature as to make them guilty under section 141 of the Penal Code. *QUEEN v. DINOBUNDO RAI*

[9 W. R., Cr., 19]

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF KOYLASH CHUNDER DASS . . . 20 W. R., Cr., 78

of land on which unlawful assembly is held.—Com-

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Pension Code, s. 141 and s. 154—continued.

mon object.—Held that the owner or occupier of land on which an unlawful assembly is held cannot be convicted under section 154 of the Penal Code, unless there is a finding that the riot was premeditated. Where two opposite factions commit a riot, it is irregular to treat both parties as constituting one unlawful assembly, and to try them together, inasmuch as they do not have "one common object" within the meaning of section 141 of the Penal Code. *QUEEN v. SURROOP CHUNDER PAUL*

[12 W. R., Cr., 75]

4. ————— *Affray and unlawful assembly.*—There is no ground for the distinction between an unlawful assembly as a premeditated act and an affray as a sudden one; for according to section 141 of the Penal Code, an assembly which was not unlawful when it assembled may subsequently become an unlawful assembly. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF LOKENATH KAR

[18 W. R., Cr., 2]

5. ————— *Maintenance of rights.—Intention of parties.*—No charge of being members of an unlawful assembly under section 141, Penal Code, can be sustained, where the intention of the parties was not to enforce a right or supposed right, but to maintain undisturbed the actual subsisting enjoyment of a right which was at that time being exercised. *SHUNKER SINGH v. BURMAH MAHTO*

[23 W. R., Cr., 25]

6. ————— *Person joining it and staying to prevent mischief to property.*—It cannot be said that a person intentionally joins an unlawful assembly or continues in it, when it appears from the evidence that he went to the place where the members of the unlawful assembly were gathered, to prevent mischief being done to his own property which he had a right to protect. *BIRJOO SINGH v. KHUB LALL* . . . 19 W. R., Cr., 66

7. ————— *Ryots carrying away crops.*—Where the defendants, ryots of a portion of a zemindari sold in execution of a decree of the Civil Court, reaped and carried away their crops despite the purchaser's people, and refused to allow the purchaser's people to seal and mark grain which had been reaped, and the ryots were assembled in such numbers and so armed that nothing could be done against them,—Held by the High Court that the acts of the defendants did not amount to an offence under section 141 of the Penal Code. ANONYMOUS . . . 4 Mad., Ap., 65

8. ————— *cl. 4.—Interrupting procession as a nuisance.*—Held that the act of the defendants in assembling and forcibly interrupting a procession was forbidden by clause 4 of section 141 of the Penal Code, although the defendants acted upon the ground that the procession was a nuisance or annoyance to them or their community. ANONYMOUS . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 6

9. ————— *s. 143.—Dispute as to possession of land.—Assembly going with armed*

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Pension Code, s. 143—continued.

men to sow land.—On the trial of certain persons charged with being members of an unlawful assembly, it was proved that there was a dispute of long standing between the accused and certain other parties regarding the possession of certain land; that neither of the parties was in undisturbed possession of the land; that the accused went to sow the land with indigo, accompanied by a body of men armed with latties; that they were prepared to use force, if necessary; and that the latties kept off the opposite party by brandishing their weapons while the land was sowed. *Held* that the accused were rightly convicted of being members of an unlawful assembly, under section 143 of the Penal Code. *Shunker Singh v. Burmah Mahto; 23 W. R., Cr., 25*, distinguished. **IN THE MATTER OF PEARY MOHUN SIKAR. PEARY MOHUN SIKAR v. EMPRESS**

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 639]

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF PEARY MOHUN SIKAR.
[13 C. L. R., 80]

10. and s. 353.—*criminal force to public servant in execution of duty.—Resistance to search-warrant.*—Where the officer in charge of a police station required the officer in charge of another police station to cause a search to be made in a house within the limits of his station, and such officer, on being required, deputed two officers subordinate to him to make the search without delivering to them the order in writing required by section 379 of Act X of 1872, it was held that the persons resisting the search attempted could not be lawfully convicted under sections 353 and 143 of the Penal Code. *QUEEN v. NARAIN*

[7 N. W., 209]

11. — s. 147.—*Rioting.—Abating nuisance.*—*A.*, a joint owner of a parcel of land, erected on it an edifice without the consent of *B.*, another joint owner. A dispute arose and the Magistrate on inquiry ordered, under section 530 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, *A.* to be put in possession of the part of the land on which the edifice had been erected. *B.* subsequently brought a suit in the Civil Court to establish his title to joint possession of the whole parcel, and for a declaration that *A.* was not entitled to erect any edifice thereon; and he further prayed that such edifice should be removed. *B.* obtained a decree, whereupon his servants went on the land and pulled it down. They were charged before the Deputy Magistrate with having committed mischief, and on this convicted and fined. On the 8th October the accused, who were the servants of *B.*, found the men in the employ of *A.* were putting up this erection, a nawbat-khana, again, and accordingly protested against its erection, pulled down the bamboos, thrust aside the servants of *A.*, throwing to the ground one man who was clinging to the bamboos. On the 9th October 1877 these servants were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and convicted under section 147, Penal Code. *Held, per JACKSON, J.*, that, as the accused were not on the land in question as members of an unlawful assembly, nor for any unlawful purpose, the

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Pension Code, s. 147—continued.

conviction, as well as the procedure, was illegal. *Held per CUNNINGHAM, J.*, that the accused were merely exercising the remedy of abating a private nuisance, and were exercising a legal right of self-defence. **EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF DENO-NATH GHATTACK v. RAJCOOMAR SINGH**

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573]

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF RAJ-SINGH . . . 2 C. L. R., 62

12. and s. 105, cl. 4.—*Mischief.—Right of defence of property.—Penal Code, s. 105, cl. 4.*—Where land in the possession of *A.* was encroached on by the servants of *B.*, who committed mischief on the land, and the servants of *A.* assembled and resisted the encroachments, the High Court declined to interfere with the Magistrate's order convicting the servants of *A.* of unlawful assembly, as there was error in law in the order of the Magistrate, who found as a fact that the right of defence of private property had ceased under clause 4, section 105 of the Penal Code. *QUEEN v. RAJ KISTO DOSS* . . . 12 W. R., Cr., 43

13. s. 149.—*Encouraging members of unlawful assembly.*—Where persons join an unlawful assembly for the purpose of committing an assault, and instead of preventing those armed from using their weapons encourage them to do so, they are in the same position as those members of the unlawful assembly who struck the blows. *QUEEN v. DUSHRUTH ROY* . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 58

14. — *Riot in which man was killed.—Culpable homicide.*—In a case of riot in which a man was killed, the whole of the members of the unlawful assembly, as well the victorious as the worsted, were held equally guilty of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. *QUEEN v. MANA SINGH* . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 103

15. — *Constructive murder under s. 34, Penal Code.—Effect on others charged under s. 149.—Per FIELD, J.*—Where a prisoner is constructively guilty of murder under section 34 of the Penal Code, it is doubtful if he can be said to have committed the offence of murder within the meaning of section 149, so as to make other prisoners, by a double construction, guilty of murder. **IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JHUBBOO MAHTON. EMPRESS v. JHUBBOO MAHTON**

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739]

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS
[12 C. L. R., 238]

16. *Common object.*—Where a person was killed by a member of an unlawful assembly, in prosecution of the common object of that assembly, the common object being the abduction of that person's mother,—*Held* that all those who were members of the assembly at the time such person was killed were guilty of the offence of killing her. **IN THE MATTER OF GOLAM ARFIN**

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 47]

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Pension Code, s. 149—continued.

S. C. QUEEN v. GOLAM ARFIN

[13 W. R., Cr., 33]

17. and s. 300, excep.

2.—*Common object.—Murder.*—One member of an unlawful assembly, whose common object was to eject certain persons from a piece of land, the title to which was disputed, fired at and killed one of such persons. *Held* by COUCH, C. J., and JACKSON, PHEAR, and PONTIFEX, JJ. (AINSLIE, J., dissenting), that the act being sudden and unpremeditated, the other members of the assembly were not guilty of the offence of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code, but of rioting armed with a deadly weapon under section 148. **QUEEN v. SABED ALI**

[11 B. L. R., F. B., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5]

18. *Common object.—*

Murder.—A large body of men belonging to one faction waylaid another body of men belonging to a second faction, and a fight ensued, in the course of which a member of the first-mentioned faction was wounded, and retired to the side of the road, taking no further active part in the affray. After his retirement a member of the second faction was killed. *Held* (by NORMAN, J., whose opinion prevailed) that the wounded man had ceased to be a member of the unlawful assembly when he retired wounded, and that he could not, under section 149 of the Penal Code, be made liable for the subsequent murder. *Held* (by JACKSON, J.) that he remained a member of the unlawful assembly. **QUEEN v. KABIL CAZEER** . . . 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1

19. *Prosecution of com-*

mon object.—If a body of men armed with lathies, and under the leadership of one who to the knowledge of the rest is armed with a gun, assemble for the purpose of forcibly carrying off another man's property; and if in effecting that purpose, any one of the party, taking the gun, shoots and kills a person who is making a lawful resistance, the whole party may properly be convicted of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code. *Queen v. Sabed Ali*, 11 B. L. R., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5, cited. **HARI SINGH v. EMPRESS** . . . 3 C. L. R., 49

20. —*Acts taking place after*

unlawful assembly is over.—Where, after the object of an unlawful assembly had been accomplished, and the opposite party driven away, one of the members entered into an altercation with another and wounded him with a fish-spear, it was held that the act was not one done with a view to accomplish the common object of the assembly, or one which the rest knew would be likely to be committed in the prosecution of that object. **QUEEN v. BINOD**

[24 W. R., Cr., 66]

21. ss. 151 and 188.—*Assembly of five or more persons.—Lawful command.*—Where the object of only three persons was to draw a crowd and their action was such as was calculated to and did draw a crowd of fifty or sixty persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace,

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY, ss. 151 and 188—continued.

—*Held* that the gathering constituted an assembly of five or more persons within the meaning of section 151 of the Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), and that a refusal to disperse after being lawfully commanded to disperse rendered every member of the gathering liable to conviction under the said section. An order given by an officer superior in rank to an officer in charge of police stations commanding an assembly of five or more persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace to disperse, is a lawful order within the meaning of section 480 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act X of 1872). **EMPRESS v. TUCKER** . . . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 42

UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 40.

[13 B. L. R., Ap., 2]

See INTEREST—MISCELLANEOUS CASES—UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.

[7 Bom., A. C., 89
9 Bom., 7]

UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT.

See PLEADER—REMOVAL, SUSPENSION, AND DISMISSAL . 11 B. L. R., 312

UNNATURAL OFFENCE.

—Penal Code, s. 377.—*Charge.*—*Particulars as to time, place, and person.*—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 222.*—*Held*, where a person was tried for an unnatural offence and convicted on a charge which did not allege the time when, place where, or point to any known or unknown person with whom the offence was committed, and without any proof of these particulars, the facts proved against him only being that he habitually wore woman's clothes and exhibited physical signs of having committed the offence, that the conviction was not sustainable. **QUEEN-EMPRESS v. KHAIRATI**

[I. L. R., 6 All., 204]

UNSEAWORTHINESS.

See CONTRACT—CONDITIONS PRECEDENT.

[2 B. L. R., O. C., 127]

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.

—Hereditary tenure.—*Evidence of possession or receipt of rent.*—There is no long uniform current of decisions at Madras sufficient to show that every polliam, not permanently settled, is necessarily only a tenure for life, or at the will of the Government. Each case must depend upon its own particular circumstances. The existence of a proprietary estate therein, and the tenure by which it has been held, are matters judicially determinable on legal evidence. In India the proof of possession or receipt of rent by a person who pays the land revenue immediately to Government is *prima facie* evidence of an estate of inheritance in the case of an ordinary zemindari. The evidence is still stronger if it be proved that the estate has passed on one or more occasions from ancestor to heir. There is no difference in this

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.—Hereditary tenure—continued.

respect between a polliam and an ordinary zemindari.
OOLAGAPPA CHETTY v. ARBUTHNOT. COLLECTOR OF TRICHINOPOLY v. LEKAMANI. PEDDA AMANI v. ZEMINDAR OF MARUNGAPURI

[14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358
 L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282

S. C. in High Court. **ARBUTHNOT v. OOLAGAPPA CHETTY** 5 Mad., 303

And **LEKHAMANI v. RANGA KRISTNA MUTTA VIRA PUCHAYA NAIKAR** 6 Mad., 208

UNSTAMPED DOCUMENTS, ADMIS- SIBILITY OF, IN EVIDENCE.

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT—
 REJECTION OR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE
 ADMITTED OR REJECTED IN COURT BE-
 LOW—UNSTAMPED DOCUMENTS.

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES
 —SECONDARY EVIDENCE—UNSTAMPED
 OR UNREGISTERED DOCUMENTS.

UPAN CHOWKI TENURE.

See MESNE PROFITS—RIGHT TO AND LIA-
 BILITY FOR— . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 167

USER.

See CASES UNDER PRESCRIPTION.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF WAY.

Before the Limitation Act of 1871 no precise time had been laid down as sufficient to create a right of user.

See **MULLICK KARIM BAKSH v. HARRIHAR MANDAR** . 5 B. L. R., 174: 13 W. R., 440

KISTO MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. JUGGURNATH ROY JOOGEE 11 W. R., 236

HURO SOONDUREE DEBIA v. RAM DHUN BHUTTA-CHARJEE 7 W. R., 276

1. ———— **Proof of right of user.**—“*All along*” or “*from before*.”—A user “*all along*” or “*from before*” does not necessarily prove a right. Its existence must be proved from a time from which the right would be gained or presumed to have been gained. **MOOKTARAM BHUTTACHARJEE v. HURBO CHUNDER ROY** 7 W. R., 1

2. ———— **Right to outlet for water.**—**Easement.**—In a suit to close up an outlet of water opened by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court found that the “*outlet, or seuch*” was used (*barabar*) all along, and that therefore the defendant had a right of user. *Held* that an enjoyment for at least twelve years is necessary to create a right by user, and that user by the defendant for that period at least had been found. **KARTIK CHANDRA SIKAR v. KARTIK CHANDRA DEY**
 [3 B. L. R., A. C., 166: 11 W. R., 522

3. ———— **Use for many years.**—In a suit for a declaration of the right of

USER.—Proof of right of user—continued.

user over the water of a tank, which right was denied, the finding of the lower Appellate Court, from the evidence of witnesses adduced by plaintiff, that plaintiff had used the water for many years, was held to be sufficient to prove a continuous and uninterrupted user on the part of the plaintiff. **TOOLSEER DOSS KOBERRAJ v. BHAYRUB LALL TEWARER**

[8 W. R., 311

4. ———— **Prescription.**—**Ancient and uninterrupted right.**—**Easement.**—A party claiming the right of user by prescription over the property of another, must show not only that the right has existed from ancient days, but also that it has been exercised as of right, and has not been interrupted. **MALLIK JAWAD-UL-HUQ v. RAM PRASAD DAS** 3 B. L. R., A. C., 281

HEERALALL KOOPER v. PURMESSUR KOOPER
 [15 W. R., 401

5. ———— **Interruption of right of easement.**—The mere fact of user for any number of years will not be sufficient to confer a right, if the user be from time to time interrupted by the owner resuming, as occasion may require, the exclusive use of his land. In such a case the user will be treated as permissive merely, and not as the exercise of a right. **AUKHOY COOMAR CHUCKERBUTTY v. MOLLAH NOBEE NOWAZ** 13 W. R., 449

6. ———— **Wrongful interruption.**—**Acquiescence.**—Wrongful interruption does not destroy a right of user where steps are immediately taken to assert the right; but if this is not done for a length of time, acquiescence may safely be presumed. **HEERALALL KOOPER v. PURMESSUR KOOPER** 15 W. R., 401

7. ———— **Letting house to tenant.**—Where a right of user of a drain or passage is incidental to a house, that right is not affected by the owner of the house letting the house to a tenant. **AMJUDEE BEGUM v. AHMED HOSSEIN**
 [6 W. R., 314

USUFRUCTUARY MORTGAGE.

See DECREE—FORM OF DECREE—MORT-
 GAGE I. L. R., 1 All., 524

See CASES UNDER LEASE—ZUR-I-PESHGI
 LEASE.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 19 (1859,
 s. 1, CL. 15)—ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF
 OTHER RIGHTS . 13 B. L. R., 177

See CASES UNDER MORTGAGE.

USURY.

See BENGAL REGULATION XV OF 1793.
 [2 B. L. R., P. C., 44, 69

See HINDU LAW—ALIENATION—ALIENA-
 TION BY FATHER.
 [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 213

—continued.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—USURY.

See CASES UNDER INTEREST—STIPULATIONS AMOUNTING TO PENALTIES OR OTHERWISE.

See CASES UNDER MAHOMEDAN LAW—USURY.

VACATION, CLOSING OF COURT FOR—

See APPEAL TO PRIVY COUNCIL—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE—TIME FOR APPEALING.

[I. L. R., 2 Cal., 128, 272

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 5 (1871, s. 5).

VAKALATNAMA.

See PRISONER 1 Bom., 16

See STAMP ACT, 1869, SCH. II, ART. 32.
[I. L. R., 3 Cal., 767

VAKIL.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.

[11 B. L. R., 60, note

See PAUPER SUIT—SUITS . 15 W. R., 198

See CASES UNDER PLEADER.

See PRISONER 6 Mad., 38

See STAMP ACT, 1879, SCH. II, ART. 11.
[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 14

——— Right of, to plead on original side of High Court.

See RULES OF HIGH COURT, MADRAS.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 24

VAKIL AND CLIENT.

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 25.

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 362
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 258

VALUATION OF APPEAL.

See CASES UNDER BENGAL CIVIL COURTS ACT, 1871, s. 22.

See CASES UNDER VALUATION OF SUIT—APPEALS.

VALUATION OF RIGHT, TITLE, AND INTEREST CREATED BY MORTGAGE.

See CASES UNDER REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 17.

VALUATION OF SUIT.

1. SUITS	Col. 5074
2. APPEALS . . .	6088

VALUATION OF SUIT—continued.

See APPEAL.—ACTS—COURT FEES ACT.
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 145, 219

See APPEAL TO PRIVY COUNCIL—APPEALABLE ORDERS—VALUATION OF APPEAL.

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT—REJECTION OR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE ADMITTED OR REJECTED IN COURT BELOW—VALUATION OF SUIT.

See COSTS—SPECIAL CASES—VALUATION OF SUIT 14 W. R., 255
[3 Agra, Rev., 5
20 W. R., 208

See CASES UNDER COURT FEES ACT.

See JURISDICTION—QUESTION OF JURISDICTION—WRONG EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION 22 W. R., 301
[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31

See RECORDERS ACT, s. 27.
[5 B. L. R., 305
8 B. L. R., Ap., 91

1. SUITS.

1. ——— Question of valuation.—*Procedure.*—Whether or not a suit has been properly valued is a preliminary question which ought to be disposed of before the case goes to trial. JOYTABA DASSEE v. MAHOMED MOBARUCK
[I. L. R., 8 Cal., 975: 11 C. L. R., 399

2. ——— Computation of value.—*Stamp duty.*—*Valuation of subject-matter for purpose of determining jurisdiction.*—The valuation of a suit for the purposes of stamp duty, and the valuation of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of the Court in appeal, are two different things. The value of the suit for the purposes of stamp duty is fixed by certain rules which determine an artificial value for those purposes. The value of the subject-matter of a suit on appeal, on which depends the jurisdiction of the several grades of civil suits, is the actual value of the property in litigation. AUKHIL CHUNDER SEN ROY v. MOHINY MOHUN DAS
[I. L. R., 5 Cal., 489: 4 C. L. R., 491

3. ——— *Valuation for purposes of jurisdiction.*—*Court Fees Acts.*—The valuation of suits for the purpose of jurisdiction, is perfectly distinct from their valuation for the fiscal purpose of court fees. Therefore court Fees Acts, which are fiscal enactments, are not to be resorted to for construing enactments which fix the valuation of suits for the purpose of determining jurisdiction. DAYACHAND v. HEMCHAND DHARAMCHAND
[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 515

4. ——— *Jurisdiction of Munsif.*—*Mad. Reg. VI of 1816, s. 11, and III of 1833.*—The valuation of the matters of litigation for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of Munsifs is to be made in the mode prescribed by section 11, Regulation VI of 1816, and Regulation III of 1833, and not in that prescribed in the Stamp Acts. THIAGARAJA MUDALI v. RAMANUJA CHERRY;

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.

1. SUITS—continued.

Computation of value—continued.

CHINNASAMI CHETTI v. NANJAPPASABAY. JUNJLA
*VENKATARAYADU v. JUNJLA KAMAMMAH

[8 Mad., 151]

5.

Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 12.—Class of suit in which particular suit ranks.—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, which makes the decision of a Court in which a plaint or memorandum of appeal is filed final on questions relating to valuation for the purpose of determining the amount of any fee chargeable, does not affect a question as to the class of suits in which a particular suit ranks. ANNAMALAI CHETTI v. CLOETE

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204]

6.

Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 12.—Non-payment of sufficient court fee.—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, applies merely to the valuation of property for the purpose of calculating the court fee when there is no question as to the article of the schedule of the Act with reference to which the valuation is to be made, and does not apply to a case in which it is contended that the property has been wrongly valued, but that the relief has been improperly estimated by putting it under a wrong article in the schedule of the Act. It does not contemplate a case on which the Court refuses to hear a suit on the ground that a sufficient court fee has not been paid. See *Ajoodhya Pershad Singh v. Gunga Pershad, I. L. R., 6 Calo., 249; 6 C. L. R., 567.* OMRAO MIRZA v. JONES

[12 C. L. R., 148]

7.

Jurisdiction.—Market value of subject-matter, Mode of computing.—*Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), ss. 6 and 12.*—For the purpose of determining the question of jurisdiction, the valuation of a suit should be computed according to the market value of the subject-matter of the suit, and not by the special rules applicable to valuation laid down in Act VII of 1870. NANHOON SINGH v. TOFANEE SINGH

[12 B. L. R., 113; 20 W. R., 33]

JEEBRAJ SINGH v. INDERJEET MAHTOON

[12 B. L. R., 115, note; 18 W. R., 109]

CHUNDER NATH BHUTTACHARJEE v. BRINDABUN SHAHA

25 W. R., 39

KALU BIN BHIWAJI v. VISHRAM MAWAJI

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 543]

BAI MAHGOB v. BULAKHI CHAKU

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538]

8.

Market value.—Valuation for stamp purposes.—Where a Court is satisfied that the market value of the subject of a suit or appeal presented to it is of such an amount as to bring the suit or appeal within its jurisdiction, it is bound to receive it. The Court will generally assume that the value of the property in suit is that arrived at by the computation for the purposes of ascertaining the stamp duty where the Stamp Act prescribes arbitrary principles of calculation; but

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.

1. SUITS—continued.

Computation of value—continued.

where it is asserted and shown to the satisfaction of the Court that the market value is in excess of the amount computed for such purposes, the Court must take notice of the actual market value. DHUNNOO v. DAMODUR DOSS

2 N. W., 177

9.

Cases in which revenue cannot be calculated.—Market value.—In cases where, for the purpose of the stamp on an appeal, it is impracticable to ascertain accurately what portion of permanent revenue has been assessed on the lands in dispute in a suit, the appellant should furnish to the Registrar a memorandum giving an estimate of the market value and the date on which it has been calculated. If the Registrar consider the estimate clearly insufficient, the Court will issue a commission to ascertain the proper market value. The provisions of schedule B of Act XXVI of 1867 considered. EX PARTE MOONEE RANGAPPEN

[3 Mad., 352]

10.

Dispute as to proper valuation.—On a dispute arising as to the proper valuation of a suit, the Court may, on the application of either party, issue a commission and make an inquiry into the market value or the net profits of the property in dispute. The final decision as to the proper valuation is vested in the Court which hears the suit. UMA SANKAR ROY CHOWDREY v. MANSUR ALI KHAN

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 6; 13 W. R., 327]

See WAJID ALI KHAN v. LALA HANUMAN PRASAD

[4 B. L. R., A. C., 139; 12 W. R., 484]

11.

Costs.—In estimating the value of a suit, the costs must not be included in the amount in dispute. NILMADHAB DAS v. BISWAMBHAR DAS

[3 B. L. R., P. C. 27; 12 W. R., P. C., 29
13 Moore's I. A., 85]

12.

Character of suit.—Valuation altering with wording of plaint.—A suit should be valued according to its real character. Where a plaint is so worded as that, taken strictly, the valuation would be such that the Court in which the plaint was filed would have no jurisdiction, the mere miswording of the plaint will not oust the Court of its jurisdiction. AJOODHIA LALL v. GUMANI LALL

2 C. L. R., 134

13.

Incorrect valuation.—Appellate Court.—Ground for dismissal of suit.—The valuation of a suit must be taken from the statement in the plaint, and if, after going into the evidence, it is found that a particular item is improperly claimed, the Court has means of punishing the plaintiff by saddling him with costs or in any other way; but the whole suit should not be dismissed simply because, in the opinion of the lower Appellate Court, it ought to have been valued within the limit of the jurisdiction of the Small Cause Court. MOHEN LALL v. KHETARAM MAWABAY

25 W. R., 76

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.**

14. Valuation of amended plaint.—*Valuation ascertained at date of filing and at date of amendment.*—The proper valuation in the case of an amended plaint is that ascertained at the date of the amendment, and not at the date of the original filing of the plaint. **MOBO VISHVANATH GANESH VITHAL** **10 Bom., 444**

15. Valuation of plaint presented again after return of plaint.—*Return of plaint for want of jurisdiction.*—*Second presentation under Court Fees Act, 1870.*—*Act XXVI of 1867, Plaint presented under.*—Where a plaint in a suit was originally presented, when Act XXVI of 1867 was in force, in the Court of the Munsif, and, being above the amount for which that Court had jurisdiction, was returned for presentation to the Subordinate Judge, and when presented there it was admitted and heard, and afterwards it was found that under Act VII of 1870, the Court Fees Act which was then in force, the lower standard of valuation necessary would have made it cognisable by the Munsif,—*Held* that by analogy to the cases which decide that the date of a suit for the purposes of limitation is the date when the plaint was originally presented, the suit must be assumed to have been brought when the plaint was filed in the Munsif's Court, and therefore was properly valued under Act XXVI of 1867. **KHELLAT CHUNDER GHOSE v. NUSSEEBUNNISA BIBEE** **16 W. R., 47**

16. Account, Suit for.—*Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cl. f, and s. 11.*—By section 7, clause f, of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, the plaintiff in a suit for accounts must state the amount at which he values the relief sought; but he is free to fix it as he thinks proper, subject to the provisions of section 11 which preclude the execution of the decree in case it exceeds such value until the execution fee has been paid. **GOVINDAS v. DAYABHAI** [**I. L. R., 9 Bom., 22**]

17. Adoption, Suit to set aside—*Suit by reversioner.*—*Jurisdiction.*—For the purpose of determining the jurisdiction over a suit by a reversioner to set aside an adoption, the loss which would accrue to the adopted person, should the adoption be declared invalid, is the measure of the value of the subject-matter of the suit. **KESHAVA SANABHAGA v. LAKSHMINARAYAN.** [**I. L. R., 6 Mad., 192**]

18. Annuity, Suit for declaration of right to.—*Act XXVI of 1867.*—*Stamp Act, 1862, sch. A, cl. 2.*—In a suit for a declaration of right to an annuity (*varshasan*) it was held that the stamp for the petition of special appeal should be regulated by the market value of the annuity, and that *prima facie* ten times the amount of the annuity might be assumed to be its market value, as enacted for analogous agreements by section 2, schedule A, Act X of 1862. **NARSINACHARYA v. SVAMI RAYA CHARYA** **5 Bom., A. C., 55**

19. Attachment, Suit to set aside.—*Suit by trustees' deed given by insolvent for benefit*

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.**

Attachment, Suit to set aside—continued.
of creditors.—The valuation for stamp duty of a suit brought by the trustees of an assignment by an insolvent trader for the benefit of his creditors to set aside an attachment by an execution-creditor, should be calculated on the value of the lien claimed by the judgment-creditor. **STEPHENSON v. BAUMGARTNER** [**3 Agra, 104**]

20. Suit under Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 288.—*Stamp.*—*Possession.*—*Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1.*—When a party prefers a claim or makes any objection to the attachment of any property in execution of a decree, but fails to establish it, and brings a suit under section 283 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882) to establish his right to the property attached, his plaint is to be treated as falling under article 17, clause 1 of schedule II of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, and is chargeable with only a ten-rupee stamp, notwithstanding that the plaintiff may pray in such a suit to be awarded possession. *Parvati v. Kisan Sing, Judgments for 1881, p. 121, followed; Gunpatgir Guru Bholagir v. Gunpatgir, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 290, distinguished.* **DHONDO SAKHARAM v. GOVIND BABAJI** . . . **I. L. R., 9 Bom., 20**

21. Attachment, Suit to set aside order removing.—*Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, ss. 6 and 12, and sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1.*—*Valuation by subordinate Court.*—*Suit to re-establish judgment-debtor's right to property on removal of attachment.*—Where, on the removal of an attachment at the instance of a third party, the judgment-creditor brought a suit to establish the right of his judgment-debtor to the property from which the attachment had been removed, and to get the summary order to remove the attachment set aside,—*Held* that the proper stamp on a plaint of that kind was R10 under section 6 and schedule II, article 171 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870. **VITAL KRISHNA v. BALKRISHNA JANARDAN** [**I. L. R., 10 Bom., 610**]

22. Award, Suit to carry out.—A suit to carry out an arbitration award need not be valued. **KHODA BUKSH v. MOWLA BUKSH** [**14 W. R., 255**]

23. Award, Application to file.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 52b.*—The proper court fee upon an application to file an award under section 525 is the court fee prescribed for applications, and not the court fee upon a plaint. **BIJADHUR BHUGUT v. MONOHUR BHUGUT** [**I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11**]

S. C. PALUT BHAGUT v. MONOHUR BHAGUT.

24. Charge on property, Suit to establish.—*Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873.*—*Subject-matter of suit.*—For the purposes of jurisdiction (Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873) the subject-matter of a suit to establish the validity of a charge upon property is, when the property is in excess of the charge, the amount of the charge; when the charge

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Charge on property, Suit to establish—continued.**

is in excess of the property, the value of the property. **KRISHNAMA CHARYAR v. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR** . . . **I. L. R., 4 Mad., 339**

25. Damages, Suit for.—In determining the jurisdiction of the Court in a suit for damages, the amount claimed, and not that eventually found due, must be taken as the valuation. **JOY DOORGA DASSEE v. MANICK CHAND BABOO**
[16 W. R., 248]

26. — Declaratory decree, Suit for.—*Suit to establish right to attached property.*—Held that, in the case where a person has preferred a claim to property attached in the execution of a decree, on the ground that such property is not liable to such attachment, and an order is passed against him, and he sues to establish his right to such property, the value of the subject-matter in dispute in such suit, for the purposes of jurisdiction, will be the amount of such decree. Second Appeal No. 320 of 1876, decided the 16th May 1876, followed. **GULZARI LAL v. JADAUN RAI** . **I. L. R., 2 All., 799**

27. Suit to establish right by reversal of deeds.—When a plaintiff only sues for declaration of his title to certain lands on reversal of the kobalas said to have been illegally executed by his father, he need not be compelled to value the case at the total of the consideration mentioned in those deeds. **SHRO GHOLAM SINGH v. BEJOYRAM PROTAP SINGH** . **W. R., 1864, 317**

28. Plaintiff insufficient.—*Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, s. 12.*—The law allows a plaintiff in some cases to rectify a mistake as to stamp duty, but this privilege is subject to qualification, and does not exist where the relief to be granted is altogether distinct from that originally sought. In such a case, the plaintiff should not be allowed to put an additional stamp on his plaint. Where a plaintiff sued on a stamp of R10 for a declaration of his title to land worth R19,000, in the possession of the defendant, it was held that the suit could not be maintained, and that the plaintiff was not entitled to put an additional stamp on the plaint and convert his suit into one for possession. **CHOKALINGAPESHANA NAICKER v. ACHHAYAB** . . . **I. L. R., 1 Mad., 40**

29. Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), s. 4, and sch. II, art. 17, cls. 3 and 6.—Jurisdiction.—*Bom. Civil Courts Act, XIV of 1869, s. 24.*—A Subordinate Judge of the 2nd class has no jurisdiction to entertain a suit for the declaration of the plaintiff's title where the property in respect of which the declaration is sought exceeds R5,000 in value. The law may lay down, for purposes of revenue, certain rules for the valuation of suits; but such valuation cannot be accepted as a criterion of the actual amount or value of the claim, upon which the jurisdiction of a Court depends.

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Declaratory decree, Suit for—continued.**

Whether a suit be merely to obtain a decree, declaratory of the plaintiff's title to, or whether it be to establish his title, coupled with a prayer for possession of, the rights of a deceased person, the inheritance is the object in dispute. The actual value of the estate to which the plaintiff claims to be entitled, and not the value which it may eventually represent to the plaintiff, is the value of the subject-matter. **BAI MAHKOR v. BULAKHI CHAKRE**

[**I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538**

30. Court Fees Act, s. 12, and sch. II, art. 17, cl. 3.—Consequential relief.—Appeal.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 246.*—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act prohibits appeals on questions relating to valuation for the purpose of determining the amount of a fee, but does not prevent a Court of Appeal from determining whether or not consequential relief is sought in a suit, so that it may determine under what class of cases the suit falls for the purposes of the Court Fees Act. A suit by a person against whom an order has been made, under section 246 of Act VIII of 1859, disallowing his claim to the attached property, need not be valued according to the value of the property, but can be brought on a stamp of R10, under Act VII of 1870, schedule II, article 17 (iii). **CHUNIA v. RAM DIAL**
[**I. L. R., 1 All., 360**

31. Suit to stay but-wara proceedings under Beng. Reg. XIX of 1814, after partition by private arrangement.—An allottee, under a private partition, sued to stay subsequent partition proceedings brought under Regulation XIX of 1814, and to have his possession confirmed. The defendants objected to the valuation of the suit and to the suit being heard by the Civil Courts, no proceedings having first been instituted before the revenue authorities. Held that such a suit should be considered to be one for a declaratory decree, or for something in the nature of an injunction, and that, therefore, the plaint should not be stamped according to the value of the entire estate. **JOYNATH ROY v. LALL BAHADUR SINGH**
[**I. L. R., 8 Calc., 126: 10 C. L. R., 146**

32. Deed, Suit to set aside.—Suit for cancellation of bond.—Value of subject-matter of suit.—Jurisdiction.—The value of the subject-matter of a suit for the cancellation of a bond is to be determined with reference only to the principal amount, and not that amount together with the interest payable thereon when the suit is instituted. **GULAB RAI v. MANGU LAL** . **I. L. R., 6 All., 71**

33. Appeal.—Suit for cancellation of a document.—Jurisdiction.—The plaintiffs sued for the cancellation of a bond for the payment of R6,000, together with interest thereon at the rate of 4 per cent. per mensem, alleging that they had executed such bond under the impression that it was a bond for the payment of R3,000, together with interest thereon at the rate of 1½ per cent.

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Deed, Suit to set aside—continued.**

per mensum, whereas the defendants had fraudulently caused them to execute the bond in suit. The plaintiffs paid into Court Rs3,000, together with interest at the rate of 1½ per cent. per mensum. *Held* that the value of the subject-matter in dispute was the difference between Rs3,000 and Rs6,000 or thereabouts, and therefore an appeal from the decree of the Court of first instance preferred to the District Judge was cognisable by him. *KALI CHARAN RAI v. AJUDHIA RAI*. . . **I. L. R., 2 All, 148**

34.**Deed prejudicing**

title to immoveable property.—In a suit to annul a sale-deed which prejudices the title of the plaintiffs to immoveable property, a stamp calculated on the consideration-money mentioned in the sale-deed is sufficient. *THAKOOR PATHUK v. RAM SOOMRAN LAL* [2 N. W., 433]

35.**Suit to set aside**

sale-deed as being forged.—A suit to set aside a false sale-deed was held to be sufficiently valued at the sum mentioned in that sale-deed. *THAKOOR PATUCK v. RAMSOOMRAN LALL*. **1 N. W., 17: Ed. 1873, 16**

36.**Suit to cancel**

document on ground of fraud.—The plaintiff executed a document whereby he created a charge of Rs4,500 upon certain immoveable property. In a suit to cancel the document upon the ground of fraud, —*Held* that the plaintiff valued his relief at Rs4,500, and that the District Munsif had no jurisdiction to try the suit. *NARAINA PUTTER v. AYA PUTTER* [7 Mad., 372]

37.**Suit for possession**

of property alienated.—*Price stated in sale-deed.*—In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, the plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala. *AUGOPURA CHOWDREY v. MEAH BIBEE* [10 W. R., 207]

38.**Ejectment, Suit for,—Market**

value of tenant-right.—Where a landlord claims to eject a tenant, he claims to recover the tenant-rights in the holding, and the stamp duty chargeable on the plaint should be determined with reference to the market value of that right only. *AJODHYA CHOWDREY v. DAIBEE SINGH*. . . **3 Agra, Rev., 5**

39.**Suit to contest**

claim of occupancy ryot.—*Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cl. 11, and sch. II, cl. 5.*—In a suit to eject a defendant as being a tenant at will, the court fee upon the plaint or memorandum of appeal is 8 annas under schedule II, clause 5 of Act VII of 1870. Clause 11 (d) of section 7 of that Act applies only to suits brought by a tenant to dispute the validity of his landlord's notice to quit. *NUBJHAN v. MAFAN MUNDUL*. . . **11 C. L. R., 91**

40.**Suit to have a**

lease set aside and buildings erected by lessors demu-

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Ejectment, Suit for—continued.**

lished.—*Suit for possession of land and demolition of buildings erected thereon.*—*Court Fees Act.—Beng. Civil Courts Act, ss. 20, 22.*—Certain co-sharers of a village sued to have a lease of certain land, the joint undivided property of the co-sharers, which the other co-sharers had granted, set aside, and to have the building erected on such land by the lessors demolished, on the ground that such lease had been granted without their consent. They valued the relief sought at Rs100. The value of the buildings of which they sought demolition was Rs3,000. *B. sued N. claiming inter alia possession of certain land and to have certain buildings erected thereon by the defendant demolished.* *Held*, with reference to the above-mentioned suits, that in estimating their value for the purposes of the Court Fees Act, 1870, or of the Bengal Civil Courts Act, 1871, the value of the buildings which might have to be demolished should not be taken into account. *JOGAL KISHOR v. TALE SINGH. BINDESHRI CHAUBEY v. NANDU* [I. L. R., 4 All, 320]

41.**Emoluments attached to**

office, Suit for.—*Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cls. 2, 4.*—*Claims for future emoluments.*—*Jurisdiction.*—*Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, s. 12.*—*Portion of claim struck out and plaint returned for presentation to inferior Court.*—In a suit filed in the Court of a Subordinate Judge, the plaintiff prayed, *inter alia*, for a decree for the payment, annually, of the emoluments attached to a certain office, or their value at a rate stated in the plaint. This portion of the claim he valued, under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, at ten times the amount of the value claimed for one year. The value of the claim thus stated exceeded the pecuniary limit of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif. The Subordinate Judge held that this portion of the claim was not actionable, inasmuch as the right to the emoluments was conditional upon services to be rendered, and did not fall under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, not being a fixed sum payable periodically, and therefore he held that the plaint was improperly valued, that the suit was not within his jurisdiction, and that the plaint should be returned to be presented to the proper Court. *Held* that this order was right. *KRISHNAN v. REVI VARMA* [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 384]

42.**Instalment bond, Suit on.**

—The stamp on a plaint on an instalment bond should be estimated, not on the amount of the whole bond, but on the amount claimed in the suit. *SUTTO BHAMA DOSSEA v. JAMEERUDDY KHAN* [4 W. R., 8 C. C. Ref., 12]

43.

—**Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of.**—*Act XXVI of 1867, sch. B, cl. 11.*—*Amount of assessment.*—*Held* that a khoti estate is an estate paying revenue to Government upon which an assessment is temporarily settled, and that a suit for its recovery should be assessed at eight times the annual assessment under Act XXVI of 1867, schedule B,

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of—continued.**

article 11, note (a), Sp. Rule 1 for the Bombay Presidency. *EX PARTE VITHAL alias GOPAL GONESH BIVALKAR* . . . **4 Bom., A. C., 148**

44. Manager, Suit to remove.—

Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7.—Suit to eject trustee.—Jurisdiction.—Specific Relief Act, s. 42.—By an agreement between S. and M., members of the same Hindu family, it was arranged that certain immoveable property dedicated to charitable uses by the family should be managed by M., subject to the supervision of S., and that M. should render accounts to S. and observe certain other conditions. S. sued M. in the Court of the District Munsif, and prayed for a decree for the removal of M. as manager and for the appointing of himself as manager of the property. M. objected that the Court had no jurisdiction, because the property exceeded in value the pecuniary limits of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif's Court as fixed by section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873. *Held* that S. was not entitled to sue for the removal of M. without praying for his ejectment from the property, and that, as the property exceeded in value Rs. 2,500, the District Munsif had no jurisdiction. *SONACHALA v. MANIKA* . . . **[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 516]**

45.

Karnavan of Malabar tarwad.—Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, s. 13.—For the purpose of jurisdiction a suit to remove the karnavan of a Malabar tarwad is not a suit for the recovery of the tarwad properties managed by the karnavan and to be valued as such, but a suit which asks for a relief that is incapable of valuation. *NARANJOLI CHIRAKAL KUNHI RAMAN v. NARANJOLI CHIRAKAL PUTTALATHU KUNHUNNI NAMBIAR* . . . **I. L. R., 4 Mad., 314**

46.

Suit for removal of karnavan.—Court Fees Act, 1870, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 6.—A suit for the removal of a karnavan of a Malabar tarwad on the ground of misfeasance is incapable of valuation and falls under section 6, article 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act, 1870. *GOVINDAN NAMBIAR v. KRISHNAN NAMBIAR* . . . **[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 146]**

47.

Mesne profits, Suit for.—Denial of plaintiff's title.—In a suit for wasilat, the stamp on the plaint will be sufficient if it cover the amount claimed for wasilat, notwithstanding the defendant may deny the title of the plaintiff to the land. *KADIE BUKSH v. WISE* . . . **[Marsh, 165: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103]**

1 Hay, 370

48.

Suit for possession and mesne profits.—Where a suit for mesne profits is united with one for possession, no separate stamp-fee is necessary in respect of mesne profits. *SYEDUN v. ALLAH AHMED* . . . **W. R., 1864, 327**

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.**

49. ——— Partition, Suit for.—Madras Civil Courts Act, s. 12.—Jurisdiction.—Subject-matter of suit.—In suits for partition, the value of the property of which the plaintiff claims a share, and not the value of the share claimed, determines the jurisdiction of the Court under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873. *VEDINATHA v. SUBRAMANYA* . . . **I. L. R., 8 Mad., 235**

50.

Hearing fee, Calculation of.—Market value of property.—The ordinary rule for assessing the hearing fee according to the market value of the property in suit is not applicable to a suit for partition, and the Court in each case ought to fix the amount of such fee. Generally speaking, the value of the suit is the difference between the value after partition of the plaintiff's share which he requires to be partitioned and the value of the same share not partitioned. *KIRTEE CHUNDER MITTAR v. ANNATH NATH DEB* . . . **[13 C. L. R., 253]**

51.

Suit for division of lands according to established custom.—A co-owner of village lands sued in 1861 to have them divided among the villagers according to a custom (last observed in 1835) that at the expiration of every twelve years the lands should be re-distributed by lot among the co-owners, and to have two of the shares delivered to him as one of such co-owners. In 1851 another co-owner had, in a suit to which some only of the present defendants were parties, obtained a decree for the periodical allotment of the lands; and in 1853 such decree, which clearly recognised the existence and validity of the custom, was affirmed on appeal. *Held* that the plaintiff need not pay an institution fee on the aggregate amount of the value of all the sharers in the village, and that the stamp on the plaint need only be proportioned to the value of the property actually sued for. *VENKATASWAMI NAYAKKAN v. SUBBA RAU. SANKARA SUBBAIYAN v. SUBBA RAU* . . . **2 Mad., 1**

52.

Jurisdiction.—Subject-matter of suit.—Act XIV of 1869, s. 25.—What *prima facie* determines the jurisdiction of a Court is the claim, or subject-matter of the claim, as estimated by the plaintiff; and the determination having given the jurisdiction, the jurisdiction itself continues, whatever the event of the suit. And this is so notwithstanding a *bona fide* error in the estimate made by the plaintiff, but plaintiff cannot oust the Court of its jurisdiction by making unwarrantable additions to the claim which cannot be sustained, and which there is no reasonable ground for expecting to sustain. The subject-matter of a claim, within the meaning of section 25 of Act XIV of 1869, is the specific thing sought by the plaintiff. In a partition suit, where the plaintiff seeks for a division and separate possession of his share in joint property, it is the share so claimed which is the subject-matter of the claim, and not the whole of the joint property which is sought to be divided. *LAKSHMAN BHATKAR v. BABAJI BHATKAR* . . . **[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31]**

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.**

53. ——— Possession, Suit for.—Suit by auction-purchaser.—Procedure.—In a suit for possession by an auction-purchaser, where plaintiff valued his claim at what he paid for the property,—*Held* that the valuation was *primâ facie* not incorrect, and, until rebutted by evidence and the result of a proper inquiry, should be accepted as correct. If the valuation was doubted, an inquiry should have been instituted under Act XXVI of 1867. *SOOBUDRA v. RAM PROKASH SINGH* . . . **16 W. R., 5**

54. ——— Suit after foreclosure.—Court Fees Act, s. 7, cl. 9.—Where a suit for possession is brought after a decree for foreclosure has been obtained, the valuation of such a suit, in so far as the jurisdiction of the Court is concerned, is not to be calculated according to the scale laid down in the Court Fees Act, section 7, clause 9. *AHOLLYA BAI DEBIA v. SHAMA CHURN BOSE* **[1 C. L. R., 473]**

55. ——— Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 229, Procedure under.—Fresh suit.—Jurisdiction.—For the purpose of jurisdiction a claim under section 229 of Act VIII of 1859 is a fresh suit and not a continuation of the suit in which the claim is made: so that where, by reason of a change in the law as to the mode of valuing suits for the purpose of jurisdiction between the date of the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit ceases to have jurisdiction over the subject-matter of the claim, that Court cannot try the claim. *MUTTAMMAL v. CHINNAN GOUNDEN* . . . **I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220**

56. ——— Suit for division of share of estate and to set aside deed.—In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate, and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala. *AUGUPURA CHOWDHEY v. MEAH BIBER* **[10 W. R., 207]**

57. ——— Suit for possession and declaration of title.—Where a suit is for recovery of possession (with mesne profits) of a certain portion of land, and for a declaration of right in respect of the remainder, its valuation should not include the value of the latter, which is only nominal, and requires a stamp of Rs. 10. *HURRO NATH BHUTTACHAJEE v. HAEVEY* . . . **25 W. R., 23**

58. ——— Pre-emption, Suit, for.—In a suit for pre-emption, the valuation of the property sued for is to be calculated at the market value for which it would sell, and not at ten times the value of the sudder jumma. *ANJUD SINGH v. DEPU SINGH* **[3 B. L. R., Ap., 143; 14 W. R., 238, note]**
NAUNHOO SINGH v. TOFAN SINGH **[14 W. R., 228]**

59. ——— Jurisdiction.—Beng. Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), s. 20.—In a pre-emption suit, the subject-matter is the right of

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Pre-emption, Suit for—continued.**

pre-emption, the value of which, and not that of the property itself, determines the question of jurisdiction under section 20, Act VI of 1871. *NAUN SINGH v. RASH BEHARY SINGH* **[I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255]**

60. ——— Redemption, Suit for.—Value for purpose of jurisdiction.—The purchaser of the equity of redemption of certain land sued to redeem the same. He made the mortgagor and vendor of the land a *pro formâ* defendant. *Held* that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was not the market value of the land, but the amount of the mortgage money. *KUBAIR SINGH v. ATMA RAM* **[I. L. R., 5 All., 332]**

61. ——— Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, ss. 12 and 14.—Value of improvements.—Per curiam (TURNER, C. J., and MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, J., dissenting).—Where an instrument of mortgage does not expressly secure the amount to be allowed for improvements on redemption of the mortgage, the value of the improvements is not to be calculated in ascertaining the "value of the subject-matter of the suit" for the purposes of jurisdiction under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act. *Per TURNER, C. J. (MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, J., concurring).*—By the custom of Malabar a condition is attached to all kanam demises that the mortgagor shall pay the value of improvements made by the mortgagee during the term of the demise before he can redeem, and the repayment of the sums spent in improvements is thus secured by the mortgage in the same manner as the repayment of the principal advanced, and must be calculated in determining the value of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of jurisdiction. *ZAMORIN OF CALICUT v. NARAYANA* . **I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284**

ANONYMOUS CASE

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 287, note]

62. ——— Jurisdiction of Munsif.—The integrity of a joint usufructuary mortgage having been broken in consequence of the mortgagee having purchased the right of several of the mortgagors, one of the mortgagors sued in the Munsif's Court to recover his share of the mortgaged property, alleging that the mortgage had been redeemed. The value of the mortgagee's right, *quâd* such share, was under Rs. 1,000. The mortgagee set up as a defence to such suit that a bond, under which a sum exceeding Rs. 1,000 was due, had been tacked to the mortgage, and that until such sum had been satisfied the plaintiff could not recover possession of his share. *Held*, on the question whether the Munsif had jurisdiction, that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was the value of the mortgagee's right, *quâd* the plaintiff's share; and as the value of such right did not exceed Rs. 1,000 even if it were held that the mortgaged property was further incumbered with such bond, such suit was cognisable in the Munsif's Court. The principle

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Redemption, Suit for—continued.**

laid down in *Gobind Singh v. Kallu, I. L. R., 2 All., 778*, followed. *BAHADUR v. NAWAB JAN*

[*I. L. R., 3 All., 822*

63. ——— Joint mortgage.

Jurisdiction.—Court fee.—Valuation of suit.—“Subject-matter in dispute.”—Act VII of 1870, s. 7, art. ix.—Act VI of 1871, s. 20.—Statute, Construction of.—A deed of mortgage was executed by P., T., and S. for Rs. 4,000. A., the purchaser of the share of S., brought a suit for recovery of possession of one third of the mortgaged property against the mortgagees who had purchased the shares of P. and T., the other mortgagors. *Held* by the Full Bench with reference to section 7, article ix of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), that the defendants-mortgagees having bought up the equity of redemption of two of the mortgagors, and *pro tanto* extinguished their mortgage-debt, and so by their own act empowered the plaintiff to sue for redemption of one third of the property, the principal money now secured as between them and the plaintiff must now be regarded as one third of the original mortgage amount, —namely, Rs. 1,333-5-4,—more particularly as fiscal enactments should, as far as possible, be construed in favour of the subject. *Balkrishna Dhondo v. Nagvekar, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 324*, referred to. *Held*, also, with reference to the terms of section 20 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), that the “subject-matter in dispute” in suits of this kind was the amount of the mortgage-debt and the mortgagee’s rights which were sought to be paid off; that from the terms of the plaint it was obvious that in the present case the subject-matter in dispute was Rs. 1,333-5-4, the one third of the original mortgage sum of Rs. 4,000; and that it was therefore beyond the limits of the Munsif’s pecuniary jurisdiction. *Per MAHMOOD, J.*—It is a rule of construction that while in cases of taxation everything must be strictly construed in favour of the subject, in questions of jurisdiction the presumption is in favour of giving jurisdiction to the highest Court. Observations by *MAHMOOD, J.*, as to the subject-matter of suits for the redemption of mortgages, and the mode in which the value of such subject-matter should be calculated for purposes of jurisdiction. *AMANAT BEGAM v. BHAJAN LAL . . . I. L. R., 8 All., 438**

64. ——— Usufructuary mortgage.—Overvaluation of suit, Effect of.—Jurisdiction.—The mere fact that a suit has been overvalued does not deprive the Court in which it is brought of jurisdiction, if the overvaluation was *bona fide* and had not the effect of altering the appellate jurisdiction,—that is to say, did not cause the appeal from the judgment of the Court of first instance to lie to a different Court from that to which it would have lain had the suit been instituted in a Court having a more limited jurisdiction. *RAJENDRO LALL GOSSAMI v. SHAMA CHURN LAHORI . I. L. R., 5 Cal., 188; [4 C. L. R., 417*

65. ——— Suit to redeem mortgaged land paying revenue to Government.—

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**1. SUITS—continued.****Redemption, Suit for—continued.**

The stamp duty payable under section B of Act X of 1862, on a suit to redeem mortgaged land paying revenue to Government, should be calculated on the sum for which the land is mortgaged, and not on the market value of such land. *NANDRAM SUNDARJI NAIK v. BALAJI VITHAL . . . 5 Bom., A. C., 158*

66. ——— Suit by kanam-holder against jenmi and holders of prior kanam in possession.—A suit brought by a kanam-holder against the jenmi and the holders of a prior kanam in possession, to recover possession of the lands, may be properly treated, for the purpose of jurisdiction, as a suit for land, although it results in a decree for redemption, and, if regarded as a redemption suit, would be cognisable by a Court of subordinate jurisdiction. *MARAKAR v. PARAMESWARAN*

[*I. L. R., 6 Mad., 140*

67. ——— Restitution of conjugal rights, Suit for.—Burma Courts Act, 1875, s. 1.

peal.—The proviso in section 49 of the Burma Courts Act amounts to an express declaration that it is a condition precedent to the right of appeal from the Recorder’s Court that the suit shall be one which has an amount or value capable of being estimated in money, and that that amount or value must fall within certain specified limits. A suit for the restitution of conjugal rights is incapable of being valued, and no appeal therefore in such a suit will lie under the Burma Courts Act from a decision of the Recorder of Rangoon. *GOLAM RAHMAN v. FATIMA BIBI*

[*I. L. R., 13 Cal., 232*

68. ——— Sale, Suit to set aside.—Sale in execution of decree.—Value of property sold.—In a suit to set aside an auction sale, the plaint must be stamped as if the suit were for the recovery of the property. *DRAHU CHOWDHRY v. ISHAN CHUNDER DAS . . . 9 C. L. R., 231*

69. ——— Share of land, Suit for.—Suit relating to land.—Rental of share.—In valuing a suit relating to a share of land, the rental of the share is to be the criterion of the stamp. *RAM BUXSH THAKOOR v. AJOODHYA LAL*

[*2 W. R., Mis., 45*

70. ——— Waste lands, Suit for.—Act XXIII of 1863 (Waste Lands), s. 5, Suit under.—In a suit under section 5, Act XXIII of 1863, by a claimant to waste land proposed to be sold or otherwise dealt with on account of Government, or by an objection to the sale or other disposition of such land, the plaint must be on a stamp of Rs. 100. *GURESH CHUNDER ROY v. COLLECTOR OF SYLHET*

[*7 W. R., 349*

2. APPEALS.

71. ——— Question of valuation.—Appellate Court, Power of.—Act XXVI of 1867.—An Appellate Court has no power to set aside a decision arrived at by the Court of first instance as to the

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**2. APPEALS—continued.****Question of valuation—continued.**

valuation of the property in suit. *MAFIZUDDIN v. KARIMUNISSA BIBEE*

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 14 W. R., 381

ISHAN CHANDRA MOOKERJEE v. LOKENATH ROY

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 14 W. R., 451

72. ————— Jurisdiction.—

Appellate Court.—Where it appears on appeal that the suit has not been rightly valued, and if rightly valued the Court of first instance would not have had jurisdiction to try it, the Appellate Court may entertain the objection, though it had not been raised in the Court below. *SHEO GOBIND RAUT v. ABHAI SINGH* 5 B. L. R., Ap., 17

73. ————— Undervaluation.—Ground

for dismissing appeal.—*Insufficient stamp.*—Where an appeal was brought on an insufficient stamp, the appeal was dismissed without prejudice to the appellant bringing a fresh appeal within twenty days on a full stamp. *WALI ALAM v. NASRAN*

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 104

S. C. WOLEE ALUM v. MISRUN 12 W. R., 50

74. ————— Ground for dis-

missing appeal.—When a suit has been admitted upon a certain stamp, tried, and decreed for the plaintiff, "undervaluation" is no ground for dismissing the defendant's appeal. *EMAMUDDIN KHAN v. RAMKISHORE KOWAR* 5 B. L. R., Ap., 30

75. ————— Insufficiently-

stamped appeal.—*Deputy Registrar, Power of.*—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 31.*—The Deputy Registrar has no authority to make an order returning a petition of appeal when the stamp fee paid upon is insufficient. The right course for that officer, if his requirements as to stamps are not complied with, is to lay the matter before the Court. But if the appellant is ready to pay what is required, then, whether the time for filing the appeal has expired or not, the Deputy Registrar is bound to receive it if it was originally presented in time. *AMBUK ALI v. KALI CHAND DOSS* 24 W. R., 258

76. ————— Overvaluation.—Refund of

stamp duty.—Where excess stamps had been filed in consequence of an overvaluation of the appeal, the surplus amount was ordered to be refunded. *IN THE MATTER OF GRANT* 14 W. R., 47

77. ————— Law applicable to valuation.

—Law in force at presentation of appeal.—The valuation of an appeal must be according to the Act in force at the time of its presentation, and the original valuation under a law obsolete at the period of appeal can have no influence in the decision. *ANONYMOUS* 5 Mad., Ap., 44

BHUGOBUTTY KOOR v. KUSTOORRE KOOR

[15 W. R., 272

78. ————— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 229.*—*Change of law between date of*

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**2. APPEALS—continued.****Law applicable to valuation—continued.**

original suit and date of claim, Effect of, on jurisdiction.—The subject-matter of an appeal should be valued for the purpose of jurisdiction according to the law in force at the date of the appeal, and not of the suit which has led to it. For the purpose of jurisdiction, a claim under section 229 of Act VIII of 1859 is a fresh suit, and not a continuation of the suit in which the claim is made; so that where, by reason of a change in the law as to the mode of valuing suits for the purpose of jurisdiction between the date of the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit ceases to have jurisdiction over the subject-matter of the claim, that Court cannot try the claim. *MUTTUMMAL v. CHINNANA GOUNDEN* I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220

79. ————— Computation of value.—Valu-

of appeal for jurisdiction.—*Mad. Act III of 1873 (Madras Civil Courts Act).*—According to section 13 of Act III of 1873 (the Madras Civil Courts Act), it is the money value of the original suit that fixes the jurisdiction throughout the subsequent litigation in its several stages. *Held*, therefore, where the amount of the original suit was more than Rs.5,000, and an appeal was preferred to the District Court, but the amount in dispute in the appeal did not exceed Rs.5,000, that the District Court had no jurisdiction to hear the appeal. *MUTHUSAMI PILLAI v. MUTHU CHIDAMBARA CHETTI*

[7 Mad., 356

80. ————— Appeals in measurement

cases.—*Miscellaneous petitions.*—Petitions of appeal in cases to obtain an order for measurement may be written on the stamp used for miscellaneous petitions. *SMITH v. NUNDUN LAL*

[6 W. R., Act X, 13

81. ————— Right to measure

valued at specified amount.—Where a zemindar values his right to measure at a certain amount, the petition of appeal must be written on a regular stamp according to such valuation, and not upon a stamp used for miscellaneous petitions. *OOMA CHURN BISWAS v. SHIBNATH BAGCHEE* 8 W. R., 14

82. ————— Appeal from order de-

claring party to have no locus standi.—*Miscellaneous appeal.*—*Petition.*—An appeal from an order of the lower Appellate Court, declaring that a party who claimed to be in possession of property taken in execution of a decree to which he was no party, and with which he had no concern, had no *locus standi* in the execution case, is in the nature of a miscellaneous appeal, and should be on a stamp for an ordinary petition. *MOHESH CHUNDER BANERJEE v. CHUNDER MONEE DABEE* 9 W. R., 139

83. ————— Appeal from order reject-

ing application to set aside ex parte decision.—*Summary appeal.*—The stamp required for a petition of appeal from an order rejecting an application to set aside an *ex parte* decision under section

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**2. APPEALS—continued.**

Appeal from order rejecting application to set aside ex parte decision—continued.

119, Act VIII of 1859, was a two-rupee stamp. Such an appeal was treated as a summary and not a regular appeal. *PARBUTTY v. GREEDHAREE LALL*

[4 W. R., Mis., 15

84. Appeal from order rejecting plaint for misjoinder.—Miscellaneous appeal.—Stamp.—An appeal from an order rejecting a plaint for misjoinder is a miscellaneous appeal; and if it is rejected, an appeal from the order of rejection is also of the nature of a miscellaneous appeal, and is to be valued and stamped as such. *KOSSELLA KORR v. BEHAREE PATUCK* . . . 12 W. R., 70

85. Appeal by mortgagee on question of lien.—Where the appeal by the mortgagee was not with reference to the property, but to a mortgage lien,—*Held* that the valuation for the purpose of stamp in such appeals should be with reference to the value of the lien for the mortgage-debt of incumbrance, and not with reference to the value of the mortgaged property. *MAHOMED SHEERUN KHAN v. MISSER KOONDUN LALL. BHEEKA v. NUND KISHORE.*

[Agra, F. B., 158 : Ed. 1874, 119

86. Appeal in suit for profits in respect of several years.—Court fees.—Distinct causes of action.—Distinct subjects.—*Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees Act), s. 17.—Civil Procedure Code, ss. 43, 44.*—In an appeal in a suit for recovery of profits under section 93 (h) of the N. W. P. Rent Act in respect of several years, the proper court fee leviable on the memorandum of appeal is one calculated on the aggregate amount of the profits claimed, and not one calculated separately on the amount of profits claimed for each year. *MUHAMMAD MALIK KHAN v. NIRHAI BIBI*

[I. L. R., 7 All., 761

87. Appeal from rejection of claim by forest settlement officer.—Mad. Forest Act (V of 1882), s. 10.—Appeal to the District Court.—Court Fees Act, sch. II, art. 11 (a); art. 17, cl. vi.—An appeal to the District Court from the rejection of a claim by a forest settlement officer under clause 2 of section 10 of the Madras Forest Act, 1882, falls under article 17, clause VI, and not under article 11 (a) of schedule II of the Court Fees Act, 1870. *KAMARAJA v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA* . . . I. L. R., 8 Mad., 22

88. Appeal from order disallowing an application to file an agreement to refer to arbitration.—Court fee, Mode of calculation of.—*Per OLDFIELD, J.*—The court fee payable on a memorandum of appeal from an order under section 523 of the Civil Procedure Code, disallowing an application to file an agreement to refer to arbitration, is an *ad valorem* fee computed on the value of the subject-matter in dispute in the appeal. *DAYA NAND v. BAKHTAWAR SINGH*

[I. L. R., 5 All., 333

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**2. APPEALS—continued.**

89. Appeal from order disposing of dispute under Civil Procedure Code, s. 322B.—Dispute as to extent of judgment-debtor's liability to claim.—Nature of appeal.—*Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, sch. II, No. 11.*—An appeal from the decision of a dispute under section 322B of the Civil Procedure Code falls directly within the exception of article 11, schedule II of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), and the memorandum of appeal should therefore be presented as for a decree in a suit upon an *ad valorem* stamp. *Srinivasa Ayyangar v. Pria Tambi Nayakar, I. L. R., 4 Mad., 420*, dissented from. *AHMAD KHAN v. MADHO DAS* . . . I. L. R., 7 All., 565

90. Appeal in partition suit.—Court Fees Act, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 6.—Stamp on memorandum of appeal in partition suit.—The stamp fee payable on appeals to the High Court in suits asking for "partition, the separation of a share, and for khas possession of that share after separation," is that leviable under article VI, clause 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act. For the purpose of jurisdiction the Court should be guided by the value of the property in suit, but the amount of the stamp fee should be governed by a different principle. *KIRTY CHURN MITTER v. AUNATH NATH DEB* . . . I. L. R., 8 Cal., 757; 11 C. L. R., 95

91. Appeal from decree for possession disallowing perpetual character of leases.—A suit for possession of certain lands having been decreed on the ground of plaintiff's right of occupancy, but the perpetual (mirasi) character of the leases under which the claim had been made having been disallowed, an appeal was preferred to have it declared that the leases were perpetual. *Held* that, as the value of the claim would be the difference in the value of the land as held under a mirasi tenure at a fixed rent, or an ordinary tenure at a fluctuating rent, and as this might be an extremely difficult calculation, the stamp fee upon the appeal would be properly fixed according to the valuation put by the appellant upon the subject-matter of his claim. *KEBUL RAM MUNDUL v. WELLS* . . . 24 W. R., 454

92. Appeal in suit to enforce a right of pre-emption.—Appeal by purchaser.—Court fee.—Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees Act), s. 7, (i) and (vi).—Where, in a suit to enforce a right of pre-emption, a decree was passed against the vendees-defendants, and they appealed from the same on the grounds that they were entitled to receive from the plaintiffs-pre-emptors a sum larger than that found by the Court of first instance to have been the purchase-money, and also that the plaintiffs had estopped themselves from asserting the right by refusing to purchase,—*Held* that the nature of the suit was not changed in appeal, and that, on the contrary, the subject-matter of the dispute between the parties was the right of pre-emption, the value of which, for the purposes of court fee, was to be determined in manner directed by section

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued.**2. APPEALS—continued.****Appeal in suit to enforce a right of pre-emption—continued.**

7, clause (vi) of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870. *Ram Lakhan Rai v. Bandan Rai* distinguished. Where an appeal is preferred in a suit for pre-emption, on the ground that the right to pre-empt has or has not been established, as the case may be, no matter what other pleas may be taken, the value of the subject-matter in dispute, for the purposes of the Court Fees Act, must be determined as in terms provided in article (vi) of section 7 of the Act. Where the question in appeal relates solely to the amount to be paid by the pre-emptor, the court fee should be calculated *ad valorem* on the difference between the amounts alleged as the sale price on the one side and the other. *HAFIZ AHMAD v. SOBHA RAM*. **I. L. R., 6 All, 488**

93. **Appeal in suit for redemption of usufructuary mortgage.—***Benq. Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), s. 22.*—The plaintiffs sued for the possession of certain immovable property, alleging that they had mortgaged such property to the defendants, and that the mortgage-debt had been satisfied out of the profits of the property. The defendants set up a defence to the suit which raised the question of the proprietary right of the plaintiffs to the property. The value of the mortgagees' interests in the property was below Rs.5,000; the value of the mortgaged property exceeded that amount. On appeal to the High Court from the original decree of the Subordinate Judge in the suit, it was contended that the appeal from that decree lay to the District Court and not to the High Court. *Held* that the "subject-matter in dispute," within the meaning of section 22 of VI of 1871, was the mortgage and the mortgagees' rights under it, and that, the value of this being only Rs.2,000, the appeal should have been preferred to the District Court. Second Appeal No. 1039 of 1877 dissented from. *GOBIND SINGH v. KALU*

[**I. L. R., 2 All, 778**

VALUE OF PROPERTY, STATEMENT IN WILL AS TO—

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS. **I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561**

VARIANCE BETWEEN BOUGHT AND SOLD NOTES.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARYING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS. . . . **9 B. L. R., 245**

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF.

	Col.
1. GENERAL CASES	6094
2. SPECIAL CASES	6096
3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM	6114

See CASES UNDER ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY DEEDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS.

[VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

See CASES UNDER ISSUES—FRESH OR ADDITIONAL ISSUES.

See TITLE—EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—LONG POSSESSION.

[**I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418**

See WRITTEN STATEMENT.

[**I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209**

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Decision on point not raised in pleadings or issues.—A plaintiff must recover *secundum allegata et probata*, and no decree should be given in] his favour on a point not raised in the pleadings nor embodied in an issue. *JOYTARA DASSEE v. MAHOMED MOBARUCK*

[**I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975; 11 C. L. R., 399**

JANKER v. JHANJOO **2 N. W., 407**

MOOKTAKESHEE DEBEA v. COLLECTOR OF BURDWAN **12 W. R., 204**

TARA CHAND ROY v. NOBIN CHUNDER ROY [**21 W. R., 132**

PROTAP CHUNDER BOROOAH v. COLLECTOR OF GOWALPARA **22 W. R., 216**

2. Amendment of case.—Mistake or misapprehension.—A plaintiff can be allowed to amend his case only when he has an honest case, but either through mistake or some misapprehension he has not placed the real facts before the Court. *BHYRO DUTT v. LEEHRANEE KOOR*

[**16 W. R., 123**

3. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, Operation of, as compared with old procedure in equity.—Under the Civil Procedure Code parties are not bound so strictly to the pleadings as in an equity suit under the old procedure, if their being so bound would work injustice. *DOSSEE v. TARRACHURN COONDOD CHOWDHRY*

[**Bourke, A. O. C., 48**

4. Variance in plaint.—Dismissal of suit, Ground for.—*Held* by a majority that the Code of Civil Procedure does not require the dismissal of a suit by reason of any variance in the plaint. *MAHOMED REEZAOODEEN v. HOSSEIN BUKSH KHAN* **1 W. R., 300**

5. ——— Raising issues after variance is shown.—A plaintiff will not be allowed to set up one case, and, having proved another, to ask for issues to be raised to suit the proof; but when a plaint and its proof necessarily lead to one or more particular issues, it is the duty of the Court, if these issues do not come by surprise on the defendant, to raise such issues, and to give the relief thereon to which the plaintiff is entitled. *OBHOYCHURN MULLICK v. WOOMES CHUNDER PAUL* . **2 Hyde, 263**

6. Proof of cause of action not alleged.—Dismissal of suit, Ground for.—Claim on one cause of action, evidence showing another.—

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Proof of cause of action not alleged—continued.**

Where a plaintiff sues on one cause of action and in support thereof gives evidence which, if it establishes anything, establishes a different cause of action, the Court acts properly in dismissing his suit. *MUDHOOSOODUN GOSSAMEE v. HILLS* . . . **10 W. R., 242**

7. Amount proved exceeding amount claimed.—Decree.—Where the amount to which the plaintiff would be entitled on the evidence exceeds that specified in the plaint, plaintiff is restricted to the amount so specified. *NATHOORAM v. JARDINE, SKINNER, & Co.* . . . **Cor., 118**

8. Presumption from failure to prove allegations.—Onus of proof.—An adversary is entitled to the benefit of such presumptions as naturally arise from a party's failure to prove his allegations, even though the onus was in the first instance on the former. *GUNEE BISWAS v. SREE GOPAL PAUL CHOWDHRY* . . . **8 W. R., 395**

9. Failure to prove precise case pleaded.—Decree, Right to.—A previous ruling in *Beejymath Chatterjee v. Lukhee Monee Dabee*, **12 W. R., 248**, explained not to mean that a plaintiff must either get the thing he claims or nothing at all, but that having come into Court upon one title, which he asks to have declared and fails to prove, a plaintiff cannot claim the declaration of another. *GOLUCK CHUNDER SIRCAR v. ISHAN CHUNDER DEB* . . . **23 W. R., 437**

10. — Right to make party liable in different character.—Suit against party personally.—Representative's liability.—In a suit to recover advances made to the defendant to carry on an indigo factory under a *karbarnamah*, in which it was agreed that the advance should first be repaid out of the profits realised from the manufacture, where it was found that the sale of the indigo had yielded more than the amount advanced, but had been credited by the plaintiff to old debts owing him by the defendant's father instead of to the defendant's personal debt, — *Held* that the plaintiff had violated the terms of the agreement, and had not in good faith attempted to make the defendant personally liable, and he could not be allowed to proceed against the defendant as representative of his father. *PERROUX v. LUCHMEEPUT SINGH* . . . **12 W. R., 113**

11. — Unestablished defence.—Decree, Right to.—The Court should not necessarily decree the plaintiff's claim in full because the defence set up by defendant has entirely failed. *MUTLOOB ALI v. KIRIA* . . . **1 Agra, 276**

12. — Defence not set up by defendant.—Inconsistent defence.—It is not competent to a Court to set up a defence not only not made by the defendant, but inconsistent with his own statement. *SHURUT SOONDUREE DABEE v. PUEESH NABAIN ROY* . . . **13 W. R., 464**

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Defence not set up by defendant—continued.**

RADHA BINODE DUTT v. KOOTARODE MUNDUL **[15 W. R., 363]**

CHITTRA COOMARY BEEBE v. RAM LALL MOOKERJEE . . . **18 W. R., 334**

RAJARAM BANERJEE v. SONATUN ROY **[23 W. R., 404]**

2. SPECIAL CASES.

13. Account, Suit for balance of.—Failure to prove balance alleged.—Issues.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 141—Held, in contravention of various rulings of the late Sudder Court, that a suit brought on an alleged settlement of accounts, and balance struck and admitted, should not be dismissed merely on account of the plaintiff's failing to prove the alleged settlement and admission of balance by defendant; but that the Court, being competent under section 141 of the Civil Procedure Code to amend or frame additional issues that may be necessary to determine the real question or controversy between the parties, ought to enter into evidence regarding the items composing the account, and decree the claim regarding such items, if they are found to be due and not otherwise barred. *KISHUN PERSHAD v. BHAWANEE DEEN* **[Agra, F. B., 47: Ed. 1874, 35]**

RAMSAHOY v. SEETHOO **[1 N. W., 28: Ed. 1873, 26]**

But where the issues had been framed solely on the alleged adjustment, the suit was held to be rightly dismissed. *NOBIN CHUNDER KOONDOL v. SREEDHUR BHUTTARCHAJEE* . . . **15 W. R., 24**

14. — Alienation, Suit to set aside.—Variance between case in plaint and evidence.—Raising ground not taken in plaint.—The plaintiff, a Hindu, sued to set aside a certain alienation, on the ground that the alienor was an illegitimate son of the plaintiff's grandfather, and therefore had no interest in the property. Not being able to substantiate this ground in the first Court, the plaintiff, on appeal, raised a new ground, — *viz.*, that the alienation was bad, because, under the *Mitakshara* law, the owner of a share in a joint ancestral estate is not competent to alienate his share without the consent of the other heirs. *Held* that such variance could not be allowed, and that the plaintiff must prove his case as laid in the plaint. *SRI PRASAD v. RAJ GURU TRIAMBUNATH DEO* **[6 B. L. R., 555: 14 W. R., 336]**

15. — Failure to prove case.—Raising fresh case on appeal.—In a suit to set aside a sale of ancestral property by a minor's father and guardian as made without necessity and for the father's profligate expenditure, and without inquiry by the purchasers as to whether it was for the infant's benefit, the defendants alleged that the sale was made under pressure of a foreclosure suit on account of a demand under a former mortgage

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Alienation, Suit to set aside—continued.**

for an ancestral debt. Plaintiff having failed to establish his case, sought to go back and open the consideration for the mortgage made so long as twenty years ago, but the Privy Council, agreeing with the High Court, refused to allow him to do so. **HUMREDA alias KHAJOO v. AMATOL MEHDEE BEGUM** **17 W. R., P. C., 106**

16.

Company.—Contributories, List of.—Amendment of plaint.

Where the holder of shares in a company was described in the list of contributories, against whom a balance order by the Court of Chancery had been made, as "Devji Bhanji, cotton merchant," and as being sued "in his own right,"—*Held* that the plaintiffs' company could not be allowed to give evidence that the shares were in fact held by a firm consisting of two individuals named respectively Bhanji Zutani and Devji Hemraj; nor could the plaintiffs be allowed, at the hearing of the appeal, to amend their plaint, originally framed against both partners, with a view to making the firm liable for the amount of the calls, so as to sue Bhanji Zutani only, who alone was alleged to have signed the articles and memorandum of association in the name of Devji Bhanji, and to make him personally liable as the holder of the shares. *Weikersheim's case*, **L. R., 8 Ch., Ap., 831**, distinguished. **LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, v. BHANJI ZUTANI** . **I. L. R., 2 Bom., 116**

17.

Compromise.—Failure to

prove case.—*Right to succeed on ground not alleged.*—Where the plaintiff sued to have a deed of compromise set aside as having been fraudulently entered into behind his back and without his knowledge, and failed to prove any fraud or collusion,—*Held* that he was not entitled to a decree on the ground taken on appeal, that the document was invalid as being unregistered, declaring that it did not affect his interests. **MADHUB ALI KHAN v. HOSSAIN REZA KHAN** **4 C. L. R., 52**

18.

Contract.—Assumption of facts,

Decision on.—The determinations in a cause should be founded upon a case either to be found in the pleadings or involved in or consistent with the case thereby made. Therefore, where the relief sought by the plaint is grounded on a contract, the case must not be determined upon an alleged equity resulting from a different state of facts and inconsistent with that alleged by the plaintiff. **ESHAN CHUNDER SINGH v. SHAMACHURN BHUTTO**

[**2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 87; 6 W. R., P. C., 57**
11 Moore's I. A., 7

Followed in **DOSS RAM DOSS v. MOHENDRO ROY DECHA** **18 W. R., 274**

19.

Issues.—Amend-

ment of plaint.—*Variance between case in plaint and evidence.*—The plaintiffs sued the defendants for damages for breach of contract, alleging in their plaint that they had agreed to sell, and the defend-

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Contract—continued.**

ants to purchase, certain indigo seed, but that the defendants had refused to take delivery, although the plaintiffs were ready and willing to deliver the same. Upon the evidence of the plaintiffs, it appeared that there was no contract as alleged in the plaint, but the contract, as stated by them, was that they (the plaintiffs) were to purchase seeds as agents for the defendants. The Judge dismissed the suit on the ground that the plaintiffs were bound to prove their case as stated in the plaint. *Held* that that the suit ought not to have been dismissed on that ground. The issues raised admitted of the true question being tried,—*viz.*, whether, under the circumstances, the defendants were liable to pay the price of the seed; and if they did not, the Court ought to have amended the issues, or framed additional ones. The object of the plaint is merely to bring the matter in dispute before the Court, but it is for the Court, upon the statements before it, to determine the real issue between the parties. **ARBUTHNOT v. BETTS** **6 B. L. R., 273; 14 W. R., 181**

20. —

Ejectment, Suit for.—Failure

to prove lease.—*Reliance on general title, Right of.*—*Case stated in plaint.*—Where a lessor sues to eject his tenant on the expiration of the latter's term, or for breach of the conditions of his lease, and fails to prove the lease, he is not ordinarily at liberty in the same suit, ignoring the lease, to fall back upon his general title as though he had not set up and failed to prove the alleged lease. A plaintiff must be limited to the case which he puts forward in his plaint, but he may put forward an alternative case in his plaint from the commencement, as the defendant then will know that he has more than one case to meet, and will not be taken by surprise. **LAKSHMI-BAI v. HARI BIN RAVJI** **9 Bom., 1**

21.

Fraud.—Failure to prove speci-

fic case of fraud.—Where the plaintiff in his pleadings pledged himself to prove a specific case of fraud, and made his cause of action entirely dependent on that, he was not allowed to succeed, when he failed to prove fraud, on a collateral matter. **SAHEB ROY v. GUJADHUR PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH**

[**22 W. R., 221**

22.

Mortgage.—Suit for redemp-

tion.—*Decree on mortgage set up by defendants and not on that alleged by plaintiff.*—In a suit to redeem, the plaintiff produced a mortgage the genuineness of which the defendants denied, but they produced a mortgage from the plaintiff's ancestors to their ancestors. The Principal Sudder Ameen made a decree for the restoration of the lands according to the terms of the mortgage produced by the defendants. The Civil Judge reversed the decision. *Held*, on special appeal, that the Principal Sudder Ameen was justified in making the decree which he gave, it not being inconsistent with the relief prayed for by the plaint. **UNICHA KANDYIB KUNHI KUTTI NAIR v. VALIA PIDIGAIL KUNHAMED KUTTI MARACCAE**

[**4 Mad., 359**

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Mortgage—continued.**

23. — *Suit for redemption.—Evidence given of other mortgage than the mortgage in respect of which suit brought.—Evidence Act, I of 1872, s. 35.—Statement of a survey officer as to entry as occupant how far admissible.*—The plaintiff sued to redeem certain lands alleged to have been mortgaged by his ancestor to the ancestors of the defendants in 1823. At the hearing the deed of mortgage, in respect of which the suit was brought, was produced, but another mortgage of about the same date was produced and proved by the plaintiff. The lower Courts passed a decree for the plaintiff. The defendants appealed. *Held* (reversing the decree of the lower Courts) that where a particular instrument is sued on as the basis of a right, it is incumbent on the plaintiff to establish his case on that particular cause of action, not on a cause of action merely bearing the same common name, or of the same description, and so included in the same class. Under section 35 of the Evidence Act, I of 1872, a statement by the survey officer that the name of this or that person was entered as occupant would be admissible if relevant, but it would not be admissible to prove the reasons for such an entry as facts in another case. **GOVIND RAY DESHMUKH v. RAGHO DESHMUKH**

[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 543]

24. — *Change of nature of suit.*—The plaintiff sued to redeem a mortgage, alleging that it was made in the year A.D. 1821 for Rs. 25. The defendant admitted the mortgage, but alleged that it was made in A.D. 1791 for Rs. 110, and contended that the suit was barred by limitation. The Subordinate Judge held that the mortgage had been made for the amount and at the date alleged by the defendant, but that the suit was not time-barred, as the mortgagor's title had been acknowledged by the mortgagee within the period of limitation. He accordingly made a decree for redemption on terms consistent with the plea of the defendant, but opposed to that of the plaintiff. On appeal, the Assistant Judge agreed with the first Court as to the merits of the case, but reversed its decree on the ground that the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed on a state of facts inconsistent with the case set forth in the plaint, observing that a plaintiff ought not to be allowed to change his cause of action. *Held* by the High Court, on second appeal, that the decree made by the first Court in favour of the plaintiff did not in any way proceed upon a cause of action different from that made in the plaint, and that the cause of action remained the same,—namely, the right of the mortgagor to redeem from a mortgagee. A plaintiff ought not to be allowed to alter his case so as to convert a suit of one character into a suit of another and inconsistent character. **LAKSHMAN BHISAJEE v. HARI DINKAR DESAI** . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 584

25. — *Alteration of case from that made in plaint.*—Upon a mortgage of land made little less than sixty years before the present suit, a decree followed in 1825 to the effect that an

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Mortgage—continued.**

account having been taken of what was due on the mortgage, the mortgagor might at any time make a tender of such mortgage-money with interest up to date, and require that the land should be restored. The plaintiff, representing the interest of the original mortgagor, sued for redemption of the mortgage, treating the above decree as regulating the rights of the parties from the time when it was made. *Held* that the plaintiff not having sought by his plaint to redeem the mortgage, or alleged that there had been acknowledgment, could not in the present appeal fall back on a right to redeem such mortgage, although the latter might be within limitation, as that would be to make a case different from the one tried and decided in the Courts below. Accordingly, the suit had been properly dismissed. **HARI RAVJI CHIPLUNKAR v. SHAPURJI HORMASI SHET**

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 461]

26. — *Suit for redemption of immoveable property brought as donee.—Title of plaintiff as reversioner.*—In a suit for the redemption of immoveable property brought by the plaintiff as donee from a Hindu widow of the equity of redemption, the plaintiff's right to the property as reversioner cannot be inquired into, notwithstanding an allegation in the plaint that he was a near relative of the husband of the donor. **JAGANNATH VITHAL v. APAJI VISHNU** . 5 Bom., A. C., 217

27. — *Procedure.*—Where a mortgagor sues to recover possession of the mortgaged property on the ground that the loan has been paid off from the assets of the estate, and that he is entitled to recover surplus collections, and the Court finds that a large balance in favour of the mortgagee still exists, the plaintiff is not entitled to a conditional decree, but the suit should be dismissed. **KUNDUN LAL v. SASTA KOORER**. **SASTA KOORER v. KUNDUN LAL** 8 W. R., 369

But see **BOISTUB DOSS KOONDOD v. HURO NARAIN HALDAR** 17 W. R., 408

28. — *Usufructuary mortgage.—Failure of claim to enforce lien.—Compensation for breach of contract to give mortgagee possession.*—A usufructuary mortgagee, the mortgagor having broken his agreement to give him possession of the mortgaged property, sued the mortgagor to recover the principal mortgage-money and interest by enforcement of lien. The property was not hypothecated as security for the mortgage-money. *Held* that it was inequitable to dismiss the suit for that reason, the defendant having been guilty of a breach of the contract of mortgage, for which the plaintiff was entitled to compensation; that although the plaintiff did not expressly claim such relief, yet, regard being had to the pleadings and evidence in the case, the suit might be treated as one for such relief; and that on estimating the compensation which should be awarded, the principal mortgage-

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Mortgage—continued.**

money with interest at the rate specified in the contract of mortgage might fairly be taken as a reasonable guide. **MAHESH SINGH v. CHAUHARIA SINGH** [I. L. R., 4 All., 245]

29.**Usufructuary**

mortgage.—Suit to enforce hypothecation.—Compensation for breach of contract.—Money lent.—Money had and received for plaintiff's use.—An instrument of mortgage provided that the mortgagors should deliver possession of the mortgaged property to the mortgagee, and the latter should retain possession, setting off profits against interest, until the former should redeem, by payment of the principal sum, which they were at liberty to do in the month of Jaith in any year they pleased. The mortgagors having failed to deliver possession of the mortgaged property, the mortgagee sued them for the principal sum and interest, asking for enforcement of lien. The instrument of mortgage did not contain any hypothecation of the property. *Held* that, although the suit, so far as it sought enforcement of lien, wholly failed, there being no hypothecation of the property, yet it was not equitable or proper that, as regards the money-claim, the mortgagee should be relegated to a fresh suit, inasmuch as a cause of action was disclosed, whether the suit was regarded as one for compensation in damages for breach of contract, or for money had and received for the plaintiff's use, or for money lent, and the suit should be determined on its merits. **SHEO NARAIN v. JAI GOBIND**

[I. L. R., 4 All., 281]

30.**Partition.—Failure of suit.—**

Right to declaration of share.—Where the main object of a suit framed and valued as a suit for partition of a portion of the estate fails, the plaintiff is not entitled to turn round and ask for a declaration as to the extent of his share. **RUTUN MONEE DUTT v. BROJO MOHUN DUTT** . . . **22 W. R., 333**

Affirming S.C.

22 W. R., 11**31. —****— Possession.—Moveable prop-**

erty.—Making different case on appeal.—In a suit for delivery over to plaintiff of papers said to be in the possession of defendant, the answer of the latter was that he had made over the papers to the plaintiff's son. This plea was put in issue in the first Court, which found that some papers had been delivered as alleged, and made a decree ordering the delivery of certain other of the papers. On appeal, the attention of the Judge was principally directed to the point whether the receipt of the papers by the plaintiff's son was a receipt by him as plaintiff's agent. *Held* that this point was a departure wholly from the case made below, and ought not to have been entertained on appeal. **PUNCHANUN ROY v. TROXLUCKHOMHINEE DOSSEE** . . . **14 W. R., 466**

32. —**Immoveable prop-**

erty.—Separate acquisition.—*Held* that the question of possession was not a proper one for decision

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Possession—continued.**

when a plea of limitation was overruled, and the claim was found to be based, not on the fact of possession, but of the claimant being a member of the joint family and the property acquired by joint funds. **NUND RAM v. CHOOTOO** . . . **1 Agra, 255**

33.**Possession, Suit for.—Ac-**

crual of cause of action.—Limitation.—In a suit by an execution-purchaser to recover possession of landed property, where defendant pleads limitation and plaintiff proves facts from which the Court is unable to draw conclusions of law for itself, plaintiff ought not to be strictly bound to the accrual of the cause of action alleged in his plaint, so long as that arose within twelve years before commencement of the suit. **MARIAM BEGUM v. RYE CHURN DUTT**

[13 W. R., 269]

34.**Misdescription as**

to situation of lands.—Identification.—Where lands claimed under a certificate of sale as being in one village are found to be in another, it is open to the plaintiff to show that there has been a misdescription, and that, although the name of the former was used, the intention was to convey the lands he claimed situate in the latter. **RANGOPAL BARICK v. SHIB PERSHAD SIRCAB** . . . **12 W. R., 483**

35.**Failure to prove**

pottah.—In a suit for possession by two ryots claiming under different pottahs from the same zemindar, when the defendant's pottah fails, he still has a right to have a judicial determination of his claim to occupancy. **BYDNATH SHAHA v. JADUB CHUNDER SHAHA** . . . **3 W. R., 208**

36.**Suit for posses-**

sion on specific title.—Right of occupancy.—A plaintiff who succeeds in proving the facts stated in his plaint as necessarily implying a right of occupancy, may succeed in a suit for possession even though he does not prove the title on which he specifically relied. **SURJOO PERSHAD v. KASHEE RAWUT**

[21 W. R., 121]

37.**Decree on ground**

not alleged in plaint.—The plaintiff sued for a declaration of mirasi mokurrari rights to certain lands and for mesne profits, alleging that he had been wrongfully ejected by the predecessors in title of the defendants. *Held* that the lower Courts were wrong in giving the plaintiff a decree for possession on the ground of occupancy right, he not having claimed such relief in his plaint. **Bijoya Debia v. Bydonath Deb**, 24 W. R., 444, followed. **BRINDABUN CHUNDER SIRCAB v. DHUNUNJOY NUSHKUE**

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246 : 4 C. L. R., 443]

38.**Defendant sued as**

a trespasser.—Right to decree against him as a tenant.—Where a plaintiff brings a suit for possession, alleging that the defendant is a trespasser, the

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Possession, Suit for—continued.**

moment it is shown that the defendant is not in possession as a trespasser, but holds as a tenant under the plaintiff, the suit must be dismissed, no matter what the character of that tenancy may be. **KAM GOLAM SINGH v. HEET NARAIN SAHOO**

[2 C. L. R., 292]

39.

Failure to prove allegation of defendant's tenancy.—Right to treat him as a trespasser.—Where a plaintiff sued for khas possession on the ground that the defendant was his tenant and had forfeited his tenure by denying his landlord's title, and it was found there was no relation of landlord and tenant between the parties, the plaintiff was held not entitled to succeed on the contention that the defendant was a trespasser. **LALJEE SINGH v. BUNWARY LALL ROY**

[25 W. R., 448]

40.

Failure to prove permanent character of tenancy.—Right to decree as tenants.—In a suit for possession of land on the strength of an alleged mirasi mokurrari, one of the main issues was whether the plaintiffs were or were not tenants of the land in dispute, and upon this issue it was found that the plaintiffs had acquired a title as tenants from long possession, although they failed to establish the mirasi mokurrari character of their tenure. *Held* that the plaintiffs were entitled to a decree for possession. **Kales Coomar Pattur v. Khetur Nath Baug**, 17 W. R. 47; and **Surjoo Pershad v. Kashee Rawut**, 21 W. R., 121, followed. **Bijoya Debia v. Bydonath Deb**, 24 W. R., 444; and **Brindabun Chunder Sircar v. Dhananjoy Luskur**, I. L. R., 5 Cal., 246; & C. L. R., 443, distinguished. **SHIB CHUND LAHRI v. JOYMALA DASI**

[7 C. L. R., 103]

41.

Suit on ground of forcible dispossession where defendant's possession is found to be permissive.—A suit to recover possession of land on the ground of forcible dispossession, in which it was pleaded by defendant and found as a fact that the defendant's holding was of a permissive character, should be dismissed at once, the defendant's possession not being a wrongful one of the kind alleged by plaintiff. The right mode of action in such a case would have been for plaintiff to serve the defendant with notice to quit the land, and thereby put an end to the permission relied upon by him. **PHILLIPS v. NUNDOOMAR BANERJEE**

[8 W. R., 385]

42.

A plaintiff's failure to prove dispossession on the particular date mentioned in the plaint is not a sufficient ground for the dismissal of the suit. **HURO CHUNDER CHOWDREY v. GOBIND CHUNDER MOITRO**

[15 W. R., 178]

BOGA KOLITA v. THOOLESSUR KAYASTA

[24 W. R., 357]

TORAB ALI v. MAHOMED AMER HOSSEIN

[3 C. L. R., 105]

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Possession, Suit for—continued.**

43. *Suit for confirmation of possession.—Proof that plaintiff was out of possession.—Change in form of suit.*—The plaintiff sued for an adjudication of his right to, and confirmation of possession of, certain lands, on the allegation that they had been conveyed to him by one of the defendants and that he was in actual possession thereof, and that his title thereto had been impeached by the subsequent sale of the same lands by his vendor to the other defendant. The Court of first instance found that the plaintiff's allegation of possession was false, and dismissed the suit. *Held*, on appeal, that the suit was rightly dismissed, for though a plaintiff who brings forward a *bond fide* case, which he proves in substance, though not in form, would be assisted by the Court, in the absence of such special circumstances no such assistance would be afforded. **TERIEPUT SINGH v. GOSAIN SUDERSAN DAS**

I. L. R., 4 Cal., 46

44.

Failure to prove case in plaint.—Right to decree on other grounds.—At a sale held under Bengal Act VIII of 1865, the defendant purchased a shikmi tenure, and obtained possession thereof. Subsequently he ousted the plaintiff from certain lands, and hence the suit by the plaintiff for recovery of possession thereof, on the ground that the property in dispute was a lakhiraj tenure created by the Raja of Tippera, and that the plaintiff was owner thereof, partly by purchase and partly by inheritance. The lower Appellate Court found as a fact that the late shikmidar, and not the Raja, had granted the lands in dispute as bramatar, but not in favour of the person through whom the plaintiff claimed. The Court, however, passed a decree in favour of the plaintiff, as he had been unlawfully dispossessed. *Held* that the plaintiff having failed to prove the case as set up by him, and upon which he claimed, could not be entitled to a decree upon grounds other than those stated in the plaint. **ISWAR CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY v. BISTU CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY**

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 97; 12 W. R., 32]

45.

Failure to prove case.—Changing case on appeal.—Each of two proprietors, A. and B., separately mortgaged the whole of the joint property to different persons. B.'s mortgagee, who was prior in time, obtained a decree on his bond, sold and purchased the house. In a subsequent suit for confirmation of right and possession by A.'s mortgagee, he charged that the other bond and decree were fraudulent and collusive, and that B. had no interest in the property. All these allegations were found to be false by the lower Appellate Court. *Held*, on special appeal, that the plaintiff could not recede from the case he had made in the lower Courts, and claim to be entitled to a decree for A.'s interest in the house. **DURSUN SAHOO v. PRYAG RAM**

2 C. L. R., 538

46.

Failure to show alternative case.—Right to change case in special

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Possession, Suit for—continued.**

appeal.—Suit for possession of certain property as part of a joint-family property sold by a widow without authority. Plaintiff applied to appeal specially on the ground, but could cite no authority in support of it, that when the eldest member and manager of the family purchases out of his own separate funds, because the family is joint, the property must be considered as joint property. Having failed in this character, the Court declined to allow him in special appeal to come in as a reversioner, and ask for a decree declaring the widow's act void as against reversioner. **MADHO PERSHAD v. LALLA JEETUN LALL** **17 W. R., 98**

47.**Joint claim.—**

Right to succeed on proof of separate title.—Where the plaintiffs in a suit put forward a joint claim, it is not enough that one of them makes out his title; the suit should be dismissed unless the joint claim is established. **RAM COMUL CHUCKERBUTTY v. NUND RAM COOLAL** **10 W. R., 262**

SHEO NUNDUN PERSHAD v. MUKDOOM BUKSH
[**20 W. R., 384**]

48.**Joint claim.—**

Right to succeed on proof of title to less share separately.—A plaintiff suing on the ground that she was jointly entitled, was not allowed to succeed in the suit where it was shown she was only entitled to a less share in her own separate right. **HUREO MONEE DOSSEA v. ONOOKOOL CHUNDER MOOKERJEE**
[**8 W. R., 461**]

49.**Claim to exclusive possession.—**

Proof of right to joint possession.—When a plaintiff in a suit asks for one thing (e.g., exclusive possession), a Court ought not to give him a decree because he proves that he is entitled to another thing (e.g., joint possession). **BEEJOYNATH CHATTERJEE v. LUCKHEE MONEE DABEE**
[**12 W. R., 248**]

SREENARAIN CHUCKERBUTTY v. MILLER
[**15 W. R., O. C., 7**]

50.**Claim to separate possession.—**

Proof of joint possession.—Alteration of claim.—When a plaintiff who claims property on the allegation that he purchased it from a person to whom it exclusively belonged, fails to prove that the property was the separate property of his vendor, he cannot have a decree for the share of the property to which his vendor was entitled as a member of a joint family. **GOUE BEHAREE RAM BHUGGUT v. SHEORUTTUN KOONWAR** . **10 W. R., 243**

51.**Failure of proof**

of right to sole possession.—Decree on admission of defendant of joint possession.—Where a plaintiff sued for sole possession and a declaration of sole title, and the defendant admitted that he was in joint possession, but the plaintiff went on with his suit in order to get a decree that he was solely entitled and in sole

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Possession, Suit for—continued.**

possession, and failed to prove his case, he was held not entitled to a decree founded on joint possession. **LUKHUN SINGH v. NUFFUR SING** . **6 W. R., 311**

52.

Suit for possession of share.—Decree for joint possession.—In a suit to recover possession of a third part of a *khanabari*, where the first Court, considering that there never had been a partition in definite shares, ordered restoration to the sort of possession plaintiff had enjoyed previous to being dispossessed,—*Held* that there was no objection to the decree being in that form; and although a plaintiff does not prove the precise claim which he makes, if he is substantially right he ought to have a decree, and not be left to bring another suit. **RAJKISHORE BHUDDUR v. HUREE MOHUN BHUDDUR** **19 W. R., 195**

Dissenting from **BEEJOYNATH CHATTERJEE v. LUCKHEE MONEE DABEE** . **12 W. R., 248**

53.

Claim to share of property as being partitioned.—Relief inconsistent with allegations on plaint.—In a suit to recover a quantity of land alleged to have formed part of a joint estate which had descended to plaintiff and his brothers, but which was subsequently divided into separate shares,—*Held* that, upon failure of proof of the allegation of partition, plaintiff might obtain relief upon the first allegation; and the Court below was not debarred by law from framing an issue as to whether plaintiff was entitled to recover to the extent of the interest which he had in the land, if found to be joint property. **FUKEER DASS POOROHET v. GOPAL MOOKERJEE** **12 W. R., 107**

54.

Claim to property on separate title.—Right to decree on joint title.—The plaintiff alleged in his plaint that the defendant had erected a hut, or *challa*, upon ground to which he, the plaintiff, was separately entitled. The lower Appellate Court found that the land in dispute was the joint property of both parties, and that the defendant was not at liberty to erect the hut without the express permission of the plaintiff, and ordered the demolition of the *challa*. *Held* that the plaintiff was not entitled to a judgment upon a ground which was inconsistent with the case set out in his plaint. **NABIN CHANDEA MITTER v. MAHES CHANDEA MITTER**
[**3 B. L. R., Ap., 111: 12 W. R., 69**]

55.**Pre-emption, Suit for.—**

Claim to right in different ways.—In a suit to establish a right of pre-emption, where the plaint is framed on right of *Shufch Khuleet*, the plaintiff ought not to be allowed to shift his ground and make out a new case as *Shufch Juh*. **GOBIND ROW v. GIRDHAREE SAHOO** **24 W. R., 355**

56.**Principal and agent.—**

Suit by principal against agent.—Failure of suit on grounds pleaded.—A bank sued *H.*, its agent, who

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Principal and agent—continued.

had appointed *N.* to act in the matter of the agency, for money belonging to it which *H.* had paid *N.* for the purposes of the agency, and which was not accounted for by *N.*, claiming the same on the ground that *N.* had been appointed to act as a sub-agent without authority. The lower Appellate Court found that *N.* had been appointed by *H.* to act in the matter of the agency with authority, but, instead of dismissing the suit with reference to this finding, gave the plaintiff bank a decree against *H.* on the ground that he had not exercised ordinary prudence in selecting *N.* as an agent for his principal. *Held* that, inasmuch as the plaintiff bank had not claimed relief on the ground that *H.* had failed in his duty in naming *N.* as an agent for his principal, but on the ground that *N.* had been appointed without authority, and had failed to prove its case, the suit should have been dismissed. *HAMILTON v. LAND MORTGAGE BANK OF INDIA* **I. L. R., 5 ALL, 456**

57. *Rent.—Suit for arrears of rent.—Failure to prove contract.—Claim for use and occupation.*—Where a plaintiff sued for rent and failed to prove any contract, express or implied, to pay it, he was held not entitled to change his case and ask for compensation for use and occupation. *LUCHMEEPUT DOSS v. ENAET ALI* **22 W. R., 346**

58. *Suit for rent on unstamped lease.—Failure to prove lease.—Right to recover damages for use and occupation.*—The plaintiff alleged that he had given possession to the defendant of a certain estate, in consideration of the payment by the defendant of annual rent for a term of five years; that the defendant had paid the rent for the first three years of the term, but had neglected to pay any for the last two years, and that since the expiry of the term the defendant had remained in possession; and he claimed to recover possession of the property and a certain sum for its use and occupation by the defendant. He also claimed to recover the same sum as damages for the retention of the estate by the defendant, from the date up to which the defendant had last paid rent. The agreement between the parties was contained in certain letters which were unstamped. *Held* that although the claim to relief made by the plaintiff on the basis of the contract must fail, because there was no evidence of the contract on which the Court could act, yet he could fall back on his claim to recover damages for the use and occupation of the land, as the defendant could not defend his possession, being equally incompetent with the plaintiff to rely on the terms of a contract of which he could not give proof, and as he did not deny the use and occupation alleged, he had no answer to the claim for damages. *MACGIVERON v. WALLACE* **5 N. W., 65**

59. *Suit for rent in kind.—Evidence of mugdi rent.*—In a suit for a balance of rent on the allegation that defendants cultivated a portion of plaintiffs' jaghir as bhowli

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Rent—continued.

tenants, where defendants denied that they were such tenants and pleaded a *mokurrari pottah*,—*Held* that even on the defendants failing to establish their plea, the suit could not succeed, as the plaintiffs failed to make out their case, and it appeared that the defendants were holding the whole jaghir at a *nukdi rent*. *LUCHMEEDHUR PATTOCK v. RUGHOOBUR SINGH* **24 W. R., 284**

60. *Suit for declaratory decree.—Suit under Beng. Rent Act, 1869.*—Where the plaintiff sued under Bengal Act VIII of 1869 for a declaration that certain land was *mâl*, as well as for assessment of rent thereon and for arrears of rent at the rate assessed, and the suit was dismissed, and on appeal the plaintiff abandoned the two last points in his claim and asked merely for a declaratory decree,—*Held* that the lower Appellate Court ought, notwithstanding the plaintiff had elected to sue under the Rent Act, to have proceeded with that part of the case, and disposed of the appeal as to that only. *ANUND MOYEE DOSSEE v. RAYE MONEE DOSSEE* [20 W. R., 14

61. *Suit for kabuliât on allegation of holding specific quantity of land.—Failure to prove allegation.*—In a suit for a *kabuliât*, on the allegation that the defendant is holding a specific quantity of land under him, if the plaintiff's allegations are disproved, and the relation of landlord and tenant is not established, the plaintiff's suit must altogether fail. *YAKOOB ALI v. KAERNOOLLAH* [8 W. R., 329

62. *Suit for rent.—Failure to prove kabuliât.*—The plaintiff having sued for rent upon a *kabuliât*, and failed to prove it, is not entitled to a decree if he shows that the defendants had paid him rent for a number of years, the Court observing that it would not be the exercise of a sound discretion to allow a party who relies upon a document to set up a fresh case when an issue as to the execution of such document is found against him, and there are good reasons for believing that the document is not genuine. *NURROHURRY MOHONTO v. NARAINEE DOSSEE*

[W. R., F. B., 23: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 9: 1 Hay, 234

S. C. NARAINEE DOSSEE v. NURROHURRY MOHONTO **Marsh., 70**

WOODOY NARAIN v. DURBIAHO ROY [W. R., 1864, 187

RAM NAFFER KHARA v. DEGUMDER CHATTERJEE [W. R., 1864, 259

KUREEMOODDEEN BISWAS v. HUROCHUNDER GOOHO [1 W. R., 305

GOVIND RAMCHANDRA GOKHLE v. AHMED [5 Bom., A. C., 133

BHOYRUB CHUNDER CHOWDHRY v. HARADHUN GHOSE **Marsh., 561: 2 Hay, 666**

**VARIANCE BETWEEN
AND PROOF—continued.****2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Rent—continued.**

SIMROO KAREEGUR v. ANUND CHUNDER ROY
[Marsh., 57: 1 Hay, 130]

See also **JEETOO v. BREETUN**
[Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85:
1 Hay, 112]

FATIMA BEBEE v. ARIF SOOKANEE
[Marsh., 263: 2 Hay, 106]

So also in the case of a defendant. **GOOROO
DOSS GHOSE v. SEISTEE DHUR DEY**
[W. R., 1864, Act X, 39]

**63. ————— Suit for pottah
at fixed rate of rent.—Failure in proof.**—In a suit
brought by a ryot to obtain a pottah at a fixed rent,
under section 3 of Act X of 1859, on the ground
that the lands have been held at a fixed rent which
has not been changed from the time of the perma-
nent settlement, if the plaintiff fail in proving such a
holding, he is not entitled in that suit to have a decree
under section 5 for a pottah at a fair and equitable
rate. **DOORGA MAHTOON v. KANHYE LALL AJHA**
[Marsh., 371: 2 Hay, 422]

**64. ————— Suit on kabuliat
which plaintiff fails to prove.**—Plaintiff sued upon
a kabuliat, and filed a pottah in support of it. The
pottah having been rejected, and the kabuliat not
proved, he was held not entitled to fall back on a
general statement that he has a jote pottah; that
the lands in dispute are part of the same; and that
he can oust the defendant, who was duly in posses-
sion. **GOBIND CHUNDER LAHOBY v. JARDINE,
SKINNER, & Co.** **7 W. R., 163**

**65. ————— Failure to prove
right to pottah.—Right to have fair and equitable rate
of rent fixed as occupancy ryots.**—The plaintiffs sued
as ryots to obtain a pottah corresponding with a
kabuliat which they said had been taken from them
by the defendants, who were 12-anna shareholders in
the land, and, according to an alleged promise, to
give them a pottah. The plaintiffs failed to make
out the ground on which they relied, but the lower
Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaintiffs
had made out a right of occupancy under the rent
law and were entitled to obtain a pottah from the
zemindar at a fair and equitable rate of rent, and
finding no evidence as to what such a rate would be,
gave them a decree at the old rate. Held that the
decision was erroneous, as there was no evidence on
which the question of a fair and equitable rate could
be determined, and as it rested on a ground not
taken by the plaintiffs, who came into Court on a
special contract. If the plaintiffs' right to a pottah
had rested on the ground of their being occupancy
ryots, they might claim a pottah from all the 16-
anna shareholders, who ought to have been made
parties and the case remanded for trial by the first
Court. **UTHUR HOSSEIN v. RAMPHAL ROY**
[20 W. R., 75]

**VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING
AND PROOF—continued.****2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.****Rent—continued.**

**66. ————— Suit for rent.—
Failure to prove kabuliat.**—Where a landlord sued a
ryot for arrears of rent alleged to be due under
a kabuliat, and the Court found that such kabuliat
had not been executed by the ryot, although he had
occupied the land, the landlord was held not entitled
to have a further trial of the question whether any
and what amount of rent was due on account of the
ryot's occupation of the land. **LUKHEE KANTO DASS
CHOWDHRY v. SUMEERUDDI LUSKEE**
[13 B. L. R., F. B., 243: 21, W. R., 208]

**67. ————— Suit for enhance-
ment of rent.—Suit on kabuliat.—Amendment of
plaint.—Decree for rent on failure to prove
kabuliat.**—In a suit on a kabuliat, where no alter-
native claim for rent at an old rate is in words ex-
pressly asked for in the plaint (although it is dis-
closed by the plaint that the defendant had previous-
ly occupied the land in suit at a rate which the evi-
dence proved to be lower than the rent mentioned in
the kabuliat), and where the kabuliat is not proved,
it is in the discretion of the Court to amend the
plaint or the issues, and to allow an alternative claim
to be tried; and when the omission to make the
claim in the plaint appears to have been an inad-
vertence, it is right that the Court should do so.
**Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry v. Sumeeruddi Lus-
ker, 13 B. L. R., 243,** commented upon. **ROUSHAN
BIBEE v. HURRAY KRISTO NATH**
[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926]

**68. ————— Suit for enhance-
ment of rent.—Statements in plaint.**—Although
in a suit for enhancement the plaintiff should not be
tied down too strictly to his statements, yet he
must to some extent be limited to the case made in
the plaint. **BONOMALEE CHURN MYTEE v. SHORROOP
HOOTAIT** **14 W. R., 60**

**69. ————— Suit for enhance-
ment of rent.—Failure to prove rent as claimed.**—
If the plaintiff is unable to show that he is entitled
to the rent exactly as he claims it, the Court is not
imbarred from giving him a decree for such en-
hanced rent as it thinks ought to be paid,—e.g., to
divide the land into different classes and assign a
separate rental to each description. **BRUGWAN
CHUNDER ROY CHOWDHRY v. JEGUR KHAN**
[22 W. R., 456]

**70. ————— Suit for enhance-
ment of rent.—Failure to prove notice.**—In a suit
originally treated by the plaintiff as a suit for en-
hancement of rent, he cannot, after failing to prove
notice, treat the suit as one for enhancement, and
say no notice was necessary. **KASH BEHARY MOO-
KEEJEE v. KHETTRO NATH ROY** . **1 C. L. R., 418**

**71. ————— Suit for enhance-
ment of rent.—Suppression of material fact.**—A
plaintiff must state clearly in his plaint the substance

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Rent—*continued.*

of his claim,—*i.e.*, the particular mode in which his claim arose, as well as the amount of that claim. Thus, where a plaintiff allowed the Court below to decide the case as if his contention was an ordinary case between a landlord suing to enhance and a tenant resisting his claim, and the statement of the defendant divulged the material circumstance of the case that the plaintiff's estate was let in farm, the High Court refused to allow the plaintiff on appeal to rest upon an alleged stipulation in a farming lease, the existence of which he altogether suppressed in the Court below,* reserving to him the right of collecting from the tenantry an enhanced rent during the currency of the farming lease. **HURRO SOONDUREY v. MUDDUN MOHUN DUTT**

[W. R., 1864, Act X, 34

* 72. ——— **Right of suit.**—*Cause of action not shown in plaint but proved in course of case.*—Where a plaintiff brought a suit for confirmation of his title to an estate, in consequence of the opposition offered by defendant to an application for partition by a vendee who had purchased a portion of plaintiff's share, and the Court of first instance tried the case on its merits and gave the plaintiff a decree,—*Held* that the lower Appellate Court was not justified in reversing the decision of the first Court on the ground that no cause of action had been disclosed, because, although the plaint itself disclosed no cause of action, yet, on the trial of the suit on its merits, a cause of action had been disclosed in the opposition which defendant had offered to the partition proceedings, and which had interfered with the enjoyment of his rights by the plaintiff. **LALLAH MANTAB ROY v. DEBEE DUTT SINGH** . . . 25 W. R., 204

73. ——— **Specific performance.**—*Suit to enforce contract of betrothal.*—*Failure to prove complete betrothal.*—The plaintiff, on behalf of her infant son, sued the father and guardian of *M. B.* to recover possession of *M. B.*, alleging that *M. B.* had been betrothed to her son, and that under the Hindu law a betrothal was the same as marriage and could not be repudiated, and that the defendant had on demand refused to give up *M. B.* *Held* that the suit having been brought on the allegation of a perfect betrothal equivalent to marriage, it could not be tried and decided by the Court as if it were a suit for damages on account of breach of contract. **NOWBUT SINGH v. LAD KOORE** . . . 5 N. W., 102

74. ——— **Title.**—*Setting up different title from that alleged.*—The plaintiff cannot be allowed to set up a different title from that on which he sues and fails to prove. **ISHAN CHUNDER CHOWDHRY v. SHARODA GOOPTAH** . . . 12 W. R., 487

75. ——— **Suit for recognition of adoption.**—*Right to show title by inheritance.*—A distinct suit for the recognition of an adoption having totally failed, the plaintiff is not entitled to fall back on his right by descent. **SREGOBIND SINGH v. ODIT NARAIN SINGH** . . . W. R., F. B., 4

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Title—*continued.*

76. ——— **Title of separate acquisition by purchase.**—*Setting up inconsistent title by joint purchase.*—The plaintiff having set up a title by sole purchase, was held not at liberty to change his case entirely, and to come in and set up another and inconsistent title, founded on inheritance or joint purchase. **DOSS RAM DOSS v. MOHENDRO ROY DECHA** . . . 18 W. R., 274

77. ——— **Allegation of title by purchase.**—*Failure to prove alleged title.*—*Possession, Title by.*—In a suit for declaration of title to and possession of certain property on the allegation of purchase and subsequent forcible ouster, it was held that the plaintiff, having failed to prove the purchase or the forcible dispossession, could not succeed on mere proof of twenty years' possession. A plaintiff who sues on one title cannot succeed on another entirely different. **HURO SOONDUREY DEBIA v. UNNOPOORNA DEBIA** . . . 11 W. R., 550

BIJOYA DEBIA v. HYDONATH DEB

[24 W. R., 444

78. ——— **Failure to establish particular title.**—*Title by long possession.*—Where a plaintiff brought a suit to establish his title, and the lower Court, on a trial of the issue, thought the title was not proved, yet gave plaintiff a decree on the ground of his being in possession for a long time,—*Held* that the lower Court ought not to have given a decree upon a ground not suggested in the plaint or in the issues tried. **BHAYGO MUTTY BIBEE v. MAHOMED WASIL**

[25 W. R., 315

79. ——— **Failure to prove particular title.**—*Title by right of occupancy.*—*Act X of 1859, s. 6.*—In a suit for possession of land after purchase, where defendant pleaded that he had long held under a miras pottah which both the Courts below found to be false,—*Held* that the defendant could not be allowed in special appeal to come in for the first time with an allegation of a new and separate title,—*viz.*, a right of occupancy under section 6, Act X of 1859. **SOORJO KOOMAR v. GUNGADHUR ROY** . . . 12 W. R., 80

80. ——— **Failure to prove specific title.**—*Title by possession.*—*Form of plaint.*—Where a plaintiff who fails to prove a specific title which he sets up, yet causes it to appear that he has had a clear *bona fide* possession from which the Court can infer a good title, the Court will not shut him out in consequence of the mere form of the plaint. **KYLASH KAMINEE DOSSIA v. JUDOO BASHINEE DOSSIA** . . . 22 W. R., 391

81. ——— **Allegation of mokurrari right and failure to prove it.**—In a suit to recover possession of land which defendant alleged himself to have held for more than twelve years under a mokurrari lease, where the lower Appellate

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Title—continued.

Court, finding that defendant failed to prove his mokurrari right, declared he had no title to hold as a squatter.—*Held* that, notwithstanding the failure of the defendant to prove his mokurrari lease, the lower Court ought to have found what was the nature of the occupancy, and how long it had subsisted. **JORAWUR SINGH v. KHYRAT ALI**

[10 W. R., 360]

82.

Suit in one capacity, proof of right to succeed in another.—A suit was brought by a Hindu widow to recover her share, as heiress to her husband, in certain family property, of which she claimed a portion in her absolute right, and a portion as one of the joint shebaita of certain idols. Among other properties plaintiff claimed one fifth share in a talook, not as a debutter property, but, in right of her husband, as her absolute property. The first Court found that this share was the property of a certain idol, and held that she had not maintained the allegation in her plaint, and even if entitled to it in her right of joint shebait, she could not recover in that capacity, as she had not framed her plaint in that way and had not sued as shebait. The Privy Council held the High Court to be right in treating this objection as one rather of form than of substance, and in giving the relief prayed for. **RADHA MOHUN MUNDUL v. JADOO-MONEE DOSSEE**

. 23 W. R., P. C., 369

83.

Amendment of plaint.—Alternative relief.—Ejectment suit.—Failure to prove lease.—General title.—Where, in an action of ejectment against a tenant holding over, the lease sued on was inadmissible in evidence for want of registration, and the plaint was not amended to one containing an alternative claim for partition.—*Held* that the plaintiff could not be allowed to fall back upon his general title, and obtain a decree for partition. **RAMCHANDRA BAPUJI GOKHLE v. VASUDEV MORBHAT KALE**

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 451]

84.

Right to easement in suit for right of ownership.—Decision on case not made in pleadings.—In a suit brought to establish a right of ownership over certain land.—*Held*, it was not competent to the Court to enter into and decide upon the plaintiff's right to an easement over the same. A question not raised by the plaint ought not to be decided by the Court. **LALJI RATANJI v. GANGARAM TULJARAM**

[2 Bom., 184; 2nd Ed., 176]

85.

Title by prescription.—Making case different from that in plaint.—In a suit for the removal of a pucca building recently erected by defendant upon land lying between the premises of the two parties to the dispute, where plaintiff's claim to use the land had been put upon his title as owner.—*Held* that, having failed to make out the case originally set forth in the plaint, plaintiff had no right to fall back upon

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Title—continued.

a title by prescription. **BHOOBUN MOHUN MUNDUL v. RASH BEHARE PAUL**

. 15 W. R., 84

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM.

86.

Suit for rent.—Failure to prove jummaabundi.—Form of decree.—The plaintiff sued for rent at R29 a year on a jummaabundi, which he alleged was signed by all the ryots when he came into possession; the defendant denied that he was a party to the jummaabundi, but admitted that he held some portion of the land as tenant of the plaintiff at a yearly rent of R5, and that the balance due by him to the plaintiff was R5-15. The plaintiff failed to prove the jummaabundi. *Held*, the plaintiff must, if he accepted the admission of the defendant at all, accept it as a whole, and was therefore only entitled to a decree for R5-15, and not to a decree for all the years for which he claimed rent at R4-13 per annum. **BONOMALEE CHURN MYTEE v. HAFIZUDDIN**

. 13 B. L. R., 247, note: 12 W. R., 317

And see **LUKHEE KANTO DASS CHOWDHRY v. SUMERBUDDI LUSKER**

[13 B. L. R., F. B., 243; 21 W. R., 208]

and **ROUSHAN BIBEE v. HURRAY KRISTO NATH**

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926]

87.

Dismissal of suit on failure to prove it.—Right to decree on defendant's admission.—Where the plaintiff brought a suit for rent for R185, as rent for two years, which he alleged was payable in produce, and the defendants alleged that the rent was only R29 a year, and that the plaintiff had sued them on a former occasion and obtained a decree at that rate, the Judge, finding the defendant's case proved, held that as the plaintiff had set up a false claim, he was not entitled to a decree, and dismissed the suit. *Held*, on special appeal, the plaintiff was entitled to a decree for rent at the rate admitted by the defendant. **KISHEN MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. RAJOO DEY**

. [13 B. L. R., 245, note: 19 W. R., 234]

ROOKHINI KANT ROY v. SHARIKATUNISSA BIBI

[13 B. L. R., 246, note: 20 W. R., 64]

RAJ COOMAR SINGH v. CHOTO RAJ COOMAR SINGH

. W. R., 1864, Act X, 12

HULODHUR SEN v. SEETUL CHUNDER BHOOMICK

[23 W. R., 85]

88.

Failure to prove case.—Right to decree on admission of defendant.—Dismissal of suit.—In a suit for rent, based upon an alleged settlement, the plaintiff failed to prove such settlement. *Held* that no issue having been raised as to what was the fair and proper value of the land, the plaintiff was not entitled to have that question determined: his suit must either be decreed at the rate admitted by defendant, or dismissed. **LUTF ALI KHAN v. FIKIRA SINGH**

; I. R., 208

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM—continued.**

88. ——— Suit for arrears of rent.—Failure to prove rate.—Decree at admitted rate.—In a suit for arrears of rent, where the plaintiff fails to prove the rate of rent claimed in the plaint, it is the duty of the Court to find the proper rate of rent payable by the tenant to his landlord, and not to give a decree merely for the rent admitted by the tenant. **PUNNOO SINGH v. NIRGHIN SINGH**

[I. L. R., 7 Cal., 298 : 8 C. L. R., 310]

90. ——— Suit on new agreement.—Failure to prove agreement.—Decree at admitted rate.—The defendant held lands under the plaintiff at a certain rate per bigha. The plaintiff brought a suit for arrears of rent on a new agreement alleged to have been entered into by the plaintiff and the defendant, whereby the latter agreed to pay a higher rate per bigha. The lower Appellate Court found that the new agreement had never, in fact, been entered into, and gave a decree for the old rate of rent without going into the question whether it was a fair rent or not. *Held* that the decision was correct. **SUFDAK REZA v. AMZAD ALI** . I. L. R., 7 Cal., 703 : 10 C. L. R., 121

91. ——— Failure to prove rent in kind.—Failure to prove case.—Decree on admission of defendant for money rent.—In a suit for arrears of rent, as bhowli rent, where the plaintiff failed to make out his title to bhowli rent or rent in kind, the first Court, finding that the evidence established a commutation of bhowli rent into rent in money, dismissed the suit, with a reservation of the plaintiff's right to sue again for nagdi rent. The lower Appellate Court, agreeing in the first Court's view of the facts, and finding that the defendant admitted that he owed rent in money, decreed the claim to the extent of the admission. *Held* that the lower Appellate Court was right, and that the reservation of right by the first Court was of doubtful operation. **BIBERJAN v. BHAIJUL SINGH**

[21 W. R., 438]

92. ——— Omission to make alternative claim.—Suit for rent.—Beng. Act VI of 1862, s. 10.—In a suit for rent, where the claim was at the rate fixed by the revenue officer acting under Bengal Act VI of 1862, section 10, and was dismissed on the ground that that officer had not the power to assess such rent as he thought proper, *Held* that the plaintiff, whose claim was not in the alternative, was not entitled to a decree at the rate previously paid. **DWARAKANATH BOSE v. RAM LOCHUN BOSE**

[23 W. R., 465]

93. ——— Suit for ejectment.—Entry under unregistered lease.—Holding over.—Landlord and tenant.—Proof of terms of lease.—Decree for rent upon admission of different tenure by defendant.—The plaintiff sued in 1881 to recover certain land and arrears of rent from the defendant, alleging that the defendant's ancestor entered on the land as a tenant in 1865, under a lease for five years, which

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.**3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM—continued.****Suit for ejectment—continued.**

was not registered. The defendant denied the lease of 1865, admitted that she was the tenant of the land, but denied that she could be ejected, and claimed to deduct from the rent certain emoluments. *Held* (1) that the plaintiff could not prove the tenancy alleged in the plaint, inasmuch as the lease of 1865 was not registered, and, therefore, could not eject the defendant; (2) that the plaintiff was entitled, upon the defendant's admission, to recover from the defendant, in this suit, the amount of rent admitted to be due, and no more. **NANGALI v. RAMAN** . . . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 226

VATAN.

See CASES UNDER HEREDITARY OFFICES ACT (BOMBAY).

See SERVICE TENURE.

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 198]

VATANDARS ACT (BOMBAY ACT III OF 1874).

See CASES UNDER JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—OFFICES, RIGHT TO—

VENDOR, PETITION BY, TO HAVE DOCUMENT REGISTERED.

See REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 73 (1871, s. 73) . . . I. L. R., 1 All., 318

VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

	Col.
1. BILLS OF SALE . . .	6117
2. BREACH OF COVENANT . . .	6118
3. BREACH OF WARRANTY . . .	6119
4. CAVEAT EMPTOR . . .	6120
5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER . . .	6122
6. CONDITIONAL SALES . . .	6124
7. CONSIDERATION . . .	6124
8. FRAUD . . .	6127
9. INVALID SALES . . .	6127
10. LIEN . . .	6132
11. NOTICE . . .	6134
12. POSSESSION . . .	6141
13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY . . .	6144
14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER . . .	6148
15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF— . . .	6151
16. SETTING ASIDE SALES . . .	6154
17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF— . . .	6155
18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES . . .	6157

See CASES UNDER BENAMI TRANSACTION:

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 73.

[15 B. L. R., 276]

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 103.

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.*See* ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY CONDUCT.

[4 B. L. R., P. C., 16]

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 134 (1871, ART. 134).

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269]

See PARTIES—ADDING PARTIES TO SUITS—DEFENDANTS

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 355]

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—PERSON SELLING PROPERTY OF WHICH HE IS NOT, BUT AFTERWARDS BECOMES, OWNER. . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677**1. BILLS OF SALE.**

1. ———— Effect of execution of bill of sale without delivery.—Specific performance.—It is very questionable in any case whether the effect of the execution of a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor is to pass an estate, irrespective of the actual delivery of possession. Where the vendor sells an estate of which he is not in possession, in consideration advances to enable him to sue for its recovery, it is not open to the purchaser, after failing to complete his part of the contract, to claim specific performance and delivery of the recovered estate on tendering the balance of the purchase-money. *PRAHLAD SEN v. BUDHU SING. KALIPRASAD TEWARI v. PRAHLAD*

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 111; 12 W. R., P. C., 6]

S. C. PERHLAD SEN v. BUDHOO SINGH. KALIPERSHAD TEWABEE v. PERHLAD SEN

[12 Moore's I. A., 275, 282]

2. ———— Suit to compel transfer of property.—When a bill of sale, though signed and registered, has not been delivered, and no part of the purchase-money has been paid, the vendor cannot be compelled to complete the transfer. *LALLA INDURJEET LALL alias GUJADHUR PERSHAD v. JUMOONA* 5 W. R., 243

3. ———— Incomplete contract.—A bill of sale, though duly executed, was not delivered to the purchaser, but was deposited with a third party, to be held by him until the purchaser should perform certain acts, the performance of which was the consideration for the sale. The purchaser subsequently by a trick got possession of the bill of sale before he had performed all the acts in question. *Held* that, under such circumstances, no effect could be given to the bill of sale as against the vendor, so that a suit for possession of the lands covered by it would not lie. *RAJ CHUNDER CHOWDHRY v. RAJ NATH CHOWDHRY*

[W. R., 1864, 222]

4. ———— Vendor under bill of sale remaining in possession.—Allegation of fraud.—*Suit to set aside bill of sale.*—When a person grants a bill of sale to another person absolute in its terms, he cannot sue to have it set aside on the ground that he has all along remained in possession; and if he alleges fraud in the contract, and adduces

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**1. BILLS OF SALE—continued.****Vendor under bill of sale remaining in possession—continued.**

the fact of non-payment of the consideration-money as evidence of fraud, he will be bound to show proof of non-payment. *TEKAIT MEHRAJ SINGH v. JOY-MUNGUL SINGH* 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 78

5. ———— Bill of sale as construed by intention of parties.—Right of purchaser to sue.—Cases will often arise in which, though a bill of sale may in terms purport to convey the property itself, yet it is clear upon the face of the instrument that the intention of the parties was to convey the right of entry or the equity of redemption, and nothing more. In such cases the Court should not lay stress on the mere terms of the instrument, but give effect to the intention of the parties, and recognise the purchaser's right of action to eject the trespasser or to redeem the mortgage. *BAI SURAJ v. DALPATRAM DAYASHANKAR* . . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380

2. BREACH OF COVENANT.

6. ———— Covenant to restore estate to original owner or heirs at fixed price before selling to another.—Sale under reservation to keep in actual possession or re-sell it to vendor at fixed price.—Subsequent alienation.—Right of reconveyance.—Where a share in an estate had been sold under a stipulation that the purchaser should possess it himself as landlord, or, if desirous of parting with it, should restore it to the original owner or his heirs at a fixed price; and the purchaser, having been restrained by this agreement from selling off this property to a third person, had, on his retirement to England, given other persons a farming lease of it for fifteen years.—*Held* that as the object of the original stipulation was to secure the constant possession of the share to some one with whom the original owner or his heirs, who still retained the residue of the estate, could keep up friendly relations, the grant of the farmer's lease was a violation of the covenant; and that the heirs of the original owner were entitled to have the share in suit conveyed to them at the stipulated price. *RAM-NATH SEN LUSHKUR v. WISE* . . . 25 W. R., 378

7. ———— Covenant repugnant to interest created.—Contemporaneous "ikrarnamah."—*Condition restraining alienation.*—*M.*, a co-sharer in a village, transferred to *A.*, another co-sharer, a 2 annas share by deed of sale. Upon the same date *A.* executed an ikrarnamah in which he agreed that he would not collect the rents of the 2 annas transferred to him, that he would not ever demand partition of that share, and that he would not alienate or mortgage it or otherwise exercise proprietary rights over it. It was further provided that in the event of *A.* committing any breach of covenant the sale should be avoided, and the proprietary rights in the 2 annas share should re-vest in *M.* *Held* that the deed of sale and the ikrarnamah must be regarded as recording one single transaction,—i.e., they

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**2. BREACH OF COVENANT—continued.****Covenant repugnant to interest created—continued.**

must be read together as stating the nature of the transaction entered into upon that date between the plaintiff and the defendant, which on the face of it professed to be a sale of a 2 annas share to the other by the former; and that, in this view, it was clear from the ikarnamah that the proprietary title created by the sale-deed was cut down to *nil*, and limitations placed upon it which rendered it useless as a proprietary right. *Situl Purshad v. Luchmi Purshad*, I. L. R., 10 Cal., 30, referred to. *MAH-RAM DAS v. AJUDHIA* . I. L. R., 8 All., 452

3. BREACH OF WARRANTY.

8. Suit on warranty.—Knowledge by purchaser of title being doubtful.—A purchaser, aware of the doubtful character of the title to the estate he is about to purchase, is justified in taking a guarantee from the seller, who cannot successfully plead to a suit on the guarantee that the purchaser was aware of the facts which induced him to stipulate therefor. *PATHOO LAL v. RADHIKA DOSS*

[3 N. W., 108]

9. Sale of whole title.
—*Failure of title.*—*Suit for money had and received.*—A vendor legally conveying all his title cannot be sued for money had and received, although the title prove defective. Accordingly, where the plaintiff bought two kanam claims, and sued upon them unsuccessfully,—*Held* that he could not recover the purchase-money from his vendor's representatives, on the ground that the consideration for the payment had failed. *MUHAMMAD MOHIDIN v. OTTAYIL UMMACHE* 1 Mad., 390

10. Implied warranty.—Warranty of title by vendor or mortgagor.—*Right to sue for damages.*—A seller or mortgagor must always be held impliedly to warrant the title of the property sold or mortgaged; and if it be found that the title is defective, the vendee or the mortgagee can sue for damages or loss on the breach of implied contract, although there may be no express agreement for title. *DWARKA DASS v. RUTTUN SINGH*

[2 Agra, 199]

11. Description published in advertisement.—Warranty of title.—Misrepresentation.—Fraud, Proof of.—A zemindar (A.) gave certain villages in putni to B. and received consideration-money and rent from him, but B. never got possession of them, nor derived any benefit from the putni, it having been found that the villages belonged to a third party as lakhirajdar, who obtained a decree against A. in a suit to which B. was made a party. A. had published an advertisement setting forth a description of the property, and calling upon intending purchasers to come forward. *Held* that the advertisement published by A. setting forth a description of the villages was substantially an implied warranty of title and would make him respon-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**3. BREACH OF WARRANTY—continued.****Implied warranty—continued.**

sible to purchasers deceived by such misrepresentation; and fraud having been shown, the absence of a stipulation to refund would not protect A. from refunding. *Held* that, in cases like this, it would be a sufficient proof of fraud to show that the fact (of ownership) as represented was false, and that the person making the representation had a knowledge of the fact contrary to it. *NILMONEE SINGH v. GORDON, STUART, & Co.* 9 W. R., 371

See KHELUT CHUNDER GHOSH v. KRISTO GOBIND DEB 18 W. R., 276

12. Right to sue on warranty of title.—Right to refund of consideration.—A buyer may at once sue on a warranty of title if he can show that the seller has not a good title in accordance with his undertaking, and that he has sustained loss in consequence. *Semble*,—It does not follow as a matter of course that on proof of breach of warranty the buyer is entitled to receive back the whole of the consideration-money, or that on its being ascertained that the seller had no title the conditional sale is nullified. *SAYEF ALI v. MAHOMED JOWAD ALI* [7 W. R., 196]

13. Covenant against disturbance of possession.—Loss of property by third person enforcing right of pre-emption.—Disqualification of purchaser from buying.—Covenant for good title to convey.—Construction of covenant.—An instrument of sale contained the following condition: "Should any person claim as a co-sharer or proprietor of the property, and assert his claim against the purchaser or raise any dispute of any kind, or if from any unforeseen cause the purchaser be deprived of the possession of the property or any portion thereof, or his possession thereof is disturbed in any way, then I (vendor), my heirs and assigns, shall be liable for the purchase-money, the profits of the property, and costs of litigation." The purchaser having lost the property by reason of a person having a right of pre-emption having sued him to enforce such right and obtained a decree, sued the vendor to recover the costs incurred by him in defending such suit, basing his claim upon the condition set forth above. *Held* that the suit was not maintainable, as such condition referred to flaws or defects in the vendor's title, and was not applicable to a loss accruing to the purchaser from his disqualification to buy. *GOLAM JILANI v. IM-DAD HUSAIN* I. L. R., 4 All., 357

4. CAVEAT EMPTOR.

14. Right of purchaser.—Warranty of title.—Hindu law.—Contract.—Sale of land in Bombay.—In England the law gives to the purchaser of land a right to have a good title to it shown by the vendor. No such rule appears to exist in the Hindu law and in contracts between Hindus for the purchase and sale of land in Bombay the intention of the parties must be ascertained from the terms of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—continued.****Right of purchaser—continued.**

the agreement, without regard to any implication.

DEVI GHELA v. JIVARAJ MUKUNDAS

[2 Bom., 430 : 2nd Ed., 408]

15. ——— Conditions of sale.—*Defect in title previous to title shown by vendor.*—When it is provided by conditions of sale of land that the vendor shall not be bound to show any title prior to an instrument of a certain date, the purchaser may insist upon a defect of title appearing *aliunde* and before that date, and if it be proved to exist may rescind the contract and recover back earnest-money, interest, and expenses. **MANCHABJI PESTANJI v. NARAYAN LAKSHUMANJI**

[1 Bom., 77]

16. ——— Land sold without warranty.—*Purchaser with invalid title.*—*Liability of vendor.*—In the absence of fraud or express warranty of title in a sale of land, the vendee cannot recover from the vendor the expenses incurred in defending a suit for possession brought against him by a third party having a better title. **NEELMONEE SINGH DEO v. GORDON, STUART, & Co.**

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356 : 6 W. R., 152]

17. ——— Liability of purchaser.—*In- as to title.*—*Eviction after purchase.*—By the rule of *caveat emptor*, the buyer is bound by law to take care of himself and to see that he buys after satisfying himself that there is a good title. The purchaser is bound to look not only to his own title, but to see that he is properly indemnified by the covenants in his deed of purchase; and if he does not choose to protect himself in this manner, he has no remedy: for if a deed of purchase has been once executed, unless there is an eviction by the vendor or some person claiming under him, the purchaser has no right of action against the vendor. **GOUB KISHORE SHAHA v. CHUNDER KISHORE DUTT MOJUMDAR**

25 W. R., 45

18. ——— Sale of shares deposited with bank for advance.—*Depreciation of security.*—*Objection to disclose position of shares.*—Where a contract has been made for the sale of shares deposited with a bank as security for an advance, the vendor is not bound to disclose the fact to the purchaser when there can be no reason to anticipate such a depreciation of value in the shares as would entitle the bank to refuse to transfer. **NARAYAN SUCCARAM v. BHAWOO DAZER**

1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 154

19. ——— Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title.—*Absence in sale-deed of covenant for title of purchaser.*—*Right to damages.*—In 1881 a Hindu executed a sale-deed of a house in the mofussil. The deed contained no covenant for title. The purchaser having been ejected from a portion of the house under a decree, of which the vendor was aware at the time of the sale, sued the vendor for damages. The Munsif decreed the claim on the ground that the vendor had fraudulently concealed the existence of the decree. On appeal the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—continued.****Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title—continued.**

District Judge reversed this decree, holding that as the purchaser had not insisted on a covenant for title, he must be held to have accepted all risks. *Held* that, if there had been fraudulent concealment as alleged, the purchaser was entitled to damages. **GAJAPATHI v. ALAGIA**

I. L. R., 9 Mad., 89

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.

20. ——— Oral transfer.—*Hindu vendor and purchaser.*—Land may pass by mere parol between Hindu vendor and purchaser. **MOHESH CHUNDER CHATTERJEE v. ISSUR CHUNDER CHATTERJEE**

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266]

21. ——— Want of registration.—*complete without payment of purchase-money on registration of deed.*—A sale might be complete, and it still might be a condition of the contract that the purchase-money was to be paid afterwards, and the deed in evidence of the contract may not be completed. The bare fact of the deed not being registered would not annul a sale if, by mutual agreement, a sale had already been made. **KALKE CHURN GIBEE GOSSAIN v. LALLA MUDDUN KISHORE**

[7 W. R., 317]

22. ——— Transfer of ownership of property.—*Decree for specific performance of contract of sale.*—*Conveyance.*—In the mofussil of the Bombay Presidency, the transfer of the ownership of immoveable property to a vendee who has obtained a decree ordering the specific performance of the contract of sale to himself, does not wait for the execution of a conveyance,—even if the vendor is required, as he seldom is, to execute such a conveyance,—but is effected by the passing of the decree itself, coupled with the payment of the purchase-money. **DHONDIBA KRISHNAJI PATEL v. RAMCHUNDRAS BHAGWAT**

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554]

23. ——— Possession given in execution of decrees.—The formal possession given by a Civil Court under an execution operates, in point of law and fact, as between the parties, as a complete transfer of possession from one party to the other. **KORR v. PURGUN ROY**

[I. L. R.,

24. ——— Execution and registration of conveyances.—*Failure to pay purchase-money and return of conveyance.*—D. sold a house to P. and executed a deed of conveyance which was duly registered. The purchase-money, however, was never paid by P., who, consequently, never obtained possession. Shortly after the conveyance had been registered, P. returned it to D. with an endorsement thereon to the effect that it was returned because P. was unable to pay the purchase-money. The right, title, and interest of P. in the house was subsequently attached and sold under a decree obtained against him by the plaintiff. The plaintiff became the purchaser,

AND PURCHASER—continued.**5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER—continued.****Transfer of ownership of property—continued.**

and sued *D.* for possession. The lower Courts threw out the claim, on the ground that the property had not passed to *P.*, the sale to him being incomplete. *Held*, the sale of the house by *D.* to *P.* was not incomplete. The deed purported to make an immediate transfer of the ownership of the house to *P.*, and *P.* accordingly became the owner of the house. The endorsement on the conveyance, not having been registered, could not affect the property. The plaintiff, therefore, as purchaser of the right, title, and interest of *P.*, became legal owner of the house, but subject to all *P.*'s liabilities; and as *D.* had a lien upon the house for the amount of the unpaid purchase-money, the plaintiff could not obtain possession without paying off this charge. *UMEDMAL MOTIRAM v. DAVU BIN DHONDIBA*. . . . **I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547**

25.

Execution of

deed of sale.—*Failure of purchaser to perform preliminaries to possession.*—The vendor of certain immovable property agreed to sell such property, and the purchaser agreed to purchase it on the understanding that the purchaser should retain a part of the purchase-money, and therewith discharge certain bond-debts due by the vendor, for the payment of which such property was hypothecated in the bonds. On such understanding the vendor executed a conveyance of such property to the purchaser. *Held*, in a suit by the purchaser for the possession of such property in virtue of such conveyance, that, the purchaser not having paid such bond-debts or done anything to account for such part of the purchase-money according to such understanding, the contract of sale had not been completed, and the suit was therefore not maintainable. *IKBAL BEGAM v. GOBIND PRASAD*. . . . **I. L. R., 3 All., 77**

26.

Default in completing contract of sale.—*Partial performance.*—In suits arising out of the default on both sides to complete a contract for the purchase and sale of land in the mofussil, the Court should proceed as a Court of Equity, and should look to the acts and conduct of the parties subsequent to the making of the contract as well as the language of the contract itself; and where the contract has been partially performed and the purchaser put into possession of a portion of the land and allowed by the vendor so to continue long after the period fixed for completion of the contract has elapsed, further time should be given by the Court for the performance of the contract in specie. (*TUCKER, J., dissentiente.*) *BALA VAJAD SANKIA v. GABAJI BALVANT KULKARNI*. . . . **[2 Bom., 175; 2nd Ed., 168]**

27.

Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors."—*Rescission.*—*Registration Act (III of 1877), s. 17, cl. (b).*—An agreement for the purchase and sale of certain immovable property provided that the completion of the contract should be "subject to the approval of the purchaser's soli-

AND PURCHASER—continued.**5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER—continued.****Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors"—continued.**

citors" (naming them), and that if they should not approve of the title, the vendor should refund the earnest-money and pay all costs incurred by the purchaser in investigating the title. The purchaser's solicitors disapproved of the title, and the purchaser rescinded the contract. The agreement was not registered. *Held* in a suit to recover the amount of the earnest-money and costs, that, assuming the objections to be reasonable, the purchaser was entitled to rescind the contract. *Held*, further, that the agreement did not require registration. *SREEGOPAL MULLICK v. RAM CHURN NUSKUR*. . . . **[I. L. R., 8 Cal., 886; 12 C. L. R., 125]**

6. CONDITIONAL SALES.

28.

Land sold on condition of re-purchase.—*Absolute sale.*—Where land was sold on a condition of re-purchase, and no time was mentioned in the instrument of sale,—*Held* that the sale had not become absolute, and that the plaintiff, having bought the original vendor's rights, was entitled to maintain a suit for recovery of the land. *GURUSAMY AIYAN v. SWAMINADHA AIYAN*. . . . **[2 Mad., 450]**

29.

Deed of conditional sale.—*Beng. Reg. XV of 1793.*—*Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806.*—*Usufruct.*—A deed of sale executed in 1201 (1794) was subject to the condition that if the vendors, "from the year 1202 to the year 1203, should repay the whole of the consideration-money, they should receive back the deed of sale, which shall then become null and void; and if within the said period they fail to pay the said consideration-money, this conditional sale shall become absolute, and be considered irrevocable." *Held* that Regulation XV of 1793 did not operate to prevent the assignment becoming absolute after the expiration of the time limited for repayment of the consideration, and that Regulation XVII of 1806 had not a retrospective effect, and therefore did not apply; and that even if the entire amount of the purchase-money were satisfied out of the proceeds of estate before the time for the conditional sale becoming absolute, the vendees would acquire a perfect title. *BULDEO SINGH v. DHUREN SINGH*. . . . **Marsh., 632**

30.

Purchaser under conditional sale.—*Incumbrances.*—A purchaser under a conditional sale takes the property with all *bond fide* incumbrances created by his vendor previous to the sale. *RADHA MOHUN DEB v. NUND LAL DEB*. . . . **[7 W. R., 363]**

7. CONSIDERATION.

31.

Validity of contract of sale.—*Agreement without consideration.*—*Right to sell afterwards to another.*—A mere agreement to sell

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**7. CONSIDERATION—continued.****Validity of contract of sale—continued.**

a certain property, without any consideration passing, cannot bar the right of the vendor on the same day to sell a portion of the property to a third party, or invalidate the third party's purchase. **BEYUN-KUREE DABER v. TABINEE CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY** [7 W. R., 38]

32.

Non-payment of purchase-money.—Intention to pass subject of sale.—Failure to pay consideration, Effect of.—Although ordinarily, in a transaction of sale, it may be reasonable to suppose that the seller does not intend to pass the property to the purchaser until the purchase-money has been paid or secured, it is not an absolute rule of law that the non-receipt of the consideration-money in full entitles a vendor to make void a sale which is otherwise complete. **MOHUN SINGH v. SHIB KOONWER** **1 Agra, 85**

HERRA SINGH v. RAGHO NATH SUHAI. BHURTH SINGH v. RAGHO NATH SUHAI . **3 Agra, 30**

33.

Intention of parties.—Failure to pay consideration, Effect of, after execution and delivery of deed.—The intention of the parties from their acts should be ascertained; and when a deed is executed and delivered to the purchaser, a subsequent default by the purchaser in the due payment of the purchase-money would not, in the absence of fraud, make void the sale, or give any other right to the vendor than a right to sue for the money. Further, if it be proved that the vendor intended to retain possession until full payment, the Court may pass a decree establishing the purchaser's right subject to execution or payment of consideration. **MOHUN SINGH v. SHIB KOONWER** [1 Agra, 85]

34.

Plea of valuable consideration.—Allegation of seisin of vendor and sale of absolute title.—A pleading setting up as a defence a purchase for valuable consideration should aver the seisin of the vendor and the sale of his absolute title for good consideration. **RADANATH DAS v. ELLIOT** [6 B. L. R., P. C., 530]

S. C. RADHANATH DOSS v. GISBOENE & Co. [15 W. R., P. C., 24: 14 Moore's L. A., 1]

35.

Failure of consideration.—Bonus paid for talook not in existence.—Right to refund of bonus.—When a bonus is paid for a putni talook not in existence, there is an entire failure of consideration, and the person paying the bonus is entitled to a refund of it. The principle of *caveat emptor* does not apply to such a case. **KRISTO LALL MORRO v. NOBBO COOMAR ROY** . **5 W. R., 232**

36. ——— Proof of payment of con-

consideration.—Non-payment of consideration-money.—Burden of proof.—In a suit for possession of land alleged to have been purchased under a registered deed of sale, the defendant-vendor admitted the execution and registration of the deed, but denied receipt of consideration. The deed was dated in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**7. CONSIDERATION—continued.****Proof of payment of consideration—continued.**

January 1876, and the suit was instituted in 1884. It was found that the vendor had been in possession during the whole of that period. The plaintiff produced no evidence in proof of the payment of consideration. *Held* that, although under ordinary circumstances the party to a deed duly executed and registered who alleges non-payment of consideration is bound to prove his allegation, the fact that the plaintiff and his predecessor had silently submitted to the withholding of possession for upwards of eight years, combined with the continuous possession of the vendor, favoured the allegation of the latter that possession had been withheld because of the non-payment of consideration, and raised such a counter-presumption as to make it incumbent on the plaintiff to give evidence that consideration had in fact passed. *Held*, therefore, that in the absence of such evidence, and of evidence to explain the fact of the plaintiff being out of possession, the suit failed. **ACHOBANDIL KUARI v. MAHABIR PRASAD**

[I. L. R., 8 All., 641]

37.

Part payment of consideration.—Right to sue for possession.—*Held* that non-payment of the consideration-money can be pleaded by the seller, and inquired into by the Court, the admission of the seller at the time of registration before the Registrar being no conclusive proof of payment of the consideration-money, with reference to the practice which obtains of preparing the sale-deed and registering it before payment. Under the ordinary rule of law, a purchaser has a right to sue for possession when a portion of the consideration-money has been paid, unless the contrary be shown to be the intention of the parties, and the seller has a right to sue for the balance of price. **GOOR PRASHAD v. NUNDA SINGH** **1 Agra, 160**

38. ——— Right to refund of earnest-money.—Agreement for sale of ship.—Failure of consideration.—Plaintiff and defendants entered into an agreement for the sale by the defendants, who were thereby stated to be the absolute owners of a certain ship, to the plaintiff of the said ship. The defendants agreed with the plaintiff that they would, immediately upon payment of the purchase-money, execute to the plaintiff and another a proper bill of sale of the ship. The defendants were unable to get a properly registered bill of sale of the ship made out, owing to infirmity of their own title, but were willing, so far as they could, to convey. The plaintiff had made part payment in respect of the price of the ship. *Held* that the consideration had failed, and that the plaintiff was entitled to a refund of the money paid by him in part payment, and of sums disbursed by him under the agreement on account of the expenses of the ship. **JASSIM BINSAFF v. ESAU AHMED** **2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 13**

39. ——— Valuable consideration, Question of.—Assignment of chose in action.—The question whether an assignment of any equity of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**7. CONSIDERATION—continued.****Valuable consideration, Question of—continued.**

redemption admitted by the assignor was made for a valuable consideration or not, is no material in determining the rights of the assignee against a party who holds adversely to the assignor. *KACHU BAYAJI v. KACHOBA VITHOBA* . 10 Bom., 491

8. FRAUD.**40. ——— Evidence of fraud.—Innuity of purchase-money.**

—In considering a case of alleged fraud in the purchase of an estate, it is material to inquire what relation the purchase-money paid bore to the value of the estate. *SEEMUNCHUNDER DEY v. GOPAUL CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY* [7 W. R., P. C., 10; 11 Moore's I. A., 28

41. ——— Notice of facts

implying bad title.—Mala fides.—Questions of bona fides.—Notice of fact from which the infirmity of the vendor's title might be inferred is evidence of *mala fides*, but is not itself *mala fides*, and the question of *bona fide* purchase is one of fact. *SITHA UMMAL v. RUNGASAMI IYENGAR* . 5 Mad., 385

42. ——— Effect of fraud.—Goods obtained by fraud.—Right of vendor.—Where goods have been obtained by means of a fraudulent purchase, the vendor has a right to disaffirm the contract so as to re-vest the property in himself, and this even if the property had passed to the vendee with the consent of the vendor. Where a vendee purchased cotton, with the preconceived design of not paying for it, the sale did not pass the property: although the cotton may have been, with the vendor's consent, allowed to be placed on the vendee's boat, still the vendee must be considered as the agent of the vendor, and his possession as that of the vendor, and the cotton as still the property of the vendor, as long as the price was not paid. *DURSUN LALL PANDEY v. INDUR CHUNDER* 6 W. R., 81

9. INVALID SALES.**43. ——— Fraudulent concealment.**

Knowledge of defect in title, or of incumbrance.—Where a vendor knowing that he had no right or title to property, or being cognisant of the existence of incumbrances, or of latent defects materially lowering it in value, sold it and neglected to disclose such defects to the purchaser,—*Held* that there was a fraudulent concealment vitiating the contract. *PHAREE MOHUN SOOR v. ABDOL SOBHAN CHOWDHRY* 7 W. R., 258

44. ——— Misrepresentation.—Right

to recover purchase-money.—Wilful misrepresentation by a vendor regarding property sold, practised in a matter within his knowledge, and concerning which the purchaser had no adequate means of knowledge, held to vitiate a sale, and to entitle the purchaser to recover the purchase-money actually paid by him. *MAHOMED SHAEE KHAN v. BAHOO BRGUM* [1 N. W., Part II, p. 26; Ed. 1873, 84

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**9. INVALID SALES—continued.****45. ——— Undue influence.—Fiduciary**

relationship.—Attorney and client.—Onus probandi.—A contract of sale or conveyance entered into by any one with a person who stands relatively to him in a position of confidence or trust, is liable to be called in question by the vendor, and to be set aside at his instance, if it be found that the other party made an unfair use of his advantages. This rule of equity applies strongly in a case where any person, acting as an attorney, or as a legal adviser, enters into a contract with his client in respect of the subject of litigation or advice. Undue influence is presumed to have been exerted until the contrary is proved, and the purchaser is bound to show that all the terms and conditions of the contract are fair, adequate, and reasonable. *PUSHONG v. MUNIA HALWANI*

[1 B. L. R., A. C., 95; 10 W. R., 128

46.

Fiduciary relationship.—Trustee and cestui que trust.—J. and M. were named executors of the will of *H.*, who died in 1844. *M.* alone proved the will, but *J.* did not renounce probate until nine years after the death of *H.* and the commencement of litigation. The only act as an executor of *H.* proved against *J.* was that, in a deed executed by him for the conveyance of the share of *H.* in a certain estate in which *J.* was also interested in another capacity, he was described as executor of *H.*, and the deed recited that probate had been granted to him. *Held* that he was by this reason, as well as on the ground of having an unfair advantage in respect of certain property in litigation, precluded from purchasing the interest of *H.*'s sons under a decree. *DHONENDRO CHUNDER MOOKERJEE v. MUTTY LOLL MOOKERJEE. SEEMANCHUNDER MOOKERJEE v. MUTTYLOLL MOOKERJEE* . Cor., 57

47.

Purchase by agent or other person on fiduciary position.—Fiduciary relationship.—Onus probandi.—Consideration.—An agent or person in a fiduciary position towards the owner of property purchased by him, is bound to prove that the sale was made for good and sufficient consideration, and must not only prove that the agent had authority to sell, and that the consideration alleged was in fact paid, but also that the consideration paid was a fair price for the property. If the purchase be made by a stranger, such a purchaser need not show that the consideration paid by him is a consideration equal to the value of the property; it will be sufficient for the purchaser to show that the sale was made by a person who had authority from the owner to sell; and, unless the seller can establish a fraudulent connivance between the agent employed to sell and the purchaser, the sale will be binding on the seller on proof of authority of the agent to sell. *RUTTA BEBEE v. DUMREE LAL* . 2 N. W., 153

48.

Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect.—Ground for setting aside sale by Court of Equity.—A Court of Equity will not set aside the voluntary deed of a weak man who is not absolutely *non compos*, unless the weakness as well as the facts surrounding the transaction

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**9. INVALID SALES—continued.****Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect—continued.**

and the nature of the transaction itself, be such as to satisfy the Court that the person had not at the time a mind adequate to the business, and that he might have been imposed upon, or unless the Court is not satisfied of the good faith of all the parties to the transaction. **RAJENDER CHUNDER NEWGEE v. BHOOBUN KALKE DEBEA . W. R., 1864, 65**

49. — Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice.—*Fraud. —Undue influence.—Inadequate consideration.—Terms on which deed will be set aside.—Purchase-money declared a charge.—Funeral expenses of Hindu widow declared a charge.—No allowance for repairs and improvements.*—C. was the widow of one R., deceased, and from the death of R. until her own death remained in occupation of a house and chawl which had belonged to him. D. was a sister of C.'s, and, shortly after R.'s death, D. and her son B., the first and second defendants, went to live with C. on the said property, and lived with C. and were her only companions until C.'s death. While so living with C., D. and B. advanced to C. at various times, on joint account, various sums of money, amounting to Rs3,500, for purposes such as would have justified C. in pledging the property of her late husband to secure the repayment of the same. C. became very ill, and D. and B., fearing she might be going to die, requested her to take some steps to secure to them the repayment of the sums they had advanced to her. C. thereupon offered to give D. and B. an absolute deed of sale of the said house and chawl in consideration of the said sum of Rs3,500 already advanced to her, and of an additional sum of Rs500 then to be paid to her to defray her funeral expenses and the costs of the said conveyance. D. and B. consented, and called in their solicitor to take C.'s instructions and draw up the deed in question, which he accordingly did; and within three days of the said agreement the deed was executed. At that time C. was very ill, and twelve days after the execution of the deed C. died. C. was an illiterate woman, over sixty years of age, and had in this matter no independent professional or other advice. The additional sum of Rs500 agreed to be paid to C. was never so paid to her, but after her death D. and her son expended moneys in and about her funeral ceremonies, amounting, as they alleged, to upwards of Rs400. The property in question so pledged to them for Rs4,000 was worth at least Rs5,200. The plaintiff, one of the heirs of R., sued to set the deed aside and for possession of the said property. *Held* that the deed of sale must be set aside as obtained under circumstances which amounted to fraud. *Held*, also, that the advances, amounting to Rs3,500, made to C. by D. and her son B., being made for purposes for which C. would have been justified in pledging the said property, the deed of sale should be set aside only on the terms that the property in question should stand charged with the repayment of the sums so advanced. *Held*, also, that the property must stand charged with the repayment

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**9. INVALID SALES—continued.****Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice—continued.**

to D. and B. of such a sum as, having regard to her position and station in life, should be found to be a reasonable sum for the funeral expenses of C. After C.'s death, D. and B. remained in possession of the said property under the deed of sale, and expended considerable sums of money in and about repairs and improvements to the same; and they now claimed that, if the sale was to be set aside, the sums so expended should be repaid to them. *Held* that no allowance could be made to D. and B. for sums so expended by them, such sums having been expended at a time when D. and B. must be taken to have known that they were fraudulently in possession of the property in question. **SADASHIV BHASKAR JOSHI v. DHAKUBAI . I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450**

50. — Inadequacy of consideration.—*Actual or constructive fraud.—Cancellation of sale.—Sales by expectant heirs of reversionary interests.*—In the case of a sale by a person, young indeed and in distressed circumstances, but not without advice or means of information, of an estate actually vested in him, but not to be obtained without litigation, the party seeking to set aside the sale must establish the fraud, actual or constructive, which entitles him to relief. It is not sufficient for him to show that he did not receive the full value of the estate to which the result of the litigation might ultimately show him to be entitled. The difference between that value and the purchase-money, if not too disproportionate, may be legitimately taken to represent the difference between certainty and immediate enjoyment on the one hand, and risk, worry, expense, and delay on the other. The exceptional equitable principles which, in a sale by an expectant heir of a reversionary interest, throw upon the purchaser the onus of showing that he gave a fair price, and which, on failure of such proof, entitles the expectant heir to have the sale set aside, have no application in the above case, or in that of every ignorant and improvident person. **AZIMUDIN KHAN v. ZIA-UL-NISSA . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 309**

51. — Omission to register.—*Fraud.—Registration Act, XVI of 1864, s. 18.*—Where the sale-deed was executed, and consideration paid, but the deed was not registered within four months, owing to the seller's fraud,—*Held* that such fraudulent vendor could not benefit himself by pleading the provision of law (section 18, Act XVI of 1864) as a bar to the purchaser's claim. **PURGAS RAI v. JUGGUN SINGH . 2 Agra, Pt. II, 201**

52. — Alienation to defeat execution of decree.—*Rights of creditor without specific lien against purchaser.—Fraud.*—On the 3rd October 1865 the plaintiff filed a suit against D. to recover certain lands and money. While the suit was pending,—*viz.*, on the 13th October 1866,—D. mortgaged part of his immovable property to defendant R., and on 21st August 1871 executed a deed of sale to defendant R. of all the immoveable property of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**9. INVALID SALES—continued.****Alienation to defeat execution of decree—continued.**

which he (*D.*) was then possessed, for the price of Rs. 4,000. On 30th April 1872 the plaintiff obtained a decree against *D.*, and in execution thereof attached certain immovable property other than the land mentioned in the decree. The defendant *R.* applied under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), and on the 21st August 1873 procured the removal of the attachment, whereupon the plaintiff brought the present suit to set aside the order of 21st August 1873, and to obtain a declaration that the attached property belonged to *D.* and was liable in execution. *Held* that inasmuch as neither the decree of the 30th April 1872, nor the plaint on which it was founded, established or sought to establish any claim against a specific lien upon the immovable property, the subject of the present suit, it was perfectly competent for *D.*, at any time previously to an attachment of the property, to alienate it, and the question for decision as to that property was whether *D.* had alienated it or not. If the deed of sale by which *D.* conveyed the property on the 21st August 1871 were merely colourable, and the change of ownership ostensible only and not real,—i.e., if it was the intention of the parties that the alienee should be merely a trustee for *D.* to shield the property from execution, and that *D.* should continue to be the beneficial owner of it,—there would not be any alienation, and the deed of sale would be void as against an attaching creditor of *D.* If, on the other hand, the sale were a real transaction,—i.e., if it was the intention of the parties that the full ownership should pass from the vendor to the vendee,—then the sale would be valid, even though it might have been in the contemplation of the parties that future attempts to attach the property by a creditor of the vendor (not having any specific lien on the property) should be defeated by the sale. Until attachment the creditor has no right to interfere with the power of his debtor to deal with his property. **RAJAN HARJI v. ARDESHIR HOBMUSJI**

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70]

SAKHARAM MAHIPAT v. DAWUD VALAD JAWA-BHAI . . . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 76, note**BALVANTRAY v. JIVANJI HOEMASJI**

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 77]

53. Sale to two successive purchasers.—Non-payment of purchase-money.—Right of first and second purchasers.—The proprietor of certain immovable property conveyed it first to one person and then to another. The first purchaser sued the vendor and the second purchaser for the possession of the property, alleging that he had been put in possession of it, but had been ousted by the second purchaser. *Held* that the first sale was not void by reason of the non-payment of the purchase-money, and that the second sale being invalid as having been made by a person who had no rights and interests remaining in the property, the second purchaser was not a representative of the vendor and entitled to receive the purchase-money found to be

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**9. INVALID****Sale to two successive purchasers—continued.**

still due to him from the first purchaser, and to retain possession of the property until the receipt of that purchase-money. **RAM LAKHAN RAI v. BANDAN RAI** . . . I. L. R., 2 All., 711

54. ——— Sale of property not belonging to vendor.—Loss sustained by purchaser on being dispossessed.—Where a party sells property to others, and it afterwards appears that he had not the right to do so, and the purchasers are in consequence dispossessed, the loss ought to fall on the vendor, and not on the vendees who put faith in his act of selling. **AMANULLAH v. MAHOMED NASIR**

[22 W. R., 442]

55. Deed of sale set aside as being fraudulent and void.—Right of purchaser to compensation for improvements.—A party in possession under a deed of sale conveying real estate, the property of a defendant in a pending suit, held not entitled to any allowance for sums expended by him for improvement upon the estate, when the deed was found to be fraudulent and void as against the creditors of the vendor, and to have been executed to defeat a sequestration. **MUSADDEH MAHOMED CAZUM SHEBAZER v. ALLY MAHOMED SHOOSTRY** . . . 6 Moore's I. A., 27

10. LIEN.

56. Creation of lien.—Title.—Notice of charge.—English law as to right of bond fide purchaser for value without notice.—By contract and deposit of title-deeds *B.* charged certain land in favour of *A.* as a security in respect of the non-delivery of the title-deeds of an estate bought by *A.* from him. After the creation of this charge the land was transferred by *B.* to *C.* The Sudder Court having decided that the contract was not operative as an hypothecation or pledge, even between the parties to it, and that *A.* had no right of suit against *C.*, to whom the land had been transferred,—*Held* by the Privy Council, reversing that decision, that the agreement created a lien on the land, and that no positive law was shown to forbid the giving effect to such agreement. The owner of property subject to a lien or charge can in general convey to another no title higher or more free than his own; it lies always on a succeeding owner to make out a case to defend such prior charge. The law in India does not enable a purchaser of land to look only to the apparent title in the Collector's books, or the presumed title of the owner in possession; and it is beyond the province of a Court of Justice to give effect to the title of such a purchaser to the extent of defeating a prior lien or charge. Conceding that a purchaser for value, *bond fide*, and without notice of the charge, would have an equity superior to *A.*'s right,—*Held* that a purchase in good faith by *C.* had not been proved. If the English doctrine on this subject be adopted, as the rule prescribed by justice, equity, and good conscience, its

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**10. LIEN—continued.****Creation of lien—continued.**

qualifications and restrictions should not be rejected.
VARDEN SETH SAM v. LUCKPATHY ROYJEE LALLAH
 [Marsh., 461 : 9 Moore's I. A., 303]

57.**—Purchaser, Right of.—Produce**

of land, Sale of.—*N. W. P. Rent Act, XVIII of 1873, s. 56.—Hypothecation.*—The purchaser of the un-stored produce of land in the occupation of a culti-vator, with notice of the lien created on such produce by section 56 of Act XVIII of 1873, takes such produce subject to such lien. *S. A. No. 1393 of 1870, decided on the 4th February 1871, and Achul v. Gunga Pershad, 2 Agra, 73, followed.* **KINLOCK v. COLLECTOR OF ETAWAH.** **KINLOCK v. COURT OF WARDS I. L. R., 3 All, 433**

58.**Lien by deposit**

of title-deeds.—Subsequent purchase by another.—In 1865 C. gave H. a lien on his property, by a deposit of title-deeds. In 1867 B. purchased the same property *bonâ fide*, and without notice of H.'s lien. *Held* that B. took the property free of the lien. **BUNSEEDHUR v. HERRA LALL**
 [1 N. W., Part VI, p. 74 : Ed. 1873, 166]

59.**Priority.—In-**

choate agreement to purchase.—Deposit of earnest-money.—The claimant entered into an agreement for the purchase of certain property, and on the execu-tion of the agreement deposited Rs. 15,000 as earnest-money of the contract and in part payment of the purchase-money. The claimant was not satisfied at that time with the title-deeds supplied by the vendor, but afterwards entered into fresh negotiations for the purchase upon different terms. The vendor died, and the present claim was filed in a suit to administer his estate. *Held* that the claimant was entitled to be paid in full the Rs. 15,000 in priority to all other creditors; and that his lien was not lost by the failure either of the original contract or the subsequent negotiations. **KENNY v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL 3 B. L. R., O. C., 75**

60.**—Lien, Concealment**

of.—Estoppel.—In execution of a money-decree, the decree-holder caused the right, title, and interest of the judgment-debtor in a certain property, which had been mortgaged to him by a registered bond, to be sold, but without notice of the existence of such lien. He afterwards obtained a decree upon the bond, and sold it to the defendants, who caused the same prop-erty to be attached. The purchaser intervened under section 246, but without success. In a suit by the purchaser to establish his absolute right,—*Held* that, as the defendants' vendor had suppressed the fact of the charge, and thereby induced the plaintiff to purchase as the absolute property of the judg-ment-debtor, they were now precluded from setting up his lien. **DULLAB SIKAR v. KRISHNA KUMAR BAKSHI**

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 407 : 12 W. R., 303]

61. ——— **Right to enforce lien.—Sale**
subject to decree declaring lien on property.—If a

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**10. LIEN—continued.****Right to enforce lien—continued.**

decree declares a lien over A.'s property for a certain sum in favour of B., and subsequently A. sells part of this property to C. and part to D., B. cannot sue to enforce his lien against C.'s purchase without bringing his own into contribution. **RAM LOCHUN SIKAR v. RAM NARAIN 1 C. L. R., 296**

11. NOTICE.**62.****Necessity of notice of title.**

—Equitable doctrine of secret ownership.—It is a rule of universal equity, and not one peculiar to English Courts, that, in order to enable the real owner of property to recover from a purchaser for value from a person allowed by the real owner to hold himself out as the owner, he must prove either direct or constructive notice of the real title, or that there existed circumstances which ought to have put the purchaser on an inquiry which, if prosecuted, would have led to a discovery of the real title. **RAMCOOMAR KOONDOL v. MCQUEEN**

[11 B. L. R., 46 : 18 W. R., 166
L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 40]**63.****Purchaser' without notice.**

—Secret ownership.—Fraud.—A vendee who pur-chases for valuable consideration, and without notice of benami, from the ostensible owner of the property held by him under an apparently good title, will be protected from subsequent acts of the owner or his heir, both of whom were parties to the fraud; and his purchase will hold good against any subsequent sale made by them. **RENNIE v. GUNGANARAIN CHOWDHRY 3 W. R., 10**

64.**Equitable relief**

against forfeiture.—Remarks on the doctrine of equity as to the applicability of the defence of pur-chase for valuable consideration without notice. The defence does not apply where the Court of Chancery is exercising a jurisdiction concurrent with that of the Courts of law. Where A. sold land to B., re-serving a right to re-purchase by payment of a certain sum at a specified time, and before such time had arrived B. re-sold to C. for valuable considera-tion without notice, and A. failed to make the pay-ment and forfeited his right to re-purchase,—*Held* that he had no title unless relieved against the for-feiture, and that such relief could not be given as against C. **SAMAKKAUNDAN v. PERUMAL CHETTI**

[2 Mad., 14]

65.**Purchase from**

joint Hindu family.—Presumption.—Semble.—That considering the state of Hindu families a purchaser would be affected with notice by much slighter evi-dence than a purchaser in other countries. **KOYI-LOTHPUTENPURATIL MANOKI KORAN NAYAR v. PU-THEN PURATIL MANOKI CHANDA NAYAR**

[3 Mad., 294]

66. ——— **Bond fide pur-**
chaser.—Fraud in vendors.—A

Bond fide pur-
chaser

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**11. NOTICE—continued.****Purchaser without notice—continued.**

should not be deprived of the benefit of an honest purchase, even though the sale to his vendors was fraudulent, if he had no notice of the fraud. **GOLAM AHRA v. DIGUMBUR SINGH** . **W. R., 1864, 225**

67

Sale of whole interest.—*Subsequent purchase without notice by another.*—The plaintiff purchased from the first defendant, who purchased from the person admitted to be the owner in 1856. The resisting defendants claimed under a subsequent sale by the same person. **Held**, reversing the decree of the lower Court, that on the simple principle that after the conveyance to the first defendant the owner of the land had nothing more to convey, the resisting defendants took nothing and the plaintiff was entitled to recover. **VIRABHADRA PILLAI v. HARI RAMA PILLAI**

[3 Mad., 38]

See contra, **CHIDAMBARA NAYINAN v. ANNAPPA NAYAKKAN** **1 Mad., 62**

68.

Bond fide purchaser.—*Omission to make proper inquiries into title.*—In order that a purchaser of immovable property from a Hindu in the Island of Bombay may be entitled, as against the beneficial owner of such property, to set up the defence of being a *bond fide* purchaser without notice, he must show that he has made all proper inquiries into the title and as to the state of the family of his vendor, and of his vendor's predecessors in title for a period of twelve years at least before the date of his purchase. **SAVALAL KARSANDAS v. ORA NIZMUDDIN** . **8 Bom., O. C., 77**

69.**Purchaser, Obligation of.**

Joint Hindu family, Purchase from.—When a person has notice that another has or claims an interest in property for which he is dealing, he is bound to inquire what that interest is; and if he purchases without doing so, he will be bound, although the notice was inaccurate as to the particulars or extent of such interest. Where the notice is given by the person himself who claims an interest in the property, and it is afterwards proved that he had such an interest.—*Quere*, Whether any amount of inquiry can discharge the purchaser from liability. A purchaser, therefore, from one member of a joint Hindu family is affected with notice of the claims of the other members. On the facts,—**Held** (reversing the decision of the Court below) that no sufficient inquiry had been made in this case. **GOBIND CHUNDER MOOKERJEE v. DOORGAPERSAD BABOO**

[14 B. L. R., 337; 22 W. R., 248]**70.**

Incumbrance.—*Equitable mortgage.*—*Purchaser for valuable consideration without notice.*—The reason for the rule of equity that a purchaser of property, though for valuable consideration, yet with notice of a prior incumbrancer, purchases subject to such incumbrance, is that such purchaser is acting *mala fide* in taking away the right of the prior incumbrancer by getting the legal estate, while knowing that a prior purchaser has the right to it. But a purchaser for valuable con-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**11. NOTICE—continued.****Incumbrance—continued.**

sideration, without notice of the prior right of a third person, is not guilty of or party to a fraud upon the rights of a prior purchaser. The Courts of Equity, therefore, will not interfere with his right to the possession, enjoyment, and disposal of the property; and though, subsequently to his purchase, he may become aware of the prior incumbrance, yet he has the right to convey to a subsequent purchaser, who, at the time of such subsequent conveyance, has notice of the prior right of the third person; and such subsequent purchaser will take the property free from the incumbrance, for neither is he guilty of any fraud in accepting what his vendor had a right to convey, nor would the *bond fide* purchaser without notice be able otherwise freely and completely to dispose of the property which he innocently acquired. On the same principles, any subsequent purchaser, however remote, though having notice, must be protected. Where, therefore, the second defendant, having notice of the plaintiff's equitable mortgage, purchased from one who, also with such notice, had purchased from a *bond fide* purchaser for value without notice,—**Held** that the second defendant held the property free from the equitable mortgage. **Carter v. Carter, 8 K. and Johns, 617**, distinguished. **DAYAL JIVRAJ v. JAIRAJ RATANSI** . **I. L. R., 1 Bom., 273**

71.

Bond fide transferee for value of mortgaged property.—*Ignorance of existing incumbrance.*—**Held** that a statement in answer to interrogatories, which was made by the purchaser of mortgaged property, to the effect that at the time of the purchase he was aware of the mortgage and believed that it had been satisfied, was no proof of the purchase having been made after notice of a prior mortgage, inasmuch as it was inconsistent with the knowledge of an existing incumbrance. **SHEO DAYAL MAL v. HARI RAM**

[I. L. R., 7 All., 590]**72.****Purchaser for value.**

Notice of prior mortgage.—The plaintiff in 1867 obtained a decree against one Ramzan Mohidin for payment of a debt by him personally, or in default entitling the plaintiff to recover the amount from the sale of certain immovable property situated in Gujarat on which the debt had been secured under a *sankhat*. On the attachment of the immovable property in execution of that decree, the defendant objected under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, and alleged that he had purchased the property in 1865. The attachment having accordingly been raised, the plaintiff sued for a declaration of his right to sell the mortgaged property. Both the lower Courts threw out the plaintiff's claim. On special appeal the decrees of the lower Courts were reversed, and the case remanded for the trial of the issue whether the defendant was a *bond fide* purchaser for valuable consideration, without notice of the plaintiff's *sankhat* or lien on the property in dispute at or before the time of his purchase. **GREIDHAR RANCHODDAS v. HAKAMCHAND REVACHAND**

[8 Bom., A. C., 75]

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**11. NOTICE—continued.**

73. ——— Transfer of property subject to trust.—Purchaser for value.—Constructive notice.—Tenant in possession as object of charitable trust.—If the purchaser of an estate for value takes with notice, actual or constructive, of a trust, he is bound by such trust to the same interest and in the same manner as the person from whom he purchased. A person purchasing an estate where there is a tenant in possession is bound to inquire into the title of such tenant, and if he neglects to do so he takes subject to such rights as the tenant may have. The equities are the same where there is a person in possession as the object of a charitable trust and under the trust. *MANCHARJI SORABJI CHULLA v. KONGSROO* [6 Bom., O. C., 59]

74. ——— Notice.—Right of purchaser.—B., having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zemindari estate, and that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his name might be removed from the revenue register and that of P. recorded in its stead. P. sold the property for consideration, his vendee purchasing without notice of any trust, and it was subsequently put up for sale in execution of a decree against P.'s vendee and was purchased without notice of any trust. Held that the property could not be followed into the hands of the purchaser at the execution sale. *Durga Prasad v. Asa Ram, I. L. R., 2 All., 361*, observed on. *HAIT RAM v. DURGA PRASAD* [I. L. R., 5 All., 603]

75. ——— Constructive notice.—Person in possession of subject of sale.—Where there is a person in possession of an estate other than the nominal owner, i.e., the person in whose name the title-deed is,—a purchaser, although he may be a purchaser for value, is bound to inquire what is the nature of his possession. If he does not think fit to do so, he takes subject to the rights of the person in possession. *HAKHEM MEAH v. BEEJOY PATNEE* [22 W. R., 8]

MASSIM MEAH v. SHAM DOSS

[22 W. R., 189]

76. ——— Sale in execution of decree.—Sale of property on which there is a lien.—Per *KENNEDY, J.*—An execution-purchaser takes subject to all equities affecting the judgment-debtor, and will be bound by constructive notice in the same way as an ordinary purchaser. *Kinderly v. Jervis, 22 Beav., 1*; and *Brewer v. Lord Oxford, 6 De G., M. & G., 507*, cited and followed. *RAM LOCHUN SINGAR v. RAM NARAIN* . . . 1 C. L. R., 296

77. ——— Doctrine of constructive notice.—Secrecy in transaction.—The Court will not apply the doctrine of constructive notice where the party seeking the benefit of that doctrine has been guilty of secrecy in the transaction with

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**11. NOTICE—continued.****Constructive notice—continued.**

constructive notice of which he seeks to affect a purchaser. *HORMASJI TEMULJI v. MANKUVARBAI* [12 Bom., 262]

78. ——— Notice to agent of purchaser.—Notice to a purchaser's agent was held to be constructive notice to his principal, so as to fix the latter with a trust, or a burden relative to the subject of purchase which without notice he would have escaped. *SEEDEE NAZEER ALI KHAN v. OJODHYA RAM KHAN. MUNSOOR ALI KHAN v. OJODHYA RAM KHAN* . . . 8 W. R., 399

79. ——— Liability of land purchased from Hindu devisee for debts of his testator.—Onus probandi.—Per PONTIFEX, J.—The question how far lands purchased from a Hindu devisee are liable in the hands of the purchaser for the testator's debts, stands on the same footing as a similar question would under the present English law. The creditors of the ancestor or testator may follow his lands into the possession of a purchaser from the heir or devisee, if it can be proved that such purchaser knew (1) that there were debts of the ancestor or testator left unsatisfied, and (2) also that the heir or devisee to whom he paid his purchase-money intended to apply it otherwise than in the payment of such debts. But a purchaser ignorant on either of these points has a safe title, for no duty is cast upon the purchaser from the heir or devisee to inquire whether there are any debts of the ancestor or testator, or to see to the application of his purchase-money, even when there is an express charge of debts by the testator on the devised estate—at least when the devisee is also executor; and in such a case the burden of proof is entirely on the creditor to show that the purchaser from the devisee had notice that the latter intended to misapply the purchase-money. For a purchaser to be affected with constructive notice through his solicitor, the latter himself must have actual notice. *GREENDER CHUNDER GHOSE v. MACKINTOSH*

I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897; 4 C. L. R., 198

80. ——— Fraud.—Registration Acts, Effect of.—When a person is proved to have had a knowledge of certain facts, or to have been in a position the reasonable consequence of which knowledge or position would be that he would have been led to make further inquiry, which would have disclosed a particular fact, the law fixes him with having himself had notice of that particular fact. There may be such wilful negligence in abstaining from inquiry into facts which would convey actual notice as may properly be held to have the consequences of notice actually obtained. But if there is not actual notice, and no wilful or fraudulent turning away from an inquiry into, and consequent knowledge of, facts which the circumstances would suggest to a prudent mind, then the doctrine of constructive notice ought not to be applied. Constructive notice may apply as against third persons from a neglect to call for deeds and documents of title, but

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**11. NOTICE—continued.****Constructive notice—continued.**

not to the same extent where a Registration Act is in operation, as it would where no Registration Act prevails. *Agra Bank v. Barry, L. R., 7 H. L., 135*, followed. If an agent authorised to sell property commits a fraud against his principal, the principal is the person who ought to suffer and not a stranger. *DOOGA NARAIN SEN v. BANAY MADHAB MOZUMDAR*. . . . **I. L. R., 7 Cal., 199**

81. — Registration.—

Possession.—Registration Acts, Effect of.—*English Registry Acts, Stat. 7 Anne, c. 20, s. 1; 2 and 3 Anne, c. 4, s. 1; 6 Anne, c. 35, s. 1; 8 Geo. 2, c. 6, s. 1.—Irish Registry Act, 6 Anne, c. 2, s. 4 (Ireland).*—Neither in England nor in Ireland has mere registration been held to amount to notice to subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. In Bombay the Courts have adopted the rule which prevails in America, and have held that registration does amount to notice to all subsequent purchasers of the same property. Possession has been deemed by Hindu and Mahomedan law, as interpreted in the Presidency of Bombay, to amount to notice of such title as the person in possession may have; and any other person who takes a mortgage or other charge upon immovable property without ascertaining the nature of the claim of him who is in possession does so at his own risk. This is the rule in England also. The Indian Registration Acts prior to the year 1864, like the Middlesex Registry Act (Stat. 7 Anne, c. 20, sec. 1); the Yorkshire Registry Acts (Stat. 2 and 3 Anne, c. 4, sec. 1; 6 Anne, c. 35, sec. 1; 8 Geo. II., c. 6, sec. 1), and the Irish Registry Act (Stat. 6 Anne, c. 2, sec. 4, Ir.), gave priority of rank to priority of registration. The later Indian Registration Acts—viz., Act XVI of 1864, XX of 1866, VIII of 1871, and III of 1877—proceed upon a different principle. Under them a registered instrument operates from the time at which it would have commenced to operate if no registration had been required or made, and not from the time of its registration, which rule applies both to compulsory and optionally registrable instruments. The earlier decisions, by which registration has in India been permitted to supply the want of possession, may be attributed to this absolute preference so accorded by the earlier Registration Acts to priority of registration. In the reported cases under the Indian Registration Acts passed in and subsequently to 1864, which have not (like the previous enactments) given priority of rank to priority of registration, the Courts have also regarded registration as an equivalent for possession where the instrument earlier in date has been registered, but unaccompanied by possession. The Courts have gone a step further, and have held registration under Act XVI of 1864 and the subsequent Acts to amount to notice, and therefore to atone for the absence of, and to be a sufficient substitute for, possession in the validation of title. The rule, however, that registration is equivalent to possession, cannot be applied to cases where the registration of the instrument earlier in date has been effected subsequently to the execu-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**11. NOTICE—continued.****Constructive notice—continued.**

tion of the instrument set up against it. **LAKSHMAN DAS SARUPHAND v. DASBAT**

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 163]

82.**Priority.—Pos-**

session.—*Vendor and purchaser.—Purchaser without possession.—Subsequent purchaser with possession and without notice of prior purchase.*—The plaintiff purchased the land in dispute on the 28th February 1878, and on the same day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 29th April 1878. He was not put in possession of the property. The defendant purchased the same property on the 1st April 1878, and on the following day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 26th May 1878. His purchase was accompanied with possession. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against the vendor and the subsequent purchaser for possession of the property,—**Held** that the registration of the plaintiff's deed of purchase, not having been effected until after the execution of the defendant's deed, could not have operated as notice of the plaintiff's deed to the defendant, and, therefore, could not be equivalent to possession. **Held**, also, that as the defendant was a purchaser without notice, either actual or constructive, of the plaintiff's prior purchase, and had taken the precaution of obtaining possession, both parties being Hindus and innocent purchasers, the defendant could not be deprived of the benefit of his possession. **HASHA v. RAGHO**. . . . **I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165**

83.**Priority.—Notice of prior**

contract.—*Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 27.—Oral agreement.—Sale to third person in contravention of agreement.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 261, 262.*—Where a *bond fide* contract, whether oral or written, is made for the sale of property, and a third party afterwards buys the property with notice of the prior contract, the title of the party claiming under the prior contract prevails against the subsequent purchaser, although the latter's purchase may have been registered, and although he has obtained possession under his purchase. **CHUNDEE KANT ROY v. KRISHNA SUNDER ROY**

[I. L. R., 10 Cal., 710]

See NEMAI CHARAN DHABAL v. KOKIL BAG

[I. L. R., 6 Cal., 534: 7 C. L. R., 487]

84.**San-mortgage in**

Gujarat.—**Priority.**—*Priority as between a purchaser at execution sale and prior mortgagee by unregistered san-mortgage.—Plea of purchase without notice.*—The general rule in the Presidency of Bombay is that, amongst Hindus, possession is necessary in order to perfect a transfer of immovable property by mortgage or deed of sale as against subsequent incumbrancers or purchasers. The main ground of this rule is that possession is notice to all subsequent intending mortgagees or purchasers of the title of the party in possession. It is, however,

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**11. NOTICE—continued.****Priority—continued.**

the established and judicially recognised custom of Gujarat that possession is not necessary in the case of a san-mortgage to validate it as against subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. The necessity of possession being thus dispensed with, it seems to follow that a san-mortgage, in other respects good, is valid as against a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser, whether or not such mortgagee or purchaser has notice of the san-mortgage. To hold that a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser for valuable consideration and without notice of a san-mortgage is entitled to priority over it, would be tantamount to depriving the san-mortgagee of the benefit of the custom that possession is unnecessary. *Per MELVILL, J.*—Such perfect security is now afforded by registration that there appears to be hardly room for the plea of purchase without notice. Seeing that a purchaser may secure himself against all unregistered mortgages without possession by simply taking possession or registering his conveyance, he is, if he omit to do so, in *pari delicto* with the prior mortgagee, and it is difficult to see how he is entitled to any relief. **SOBHAGCHAND GULABCHAND v. BHAI-CHAND . . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193**

12. POSSESSION.

85. Vendor remaining in possession.—Presumption.—Where a deed was executed conveying a man's entire property to his son, only two years old, and reserving to himself one rupee a day for his subsistence, and after execution the conveying party remained in possession,—*Held* that, in the absence of explanation, no other inference could be drawn than that the deed was merely intended to be used as a blind. **SREENATH SINGH CHOWDREY v. HUREEPREA**

[10 W. R., 449]**86.****Condition of**

sale.—Acceptance of security by vendor.—Suit to realise security.—The defendant purchased certain jewels at a sale by auction, subject to a condition that, if not paid for in three days, the goods should be sold at the risk of the purchaser. Being unable to pay within the time stipulated, he gave a promissory note for the price, upon an agreement that the vendor should retain the jewels for him, but should not exercise the power of sale within three days. *Held* that the vendor could sue on the note, though he retained the jewels in his possession under the lien so created. **ALLEN, HAYES, & Co., v. ANUNDO CHUNDER MUNDLE . Bourke, O. C., 156**

87.**Absence of change of pos-**

session.—Hindu law.—Incomplete sale.—According to Hindu law, a change of possession is necessary to complete a sale of corporeal property, in order to prevent successive purchasers from being cheated by successive sales of the same property, and to obviate disputes as to what was really sold. A purchaser from a Hindu vendor, who buys corporeal property without possession, does not thus obtain a

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued**12. POSSESSION—continued.****Absence of change of possession—continued.**

title which, in a suit for specific performance against the vendor, he can enforce against a person actually in possession under a title adverse to the vendor by joining that person as a defendant. **KACHU BAYAJI v. KACHOBA VITHOBA . 10 Bom., 491**

88. ——— Necessity of change of pos-

session.—Hindu and Mahomedan laws.—Priority.—It is a general but not an invariable rule that possession in the grantee or assignee is deemed essential amongst Hindus and Mahomedans to the complete transfer of immoveable property, either by gift, sale, or mortgage. Exceptions to the above rule pointed out. **LAKSHMAN DAS SARUPCHAND v. DAVEAT**

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168]**SOBHAGCHAND GULABCHAND v. BHAI-CHAND****[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193]****89.****Hindu law.—**

Delivery of possession.—Notice.—Delivery of possession of property sold is, under the Hindu law, essential to complete the title of the vendee against a third party purchasing with possession from the same vendor without notice of the prior transaction. The rule prevails as between competing conveyances both of which have been registered. Authorities and Hindu law texts on the subject reviewed. **LALU-BHAI SURCHAND v. BAI AMRIT**

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299]**90.****—— Sale when vendor is not in possession.—Hindu law.—Necessity of possession.**

Ejectment.—A Hindu, whose estate is in the possession of a trespasser or a mortgagee, may sell his right of entry as such, or his equity of redemption as such, and the purchaser may thereupon sue to eject the trespasser or to redeem the mortgage; but a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor, purporting to convey the estate itself, executed by a person who is not in possession, cannot operate as a present conveyance, nor enable the purchaser to sue in ejectment. **Prahlad Sen v. Budhu Singh, 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111; and Bhobosonduree Dasseah v. Issur Chunder Dutt, 11 B. L. R., 36, followed. Bikan Singh v. Parbutty Koer, 22 W. R., 99; Gungahurry Nundee v. Ra-ghubram Nundee, 14 B. L. R., 307; and Lokenath Ghose v. Juggobundhoo Roy, I. L. R., 1 Cal., 297, referred to. BAI SURAJ v. DALPATRAM DAYA-SHANKAR . . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380**

91.**Possession, Delivery of.—**

Hindu law.—Sale.—Possession is not essentially necessary by Hindu law to give validity to a transfer by sale of immoveable property. **BHUKAN BHAI-BAYA v. BHAIJI PRAG . . . 1 Bom., 19**

92.**Title.—Hindu**

law.—Delivery of possession is not necessary to the transfer of ownership among Hindus. Per MARKBY, J.—As a general rule of law, when a vendee has got a document which in terms professes to make over property, and the document is registered (in case

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**12. POSSESSION—continued.****Possession, Delivery of—continued.**

registration is necessary), he becomes at once the owner without actual delivery of possession. *GUNGA-HURRY NUNDEE v. RAGHUBRAM NUNDEE*

[14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 131

93.

Hindu law.—

Per curiam.—Delivery of possession is not, under the Hindu law, essential to complete the title of a purchaser for value. *NARAIN CHUNDER CHUCKER-BUTTY v. DATARAM ROY*

[I. L. R., 8 Cal., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241

NAGUBAI v. MOTIGIR GURU . . . 1 Bom., 5

94.

Hindu law.—

Under the Hindu law current in the Madras Presidency, possession is not necessary to complete a sale. *VASUDEVA BHALLU v. NARASAMMA*

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 6

95.

Want of possession.—Hindu

v. Sale before Transfer of Property Act.—Possession.—Under the law administered in the Madras Presidency in the case of sales of land between Hindus made before the date of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, where all has been done that the parties contemplated to complete a sale, the title of the purchaser cannot be defeated in favour of a second purchaser merely by reason that the latter obtained and the former did not obtain possession. *KAMASAMI AYYANGAR v. MARIMUTTU BHATTAN*

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 404

96.

Sale of land by

a Hindu.—Vendor without possession.—Conveyance of right of action.—Where a Hindu vendor sold his share in certain land, but expressly stated in the deed of sale that he was out of possession; that the land was in the hands of a third party, to whom it had been mortgaged without the vendor's authority; and that he (vendor) empowered the purchaser to bring a suit against the person in possession in order to recover the vendor's share in the land, with mesne profits,—*Held* that what the deed contemplated was nothing more than the transfer of the right of entry, although, according to the invariable mode of expression in such documents, the vendor professed, in terms, to convey the property itself. *Held*, further, that the purchaser acquired the same right of action which his vendor possessed, notwithstanding that the vendor was not in possession at the date of the sale. *VASUDEV HARI v. TATIA NARAYAN*

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387

97.

Transfer of pro-

perty by a person not in possession.—Validity of such transfer.—Hindu law.—The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of certain land, claiming under a *kararnama* executed to them by one *M.* The defendants contended that *M.* had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as *M.* was not in possession at the time on the *kararnama* was executed, the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**12. POSSESSION—continued.****Want of possession—continued.**

plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court,—*Held*, reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Court, that the circumstance of *M.*'s not having been in possession at the time the *kararnama* was executed did not prevent the plaintiffs from recovering possession from the defendants. *Kalidas v. Kanhaya Lall*, I. L. R., 11 Cal., 121: L. R., 11 I. A., 219, referred to and followed. *UGARCHAND MANACKCHAND v. MADAPA SOMANA* . . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 324

98.

Hindu law.—Sale

of land.—Though, by Hindu law, on a sale of land it is not absolutely necessary that the purchaser should be put in possession, it is requisite that the vendor should at the time of sale be in possession of the property sold. *GIRDHAR PARJARAM v. DAJI DULABHRAH* . . . 7 Bom., A. C., 4

99.

Mahomedan law.

—Sale when vendor is out of possession.—A sale among Mahomedans, unlike a sale between Hindus, is valid as against a third party, even though the vendor was not at the time of the sale in possession of the property sold. *ADAMKHAH v. ALARAKHI*

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 645

See also *MOHINUDIN v. MANCHERESHAH*

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 650

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

100.

Bonâ fide purchase with-

out notice of prior charge.—Per PEACOCK, C. J., NORMAN, and PUNDIT, JJ. (BAYLEY and CAMPBELL, JJ., dissenting).—The fact of a purchase of land under a deed of sale being *bonâ fide* and without notice of a prior charge, does not pass the land free from the prior charge. *MAHESWAR BAX SING v. BHIKHA CHOWDERY*

[B. L. R., 1 Sup. Vol., 403

S. C. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122: 5 W. R., 61

101.

Obligation of purchaser.—

Inquiry by intending purchaser.—An intending purchaser of property which has been previously mortgaged, who has no reason to suppose it to be joint family property, or the vendor to be a member of a joint family, and who has inquired of and learnt from the mortgagee that there was no fraud, is not bound to make any further inquiry. *KYLASH KAMINEE DOSSEE v. TARINEE CHURN ROSE*

[20 W. R., 100

102.

Priority.—Mortgage.—Pos-

session.—Registration.—A registered mortgagee, though without possession, is entitled to priority over a subsequent purchaser. *SUNDAR JAGJIVAN v. GOPAL ESHVANT* . . . 4 Bom., A. C., 68

But an unregistered mortgage without possession is not valid against a purchaser with possession. *GANPAT BAJASHET v. KHANDU CHAUGSHET*

[4 Bom., A. C., 69

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.**

103. Mortgage by member of joint Hindu family.—*Surrender of equity of redemption.*—*Purchaser for valuable consideration.*—*Pleading.*—A member of a joint Hindu family granted a usufructuary mortgage; he subsequently, without the knowledge of the co-partners, released the equity of redemption. On hearing of this, the co-partners contested the validity of the release. *Held* that the parties claiming from the person to whom the release was made, took, so far as the co-partners were concerned, a title only as mortgagees. **RADHANATH DAS v. ELLIOTT** . 6 B. L. R., 530

S. C. RADHANATH DAS v. GIBBORNE & CO.

[15 W. R., P. C., 24; 14 Moore's I. A., 1

104. — Purchase by mortgagee.

Possession.—*Priority.*—*Registration.*—A registered mortgage without possession has priority over a subsequent registered sale and conveyance with possession. By a duly registered deed, D. mortgaged land to the plaintiff with power of sale. On default made by D., the plaintiff brought a suit for a sale of the mortgaged land; but, pending the suit, D. sold the land to the defendant, who registered his conveyance and entered into possession. The plaintiff subsequently obtained a decree, and at the execution sale became himself the purchaser. In the present suit he sought to recover possession from the defendant. *Held* that the plaintiff was entitled to recover. His rights as mortgagee included the right of bringing to sale the property as it subsisted at the date of the mortgage. The property having been so brought to sale, the purchaser acquired a right free from any created subsequently to the mortgage and subject to it. **SHEINGARPUTH v. PETHA**

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 662

105. — Rights of mortgagee.

Mortgage. *Sale without disclosing.*—*Estoppel.*—The three senior members of an undivided Hindu family—the remaining members of which had disappeared—setting forth a ground of necessity, executed to the plaintiff, in November 1870, a mortgage, duly registered, of a piece of land which formed part of the family estate. Certain judgment-creditors of the absent member subsequently attached and sold his share in the said land under their decree. The plaintiff's undivided son purchased it, and in 1872 re-sold his right, title, and interest in it to the defendant's father, without disclosing the fact of his father's mortgage, but without any active fraud on the part of himself or his father to suppress the fact from the knowledge of his purchaser. In 1874 the plaintiff obtained a decree upon his mortgage, and attached the land. In a suit by the plaintiff to establish his right as against all the land included in his mortgage,—*Held* that the mortgage being, under the circumstances, a valid one, the sale of the absent son's share was subject to the lien created thereby, which lien was not disturbed by the purchase and subsequent sale of the share by the son of the mortgagee. The origin of the son's title was stated in the deed of sale to the new purchaser, who, by the fact of its being a sale of

VENDOR AND**13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.****Rights of mortgagee—continued.**

a share, was put upon inquiry. The mere want of disclosure, by the plaintiff's undivided son, of his father's mortgage, was not enough to create an estoppel against his father seeking to establish his claim under the mortgage. **JOSHI v. JOSHI**

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650

106.

Sale of equity of redemption.—*Right of purchaser.*—*Parties.*—By two deeds, dated respectively the 22nd February 1868 and 7th September 1872, and duly registered, A. mortgaged the lands in dispute to B. for a term of years which expired in 1880. On 10th October 1873 A. executed a razinama in favour of B., relinquishing all his right in the said lands, and B. next day executed a kabuliat to Government for the lands, which thenceforward were entered in B.'s name. Previously to the second mortgage and razinama to B.,—*viz.*, on 21st March 1870.—A. had, by a duly-registered deed, mortgaged the same lands to the plaintiff, who in 1874 brought a suit against A. upon his mortgage and obtained a decree, under which he sold the mortgaged property, and became himself the purchaser thereof. Before and at the time of the institution of this suit, B. was in possession of the mortgaged land, but was not made a party to the suit. In 1877 B. sold the land to C. by a duly registered deed. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against B. and C. to recover possession of the land so purchased by him as above mentioned, at the sale in execution of his own decree,—*Held* that B.'s possession at the date of the plaintiff's suit upon his mortgage was sufficient to put the plaintiff on inquiry, and to constitute legal notice to him that the equity of redemption was at that time vested in B., and it was therefore the plaintiff's duty to have made B. a party to the suit brought by him against A., who had then alienated the equity of redemption to B.; and not having done so, the plaintiff could not rely, in support of his own title, upon a purchase under his own irregularly-obtained decree, and could not, therefore, stand in a better position as against B. than if his original suit had been properly constituted,—*i.e.*, he was bound to give B. an opportunity of redeeming his mortgage. **NARU v. GULABING**

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 53

107.

Purchase subject to mortgage.—*Right to redeem.*—*Good title at time of hearing of suit.*—*Certificate of sale.*—The property in dispute was mortgaged by its owner to the defendant with possession on the 3rd October 1847. On the 3rd December 1861 A. obtained a money-decree against the son and heirs of the mortgagor. In execution of that decree the property was sold subject to the mortgage, and purchased by B. on the 12th August 1864. Before confirmation of the sale, B., on the 1st September 1864, sold it to C., who, on the 30th March 1877, conveyed it by deed to the plaintiff. On the 27th September 1877 the plaintiff brought a suit for redeeming the property, and at the hearing produced a certificate of sale, dated the 27th

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.****Purchase subject to mortgage—continued.**

October 1877. The certificate was applied for in May 1877, and issued to C., reciting the sale to B., and the sale by B. to C. The Court of first instance allowed the plaintiff to redeem on payment of a certain sum of money to the defendant. The Assistant Judge, on appeal, reversed the decree of the first Court on the ground that the certificate of sale was not in existence at the date of the institution of the suit, and that, therefore, the plaintiff had then no complete title. On appeal to the High Court,—*Held* that the plaintiff, having purchased and paid for the equity of redemption, was entitled to redeem, although the certificate of sale was not issued until after the suit had commenced. If a party, whose title is to some extent imperfect, seeks to redeem, and is able to prove a perfect title at the hearing of his cause, he should have a decree for redemption. *Harkisandas Narandas v. Bai Ichha, I. L. R., 4 Bom., 155, and Lalbhai Lakhmidas v. Naval Mir Kamaludin Husen Khan, 12 Bom., 247*, explained and distinguished. *KRISHNAJI RAVJI v. GANESH BAPUJI [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 139]*

108. Purchaser of mortgagor's interest.—Priority.—Purchaser of value without notice of a prior san-mortgage.—Suit by mortgagee against purchaser to establish right to attach property.—Right of purchaser to redeem.—Parties.—Form of decree.—On the 23rd March 1869 a house was mortgaged by its owner, P., to J. by a san-mortgage. After the death of P., his heirs, D. and T., on the 9th July 1869, executed to the plaintiff a san-mortgage of the same house for Rs. 62. That mortgage was neither registered nor accompanied with possession. On the 27th July 1869 D. and T. sold the house to the defendant. The deed of sale was not registered. A part of the purchase-money was applied to the payment of the first san-mortgage, which was then delivered up to the defendant, with a receipt on it by J., who acknowledged to have received from the defendant the amount due on his mortgage. The defendant, however, omitted to take an assignment of that mortgage to himself. The plaintiff sued D. and T. on his san-mortgage of the 9th July 1869, and in 1872 obtained a decree for the recovery of the mortgage-debt out of the mortgaged property. The defendant was not made a party to that suit. The plaintiff attached the house in execution of his decree, but the attachment was raised on the application of the defendant under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, Act VIII of 1859. The plaintiff then sued the defendant to establish his (plaintiff's) right to attach and sell the house under his san-mortgage. The defendant answered that he was a purchaser for value, without notice of the plaintiff's mortgage. The plaintiff's claim was dismissed by the first Court, but allowed by the Appellate Court. On special appeal,—*Held* that the defendant's plea that he was a purchaser for valuable consideration, and without notice of the plaintiff's san-mortgage, would not avail to defeat that mortgage under the established usage of Gujarat in favour of san-mortgages. *Held*, further,

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.****Purchaser of mortgagor's interest—continued.**

that the defendant, having become entitled by his purchase at least to the equity of redemption in the house, ought to have been made a party to the plaintiff's original suit on his mortgage, and was not bound by the decree in that suit, and was entitled to a reasonable time to redeem the house from the plaintiff's mortgage. *Sobhagchand Gulabchand v. Bhairchand, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193*, followed. *NARAN PUESHOTAM v. DOLATRAM VIRCHAND [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 513]*

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER.

109. Non-payment of purchase-money.—Tender.—Payment into Court.—Suit for specific performance.—Plaintiff had entered into a contract with one of the defendants for the purchase of certain immoveable property, and after he made a small advance the contract was written out and registered. The purchaser refusing to pay up the purchase-money unless the vendor paid the costs, or half the costs, of registration, the latter resold the property to a third person. The present suit was to compel the completion of the contract and delivery of the property. *Held* that the Court was bound to see whether it was or was not the intention that a complete and binding sale should take place, although the purchase-money was not paid. *Held*, also, that in bringing such a suit, the plaintiff was bound, if he had not previously tendered the money to the defendant, to pay it into Court. *MAHADDOO BEGUM v. HUBBEOOL HOSSEIN . . . 15 W. R., 44*

110. Advance of purchase-money.—Lien on purchase.—Repayment.—Suit for possession.—B. advanced money to A. for the purchase of an estate. The estate was purchased by A., but it was conveyed to B. *Held* that before A. could maintain a suit to obtain possession of the land, he was bound to pay or tender the money advanced by B. *BHOYRUB CHUNDER SEIN v. ANUNDMOYE CHOWDHRAIN . . . Marsh., 494*

111. ——— Right to refund of purchase-money.—Failure to give possession.—Suit for purchase-money.—A purchaser of property of which possession was contracted to be given, but the vendor is unable to fulfil the contract, is at liberty to sue for repayment of the purchase-money, and is not obliged to sue for possession of the property. *MOHUN LAL v. BEHAREE LAL . . . 3 N. W., 336*

112. ——— Bond fide purchaser.—Refund of purchase-money.—A bond fide purchaser was held to be entitled to a refund of the purchase-money in a case where some dispute having arisen as to the purchase, the matter was referred to arbitration; and it was held that the vendor had no authority to sell. The principle of *caveat emptor*

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—continued.****Right to refund of purchase-money—continued.**

does not apply to such a case. **KISHEN MOHUN SHAHA v. RAM CHUNDER DEY** . 3 W. R., 28

113.

Refusal to perform contract.—Omission to repudiate sale.—Suit for recovery of purchase-money.—The defendants had sold certain property to the plaintiff. They afterwards refused to effect mutation of names in favour of the plaintiff, on the ground that he had not paid off a certain mortgage on the property, which he had promised in the contract of sale to do. They did not repudiate the sale or the plaintiff's title under it. *Held* that the refusal was not tantamount to a rescission of the sale, and that a suit for the recovery of the purchase-money would not lie. **SIRAJ-ODD-DOWLAH v. NOOR AHMUD** . . . 5 N. W., 194

114.

Suit to recover deposit of purchase-money.—Obligation to tender conveyance for execution.—In a suit by a purchaser of immoveable property to recover a deposit, paid by him on account of the purchase-money to the auctioneer, the vendor having refused to convey to the purchaser, save by a deed which should describe the premises by reference to another deed, not shown to the purchaser at the auction, and of the contents of which he had not then any notice,—*Held* that the purchaser was not bound to have tendered a conveyance engrossed to the vendor for execution, together with the residue of the purchase-money, before suing to recover the deposit. **ESSAJI ADAMJI v. BHIMJI PURSHOTAM** . . . 4 Bom., O. C., 125

115.

Illegal sale.—Sale by coparceners without assent of others.—Where a sale by two coparceners in favour of another was set aside, on the ground that the sale by a coparcener without the consent of the others was illegal,—*Held*, on the suit of the vendee to recover the purchase-money from the descendants of the vendors, that the purchase-money was like a debt, and payable by the heirs, in proportion to the shares inherited by each. **OOMEDER v. CHEDA LALL** [2 Agra, 284

116.

Refund of purchase-money by heir taking after widow.—*Held* that a party succeeding as heir to an estate, the sale of which, by the widow of the person from whom he inherits, has been set aside, is bound to refund the purchase-money paid to the widow for the purpose of discharging liabilities on the estate. **ROOSTUM v. ALUM SINGH** . . . 1 Agra, 291

117.

Failure to register.—Suit for refund of purchase-money.—Set-off.—The plaintiff agreed to purchase land and paid down the purchase-money, taking from the vendor an agreement that if he did not register the conveyance he would return the purchase-money. The plaintiff entered into possession, but the vendor failing to register the conveyance, he sued to recover back his

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—continued.****Right to refund of purchase-money—continued.**

purchase-money. *Held* that he was entitled to a refund of the purchase-money. The purchaser who had obtained possession might or might not, according to the particular circumstances of the case, be liable to pay the vendor a reasonable amount for the occupation of the land; but when no set-off is pleaded, the vendor could only claim such amount by a separate action. **COURT OF WARDS v. NITTA KALI DEBI** . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 353 : 12 W. R., 287

See GURU PRASAD ROY v. DHANPAT SINGH

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 48 : 14 W. R., 20

PRABUHAM HAZRA v. ROBINSON

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 49 : 11 W. R., 398

118.

Purchaser at revenue sale afterwards set aside.—Suit to recover purchase-money.—Voluntary payment.—A person who, with notice, buys property subject to a contingency, which may defeat or destroy the interest which is the subject of the sale, is not entitled to be relieved from his bargain and to recover the purchase-money, merely because the contingency contemplated actually happens, and the property either does not become, or ceases to be, available for his benefit. **RAMTUL SINGH v. BISSESSUR LAL SAHOO**

[15 B. L. R., 208 : 23 W. R., 305

L. R., 2 I. A., 131

Reversing the decision of the High Court in **BISSESSUR LAL SAHOO v. RAMTUL SINGH**

[11 B. L. R., 121 : 19 W. R., 351

119.

Voluntary payment.—Payment to prevent sale.—A payment of money to prevent a sale about to be effected in execution of a decree cannot be called a voluntary payment, whether it is made by the judgment-debtor or by a third party claiming the property. **OMRITO LALL SIRCAR v. RAMDHUN CHAKKEE** . . . 18 W. R., 503

120.

Payment by purchaser at execution sale.—Purchaser looking to application of money to pay debts on estate.—A purchaser was held entitled to recover the amount paid by him on account of previous mortgages, when, in making these payments, he merely acted for the debtor who had borrowed the money from him, and what he did was to see that that money so borrowed was properly applied in clearing off the debts which rendered his own purchase unsafe, and of the existence of which he was at that time cognisant. **WAJED HOSSEIN v. AHMED REZA**

[17 W. R., 480

121.

Sale whilst under attachment.—Caveat emptor.—Fraud.—*T.* sold a mouzah, of which he was owner, to *Z.* At the time of sale the mouzah was under attachment in execution of a decree obtained against *T.* by *R.* *Z.* paid the amount of that decree to prevent the property which she had purchased being sold in execution. *Z.* was

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—continued.****Voluntary payment—continued.**

under no obligation otherwise to pay the amount of the decree. *Held* that Z. was entitled to recover against T. the amount so paid. **ZAHURAN v. TAYLER** [2 B. L. R., A. C., 86: 10 W. R., 380

122. ——— Purchase from Hindu widow.—Alienation set aside by heir.—Suit by purchaser to recover money paid on mortgage.—The plaintiff purchased an estate from a Hindu widow in possession, and after his purchase he paid a debt for which the property sold had been mortgaged by the late husband of his vendor. Subsequently the daughter of the vendor claimed the property as heir of her father, and recovered possession of it from the purchaser by suit. The purchaser then sued the heir for a refund of the amount of the mortgage-debt paid by him. *Held* that the purchaser was entitled to recover. **PORAN MISRA v. HARSARAN MISRA** 8 B. L. R., Ap., 55

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—

123. ——— Purchaser from Hindu executor.—Inquiry by purchaser.—Semble.—A purchaser from a Hindu executor is not bound to see to the exact amount of the debts which the testator has directed the executor to pay, or even to inquire if any such debts actually existed; he need not look further than the will itself. **ROOPLALL KHETTRY v. MOHIMA CHURN ROY** 10 B. L. R., 271, note

124. ——— Specific performance, Right to.—Sale bond fide but not of final character.—Priority over attaching creditor.—A deed of sale, though not strictly of a complete and final character, yet, if genuine and duly attested, may be sufficient to bind the property and to give the purchaser the right to demand a specific performance of the contract and the execution of such further assurances as might be deemed necessary to invest him with a complete title to the property. Such a deed would necessarily prevail over any intermediate attachment of the property for debts due from the original proprietor. **LALLA CHOONEERLAL NAGINDAS v. SAWAEEHUND NAMEDAS** [5 W. R., P. C., 111

125. ——— Validity of sale.—Sale for valuable consideration.—Intention to transfer.—*Held* that the mere fact that the sale to the plaintiff was instigated by some discharged mortgagee does not of necessity make void the plaintiff's right as purchaser, if it be found that the vendor to the plaintiff had some right or interest in the property by inheritance, and transferred it for valuable consideration, with the intention that it should take effect as a transfer of his rights as heir. **MAHOMED FAZALI KHAN v. GUNGA RAM** 1 Agra, 112

126. ——— Conveyance by mortgagee with power of sale.—Absence of confirmation by mortgagor.—B. & Co., mortgagees with power to sell, sold the mortgaged property to the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—continued.****Validity of sale—continued.**

defendants. No deed was executed until some years afterwards, when the mortgagor was dead. The deed was in the form followed when a mortgagee is the vendor, and the mortgagors join in the conveyance; but the words of conveyance were by the mortgagees alone, and without any confirmation by the mortgagor. *Held* that the purchaser did not by the deed acquire an indefeasible estate. **DOUGETT v. WISE** 3 W. R., 157

127. ——— Effect of sale.—Purchase of rights of Mahomedan widow.—Failure to take actual possession.—By an order passed under Act XIX of 1841, A. was declared entitled to take possession of a fourth share of her deceased husband B.'s estate which devolved upon her according to Mahomedan law. B.'s nephew C. sued to recover this share on the ground that A. had been divorced and this suit was pending when the present suit was brought by the purchasers of A.'s rights. It being found that A. never took actual possession of her share under her decree and that C. was in possession of the whole estate,—*Held* that A.'s vendees could not be placed in a higher position than their vendor was when C.'s suit was brought against her, and all that they were entitled to was the right to represent her in the pending suit. **MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. AZEEMOODDEEN. MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. GOOL BEGUM. MOHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. SHURUFUNISSA BEGUM** W. R., 1864, 93

128. ——— Purchaser of fractional share of estate.—Right to cultivate land.—Rate of rent.—In the absence of any reservation or restriction, the purchaser of a fraction of a share of an estate acquires a right either to cultivate a proportionate share of the lands cultivated by his vendors on the same conditions as to favourable rents as those under which they, as proprietors, cultivated it, or to claim his share of the rents of these lands just as he would from any ryot of the estate, but without any other sum as mesne profits. **CHYTUN SINGH v. KAYESSUR KOONWUR** 5 W. R., 117

129. ——— Specification of land sold.—Purchase of specified land with description of amount.—A party who buys a specified talook with the additional description that that talook contains so much land, gets the whole land which the specification of his vendor covers and which was intended to be sold, although it may be more than was contained in the description. **AMEERUNISSA KHATOON v. KUMOLA KANT ROY** 14 W. R., 117

130. ——— Omission to specify area sold.—Misdescription.—Compensation for smaller area.—The specification in a deed of sale of land of the area of the land sold *prima facie* implies that the area was regarded as material by the parties, and, unless it is clear that the precise area was not regarded as material, proportional compensation will be awarded to the purchaser of land the real area of which is found to fall short of the area specified in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—continued.****Specification of land sold—continued.**

VADU v. TRIKAMJI
12 Bom., 10

131. *Specification in sale certificate.*—Sale by purchaser at execution sale who has obtained possession under a certificate of sale more extensive than the decree.—Where a decree-holder obtains an order for the sale of his judgment-debtor's interest in certain property, and, becoming purchaser at the sale which follows, receives a sale certificate going beyond the order, he cannot avail himself of anything in the certificate beyond the order. If, however, he obtains possession according to the certificate, and sells to a *bond fide* purchaser without notice of the difference between the certificate and the order of sale, the latter has a good title. **GOWDER KUMUL BHUTTACHARJEE v. SUBUT CHUNDER DOSS BISWAS** . . . 22 W. R., 408

132. *Non-registration, Effect of.*—Proof of actual contract of sale and possession on payment of purchase-money.—Held that it does not follow from the non-registration within the time fixed for registration of a deed which was executed before the Registration Act came into operation, that the purchaser has acquired nothing by his purchase, or that the vendor is to resume possession of the property, if it be shown that there was a contract of sale, and that in pursuance of that contract the purchaser paid the money and obtained possession. **RAM SURUN DASS v. RAM CHUND** . 1 Agra, 283

133. *Non-registration.*— of decree.—Decree on mortgage-bond.—Registration.—Right to execute decree.—A decree-holder purported to sell to A., by private sale, all his right, title, and interest in a mortgage-decree obtained by him in a suit on a mortgage-bond against the mortgagor. The deed of sale was not registered. Afterwards, by a registered deed of sale, A. conveyed all his right, title, and interest in the same decree to B. Held that the right to execute the decree as a mortgage-decree did not pass to B. **KOOR LALL CHOWDHRY v. NITYANUND SINGH** . . . 1 I. L. R., 9 Cal., 839

S. C. HUB LAL CHOWDHRY v. NITYANUND SINGH . . . 12 C. L. R., 393

134. *Assignment of indigo factory.*—Right to rent and to indigo manufactured.—Where a plaintiff sued on the alleged purchase by him of the rights and interests of certain parties in an indigo concern, it was held that the rents collected and appropriated, and the indigo manufactured and taken away before the date of the purchase, could not form part of the stores and assets sold to the plaintiff, unless the sale of the assets, &c., had been as from some date prior to the date of purchase. **CHUNDER COOMAR ROY v. WILKINS**

[10 W. R., 311]

135. *Assignee, Liability of, to creditor of the factory.—Creditor, Rights of.*—Dena powna, Contract to take over

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—continued.****Assignment of indigo factory—continued.**

—A., by deed duly registered, assigned his interest in an indigo factory to B. In the deed was a recital that it had been agreed that B. should take over the dena powna account of the factory as the same stood on the 30th September 1856. C. sued A. and B. jointly to recover rent in respect of lands which had been occupied under a lease from C. with and for the use of the factory, and which was due on the 30th September 1856. B. raised the defence that the debt was not included in a schedule, dated 30th September 1856, signed by A., and which he alleged had been furnished to him by A. as containing a list of the liabilities of the factory. Held, if a trader or other person in this country assigns his stock in trade and effects to another, and such other person enters into a contract with the first to pay the debts of the concern, or a certain portion of such debts, the contract and assignment create a liability to the creditors in whose favour such contract is made, which they may enforce by suit; nor is the creditor bound to elect between his original debtor and the assignee, but he may join them as co-defendants in the same suit. Held, also, *per* PEACOCK, C. J., and NORMAN and KEMP, JJ. (STEER and SETON-KAER, JJ., dissenting), the case must be remanded to the lower Court to try what was the agreement between A. and B. as to B. taking over the dena powna account of the factory, whether the schedule was an essential part of the contract or not. **KEARNES v. BHAWANI CHABAN MITTER**

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54: W. R., F. B., 167]

PHOOL KOONWAR v. CHARDON

[6 W. R., Act X, 89]

136. *Liability of assignee to creditor.*—Bond given by former proprietor.—When the holder of a bond from the former proprietor of an indigo factory had made no demand on it for twelve years, nor apprised the assignee of its existence as a debt due by the factory, it was held that he could not come down on the present proprietors, but must look to the obligor of the bond personally for satisfaction. **HUBBERUNISSA v. COX**

[W. R., 1864, 266]

16. SETTING ASIDE SALES.

137. *Ground for setting aside sale.*—Stipulation to have mutation of names.—Refusal of revenue authorities to register name of purchaser.—Where a person purchased certain lands under a deed of sale, in which the vendor undertook to apply to the revenue authorities for the transfer of the lands to the name of the vendee, and did so, and both persons clearly understood what they were doing,—Held that the refusal of the revenue authorities to enter the purchaser's name in the mutation register did not constitute a ground for cancelling the sale and recovering the purchase-money. **GEDDEBA KOLITA v. DEBENDRO NABAIN KONWAR**

[25 W. R., 352]

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**16. SETTING ASIDE SALES—continued.****Ground for setting aside sale—continued.**

138. — *Bond fide purchase from guardian of Hindu widow acting collusively.*—The plaintiff was entitled, in right of her deceased husband, to the equity of redemption in a mortgaged estate. Her guardian, in collusion with the mortgagee, instituted a foreclosure suit, in which she was represented by the guardian, who submitted to a decree; and under that decree the property was sold, and the defendant became the purchaser. *Held* that the defendant being a *bond fide* purchaser, the sale was not liable to be set aside. **KHETTERMONREE DASSEE v. KISHENMOHUN MITTEE** . **Marsh, 313**

S. C. SS EHEN MITTEE v. KHETTERMONREE DASSEE . . . **2 Hay, 196**

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—

139. — *Unpaid vendor.—Refusal to deliver under payment.—Right after delivery.*—A party selling land may refuse to give delivery until the consideration is paid; but having given delivery, he has no right to retake possession and pay himself the purchase-money out of the usufruct. **PREM SOONDUREE DOSSIA v. GRISH CHUNDER BHUTTA-CHARJEE** . . . **10 W. R., 194**

140. — *Failure to pay whole of consideration-money.*—When a vendor of land is not paid a portion of the consideration-money, he cannot wholly disaffirm the contract, but he can establish his lien on the land as an unpaid vendor. **MOHSUM ALLY v. BALASOO KOER** . **2 Hay, 576**

Vendor's lien for unpaid purchase-money.—In a suit claiming possession of land purchased by the plaintiff from the defendant, the Munsif threw out the claim for want of consideration; but the District Judge found that the plaintiff was entitled to have the land, and that the defendant might sue for the purchase-money. *Held* that the equitable doctrine of the vendor's lien for unpaid purchase-money applied to the case, but as the District Judge had not decided whether the defendant had succeeded in proving that the purchase-money had not been paid, the suit should be remanded for a finding by him on that issue. **YEL-LAPPA BEN BISAPPA v. MANTAPPA BEN BASAPPA** [**3 Bom., A. C., 102**]

142. — *Failure to pay portion of purchase-money.*—The vendees of certain land, a portion of which only was in their possession by virtue of the sale, the rest being in the possession of mortgagees, sued for a declaration of their right to such land, and to have a sale of a portion of such land, made after it had been sold to them, set aside. *Held* that, inasmuch as the sale to them had taken effect, they were entitled, notwithstanding the whole of the purchase-money might not have been paid, to a decree as claimed, and the vendors, if they had any claim in respect of the purchase-money, should be

VENDOR AND**17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—continued.****Unpaid vendor—continued.**

left to seek their remedy. **KESRI v. GANGA PRASAD** . . . **I. L. R., 4 All., 168**

143. — *Stoppage in transitu.—Lien of unpaid vendors.—Agents for purchase of goods.—Insolvency.—Right of carriers.*—A firm at Cawnpore sent an agent to Sarun, plaintiff's residence, to effect purchases in cotton, and the plaintiff, at the instance of the person so deputed, made purchases and supplied funds, both for purchase and for their carriage and insurance, the agent doing nothing but consenting to the arrangements and giving hundis on his employer's correspondents in payment. The goods were despatched and insured, but before reaching their destination the firm became insolvent, and the plaintiff proceeded to take possession of them, but was prevented on account of the goods being previously attached by the defendant, a judgment-creditor. It was held on plaintiff's suit that the plaintiff was an unpaid vendor, and had a lien on the goods for the price, and might detain the goods till he received or was satisfied about the payment for the said goods, a completed contract for the sale of the goods notwithstanding. An unpaid vendor, in case of the vendee's insolvency, may stop the goods sold *in transitu*. Agents for the purchase of goods have a lien on the goods when purchased for the moneys paid and liabilities incurred by them in respect to such purchase, and are not bound to deliver the goods until they are reimbursed or secured for such advances and liabilities, and an agent in this character is in the position of an unpaid vendor. Where the vendor is not otherwise paid than by having received the insolvent's acceptance, he may in the event of the purchaser's insolvency stop the goods, though he have negotiated the bills, and they are still outstanding and not yet at maturity. Whilst the goods sold remain in the hands of the carrier employed to convey them to their original destination, as between buyer and seller, no case of constructive possession arises, unless when the carrier enters expressly into some new agreement, distinct from the original contract for carriage. So also the mere acts of making or sampling the goods, or giving notice to the carrier to hold the goods for the buyer, though done with intention to take possession, do not establish a constructive possession, or affect the right to stop *in transitu*. Where the right of stoppage *in transitu* vests in the consignor, it cannot be defeated by the claims of other creditors of the consignee, the unpaid vendor having an elder and preferential lien. **BEOLANATH v. BALU NATH** . . . **2 Agra, 11**

144. — *Immovable property.—Non-payment of purchase-money.—Vendor's remedy.*—A vendor of immovable property who has given possession to the purchaser is not entitled to rescind the contract of sale and recover possession because the purchase-money is not paid. His remedy is to sue for the sum due, and he has a lien on the property for the amount. *Not reported*

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—continued.****Unpaid vendor—continued.**

RAGHAVENDRA v. MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONERS OF HUBLI . . . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172

145.

Lien.—Creditor of vendor, Right of, to lien.—Mortgage.—Although an unpaid vendor holds a lien upon property sold for the consideration-money, yet a creditor of that vendor cannot claim the same right. HARI RAM v. DENAPUT SINGH

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 167; 11 C. L. R., 339]

146.

Conditions of sale.—Sale by Government.—Auction sale of confiscated property.—Ground for setting aside sale.—Where it was made a distinct condition of sale that the property should be sold to the highest bidder, without any restriction of the purchaser being a rebel or not, —Held that the Government may, like any other seller, impose any condition it pleases in reference to the property which it offers for sale, prior to sale, but is not at liberty subsequently to the sale to disaffirm or annul it on a ground not only novel but directly at variance with the terms on which it offered the property for sale. SEVA LALL v. MAHOMED . . . 2 Agra, 160

147.

Vendor keeping vendee out of possession.—Suit for partition.—Trustee.—Mesne profits.—Where, in a suit for partition, it appeared that the vendor of the portion sued for had kept the vendee out of possession, the vendor, though liable for mesne profits, was not in the position of trustee of the rents for the party kept out of possession. NILKAMAL LAKSHMI v. GUNOMANI DEBI

[7 B. L. R., P. C., 113; 15 W. R., P. C., 38]

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

148.

Deed of sale, Proof of.—Suit for possession under deed of sale.—Delivery of deed of sale.—In a suit for possession of land on the ground of title under a kobala, it is not enough for the plaintiff to prove the writing and signature of the kobala; he must also prove that it was delivered as a complete instrument. OMED ALI v. NIDHEE RAM . . . 22 W. R., 367

149.

Fictitious sale.—Mortgage.—Suit by purchaser for confirmation of possession.—Issues.—Where a sale by A. to the plaintiff had taken place shortly before a mortgage of the same property by A. to the defendant, the defendant is entitled to have raised, in a suit brought for confirmation of possession and to declare the sale valid, an issue whether the sale was *bond fide* and for consideration, and whether possession passed under it to the plaintiff. The proper issue is not whether the deed of sale was genuine or not. GARBHU BHAGAT v. RUNGAL SING . . . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 33

150.

Owner standing by and seeing property sold.—Right to have sale set

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—continued.****Owner standing by and seeing property sold—continued.**

aside.—The rule that one who, knowing his own title, stands by and encourages a purchase of property as another's, will not be allowed to dispute the validity of the sale, implies a wilful misleading of the purchaser by some breach of duty on the owner's part. In this case there was nothing more than mere quiescence. BASWANTAPA SHIDAPA v. RANU [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 36]

151. ——— *Purchaser from husband.*

—Acquiescence of wife.—Suit to set aside purchase as being wife's property.—Where a husband was alleged to have given a share in some property to his wife, and the husband subsequently sold the whole property to another party, and put the said party in possession, without any objection from the wife, who for years behaved as though she had no interest in the property other than that arising from her husband's possession of it in his own right, —Held that a person afterwards claiming to have purchased the wife's share, and seeking to be put in possession, could not displace the *bond fide* purchaser from the husband, for a person in the position of the wife in this case, who chooses to stand by for years not asserting her rights, but allowing another to deal with her property as his own, has no equity to come into Court and eject any one who has purchased in ignorance of her title. SOOJAT MAHOMED v. MAHOMED TORAB . . . 25 W. R., 261

152. ——— *Grant of estate when having bad title.—Vendor afterwards obtaining good title.—Specific Relief Act (I of 1877), s. 18.—A.*

holding a certain mehal as a ghatwal, mortgaged it to B. by way of a *zur-i-peshgi* lease for twenty-one years. Shortly after the granting of the lease the zemindar got a decree against A. by which A.'s ghatwali right was extinguished. In execution of that decree, the zemindar ousted and took khas possession of the mehal. Some years afterwards, the zemindar granted to A. a perpetual *mokurrari* lease of the same mehal. Held, in a suit against A. instituted by the assignee of B.'s rights in the *zur-i-peshgi*, that under section 18, Act I of 1877, A. must, out of his present estate in the mehal, make good the *zur-i-peshgi*. LOOT NARAIN SINGH v. SHOWKEE LALL

[2 C. L. R., 332]

153.

Separate agreement by purchaser.—Subsequent exercise of pre-emption.—Co-sharers.—Where a vendor in selling his property got the vendee to execute another deed in his favour for certain *bighas* of land for his maintenance, and subsequently, on the completion of the bargain, a co-sharer took that property by right of pre-emption, —Held that the agreement, being in fact a part of the consideration for sale and *bond fide*, was binding on the pre-emptor, who could not claim to have the bargain made with him on more favourable terms than those offered by the stranger and accepted by the vendor, the fact that he was no party personally to the agreement notwithstanding. KHAIR SINGH v. HEERA DASS . . . 1 Agra, 75

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.**18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—continued.****154. ——— Right of pre-emption.—**

Option of getting estate re-transferred.—Mortgage.—In July 1870, *R.*, the owner of a share of a village, executed in favour of *M.* an instrument whereby he transferred by sale the share to *M.* absolutely. In November 1870 *M.* agreed to re-transfer the share to *R.*, if *R.* desired, at any time within thirteen years, to re-purchase it, on payment of the sum which *M.* had paid for it. During the term mentioned in the agreement of November 1870, *R.* not having taken advantage of the agreement, *M.* sued, as owner of the share, to enforce the right of pre-emption in respect of the sale of another share of the village. *Held* that, *M.* having become under the transfer of July 1870 the out-and-out proprietor of the share, until *R.* availed himself of the option given him by the agreement of November 1870, the full estate of an owner, carrying with it the right of pre-emption, vested in *M.*, and it was competent for him to enforce such right by suit. *Ramsaran Lal v. Amirta Kuar*, *I. L. R.*, 3 All., 369, distinguished. *BHAJAN v. MUSHTAK AHMAD* . . . *I. L. R.*, 5 All., 324

155.*Condition*

against alienation.—The co-sharers of a certain estate sold it to *R.* On the same day as the vendors executed the conveyance of such estate to *R.*, the latter executed an instrument whereby he agreed that the vendors might redeem such estate or any portion thereof, within a certain term, on repayment of the purchase-money or a proportionate share thereof, and in such case the sale would be considered cancelled; provided that the vendors paid the money out of their own pockets and did not raise it by a transfer of the property, and not otherwise. The heir of one of the vendors sold his share of such estate to *A.*, and *A.* sued *R.* to redeem such share. *Held* by the Full Bench (*STUART, C. J.*, doubting) that the nature of the transaction between *R.* and his vendors must be determined by looking at both the conveyance and the agreement, and, both those documents being regarded, the transaction between them was one of mortgage, and the vendors had a right of redemption, and the proviso in the agreement was inequitable and incapable of enforcement against them or their representatives in title. *Held*, also, by *PEARSON, J.*, that the agreement was not of the nature of a personal contract enforceable only by the original vendors and not by their representatives; that, assuming that a transfer of the property was prohibited by the agreement, *R.* could not, as implied by the Full Bench ruling in *Dookkchore Rai v. Hidayat-oolah, Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874*, 5, treat as a nullity the sale which had been made to *A.*, and *A.*'s right to redeem could not be reasonably denied and resisted; and that a transfer was not positively but only implicitly prohibited by the agreement, *R.* merely declaring that he would not recognise the transferees as having acquired the equity of redemption or cancel his own sale-deed, and such a declaration was beyond his competence and had no legal effect. *RAM SARAN LAL v. AMIRTA KUAR*

[*I. L. R.*, 3 All., 369**VERBAL CONTRACT.**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 75.

[*I. L. R.*, 3 Calc., 619**VERDICT OF CRIMINAL COURT.**

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS—CRIMINAL COURT, PROCEEDINGS IN—

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 2
2 B. L. R., A. C., 31
10 W. R., 56
9 W. R., 77
14 W. R., 493
11 W. R., 171

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—CRIMINAL COURTS.

VERDICT OF JURY.

Col.

1. GENERAL CASES . . . 6160
2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS . . . 6163

See REFERENCE TO HIGH COURT—CRIMINAL CASES.

[*I. L. R.*, 10 Bom., 497

See CASES UNDER REVISION—CRIMINAL CASES—VERDICT OF JURY AND MISDIRECTION.

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Verdict of majority.—*Want of independent opinion.*—The law requires a jurymen to exercise his own understanding on the case submitted to him, and to decide on evidence, and not to follow blindly the opinion of his fellows. Where one out of three (in a jury of five) depends on the inspection and inquiries of the other two, the verdict of the three is not that of a legal majority. *PETAMBUR JUGI v. NASARUDDY* . . . 25 W. R., Cr., 4

2. ——— Ground for refusing to accept verdict.—*Verdict based on voluntary confessions.*—Wherever trial by jury exists, the verdict of the jury must be accepted, unless it is manifestly and certainly wrong. A verdict based on voluntary confessions is just as good as a verdict based on the testimony of credible witnesses. It is the province of a jury to decide as to the credibility of witnesses. *QUEEN v. WUZIR MUNDUL* . . . 25 W. R., Cr., 25

3. ——— Unanimous verdict.—*Further consideration of case by jury.*—*Dissent by Judge from unanimous verdict.*—*Procedure.*—It is only in a case where the jury are not unanimous that a Court may require them to retire for further consideration. Where a verdict is unanimous, it must be received by the Judge, unless contrary to law. Where a Judge dissents from the unanimous finding of a jury given in accordance with the law, the only procedure open to him to follow is that laid down in the fifth clause of section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL v. MAHADDI* . . . *I. L. R.*, 5 Calc., 871

S. C. EMPRESS v. MAHUDDI . . . 6 C. L. R., 349

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.**

4. **Dissent from verdict.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263, cl. 4.*—The "dissent" referred to in the 4th clause of section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) must be such a complete dissent as to lead the Judge to consider it necessary for the ends of justice to submit the case to the High Court. *EMPRESS v. BHAWANI* . . . **I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525**

5. **Reference to High Court.**—*Statement by Judge of offence committed.*—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263, 464.*—It is the duty of a Judge in sending up a case to the High Court under sections 263 and 464 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, when he disagrees with a verdict of acquittal, to state the offence which, in his opinion, has been committed. *EMPRESS v. SAHAJ RAO* [**I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623; 2 C. L. R., 304**]

6. **Questioning jury as to their verdict.**—*Questions to member of jury as to reasons for verdict.*—A Judge ought not to put questions to any of the jury as to his reasons for the verdict he has given. *QUEEN v. MEAJAN SHEIKH* . . . **20 W. R., Cr., 50**

7. **Questions as to grounds for verdict.**—*Power of Sessions Judge.*—*Per GAETH, C. J., and PRINSEP, J. (MARKBY, J. contra).*—The rule laid down in *Queen v. Wuzir Mandal, 25 W. R., Cr., 25*, goes too far. *PRINSEP, J. (MARKBY, J. contra).*—The law does not prevent a Sessions Judge from asking a jury regarding the grounds for their verdict, and such a course is desirable in the ends of justice. See *Queen v. Sustiram Mundul, 21 W. L., Cr., 1*. *EMPRESS v. MUKHUN KUMAR* . . . **1 C. L. R., 275**

8. **Ambiguity in verdict.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.*—Under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, a Court was authorised to ask the jury such questions as were necessary to ascertain what their verdict really is; but where the verdict, although perhaps erroneous, is not ambiguous, it is the duty of the Judge to record it without further question. In *THE MATTER OF DHUNUM KAZEE*. *EMPRESS v. DHUNUM KAZEE* . **I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53; 11 C. L. R., 169**

9. **Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 303.**—Although section 303 of the Criminal Procedure Code empowers a Judge to ask the jury such questions as are necessary to ascertain what their verdict is, it was never contemplated that, on ascertaining that the jury are not unanimous, the Judge should make minute inquiries to learn the nature of the majority and its opinion, so that he should have the opportunity of accepting or refusing that opinion as a verdict according as it coincides with his own opinion or not. Whatever may be the opinion of the Judge, if he goes so far as to ask the jury what is the exact majority, and what is the opinion of the majority, he ought to receive that verdict without hesitation, and if he differs from

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Questioning jury as to their verdict—continued.**

it he should proceed as directed by section 307. *HURRY CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY v. EMPRESS* [**I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140; 13 C. L. R., 358**]

10. **Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.**—In a case in which the accused was tried on charges of murder, culpable homicide, and causing grievous hurt, the jury acquitted him of murder, but convicted him on the other counts. This verdict was recorded by the Sessions Judge, who then, in accordance with section 263, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, questioned the jury as to the grounds for their verdict, and the jury eventually intimated their willingness to convict of murder. The Sessions Judge differed from the first verdict of the jury, but as he had recorded that verdict, he doubted whether he could accept the second verdict, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263. *Held* that section 263 did not apply to such a case as this. There could be no verdict delivered, and no verdict finally recorded, until the last of the questions put by the Judge to the jury was answered; and as it appeared from the answers of the jury that their findings of facts disclosed that the verdict ought to have been one of guilty on the charge of murder, the Judge should have entered the verdict of the jury as one of guilty of murder. The case was accordingly returned to the Judge to enable him to do that, and to pass such sentence as the law directed. It is only when it is necessary, in order to ascertain what the verdict of a jury really is, that a Judge is justified under section 263 in putting questions to the jury. *QUEEN v. SUSTIRAM MANDAL* . . . **21 W. R., Cr., 1**

11. **Special verdict.**—*Question put by Judge to jury after special verdict.*—*Penal Code, s. 330.*—The prisoners were tried under section 330 of the Penal Code (for voluntarily causing hurt to a girl), and under section 348 (for wrongfully confining her). Circumstances of aggravation were alleged, as lifting up and using a sword, of lowering the girl into a well, and of pricking her with thorns. The jury in their verdict stated that they disbelieved these allegations, and also the charge of illegal confinement, but that they believed that some slaps had been given. The Judge then asked the jury whether they convicted on either, and if so, which head of charge. They answered that they believed the prisoners had beaten the girl, and that they convicted them under section 330. *Held* that the question put by the Judge to the jury was a proper one, and not one of law. The conviction upheld. Such a case is not governed by the rules of English law as to special verdicts. *QUEEN v. HARI PROSAD GANGOOLY*

[**8 B. L. R., 557; 14 W. R., Cr., 59**]

12. **Form of verdict.**—*Culpable homicide.*—*Murder.*—*Illegal finding.*—The finding of a jury that, although the accused killed the deceased, the crime was not murder because the accused

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**1. GENERAL CASES—continued.****Form of verdict—continued.**

had no object in killing him, is not a legal finding, and does not amount to a conviction of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. *QUEEN v. UKOOR GHOSH* **1 W. R., Cr., 50**

13. Penal Code, ss.

322 and 335.—Verdict of guilty under section not in charge.—Grievous hurt with provocation.—Where a prisoner was charged under the Penal Code, sections 304, 325, and 323, and the jury brought in a verdict of guilty under section 335,—*Held* that he was not acquitted of grievous hurt, but found guilty of the offence described in section 322 with the extenuating circumstance which would confine the punishment within the limits specified in section 335. *QUEEN v. LUKHINABAIN AGOORI* **23 W. R., Cr., 61**

14. Offence proved,

though not independently charged.—Code of Criminal Procedure (Act X of 1872), s. 457.—Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), ss. 149, 325.—The accused were charged under section 149, coupled with section 325, of the Penal Code, with, while being members of an unlawful assembly, committing grievous hurt. The jury disbelieved the evidence as to the unlawful assembly, but unanimously found two of the accused guilty of grievous hurt under section 325. *Held* that such verdict was, under section 457 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, legally sustainable, although that offence did not form the subject of a separate charge. Section 457 enables a verdict to be given on some of the facts which are a component part of the original charge, provided that those facts constitute a minor offence. *GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL v. MAHADDI* **I. L. R., 5 Calc., 871**

S. C. EMPRESS v. MAHUDDI **6 C. L. R., 349**

15. Objections to verdict.—

Ground for thinking verdict erroneous.—Where a party objects to the verdict of a jury, he ought to give the Magistrate reasonable *prima facie* ground for the opinion either that the jury did not in fact apply a judicial discretion to the case, or that the verdict was such as the jury could not have arrived at by a proper exercise of their discretion upon the materials before them. *BINDABUN CHUNDER DUTT v. DWARKA NATH SEN* **23 W. R., Cr., 15**

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS.**16. General principle regulat-**

ing interference.—English law.—Position of Judge in India.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—The Code of Criminal Procedure, section 263, casts upon the High Court the duty both of Judge and jury; but, notwithstanding this difference, which clothes it with greater powers and responsibilities than the superior Courts in England, it will, as far as may be, be guided by the principle of English law, that the verdict of a jury will not be set aside unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by an error of the Judge. In a proper

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH****General principle regulating interference—continued.**

case, however, the High Court will rectify the verdict of a jury. *REG. v. KHANDRAV BAJIRAY*

[**I. L. R., 1 Bom., 10**

17. English law.—

Acquittal by jury.—Disagreement by Judge.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) s. 263.—Notwithstanding the large discretionary powers vested in the High Court under section 263 of Act X of 1872, the Court will adhere generally to the principle of the Courts in England,—*viz.*, that the Court will not set aside the verdict of a jury unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by the error of the Judge; and when the Court is asked to do so on the ground that the verdict is against the weight of evidence, the question is, not whether the learned Judge who tried the case was or was not dissatisfied with the verdict, or whether he would have come to the same conclusion as the jury, but whether the verdict was such as reasonable men ought to have come to. *IN THE MATTER OF DHUNUM KAZER. EMPRESS v. DHUNUM KAZER*

[**I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53 : 11 C. L. R., 169**

18. Exercise of

powers of High Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—The Court should exercise the powers vested in it by section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (X of 1872) only when it finds the verdict of the jury clearly and patently wrong, and only set such verdict aside, even if the Sessions Judge disagrees with it, when it is found unsustainable by the evidence. *QUEEN v. SHAM BAGDI*

[**13 B. L. R., Ap., 19 : 20 W. R., Cr., 73**

QUEEN v. NOBIN CHUNDER BANERJEE

[**13 B. L. R., Ap., 20 : 20 W. R., Cr., 70**

QUEEN v. ISWARYA **14 B. L. R., Ap., 1**

QUEEN v. HURRO MANJI

[**14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note : 21 W. R., Cr., 4**

19. Inconsistencies

in evidence otherwise sufficient for conviction.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Where there are reasons sufficient to warrant a jury in disbelieving the witnesses and in giving the prisoner the benefit of the doubt raised by inconsistencies in that evidence, although another jury might have come to a different conclusion, the High Court will not interfere. It must be shown that the verdict of the jury is certainly unreasonable and perverse. *Queen v. Sham Bagdi*, **13 B. L. R., Ap., 19 : 20 W. R., 73**, cited and followed. *IN THE MATTER OF HUREN NARAIN MOOKEEJEA* **2 C. L. R., 518**

20. Exercise of power of inter-

ference.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—Verdict contrary to Judge's charge to jury.—Where a jury convicted a prisoner contrary to the charge of the Sessions Judge, which charge was held by the High Court to have been a proper charge, the High

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH****Exercise of power of interference—continued.**

Court refused to interfere, although it concurred with the Sessions Judge in thinking that the verdict of the jury was not correct. The case was one in which an application could be made to the Government; but as regards the Court the petitions were rejected. **QUEEN v. NIDDERAM BAGDEE** . 18 W. R., Cr., 45

Contra, **QUEEN v. SHIB CHUNDER MUNDLE**
[18 W. R., Cr., 46]

21. ————— *Omission to sum up properly.—Ground for setting aside verdict.*—The omission of the Judge to sum up the case properly to the jury is an error in law sufficient to justify the setting aside of the verdict. No general rule can be laid down as to when a prisoner is prejudiced by a defective summing up, but in general, if the finding of the jury in such a case is one that an Appeal Court would set aside if the trial had taken place with assessors, the Court will interfere and set the verdict aside. **REG. v. FATTEHRAND VASTACHAND**
[5 Bom., Cr., 85]

22. ————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—Misdirection.*—The High Court set aside the verdict of a jury in this case, because the Judge in his direction to the jury omitted to point out the absence of evidence very material to the case of the prosecution, and because he directed the jury to attribute an undue importance to the statements or excuses made by the prisoner in the explanation of certain documents. **QUEEN v. GUNGA GOVIND PALIT**
[23 W. R., Cr., 21]

23. ————— *Inconsistent verdict.—Verdict of guilty.*—Where a jury found an accused person guilty of murder, but refused to convict him because there had been no eye-witness of his crime, and on a second charge from the Judge refused to find him guilty at all,—*Held* by the High Court, to whom the case was referred, that the Judge ought to have explained to the jury that the testimony of eye-witnesses was not necessary to the establishment of a charge of murder, and that the jury, if they had no doubt of the guilt of the accused, were bound to give effect to the conclusion at which they had arrived. **QUEEN v. GOKOOL KAHAR**
[25 W. R., Cr., 36]

24. ————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Discretion of Court.—Setting aside verdict of acquittal of murder.*—A very large discretionary power is vested in the High Court by section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. No fixed rules can be laid down for the exercise of that discretion in every instance, and the decision in each case submitted must depend upon its own peculiar circumstances. In this case the Court set aside a verdict of acquittal of murder. **EMPRESS v. MUKHUN KUMAR** . 1 C. L. R., 275

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.****Exercise of power of interference—continued.**

25. ————— *Judge disagreeing with verdict.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Ground for setting aside verdict.*—On a trial by jury before a Sessions Judge, the jury returned a verdict of guilty. The Judge disagreed with the verdict, and submitted the case to the High Court. *Held* that the High Court had power to set aside the verdict of the jury, and to direct an acquittal. Section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) explained. **QUEEN v. KOONJO LETH**
[11 B. L. R., 14: 20 W. R., Cr., 1]

26. ————— *Judge differing from verdict.—Acquittal by majority of jury.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.*—Where a jury are not unanimous in their finding, and the Judge dissents from the opinions expressed by them, on the case being referred under section 263 of Act X of 1872, the High Court is competent to find the prisoner guilty, notwithstanding an acquittal by the majority of the jury. **EMPRESS v. SAHAR RAI**
[1 L. R., 3 Calc., 623: 2 C. L. R., 304]

27. ————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Verdict of acquittal.—Power to reverse verdict of acquittal.*—Where the jury acquitted the prisoners on the charges framed, but found certain facts which amounted to another offence, and omitted to convict the prisoners of that offence, as provided by section 457 of the Criminal Procedure Code,—*Held* that the High Court could, on the case coming before them under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, find the prisoners guilty of such offence. **EMPRESS v. HARAI MIRDHA**
[1 L. R., 3 Calc., 189]

————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.*—The High Court, acting under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, convicted the accused in this case on the facts, notwithstanding the verdict of acquittal come to by the jury. **QUEEN v. SIDHAM SINGH** 20 W. R., Cr., 16

29. ————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.—Confession.—Evidence Act, s. 29.*—The Court on a consideration of the evidence set aside the verdict of acquittal come to by a majority of the jury, holding that a confession made by the accused before the Assistant Magistrate was good, such confession, even if obtained by deception, being admissible under section 29 of the Evidence Act, 1872. **QUEEN v. RAM CHURN GHOSH** 20 W. R., Cr., 33

30. ————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.*—The prisoner, who was charged with having committed murder, was found by the jury who tried him to have been of unsound mind at the time he committed the offence. The Sessions Judge differing on that point from the jury referred the case to the High Court

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.****Exercise of power of interference—continued.**

under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *Held* that in a case of this kind the High Court will not interfere without the very clearest proof that the jury were mistaken, and that the interests of justice imperatively required the Court to take action under the extraordinary powers conferred upon it by section 263, Code of Criminal Procedure. On a consideration of the medical evidence, the Court declined to interfere with the verdict of acquittal which the jury came to. *QUEEN v. DOORJODHUN SHAMONTO alias DEEJOBOB*. . . . **19 W. R., Cr., 45**

31. *Verdict of acquittal by jury.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Judge disagreeing from verdict of majority.*—A majority of the jurors (four out of five) acquitted the prisoner on a charge of attempt to commit rape. The Sessions Judge disagreed with that verdict, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, because in his opinion the offence charged was proved. The High Court found that the evidence for the prosecution was fully worthy of belief and consistent with probabilities, and sentenced the prisoner. *IN THE MATTER OF TILUCKDHAREE*

[2 C. L. R., 1

32. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Interference with verdict of majority of jury where Sessions Judge differed.*—The Sessions Judge, differing from a majority of the jury, who acquitted the accused, referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to be dealt with as an appeal. Before proceeding with the case, the High Court considered it fair to the accused to give him notice to bring forward any objections he might have to the Sessions Judge's recommendation. On a consideration of the evidence, the High Court convicted the accused of the offence with which he had been charged in the Court below. *QUEEN v. OOTUM DHORA*

[19 W. R., Cr., 38

33. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Differing from verdict of acquittal by jury.*—Where the Sessions Judge did not consider a confession to have been induced by illegal pressure, the High Court, upon a reference under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, held it to have been properly admitted, and finding it to be full and clear, and supported by reliable evidence, acted upon it by convicting the person who made it, notwithstanding his retraction of it in the Court of Session, and his being found not guilty by the jury. *REG. v. BALVANT V. PENDHARKAR*

[11 Bom., 137

34. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Trial on different charges.—Discharge on some charges on which jury and Sessions Judge agree.—Reference of whole case to High Court.*—In a case in which the accused were charged with

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.****Exercise of power of interference—continued.**

murder (section 302, Penal Code), culpable homicide not amounting to murder (section 304), and voluntarily causing grievous hurt (section 325), the Sessions Judge at the trial added a further charge of house-breaking by night in order to the commission of an offence (section 457). The jury unanimously acquitted the prisoners of the three original charges, and a majority of the jury (four out of five) acquitted them also of the last charge. The Sessions Judge agreed with the verdict of the jury as regarded the three original charges, and recorded a formal order acquitting and discharging the prisoners on these three charges. He differed from the majority as to the fourth charge, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code. *Held* that where (as in this case) the Sessions Judge had approved of a verdict on certain charges, and finally acquitted and discharged the accused as to these charges, the High Court could not under section 263 convict on the facts on these very charges. That section seems to contemplate only a case in which, without recording any order of acquittal or conviction, the Sessions Judge refers the whole case. As there was nothing in this case to show on what grounds the majority of the jury acquitted the prisoners on the additional charge, and as the Sessions Judge agreed with the unanimous verdict as to the three original charges, the High Court presumed that the reason which weighed with the majority of the jury in finding the prisoners not guilty on the additional charge must have weighed with the whole jury in finding them not guilty on all the three other charges, and accordingly the Court could not set aside the verdict of the majority on the last count, without practically finding directly in the teeth of the verdict of the unanimous jury on the first three counts. *QUEEN v. UDYA CHANGA*

[20 W. R., Cr., 73

35. *Verdict in accordance with charge.—Verdict disagreed with by Judge.—Penal Code, ss. 302, 304, 325.—Reference under s. 307, Act X of 1882.*—A prisoner was charged under sections 302 and 304 of the Penal Code, and the Judge at the trial added a further charge under section 325. The Judge in his charge to the jury directed them that in the event of their finding the charges under sections 302 and 304 unsustainable, they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. The jury unanimously acquitted the prisoner on the charge framed under section 302, and a majority of them acquitted him on the charge framed under section 304; but a majority of them found him guilty on the charge framed under section 325. The Judge disagreed with their finding as regarded the charge framed under section 304, and referred the case to the High Court under section 307 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The High Court refused to interfere with the verdict, on the ground that the verdict could not be said to be manifestly erroneous, the Judge having heard the evidence and having expressed his

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.**2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.****Exercise of power of interference—continued.**

opinion to the jury that they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. JAC-*
. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 85

38. ————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 269.—Jury wrongly treated as assessors by Judge.—Unanimous opinion of jury treated as assessors accepted as formal verdict.—L. and N. were tried by a Sessions Court on charges of dacoity and murder. The jury returned a verdict of guilty on both charges. The Judge, contrary to the provisions of section 269 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treated the jury as assessors in respect of the charge of murder, and, convicting L. and N. of dacoity, acquitted them of murder. Held that the irregular procedure of the Judge could not deprive the verdict of the jury of its proper legal effect. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. LAKSHMANA* . *I. L. R., 9 Mad., 42**

VESTED INTERESTS.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—VESTED AND CONTINGENT INTERESTS.

See SUCCESSION ACT, s. 98.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

VESTING ORDER.

See CASES UNDER INSOLVENCY—CLAIMS OF ATTACHING CREDITORS AND OFFICIAL ASSIGNEE.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 7.
[5 B. L. R., 309
2 Bom., 150; 2nd Ed., 147
1 Bom., 251
11 C. L. R., 389
I. L. R., 3 Bom., 437
I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438

VICINAGE.

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—PRE-EMPTION—RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION—CO-SHARERS.

[2 B. L. R., A. C., 63, 330
6 B. L. R., 41
14 W. R., 266
8 W. R., 2, 413
2 N. W., 100, 257
2 W. R., 261
9 W. R., 455

VILLAGE ACCOUNTANT.

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882,
s. 45 (1872, s. 90).
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266

VILLAGE CATTLE.

See PASTURAGE, RIGHT TO—
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110

VILLAGE CHOWKIDAR.

See BENGAL REGULATION XX OF 1817,
s. 21 18 W. R., 293

VILLAGE MUNSIF.

I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220
[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 180

VILLAGE MUNSIF'S PEON.

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882,
s. (45 1872, s. 90).
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266

VOLUNTARY ASSIGNMENT.

See CASES UNDER INSOLVENCY—VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCES.

VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE.

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 25.
[I. L. R., 2 All., 891

See CASES UNDER DEBTOR AND CREDITOR.

See CASES UNDER INSOLVENCY—VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCES.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 26.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434

————— **Subsequent sale for value.—**
*Avoidance of gift or settlement voluntarily made.—Stat. 27 Eliz., c. 4.—Where a person who has made a voluntary gift or settlement of an estate sells the same to another for value, the conveyance operates as a conveyance of the estate which the settlor had before the voluntary settlement, the Statute 27 Elizabeth, Cap. 4, putting the settlement out of the way, so that it shall not affect the conveyance which is made to the purchaser: words showing an intention on the part of the person who made the voluntary gift to convey to the purchaser all the interest or estate that he had, are sufficient to avoid such gift. *JUDAH v. ABDOL KUBEREM*
*[22 W. R., 60**

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT.

See CASES UNDER CONTRACT ACT, ss. 69 AND 70.

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 72.
[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 573

See CASES UNDER CONTRIBUTION, SUIT FOR—VOLUNTARY PAYMENTS.

See MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED.
[8 B. L. R., 418
W. R., 1864, 205
3 N. W., 162
5 N. W., 1

See MONEY PAID 7 N. W., 154
[10 W. R., 400

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT—continued.

See MONEY PAID UNDER PROCESS OF DECREE . . . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 586

See CASES UNDER PAYMENT INTO COURT.

See RES JUDICATA—ADJUDICATIONS.
[13 B. L. R., 146]

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE.

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF REVENUE—DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—RIGHTS OF PURCHASERS—RECOVERY OF PURCHASE-MONEY . . . 11 B. L. R., 121
[15 B. L. R., 208]

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER . . . 2 B. L. R., A. C., 86
[11 B. L. R., 121
15 B. L. R., 208
18 W. R., 503
17 W. R., 480
8 B. L. R., Ap., 55]

VOLUNTARY SETTLEMENT, BREACH OF COVENANTS IN—

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—BREACH OF CONTRACT.
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273]

V**WAGERING CONTRACT.**

See CASES UNDER CONTRACT—WAGERING CONTRACTS.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARYING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS . . . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791

WAGES.

See ATTACHMENT—SUBJECTS OF ATTACHMENT—WAGES . . . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 15
[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 132]

See CASES UNDER MASTER AND SERVANT—
of labourers.

See BENGAL ACT VI of 1865.
[3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 39]

Suit for—

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL—JURISDICTION—WAGES.
[6 B. L. R., Ap., 91]

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, RANGOON.
[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 878]

WAGING WAR.

See SENTENCE—TRANSPORTATION.
7, R., Cr., 16

WAGING WAR—continued.

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—OFFENCES COMMITTED ONLY PARTLY IN ONE DISTRICT—ABETMENT OF WAGING WAR . . . 9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

WAGING WAR AGAINST THE QUEEN.

Conspiracy to wage war.—Treason.—Misprision of treason.—Limitation of period for prosecution.—Penal Code, s. 121.—7 Will. III., c. 3, s. 5.—The offence of engaging in a conspiracy to wage war, and that of abetting the waging of war, against the Queen, under section 121 of the Penal Code, are offences under the Penal Code only, and are not treason or misprision of treason; and therefore the provisions of the Statute 7 William III., Cap. 3, section 5, as to placing a limitation on the period for prosecution, are not applicable. QUEEN v. AMIR-UDDIN . . . 7 B. L. R., 63; 15 W. R., Cr., 25

WAIVER.

See CASES UNDER ACQUIESCENCE.

See BOND . . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 45

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 75.
[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577, 583
6 N. W., 88
11 Bom., 155
I. L. R., 3 All., 514
I. L. R., 5 All., 289
I. L. R., 2 All., 857
I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97
I. L. R., 9 Calc., 857]

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 179—ORDER FOR PAYMENT AT SPECIFIED DATE.
[I. L. R., 5 All., 289
I. L. R., 7 Calc., 56
13 C. L. R., 243
I. L. R., 13 Calc., 73]

by prisoner.

See CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23
I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83]

of irregularity.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 179 (1871, ART. 167)—JOINT DECREES—JOINT DECREE-HOLDERS . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 605

of objection.

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT—OBJECTION TAKEN FOR FIRST TIME ON APPEAL.

See CASES UNDER JURISDICTION—QUESTION OF JURISDICTION—CONSENT OF PARTIES AND WAIVER OF.

1. ——— Waiver by conduct.—Appeal.—Irregularity.—Substitution of parties.—Consent.—Where the purchaser of a plaintiff's rights was substituted for the plaintiff, the irregularity was held to be cured by the consent of the defendant, implied in his offering no opposition, but appealing

WAIVER.—Waiver by conduct—continued.

from the judgment on the merits, 'making the substituted plaintiff one of the respondents. **BEER CHUN-DEE ROY v. TUMERGOODDEEN** . 12 W. R., 87

2.

Appeal.—Right of objection to proceedings taken in accordance with appeal to High Court.—Where a party, dissatisfied with the decision of the lower Court, appeals to the High Court and reopens the whole case, he must acquiesce in the result finally arrived at by the Court below in accordance with the instructions of the High Court in his appeal. **GUNGABAM DUTT v. CROWDREY JUMNAJOY MULLICK** . 1 C. L. R., 144

3.

Withdrawal of objection.—Raising same objection subsequently.—Where parties have, before the Deputy Collector, withdrawn their objections to an Ameen's report, the lower Appellate Court should not allow the same objections to be revived before it. **BHUGOBTUTY BUR-MONKEE v. GOUB CHUNDER MUNDUL**

[9 W. R., 267

KANTEE CHUNDER DUTT v. GOPKEE MADHUB NEOGEE 11 W. R., 3

4. —

Objection to non-service of notice of execution.—Appearance.—Where a judgment-debtor appears and contests the decree-holder's right to execute his decree, he cannot object that no notice was served as required by law, having impliedly waived the objection. **GRISH CHUNDER BANERJEE v. BHANOO MOTEE CHOWDHRAIN**

[11 W. R., 329

5. —

Waiver by judgment-debtor of objection.—Right to deduct mesne profits.—A judgment-debtor claiming a deduction on account of mesne profits decreed against him should make good his claim when called upon by the Court executing the decree. Failing to do so he loses his remedy. **NUBO COOMAR CHATTERJEE v. RAMDHUN CHATTERJEE** . . 15 W. R., 266

6.

Omission to take objection.—Remand.—Held that the defendant, not having taken an objection to the suit on the ground of the minority of the plaintiffs, whilst it was pending in appeal to the High Court, were precluded from raising it on remand. **BENI RAM BHUTT v. RAM LAL DHUKHI** . . 1. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 189

7.

Effect of waiver.—Landlord and tenant.—Waiver of right of forfeiture for non-payment of rent.—A landlord who has waived his right to sue for the cancellation of a lease on the ryot's failure to pay six successive instalments, is not barred by limitation from suing for cancellation on further breaches of the covenant. **DULLI CHAND v. MEHEE CHAND SAHOO** . . 8 W. R., 138

8.

Waiver of vendor binding on purchaser.—Sale for arrears of rent.—The Government, as auction-purchaser of the zemindari right of a pergunnah, having waived all right to cancel the tenures of the talookdars, and having admitted them to settlement and otherwise recognised

WAIVER.—Effect of waiver—continued.

their rights, it was held that the defendant, a purchaser, could not put in force against the talookdars any rights which his vendor had waived. **OBHOY CHURN ROY v. ASANOOOLLAH**

[2 W. R., Act X, 81

9.

Waiver of rights under mortgage.—Resumption by Government of mortgaged land under Land Acquisition Act, and re-sale to mortgagor.—Omission of mortgagee to claim compensation.—Government having under the Land Acquisition Act taken possession of portion of certain land which had been mortgaged by the owner subsequently, while the mortgage was still in force, re-sold the portion taken, to the mortgagor, who sold it to a third person *bona fide* for value. In a suit by the mortgagee (who had taken no steps to obtain any portion of the money paid by the Government for the land) praying for the sale under the mortgage of the land resumed by Government,—Held that the plaintiff as mortgagee had waived his rights under the mortgage, and that the purchaser from the mortgagor had acquired a title free from the plaintiff's incumbrance. *Quere*,—Whether the mortgage claim might not, but for the waiver, have re-attached, on the lands resumed by the Government again coming into the possession of the mortgagor. **RAM AWTAI SINGH v. TULSI RAM** . . 5 C. L. R., 227

10.—

—Waiver of grounds of enhancement.—Reliance on one ground only.—Presumption.—In a suit for enhancement of rent upon different grounds, the fact that at the hearing the plaintiff relies on one of the grounds only, and that in the judgment of the first Court the whole case was rested on that ground only, is not a safe warrant for the inference that the other grounds were waived. **BONOMALEE CHURN MYTEE v. SHORROOF HOOTAIT**

[14 W. R., 80

11.

Waiver of right to execute decree.—Agreement to give time to debtor.—New contract.—The granting of a judgment-debtor the indulgence of a temporary stay of the warrant of execution issued to enforce the decree, does not prejudice the judgment-creditor's right to execution at a subsequent time. **BUTCHERENNE v. RAYUDU**

[5 Mad., 285

12.

Waiver where decree-holder was allowed to perform act under decree in case judgment-debtor failed to do so.—H. C. obtained a decree against *G. R.* for the reconstruction in the family house, within one month, of a verandah which had been improperly pulled down by the latter; on failure of *G. R.* to rebuild it in the specified time, the decree-holder was to be allowed to rebuild it himself at the cost of *G. R.* About a month after the reconstruction was begun, but after the lapse of the month allowed to the judgment-debtor *H. C.* applied for an injunction to stop the work as not being according to the decree, and for permission to rebuild it himself. Held, notwithstanding *G. R.* had made alterations and contravened the decretal order, the judgment-creditors' conduct in looking on without remonstrance for nearly a month while the

WAIVER.—Waiver of right to execute decree—continued.

judgment-debtor incurred considerable expense amounted to a waiver of his right to take matters into his own hands. **GOPEE KISHEN GOSSAIN v. HEM CHUNDER GOSSAIN** . . . 16 W. R., 38

13. Waiver of right to interest on arrears of rent.—Receipt of arrears of rent for long time without interest.—By the terms of a kabuliati, rent not paid when due was to bear interest. The zemindar received rent for a period of ten years without making any demand of interest in respect of arrears, and without claiming to apply any portion of the payments towards the discharge of interest. Having subsequently brought a suit for interest, the Courts below were of opinion that the zemindar had waived his claim to interest and dismissed his suit. *Held* that there were facts justifying such an inference, and that their finding could not be reviewed in special appeal. **DINDOYAL PORAMANICK v. PRAN KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHEY** [Marsh., 394 : W. R., F. B., 117 : 2 Hay, 423

14. Omission to enforce interest under kabuliati.—Variation in contract.—In order to establish variation in a written contract, it must be distinctly pleaded and proved when and how the variation took place, the mere fact of a kabuliati not having been enforced in the most stringent manner does not take away from the lessor the right to enforce it. **PEAREE MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. BROJO MOHUN BOSE** . . . 21 W. R., 36

PEAREE MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. BROJO MOHUN BOSE . . . 22 W. R., 423

15. Omission to claim interest.—Pleading.—Both parties stipulated for payment of rent on certain dates, and, if not so paid, of a certain rate of interest until paid. The rent not having been paid at the time agreed on,—*Held* that the landlord's omission to claim interest, instalment by instalment, for the fractional time that the rent was not paid after it became due, did not justify the plea that the interest stipulated for was not due, or warrant the belief that the plaintiff had waived his claim to interest. **RUTTY KANT BOSE v. GUNGA-DHUR BISWAS**

[W. R., F. B., 13 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6 : Marsh., 40

16. Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement.—Omission to appeal from decision finding notice properly served.—Question of law and fact.—Plaintiff sued to recover rents at enhanced rates after notice, and got a decree. Defendants appealed on the merits, tacitly accepting the finding of the lower Court that notice had been duly served. On appeal, the Subordinate Judge of his own motion took up the question of notice, decided that it had not been duly served, and reversed the decree of the lower Court. *Held* that the Subordinate Judge was wrong, for seeing that the defendants had not appealed from the finding of the first Court which declared that there had been good service, it might fairly be presumed that they had due notice of the claim to enhance, until evidence sufficient to rebut

WAIVER.—Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement—continued.

that presumption should be shown. An objection that notice of enhancement has not been properly served is not an objection purely of law, but a mixed objection of law and fact, which may be impliedly waived by the conduct of the parties. **Chunder Monee Dossee v. Dhuroneedhur Lahory**, 7 W. R., 2, cited and distinguished. **SHUSHEE BROOSUN v. MUDDUN MOHUN CHUTTOPADHYA** . . . 2 C. L. R., 297

17. Agreement come to under mistaken belief.—Agreement to accept provision in satisfaction of claim to maintenance.—Mutual mistake, Effect of.—Suit by son for partition.—Relinquishment of claim.—The plaintiff's father, a member of an undivided Hindu family, signed an agreement by which he agreed to accept a provision in satisfaction of his claim for maintenance. The agreement was signed by reason of a mistaken belief entertained by the plaintiff's father and the other members of the family that there existed an established custom in the family which rendered the property indivisible. *Held*, in a suit by the plaintiff for a partition of the family property liable to partition, that the agreement having been come to under a mutual mistake, it was no bar to the plaintiff maintaining the suit, for it would not have prejudiced the right of the plaintiff's father if he had chosen to insist upon a partition. **SOOBHAMANIA TELAYER v. SOKKA TELAYER** [5 Mad., 437

18. Agreement to accept portion of property for maintenance.—Suit for full share of property on partition.—In a suit to recover a share of family property, the Civil Judge found that the plaintiff in 1856 waived his right to a share of the family property by accepting a small portion, and dismissed the suit. The plaintiff shared with other members of the family the belief that by established family usage the property was impartible and passed at each succession to the eldest of the co-heirs according to the ordinary law, the other co-heirs being entitled only to a portion for maintenance. Under that belief the plaintiff accepted the allotment made to him in 1856 by the then eldest co-heir of a smaller portion of the property than he would be entitled to on a partition, as a sufficient provision for his maintenance. The plaintiff's younger brother instituted a suit in 1861, and succeeded in resisting the alleged custom, and obtained a decree for his full share. *Held* (reversing the decision of the Civil Judge) that the plaintiff was entitled to the relief asked for, it not appearing from the arrangement of 1856 that the parties intended the allotment to be in satisfaction and discharge of every right of the plaintiff as a coparcener. **SUBBIEM PILLAY v. ARNACHALA IRUNGOL PILLAY** . . . 5 Mad., 444

19. — Waiver by renunciation of rights.—Renunciation of rights.—Law of waiver.—Privileges of office.—It is not law that every right may be renounced. The general rule is power of renunciation, but there are two marked classes of exceptions: There can be no renunciation of rights and consequent destruction of relative duties prescribed by an absolute law; nor of things inherent in man as

WAIVER.—Waiver by renunciation of rights—continued.

man. A man may renounce a concrete right, but not one resulting from a natural condition. *Semble*.—A karnavan cannot part, by contract, so as to be unable to resume them, with the privileges and duties which attach to his position as a karnavan. *CHERUKOMEN alias GOVINDEN NAIR v. ISMALA* . 6 Mad., 145

APPUNI v. AYANEPALLI EKANATHA THAVAI VARIKARNAN SHANGUNI. APPUNI v. VETTUTHADATHA SHAMA . . . 6 Mad., 401

20. . **Effect of signing document in which there is an omission.—***Omission in wajib-ul-urz of interest in property.—Imperfection in settlement proceedings.*—The mere signature by an agent of a wajib-ul-urz from which the record of an important interest in property was omitted, cannot be construed as a waiver of such right or claim. Still less can the imperfection or inaccuracy of settlement proceedings operate to extinguish or disallow existing rights. *IMAMBUNDER v. BHUGWAN DASS* . . . 1 N. W., 41: Ed. 1873, 38

21. . **Effect of acceptance of mortgage-money on right of purchase.—***Condition in favour of purchase by mortgagee.*—A mortgaged land to B., the mortgage instrument providing that B. should be entitled to purchase the land if it were not redeemed by 12th July 1843. In 1845 B. accepted from A. one pagoda in part payment of the mortgage-money. *Held* that this was a waiver by B. of his right to purchase. *VENKATACHARI v. ANANTACHARI* [1 Mad., 69

22. . **Refusal to receive rent in kind.—***Effect of refusal on right to sue for rent.*—A refusal by a landlord to accept rent in kind when it is tendered, on the ground that he is suing for a money rent, is a waiver of his right to sue his tenant (on the dismissal of his suit for a money rent) for the value of the rent in kind. *NABAIN GEER v. GOVE SURUN DOSS* . . . 23 W. R., 368

23. . **Withdrawal of objection to sale in execution of decree.—***Effect of, on subsequent right to sue to set it aside.*—The plaintiff purchased certain property from the first and second defendants. The property was subsequently put up for sale by order of the Civil Court in execution of a decree against the first and second defendants, and was purchased by the third defendant. When the property was about to be sold under the decree, the plaintiff presented to the Court a petition objecting to the sale, but his vakil withdrew the petition with his consent before the sale. In a suit by the plaintiff for the recovery of the land, *Held* that the plaintiff was not precluded from recovering the land by reason of his having withdrawn the petition, as he could not thereby be considered to have waived his right to sue. *KUMARASAMY REDDI v. PANNA SOONA MOOROGAPPA CHETTY* . . . 7 Mad., 359

24. . **Relinquishment by Hindu widow.—***Relinquishment of title to property—widow.—Petition.*—A mere petition by a widow to the effect that she has relinquished her title in certain

WAIVER.—Relinquishment by Hindu widow—continued.

property in favour of parties suing the lessees of the property for possession, is not a legal relinquishment of her share therein. *OOMA CHURN KOONDoo v. BHOOBUN MOHUN PAL* . . . 10 W. R., 98

WAKE.

See ACT XX OF 1863, s. 18.

[15 B. L. R., 167
I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324

See CASES UNDER MAHOMEDAN LAW—ENDOWMENT.

WARRANT.

1. ——— Warrants made by Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal.—*Seal of Court.*—The Court will order its seal to be impressed on any warrant made by the authority of the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, even if not actually signed by him. *ANONYMOUS* . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106

2. . **Search warrant.—***Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 114, 115.—Requisites of warrant.*—It is essential to the legality of a search warrant, under section 114 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, that the production of some specified and particular thing is desired; that the Magistrate alone shall determine that such production is necessary; and that a specified house or place only is to be searched. The warrant must, under section 115 of that Code, be directed to some other person only when a police officer is not forthcoming. *QUEEN v. HOSAIN ALI CHOWDHRY* . . . 8 W. R., Cr., 74

WARRANT OF ARREST.

Col.

1. CIVIL CASES . . . 6178
2. CRIMINAL CASES . . . 6181

— of Governor General in Council.

See BENGAL REGULATION III OF 1818.

[6 B. L. R., 392, 459
9 B. L. R., 36

See HABEAS CORPUS.

[6 B. L. R., 392, 459

1. CIVIL CASES.

1. . **Absence of warrant.—***Charge from custody of Sheriff.*—The Court will discharge a prisoner from custody when the jailor holds no warrant for his detention, although he has been properly in the custody of the Sheriff. *IN THE MATTER OF SHAH SAHIB* . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19

2. ——— Informality of warrant.—*Application for discharge.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 273.—Delay in bringing up prisoner.—B. M. and several other prisoners in the custody of the Sheriff of Calcutta for debt, without having been brought up to have an order for their allowance made, on being produced for that purpose by the Sheriff, applied for their discharge under section 273*

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued.**1. CIVIL CASES—continued.****Informality of warrant—continued.**

of Act VIII of 1859. Preliminary objections were taken to the validity of the warrants on which the Sheriff arrested them, on the grounds that the time for execution was not specified in them; and that even had they been originally valid their authority had expired, owing to the delay in bringing up the prisoners. Both objections were overruled. *Held* that a mere informality in a warrant, such as the omission of the time for execution, only renders it irregular, and does not invalidate it: that advantage having been taken of such irregularity to prejudice the prisoner, affords grounds for an application to the Court to set the warrant aside: and that a mere delay in bringing the prisoner before the Court after his arrest, if not for a considerable period, does not render his detention illegal. *IN RE BHOLANATH MULLICK* [Bourke, O. C., 96

3. Form of warrant.—Sufficiency

of warrant.—Where a person had been taken in execution under a *ca. sa.* directed to the Sheriff under the old procedure, it was held to be sufficient to empower the jailor to detain him. The words “ordinary civil jurisdiction” are only used to distinguish the civil from the criminal jurisdiction. *IN RE ANWAR BISWAS* . . . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 108

4. ——— Writ of Calcutta Small Cause Court, Form of.—Act XII of 1865.—Fixing subsistence-money.—A writ of the Calcutta Small Cause Court commanding its “Bailiff to take the body of *A.*, and have him before the Court on the—day of—to satisfy *B.* in the sum of—debt and costs, ordered and decreed by the said Court on the—day of—to be paid to the said *B.* with costs of execution, and by virtue thereof to take and convey the said *A.* to the common jail of the said Court, there to be detained in safe custody for—weeks, or until he shall sooner perform the said order of the Court,” is in point of form a sufficient warrant to the jailor to receive and detain *A.*, notwithstanding Act XII of 1865. It was not necessary, in the case of commitment of a debtor to prison by the Calcutta Court of Small Causes, to bring him in the first instance before the Court, as under the provision of Act VIII of 1859, in order to have his subsistence-money fixed. *IN THE MATTER OF MEER NAWAB*

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315

5. Warrant directed to Nazir.

—*Arrest of judgment-debtor.—Indorsement to peon.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 343.—Indorsement of particulars of arrest by Naib Nazir.*—Where a warrant issued by a subordinate Court, directing the Nazir to arrest a judgment-debtor in execution of a decree, was entrusted by the Nazir to a subordinate for execution by indorsing his name upon it,—*Held* that there is nothing in the Civil Procedure Code to prohibit a Nazir from authorising a deputy to execute a warrant of arrest for him, and that his indorsement must be regarded as *prima facie* evidence of the authority of the person to whom the warrant is delivered to execute it. *Held*, also, that it is most desir-

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued.**1. CIVIL CASES—continued.****Warrant directed to Nazir—continued.**

able, when the Nazirs of the subordinate Courts delegate the duty of executing warrants of arrest, that they should confer the authority in more clear and explicit terms than are expressed by a mere indorsement, and that they should be careful in selecting proper persons to discharge that duty, bearing in mind, as far as circumstances permit, the position and caste of the party to be arrested, so as to avoid, through the medium of Court process, subjecting any such party to personal indignity or offence. *Held*, further, that it is important that the person chosen should be made acquainted with the contents of the warrant in order that he may be able to inform the judgment-debtor at whose suit and for what amount he is being taken into custody. Where a warrant for the arrest of the judgment-debtor had been executed, and an indorsement thereon, professedly under section 343 of the Civil Procedure Code, was irregularly made by the Naib Nazir, he not having been “the officer entrusted with the execution of the warrant,”—*Held* that such irregularity did not invalidate the arrest. *ABDUL KARIM v. BULLEN* . . . I. L. R., 6 All, 385

6. Irregularity in warrant.—

Warrant of arrest in execution of a decree only initiated by proper officer.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 2, 251.—A warrant issued for the arrest of a debtor under the provisions of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, was initiated by the Munsarim of the Court, sealed with the seal of the Court, and delivered to the proper officer for execution. The debtor forcibly resisted the officer, and was tried and convicted, under section 353 of the Penal Code, of assaulting a public servant in the execution of his duty as such. In revision, it was contended, with reference to the requirements of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, that the warrant of arrest, having been initiated only, was bad, and the officer could not legally execute it, and consequently no offence under section 353 of the Penal Code had been committed. *Held* that this contention could not be allowed, and although it was proper that the person signing a warrant should write his name in full, it could not be said that because the signature was confined to the initials of the name, it was not the duty of the officer to execute the warrant. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. JANKI PRASAD* . . . I. L. R., 8 All, 293

7. Validity of warrant.—Liability of Nazir.—Escape of judgment-debtor.

—The plaintiff sued out a warrant for the arrest of his judgment-debtor on the 4th December 1876. The warrant was lodged with the Nazir on the 16th December and was to be in force till the 4th January 1877. On the 22nd December 1876 the Nazir was informed that the judgment-debtor was already in the civil jail under a writ of execution issued by another creditor. The Nazir then returned the warrant to the Subordinate Judge who had issued it. On the 29th December the Subordinate Judge again sent it to the Nazir's office, where it was duly received by the Nazir's karkun (defendant No. 2). This fact was not reported by the karkun to the Nazir (defend-

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued.**1. CIVIL CASES—continued.****Validity of warrant—continued.**

ant No. 1) until the 4th January 1877. On the 1st January 1877 the judgment-debtor's debt was paid by Government, and he was released in honour of Her Majesty's assumption of the title of Empress of India. The judgment-debtor thereupon left the district and could not be found, and the plaintiff's warrant remained unexecuted. The plaintiff sued the Nazir and his karkun for allowing for his judgment-debtor to escape. *Held* that the Nazir ought not to have sent the warrant back to the Subordinate Judge, and that there was no necessity for a fresh order on it until the time for which it had to run had expired. *Held* also that, according to Act VIII of 1859, as it stood at the end of 1876 and until October 1877, the batta for the maintenance of a debtor could not become payable until he was arrested and brought before the Court, and the latter made the order for his committal to the civil jail. *KASTURCHAND v. RAVJI SADASHIV* . . . **I. L. R., 4 Bom., 65**

2. CRIMINAL CASES.**8. Arrest in pending case.—**

Power of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 68.—Section 68 of the Code of Criminal Procedure gave a Magistrate jurisdiction on proper evidence to issue a warrant for the arrest of persons in a pending case. *IN THE MATTER OF SIDESHURY CHOWDHRAIN* . . . **16 W. R., Cr., 50**

9. ——— Warrant on non-appearance to summons.—*Lessee of tolls.—Disobedience of summons to appear.—Undertaking not to sue.*—*A.*, the lessee of a toll, was in arrear to Government in respect of the rent. The Magistrate issued a summons to him, whereby it was recited that a plaint had been preferred against him (*A.*) for not paying the sum of Rs262 for arrears of rent, and *A.* was summoned to appear before the Magistrate to answer the charge. *A.* did not appear on the day appointed, but had an application presented for postponement of the demand for arrears of rent, on the grounds therein stated. On the following day the Magistrate passed the following order: "Whereas the debtor, defendant, has not appeared in person, the summons has not been obeyed; therefore it is ordered that a warrant be issued for the arrest of the defendant." Proceedings were afterwards taken upon the warrant. *Held* that all the proceedings taken by the Magistrate were irregular and must be set aside, the defendant undertaking not to take legal proceedings for anything done under the order or warrant. *IN THE MATTER OF BANKA BIHARI GHOSH*

[**2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 11 W. R., Cr., 26**

10. ——— Issue of warrant.—Complaint on oath.—Report of police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, ss. 68 and 155.—In cases in which the police cannot arrest without a warrant, a warrant cannot legally be issued by a Magistrate except on a complaint made on oath (or under section 68 of the Criminal Procedure Code), whether such Magistrate is authorised to entertain cases either on complaint

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued.**2. CRIMINAL CASES—continued.****Issue of warrant—continued.**

directly to himself or on the report of a police officer. *REG. v. JAFAR ALI* . . . **8 Bom., Cr., 113**

11. Arrest on report of policeman for offence for which arrest without warrant might be made.—Where a policeman in whose sight a theft was committed arrested the thief, and being himself unable to take or send the accused to a Magistrate, sent a report, on which the Magistrate issued a warrant,—*Held* that, under these circumstances, the accused was legally brought before the Magistrate. *REG. v. MAHIPYA VALAD BOMYA MAHAR* . . . **5 Bom., Cr., 99**

12. Validity of warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code (X of 1872), s. 157.—Magistrate out of jurisdiction.—Extradition.—It was not essential to the validity of a warrant issued under section 157 of Act X of 1872 that the Magistrate issuing it should be, at the time he issues it, within the local limits of his jurisdiction. He might issue such a warrant from a place in foreign territory. *REG. v. LOCHA KALA*

[**I. L. R., 1 Bom., 840**

13. ——— Procedure on warrant.—Act XII of 1867.—When a prisoner was arrested by the Sheriff under a writ of *ea, sa.*, it was necessary to bring him before the Court without delay, under section 14 of Act XII of 1867. *IN RE RAMCOOMAR DUTT* . . . **2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340**

14. Operation of warrant.—Detention of prisoner.—The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate. *MUTHOORA NATH CHUCKERBUTTY v. HEEBA LALL DOSS* . . . **17 W. R., Cr., 55**

A Magistrate, therefore, is not at liberty to retain an accused person in custody, except upon a proper remand made after taking sufficient evidence given on oath or solemn affirmation. *IN THE MATTER OF HOSSAIN* . . . **25 W. R., Cr., 8**

15. ——— Warrant issued to unofficial person.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 161.—Act XXV of 1861, s. 77.—Under section 77 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a Magistrate ought not to issue a warrant to an unofficial person, except when he is without the assistance of competent police officers, and unless the urgency is imminent. The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate, and the prisoner cannot lawfully be committed to prison or remanded without sufficient grounds; and in the absence of evidence there can be no grounds. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SUBENDRONATH ROY. QUEEN v. SUBENDRONATH ROY*

[**5 B. L. R., 274: 13 W. R., Cr., 27**

16. Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 68.—Act X of 1872, ss. 142 and 150.—Detention of accused.—A warrant issued under section 68, which was a warrant

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued.**2. CRIMINAL CASES—continued.****Operation of warrant—continued.**

of arrest as described under section 76 (Form B); is only for the purpose of bringing an accused person before the Magistrate. It was not a warrant for commitment, and did not authorise the detention of a person longer than is necessary for his production before the Magistrate. To detain him further there must be a fresh warrant under section 222, charging the prisoner with some offence, on evidence taken on oath or affirmation, and in the presence of the accused. **IN THE MATTER OF MAHES CHANDRA BANERJEE, QUEEN v. PURNA CHANDRA BANERJEE, QUEEN v. KALI SIKKAR**

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

17. *Detention of accused.—Order sanctioning detention for indefinite period.—Remand of accused.—Held* that the order of a Magistrate sanctioning the detention by the police of an accused person for an indefinite period is illegal. At the expiration of twenty-four hours from the time of arrest, the accused must be brought before a Magistrate, who could then remand for a period not exceeding fifteen days, under section 224 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861. No remand without a hearing can last for a longer period. **REG. v. SUBEYA VALAD DHAKU . . . 5 Bom., Cr., 31**

18. *Form of warrant.—Omission to seal warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 76.—Requisites of good warrant.—A warrant issued under section 76 of the Code of Criminal Procedure should be sealed, should describe the person to be apprehended under it with reasonable particularity, so that there may be no difficulty in establishing his identity, and should be subscribed with the name and full official title of the Magistrate issuing it. Where a warrant was defective in all the above particulars, the prisoner apprehended under it was released by the High Court. **IN RE HASTINGS . . . 9 Bom., 154***

19. *Act XIII of 1856, s. 58.—Error in warrant not affecting conviction.—A warrant issued under section 58 of Act XIII of 1856 should be addressed to some one or more inspectors, and not generally to "all constables and peace officers." Where a warrant in the latter form was executed under the direction of an inspector, it was held that the error in the form of the warrant was merely an error of procedure, and did not affect the validity of the conviction, under section 57, of persons apprehended in pursuance of the warrant so executed. **REG. v. NANA MOROJI. IN RE MADHAV MORAR . . . 8 Bom., Cr., 1***

20. *Warrant not containing specification of offence.—A warrant which did not specify a punishable offence, and which had been issued upon a statement not sufficient to make out any offence, quashed. **IN RE BIDHUMUKHI DEBI . . . 6 B. L. R., Ap., 129***

S. C. BIDHMOOKHER DABER v. SREENATH HALDAR . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 4

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued.**2. CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**

21. *Informality in warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 404.—Power of High Court.—Irregularity in process of arrest and attachment.—The High Court was not empowered to interfere under the provisions of section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, until there has been a judicial proceeding by a Magistrate. A person complaining of irregularity of process issued for his arrest and for the attachment of his property, before applying to the High Court under section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, should make application to the Magistrate issuing such process for his discharge and the release of his property, on the ground of the informality of the warrants. **QUEEN v. BISHESHVAR PERSHAD***

[2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 236

22. *Mode of arrest in foreign territory or out of jurisdiction.—Warrant of arrest for contempt of Court.—The High Court of Bombay will not send a special bailiff into the territories of the Gaikwar of Baroda to arrest a defendant who has been guilty of a contempt of Court, but the Court will send a special bailiff for such purpose beyond the local limits of the High Court to a place within the Presidency of Bombay. **HARIYALLABHDAS KULLIANDAS v. UTA MOHAND MANIKCHAND***

[7 Bom., O. C., 172

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.

1. *Extent and operation of warrant.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 17 and 49.—Acceptance of service and appearance.—Act XX of 1862, s. 7.—A warrant of attorney to the attorney of a defendant to receive a declaration or plaint, &c., in any action or suit to be brought for the recovery of certain moneys, and to confess the same action or suit, or else to suffer or consent to a judgment or decree in the said action or suit by default, or in any other way to pass or be pronounced against the defendant, empowered the attorney to accept service and appear for the defendant within the meaning of sections 17 and 49 of Act VIII of 1859. Held that section 7 of Act XX of 1862 referred only to warrants of attorney for the entering up of judgments in the High Court which were in existence before the 1st July 1862. **KHALUT CHUNDER GHOSH v. SARODASOONDREY DOSSEE . Bourke, O. C., 244***

2. *Limitation Act, 1859.—Entering up judgment.—The statute of limitation is no answer to a rule nisi to enter up judgment on a warrant of attorney. **SOOJAN MULL v. HYDER JUNG BAHADOOR . . . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58***

WARRANT OF COMMITMENT.

*Signature of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 303.—The signature of a Magistrate to a warrant of commitment under section 303 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, should not be affixed by a stamp. **SUBRAMANAYA v. . . . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 396***

WARRANT OF EXECUTION.

1. ——— Extension of time for operation of warrant.—*Act X of 1859, s. 88.—Jurisdiction.*—Where a warrant of execution under Act X of 1859, section 88, was extended for four days after a particular day, when the original warrant was not sixty days old, in order that more moveable property might be pointed out,—*Held* that, until the time so extended had elapsed, an order for sale of immovable property was without jurisdiction. *NABI BAX v. DIDAR BAX SHAH*

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 10: 11 W. R., 326

2. ——— Return of warrant.—*Public servant.—Resistance to public servant.—Penal Code, s. 183.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 251.*—A person was convicted under section 183 of the Penal Code for offering resistance to the attachment of property by a public servant. The offence was committed on the 4th of February 1883, but the warrant under which the public servant acted was returnable on or before the previous day. *Held* that the conviction was bad. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ANAND LALL BERA. *ANAND LALL BERA v. EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF AZIM PRON*. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209

3. ——— Irregularity in warrant.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 222.—Civil Procedure Code, 1877, 1882, s. 251.*—An execution sale of the right, title, and interest in land was set aside by the Court, on the ground that the warrant for the execution of the decree and order of attachment of the property sold had not been signed by the Judge, but by the Munsarim of the Court; and at a second sale the property was sold to other purchasers, who, as well as the judgment-debtor, were sued by the purchaser at the first sale for a declaration of his right to have the first sale confirmed. The High Court having held that, with reference to section 222 of Act VIII of 1859, the first sale had been rightly set aside, an appeal to the Judicial Committee was dismissed with costs. *RAM DAYAL v. MAHTAB SINGH*. I. L. R., 7 All., 506

WARRANTY, BREACH OF—

See CHARTER-PARTY.

[8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 136

See CONTRACT—BREACH OF CONTRACT.

[8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 136

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 78.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—BREACH OF WARRANTY.

WARRANTY OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—PURCHASERS, TITLE OF—GENERALLY.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—RIGHTS OF PURCHASERS. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—BREACH OF WARRANTY.

WARRANTY OF TITLE—continued.

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—CAVEAT EMPTOR.

WASHERMAN.

See MADRAS TOWNS IMPROVEMENT ACT, 1871, s. 1. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174

WASTE.

See HINDU LAW—ALIENATION—ALIENATION BY WIDOW—SETTING ASIDE ALIENATIONS, AND WASTE.

[I B. L. R., A. C., 27

5 B. L. R., 508

6 B. L. R., 747

B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48

8 W. R., 273

1 W. R., 258

2 N. W., 78

24 W. R., 86

3 B. L. R., 362

10 B. L. R., P. C., 1

9 W. R., 362

See HINDU LAW—REVERSIONERS—WHO MAY SUE. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 198

[6 Moore's I. A., 433

I. L. R., 9 Calc., 817

Marsh., 622

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 125 (1859, s. 1, CL. 16). 7 B. L. R., 131

1. ——— Limitation.—*Allegation of waste.—Prayer for protection from contemplated waste.—Held per PHEAR, J.*, that where a suit was one to prevent contemplated waste, it was not barred by lapse of time. *GROSE v. AMIRTAMAYI DAS*

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13

BISWANATH CHUNDER v. KHANTAMANI DAS

[7 B. L. R., 131

2. ——— Liability for waste.—*Hindu widow; liability for waste committed by her husband as administrator.*—In a suit against a widow individually, and not in her representative capacity, to recover plaintiff's share of property alleged to have been in her possession, the suit being one wherein defendant was charged with devastation in respect of such property only,—*Held* that defendant was not liable in that suit to be made answerable out of her husband's assets for any devastation which he might have committed. *STAVES v. DIAS*. 10 W. R., 444

WASTE LANDS.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—MIRASIDARS. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205

See SETTLEMENT—RIGHT TO SETTLEMENT. [4 Mad., 429

See SETTLEMENT—SUBJECTS OF SETTLEMENT. 1 Mad., 12, 407

See VALUATION OF SUIT—SUITS.

[7 W. R., 349

1. ——— Presumption from land lying waste.—*Evidence as to possession.*—The fact of

WASTE LANDS.—Presumption from land lying waste—continued.

land lying waste does not of itself show that no one is in possession. **MAHOMED ALI v. SHURUM ALI** [8 W. R., 422]

2. ——— Ownership of waste land.—Presumption as to possession.—Where land is waste and there is no visible sign of occupation, the possession must be taken to go with the right, and the right is *prima facie* in the zemindar of the estate to which the waste land belongs. **WOODWANT MAHTOON v. HUNOOMAN PERSHAD SINGH** [22 W. R., 419]

3. ——— Possession of waste land.—Limitation.—Presumption.—Proof of title.—There may be such possession of waste lands as to protect a suit from being barred by limitation; and where the question of possession is doubtful, a presumption will arise in favour of the party who proves title. **MAHOMED BASSIR v. KUREEM BUKSH** . 11 W. R., 268

4. ——— Possession, Presumption of, from evidence of title.—In disputes as to the right to possession of waste and jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have title has also possession. **RAM BANDHU v. KUSU BHATTU** [5 C. L. R., 481]

5. ——— Title to uncultivated or jungle lands.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—Acts of ownership.—If adverse possession for a sufficiently long time is proved, the title of a person to uncultivated or jungle land may be barred by limitation in the same manner and to the same extent as in the case of cultivated land; the evidence of possession being the exercise of such acts of ownership as would ordinarily be exercised over property of that nature. **MITTERJEET SINGH v. RADHA PERSHAD SINGH** . 23 W. R., 368

See **WATSON v. GOVERNMENT**

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3 W. R., 73]

6. ——— Right to use of waste land.—Permissive use of, by tenants.—Right of landlord to erect building on.—Works of permanent character executed by licensee.—Easements Act, V of 1882, ss. 60, 61.—In a suit by a zemindar to have his right declared to build a house on some waste land in the mouzah, the defendants, who were tenants in the mouzah, resisted the claim, on the ground that they had built wells and water courses on the land, and had a right also to use it as a threshing-floor and for stacking cow-dung. *Held* that the defendants having acquired no right adverse to the plaintiff as owners, by prescription or otherwise, in the land, their right of use could only be as licensees of the plaintiff; and although he could not interfere with their right to the wells, which were works of a permanent character, and on which the defendants had incurred expenses, he could revoke the license as to the other use claimed of the land, and his claim to build the house should therefore be decreed. **LAND MORTGAGE BANK OF INDIA v. MOTI**

[I. L. R., 8 All, 64]

WASTE LANDS—continued.

7. ——— Act XXIII of 1863, s. 5.—Suit to contest sale.—Where the Collector failed to give notice of his intention to dispose of the estates, it was not incumbent on the plaintiff to contest the sale within the period prescribed by section 5, Act XXIII of 1863. **HIMMUT SINGH v. COLLECTOR OF Bijnour** . 2 Agra, 268

8. ——— Act to reclaim waste lands.—Suit to contest award by Board of Revenue.—Extension of time.—Institution of suit.—The Court cannot extend the period of thirty days allowed by section 5, Act XXIII of 1863, for preferring a suit to contest an award by the Board of Revenue. The filing of a vakalatnamah is not an institution of such a suit. **TARANATH DUTT v. COLLECTOR OF SYLHET**

[5 W. R., Waste Land Court Ref., 1]

9. ——— ss. 8, 18.—Suit for possession.—Statute, Interpretation of.—Where an Act expressly takes away one particular remedy which would otherwise have been open for enforcing a right of property, or in any other particular interferes with proprietary rights, but does not, in express words or by necessary implication, declare that those rights shall cease, the method of interpretation which ought to be adopted is to give effect to the Act exactly so far as its words extend, and no further. There is nothing in Act XXIII of 1863 to prevent a person who has a good title and has throughout been in possession, or who has a good title, and at any time succeeds in peaceably getting possession, and is not ousted in a possessory suit, or who for any other reason is in the advantageous position of a defendant, from defending his rights, notwithstanding any sale which the Government may have professed to make under the Waste Lands Act. *Quere*,—Whether the terms of the Act are not sufficiently satisfied by making it apply to waste lands of Government, and by understanding the claims and objections mentioned in the Act as claims in respect of Government land, and objections with the same limitation. **KRISTO CHUNDER DASS v. STEEL**

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 279]

10. ——— s. 18.—Suit for compensation for land wrongly sold as waste.—A purchaser of land sold as waste land under Act XXIII of 1863 cannot be compelled to grant a pottah to a person alleging himself to have been in occupation of the land before the sale. If the claimant has omitted to come in in due time to stay the sale, and the land has actually been sold, his only remedy is by a suit under section 18 for compensation, making the Collector a defendant. **MAGUN POLLAN v. MONEY**

[7 W. R., 474]

WATER, ESCAPE OF, LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE DONE BY—

See **EMBANKMENTS.**

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 776]

WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF—

See **CASES UNDER PRESCRIPTION—EASEMENTS—RIGHT TO WATER.**

WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF
—continued.*See* CASES UNDER RIGHT TO USE OF WATER.**WATER-SUPPLY, CAUSING DIMINU-
TION OF—***See* MISCHIEF . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 262
[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183]**WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUD-
ULENT USE OF—***Penal Code, s. 266.—Fraudulent intention.*—The mere possession of weights in excess of the authorised standard will not support a conviction under section 266 of the Penal Code; a fraudulent intent must be charged and proved. *REG. v. DAMO DHAR DALJI*
[1 Bom., 181]*GOVERNMENT v. KANGALEE MUDUR*

[18 W. R., Cr., 7]

WHARFINGER.*See* BILL OF LADING.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 736]

WHIPPING.*See* SENTENCE—WHIPPING.

[Bourke, O. C., 269]

5 Mad., Ap., 18

I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158

1. ——— Juvenile offenders.—*Act VI of 1864, s. 3.*—Section 3 of Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act) applies to juvenile as well as to adult offenders. *REG. v. KUSA VALAD LAKSHMAN*
[7 Bom., Cr., 70]**2. ——— First conviction of adults.**—*Substituted punishment.*—In the case of adults on a first conviction, or in the case of juvenile offenders whether for a first offence or otherwise, whipping can only be in lieu of, and not added to, any other punishment. *QUEEN v. ABDUOL*
[W. R., 1864, Cr., 38]*QUEEN v. KANTIRAM* . . . 1 W. R., Cr., 24*QUEEN v. TONAKOCH* . . . 2 W. R., Cr., 63*QUEEN v. AMARUT* . . . 4 W. R., Cr., 20**3. ——— Act VI of 1864.**—Under Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act), a juvenile offender means a person under the age of sixteen years. *REG. v. MUHAMMAD ALI VALAD ABDUL ALI*
[8 Bom., Cr., 9]**4. ——— Act XI of 1864, ss. 5, 10.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, s. 392.*—By the term "juvenile offender" in section 5, Act VI of 1864 (Whipping Act), is meant an offender under the age of sixteen years. *Reg. v. Muhammad Ali*, 8 Bom., Cr., 9, referred to. *EMPRESS v. DIN ALI*
[I. L. R., 6 All., 482]**5. ——— Sentence of whipping when allowable.**—*Act VI of 1864, s. 4.—Offence after previous conviction.*—The punishment of whipping**WHIPPING.—Sentence of whipping when allowable—continued.**under section 4, Act VI of 1864, can only be inflicted on a second conviction of a person who, having served a sentence of imprisonment, again commits a crime. *QUEEN v. UDAI PATNAIK*

[4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. R., Cr., 68]

6. ——— Offence after previous conviction.—*Previous conviction not shown.*—On a reference by a Sessions Judge under section 434 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a sentence of whipping in addition to one of rigorous imprisonment in the case of an offence specified in section 2 of Act VI of 1864 was annulled, as the offence was not committed after previous conviction. *REG. v. SURYA BIN KRISHNA MANDAVKAR* . . . 3 Bom., Cr., 38*REG. v. BABJI VALAD BAPU* . . . 4 Bom., Cr., 5**7. ——— Act VI of 1864, s. 3.**—*Second conviction for offence committed before first conviction.*—Section 3 of Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act) does not apply to cases in which the second conviction is for an offence committed previously to the first conviction. *REG. v. KUSA VALAD LAKSHMAN* . . . 7 Bom., Cr., 70**8. ——— Previous conviction.**—A sentence of whipping founded on a previous conviction of the prisoner is only warranted where the subsequent conviction is for the same specific offence as that in respect of which the previous conviction applied. *ANONYMOUS* . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 1*ANONYMOUS* . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 39**9. ——— Theft in dwelling-house.**—*Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Previous conviction of theft.*—A prisoner convicted of "theft in a dwelling-house" who has previously been convicted of "simple theft" is not thereby rendered liable to whipping, under Act VI of 1864, section 3. *REG. v. CHANGIA VALAD SHUMIA* . . . 7 Bom., Cr., 68**10. ——— Act VI of 1864, s. 7.**—*Conviction of dishonestly receiving stolen property.—Previous conviction for theft.*—P. was convicted by a Magistrate of the first class of dishonestly receiving stolen property. He confessed on his trial that he had twice previously been convicted of theft. He was sentenced to be whipped, to be rigorously imprisoned, and, on the expiration of the term of imprisonment, to furnish security for good behaviour. *Held* that the offence of theft not being the same offence as that of dishonestly receiving stolen property, the punishment of whipping was illegal. *EMPRESS v. PARTAP*

[I. L. R., 1 All., 666]

11. ——— Conviction of separate offences.—*"House-breaking to commit theft," and "theft."*—*Whipping Act, VI of 1864, s. 2.*—Where a prisoner convicted of "house-breaking in order to commit theft," and of "theft," both offences being portions of one continuous criminal act, was sentenced on the first head of charge to one year's rigorous imprisonment, under section 457 of the Penal Code, and on the second head of charge

WHIPPING.— of whipping when allowable—*continued*.

to receive twenty stripes, under section 2 of the Whipping Act (VI of 1864), the separate sentences (though not illegal) were disapproved of, as contrary to the spirit and intention of the Whipping Act. *REG. v. GENU BIN AKU* . . . 5 Bom., Cr., 83

12. *Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Conviction of theft.*—A sentence of whipping cannot, with reference to Act VI of 1864, section 7, be passed on a conviction for theft under section 379, Penal Code, as the former section only provides for sentences of imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years. *QUEEN v. ESAN CHUNDER DRY* [21 W. R., Cr., 40

13. *Attempt at house-breaking with view to theft.*—In the case of a conviction of attempting to commit house-breaking by night with intent to commit theft, a sentence of whipping was annulled as being illegal. *REG. v. YELLA VALAD PARSHIA* . . . 3 Bom., Cr., 37

14. *Substitution of whipping for other punishment.—Sentence.—Theft.*—Whipping may be substituted for any other punishment for the offence of theft in a dwelling-house. *QUEEN v. JUNGHOO KHAN* . . . 3 W. R., Cr., 36

15. *Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Whipping in addition to other sentences.*—A sentence of whipping passed on a person who is already under sentence of death, or transportation, or penal servitude, or imprisonment for more than five years, is illegal. If the sentence of whipping precede, instead of follow, the other sentence, the passing of the latter sentence renders the infliction of the whipping illegal. *ANONYMOUS CASE* [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 56

16. *Act VI of 1864.—Power of Magistrate.*—When a Magistrate, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 46 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, passed a cumulative sentence against a person convicted at one and the same time of two or more offences punishable under the Penal Code,—*Held per* PEACOCK, C. J., and PHEAR and SETON-KARR, J. J., that he could not, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, sentence the prisoner to whipping under Act VI of 1864; nor could he exceed twice the extent of his ordinary jurisdiction as defined by section 22 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861. *Held, further, per* SETON-KARR, J., that, in the case of hardened offenders, a Magistrate can award whipping in addition to the maximum of imprisonment which he is competent to award. *Held per* MACPHERSON and JACKSON, J. J., that the Magistrate may, in such case, in addition to awarding double the punishment which may be awarded for a single offence, award the punishment of whipping; but only one whipping can be awarded. *NASSIR v. CHUNDER*

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951: 9 W. R., Cr., 41

RUTTUN BEWA v. BURUR. JHOWLA v. BURUR [14 W. R., Cr., 7

WHIPPING.—Sentence of whipping when allowable—*continued*.

17. *Act VI of 1864.—Penal Code, ss. 325, 342, 378.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 46.—Cumulative sentences.*—Where the prisoner was convicted by the Magistrate of three distinct and separate offences, and was sentenced to a month's imprisonment for the offence of wrongful confinement under section 342, six months' imprisonment for the offence of voluntarily causing grievous hurt under section 325, and to whipping with twenty stripes for the offence of theft under section 378 of the Penal Code, it was held (KEMP and PHEAR, J. J., dissenting) that the sentence was legal. Where a person is convicted at the same time of two or more offences punishable under the Penal Code,—*Held* (KEMP and PHEAR, J. J., dissenting) that it is lawful for the Court, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, to sentence the prisoner to whipping. *NASSIR v. CHUNDER, B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951*, not followed. *MANIRUDDIN v. GAUR CHANDRA SHAMADAR* [7 B. L. R., F. B., 165: 15 W. R., Cr., 89

18. *Magistrate of second class under Criminal Procedure Code, 1872.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), ss. 2 and 32.*—A person appointed a Magistrate of the second class under Act X of 1872 is incompetent, since the coming into force of Act X of 1882, to pass a sentence of whipping, unless he is specially empowered so to do according to the provisions of section 32 of the latter Act. *EMPRESS v. BHAGVANTA RAVJI* [I. L. R., 7 Bom., 303

19. *Whipping in addition to imprisonment.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 305, 310.*—In passing a sentence of whipping in addition to six months' imprisonment, a Deputy Magistrate ordered that the prisoner should be brought before him at the termination of the imprisonment, and that the sentence of whipping should then be carried out. On the recommendation of the Sessions Judge (who referred to sections 305 and 310, Act X of 1872), the High Court cancelled the sentence of whipping as having become inoperative and incapable of being carried out. *HUR CHUNDR KULAL v. JAFER ALI* . . . 20 W. R., Cr., 72

20. *Grounds for sentence of whipping.—Statement of grounds in judgment.*—When a sentence of whipping is imposed the grounds for that punishment should be stated on the judgment. *BADIYA v. QUEEN*. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158

21. *Previous convictions. Proof of.—Kyfeut.*—As a rule, before flogging is given as an additional punishment, there ought to be formal evidence upon the record of the previous convictions relied on. The conviction and identity of the prisoner ought to be proved in the regular way: a mere kyfeut is no evidence whatever. *QUEEN v. NUZZE NUSHYO* . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 52

22. *Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping.—Stay of sentence, Grounds for.—Act VI of 1864, ss. 11 and 12.—Meaning of the*

WHIPPING.—Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping—continued.

words "execution shall be stayed" in Act VI of 1864, section 11. Sections 11 and 12 together mean that a man sentenced to whipping is not to be whipped unless in a fit state to bear it; the whipping should not be commenced, but if it be commenced it is not to be continued longer than the man is fit to bear it; and then the sentence has been satisfied, for it cannot be executed by instalments. ANONYMOUS

[3 Mad., Ap., 1

23. Time after sentence within which whipping may be given.—*Act VI of 1864, s. 9.*—A sentence of flogging cannot be carried out after the expiry of the limit of fifteen days from date of sentence provided in section 9 of Act VI of 1864. ANONYMOUS . 6 Mad., Ap., 38

This ruling was held to be applicable to section 310 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872. ANONYMOUS . . . 7 Mad., Ap., 30

WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—ALIENATION—ALIENATION BY WIDOW.

See HINDU LAW—INHERITANCE—SPECIAL HEIRS—FEMALES—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—MAINTENANCE—RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—RIGHT TO PARTITION—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—SHARES ON PARTITION—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—REVERSIONERS.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—STRIDHAN.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW.

See PRE-EMPTION—RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION . . . I. L. R., 1 All., 452
[I. L. R., 6 All., 17
I. L. R., 7 All., 860

Power of alienation.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW—POWER OF WIDOW—POWER OF DISPOSITION OR ALIENATION.

— Power of disposition of—

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW—POWER OF WIDOW—POWER OF DISPOSITION OR ALIENATION.

— Purchase by—

See BENAMI TRANSACTION—ONUS OF PROOF . . . 6 B. L. R., 303

— Refusal or omission to adopt.

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—OMISSION OR REFUSAL TO ADOPT . . . 3 B. L. R., O. C., 85

WIDOW—continued.**— Rights of—**

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—INHERITANCE.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702
17 W. R., P. C., 108
11 Bom., 104

WIDOWS INHERITING JOINTLY.

See HINDU LAW—INHERITANCE—SPECIAL HEIRS—FEMALES—WIDOW.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290
1 Bom., 86
1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59
3 Mad., 288, 424
I. L. R., 2 Mad., 184
I. L. R., 7 All., 114

See HINDU LAW—PARTITION—RIGHT TO PARTITION—WIDOW.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290
3 Mad., 424
6 B. L. R., 134
I. L. R., 2 Mad., 184

WIFE.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—CONTRACT—HUSBAND AND WIFE.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—RIGHT TO PARTITION—WIFE.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—SHARES ON PARTITION—WIFE.

See HUSBAND AND WIFE.

— Action for harbouring—

See RESTITUTION OF CONJUGAL RIGHTS.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 164

— Custody of—

See HABEAS CORPUS . 13 B. L. R., 160

See HINDU LAW—GUARDIAN—RIGHT OF GUARDIANSHIP . . . 23 W. R., 178

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—CUSTODY OF WIFE . . . 5 B. L. R., 557
[13 B. L. R., 160

— Evidence of, against husband.

See EVIDENCE—CRIMINAL CASES—HUSBAND AND WIFE.
[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11
7 Bom., Cr., 50

— Maintenance of—

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—MAINTENANCE—RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE—WIFE.

— separated from husband, Liability of, for debts.

See HINDU LAW—CONTRACT—HUSBAND AND WIFE . . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 121

WILD ANIMALS.

1. ——— Animals *feræ naturæ*.—*Escape of wild animals kept in confinement.*—Return

WILD ANIMALS.—Animals feræ naturæ
—continued.

or pursuit of such animals.—Wild animals are no longer the property of a man than while they continue in his keeping or actual possession; but if they regain their natural liberty, his property ceases until they have a mind to return, which is only to be known by their usual custom of returning, or are instantly pursued by their owner, for during such pursuit his property remains. *CHYTUN CHURN DOSS v. COLLECTOR OF SYLHET* . . . 21 W. R., 75

2. *Capture of wild elephant.—Right of owner of land where captured.*—*Right of finder.*—A wild elephant having fallen into a pit made by *K. N.* in his own land, was secured, removed, and tamed by *U. M.*, without the leave of *K. N.* Held that *K. N.* was the captor, and that *U. M.* acquired no property in the elephant. *MAKATH UNNI MOYI v. MALABAR KANDAPUNNI NAIR* [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 268]

3. *Escaped elephant.*
-*Ownership.*—*Recapture.*—A tame female elephant escaped from her master's field in company with a herd of wild elephants and resumed her natural wild habits. The owner-plaintiff abandoned his search after two months, and then offered a reward of R200 to any person who should recapture her. At the end of four months she was recaptured by the defendant, who was compelled to tame her in the same way as if she had been an ordinary wild elephant. Plaintiff offered the reward of R200 to the defendant and demanded the elephant, but the demand was refused. Held that under the circumstances the plaintiff had lost all claim to the animal. *PEAL v. CAMPBELL* [3 C. L. R., 515]

WILL.

	Col.
1. EXECUTION	6196
2. ATTESTATION	6196
3. FORM OF WILL	6199
4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL	6200
5. VALIDITY OF WILL	6200
6. INSPECTION OF WILL	6201
7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR	6201
8. CONSTRUCTION	6202

See CHARITABLE BEQUEST.

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 303

L. R., 3 I. A., 32

26 W. R., 1

I. L. R., 11 Calc., 591

See DOMICILE . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WILL.

See LAND TENURE IN CALCUTTA.

[1 Moore's I. A., 305, 399

See CASES UNDER MAHOMEDAN LAW—WILL.

See MORTGAGE—FORM OF MORTGAGES.

[I. L. R., 1 All, 753

See CASES UNDER PROBATE.

WILL—continued.**Exemplification of—**

See SUCCESSION ACT, s. 237.

[8 B. L. R., Ap., 76

Revocation of—

See HINDU LAW—WILL—NUNCUPATIVE WILLS . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626

See SUCCESSION ACT, s. 56.

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 158

Suit by person claiming under—

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 50.

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 73

—Statement of value of property in—

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

1. EXECUTION.

1. ——— *Succession Act, s. 50.—Signature of testator.—Nature of execution required.*—To entitle the executor to probate, the signature of the testator must be that of a conscious person, and not the results of mere mechanical movement of the hand. *KALEE TARA DOSSIA v. NOBIN CHUNDER* 21 W. R., 84

2. ATTESTATION.

2. *Directions as to attestation.*
—*Succession Act, s. 50.—Probate.*—An unprivileged will will not be recognised by the Court and admitted to probate unless executed in accordance with the directions contained in Part VIII, Act X of 1865, such directions being imperative and not merely declaratory. Held that the words "in the presence of the testator," in clause 3 of section 50 of the Succession Act, may receive the same construction that has been put upon them in the English Courts, but cannot receive larger interpretation. *ESAIAS v. GABRIEL* [3 N. W., 32

3. *Presence of witnesses.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.*—Where the testator does not himself sign the will, but some other person signs it in his presence and by his direction, then, besides this other person, there must be two witnesses who must sign the will in the presence of the testator. *In the goods of Roymoney Dossie, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150; and Hurro Sundari Dabia v. Chunder Kant Bhuttacharjee, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17, cited.* IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HEMLOTA DABER [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 296

S. C. GRISH CHUNDER BANERJEE v. HEMLOTA DEBI 11 C. L. R., 359

4. ——— *Attesting witness.—Succession Act, s. 50.—Signature made for testator by party afterwards attesting.*—The person making the signature of a will for the testator is not competent as an attesting witness of its execution under the provisions

WILL—continued.**2. ATTESTATION—continued.****Attesting witness—continued.**

of the Succession Act. *In the goods of Bailey, 1 Curt., 914; and Smith v. Harris, 1 Rob., 262*, distinguished. **IN THE GOODS OF NANABHAI SORABJI MESTRI. AVABAI v. PESTANJI NANABHAI**

[11 Bom., 87]

5. Mode of attestation.—Execution of will.—Wills Act, XXV of 1838, s. 7.—Section 7 of the Wills Act, XXV of 1838, enacts “that no will shall be valid unless it shall be in writing and executed in manner hereinafter mentioned: (that is to say) it shall be signed at the foot or end thereof by the testator or by some other person in his presence and by his direction, and such signature shall be made or acknowledged by the testator in the presence of two or more witnesses present at the same time; and such witnesses shall subscribe the will in the presence of the testator, but no form of attestation shall be necessary.” A testator signed his will in the presence of a witness who subscribed it in his presence, and some time afterwards, upon the arrival of another witness, the testator, in the joint presence of the former witness and the other subscribing witness, acknowledged his subscription at the foot of the will. The second witness then subscribed the will, and the first witness in his and the testator’s presence acknowledged his subscription but did not re-subscribe. *Held* by the Judicial Committee (affirming the decision of the Supreme Court at Calcutta) that the requirements of the Act had not been sufficiently complied with; it being necessary that both witnesses should be jointly present at the same act of the testator and jointly subscribe it in his presence. **CASEMENT v. FULTON**

[3 Moore’s I. A., 395]

6. Acknowledgment of signature by testator.—It is a sufficient acknowledgment by a testator of his signature to his will if he makes the attesting witnesses understand that the paper which they attest is his will, though they do not see him sign it, or observe any signature to the paper which they attest, provided that the Court is satisfied that the testator’s signature was on the will when the witnesses attested it. **MANICKHAI v. HORMASJI BOMANJI** . . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547

7. Sufficiency of attestation.—*Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Probate.—Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870), s. 2.*—By the Succession Act, section 50, no particular form of attestation is necessary: therefore, where, to a document purporting to be her last will and testament, the name of the testatrix was written by A., and the testatrix then in his presence affixed her mark, and A. in her presence wrote beneath it “by the pen of A.” and the testatrix was then identified to the Registrar, who was present, by B., who had seen her affix her mark to the document, and who in her presence put his signature as having identified her,—*Held* a sufficient attestation; and probate was granted. **IN THE GOODS OF ROYMONEY DOSSEE** . . . I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150

WILL—continued.**2. ATTESTATION—continued.****Sufficiency of attestation—continued.****8. Grant of probate.**

—*Signature.*—1 *Vict., c. 26 (Wills Act), s. 9.—Succession Act (Act X of 1865), s. 50.*—To the will of A., a British-born subject and a member of the Bengal Civil Service, who died in India possessed of personal property only, a native servant of the testator, purporting to attest the will, appended words in the Persian character signifying “this is A.’s signature.” *Held*, on an application for probate, that this was not a sufficient subscription of the will. *Semble*,—A signature by mark would be a sufficient signature to a will by a witness under the Succession Act. **IN THE GOODS OF WYNN** . . . 13 B. L. R., 392

9. Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870), s. 2.—Section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865) clearly intends that the two attesting witnesses to a will shall sign their names after the testator or testatrix shall have executed the will. *Bissonath Dinda v. Doyaram Jana, I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738; and Fernandez v. Alves, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382*, followed. If a testatrix admits a signature on a will to be hers before a Registrar of Assurances, and is identified before him by one of the witnesses to the signature, and both the Registrar and the identifier sign their names as witnesses to the admission made,—*Held* that such an attestation would be sufficient to satisfy section 50 of Act X of 1865. *In the goods of Roymoney Dossee, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150*, followed. **IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HURRO SUNDARI DABIA. HURRO SUNDARI DABIA v. CHUNDER KANT BHUTTACHARJEE**

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17; 6 C. L. R., 303]

10. Attesting witness, when he should sign.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—The signatures of two or more attesting witnesses to a will required by section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865) must be attached to the will after, and not before, the testator’s signing or affixing his mark to it. *Quare*,—Whether a will can be properly attested by a marksman. **BISSONATH DINDA v. DOYARAM JANA**

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738; 5 C. L. R., 565]

11. Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Witness.—Signature.—Mark.—The direction contained in section 50, clause 3, of the Succession Act (No. X of 1865) as to the signature of witnesses attesting an unprivileged will, is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks. It is necessary for the validity of a will that the actual signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses should appear on the face of the will. **FERNANDEZ v. ALVES**

[I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382]

12. Will attested by marksmen.—Witness.—Signature.—Mark.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—The direction contained in section 50, clause 3 of the Succession Act, as to each of the witnesses signing the will,

WILL—continued.

2.

Sufficiency of attestation—continued.

is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks, and it is necessary for the validity of a will that the signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses, should appear on the will. *Fernandez v. Alves, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382*, followed; *In the goods of Wynne, 15 B. L. R., 392*, dissented from. If a testator, on presenting his will for registration, admits a signature on the will to be his before a Registrar, and is identified before him by a witness, and both the Registrar and the identifier sign their names on the will as witnesses to the admission of the testator, such attestation is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of clause 3 of section 50, Act X of 1865. *In the matter of Hurro Sundari Dabia, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17*, followed. *NITTE GOPAL SIRCAR v. NAGENDRA NATH MITTER, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 429*

13. **Repudiation of signature by attesting witness.**—The mere fact of an attesting witness to a will repudiating his signature does not invalidate a will, if it can be proved by the evidence of other witnesses of a reliable character that he has given false evidence. If he does repudiate it uncontradicted, the mere fact of there being two witnesses purporting to have witnessed the testator's signature is not a compliance with section 50 of the Succession Act. *NUBO KISHORE DOSS v. JOY DOORGA DOSSEE, 22 W. R., 189*

14. **Forged attestations, Effect of.**—*Effect on title given under genuine portion of will.*—*C.*, under a power given to her by the will of *L.*, her husband, a Hindu, sold certain land to *B.* After the sale certain forged attestations were added to the will. In a suit brought by the heir of *L.* to recover the property sold by *C.* to *B.*, *B.* relied on the will which was produced by other defendants in the suit. *Held* that *B.*'s title could not be affected by the forgery. *PARAMMA v. RAMACHANDRA*

[*I. L. R., 7 Mad., 302*]**3. FORM OF WILL.**

15. **Buddhist will.—Probate.**—*Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 331.*—Probate may be granted of the will of a Buddhist made after the 1st January 1866. It is not necessary that the will of a Buddhist should be executed according to the formalities prescribed by the Succession Act. *IN THE MATTER OF KOKYA DINE*

[*2 B. L. R., A. C., 79; 10 W. R., 417*]

16. **Testamentary document.—Will to have effect on contingency.**—*Probate.*—*A.*, being ill and away from home, wrote to his brother *B.* certain directions as to the management of his property, and concluded: "Brother, if I die of this sickness and *C.* survive me, then whatever property I have you will give one half to *C.*," &c. In another and subsequent letter he wrote to *B.*: "I don't think that the illness I am now suffering from will terminate fatally; but in case I should die, then you will give to *C.* one half of my Company's papers," &c.

WILL—continued.**3. FORM OF WILL—continued.****Testamentary document—continued.**

"I appoint you turney (executor) in all matters relating to *C.*," &c. *A.* recovered from the fever, but died suddenly a year later, without having made any other testamentary disposition of his property. In a suit brought by *B.* as executor of *A.*, according to the tenor of these documents, against the widow of *A.*, for the purpose of having probate of them granted to him as of the will of the deceased,—*Held* (reversing the judgment of *MACPHERSON, J.*) that the documents were only intended to have a testamentary effect in the event of *A.*'s having died of the sickness he was suffering from at the time of writing, and therefore probate which had been granted by the Court at the original hearing was ordered to be brought in and cancelled. *KAMEENEE DOSSEE v. BISSONATH GHOSE, 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6*

17. **Codicil.—Probate, Application for.**—*Document referring to will.*—After letters of administration with the will annexed had been granted, the administrator found a book containing memoranda in the testator's handwriting, made after the date of the will, and directing certain dispositions of his property. One entry referred in express terms to the will. The testator was a domiciled Scotchman. *Held*, on a petition by the administrator, asking that the memoranda might be admitted to probate, that the memoranda were not testamentary documents, and the petition was therefore dismissed. *IN THE GOODS OF WEMYSS*

[*I. L. R., 4 Calc., 721*]**4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL.**

18. **Validity of nuncupative will.—Roman Catholics of Portuguese extraction.—Intestate succession.**—*Quære*,—Whether a Roman Catholic of Portuguese extraction can, under the law current amongst members of that church in Chittagong, take under a nuncupative will; and if not, to what is a wife entitled under the law regulating succession of intestates amongst members of that church? *REBEIRO v. REBEIRO, 3 W. R., 63*

5. VALIDITY OF WILL.

19. **Military testamentary document.—Application for probate.—Lapse of time.—Invalidity of will.**—A military testament valid in its inception may be deprived of its privilege by lapse of time. *IN RE GODBY, 1 Hyde, 196*

20. **Will of Cutchi Memon.—Will disposing of ancestral property.**—Wills made by members of the Cutchi Memon community, where by the testators disposed of property which was proved to be ancestral,—*Held* to be invalid. *MAHOMED SIDICK v. AHMED, ABDULA HAJI ABDSATAR v. AHMED, I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1*

21. **Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court.—English law.**—The provisions of the English law

WILL—continued.**5. VALIDITY OF WILL—continued.****Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court—continued.**

as to the administration of and succession to the estate of a British subject dying testate apply to the will of an East Indian testatrix (the illegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died without the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the High Court. *Hogg v. GREENWAY. GREENWAY v. Hogg*

[Cor., 97: Bourke, A. O. C., 111

22. **Will procured by importunity of wife.**—*Succession Act, s. 48.*—*Undue influence.*—The wife of the testator persuaded him to execute a will in supersession of a former will less favourable to her, but the influence which she exerted was not such as to deprive the testator of the exercise of his judgment and volition. *Held* that the conduct of the wife did not amount to undue influence. *MORISON v. ADMINSTRATOR GENERAL OF MADRAS* . . . **I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515**

23. **Proof of genuineness of will.**—*Registration and attestation.*—When a document propounded as a will is proved to have been executed and registered by the alleged testator, it is still essential to inquire into the circumstances connected with its execution and registration, when the will is inofficious and there are other suspicious matters connected with it. *KISTO CHUEN MOJOOMDAR v. DWARKA NATH BISWAS* . . . **10 W. R., 32**

6. INSPECTION OF WILL.

24. **Practice.**—*Application by next of kin of deceased.*—The Court, on the application of one who is next of kin of a deceased Hindu, ordered a person in possession of an alleged will of the deceased to bring in and deposit the same with the officer of the Court for the purpose of being inspected, and a copy thereof taken by such applicant. *IN THE GOODS OF BALKRISHNA GANPATJI* . **1 Bom., 114**

7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR.

25. **Procedure after renunciation.**—*Proof of execution of will in Court.*—*Administration accounts.*—A Hindu testator empowered his executor to lay out such portion of his estate as the executor might think fit towards charitable purposes, and did not dispose of the residue of the estate. The executor renounced, and no probate of the will or letters of administration, with the will annexed, was granted. In a suit by the testator's sole heir for construction of the will and for administration, the Court allowed the execution of the will to be proved in Court, declared that it was void for uncertainty, and directed the usual administration accounts to be taken. *SURBOMUNGOLA DABER v. MOHENDRONATH NATH* . **I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508**

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION.**

26. **Rules of construction.**—*Intention of testator.*—*Meaning of "purchase."*—The rule of construction applicable to a will is that words in general are to be taken in their ordinary and grammatical sense unless a clear intention to use them in another can be collected. If the language of a will is perfectly unambiguous and precise, it cannot be strained for the purpose of giving effect to what possibly might have been the intention of the testator, but is not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament. *G.*, by a clause in his will, gave his wife a life-interest in the house in his possession, and in those which he might afterwards "purchase." In *G.*'s lifetime his younger brother died, and *G.* thereby acquired a house by inheritance. *Held*, there being nothing to show that *G.* had used the word "purchase" in any other than its ordinary sense, that the language of the will could not be strained in order to give effect to what possibly might have been the testator's desire had he foreseen the death of his younger brother in his own lifetime, but was not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament, and that the house did not pass, under the will, to the testator's widow. *GEORGE v. GEORGE*

[6 N. W., 219

27. **Effect of words excluding from inheritance.**—*Heir-at-law.*—*A.*, a Parsi inhabitant of Surat, died there on the 13th February 1879, leaving him surviving the following relations, *viz.*: A daughter *J.* (the respondent) by his first wife, who had predeceased him; his second wife *Dhanbai*, who lived apart from him; his third wife, who had been divorced by him, and whose son *A.* he did not recognise as his own; and his three sisters *D.*, *S.*, and *G.*, the first-named of whom had been married to *K.*, and whose son *E.* was the appellant. By his will *A.* expressly directed that neither his daughter *J.* nor his widow *Dhanbai* should take any share of his property, the whole of which he bequeathed to his brother *R.*, who, however, predeceased him. *Held* that the use of mere negative words, unaccompanied by any effective disposition of his property, could not exclude his daughter *J.* or his widow *Dhanbai* from succeeding to their shares of the estate. *ERASHA KAIKHURU v. JERBAI*

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 537

28. **Commission of manager of estate how calculated.**—*Intention of testator.*—Other questions disposed of in the Court of first instance having remained undecided by the High Court, which dealt with the question of jurisdiction alone, were considered with reference to whether there had or had not been shown any good reason for reversing or varying the order of the original Court. Among those, the question whether the manager's commission was to be calculated on the gross rental of the estate, or on the income divisible among the sharers, was held to be settled by the indication of the latter mode of calculation in the will. *ORDE v. SKINNER*

[I. L. R., 3 All., 91: 7 C. L. R., 295
I. R., 7 I. A., 197

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****29. Armenian will.—Devise.—**

Absolute estate.—Estate for life.—An Armenian, by his will in the Bengali language, made a gift to his son in the following terms: "I bequeath to A. as salamati my talooks (which he named) and R6,000 in cash. He shall enjoy the profits of the aforesaid talooks. On his demise his sons shall get. The mooktears shall make over to the satisfaction of A." *Held* that the will was to be construed according to equity and good conscience, and not according to English law. The rule applicable was that, unless a contrary intention appeared, the estate given was an absolute one. A. took an absolute estate under the devise. *BROUGHTON v. POGOSE*

[12 B. L. R., 74; 19 W. R., 181]

30. Superstitious uses, English law against.—Application of English law to India.—*Semble*,—The English rule of law which prohibits the bequest of money for superstitious uses has no application in India. *JUDAH v. JUDAH*

[5 B. L. R., 433]

31. Bequest for performance of Masses.—Validity of bequest.—A bequest in a will of a sum of money for the performance of masses in Calcutta is valid. *ANDREWS v. JOAKIM*

[2 B. L. R., O. C., 148]

32. Validity of bequest.—Gift to superstitious uses.—A bequest by a Roman Catholic of Portuguese descent, born and domiciled in Calcutta, for the performance of masses, is not a gift to superstitious use. *DAS MERGERS v. CONES*

[2 Hyde, 65]

33. Legacy to attesting witness.—*Succession Act, s. 54.*—A legacy to the attesting witness of a will is void under section 54 of the Succession Act, whether or not the attestation of the witness is indispensable to the validity of the will. *ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL v. LAZAR*

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244]

34. Legacy to minor.—Absolute gift.—Discretion of executor.—Where there is an absolute bequest and power to executor to delay making over the legacy at discretion, *Held* that, on attaining majority, the legatee should at once be put in possession. *DE SILVA v. DE SILVA*

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16; Bourke, O. C., 281]

35. Domestic servant.—Legacy, Suit for.—Sirang.—The testator, a Hindu, made a will in the English form and language, in which he bequeathed (*inter alia*) as follows: "To each of my domestic servants in Calcutta who shall have been in my service ten years and upwards at the time of my death R100 for every rupee of monthly salary drawn by them from me respectively." The plaintiff had been in the service of the testator for about forty years as sirang on board a steamer which the testator kept on the river, and in which he used to visit his zemindaries and perform other journeys by water. The plaintiff was in the habit of daily attending at the

WILL—continued.**8.****Domestic servant—continued.**

testator's residence, and there obeying any orders that might be given him. If the steamer was not needed, the plaintiff used to attend at the testator's residence from early in the morning to about one in the afternoon, returning to take his meals and stop on board the steamer. *Held* that he was entitled to take under the legacy as a domestic servant of the testator. *DEANNO SIRANG v. UPENDRA MOHAN TAGORE*

8 B. L. R., 244

36.**Washerman.**

—*Held* on the evidence, that the plaintiff had failed to prove he was a domestic servant of the testator, so as to entitle him to take a legacy under this clause. *BHIM DAS v. UPENDRA MOHAN TAGORE*

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 4]

37.**Husband and wife.—Trustee.**

—*Sole use and benefit.* A testator made the following bequest in his will: "I give, devise, and bequeath to my dearly-beloved wife all the stock-in-trade, furniture, mourning coaches, horses belonging thereto, stones, marbles, tools, implements, and materials connected with my trade and business, and all my right and interest therein; and after payment of my debts and other expenses, I give, devise, and bequeath the rest and residue of my outstandings and collections for her sole use and benefit, with liberty to continue and carry on such trade and business." The testator's widow married a second husband, and they carried on the business of the deceased together. They afterwards separated, and she brought a suit against her husband for a declaration of her right under the will, and for an account from her husband of the profits, &c., of the business during their marriage. *Held* (reversing the decision of the Court below) that, on the true construction of the will, the stock-in-trade, &c., was not bequeathed to the wife for her sole and separate use independent of any future husband; her husband did not become a trustee for her in respect of such stock-in-trade or the profits of the business, and he was not bound to render an account. *ORD v. ORD*

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 53]

38.**Charitable bequest.—1**

quest for spiritual benefit.—Uncertainty.—Superstitious uses.—*N. E. J.*, a Hebrew merchant domiciled in Calcutta, and possessed of both real and personal property, died, leaving a will, by which, after appointing his mother, *K. E. J.*, and his brother, *J. E. J.*, executrix and executor thereof, and making various bequests and provisions, he made the following bequest of the residue of his property: "And what may remain after payment of the above-mentioned sums, as well as the debts, shall remain under the control of my brothers, *S. E. J.*, and *J. E. J.*, for the purpose of defraying therewith the expenses for the year, and making charitable distributions as commanded, and giving alms for my spiritual benefit according to their judgment." *Held*, assuming that the High Court should act in conformity with the English Court of Chancery in carrying out charitable

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Charitable bequest—continued.**

bequests, that, as far as the bequest related to giving of alms for the testator's spiritual benefit, it was void for uncertainty. The "defraying expenses and making charitable distribution" were limited by the bequest to the year within which the testator died. **JUDAH v. JUDAH . . . 5 B. L. R., 433**

39.**43 Eliz., c. 4.—**

Mortmain, Statutes of.—Hospital.—Clause prohibiting alienation.—A testator left his personal property to trustees in trust to pay thereout certain annuities to his son and daughter, and, after bequeathing some pecuniary legacies, devised certain immoveable property to the trustees in perpetuity in trust for the support of hospitals in the North-West Provinces, with directions that the surplus income (if any) from his personalty during the lives of his children, and on the death of either of them, his or her annuity, and on the death of both of them, the whole income of the personalty, should be applied in support of the hospitals. The will also contained a provision that the property should never be sold. In a suit for the construction, and for declaration of the trusts, of the will, it appeared that the income of the personalty was not more than sufficient, after payment of the legacies, to pay the annuities to the testator's children, and that the immoveable property was greatly in need of repairs and did not produce enough for the support of the hospitals, or to enable the trusts of the will relating thereto to be carried out. *Held* that the devise for the support of the hospitals was a valid devise, and one to which the Court would give effect, as being a charitable trust within the scope of 43 Elizabeth, Cap. 4. The Statutes of Mortmain not applying to India, the Court will carry out such a trust when the subject is immoveable property, just as it would if it had been personal property. *Held* also that, if the prohibition against sale were a valid one, the Court could not order a sale merely because it would be advantageous to the charity that the property should be sold; but *held* that the prohibition against sale was void as being repugnant to the devise, and, notwithstanding such prohibition, the trustees had power to sell, or otherwise alienate, the property for the purpose of maintaining the hospitals. **BROUGHTON v. MEEGER**

[14 B. L. R., 442]**40.****Void bequests.—**

Uncertainty.—"Surplus."—**General residuary bequest.**—A testator by his will directed as follows: "I do hereby direct my trustee to feed the really needy and poor at Gopeenathjee out of a separate expense out of my estate, to be contributed to the worship of Lukeejonardunjee, my ancestral goddess. I do direct my trustee to spend suitable sums for the annual gradhs or anniversaries of my father, mother, and grandfather, as well as of myself after my demise, for the performance of the ceremonies and the feeding of the Brahmins and the poor; to spend suitable sums for the annual contribution and gifts to the Brahmins, Pundits holding tolls for learning in the country at the time of the

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Charitable bequest—continued.**

Doorga Pooja; to spend suitable sums for the perusal of Mohabharat and Pooran and for the prayer of God during the month of Kartick. Should there be any surplus after the above expenditure, then I do hereby direct my trustee to spend the said surplus in the contribution towards the marriage of the daughters of the poor in my class and of the poor Brahmins, and towards the education of the sons of the poor amongst my class, and of the poor Brahmins, and other respectable castes, as my trustee will think fit to comply." *Held* that the gifts were valid testamentary bequests, and that the words "should there be any surplus after the above expenditure" created a general residuary bequest. *Held*, on appeal (affirming the decision of the Court below), that a general residuary bequest was created by the concluding words of the clause, which would absorb any of the preceding bequests, if they should happen to be invalid. **Quare**,—Whether the bequests to pundits holding tolls, and for the reading of the Mohabharat and Pooran and for prayer to God, were valid. **DWARAKANATH BYSACK v. BURBODA PEESAUD BYSACK . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443**

41.**Cyprès, Doctrine**

of.—A testatrix bequeathed the interest of a Government promissory note to "The Calcutta Armenian Orphans' College Funds for the Relief and Enjoyment of the Poor Families, Widows, Orphans, and Schools of the Armenian Nation," to be received half-yearly by the wardens of the funds for the time being. Although there was a charity in Madras, called "The Armenian Orphans' College," there was none in Calcutta or elsewhere answering the description of the Calcutta Armenian Orphans' College, but there were two, and only two, charitable institutions in Calcutta which provided for the relief and enjoyment of the poor families, widows, orphans, and schools of the Armenian nation. Of these, one, the Church of St. Nazareth, distributed money amongst, and gave relief to, the poor families, widows, and orphans of the Armenian community; and the other, the Armenian Philanthropic Academy, educated gratuitously the poor and orphans of the same community. The note was invested by order of the Court, and there had been a large accumulation of interest thereon. The governors of the two institutions concurred in asking that each should receive a moiety of the accrued and future interest of the fund. *Held* that the *cyprès* doctrine applied; that the accumulated interest should remain invested; but that the accruing interest on the accumulated fund should be paid half-yearly, one moiety to the wardens of St. Nazareth's Church, and the other to the managers of the Armenian Philanthropic Academy. **LONGBOTTOM v. SATOOE . . . 1 Mad., 429**

42.**Gift.—Cyprès,**

Doctrine of.—**Lapse of legacy.—Costs.**—Under the will of **A.**, who appointed the Administrator General of Bengal his executor, **B.** had a life-interest in the residue of the testator's estate. **B.** brought a suit against the Administrator General to have it declared

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Charitable bequest—continued.**

that a pecuniary legacy, given under the will, had lapsed and fallen into the residue. Prior to the hearing it was agreed between *B.* and the Administrator General that the costs of the suit should come out of the testator's estate; this agreement was embodied in a consent order obtained on the application of the plaintiff. The suit was dismissed, and this decision was affirmed on appeal. On the question of costs,—*Held* that the estate of the testator not being before the Court, the agreement as to costs could not be carried out, and that the plaintiff must pay the costs of all parties to the suit. *MALCHUS v. BROUGHTON*. . . *I. L. R.*, 13 Cal., 193

43.**Appointment of trustee.**

Failure to carry out wishes of testator.—Where a testator had made a bequest for charitable purposes, and had made no express provision for the management of the charitable trust so created, except by directing that, in the event of his heirs failing to carry out his wishes in respect of the trust fund, the Civil Court should take the fund and the management of the trust summarily into its own hands,—*Held* that, in the absence of misconduct, the widow, and not the Collector, was the proper person to be appointed trustee. *HORI DASI DABI v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL*

[*I. L. R.*, 5 Cal., 228; 4 C. L. R., 77

44.**Children.—Domicile.—Rules**

for interpretation.—*Accretions to property from rents.*—Where a testator has an ascertained domicile, the construction of his will must depend on the law of that domicile; but if no particular law is applicable, the will is to be interpreted by principles of natural justice. In such cases, in applying the rules of Hindu, Mahomedan, or English law to the wills of Hindus, Mahomedans, or East Indian Christians respectively, their particular habits and modes of life may be looked to as a guide to the interpretation. From the context of the will and surrounding circumstances, "children" may be interpreted as illegitimate children. Where by the will the income of estates was left to devisees for life, with a gift over of the *corpus* on their death, and a portion of the income, instead of being divided among the tenants-for-life, was applied to the purchase of other estates,—*Held*, those estates did not pass to the remaindermen, but formed the absolute property of the tenants-for-life, and passed to their devisees. *BARLOW v. ORDE*. . . 5 B. L. R., 1: 13 W. R., P. C., 41

[13 Moore's I. A., 277

45. ——— Contingent gift.—Puttro

poutradi. *Meaning of.*—*Absolute estate.*—A Hindu, *B. L. M.*, died in 1874, leaving a widow, *K. K. D.*, a daughter's daughter, *H. D. D.*, and a brother, *R. L. M.*, with whom he was on bad terms. By his will, which was made on the 9th of August 1870, and at a time when there was no reason to abandon all expectation of his leaving male issue of his own, *B. L. M.* directed that, in the event of his dying without leaving a son, grandson, or son's grandson, his widow

WILL—continued.**8.****Contingent gift—continued.**

K. K. D. should take the whole of his estate *according to the shastras*, and enjoy the profits thereof for her life, and that on her death, in the event of a daughter or daughters having been born to him, then she or they, and on the death of her or them, then her or their son or sons (the testator's daughter's sons) should in like manner take and become the owner or owners of the estate *according to the shastras*; and that in the event of there being no daughter or daughter's son of the testator living at the time of the death of his widow, then his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) *H. D. D.* should take the whole estate absolutely from generation to generation (*puttro poutradi*); and that, in the event of no son or daughter being born to the testator after the execution of his will, and of his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) *H. D. D.* dying childless, or being a barren or childless widow, or otherwise disqualified, then the whole of his property should go to the Government, to be employed by it for charitable and philanthropic purposes. The main object of the testator *B. L. M.* in making this disposition of his property was admittedly to exclude *B. L. M.* from the inheritance. *Held* that *H. D. D.*, if she survived the testator's widow *K. K. D.*, and was not then a barren or childless widow or otherwise disqualified, would take, not a life-interest, but an absolute estate, to the exclusion of *R. L. M.* *Held*, also, that the words "*puttro poutradi*" had generally the effect of defining the estate given as an estate of inheritance, and did not by themselves necessarily denote that the estate given was to be one descendible to heirs male only. *Held*, also, that in case of *H. D. D.* not surviving *K. K. D.*, or of her being at the time of the death of *K. K. D.* for any reason disqualified from taking the estate, then upon the death of *K. K. D.* the gift to the Government of the reversion to the exclusion of *R. L. M.* would take effect, and was a good and valid gift. *HORI DASI DABI v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL*

[*I. L. R.*, 5 Cal., 228; 4 C. L. R., 77

46.**Gift to children**

on their attaining 21.—Where words of contingency form part of the description of the class of persons to take, as in the case of a gift to those "who shall attain the age of 21," the words must receive their natural construction, and no estate vests in any one till he attains the prescribed age. In such a case there must be something in the context pointing to a different construction, or something in the will inconsistent with the literal construction, to justify a Court in adopting any but the literal construction. In the case of words of contingency occurring in the description of the class of persons to take, a mere gift over is not sufficient to change their meaning. *BALLIN v. BALLIN*

[*I. L. R.*, 7 Cal., 218; 8 C. L. R., 28

47.**Period of dis-**

tribution.—*Survivorship.*—A, a Hindu, made the following provisions by his will: "I have two sons living, *B.* and *C.*; they, and an infant son of my

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Contingent gift—continued.**

eldest son, the late *D.*, and my wife *E.* (four persons), shall succeed to the whole of my estate: these four persons will receive equal shares. If any of these four persons happen to die, which God avert, the survivor of them will receive this estate in equal shares; but if there be a son or a grandson surviving as the heir and representative of the party dying, such survivor shall succeed to his share: if there be a daughter or grand-daughter in the female line surviving, such survivor shall receive a share of the property; the expense of the marriage of such female child only shall be defrayed out of the estate:" and also provided that "so long as my infant grandson shall not have attained his majority, the whole of my estate shall remain undivided." All the persons named survived the testator. *Held* that they took absolute interests in the shares named, and that the estate became divisible on the infant son of *D.* attaining majority. *ELLOKASSEE DOSSEE v. DURPONARAIN BYSACK*. **1 L. R., 5 Calc., 59**

48.*Vesting of estate*

in executor.—Directions to executors, Effect of.—A testatrix, after appointing certain persons to be executors of her will in respect of the whole of her property, directed that they should "take possession of the whole of her property, and keep the same under their protection;" that they should pay out of her estate the charges of interment, &c.; that they should repair four houses annually out of the income thereof, having let them out to hire, and, after paying taxes and ground-rent, divide the proceeds every three months between the testatrix's two sons; that the executors should not give the rents to the creditor, because the bequest of the income to the sons was "not an entire gift to them, but a mere provision for their support." The will proceeded as follows: "Should my son *M.* happen to die before the decease of his wife, then I give the share of *M.* to his widow *H. M.*, &c., and after the death of *H. M.*, should my son *M.* not have left any legitimate male child, then I give the above share to my son *J.*, &c. After the death of *M.* (and his wife), should he have left legitimate male children, such male children shall in the same manner receive the income once in every three months till they attain the age of 21 years, and then the amount of their share shall be divided into equal portions, and each of them having become the owner of his portion shall receive the same from my executors; but if *H. M.* die before *M.*, and *M.* die without having had legitimate male children, then I give and bequeath the shares of my son *M.* to my son *J.* as a provision for his support, &c. If my sons *M.* and *J.* die without having male issue, and if their wives—that is to say, *H. M.* and *C. J.*—die without having male issue begotten by my sons, then I give my garden, &c., actually and entirely to the sons and daughter of my daughter *G.*, begotten by her first husband, *G. A.*,—that is, to *A. M. B.* and *N.*, or in case of their death, to their sons and daughters lawfully begotten, or to such of them as shall survive at the time. My said garden shall be divided into equal shares, and each of

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Contingent gift—continued.**

them having received his share in equal proportion as a legacy from me, shall enjoy the same." *M.*, and *H. M.* his wife, died without having left any children; *J.* died in the lifetime of *M. A.*, and one of the sons of *G.* died without leaving children in the lifetime of *H. M.* *Held, firstly*, that the direction to the executors to lease the property indefinitely and out of the income to make repairs, pay taxes and ground-rent, and apply the rent to the maintenance of the sons, was sufficient to vest the legal estate in the trustees. *Secondly*,—that such estate was an estate in fee. *Thirdly*,—that the children of *G.* took equitable estates in remainder in fee, defeasible in case of their death in the lifetime of the first taker for life, in which event there was substituted a devise to their children in fee. *Fourthly*,—that the children of *G.* took as tenants in common, and not as joint tenants, and therefore, that as there was nothing from which cross remainders between the children of *G.* could be implied, the share of *A.* reverted to the heir-at-law of the testatrix. *Fifthly*,—that wherever any estate in fee is devised to a trustee in trust without any limitation of the estate of the *cestui que trust*, the latter takes the beneficial estate in fee. *SHIROOEE v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL*. **1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50**

49.*Gift to children.*

—A testator, after providing for payment of debts, &c., directed that the whole of his property should be disposed of and the proceeds placed in the Oriental Bank, with power to the executors to invest the same in mortgages, and to leave existing mortgages untouched. The will then contained this direction: "That a monthly stipend of **R15** be paid to my daughter *E. S.* for her own benefit, and **R20** for the benefit of her two children (during their minority), and in the event of the demise of any of the said children occurring, the sum of **R10** to cease rateably as being the allowance for each child; that on each of the children attaining their age of majority, I request that my executors pay to each of them severally and proportionably the full amount of interest accruing from my estate (the existing provision for my two daughters to continue during their natural life), and after their demise the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession." *Held, firstly*,—that a direction to pay a monthly stipend to *E. S.* and *M. D.* respectively was simply a charge upon the testator's estate to pay the said stipends to *E. S.* and *M. D.* for their respective lives. *Secondly*,—that *E. S.* and *M. D.* were severally entitled during the lifetime and minority of their children to a monthly stipend of **R10** in respect of each child, such payment to cease upon the death of each child or on its attaining majority, till which latter event the said children took no interest under the will. *Thirdly*,—each child upon attaining its majority took a share of the residue, proportioned to the number of children then living, and a contingent proportionate interest in the shares of each of the other children which would become vested on the death of each one dying under twenty. *Fourthly*,—

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Contingent gift—continued.**

the limitation of the gift "during their natural life and after their demise, the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession," did not prevent the children from taking their several shares absolutely under the will. *Semble*.—The rule in *Wild's case*, 6 Rep., 17, is not applicable to personality. *AGNEW v. MATHEWS*

[1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 74: 1 Mad., 17

50.**Absolute gift.—Restriction on**

legatees.—Enjoyment.—Residuary estate.—Where a testator leaves a legacy absolutely as regards his estate, but restricts the mode of the legatee's enjoyment to secure certain objects for the benefit of the legatee, and where such objects fail, the absolute gift prevails, and does not fall into the residue of the testator's estate. Therefore, where a testator gave legacies to certain of his grandsons and granddaughters, but nevertheless declared that such legacies should be held upon trust (as to the legacies to the grandsons) to invest the same and to apply the income during the minority of the legatee towards his maintenance and education, and upon his attaining the age of 21 years to pay him the income during his lifetime, and after his death to pay such income unto the widow of such grandson, and after the death of both of them to transfer the capital unto the child or children of such grandson as being a son or sons should attain the age of 21 years, or being a daughter should attain that age or marry, in equal shares as tenants-in-common; and where the testator especially provided as to the legacy left to one grandson that upon the happening of certain events it should be paid to his other grandchildren, —Held that the gift to the grandsons were absolute, and that the subsequent provisions were simply a qualification of the gifts for the benefit of the legatees; and that, therefore, upon the death of one of the grandsons unmarried, his legal representative was entitled to the legacy left to him. *ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL v. APCAR*

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 553

51.**Proviso for cesser.—Condi-**

tion.—Conditional limitation.—Breach of condition.—Residence.—*P. C. T.*, a Hindu, died leaving an only son, *G. M. T.*, and having first made his will in the English form, whereby, after declaring that he had already made sufficient provision for his son *G. M. T.*, and that *G. M. T.* was to take nothing under the will, he gave all his property to trustees, upon trust, as to the personal estate, "to collect and get in the same" with certain specified exceptions, and thereout to pay his funeral expenses and debts, "and such legacies as were not by the will postponed in payment;" and to invest the residue, and out of the annual proceeds of such investments, so far as the same would extend, to pay certain annuities and postponed legacies as they became due, and to pay such surplus income as might from time to time exist to the person entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus rents or profits thereof, with an ultimate trust, after all the

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Proviso for cesser—continued.**

legacies and annuities had been satisfied, for the person or persons entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property. And as to the realty, upon trust, until all the debt and legacies had been paid, and all the annuities had fallen in, to receive the rents, and thereout in the first instance to pay the unsatisfied legacies and annuities, and to pay the surplus rents to the person or persons for the time being to whom the real estate (subject to the devise to the trustees) was given by the will. And as a first charge on the net income of the real property (after satisfying the expenses of establishments), the testator directed the trustees to pay Rs30,000 per annum to the person for the time being entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus income thereof. He further directed them, after all the annuities and legacies had fallen in and been satisfied, to convey the real estate, so far as the then condition of circumstances would permit, unto and to the use of the person entitled, under the limitations contained in the will, to the beneficial interest therein. The first limitation was to *J. M. T.* for life. At the end of the limitations of the real estate, the will contained the following proviso: "Provided always, and I hereby declare that, if any devise, or tenant-for-life . . . shall permit or suffer the said property so devised and limited as aforesaid, or any portion thereof, to be sold for arrears of Government revenue, or shall, after attaining his majority, cease to keep up in a due state of repair, and to use as his residence in Calcutta, the said baithakhana house and premises where I now reside, and make use of and enjoy my library, horses, carriages, farmyard, furniture in the said house, and jewels, gold and silver plates, &c., in my use or possession, then, and immediately thereupon, the devise and limitations in this my will contained and declared shall wholly cease and determine as to him, and the person next in succession to him under the limitations aforesaid shall at once succeed," as if the person committing a breach of such conditions had then died. The testator died in August 1868. In December 1868 his son *G. M. T.* instituted a suit for the purpose of avoiding and setting aside the trusts and limitations of the will, except so far as they were for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities. This suit was dismissed on the 1st of April 1869. *G. M. T.* appealed, and on the 1st September 1869 the Appeal Court declared him to be absolutely entitled to the personality, subject to the trusts for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities, and entitled, on the death of the defendant *J. M. T.*, the tenant-for-life, to the realty. *J. M. T.* and others claiming under the limitations in the will, appealed to the Privy Council; and *G. M. T.* filed a cross-appeal, in which he claimed that the gift of the life-estate to *J. M. T.* ought to be declared void. By the order of Her Majesty in Council, which was dated the 9th August 1872, and which arrived in Calcutta in September 1872, all the limitations after the limitation to *J. M. T.* were declared void and inoperative, and it was further declared that *J. M. T.* was beneficially entitled to a life-interest in the realty, and also in the personality directed to be conveyed or converted into a fund,

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—****Proviso for cesser—continued.**

subject to the payments in the will directed to be made, and to the provisions in the will not thereby declared to be void; and also, until the legacies and annuities fell in and were satisfied, to Rs. 2,500 a month out of the net rents of the realty, and also to the surplus rents of the same and the surplus interest of the personalty; and that, upon the failure or determination of *J. M. T.*'s life-interest, *G. M. T.* was entitled as heir-at-law to the real and personal property. The proviso for cesser was not among the provisions of the will which were declared void. *J. M. T.* was one of the trustees under the will. After the testator's death the business of the estate continued, as theretofore, to be carried on in a portion of the baitakhana house; and *J. M. T.*, who had a family dwelling-house of his own, used, up to November 1869, to attend at the baitakhana daily for the transaction of business. In November 1869 *J. M. T.* quarrelled with his co-trustees, and ceased to go to the baitakhana. In April 1870 he demanded from the other trustees that possession of the baitakhana should be given to him, and upon their insisting on the right to occupy the portion of the baitakhana used for the purpose of the estate business, sued them for possession. In July 1870 a decree for possession was made in his favour. The trustees appealed, and ultimately, in July 1871, the Appellate Court made a declaration that it was consistent with the trusts of the will that *J. M. T.* should enter into possession; and the trustees were ordered to deliver to him possession of the baitakhana, except the portion of the ground-floor occupied for the business of the estate. After obtaining his decree, *J. M. T.* found that the baitakhana was in a very bad state of repair, and called upon the trustees to have proper repairs executed. On their refusal to do so, except under direction of the Court, *J. M. T.*, in December 1871, brought a suit to compel them to effect necessary repairs: the trustees contested the suit, but in March 1872 a decree was passed directing them to make the repairs. Subsequently repairs were begun, which were completed in October 1872. In a suit by *G. M. T.*, alleging that *J. M. T.* had committed a breach of one or more of the conditions contained in the proviso for cesser, by not residing in the baitakhana house and by neglecting to keep it in repair, and had thereby incurred a forfeiture of which the plaintiff was entitled to take advantage,—*Held*, that the clause containing the provisions for cesser and shifting of the estate was intended to come into operation as a whole and not piecemeal, and, therefore, that until *J. M. T.* came into full beneficial enjoyment of the life-estate given him by the will, or at all events until he became entitled to the surplus rents, the time had not arrived when that clause was intended to apply. *Held*, further, that, assuming that such time had arrived, the action of the plaintiff in contesting the right of *J. M. T.*, under the will, to occupy the baitakhana house and premises, debarred him from claiming that effect should be given to the clause of forfeiture for non-residence. Even apart from any action by the plaintiff, the conduct of the trustees in disputing the right of *J. M. T.* to possession of a

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Proviso for cesser—continued.**

portion of the baitakhana house, and refusing to repair, would suspend the operation of the forfeiture clause until October 1872, inasmuch as it prevented him until that time from obtaining such a possession as was contemplated by the forfeiture clause. The forfeiture clause was not brought into operation by the judgment and order of the Judicial Committee of 9th August 1872. *Held*, on the evidence, that *J. M. T.* had complied with the conditions as to residence. *GANENDRO MOHUN TAGORE v. JUTTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE* **12 B. L. R., 1**

On appeal to the Privy Council,—*Held* that as the clause provided for the cesser and determination of the life-interest of *J. M. T.* in the event of the conditions in it not being performed, his interest, notwithstanding the conditions over had been declared to be void, would cease when that event happened. *Held* that the clause could not be construed so as virtually to defeat it, and therefore it must be held to be operative before the trusts of the will were at an end, and *J. M. T.*'s estate perfected by a conveyance. But held on the evidence that there had been no breach of the condition contained in the clause. The delay in not residing before October 1872 was not unreasonable. Where, in a condition of residence, no manner or period of residence is prescribed, but residence simply, and without definition, exclusive residence is not supposed to be meant; in such cases the occasional use of a house and keeping an establishment in it with the intention of again using it as a residence, is a sufficient compliance with the condition. *GANENDRO MOHUN TAGORE v. JUTTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE* **14 B. L. R., 60; 22 W. R., 377 [L. R., 1 I. A., 387]**

52.**Power of appointment.—**

Execution of power.—Marriage settlement.—A testator, after giving certain specific bequests, disposed of his property as follows: "I request that the interest of my property, invested in Government securities, be disposed of from time to time as follows:—*First*,—to my dear son *A.* two shares; to my two dear daughters *B.* and *C.* each one share; the interest to be paid to them quarterly or half yearly as may be most convenient. *Second*,—I request that these shares shall not be transferable during their lifetime. *Third*,—at the demise of any of my children without issue, any such share to be divided in the above proportion to the survivors. *Fourth*,—in the event of issue, they may bequeath their share to any one of their children they may select, subject to the above conditions." *C.* married in 1874, and, by a settlement made in consideration of the marriage, her share was assumed to be assigned to trustees upon certain trusts. In 1875 *C.* and her husband made the following joint will: "We do hereby constitute the survivor of us to be executor or executrix in our estate and sole heir of the same, together with the child or children begotten in our marriage." *C.* died shortly after the execution of the above will leaving one child. In a suit by *C.*'s husband and the trustees of the settlement of 1874 for the administra-

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Power of appointment—continued.**

tion of the testator's estate and for the construction of his will,—*Held* that the settlement of 1874 could not operate upon C.'s share in consequence of the direction of the testator, that it should not be transferred in the lifetime of C., and that the plaintiffs took nothing under the settlement. *Held*, also, that the power of appointment given by the will of the testator had not been properly exercised by the joint will, and that the child of C. took the whole of her mother's share. *FEHSEN v. SIMPSON*

[*I. L. R.*, 4 Cal., 514

53.**Gift in remainder expectant**

on termination of estate for life.—*Devise of talook.*—*The Oudh Estates Act, I of 1869.—Registration.—Acceleration of remainder on failure of life-estate.*—A gift in remainder expectant on the termination of an estate for life, does not fail, but is accelerated, by reason of the gift of such prior life estate not taking effect. The principle of the decision in *Lainson v. Lainson*, 5 De Gea, M. & G., 754: 18 Beav., 1, held applicable to a will made by a Hindu testator. A talookdar, whose talook was entered in the third of the six lists prepared in conformity with section 8 of "The Oudh Estates Act," I of 1869, devised his estate by a will, which was not registered, to one of his wives for life, and after her death to his younger son by her. *Held*, as a consequence of the above rule, that it was not necessary to decide, upon a claim by the elder son as heir-at-law, whether the widow, as a person "who would have succeeded to an interest" in the talook, if the talookdar had died intestate, would have been within the exception, in reference to the effect of non-registration of will contained in section 13 of the same Act. *AJUDHIA BAKSH v. RAJMAN KHAIR*

[*I. L. R.*, 10 Cal., 462: *L. R.* 11 I. A., 1

54.**Vesting of interest.—Divest-**

ing.—*Executory trust.*—H., by his will, bequeathed to his daughter A. M. H. "on her attaining her 18th year, the sum of Company's Rs10,000, with any interest that may have accrued thereon, if she marries, to be settled upon herself and children solely; should she die unmarried, her money to be equally divided between her brothers; and if either of them die, the whole of deceased's money to go to the survivor." *Held* that A. M. H. (who had attained her 18th year) had a vested interest in the legacy subject to be divested upon her dying at any time unmarried, and further, subject to an executory trust in favour of her children in the event of her marrying at any time, and therefore that she was not entitled to have the capital of the legacy paid to her. *IN THE MATTER OF THE WILL OF HUNTER. IN THE MATTER OF ACT XXVIII OF*

I. L. R., 4 Cal., 420

55.**Interest not sub-**

ject to be divested.—A testator nominated A. B., &c., "to be executors and trustees of this my will, and eventually guardians of my dear children and estate, until such time as my children shall severally attain

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Vesting of interest—continued.**

the age of 25 years, when I request the aforementioned gentlemen (my wife being dead), their heirs or executors, will divide, or cause to be divided, into shares agreeably to the number of our surviving children, giving to the boys two shares and to each of the girls one, or to their lawful issue or husband, the whole of my estate, each child to be put in possession of his or her share when they shall respectively attain the age of 25 years; and whenever either of my daughters shall enter into the holy state of matrimony, I request that a proper settlement may be made upon her and her children, and in the event of either of my children departing this life without leaving husband, wife, or lineal descendants, his or her share shall be divided equally amongst our other children or their lawful issue; but on no account shall any division of the principal of my estate take place till after the death of their mother. *Held* (reversing the decision of *PHEAR, J.*) that after the mother's death, each child took a vested interest on attaining the age of 25 years,—that is, at the time when possession is to be given,—and not an interest subject to be divested in the event of the child dying without husband, wife, or lawful issue. *TAYLOR v. PHILLOTT. PHILLOTT v. MORRIS*

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375

56.**Divesting clause;**

—*Gift over on legatee's death "prior to division" of the estate.*—*Gift not void for uncertainty.*—*Act X of 1865 (Succession Act) ss. 75, 91, 106.*—A testator directed his trustees and executors to hold his real and personal estate upon trust, to sell the real estate either together or in parcels, and either by public auction or private contract, and to call in, sell, and convert into money such part of his personal estate as should not consist of money, and to divide the said moneys, and the ready money which might belong to such estate, amongst the several persons named in the schedule to the will, and to pay the same to them in the shares and proportions therein mentioned, as and when they should respectively attain the age of 21 years in the case of males, or, in the case of females, when they should respectively attain that age or marry. He directed that, in the event of any of such persons dying in his life-time, or at any time thereafter "prior to the said division," leaving lawful issue, such issue should be entitled to the share which their deceased parent would have taken. One of the legatees who had attained the age of 21 years at the testator's death, died five months after him, before payment of the legacy, and left lawful issue. *Held* that the legacy vested in interest in the legatee at the testator's death, but that the legatee having died prior to the division of the estate, it became divested; that the "division" of the testator's estate meant, in this will, the ascertainment of the amounts allotable to the share of each legatee, after the conversion of the estate into money; and that the gift over in favour of the legatee's issue was not void for uncertainty, but took effect. *Johnson v. Crook, L. R.*, 12 Ch. D., 639; *Collison v. Barber, L. R.*, 12

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Vesting of interest—continued.**

Ch. D., 834; Budd v. Padwick, L. R., 19 Ch. D., 517; Chaston v. Seago, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 218; Spencer v. Duskworth, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 634, referred to. BACHMAN v. BACHMAN, I. L. R., 6 All., 583

57.

Bequest to orphan in Military Orphan Asylum.—Direction to trustees.—A special case was stated for the opinion of the Court, as to whether *S. M.* took a vested interest in the sum of R6,325, under the following clause of will: "I paid to the M. O. Society R6,000 for *S. M.*, and invested R6,826, the interest on which I directed to be paid to the mother of *S. M.* Now I direct my trustees, after the death of the mother of *S. M.*, to realise the latter sum and pay it to the M. O. Society, for *S. M.*, in terms of the regulations of the Society." *Held* that the bequest was *prima facie* for the benefit of the daughter. That, having regard to the regulations of the M. O. Society, the bequest was a gift for the benefit of the Society generally. That if the will had given the mother the interest for life, instead of saying it had been given, it would have vested. That the interest vested in *S. M.* at once, and formed part of her estate. **IN THE MATTER OF THE GOODS OF COLLINS . . . Bourke, O. C., 104**

58.

Trust.—Creation of trust.—Uncertainty.—A Hindu by his will, after appointing certain persons executors for the purpose of managing his estate after his death, gave them the following directions: "You should give my brothers, their wives and children, according to your wishes." *Held* that no trust was created by these words. **KUMARASAMI v. SUBBARAYA**

[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325]

59.

Validity of trust.—Direction as to debt due from attesting witness.—Where a testator directed that a debt due to him by an attesting witness of his will should not be claimed, demanded, or enforced, but that his wish was that the sum should be specially devoted to the education of the children of such attesting witness, *Held* that there was no release of the debt or legacy to the attesting witness, but a valid trust in favour of the children. **ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL v. LAZAR**

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244]

60.

Precatory trust.—*W. R.*, by his will, made the following gift to his wife, *M. A. R.*: "I give to my dearly beloved wife the whole of my property both real and personal (described) feeling confident that she will act justly to our children in dividing the same when no longer required by her." *M. A. R.*, by her will, left to their children certain portions of such property, leaving to their child *A. C. R.*, amongst other things, certain banking shares. These shares were attached to the execution of a decree against the executors to her estate as belonging to such estate. *Held* by the High Court that she took under her husband's will a life interest only in his property with a power of appointment in favour of the children, and that the

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Trust—continued.**

shares belonged to *A. C. R.*, and could not be sold in execution of the decree as part of the estate of *M. A. R.* **RAYNOR v. MUSSOORIE BANK**

[I. L. R., 2 All., 55]

Held by the Privy Council, reversing the decision of the High Court, that the widow took an absolute interest in the property, and that no trust for the benefit of the children was created. In order to create a precatory trust the words must be such that the Court finds them to be imperative on the first taker of the property; and the subject of the gift over must be well defined and certain. **MUSOORIE BANK v. RAYNOR**

[I. L. R., 4 All., 500: L. R., 9 I. A., 70]

61.

Expression of wish.—Bequest.—A testatrix, entitled to Government notes under a gift, coupled with the condition that she was to receive only the interest during her life, and that, after her death, the notes were to be held in trust for all her heirs, gave the following directions to *S. K.*, whom she made her principal legatee: "I direct *S. K.*, under this will, to pay every month R644-1-7 (being one third of R1,933-5-4, my monthly pay allowed by the Government for notes, which are deposited) to my dependants and personal servants, as detailed below. . . . Be it known, that the expenses of the imambarra, &c., will be continued for ever; and also the pay of *G. K.* and *M. A.* will be defrayed for ever, i.e., generation after generation. The rest of the servants will be paid for life only." *Held* that these words constituted a bequest, and were not merely the expression of a wish. Also, that the bequest was not one of legacies payable out of a specified sum and no other; the statement that the monthly payments to be made amounted to one third of the sum received monthly by the testatrix, not limiting the source of the legacies. **SULEMAN KADR v. DORAB ALI KHAN**

[I. L. R., 8 Cal., 1: L. R., 8 I. A., 117]

62.

Will confirming trust-deed.—*Construction of deed.—Forfeiture.*—*S.*, being desirous of securing and settling his property, executed a deed of trust whereby he conveyed and assigned all his real and personal property unto trustees, upon (among others) the following trusts: that immediately after his death the trustees should convey, assign, transfer, and make over all the premises mentioned in the deed unto such person or persons as *S.* should, by his last will, attested by two witnesses, direct and appoint, and in default of such direction and appointment, unto the next heirs of *S.*, their heirs and assigns, for ever, and in the meantime to pay the Government revenue out of the rents and profits of the real property, and employ the residue and accumulations as well as the produce and accumulations of the personal property "in such a manner as may procure the daily worship of the household idols" mentioned in the deed, and pay what they considered a fair and proper sum to the wives and family of *S.* living at his death and residing in the family dwelling-house. By his will, dated

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Will confirming trust deed—continued.**

the same day as the deed, S. declared that he ratified and confirmed the deed, subject to such provisions as were contained in his will, which were that the trustees should not charge the fund for the maintenance of those who should not live in the family dwelling-house and for the appointment of new trustees. *Held*, first, upon the authority of *Wilson v. Pigott*, 2 Ves. Jun., that the powers of the trustees (under the deed) did not cease on the death of S., and that the directions in the will, although not strictly within the words, amounted to a good appointment in equity, so that instead of the trustees of the deed conveying the property on the death of S. to his son, they should contrive to hold subject to the trusts of the deed as modified by the will. *Secondly*,—that the words “in such a manner as may procure the daily worship,” &c., meant in such manner as shall be sufficient to procure the daily worship, &c., and that the trustees were not authorised to apply such portion of the trust funds as they in their discretion might think fit, but only such portion as was reasonably sufficient for those purposes. General debts and liabilities are not charges against property forfeited upon conviction of felony. *HURRYDOSS BONEJEE v. HOGG* (ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL) . . . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86

63. ——— Gift of residue to a class.—

Postponement of period of distribution.—Vested interests.—Succession Act, ss. 98, 101, 102.—A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust to invest and “to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto, between, or among the children of my brothers A. and B. respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,—that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively upon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the share of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or previously marrying, with benefit of survivorship between and among all the said sons and daughters.” The testator left him surviving his two brothers and a sister, C. A. and B. both died before the eldest of the testator’s nephews or nieces attained 21 or married. In a suit instituted by the widow and executrix of A. to have it declared that the above bequests were void under sections 101 and 102 of the Succession Act, and the testator died intestate as to the residue of his estate, and that she as executrix of A. was entitled to receive a one-third share of the said estate, and the accumulations thereof,—*Held* that the legatees took vested interests subject to be divested on death before the contingencies mentioned in the will happened; that the period of distribution alone was postponed; and that the bequests were valid. *Semble*,—Section 98 of the Succession Act applies only to vested interests. *MASEYK v. FERGUSON* . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

64. ——— Postponement of period of distribution.—After-born child, Share of

WILL—continued.**8. CONSTRUCTION—continued.****Gift of residue to a class—continued.**

—Lapsed bequest.—Succession Act, s. 98.—A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust, to invest and “to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto, between, or among the children of my brothers A. and B. respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,—that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively upon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the shares of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or previously marrying, with benefit of survivorship between and among all the said sons and daughters.” After the death of the testator, and before the period of distribution arrived, a son was born to B., and one of the sons of A. died intestate and unmarried. *Held* that the after-born son of B. was entitled to a double portion as one of the male children of the testator’s brother, and that the share of the son of A. was divisible among the surviving male and female children in equal shares. *MASEYK v. FERGUSON* . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670

65. ——— Residuary estate of moveable and immovable property.—Claims to, against executors and trustees.—If a testator appoints persons to be his executors and trustees, and directs them to do certain acts which can only be done by the owners of his residuary estate, the trustees will take that estate, though there be no express devise to them. *TREPOORASOONDREY DOSSEE v. DEBENDRONATH TAGORE* . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

WILLS ACT (XXV OF 1838).

s. 3.—Application of Act.—East Indians domiciled out of the jurisdiction of High Court.—The Wills Act, XXV of 1838, applied to the wills of East Indians, whether domiciled within or beyond the testamentary jurisdiction of the High Court. *HOGG v. GREENWAY* . . . 2 Hyde, 3

Held, on appeal, the Wills Act only applied where the High Court had an exclusive jurisdiction analogous to that of the Ecclesiastical Court in England. It did not apply in the case of a person who was not entitled by birth or domicile to have applied to him the actual law of England. Therefore it did not apply to the case of an East Indian testatrix (the illegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died outside the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the Court. *GREENWAY v. HOGG*. *HOGG v. GREENWAY*

[*Bourke*, A. O. C., 111: Cor., 97

WINDOWS OR DOORS, SUIT TO CLOSE—

See CASES UNDER JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—PRIVACY, INVASION OF—

See TRESPASS—GENERAL CASES.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 411

WITHDRAWAL FROM PROSECUTION.*See* PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 291]

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.*See* APPELLATE COURT—OBJECTION TAKEN FOR FIRST TIME ON APPEAL—SPECIAL CASES—WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.

[I. L. R., 3 All., 528]

See COMPROMISE—REMEDY ON NON-PERFORMANCE OF COMPROMISE.

[Agra, F. B., 1]

See DIVORCE ACT, s. 35.

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 6]

See PRACTICE—CIVIL CASES—AFFIDAVITS.

[3 Bom., O. C., 55]

See PRACTICE—CIVIL CASES—WITHDRAWAL OF SUITS OR APPEALS . . . Cor., 67

[I. L. R., 7 Bom., 287]

See RELINQUISHMENT OR OMISSION TO SUE FOR PORTION OF CLAIM.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 324]

It was formerly held in some cases that the power to allow withdrawal of suits given by the Civil Procedure Code (section 97 of Act VIII of 1859) was not applicable to suits under the Rent Act, 1859.

DOYAL CHUNDER GHOSE *v.* DWARKANATH MISSEK

[Marsh., 148: W. R., F. B., 47]

1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 41: 1 Hay, 347]

MODHOO SOODUN MULICK *v.* PANCH COWREE MULICK 7 W. R., 302BEER CHUNDER JOOBRAJ *v.* TARINEE CHURN ROY 11 W. R., 46RAMANATH DUTT *v.* JOYKISHEN MOOKERJEE [11 W. R., 3]

In other cases rent suits were held not to be excluded. RAM CHARAN BYSAK *v.* HARVEY

[2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. R., 373]

WOMANATH ROY CHOWDHRY *v.* SREENATH SINGH 15 W. R., 260

Since the Bengal Rent Act, 1869, however, the procedure in rent suits has been, and is now, the same as in any other suits.

1. ——— Sanction for fresh suit.—*Act VIII of 1859, s. 97.*—Civil Courts had no power to sanction the bringing of a fresh suit, except under section 97, Act VIII of 1859. ARGOON SINGH *v.* HURKE HUR SINGH 14 W. R., 472

ANUND MOHUN PAUL CHOWDHRY *v.* RAM KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY. GOBIND CHUNDER PAUL CHOWDHRY *v.* RAMKISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY [2 W. R., 297]

2. ——— Leave to one of several co-plaintiffs to withdraw.—*Consent of co-plaintiff.*—*Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 373.*—The proviso in the third clause of section 373 of the Code of Civil Procedure does not deprive the Court of power to permit one of several co-plaintiffs to withdraw unconditionally from a suit even though his co-plain-

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Leave to one of several co-plaintiffs to withdraw—*continued.*

tiffs do not consent to his withdrawal. MOHAMAYA CHOWDHRAIN *v.* DURGA CHURN SHAHA

[9 C. L. R., 332]

3. Withdrawal with consent of defendant.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—*Right to bring fresh suit.*—A plaintiff filed a plaint for an account to be taken. The plaintiff withdrew the plaint, without the permission of the Court to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit. This was done for the purpose of a submission to arbitration under a deed mutually executed between the plaintiff and defendant. The deed was not acted upon. *Held*, reversing the decision of MACPIERSON, J., that the plaintiff was not debarred by section 97 of Act VIII of 1859 from bringing a fresh suit to establish the agreement for reference to arbitration, and also for the account, which was a relief to which he was entitled. The section only applied to cases where the plaintiff withdraws from the suit without the consent of the defendant. JUGGOBUNDO CHATTERJEE *v.* WATSON & Co. Bourke, A. O. C., 162

S. C. in Court below

Bourke, O. C., 250

4. ——— Withdrawal of claim under s. 230, Act VIII of 1859.—*Right to bring subsequent suit.*—In a suit to recover the possession of land of which the plaintiff had been dispossessed in execution of a decree against the first defendant, it appeared that the plaintiff had applied within one month from the date of his dispossession to the Court from which the process of execution had issued under section 230 of the Code of Civil Procedure, setting up his title, and it was numbered and registered as a suit under the section. Before the claim came on for hearing, the plaintiff was allowed by the Court to withdraw the proceeding, with liberty to bring a fresh suit upon the claim set up. The plaintiff subsequently brought the present suit. *Held* that the former proceeding was a suit within the meaning of section 97 of the Code, and liberty having been given on its withdrawal before decree to bring another suit, the present suit was well brought. SUBBARAMIEN *v.* PONNUSAWMY CHETTY 5 Mad., 298

5. Substitution of assignee of plaintiff's rights and allowing him to withdraw.—*Power of Court.*—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—Where the Court allowed the purchaser of the plaintiff's rights to be substituted for him and then permitted him to withdraw the suit,—*Held* it was an order not within section 97, and one which the Court had no power to make. JUDOOTPTEE CHATTERJEE *v.* CHUNDER KANT BHUTTACHARJEE [9 W. R., 309]

6. ——— Withdrawal after issue joined.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—*Failure to support claim.*—A plaintiff cannot be permitted to withdraw with liberty to bring a fresh suit after issue has been joined and he has failed to

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal after issue joined—continued.

produce evidence to support his claim. **MUDDUN RAM DOSS v. ISMAIL ALI CHOWDHRY**

[21 W. R., 291]

7.

Discretion of

Court.—Power to interfere with discretion on appeal.—Where, after issue joined, the plaintiff was permitted to withdraw his suit, with liberty to sue again,—*Held* that to allow withdrawal and fresh suit at that stage was a discretion to be exercised with great caution, but the discretion having been exercised it could not be interfered with on appeal by the Judge. **OMESH CHUNDER MUNDEL v. THAKOOR DOSS MOOKERJEE** . . . 23 W. R., 345

8.

Withdrawal before final judgment.

Discretion of Court.—Power to interfere with discretion on appeal.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—A lower Appellate Court had no power to interfere with the discretion of a first Court under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, in allowing a plaintiff, whether before or after the settlement of issues and before or after the acceptance of evidence upon the issues, at any time before final judgment, to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit in the same matter. **RAM KANYE DUTT v. HAROO CHUNDER MOJOMDAR** . . . 17 W. R., 229

9.

Section 97 of Act

VIII of 1859, applied only to cases where a plaintiff, before final judgment, is permitted by the Court to withdraw from his suit, with liberty to sue again. **ANUND MOHUN PAUL CHOWDHRY v. RAM KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY. GOBIND CHUNDER PAUL CHOWDHRY v. RAMKISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY**

[2 W. R., 297]

10.

Leave to bring fresh suit.

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Permission under section 97 to bring a fresh suit could not be given after final judgment has been pronounced. **SHEORAJ NUNDUN SINGH v. RAJCOOMAR BABOO DEO NUNDUN SINGH** . . . 24 W. R., 23

11.

Failure to prove

case.—Dismissal of suit.—Procedure.—The power to dismiss a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one for the same matter, is limited to cases where the suit fails by reason of some point of fact: such liberty should not be given where, after issue joined, the plaintiff has failed to make out his case. **WATSON v. COLLECTOR OF RAJSHAYE**

[3 B. L. R., P. C., 48; 12 W. R., P. C., 43
13 Moore's I. A., 160]

See **MONA BIBEK v. OOMED ALI**

[16 W. R., 276]

12.

Civil Procedure

Code, 1859, s. 373.—Ground for allowing withdrawal.—Quære.—Whether, under section 373 of Act X of 1877, the Court ought to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit on the ground that the defence to the suit was such that the suit must fail if proceeded with. **ZAHUR-UD-DIN v. KHUDA YAB KHAN**

[I. L. R., 3 All., 528]

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT—continued.

13. ——— **Ground for allowing withdrawal.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Inability to prove claim.*—Where a plaintiff asked for permission to withdraw his plaint saying that it would be out of his power to adduce the evidence, which he pointed out as existing in certain records, within the period fixed by the Court for hearing the case, the Court was competent, under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to grant him permission to withdraw the suit. **PORESH NABAIN ROY v. SURET SOONDUREE DEBEE** . . . 16 W. R., 100

14.

Insufficiency of

evidence.—In a suit by one of several sharers of certain mortgaged property for contribution on account of payments made by the plaintiff to prevent foreclosure, the Court on appeal thought the plaintiff might have produced better evidence, but his claim being a good one, it allowed him to withdraw the suit with leave to bring a fresh one. **KHATOON KOONWAR v. HURDOOT NABAIN SINGH**

[20 W. R., 163]

15.

Compromise of suit on appeal.

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Subsequent suit on compromise.—A suit founded on a compromise, which was entered into when special appeal was withdrawn, was not barred by section 97, Act VIII of 1859, as it was not a suit for the same matter within the meaning of that section; but if the compromise was duly made by the parties thereto, and if its terms have been broken, a party to it is entitled to maintain a suit to enforce it. **GOLAB SINGH v. CHEDA SINGH** . . . 3 Agra, 135

16.

Private agreement.—Restoration of suit.

—Where a plaintiff filed a petition withdrawing his claim unconditionally, the suit should be at once struck off the file. If the defendant had entered into some private agreement and did not fulfil the same, it might give a new right of action to the plaintiff for enforcing that agreement, but was no reason for setting aside the petition for withdrawal of the suit as null and void. **SHUMSHER BAHADOOR v. MAHOMED ALI BEG** . 2 Agra, 158

17.

Suit for possession.—Subsequent suit for rent.

Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—There was nothing in section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to prevent a suitor from instituting a claim for possession, and afterwards bringing one for rent. **RAMKISHORE MUNDLE v. MOORAD MUNDLE**

[W. R., 1864, Act X, 67]

18.

Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 97.*

—A claim to attached property made under Act VIII of 1859, section 246, was dismissed, and the claimant, in the year 1875, instituted a regular suit against the decree-holder under the provisions of that section. The decree-holder then released the property from attachment, and the plaintiff withdrew his suit. The same property was afterwards, in the year 1878, attached again and sold in execution of the same decree. *Held* that a subsequent suit for possession

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit—*continued.*

of the property against the purchaser at the execution sale was not barred under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859. *Esken Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn Bhutto*, 11 Moore's L.A., 7, cited. *MUKHODA SOON-DASI v. RAM CHURN KARMOKAR*

[I. L. R., 8 Cal., 871]

19. **Suit withdrawn under Regulation law.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—A plaintiff without leave of the Court withdrew from a suit in 1853. He filed a fresh suit in the same cause of action in 1866. *Held* that he was not debarred from doing so, as the provisions of section 97 of the Code of Civil Procedure did not apply *VINAYAK JOSHI v. JANARDAN JOSHI*

[7 Bom., A. C., 23]

20. **Nature of fresh suit.**—*Fresh suit filed upon a different title in existence at date of former suit.*—*Civil Procedure Code (XIV of 1882), s. 373.*—*Practice.*—The plaintiffs, who were an English joint stock company registered under the English Companies Act of 1862, sued the defendant as a past member of the bank, upon a balance order of the High Court of Justice in England, dated 24th February 1881; to recover the sum of £678-3. In August 1882, the plaintiffs had filed a previous suit against the defendant to recover the said sum of £678-3. That suit was based upon a call order, dated 11th November 1880, which it sought to enforce. By an order made in that suit on 7th April 1883, the plaintiffs were permitted to withdraw it, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same cause of action. The present suit to enforce a balance order, dated the 24th February 1881, was filed on 11th February 1885. It was contended on behalf of the defendant that the present suit being based upon an order which was in existence at the date of the previous suit, the plaintiffs could not now sue upon it; that the plaintiffs could not abandon the title upon which they claimed in the first suit, and set up a different title in the second. *Held* that the plaintiffs were not precluded from bringing the second suit upon the balance order, and that the suit was properly framed. *LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, v. BUNJOBI SCRAJJI LYWALLA* . . . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 348

21. **Withdrawal of suit by next friend.**—*Suit on behalf of a minor.*—*Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), s. 97.*—*Fraud.*—Where a Court has reason to believe that a suit is lawfully brought by a party who has a right to bring it on behalf of a minor, any withdrawal of the suit by that party would have precisely the same effect as the withdrawal of a suit by a person of full age. But where a person acting for a minor has fraudulently withdrawn the minor's suit under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859, without obtaining leave to bring a fresh suit, and by such withdrawal an absolute statutory prohibition is imposed on the minor from bringing a fresh suit, it is open to the minor to relieve himself from the consequences of the fraud in one of three ways,—*viz.*, (1) by an ap-

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of suit by next friend—*continued.*

plication to the Court in the suit in which the withdrawal took place; (2) by a regular suit to set aside the judgment founded upon the withdrawal; or (3) by bringing a fresh suit for the same purpose, and setting up the fraud as an answer to the statutory bar. *ESHAN CHUNDEA SAFOOI v. NUNDAMONI DASSEE* . . . I. L. R., 10 Cal., 357

22. **Withdrawal wrongly allowed.**—*Arbitration.*—*High Court's powers of revision.*—*Civil Procedure Code, ss. 2, 373, 588, 622.*—*Practice.*—*Notice to show cause.*—*Amendment of plaint.*—An order under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code permitting the withdrawal of a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, not being made appealable by section 588, or being a "decree" within the meaning of section 2, is not appealable. When the plaintiff in a suit applies for permission to withdraw it, with liberty to bring a fresh one, such permission should not be granted without the defendant being served with notice to show cause why such permission should not be granted. *L.*, claiming as heir to *H.*, a deceased Hindu, sued *K.*, his widow, and *G.*, a minor represented by his mother and guardian *B.*, to have the adoption by *K.* of *G.* set aside and for certain other reliefs. The matters in difference in the suit were referred to arbitration, and an award was made in favour of the defendants. The plaintiff preferred objections to the award. Before these were disposed of *K.* died. The Court of first instance subsequently allowed the objections and set aside the award. The minor defendant then applied to the High Court for revision of the order setting aside the award. This application was rejected, on the ground that the order might be impugned on appeal from the decree in the suit. The plaintiff subsequently applied for permission to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, on the ground that *K.* having died, he was entitled to possession of the immoveable property left by *H.* This permission was granted. The minor defendant applied to the High Court for revision. *Held* that it might have been a very good ground for allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit that *K.*, the adoptive mother of the minor defendant, had died *pendente lite*, had no arbitration proceedings taken place in the course of the suit; but when the parties had referred their differences to arbitration, and an award had been made in favour of the defendant, and had been set aside, and an application for revision of the order setting it aside had been refused, on the ground that the matter could be made the subject of appeal from the final decree in the suit, permission to withdraw the suit and bring a fresh one should not have been granted. The minor defendant might be seriously prejudiced by such a course, and the suit had not abated against him by the death of *K.*, while on the other hand a decree in the suit, if in his favour, would decide the litigation, and if in favour of the plaintiff, would not prevent his bringing a suit for possession on the separate cause of action which had arisen. *Stahlschmidt v. Walford*, L. R., 4 Q. B. D., 217, referred to. The High Court refused to allow the plaint in the suit to be amended, by the addition

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal wrongly allowed—continued.

of a claim for possession of the property left by H. KALIAN SING v. LEEHRAJ SINGH

[I. L. R., 6 All., 211]

23. Costs.—Power to award costs.—*Withdrawal without leave.*—The High Court has no power, under the Civil Procedure Code, to award costs to the defendant when the plaintiff withdraws not having asked leave to do so with liberty to bring another suit for the same matter. *BRASS v. TIRUVENGADA PILLAI* 1 Mad., 247

24. Power to award costs.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—Where the plaintiff applied, under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to be allowed to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter, the Court refused the application. Another application for leave, simply to withdraw from the suit, was granted, the Court dismissing the suit with costs. *BRASS v. TIRUVENGADA PILLAI* 1 Mad., 247, dissented from. *HOSSAINI BIBI v. PERI KHANUM*

[I. B. L. R., O. C., 45]

25. Form of order.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—A plaintiff who is permitted to withdraw from his suit under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, must pay the defendant's costs. On such withdrawal, the proper order to be recorded is not one of dismissal, but one simply permitting the plaintiff to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter on payment of costs or otherwise as the Court may direct. *DOUGLASS v. WISE* 1 W. R., 322

26. Payment of costs *not made condition precedent to fresh suit.*—*Power to stay suit.*—A., having brought an action against B., was allowed to withdraw, with leave to bring a fresh suit, and was also ordered to pay the costs. *Held*, that the payment of the costs not having in terms been made a condition precedent to bringing a fresh suit, the Court had no power to stay proceedings in the fresh suit, on the ground that the costs had not been paid. *CHITTO v. MUZZUR HOSSAIN*

[2 Hyde, 212]

27. Small Cause Court.—A Small Cause Court is not bound to allow a plaintiff to withdraw a suit, on the ground that he has received payment from one of the defendants in the suit, that attempt to withdraw having been made after the plaintiff had succeeded in getting a judgment against two defendants which had been set aside by the Court on various grounds, and a new trial ordered. In such a case the Court may permit the withdrawal of the suit upon the terms of plaintiff paying the first defendant's costs. *RAMA CHANDRA SHASTRY v. PAPU AIYAN* 3 Mad., 27

28. Withdrawal of appeal.—*Power of Appellate Court.*—An Appellate Court has authority to permit an appeal to be withdrawn. *RAM PERSHAD OJHA v. BHUBHSA KOONWAR*

[9 W. R., 328]

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of appeal—continued.

29. Permission of Court.—*Notice of withdrawal.*—An appellant has no right to withdraw an appeal, which has been regularly registered, without the permission of the Court. Where the appellant had given notice of the withdrawal of the appeal before the day of the hearing, and notice of withdrawal had been given to the respondent, but not until costs had been incurred,—*Held* that the appellant was not at liberty to withdraw the appeal, and the Court ordered that the appeal be set down for hearing. *KAREEM BEE v. BEEGAM BEE* 3 Mad., 368

30. Withdrawal of suit on appeal.—*Act XXIII of 1861, s. 37.—Power of Appellate Court.*—Under section 37, Act XXIII of 1861, the High Court, upon appeal from a Judge sitting in the exercise of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the Court, had power, before pronouncing final judgment in appeal, to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit. *GREGORY v. DOOLEY CHAND*

[14 W. R., O. C., 17]

31. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Exercise by Appellate Court of powers under s. 37, Act XXIII of 1861.—Where application was made for leave to withdraw a suit, with leave to bring a fresh one, it being contended that the fact of a notarial protest on inland bills, and of their being in the hands of the holder without signature, was proof of dishonour; and further, that defendant being a Hindu, there was no necessity for notice of dishonour,—the Appellate Court, reversing the decision of the Court below, granted the application under the power given by section 37, Act XXIII of 1861. *BOMBAY CITY BANK v. MOONJEE HUBRY DOSS* Bourke, A. O. C., 99

32. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—The plaintiff having sued, and the issues having been laid down as though the suit was for separate possession, the decree of the lower Court for joint possession was set aside, with leave to plaintiff, under Act VIII of 1859, section 97, to bring a fresh suit for joint possession. *JUGGUN-NATH DEB NAZIR v. MOHEBOOLLAH*

[17 W. R., 164]

33. Appellate Court, Powers of.—Discretion, Exercise of.—Civil Procedure Code, 1852, ss. 373, 582.—Where, on appeal from a decree dismissing a suit, the Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaint was informally drawn and its allegations regarding the cause of action not sufficiently specific, gave the plaintiff permission, under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the suit, with leave to institute a fresh one,—*Held, per STRAIGHT, J.*, that with reference to the terms of section 582 of the Civil Procedure Code, the Appellate Court had power to avail itself of the provisions of section 373, and therefore had a discretion to make the order allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit and institute a fresh one. *Gregory v. Dooley Chand*, 14 W. R., O. C., 17; and *Khatoun Koonwar v.*

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of suit on appeal—continued.

Hurdoot Narain Singh, 20 W. R., 163, referred to. Also *per* STRAIGHT, J., that it could not be said that the Appellate Court in this case had exercised its discretion so unreasonably or erroneously as to compel the interference of the High Court with it in appeal. *Per* TYRRELL, J., that it might be taken that the Appellate Court, though not so stating in express terms, meant to set aside, and did set aside, the decree of the Court of first instance, regarding it as a decree which could not have been rightly made and must be set aside, by reason of the radical defect in the plaint, the basis of the suit and the decree; and that, in this view, there was no legal objection to the exercise by the Appellate Court of the discretionary power of Chapter XXII of the Code. *GANGA RAM v. DATA RAM* . . . **I. L. R., 8 All., 82**

34. ——— Applications for execution of decree.—*Civil Procedure Code, s. 374.*—*Withdrawal of application.*—The rule laid down in section 374 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882)—that where a suit is withdrawn with leave to bring a fresh suit, the plaintiff shall be bound by the law of limitation in the same manner as if the first suit had not been brought—does not apply to applications for execution. *Pirjade v. Pirjade, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681*, dissented from. *TARACHAND MEGHJI v. KASHINATH TRIMBAK* [**I. L. R., 10 Bom., 62**]

35. ——— Revocation of withdrawal.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—A plaintiff who has withdrawn from his suit is at liberty to rescind the act of withdrawal at any time before final judgment. Section 97 of the Civil Procedure Code was held to be inapplicable to a case where the plaintiff rescinded after two days, a petition he had presented of withdrawal from his claim. The last clause of that section contemplated cases in which the withdrawal is not revoked. *RAMBHURUS LALL v. GOPKEE BEEBEE* . . . **6 N. W., 66**

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES.

	Col.
1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS . . .	6230
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES . . .	6231
3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES . . .	6235
4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES . . .	6236
5. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES . . .	6238
6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES . . .	6238
(a) GENERALLY . . .	6238
(b) CROSS-EXAMINATION . . .	6243
7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE . . .	6244
8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES . . .	6246

See AMEEN . . . **1 B. L. R., S. N., 1, 2**
[9 W. R., 83
11 W. R., 423
17 W. R., 282
19 W. R., 14

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.

See ARREST—CIVIL ARREST.

[14 B. L. R., Ap., 13
I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78
4 Mad., 145

See CASES UNDER CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 177 (1859, s. 170).

See CASES UNDER COMMISSION—CIVIL CASES.

See DIVORCE ACT, s. 52.

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 6

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS—DEPOSITIONS.

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE ACT, 1872, s. 33.

See CASES UNDER WILL—ATTESTATION.

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

———Refusal of party to attend as—

See SUPERINTENDENCE OF HIGH COURT—CHARTER ACT, s. 15—CIVIL CASES.
[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

1. ——— Arbitrator.—*Suit after award is set aside.*—If an arbitration award is set aside and the matter is tried as a suit before the Court, the arbitrator cannot be examined as a witness as to the grounds of his decision, but only to prove any admission which may have been made before him in the course of the arbitration and which might be material evidence. *NILMONEE BOSE v. MOHIMA CHUNDER DUTT* . . . **17 W. R., 516**

2. ——— Attorney.—*Advocate.*—*Competent witness.*—An attorney who has acted as advocate for one of the parties, and pleaded his case in Court, can be examined as a witness in the case. *RAMFAL SHAW v. BISWANATH MANDAL*

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 28

3. ——— Magistrate.—*Suit for malicious prosecution.*—*Magistrate who held preliminary enquiry into criminal charge.*—Magistrates are not incapacitated to give evidence of matters which have come before them in the course of a preliminary enquiry into a criminal charge. *Held* that in a suit for a malicious prosecution the defendant had a right to the evidence of the Subordinate Magistrate, who held a preliminary enquiry into a charge of forgery preferred by the defendant against the plaintiff. *RAMABAMI AYYAN v. RAMU MUPAN* . **3 Mad., 372**

4. ——— Munsif.—*Witness as to facts judicially before him.*—A Munsif ought not to be called on to depose as to what took place before him in the course of a trial which he was conducting as Munsif, and he is entitled to exemption. *ANONYMOUS* . . . **6 Mad., Ap., 42**

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.**

5. — Duty of Court.—*Securing attendance of witnesses.*—Every Court is bound to render all reasonable assistance to a party to enforce the attendance of his witnesses. **NILMONEE BANERJEE v. SHURBO MONGOLA DEBEE** . . . **6 W. R., 14**

6. — Application for summons to cite witnesses.—A party is entitled at any stage of the case before hearing to apply for a summons to cite witnesses without reference to the number of such applications which he may have previously made, and it is the duty of the Court to comply with such application, if any time be left before the hearing of the cause. **ANURUP CHANDRA MUKHOPADHYA v. HIRAMANI DAS** . **3 B. L. R., Ap., 38**

S. C. ONOOROP CHUNDR MOOKERJEE v. HEERA MONER DOSSEE . . . **11 W. R., 418**

HARI DAS BAISAKH v. MOAZAM HOSSEIN
[**8 B. L. R., Ap., 16; 15 W. R., 447**]

7. — Power to summon witnesses.—*Settlement of issues.*—Act VIII of 1859 conferred no authority upon a Judge to issue summonses to witnesses to attend on the settlement of issues. The written statements must be prepared with great care and deliberation, so as to dispense altogether with parol evidence at the settlement of issues. **ANUND CHUNDEE BANERJEE v. WOOMBESH CHUNDER ROY**
[**1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15; 1 Hyde, 147**]

The subsequent Codes, however, expressly provide for the attendance of witnesses at a settlement of issues: see section 159, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

8. — Discretion of Court to summon witnesses.—A Judge's discretion in not compelling the attendance of witnesses named by one of the parties, must be exercised on reasonable grounds distinctly stated in the judgment. **OZEER MAHOMED v. BYDNATH DOSS CHOWDHRY**
[**5 W. R., Act X, 6**]

HARA CHAND PORAMANICK v. KRISHTO MONER GIREE . . . **1 W. R., 298**

MATUNGUNEE DABEA v. KALEE DABEA
[**2 W. R., 4**]

See NEEM CHUND DEY v. ANUND COOMAR ROY CHOWDHRY . . . **7 W. R., 147**

9. — Selection of witnesses by Court.—It is not right for the lower Court to select five out of twenty witnesses tendered for examination. It is the bounden duty of the Judge to receive all the evidence tendered, unless the object of summoning a large number of witnesses clearly appears to be to impede the adjudication of the case, or otherwise to obstruct the ends of justice. **RAMDHAN MANDAL v. RAJBALLAB PARAMANIK**
[**6 B. L. R., Ap., 10**]

10. — Power to refuse to summon witness.—A Court has no power to refuse to summon witnesses when expressly requested by a party to do so unless the witnesses are required to be summoned in such a manner, or in such numbers, as

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.**

Discretion of Court to summon witnesses—continued.

clearly indicates a vexatious desire of obstructing the course of justice. **RAM PHUL PANDEY v. WAHED ALI KHAN** . . . **14 W. R., 66**

11. — Refusal to summon witnesses.—*Reasons for refusing application to enforce attendance.*—It is not incumbent on a Court to give detailed legal reasons for its refusal to comply with an application to enforce the attendance of a party to a suit as a witness. **SIDDHESUBHRE DEBIA v. DENOBUNDHO KOONDHO** . **6 W. R., 65**

12. — Preliminaries to summoning witness.—*Materiality of evidence.*—Before the Court makes an order compelling the attendance of a party to the suit, it must be satisfied that his evidence will be material. **GOPAL CHUNDEE HAZRAH v. MOHESH CHUNDEE BANERJEE** . **21 W. R., 44**

13. — Summoning plaintiff as witness.—*Reasons for summons.*—*Duty of Court.*—*Materiality of evidence.*—A Court is bound, before summoning a plaintiff to give evidence, to record the reasons of its being satisfied that the evidence of the plaintiff is essential to the defendant's case. Where, however, the Court does not give reasons for being satisfied that the presence of the plaintiff is necessary, it does not follow that the defendants had failed to satisfy the Court that there was sufficient ground for the application. **MAKOOND ADIT v. SUTTOORGHUN ADIT**
[**17 W. R., 507**]

14. — Application to summon witnesses.—*Witnesses declared unnecessary by Court.*—Where on a case coming on for hearing before a Court to which it had been remanded, the Judge observed that the evidence of witnesses would be unnecessary, the declaration was held to have sufficiently justified the plaintiffs in making no further application for a summons on their witnesses. **RAM JEWUN SINGH v. RADHA PEERSHAD SINGH**
[**16 W. R., 109**]

15. — Undertaking to bring witnesses.—*Practice.*—On the 12th October 1879 the plaintiff applied to the Court for subpoenas to his witnesses. The Court refused to grant them, on the ground that the plaintiff had himself originally undertaken to bring his witnesses. (The Court had fixed the 28th October 1879 for the final hearing of the plaintiff's case.) Held that the plaintiff's failure to bring his witnesses was no sufficient reason for depriving him (the plaintiff) of his right to have subpoenas issued, if he found himself unable to bring his witnesses or to detain them till they could be examined, although it might possibly be, under certain circumstances, a reason for not waiting for them, if the plaintiff's case had been in other respects finished before they could be examined. **PANDURANG ANPAI v. KESHAVJI JADHAVJI** . . . **1 L. R., 6 Bom., 742**

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.**

16. **Time for summoning witnesses.—Duty of Court.**—The Civil Procedure Code neither expressly nor impliedly declares that witnesses must be summoned before the day fixed for the first hearing of the suit. So long as the hearing merely stands adjourned, and so long as the party who wishes to summon witnesses has not closed his case, the Court is bound to summon them, unless it appears that the application is made so late that the witnesses cannot be reasonably expected to attend in time to be examined before that party's case closes. **INDRO CHUNDER BABOO v. DUNLOP**

[9 W. R., 530]

17. **Ground for refusal to summon witnesses.—Obligation of Court to assist party.—Delay in giving names of intended witnesses.**—Where an appellant delayed to give in the names of his witnesses, but would yet have been within reasonable time to secure their attendance on the day of hearing if summonses had been sent through different means by the railway,—**Held** that the lower Appellate Court was bound to have directed the issue of the summonses, and to have given every assistance to the party asking for them, all additional expenses being paid by such party. **PRABHU MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. MADHUB CHUNDER GHOSAL**

[9 W. R., 489]

18. **Omission of proper steps to obtain attendance of witnesses.**—Where some of the witnesses (defendants) in a suit had been examined, and plaintiff petitioned the Court to have the remaining defendants examined as witnesses, he was held not to have taken the necessary steps required by law to enforce their attendance, because he did not make any special application to the Court, or show sufficient grounds in support of his petition. **RAM TULU THAKOOR v. ODDIT NARAIN SINGH**

12 W. R., 36

19. **Procedure under Dekkan Agriculturists Act, XVII of 1879, s. 7.—Right of defendant to call witnesses.**—The plaintiff sued, under section 3, clause (v) of Act XVII of 1879, for money due on a bond dated the 8th September 1877. The defendant, though duly summoned, did not appear on the day fixed in the summons, which was for the final disposal of the suit. The Court, therefore, proceeded with it *ex parte*. The defendant, being subsequently summoned and examined as a witness under section 7 of the Act, admitted the bond sued upon, but pleaded part-payment of the plaintiff's claim. He then applied to the Court that his witnesses should be summoned, and that their evidence be taken in support of his allegation. The Subordinate Judge was of opinion that he (defendant) was not entitled to offer the evidence. On his referring the case to the High Court,—**Held** that it was his duty to summon the witnesses named by the defendant. **DULICHAND v. DHONDJI**

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 184]

20. **Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 137.—Summons to produce documents.**

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Ground for refusal to summon witnesses—continued.**

—In all cases in which parties apply for a summons to compel the attendance of witnesses, or a summons to produce documents, or apply to have a document sent for under section 137 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the Court ought not to refuse such application merely because in its opinion the witnesses cannot be present, or the documents cannot be produced, before the termination of the trial. **KRISHNA CHURN BHAISACK v. PRATAP CHUNDER SURMA**

[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560]

21. **Ground for adjournment of suit.—Delay in making application to summon witnesses.—Discretion of Court.**—If a party applies for summonses to witnesses so late that he cannot bring the witnesses on the day of hearing, it still remains in the discretion of the Court to decide whether or no the case should be adjourned. A Munsif is bound under the Procedure Code to issue summonses to witnesses when asked for. **ABDOOL KADIR v. ABIN MIRDHA**

24 W. R., 290

22. **Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 159 and 167.—Summoning witnesses.—Delay in serving summonses.**—Under section 159 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882), parties are entitled to summonses for their witnesses at any time before the final hearing, but if there has been delay and want of diligence in consequence of which witnesses, not having been served in good time, are not present, the Court may properly refuse to adjourn the hearing. **KAJI AHMED v. KAJI MAHAMAD**

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 308]

23. **Power to dismiss suit.—Dismissal of suit on ground of there being time after filing of list to summon witnesses.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 149; 1877, s. 159.**—The 20th of March 1877 having been fixed for the final hearing of a suit, the plaintiff, on the 17th of March, and the defendant on the 19th, filed their lists of witnesses to be summoned. Both lists were ordered merely to be put up with the record. When the suit came on for hearing, it was dismissed on the ground that, when the plaintiff filed his list there was not sufficient time left to summon the witnesses. **Held** that the Judge was not justified in dismissing the suit on this ground, unless he found that it would have been absolutely impossible to secure the attendance of the witnesses had the summonses been granted on the 17th instant. Section 149 of Act VIII of 1859, and section 159 of Act X of 1877, discussed. **RAJENDRO NARAIN NEOGI v. KUMUD NARAIN BHUP**

[3 C. L. R., 569]

24. **Issue of fresh subpoenas to witnesses.—Re-hearing of ex parte case under s. 119, Civil Procedure Code, 1859.—Quære.**—Where, either under section 119, Code of Civil Procedure, or in the exercise of a power of review, a suit is restored to its original position, is the plaintiff bound to obtain and issue fresh subpoenas? **BISHEN PERKASH SINGH v. RUTTUN GEER CHELA**

20 W. R., 3

WITNESS—CIVIL**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.**

25. Service of subpoenas.—*Liability for non-service.*—After a list of witnesses has been filed and the tullubana paid, the Court's officers, not the applicant, are responsible for the service and return of notice. **MUSSEETEE KHANUM v. HOOKOOM BIBEE** . . . **15 W. R., 88**

26. Form of summons.—*Omission to state place of attendance.*—A summons should state the place of attendance. **ANONYMOUS** [7 Mad., Ap., 14]

ANONYMOUS . . . **7 Mad., Ap., 43**

See Section 163, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

27. Summoning public officer as a witness.—In fixing the time for the attendance of a public officer as a witness, or in granting an adjournment for that purpose the fullest consideration must be given to the exigencies of the public duties of the officer summoned. **ANONYMOUS** [6 Mad., Ap., 6]

28. Issue of warrant on non-attendance of witness.—*Warrant of arrest for witnesses not attending.—Verbal order to attend.*—A verbal order of the Court to witnesses requiring them to attend on a future day would not justify the issuing of a warrant for the apprehension of such witnesses in case they failed to attend in obedience to such verbal order. **VENKATAPPAN v. PAMMAH** . . . **5 Mad., 132**

ANONYMOUS CASE . . . **6 Mad., Ap., 10**

See, however, **ANONYMOUS CASE**

[5 Mad., Ap., 15]

3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES.

29. Right to be paid expenses.—*Omission to apply for expenses before giving evidence.*—A witness is entitled to be paid his expenses by the party at whose instance he has been summoned, although he has not applied for them before giving his evidence. **LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, v. MAHOMED IBRAHIM PAKAR** . . . **1 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 619**

30. Suitable expenses.—*Persons of rank and wealth.*—People of rank and wealth when summoned as witnesses to a distance from their place of residence, are entitled to travelling and other expenses suitable to their circumstances. **CHUNDER SEKHUR DEB v. JADUB CHUNDER SETT** . . . **19 W. R., 78**

31. Payment of expenses into Court.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 161.*—Under section 151, Act VIII of 1859 (extended to Revenue Courts by section 67, Act X of 1859), the defendant was not bound to pay into Court the costs of summoning and defraying the expenses of the witnesses, until the Court had fixed what was reasonable. **MOHUN MUNDUE v. BAIJ BROOKUN SINGH**

[9 W. R., 128]

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—c**3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES—continued.**

32. Power to order evidence to be taken.—*Omission to tender expenses.—Evidence of tender of expenses.*—Where there was no proof that a defaulting witness's expenses were tendered to him by the party at whose instance he was summoned, the Court on appeal declined to order that witness's evidence to be taken or to take it itself. **ISHEN CHUNDER SEN v. ONATH NATH DEB. COWELL v. ISHEN CHUNDER SEN** . **18 W. R., 16**

33. Amount of expenses.—*Compensation for loss of time.*—Act VIII of 1859 made no provision for compensation to witnesses for loss of time. **NAWAB NAZIM OF BENGAL v. PROSONO NARAIN DEB** . . . **2 Hyde, 236**

34. Provision for expenses.—*Suit for expenses.—Cause of action.*—No action for the expenses of a witness will lie. Explanation of the manner of providing for the payment of such expenses. **DE SARAN v. HURRISH CHUNDER BISWAS** [5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6]

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES.

35. Non-attendance of witnesses on summons.—*Duty of parties.—Commission.*—When witnesses do not appear after service of summons, it is the duty of the party requiring their evidence, and not of the Court, to move for further measures to be taken to secure their attendance; and when a Commission is issued for the examination of witnesses, the Court must be moved to wait for the return. **NUND MOHUN CHOWDREY v. GOLUCK NATH NEOGEE** . . . **11 W. R., 99**

36. Duty of parties.—*Issue of attachment.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.*—Where witnesses do not appear on summons, it is for the parties to move the Court, not for the Court to proceed, *suo motu*, to further the production of the witnesses, though the Court may issue attachment under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, if it is shown that the witnesses are absconding or keeping out of the way. **BACHMAN v. LALL BEHAREE PANDEY** . . . **13 W. R., 324**

37. Order for arrest of witness.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Proceedings against witnesses absent who have been summoned.*—Where a lower Appellate Court, by the terms of its order on a petition for the apprehension of witnesses, under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, undertook to see that proper orders should be passed, it was bound to pass such orders as might, in its judicial discretion, be necessary under that section. **MOHADDEB SHAHA v. SHEO SUHOY GEER** . **9 W. R., 353**

38. Witness making default in appearing.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Ground for issue of warrant.*—Section 168 of the Civil Procedure Code required, that there should appear to the Court to be satisfactory ground for believing that the default on the part of witnesses summoned to give evidence was without lawful excuse

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES—continued.****Order for arrest of witness—continued.**

before issuing a warrant for the arrest of such witnesses. But it was not necessary for this purpose to institute a formal investigation and come to a determination on the evidence adduced. **PERIYANNA CHETTY v. GOVINDA GOUNDEN** . 5 Mad., 104

39. Issue of proclamation against absent witness.—Materiality of evidence.—Ground for non-attendance.—A Court was held to be not bound to issue a proclamation against absent witnesses in a case where it was not satisfied that the witnesses were material, or that they had really absconded to avoid attendance. **BROOBUN MOYEE DOSSEE v. KISHOREE DOSSEE** 6 W. R., 235

40. Application for process against absconding witness.—Ground for not granting application.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159, 168.—On application being made under sections 159 and 168 of Act VIII of 1859 for issue of process against an absconding witness, the Court if satisfied (as it was bound to be) that the witness had absconded, and that he was a material witness ought to grant the application unless the applicant had placed himself in such a position by his conduct that it would be inequitable to grant it. **RAJOO SINGH v. LALLA BALGOBIND LAL** . 1 W. R., 26

41. — Notice of proclamation.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159 and 168.—Service of proclamation.—The proclamation issuable under section 159, Act VIII of 1859, could not be legally affixed to the mal cutcherry of a defaulting witness. Before the provisions of that section can come into play, personal service of summons must be attempted. In the absence of process of legal service, the Magistrate's order of imprisonment for contempt, under section 174 of the Penal Code, and section 168 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, was quashed. **QUEEN v. HUEYNATH CHOWDREY** [7 W. R., Cr., 58

42. Discretion of Court as to issue of proclamation.—Proclamation against absent witness.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159.—Section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, gives a Civil Court a discretion as to the issue of proclamation and subsequent orders for attachment; but such Court is bound to exercise a reasonable discretion. **POBAN CHUNDER GHOSE v. GOPPEE NATH SINGH** [8 W. R., 505

43. — Ground for issue of proclamation.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159.—A Court was not authorised to issue the proclamation and attachment mentioned in section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, unless it was proved to its satisfaction that the evidence of the witness was material, and that he was avoiding the summons; and after these circumstances have been shown, it was a matter of discretion to issue the proclamation and attachment, and after issue to let the case stand over. **KALEE DASS CHUCKERBUTTY v. ESHAN CHUNDER CHATTERJEE** . 13 W. R., 416

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES—continued.**

44. Ground for postponement of case.—Application for process against absent witness made at late stage of case.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159.—Where an application was made at a very late stage of a case to enforce the provisions of section 159 of the Code of Civil Procedure, without proffer of any proof that the witness was absconding or keeping out of the way for the purpose of avoiding the service of the summons, the lower Appellate Court was held to have been justified in not postponing the case to secure the attendance of the witness, although material. **AJODHYA DOSS v. MISRUN** . 15 W. R., 176

45. — Fine for avoiding service of summons.—Act XIX of 1853, s. 28.—Act X of 1861.—Section 28 of Act XIX of 1853 having been repealed by Act X of 1861, a Judge had no jurisdiction, under Act VIII of 1859, to inflict a fine for the purpose of punishing a witness who absconded, or kept out of the way, to avoid service of summons. **IN RE GAJADHAR PRASAD NARAYAN SINGH** [1 B. L. R., A. C., 186

S. C. GUJADHUR PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH v. JUGDEO NARAIN . 10 W. R., 233

5. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

46. Objection to take oath.—Member of Church of England.—Stat. 17 and 18 Vict., c. 125.—A member of the Church of England is not exempt by law from taking an oath in a Court of Justice in India, although he may entertain sincere objections against taking an oath on the Bible, and is willing to make an affirmation binding upon his conscience. The English Statute 17 and 18 Victoria, Cap. 125, does not apply to India. **VALU MUDALI v. SOWERBY** . 2 Mad., 246

47. — Where a Mahomedan witness stated that he had no objection to oaths in general, but that he was suffering from a disease which disqualified him from taking an oath on the Koran until purification,—Held that the witness must be sworn in the regular way or not at all. **ANONYMOUS CASE** . 1 Mad., 99, note

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.**(a) GENERALLY.**

48. — Selection of witness.—Duty of parties.—It is not the business of a Court to determine what witnesses shall be examined. The parties must select their own witnesses, and call upon the Court to examine such of them as they may offer for examination, and it is their own fault if they do not take the necessary steps to have the witnesses examined, or to compel them to be present for examination at the proper time. **MORNO MOYEE DEBEE v. BHEEM KOOMAR CHOWDREY** . 6 W. R., 231

DEEN DYAL SINGH v. DANEE ROY [13 W. R., 185

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.**

49. Right to have witnesses examined.—*Ground for refusing to hear witness.—Opinion of Court as to materiality of evidence.*—Every party to a suit is entitled to have all the witnesses whom he desires to call, and is ready at the trial to produce, heard by the Court, whatever opinion the Court may form by anticipation as to the probable value of the evidence when it shall be given. **LOOLOO SINGH v. RAJENDUR LAHA**

[8 W. R., 364]

PORAN CHUNDER GHOSE v. GOPERNATH SINGH
[8 W. R., 505]

CHOWDHRY KHOORGO ROY v. SHIB TOHUL ROY
[17 W. R., 172]

50. *Ground of special appeal.—Omission of Court to examine witness.*—As a general rule, all the witnesses brought forward by a party ought to be examined. But when an objection is made in special appeal that the Judge below has omitted to examine certain witnesses, it ought to be shown that the evidence of those witnesses would have been material to the case. **NILKANTH SUREMAH v. SOOSELA DEBIA**. . . **6 W. R., 324**

51. Want of opportunity to adduce evidence, Proof of.—*Tender and rejection of witness.*—In order to establish such a plea as that he was not allowed an opportunity to adduce evidence a party must show that he tendered witnesses or other evidence, and that his tender was rejected on the ground alleged. **BUKSH ALI SOWDAGUR v. JOYANUT KHAN** . . . **11 W. R., 248**

CHUNDER NATH SEIN v. ANUNDMOYEE DOSSEE
[11 W. R., 289]

QUEEN v. TOTARAM . . . **11 W. R., Cr., 15**

52. ——— Refusal to examine witnesses.—*Ground for refusal.—Omission from list of witnesses.*—The fact of a witness not having been named in the plaintiff's list of witnesses is no ground for refusing to examine him when produced at the proper time. **RAKHAL DOSS MUNDUL v. PROTAP CHUNDER HAZRAH** . . . **12 W. R., 455**

53. ——— Refusal of verbal request of vakil.—*Ground of special appeal.*—Where a lower Appellate Court's refusal to examine witnesses in a suit for damages for assault is made a ground of special appeal, it is not sufficient to put in an affidavit to the effect that a verbal request of the vakil to examine the witnesses was refused by the Judge. **RAMESSUR BHUTTACHARJEE v. SHIB NABAIN CHUCKREBUTTY** . . . **14 W. R., 419**

54. *Additional witnesses to facts already in evidence.—Tender of large number of witnesses.—Ground for remand.*—In a suit for possession of zemindari and other estates claimed by the plaintiff as son and heir of the deceased zemindar, the defendants denied the title of the plaintiff, alleging that he was a spurious and supposititious child,

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Refusal to examine witness—continued.**

and tendered fifty-eight witnesses to prove that fact. The Zillah Court having taken the depositions of thirty of these witnesses refused to permit the remaining twenty-eight to be examined, on the ground that as they were going to prove the facts deposed to by those already examined, it was unnecessary to take their depositions, and ultimately decided in favour of the plaintiff. The defendants appealed to the Sudder Court, which refused to examine the witnesses rejected by the Zillah Court, and affirmed the decree of that Court. On appeal to Her Majesty in Council, the Judicial Committee remitted the case back to the Sudder Court, being of opinion, that the refusal by that Court to permit the examination of the witnesses tendered was irregular, and that no decision could be come to upon the merits under such circumstances. **JESWUNT SINGJEE UBBY SINGJEE v. JET SINGJEE UBBY SINGJEE**

[2 Moore's I. A., 424: 6 W. R., P. C., 46]

55. ——— *Ground for remand.*—A lower Court having allowed some of the witnesses of the plaintiff to depart without taking their evidence, the plaintiff objected to its taking the evidence of more of the defendant's witnesses than of his own. Upon this the Court allowed some of the defendant's witnesses to leave the Court without examining them. The case on coming up to the High Court was remanded for examination of all the remaining witnesses and a fresh decision. **GOPPE OJHA v. HUR GOBIND SINGH** . . . **12 W. R., 229**

56. ——— *Application to re-examine after consent to allow evidence in one suit to be evidence in others.*—Five suits having been brought to recover a balance of accounts from defendants, who were alleged to be partners of a trading concern, and as such liable, certain witnesses were examined in four of the cases in which the plaintiff in one of the suits was not a party, and at his request the evidence taken in those cases was allowed to be used as evidence in his case, and then the witnesses were discharged. Two days after this he applied to have the witnesses re-examined, giving no reason for his application, which was refused. *Held* that the refusal was justified in the absence of any new reason for the re-examination. **SREENATH ROY v. GOLUCK CHUNDER SEIN** . . . **15 W. R., 348**

57. ——— *Death before delivering legal judgment.—Obligation to hear witnesses again.—Consent of parties.*—A suit was dismissed by a Deputy Collector, who died before recording a legal judgment, whereupon it was made over by the lower Appellate Court for trial to the deceased officer's successor, who decided the case in favour of the plaintiff upon the evidence as it stood on the record without any objection by either party. *Held* that it was not the duty of the second Deputy Collector to remand the witnesses or to take additional evidence, unless requested to do so by the parties. **GOUR CHUNDER SEN v. MANICK RAM**

[13 W. R., 76]

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.**

58. ——— **Recall of witness.—***Witness for plaintiff recalled for defendant.—Leave of Court.*—When a witness has been examined on behalf of the plaintiff he cannot be recalled as a witness for the defendant without leave obtained at the end of the first examination. **MACKINTOSH v. NOBINMONEY DOSSEE** . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180

59. ——— **Examination of witnesses by Appellate Court.**—Courts should in all cases exercise the powers with which they have been entrusted by the law in the examination of witnesses, if they see that they are not properly examined through the incompetency of those who have the management of the suits. If the Munsif fails to take proper evidence, the Appellate Court should not decide the case on such evidence as there is, but having the power to call for further evidence under section 355, Act VIII of 1859, it should take proper means for making the evidence complete. **RAMGATI v. IMITARI BANEE** . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 20 [10 W. R., 280]

60. ——— **Mode of taking evidence.**—Observations on the improper manner in which the evidence in cases is generally taken in the subordinate Courts, and in which it was taken in this case. **PHUL KUAR v. SUREJAN PANDEY** [I. L. R., 4 All., 249]

61. ——— **Irregularity in examination of witness.—***Witness for plaintiff examined in absence of defendant or his pleaders.—Irregularity.—Objection not taken in time.—Evidence Act, s. 167.*—The examination of a material witness of the plaintiff, in the absence of the defendant, his vakil having been removed, and no other vakil then acting for him, is such an irregularity as, if objected to at the proper time, would be fatal to the reception of such evidence. But where no objection was urged during the trial, or until an appeal was interposed, the Judicial Committee held that the objection came too late and could not be sustained, as, notwithstanding such irregularity and miscarriage, that fact did not taint the whole proceedings so as to prevent the plaintiff recovering upon the other evidence which was sufficient to establish his case. But although the other evidence rendered the evidence improperly admitted immaterial, the Judicial Committee, as there had been an irregularity in the Court below in affirming the judgment, refused to give the costs of the appeal. **BOMMAHAUZE BAHADUR v. RANGASAMY MUDALIY** [6 Moore's L. A., 232]

62. ——— **Evidence given without cross-examination and without opportunity of cross-examination.**—Evidence given when a party never had the opportunity either to examine or to cross-examine the witnesses, or to rebut their testimony by fresh evidence, is not legally admissible for or against him, unless he consents that it should be so used. **GORACHAND SIEKAR v. RAM NARAIN CHOWDHRY** 9 W. R., 587

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.**

63. ——— **Evidence to contradict witness.—***Contradiction of witness to collateral questions.—Right to call evidence to contradict.*—The rule limiting the right to call evidence to contradict witnesses on collateral questions excludes all evidence of facts which are incapable of affording any reasonable presumption or inference as to the principal matter in dispute, the test being whether the fact is one which the party proposing to contradict would have been allowed himself to prove in evidence. **GULAM ALLI BIN KAZI ISMAIL v. AGA KHAN** 6 Bom., O. C., 93

64. ——— **Evidence of experts.—***Proof of signatures.*—In a suit for arrears of rent for 1273 at an enhanced rate, plaintiff relied upon an agreement said to have been executed by defendant in that year. The Assistant Collector found that the agreement had not been executed by defendant. On appeal, the Judge called an expert who proved that the signatures of the attesting witnesses were not all genuine, and the decision of the Assistant Collector was affirmed. *Held* that the Judge was wrong in calling for and acting upon the evidence of the expert. **BINDESSUREE DUTT SINGH v. DOMA SINGH** [9 W. R., 88]

65. ——— **Consent to be bound by particular witness.—***Evidence not legally admissible.—An à priori consent to abide by the testimony of a certain witness cannot bind the consenting party to hearsay testimony, but only to such evidence as is legally admissible,—i.e., evidence as to such facts as the witness can directly speak to.* **LUCKERMONEE DOSSEE v. SHUNKUBREE DOSSEE** [2 W. R., 252]

MUNNOO SINGH v. AMRUT LALL . 5 W. R., 234

66. ——— **Witness sworn in particular manner.**—Where the plaintiff rested her claim solely on the deposition of the defendant to be taken by his placing his hand on a particular text of the Koran, and the defendant not being examined in that way but in the usual way, did not prove the claim,—*Held* that the Court was not right in allowing the plaintiff to examine further witnesses and to reopen her case. **MAHOMED SALEH v. MURIAMOUNISSA** 10 W. R., 284

67. ——— **Plaintiff agreeing to be bound by defendant's evidence.—***Statements obviously untrue.*—Where the defendant on examination makes statements which amount to nothing and are manifestly untrue, the plaintiff cannot be bound by them, even though he had agreed to be bound by what the defendant said. **GOOROODOSS ROY v. GREEDHUR SEIN** 11 W. R., 110

68. ——— **Subsequent refusal.—Duty of Court.**—Where a defendant, after asking the lower Appellate Court to summon plaintiff as a witness, and consenting to abide by his deposition, had again petitioned the Court that the plaintiff

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Evidence of experts—continued.**

should not be examined.—*Held* that defendant should not have been bound solely and absolutely by the plaintiff's deposition, but that the other evidence on the record should also have been considered. **JUGDEO SINGH v. MOLAZIM HOSSEIN . 13 W. R., 108**

(b) CROSS-EXAMINATION.**69. Right to cross-examine.—**

Witness called by the Court.—A witness called by the Court is liable to be cross-examined by any of the parties to a suit. **TARINI CHARAN CHOWDHRY v. SARODA SUNDARI DASI [3 B. L. R., A. C., 145: 11 W. R., 468]**

70. *Witness called*

by Court.—A party summoned by the Court to give evidence is not only required to give answers to the questions put to him by the Court, but the opposite party has a right to cross-examine him. The statement of any person examined is not admissible unless the opposite party has had the opportunity of cross-examining him. **GOOROODOSS ROY v. GREEDHUR SEIN . 11 W. R., 110**

SHURFURAZ MOLLAH v. DHUNOO

[16 W. R., 257]

71. Co-defendant separately represented.—One co-defendant, whose interests are separately represented, may cross-examine another. **NARASIMMA v. KISTNAMA . 1 Mad., 456**

72. Recall of wit-

ness.—Omission to give opportunity for cross-examination.—A Court of first instance decreed a case *ex parte* in favour of the plaintiff, and at a re-hearing did not recall the plaintiff's witnesses, whom, therefore, the defendant had no opportunity to cross-examine, and again gave a decree for the plaintiff. The lower Appellate Court rejected the evidence of plaintiff's witnesses, and reversed the decree. *Held* that the Court of first instance should have recalled the plaintiff's witnesses, and given the defendant an opportunity of cross-examination. **RAM BAKS LALL v. KISHORI MOHAN SHAHA [3 B. L. R., A. C., 273: 12 W. R., 130]**

73. Refusal to allow

cross-examination.—*Act VIII of 1859, s. 170.*—A defendant failed to appear when ordered to attend under section 170, Act VIII of 1859. The Judge did not at once pass judgment against him, but called the plaintiff's witnesses, and refused to allow the defendant's vakil, who was present, to cross-examine them. *Held* that the Judge ought to have allowed the defendant's vakil to cross-examine the plaintiff's witnesses. **PAKAKTAR v. JAKREIRAM BHAKAT . 2 B. L. R., Ap., 12**

74. Refusal of witness to answer questions on cross-examination.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 169.*—"Lawful excuse."—

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**6. EXAMINATION OF****(b) CROSS-EXAMINATION—****Refusal of witness to answer question on cross-examination—continued.**

A party to a suit tendering himself as a witness, and declining without lawful excuse to answer questions put on cross-examination, was liable to be dealt with under section 169 of the Civil Procedure Code. "Without lawful excuse" means such an excuse as would in law justify the refusal to give evidence. **LEKH RAJ v. PALES RAM [1 N. W., 162: Ed. 1873, 241]**

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.**75. Credibility of witnesses.—**

Power to set aside decision on evidence.—The credibility of witnesses is a matter altogether for the Court of first instance and the Court which hears a regular appeal; and if these Courts are satisfied that the witnesses are not to be believed, their decision cannot be set aside by the High Court on special appeal, even though upon a general view of the case it should think that, if it had tried the case originally, it might have come to a different conclusion. **GOUREE PERSHAD KOONDoo v. PRANNATH SUBMAH [10 W. R., 365]**

76. Mode of testing

credibility.—*Several witnesses to same facts.*—In examining evidence, with a view to test whether several witnesses who bear testimony to the same facts are worthy of credit, it is important to see whether they give their evidence in the same words, or whether they substantially agree, not, indeed, concurring in all the minute particulars of what passed, but with that agreement in substance, and that variation in unimportant details, which are usually found in witnesses intending to speak the truth, and not tutored to tell a particular story. **NANA NARAIN RAO v. HURBEE PUNTH BHAO [Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96]**

77. Witnesses called

to support case giving evidence contrary to it.—A party who calls a witness to give evidence on his behalf is not necessarily bound by his evidence; but if the evidence is at variance with the truth of his case (e.g., if a witness called to prove execution of a document swears that it was not executed and has the means of knowing the fact), it throws a suspicion on the case which renders the clearest testimony necessary to establish its truth. **FUZEELUN BEEBEE v. OMDAH BEEBEE . 10 W. R., 469**

78. Credit on

matters of witnesses supporting a false case.—Although it does not necessarily follow that where a witness gives evidence on a particular fact in a case and that fact is found against his evidence, he is to be entirely disbelieved on the other parts of the case he has spoken to, yet where witnesses who were not merely giving an opinion upon an isolated fact in the case, but came into Court to prove the whole case

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—continued.****Credibility of witnesses—continued.**

made by the plaintiffs, and that a very special case, and it is shown to be a case false in its material features, much reliance cannot be placed on their evidence as to any particular questions in the case.

HABEEBOULLAH v. GOUGHUR ALLY KHAN

[18 W. R., P. C., 523]

79. ——— Ground for refusal to consider evidence.—Non-production of best evidence.—The principle that a plaintiff is bound to produce the best evidence in his power was held not to justify a Judge in omitting to consider the weight and legal effect of the remaining witnesses, when plaintiff had failed to produce the most important witness. **LATORE MISTREE v. AGAMUDEE NUSHYO**

[14 W. R., 482]

80. ——— Mode of weighing evidence.—Consideration of motives for bringing a suit.—Where the evidence in support of a case is doubtful, the Court, in weighing that evidence, may properly take into consideration the motives imputed to the plaintiff as having induced him to sue. **BIRCH v. FURZIND ALI**

3 N. W., 303

81. ——— Estimating value of evidence.—Witness swearing affirmatively to fact.—In estimating the value of evidence, the testimony of a person who swears positively that a certain conversation took place, is of more value than that of one who says that it did not. **CHOWDREY DEBY PERSAD v. CHOWDREY DOWLAT SINGH**

[6 W. R., P. C., 55 : 3 Moore's I. A., 347]

82. ——— Credit of witness, a servant or dependant of plaintiff.—The circumstance of a witness being a servant or a dependant of the plaintiff does not of itself disentitle him to credit. **SHOOBUL CHUNDER KULLEAH v. KOYLASH CHUNDER MAL**

14 W. R., 23

83. ——— Rejection of evidence unnecessarily and unjustifiably.—Held by **NORMAN, J.**, that the Judge was not at liberty to reject, as matters which he could wholly leave out of consideration, any of the evidence before him in a case where the witnesses were unimpeached in their general character and uncontradicted by any testimony on the other side, and where there was no improbability in the facts which they related, and that the probative force arising from concurrent testimony was the compound ratio of the probabilities of the testimonies taken singly. **RADHA KANT DEB v. KHEMA DOSSEE**

7 W. R., 105

84. ——— Evidence of witnesses found unreliable in criminal case.—A Judge was held to have done wrong in throwing out the evidence of witnesses tendered by the defendant in a civil action merely because they have been found untrustworthy when examined with reference to a charge of breach of trust against the same defendant in a criminal case. **LALL CHAND ROY v. BRINDABUN CHUNDER ROY**

13 W. R., 226

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—continued.****Estimating value of evidence—continued.**

85. ——— Evidence of person who has been convicted of perjury or other offence.—The evidence of a person who has been punished for perjury or of a person who has been convicted of a criminal offence can hardly be entitled to the credit that would be given to the testimony of a person against whom no such imputation can be brought. **DOONGUN RAI v. DOORGA RAI**

[2 N. W., 97]

86. ——— Evidence of truth of witness.—The observation that the evidence of a witness proves too much is not rebutted by the suggestion that it cannot be supposed that the witness was suborned, for, if he was possessed of common shrewdness, he would not have overdone the thing and then have given rise to such an objection. **SOORIAH ROW v. COTAGHERY BOOCHIAH**

[5 W. R., P. C., 127 : 2 Moore's I. A., 113]

87. ——— Credibility of witnesses.—Professional witness.—Witnesses in former cases.—The Privy Council, referring to the generality of the Principal Sudder Ameen's observations as to certain witnesses having given evidence in other cases, observed that, though it was a legitimate objection to a man's credit that he was a professional witness, yet to state broadly and generally that a witness had given evidence in other cases, and therefore became unworthy of credit, could only tend to increase the indisposition of respectable persons to come into Court as witnesses, which was one of the social evils of India. **LALL BEHARE LALL v. GOPKE BEEBER**

18 W. R., P. C., 285

88. ——— Discrepancies in statements of witnesses.—Discrepancies in an account of what took place in a conversation are not a sufficient ground for disbelieving statements made by different witnesses. **BHAJU SING v. KAIFNATH TEWABI**

3 B. L. R., A. C., 332

89. ——— Ground for discrediting witness.—A bare allegation by a defendant in his written statement, without any proof in support of it, that a certain person is his inveterate enemy, is not sufficient to discredit that person's testimony. **KASINATH SHAHA v. DWARKANATH**

9 B. L. R., 215 : 17 W. R., 550

8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES.

90. ——— Exemption from appearance in Court.—Natives of rank, Prejudices of, to appear in Court.—The prejudices of natives of rank to appear as witnesses in a Court of Justice will not be allowed to relax the rule that the best evidence must be produced of which the case is susceptible. **RAM MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. NURSING DEB**

1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 63 : W. R., F. B., 54

DEB v. RAM MOHUN MOOKERJEE

[Marsh., 176 : 1 Hay, 379]

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—continued.**8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES—continued.****Exemption from appearance in Court—continued.***See* MANICKRAM v. RAMYAD RAM

[2 W. R., 63]

RADHA KISTO SINGH DEO v. GUDADHUR BANERJEE 8 W. R., 453

KALEE CHUNDER CHOWDHRY v. SURUT SOONDUREE DEBIA 18 W. R., 45

91. Exemption from suit in respect of evidence.—Action for damages.—False evidence.—Witnesses cannot be sued for damages in respect of evidence given by them in a judicial proceeding. If their evidence be false, they should be proceeded against by an indictment for perjury. GUNESH DUTT SING v. MUGNEERAM CHOWDHRY

[11 B. L. R., P. C., 321; 17 W. R., 283]

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES.

- | | |
|--|------|
| | Col. |
| 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS | 6248 |
| 2. SUMMONING WITNESSES | 6250 |
| 3. AVOIDING SERVICE | 6259 |
| 4. SWEARING, OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES | 6259 |
| 5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES | 6259 |
| (a) GENERALLY | 6259 |
| (b) EXAMINATION BY COURT | 6267 |
| (c) CROSS-EXAMINATION | 6267 |
| 6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE | 6272 |

See CASES UNDER ACCOMPLICE.*See* CASES UNDER APPROVERS.*See* CASES UNDER COMMISSION—CRIMINAL CASES.*See* CASES UNDER CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, ss. 288, 289.*See* CASES UNDER EVIDENCE—CRIMINAL CASES.*See* CASES UNDER EVIDENCE ACT, 1872, s. 33.*See* CASES UNDER FALSE EVIDENCE.*See* HOLIDAY . 8 B. L. R., Ap., 12*See* JUDGE—DUTY OF JUDGE.
[I. L. R., 3 I. A., 259]*See* JUDGE—QUALIFICATIONS AND DISQUALIFICATIONS . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23*See* MAGISTRATE, DUTY OF—
[I. L. R., 8 All., 672]*See* OATHS ACT, 1873, s. 13.

[14 B. L. R., 54, 294, 295, note]

See PARDANASHIN WOMEN.[1 B. L. R., S. N., 5
I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20
24 W. R., 375]**WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.****Cross-examination of—***See* RECOGNISANCE TO KEEP PEACE—FORFEITURE OF RECOGNISANCES.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865]

Person appearing as, Statement of—*See* CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 164 (1872, s. 122).

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643]

I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

1. Judge.—Competent witness.—A Judge is a competent witness, and can give evidence in a case being tried before himself, even though he laid the complaint, acting as a public officer, provided that he has no personal or pecuniary interest in the subject of the charge, and he is not precluded thereby from dealing judicially with the evidence of which his own forms a part. QUEEN v. MUKTA SING . 6 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15; 13 W. R., Cr., 60
See ROUSSEAU v. PINTO . . . 7 W. R., 190

2. Magistrate.—Evidence Act, s. 121.—*Power of Sessions Judge to compel Magistrate to give evidence.—Privilege of witness.*—A Sessions Judge finding, in the course of a trial, as regards the examination of the accused person taken by the committing Subordinate Magistrate, that the provisions of section 346 of Act X of 1872 had not been fully complied with, summoned the committing Magistrate and took his evidence that the accused person duly made the statement recorded. The Magistrate of the district objected to this proceeding of the Sessions Judge, contending that it was "contrary to law." The Sessions Judge referred the question, whether or not his proceeding was contrary to law, to the High Court. *Per* STUART, C. J., PEARSON, J., OLDFIELD, J., and STRAIGHT, J.—That the privilege given by section 121 of Act I of 1872 is the privilege of the witness,—i.e., of the Judge or Magistrate of whom the question is asked: if he waives such privilege, or does not object to answer such question, it does not lie in the mouth of any other person to assert the privilege: the reference, the objection not having been taken by the Subordinate Magistrate but by the Magistrate of the district, should be answered accordingly. *Per* SPANKIE, J.—That a Sessions Judge, while trying a case, cannot compel a committing Magistrate to answer questions as to his own conduct in Court as such Magistrate. *EMPERESS OF INDIA v. CHIDDA KHAN* . I. L. R., 3 All., 573

3. Judge trying case.
Magistrate witness of facts.—In a case in which a Deputy Magistrate took an active part in the capture of parties charged with having been members of an unlawful assembly,—parties whom he himself tried on that charge,—it was held that he was bound to state to the accused, so far as he could, what were the facts he himself observed, and to which he himself could bear testimony; and the prisoner in such

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS**
—continued.**Magistrate—continued.**

situation had a right, if he thought it desirable, to cross-examine the Judge, whose evidence should be recorded, and form part of the record in the case. The proper course, however, for the Deputy Magistrate to have taken in this case would have been to decline to try the case, and to ask that it should be undertaken by some other Judge. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HURRO CHUNDER PAUL*

[20 W. R., Cr., 76]

4. ——— Conviction, Illegality of.—A Magistrate cannot himself be a witness in a case in which he is the sole judge of law and fact. *Per MARKBY, J.*—Where in such a case he has given his evidence and convicted the accused, his having so acted makes the conviction bad. *Per PRINSEP, J.*—The conviction is not absolutely bad. It is open to the Court to uphold the conviction, if it is of opinion that, after rejecting the Magistrate's evidence, there is other evidence sufficient, if believed, to support the conviction. *EMPRESS v. DONNELLY* . . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405

5. ——— Magistrate sitting on Bench in Appellate Court.—Liability to be examined as witness.—It is undesirable that Magistrates, whose decisions are under appeal, or who have been engaged in promoting the prosecution as police officers concerned in a case, should sit on the Bench beside or converse privately in Court with the Judge who is engaged in trying the prisoner's appeal. If the Appellate Court wishes to ascertain any facts relating to the case from the Magistrate who convicted the accused, he should examine the Magistrate on oath or solemn affirmation in the same manner as an ordinary witness. *REG. v. KASHINATH DINKAR* . . . 8 Bom., Cr., 126

6. ——— Examination of Magistrate trying case.—Case in which the High Court permitted a Deputy Magistrate to be examined on behalf of a petitioner whose case was investigated by the Deputy Magistrate. *QUEEN v. MUDHOO-SOODUN ROY* . . . 16 W. R., Cr., 49

See s. 121 OF THE EVIDENCE ACT, 1872.

7. ——— Prisoner.—Tendering pardon to prisoner.—Procedure as to tendering a pardon to a prisoner before examining him as a witness, discussed. *QUEEN v. GAGALU*

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 12 W. R., Cr., 80]

8. ——— Co-defendants. *Examination of, as witnesses.*—Where there is no community of interest, any one of a number of prisoners jointly indicted may be called as a witness either for or against his co-defendants. *QUEEN v. ASHRUFF SHEIK* . . . 6 W. R., Cr., 91

9. ——— Prisoners tried together jointly.—*Examination of one as witness against another.*—Where two prisoners are tried together for different offences committed in the same

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS**
—continued.**Prisoner—continued.**

transaction, it is improper and illegal to examine one prisoner as a witness against the other. *IN THE MATTER OF DAVID* . . . 5 C. L. R., 574

10. ——— Person brought up with accused and not discharged.—A person apprehended by the police and brought before the Magistrate with the accused, is, though not discharged by the Magistrate, a competent witness against the accused, provided he be not charged along with the accused. *REG. v. NARAYAN SUNDAR*

[5 Bom., Cr., 1]

11. ——— Evidence of woman on charge of adultery.—A person may call the woman with whom he is accused of having had sexual intercourse as a witness on his behalf. *IN RE BECHOO.*

[6 W. R., Cr., 92]

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.

12. ——— Dispensing with personal attendance of witnesses.—*Deposition.*—*Trial before Sessions Court.*—It is only in extreme cases of delay or expense that the personal attendance of a witness before the Court of Session should be dispensed with, and the evidence given by him before the committing Magistrate referred to. *EMPRESS v. MULU* . . . I. L. R., 2 All., 646

13. ——— Application to enforce attendance of witnesses.—*Witnesses for defence.*—*Examination of accused.*—In a case under Chapter XV, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, it was incumbent on the accused either to produce their witnesses or to apply beforehand for a summons to enforce the attendance of any witness who was not likely to appear without a summons; it was not necessary in such cases to record the examination of the accused with the same formalities as in cases under Chapters XII and XIV. *QUEEN v. CHEDEE KOONJRA*

[14 W. R., Cr., 76]

14. ——— Discharge of witness from attendance.—It is incumbent upon a Court when it discharges a witness from the duty of attendance before the trial is ended to ascertain from the accused whether he has, or is likely to have, any need of the witness's testimony; and if he has such need, then to take such steps for insuring the presence of the witness at the required time as may be necessary. *KHURBUCKDHAREE SINGH v. PERSHADDEE MUNDUL*

[22 W. R., Cr., 44]

15. ——— Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 192.*—*Discretion of Magistrate as to examining witnesses.*—It is entirely within the discretion of a Magistrate conducting a trial in a warrant case to admit evidence on behalf of either side at any stage of the trial, section 192, Act X of 1872, applying to such a case; but the Magistrate, in

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

exercising the discretion conferred on him by this section, ought to have good reason for allowing witnesses on the part of the prosecution to be interposed in the midst of the case of the accused. *QUEEN v. KASSY SINGH. QUEEN v. HULKORER SINGH* [21 W. R., Cr., 61]

16. ——— Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 359.*—*Adjournment for appearance of witnesses for defence.*—Certain persons were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and being called upon for their defence; named several witnesses, and summonses on the following morning were issued for their appearance, but they were not found. The accused then applied for further time for the appearance of the witnesses. This the Magistrate refused to grant, and convicted the accused. *Held, per JACKSON, J.*, that this being a warrant case it was the duty of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses that might be offered by the accused, and that he might at his discretion have adjourned the case. *Held, further, per JACKSON, J.*, that the meaning of section 359 of the Criminal Procedure Code is, that if among the persons named by the accused as witnesses, the Magistrate considers that any witness is included for the purpose of vexation and delay, he is to exercise his judgment and enquire whether such witness is material; but that the section is not intended to enable the Magistrate to enquire into what the defence of the accused person is to be, and to consider whether, on learning the nature of the defence, he is absolutely to abstain from summoning the whole of the witnesses cited by the accused; and further, that in the present case there was not any purpose of vexation or delay, and that by the refusal to grant further time the accused had been probably prejudiced in their defence. *EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF DENONATH GHATTACK v. RAJCOOMAR SINGH* **I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573**

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF RAJCOOMAR SINGH **2 C. L. R., 62**

17. ——— Obligation to summon witnesses.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XIV.*—In a case tried under the provisions of Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused were entitled to have their witnesses summoned, and a Magistrate had no power to refuse to summon them. *QUEEN v. DOORGAGUTTY* [11 W. R., Cr., 55]

18. ——— Discretion of Magistrate.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 262.*—*Held by BAYLEY, J. (MARKBY, J., dubitante),* that a Magistrate had a discretion, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to summon a witness when he was likely to give material evidence on behalf of the accused. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF AMER CHAND NOHATTA. QUEEN v. AMER CHAND NOHATTA* **13 W. R., Cr., 63**

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

19. ——— Forcibly rescuing cattle.—*Act III of 1857, s. 13.*—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 262.*—*Summoning witnesses.*—In a case of forcibly rescuing cattle under section 13, Act III of 1857, in which the accused did not summon any witness, it was held that, even if the accused wanted them summoned, the Magistrate, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, need not have summoned them, unless persuaded that they were likely to give material evidence and that they would not attend voluntarily. *AKBAR TAGUDGERE v. PUNCHOO BISWAS* **10 W. R., Cr., 42**

20. ——— Duty of parties.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 261, 262.*—*Attendance of witnesses.*—In a case under Chapter XV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, it was expected that parties would bring their own witnesses with them. If they required the attendance of any witness they should apply to the Magistrate to cause his attendance; and where they did not so apply, it was sufficient if the Magistrate recorded in his judgment the substance of the defendant's answer. *BAGDEE MANJEE v. MOHINDRO NARAIN* [10 W. R., Cr., 18]

21. ——— Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 186.—In the case of a charge of an offence triable by the Court of Sessions alone, the Magistrate was bound, under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon the complainant's witnesses. *QUEEN v. ZAKIE ALLY* [8 W. R., Cr., 4]

22. ——— Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 375.—*Accused person, Right of.*—An accused person is entitled to have examined as a witness any person named in his list of witnesses delivered to the Magistrate; and the Magistrate should take measures to enforce the attendance of such person. *QUEEN v. ISHAN DUTT* [6 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 15 W. R., Cr., 34]

23. ——— Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), ss. 188, 207, 227, and 228.—*Arrest and detention of witnesses.*—Section 207 of the Criminal Procedure Code gave no power to the Magistrate to call up and examine witnesses for the defence whose names have been given in a list, under section 227, when the prisoners reserve their defence for the Court of Session; but under section 228 he was bound to summon them to give evidence before the Court of Session. *IN THE MATTER OF MAHESH CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. PURNA CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. KALI SIBKAR* [4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1]

24. ——— Credibility of witnesses.—It is the Magistrate's duty to summon witnesses for the accused who can speak to the facts of the case, and he ought not to determine beforehand what credit he will give to their evidence. *IN*

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF MAHIMA CHANDRA SHAH

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 15 W. R., Cr., 15

25. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 186, 262.*—Section 186 of the Code of Criminal Procedure referred to cases under Chapter XII, which were triable by the Court of Session, and not to cases under Chapter XV, which were triable by a Magistrate. To the latter cases section 262 applied. *BOIDDONATH BANIA v. BHEEDOO DOSS* **9 W. R., Cr., 3**

26. *Right of accused to have witnesses summoned.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 363.*—Under section 363, Code of Criminal Procedure, a prisoner was entitled, as a matter of right, to have any witnesses named in the list which he delivered to the Magistrate, summoned and examined. *QUEEN v. PROSUNNO COOMAR MOITRO* [23 W. R., Cr., 56

27. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 253.*—Under section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, it was imperative on the Magistrate to summon the witnesses named by the prisoner. *QUEEN v. MUDSOODDEEN* [2 N. W., 148

28. *Summoning witnesses for accused.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 253.—Per AINSLIE, J.*—In a trial under Chapter XIV of the Criminal Procedure Code, the Magistrate was not bound, under section 253, to summon any witness whom the accused might require. It was only discretionary with him to do so, and in the circumstances of the present case, he exercised his discretion rightly in refusing to summon the witnesses asked for. *Per PAUL, J. (differing).*—The right of an accused to have witnesses for his defence summoned during the pendency of the trial is an ordinary and natural right, and this right was not taken away, but affirmed, by section 253; the Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses, though it was discretionary with him to adjourn the trial. In the present case, treating it as a matter of discretion only, the Magistrate was wrong in refusing to summon the witnesses required. *QUEEN v. BHOLANATH MOOKERJEE*

[7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28

29. *Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 253, 262, 263.*—Section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code did not apply to cases triable under Chapter XV of that Code; and sections 262 and 263 were applicable when the offence was not punishable with more than six months' imprisonment; and it was in the discretion of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses for the defence, if he considered their evidence essential to the just decision of the case, and incumbent on him to summon them only if it appeared to him that they were likely to give materia

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

evidence on behalf of either party, and that they would not voluntarily appear for the purpose of being examined at the time and place appointed for the hearing of the complaint. *QUEEN v. MOHURKE*

[2 N. W., 393

30. *Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 227, 228.*—Where a prisoner, under section 227, Code of Criminal Procedure, gave in a list of the witnesses he wished to summon, after his case had been committed, the Magistrate was bound to exercise his discretion upon the point, and to state whether he would summon the witnesses or not, and he ought to state his reasons for not doing so. If he thought the witnesses were included in the list for the purpose of delay, he should proceed under section 228 of the Code. *QUEEN v. RAJCOOMAR MOOKERJEE*

[16 W. R., Cr., 14

31. *Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 215, 362.*—It was not incumbent on a Magistrate to summon every person named as a witness by the complainant. Section 215, explanation 3 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, must be read with section 362, which vested a discretionary power in the Magistrate. *JELDHAIR SINGH v. SHUNKUR DOYAL* [23 W. R., Cr., 9

See, however, EMPRESS v. HEMATULLA [I. L. R., 3 Cal., 389

EMPRESS OF INDIA v. KASHI [I. L. R., 2 All., 447

QUEEN v. PURASURAMA NAIKAR [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329

ANONYMOUS CASE 8 Mad., Ap., 5

32. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XII.*—In a case of an offence (such as hurt, under section 323, Penal Code) punishable with imprisonment exceeding six months, and therefore falling under Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate was bound to summon all the witnesses required by the accused. *QUEEN v. BOOLAKEE* **14 W. R., Cr., 81**

33. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 131.—Claims to stolen property.*—Petitioner was charged with the theft of certain money found in his house and acquitted. Proclamation having been made for claimants to come in and claim the property, no one appeared, whereupon petitioner preferred his claim and asked the Assistant Magistrate to summon certain witnesses, but the Assistant Magistrate refused to do so, and disallowed his claim, the Magistrate on appeal declining to interfere. On reference by the Judge, the High Court held that the Assistant Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses named by the petitioner, set aside that officer's order, and directed him to dispose of the case after taking due steps for securing the

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

attendance of the witnesses in question. *SOOKHAN SAHOO v. GOVERNMENT* . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 5

34. ————— Issue of summons.

—*Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 318.*—Although there was no mention in Chapter XXII of Act XXV of 1861 of any particular provisions under which witnesses might be summoned, yet it was the duty of the Court, if parties could not procure the attendance of their witnesses, to issue summonses for their attendance. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SHAMASANKAR MAZUMDAR*

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 45]

SHAMASUNKUR MOZOOMDAR v. ANUNDMOYEE DASSYA . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 64

35. ————— Ground for postponement of case.

—A Magistrate was held to be right, under the circumstances, in not postponing the case for the purpose of summoning witnesses for one of the parties. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF GABINDA CHANDRA GHOSE.* 9 B. L. R., Ap., 39

36. ————— Non-attendance

of witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 269.—Ground for adjournment of trial.—In a trial held under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, it was not an irregularity to adjourn the trial, under section 269, for the purpose of allowing the accused to secure the attendance of his witnesses. As a general rule, a prisoner should have his witnesses present on the day of trial. *QUEEN v. DINOO ROY*

[16 W. R., Cr., 21]

37. ————— Refusal of a

Magistrate to summon prisoner's witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 359.—A Magistrate was not at liberty to refuse to summon a witness tendered by an accused person, except on the grounds specified in section 359 of the Criminal Procedure Code; and if he did refuse, he was bound to proceed under that section. The fact that the accused declines to examine a witness is no reason for refusing to summon him to meet fresh evidence given subsequent to the defence being closed. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DEELA MAHTON v. SIEO DYAL KOBBI* . . . I. L. R., 6 Calc., 714

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF DEELA MAHTON

[8 C. L. R., 70]

38. ————— Criminal Procedure

Code, 1872, s. 359.—Witness for the defence.—Failure to attend.—Refusal to re-summon.—On the 30th March 1881 an accused person on his trial before a Magistrate asked that a certain witness might be summoned on his behalf. The Magistrate ordered a summons to be issued for the attendance of such witness on the 18th April, to which day the further hearing of the case was adjourned. There was some delay in the service of the summons, and such witness did not attend on that day. The Magistrate refused an application by the accused for

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

the issue of a second summons to such witness, with reference to section 359 of Act X of 1872, on the ground that such application was not made in "good faith." *Held* that the provisions of section 359 of Act X of 1872 were clearly inapplicable to the case as it stood before the Magistrate on the 18th April, and he was bound to make a further attempt—the first attempt seemed to have been nominal merely—to secure the attendance of the absent witness. *EMPRESS v. RUKN-UD-DIN* . . . I. L. R., 4 All., 5

39. ————— Witness for defence.

—*Refusal by Magistrate to summon witness under Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 216.—Witness summoned by Sessions Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 291, 540.*—Upon the committal of certain persons for trial before the Sessions Court for offences under the Penal Code, each of the prisoners, under section 211 of the Criminal Procedure Code, gave in a written list of the persons whom he wished to be summoned to give evidence at the trial. On each of these lists the name of a particular person was entered, who objected under section 216 to being summoned, on the ground that the summons was desired for vexatious purposes only, and that there were no reasonable grounds for believing that any evidence he could give would be material. Upon this objection, the committing Magistrate passed an order requiring the prisoners to satisfy him that there were reasonable grounds for believing that the objector's evidence was material, and, having heard arguments on both sides, passed an order refusing to issue the summons. The only ground stated by the Magistrate for this order was that he thought the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient. Subsequent to the order, and before the trial in the Sessions Court had begun, the Sessions Judge, upon an application filed on behalf of the prisoner, passed an order directing that the objector should be summoned to give evidence. The order assigned no reasons, and was passed in the absence of the objector or of any person representing him, and without notice to show cause being issued to him. The objector applied to the High Court for revision of the order on the ground that the Sessions Judge had no jurisdiction to make it. *Held* that when a Magistrate refuses, under section 216 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon a witness included in the list of the accused, he must record his reasons for such refusal, and such reasons must show that the evidence of such witness is not material; that the ground stated by the Magistrate, *viz.*, that the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient, did not show that the evidence was not material; that the Sessions Judge had jurisdiction to make the order complained of; and that, even if he had not, it would not, under the circumstances, be desirable to interfere with his order in revision. *Per STRAIGHT, J.*, that section 540 is not the only provision of the Criminal Procedure Code which confers on a Sessions Judge powers of the kind exercised by him in this

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

case. Under section 291, though the summoning of witnesses by an accused through the medium of the Sessions Judge is not a matter of right, yet the Judge has an inherent power, if he thinks proper to exercise it, to sanction the summoning of other witnesses than those named in the list delivered to the committing Magistrate. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF THE RAJAH OF KANTIT*

[I. L. R., 8 All., 668]

40. ———— *Refusal to summon witnesses not declared material unless expenses are paid.*—A prisoner who was about to be committed to the Sessions Court presented to the Magistrate a list of witnesses whom he desired to have summoned to give evidence on his behalf at the trial, and on being asked by the Magistrate why he desired to summon the witnesses, the prisoner declined to state his reason. *Held* that the Magistrate was at liberty to decline to summon the persons named in the list on the prisoner declining to satisfy him that they were material witnesses; but the Magistrate ought to have fixed the amount which he considered necessary to defray the cost of the attendance of the persons named, and intimated to the prisoner his readiness to issue summonses on that amount being deposited. The High Court called for the record for the purpose of seeing whether any of the persons named in the list were likely to be able to give material evidence. *SUBHARAYA MUDALI v. QUEEN* . 4 Mad., 81

41. ———— *Omission to take steps to summon witnesses.*—A complainant in a case who mentioned the names of several witnesses on his behalf was requested to produce them on a certain date. Instead of doing that he produced only two witnesses, who were examined. *Held* that, as the complainant did not apply to the Magistrate to issue summonses on the other witnesses, or ask him to proceed under section 262, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, the Magistrate was not wrong in law in deciding the case on the evidence which was before him. *QUEEN v. NOTOBUR BERA* . 15 W. R., Cr., 87

42. ———— *Refusal to summon witness for accused.—Participation in charge.*—*Illegal conviction.*—A refusal to summon witnesses cited by an accused, on the ground of their being implicated in the charge, vitiates the trial and conviction. *RAM SHAHAT CHOWDHY v. SANKER BAHADUR* . 6 B. L. R., Ap., 65; 15 W. R., Cr., 7

43. ———— *Refusal to summon witnesses named for the defence.*—Where the Subordinate Magistrate convicted certain persons without allowing them a proper opportunity for the summoning and attendance of witnesses named for the defence, the High Court quashed the conviction and directed the Subordinate Magistrate to re-hear the case. *ANONYMOUS* . 5 Mad., Ap., 27

44. ———— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 362.—Warrant case.—Refusal of*

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

Magistrate to summon witness named by accused.—Error or defect in proceedings.—Where the Magistrate trying an offence rejected an application by the accused person that a certain person might be examined on his behalf either in Court or by commission, without recording his reasons for refusing to summon such person, as required by section 362 of the Criminal Procedure Code,—*Held* that the conviction of the accused person must be set aside, and the case be reopened by such Magistrate, and the application by the accused for the examination of such person be disposed of according to law. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SAT NABAIN SINGH*

[I. L. R., 8 All., 392]

45. ———— *Right of accused.—Compelling attendance of witnesses.—Evidence.*—*Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), s. 257.*—Certain witnesses who had been summoned for the accused failed to appear on the day of trial, and the Deputy Magistrate refused to adjourn the hearing, or to issue fresh processes for the attendance of the defendant's witnesses, on the ground that they were all friends of the accused who would come to Court if the accused desired it. The prisoners were convicted. *Held*, the conviction must be set aside: the Magistrate having once granted processes he was bound to assist the accused in enforcing the attendance of his witnesses. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. DHANANJOI CHOWDHRY* . I. L. R., 10 Cal., 931

46. ———— *Non-attendance of witness, Enquiry into reasons for.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 221.*—It was held that an enquiry should be made into the excuse given by a person for his non-attendance as a witness before enforcing a fine for such non-attendance, in order that the Sessions Judge, or other authority, might fairly exercise the discretion given him by section 221 of the Criminal Procedure Code. *QUEEN v. AMER KHAN. IN RE BHUGWAN DOSS* . 2 N. W., 113

47. ———— *Mode of summoning witnesses.—Recognisances to appear.*—A Subordinate Magistrate cannot bind over witnesses by recognisances to appear before himself. The proper course to enforce attendance is by summons, and, if that fails, by warrant. *ANONYMOUS*

[4 Mad., Ap., 6

See *ANONYMOUS* . 4 Mad., Ap., 17

VENKATAPPAH v. PAPAMMAH . 5 Mad., 132

48. ———— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 191.—Warrant to enforce attendance of witnesses.*—A Magistrate was not bound, under section 191 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enforce the attendance of witnesses by warrant except upon proof of due service of summons. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ABDUOR RUHMAN* . 7 W. R., Cr., 37

QUEEN v. SUTHERLAND. QUEEN v. NABAIN SINGH . 14 W. R., Cr., 20

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.****Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.**

49. *Issuing summons to witnesses out of jurisdiction.*—Magistrates may, under the Criminal Procedure Code, issue summonses for service upon witnesses beyond the limits of their districts. (COLLETT, J., dissenting.) **ANONYMOUS CASE** **3 Mad., Ap., 5**

50. *Service of summons.—Affixing summons to door of house.*—Service of summons on a witness by affixing it to the door of his house was held to be no evidence of his having received it, in a charge brought against him of disobeying the summons. **ANONYMOUS** [6 Mad., Ap., 29]

51. *Service of summons.*—The mere showing to a witness of a summons issued under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code 1861, is not sufficient service. Either the original should be left with the witness, or it should be exhibited to him, and a copy of it delivered or tendered. **RAG V. KARSANLAL DANATRAM** [5 Bom., Cr., 20]

3. AVOIDING SERVICE.

52. *Warrant for apprehension of witness.—Committal of witness in default of appearance.*—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 188.—Section 188 only empowers a Magistrate to issue a warrant for the apprehension of a witness when he has reason to believe that the witness will not attend to give evidence without being compelled to do so, and it does not empower a Magistrate to commit a witness. *IN THE MATTER OF MAHESH CHANDRA BANERJEE.* **QUEEN V. PURNA CHANDRA BANERJEE.** **QUEEN V. KALI SIKHAR** [4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1]

4. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

53. *Oath or affirmation.*—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 199.—*Memorandum of deposition.*—A witness may be examined either on oath or on solemn affirmation, but he cannot both be sworn and put on solemn affirmation at the same time. The memorandum required by section 199 of the Code of Criminal Procedure should always be appended to the depositions. **QUEEN V. HOSSEIN SIRDAR** **13 W. R., Cr., 17**

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.**(a) GENERALLY.**

54. *Power of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses.*—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 362.—Section 362 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not give a Magistrate discretion to dispense with the examination of witnesses summoned by the prosecution. **QUEEN V. PARASURAMA NAIKAR** . **I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329**

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.**(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Power of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses—continued.**

55. *Commitment without examining witnesses.*—Where a Magistrate committed a person charged with perjury in a trial before himself to the Sessions without examining the witnesses for the prosecution,—Held that the commitment was illegal. **QUEEN V. CHINNA VEDAGIRI CHETTI** **I. L. R., 4 Mad., 227**

QUEEN V. SREENATH MOOKHOPADHYA [7 W. R., Cr., 45]

DINONATH GOPE V. SARODA MOOKHOPADHYA [7 W. R., Cr., 47]

56. *Power of interference of High Court.*—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 363.—Where it was not shown that there were any witnesses forthcoming for examination other than those whom the Sessions Judge did examine, the Court refused, with reference to section 363, Code of Criminal Procedure, to interfere with the Sessions Judge's proceedings. **QUEEN V. JUMDEM SINGH** **12 W. R., Cr., 73**

57. *Duty of defence as to calling witnesses.—Inference from failure to call witnesses.*—A prisoner or his counsel is at liberty to offer evidence or not as he thinks proper, and no inference unfavourable to him can be drawn because he takes one course rather than another. **HURRY CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY V. EMPRESS** [I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140: 13 C. L. R., 358]

58. *Duty of prosecution as to calling witnesses.—Inferences to be drawn on failure to call witnesses.—Misdirection.*—It is *prima facie* the duty of the prosecution to call all the witnesses who prove their connection with the transactions connected with the prosecution, and who must be able to give important information. If such witnesses are not called without sufficient reason being shown, the Court may properly draw an inference adverse to the prosecution. The only thing that can relieve the prosecutor from calling such witnesses, is the reasonable belief that, if called, they would not speak the truth. No such corresponding inference can be drawn against an accused. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DHUNNO KAZI.* **EMPRESS V. DHUNNO KAZI** . **I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121**

S. C. DHUNNO KAZI V. EMPRESS [10 C. L. R., 151]

59. *Obligation to call witnesses examined before Magistrate.*—Where a Sessions Judge gave it as a sufficient reason for the non-production of certain witnesses in Court on the part of the prosecution, that they had been examined by the committing Magistrate against the express wish of the police officer in charge of the prosecution,—Held that that was not a valid ground for the non-production of the witnesses in the Sessions Court. In conducting a case for the prosecution, all

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Duty of prosecution as to calling witnesses—continued.**

the persons who are alleged, or known to have knowledge of the facts ought to be brought before the Court and examined. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. RAM SARAI LALL* . . . **I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1070**

60.***Trial in Sessions***

Court.—*Non-production of material witnesses for Crown.*—*Duty of public prosecutor.*—It is the duty of the Public Prosecutor at a trial before the Court of Session to call and examine all material witnesses sent up to the Court on behalf of the prosecution, and the Judge is bound to hear all the evidence upon the charge. The Public Prosecutor is not bound to call any witnesses who will not, in his opinion, speak the truth or support the points he desires to establish by their evidence; but in such circumstances he should explain to the Court that this is his reason for not calling those witnesses, and he should offer to put them in the box for cross-examination by the accused at their discretion. In the absence of any such explanation, or of other reasonable grounds apparent on the face of the proceedings, inferences unfavourable to the prosecution must be drawn from the non-production of its witnesses. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. TULLA* . . . **I. L. R., 7 All., 904**

61.***Revival of prosecution.***

Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 87, expl. 2.—A “revival of a prosecution,” as mentioned in explanation 2 of section 87 of Act IV of 1877, is not a continuation of the original prosecution from which the accused has been discharged. On the revival of the prosecution, all the witnesses on whose evidence the prosecution intend to rely must be examined before the Magistrate; and if any of them were examined at the time of the original prosecution, they must be examined *de novo*. *EM-PRESS v. CHUNDER NATH DUTT*

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 121 : 4 C. L. R., 305]**62. — Witnesses for prosecution.**

Witness examined by prosecution after defence.—It is irregular to allow a witness to be examined on behalf of the prosecution after the prisoner has made his defence, when the witness is not one to contradict any new case set up by the prisoner. *QUEEN v. CHOTRY LAL* . . . **3 N. W., 271**

QUEEN v. SHAMKISHORE HOLDAR**[13 W. R., Cr., 36]**

Where, however, the prisoner had full notice of the evidence which was to be given by such witness, and made his defence, in allusion to the evidence of the witness, the High Court refused to set aside the conviction, having regard to section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *QUEEN v. SHAM KISHORE HOLDAR* . . . **13 W. R., Cr., 36**

63.***Criminal Procedure***

Code, 1861, s. 372.—*Recalling witness for prosecution.*—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Witnesses for prosecution—continued.**

Procedure an accused should be called upon to enter upon his defence and to produce his evidence when the case for the prosecution has been brought to a close. Where, therefore, one witness for the prosecution was recalled after the prisoner had made his defence, and the prisoner had no opportunity of calling evidence with reference to the evidence of that witness, the High Court quashed the conviction and ordered a new trial. *QUEEN v. ASSANOOLLAH* **[13 W. R., Cr., 15]**

64.***Witnesses for defence.***

Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 372.—*Duty of Court as to witnesses for defence.*—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused should be asked, at the end of the case for the prosecution, to produce his evidence, and it is at that point the duty of the Court of Session to ascertain who the witnesses are whom the prisoner desires to examine in his defence. *QUEEN v. MOOKUN*

[12 W. R., Cr., 22]**65.*****Omission to examine witnesses for accused.***

The Court quashed the sentence which was passed upon a prisoner who had not been asked if he had any witness to call, although he was tried at the same time with others who had been so asked. *BHUGWAN v. DOYAL GOPE*

[10 W. R., Cr., 7]**66.*****Criminal Procedure***

Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266.—*Witnesses attending voluntarily.*—In cases coming under Chapter XXV of Act XXV of 1861, to which section 266 applied, and not section 252, the Magistrate was not obliged to call on the accused to produce his witnesses, but he was bound to hear them if they attended voluntarily, as by section 266, read with section 262, they were supposed to do. *IN RE BHIKA ROY* . . . **7 B. L. R., 568, note**

S. C. BHIKA ROY v. DHOTUN ROY**[10 W. R., Cr., 36]****67.*****Obligation of***

Magistrate to hear witnesses.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 266.*—Section 266 of the Code of Criminal Procedure only required the Magistrate to hear such witnesses as the accused shall produce in his defence. *ANONYMOUS* . . . **4 Mad., Ap., 29**

QUEEN v. AMEREE CHAND NOHATTA**[13 W. R., Cr., 63]****68.*****Refusal of Court***

to allow witness for defence to be examined.—*Illegal conviction.*—*Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266.*—Conviction set aside on the ground of the Magistrate's irregularity in refusing, in a trial before him under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, to allow the examination of a witness who had been tendered on behalf of the accused. *QUEEN v. MAHIMA CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY*

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 77 : 12 W. R., Cr., 77]

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.**

69. ———— **Recording evidence of witness.**—*Obligation to record evidence of witnesses.*—If a person is before the Court as a witness his evidence must be recorded as the law directs; if he is not a witness, and is not examined as such, the Judge has no right to allude to his having made any statement. *QUEEN v. PHOOLCHAND alias PHOLEEL AHIE* **8 W. R., Cr., 11**

——— **Note of deposition.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 195.*—A separate note of each witness's deposition was required to be taken by section 195 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, which was not satisfied by a statement that a witness "deposes as last witness." *REG. v. BYHA VALAD SURJIM* **1 Bom., 91**

71. ———— **Mode of examination.**—*Examination in absence of accused.*—It is illegal to examine the witnesses for the defence and to pass sentence in the absence of the accused. *BIHOORAM v. ALIAHO KOLITA* **1 B. L. R., S. N., 8**
QUEEN v. RAMNATH **7 W. R., Cr., 45**

72. ———— **Examination in absence of accused.**—Where witnesses are not examined in the presence of the accused, the conviction will be quashed. *QUEEN v. LALLA CHOWBEY*

[**2 N. W., 49**

QUEEN v. RAMNATH **7 W. R., Cr., 45**

ANONYMOUS **3 Mad., Ap., 34**

QUEEN v. RAJCOOMAR SINGH . **8 W. R., Cr., 17**

QUEEN v. RAMDHUN SINGH . **11 W. R., Cr., 22**

QUEEN v. RAM DASS BOISTUB

[**11 W. R., Cr., 35**

QUEEN v. RUSSICK DOSS . . . **24 W. R., Cr., 76**

ALI MEAH v. MAGISTRATE OF CHITTAGONG

[**25 W. R., Cr., 14**

73. ———— **Evidence not taken in presence of accused.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 194.*—When the accused has been arrested, the evidence of a witness for the prosecution ought, under section 194 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to be taken in the presence of the accused. *QUEEN v. HOSSAIN ALI CHOWDHRY*

[**8 W. R., Cr., 74**

74. ———— **Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.**—*Evidence taken in absence of accused.*—Under section 327, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, the witnesses for the prosecution should be examined in the presence of the accused when practicable, notwithstanding that their statements have been previously recorded in his absence. *QUEEN v. BOOHA CHOWKREDAE*

[**22 W. R., Cr., 33**

75. ———— **Evidence taken in absence of accused.**—*Warrant cases.*—It is not irregular in a warrant case for a Deputy Magistrate

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Mode of examination—continued.**

to take the evidence of the complainant and certain witnesses on behalf of the prosecution in the absence of the accused. All that the accused has a right to expect after the charge has been framed is that the complainant and witnesses who had been examined in his presence before the charge was framed should be recalled for the purposes of cross-examination. *QUEEN v. KASSY SINGH. QUEEN v. HULKOREE SINGH* **21 W. R., Cr., 61**

76. ———— **Depositions taken in absence of accused.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.*—Section 327 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, which permitted the depositions of a witness to be taken in the absence of an accused person who had absconded, did not apply to a deposition taken before that Code was passed. Where section 327 did apply, it was necessary to show that when the former deposition was taken the accused had absconded and after due pursuit could not be arrested. *QUEEN v. ETWABEE DHABEE* . **21 W. R., Cr., 12**

77. ———— **Duty of committing Magistrate.**—*Examination on oath in absence of accused.*—*Statements of witnesses.*—The Magistrate to whom a complaint was made, examined certain persons on oath in the absence of the accused, merely for the purpose of ascertaining whether there was any, and what, case against the prisoners; and he did not take down in writing the statements of the persons so examined. *Held* that the Magistrate was wrong in examining the witnesses on oath in the absence of the accused, or for the purpose of finding out whether there was a case; but that, having done so, he was not bound to take down their statements in writing. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ASGUR HOSSEIN. EMPRESS v. ASGUR HOSSEIN*

[**1 L. R., 6 Calc., 774**

S. C. IN RE ASGUR HOSSEIN . **8 C. L. R., 124**

78. ———— **Examination of, in absence of accused.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.*—*Power to quash commitment.*—An accused who was charged with murder not being found, the witnesses were examined under section 327 of Act X of 1872 in his absence. The accused was subsequently arrested and committed on the strength of the evidence taken in his absence. *Held* before the Sessions Court he pleaded not guilty. *Held* that the prisoner having been put upon his trial and having pleaded, the commitment could not be quashed. *Held*, further, that if, in the course of a trial, the Sessions Judge should be of opinion that the prosecution has not laid a proper basis for the reception of evidence in the absence of the accused, his proper course is to adjourn the trial under section 264 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and then under section 351 summon such witnesses as he may deem material. *Semble.*—The mere absence of questions in the record of a prisoner's statement does not render it inadmissible. *IN THE MATTER OF EMPRESS v. SAGAMBUR* **12 C. L. R., 120**

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Mode of examination—continued.**

79. *Reading deposition of witness.*—In every Sessions trial, no matter how often the case has been before the Court, the witnesses must be examined *de novo* in the same manner as if the case were entirely new and the witnesses had not been examined before. To read to a witness his deposition on a former trial is not an examination of the witness in the presence of the accused. *QUEEN v. KYAMUT W. R., 1864, Cr., 1*

QUEEN v. AFFAZUDDEN W. R., 1864, Cr., 13

QUEEN v. KANYE SHEIKH

[*W. R., 1864, Cr., 38*

QUEEN v. KALUNDAR DOSS . 2 N. W., 100

See also QUEEN v. MOHUN BANFOR

[*22 W. R., Cr., 38*

80. *Reading depositions instead of examining witnesses de novo.*—The High Court refused to interfere when the evidence of witnesses given on a previous trial was read over and used in a subsequent trial at the express request of the prisoners, instead of the witnesses being examined *de novo*. *PURMESSUR SINGH v. SOROOP AUDHI KAREE . . . 13 W. R., Cr., 40*

81. *Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 288.—Trial before Court of Session.—Evidence given before committing Magistrate used at trial to contradict witnesses.*—Section 288 of the Criminal Procedure Code was never intended to be used so as to enable a Court trying a cause to take a witness's deposition bodily from the committing Magistrate's record, and to treat it as evidence before the Court itself. *Queen v. Amanulla, 12 B. L. R., Ap., 15*, referred to. A Judge is bound to put to the witnesses whom he proposes to contradict by their statements made before the committing Magistrate, the whole or such portions of their depositions as he intends to rely upon in his decision, so as to afford them an opportunity of explaining their meaning, or denying that they had made any such statements, and so forth. In a case in which the Sessions Court had neglected to apply the above rules, *STRAIGHT, J.*, quashed the conviction. *QUEEN-EMPRESS v. DAN SAHAI . . . I. L. R., 7 All., 862*

82. *Witness offering hearsay evidence.—Duty of Court.*—The moment a witness commences giving evidence which is inadmissible—*e.g.*, hearsay evidence—he should be stopped by the Court. It is not safe to rely on a subsequent exhortation to the jury to reject the hearsay evidence, and to decide on the legal evidence alone. *QUEEN v. PITTAMBUR SIRDAR . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 25*

QUEEN v. KALI CHURN GANGOOLY

[*7 W. R., Cr., 2*

83. *Refreshing memory of witness.—Ground for inspecting document to refresh memory of witness.—Right to inspect docu-*

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Refreshing memory of witness—continued.**

ments.—Per FIELD, J.—The grounds upon which the opposite party is permitted to inspect a writing, and to refresh the memory of a witness, are threefold: (i) to secure the full benefit of the witness's recollection as to the whole of the facts; (ii) to check the use of improper documents; (iii) to compare his oral testimony with his written statement. *Per FIELD, J.*—The opposite party has a right to look at any particular writing before or at the moment when the witness uses it to refresh his memory in order to answer a particular question; but if he then neglects to exercise his right, he cannot continue to retain the right throughout the whole of the subsequent examination of the witness. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JHUBBOO MAHTON. EMPRESS v. JHUBBOO MAHTON*

[*I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739*

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS

[*12 C. L. R., 233*

84. *Memorandum made by police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 119.*—In giving evidence a police officer may refresh his memory by referring to documents in which he has, under, section 119 of Act X of 1872, reduced into writing statements of persons examined by him during an investigation, but the documents themselves cannot be used as evidence, and a Judge should not read such documents to a jury in order to point out discrepancies between the evidence and previous statements of the witnesses. *ROGHUNI SINGH v. EMPRESS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455; 11 C. L. R., 569*

85. *Memorandum made by police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), ss. 119 and 126.*—A prisoner on his trial is not entitled to insist that a memorandum made by a police officer under the provisions of section 119 of the Code of Criminal Procedure shall, in the course of the examination of such officer, be referred to by the latter for the purpose of refreshing his memory. *Reg. v. Uttamchand Kapurchand, 11 Bom., 123*, distinguished. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF KALI CHURN CHUNARI. EMPRESS v. KALI CHURN CHUNARI . . . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154*

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF KALI CHURN CHUNARI

[*10 C. L. R., 51*

86. *Medical witness, Evidence of.—Experts.—Examination of medical witness examined before Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 323.*—The evidence of a medical man who has seen, and has made a *post-mortem* examination of the corpse of the person touching whose death the inquiry is, is admissible, firstly, to prove the nature of injuries which he observed; and, secondly, as evidence of the opinion of an expert as to the manner in which those injuries were inflicted, and as to the cause of death. A medical man who has not seen the corpse is only in a position to give evidence of his opinion as an expert. The proper mode of eliciting

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(a) GENERALLY—continued.****Refreshing memory of witness—continued.**

such evidence is to put to the witness hypothetically the facts which the evidence of the other witnesses attempts to prove, and to ask the witness's opinion on those facts. Section 323 of Act X of 1872 did not in any way preclude the Judge at a Sessions trial from calling and examining the medical witness who had been examined before the Magistrate, and in every case in which the deposition taken by the Magistrate is essentially deficient or requires further elucidation, such witness should be called and examined by the Sessions Judge. A medical man in giving evidence may refresh his memory by referring to a report which he has made of his *post-mortem* examination, but the report itself cannot be treated as evidence, and no facts can be taken therefrom. **ROGHUNI SINGH v. EMPRESS**

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569]

87.

Treatment by Court of witnesses for defence.—When a prisoner makes a distinct defence, and calls witnesses to prove it, instead of dismissing the witnesses at once on their saying they know nothing in the prisoner's favour, a Judge should put a few questions to them in detail to see if there is any truth in the prisoner's statement or any part of it. **QUEEN v. BRUGNER PUTWA**

[11 W. R., Cr., 9]

(b) EXAMINATION BY COURT.**88.**

Examination of witness by Judge.—*Evidence Act, s. 138.*—*Duty of Court in examining witnesses.*—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the Judge, on the examination-in-chief of the witnesses for the prosecution being finished, questioned the witnesses at considerable length upon the points to which he must have known that the cross-examination would certainly and properly be directed. *Held* that such a course of procedure was irregular, and opposed to the provisions of section 138 of the Evidence Act. It is not the province of the Court to examine the witnesses, unless the pleaders on either side have omitted to put some material question or questions; and the Court should, as a general rule, leave the witnesses to the pleaders to be dealt with as laid down in section 138 of the Act. **NOOR BUX KAZI v. EMPRESS**

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 279: 7 C. L. R., 385]

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION.**89.**

Duty of Court as to allowing cross-examination.—*Cross-examination of witnesses by accused.*—The Judge ought, if requested, to allow the accused an opportunity of cross-examining all witnesses whose depositions have been taken for the prosecution by the committing Magistrate, but whose evidence is dispensed with by the prosecutor at the trial. His refusal to do so is, however, not an error in law. **RSG. v. FATTECHAND VASTACHAND**

5 Bom., Cr., 85

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—continued.****90. ———**

Right to cross-examine.—*Right of accused to cross-examine witnesses.*—The right of an accused party to cross-examine witnesses is limited to a right to cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution called against him. If he wishes to avail himself of evidence which has been given, or which can be given, by a witness called for another of the parties accused, he must call him as his own witness. **QUEEN v. SUREOOPCHUNDER PAUL**

[12 W. R., Cr., 75]

91. ———

Evidence Act, s. 165.—*Witness called by the Court.*—Witnesses summoned on behalf of the prosecution, and not called, ought to be placed in the box for cross-examination, in order that the defence may have the opportunity of exercising this right, and *a fortiori*, if such a witness is called and examined by the Court under section 165 of the Evidence Act, the prisoner should be allowed to cross-examine. *IN THE MATTER OF EMPRESS v. GRISH CHUNDER TALUKDAE*

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 614: 5 C. L. R., 364]

92.**Hostile witness.**

—*Evidence Act, s. 154.*—The mere fact that at a Sessions trial a witness tells a different story from that told by him before the Magistrate does not necessarily make him hostile. The proper inference to be drawn from contradictions going to the whole texture of the story is not that the witness is hostile to this side or to that, but that the witness is one who ought not to be believed unless supported by other satisfactory evidence. **KALACHAND SIRKAR v. QUEEN-EMPRESS**

I. L. R., 13 Calc., 53

93.**Medical witness.**

—As to cross-examination by accused of medical witness called in a professional capacity, see **QUEEN v. ISHAN DUTT**

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 15 W. R., Cr., 34]

94. ———**Evidence Act (II of 1855), s. 34.**

—*Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.*—The complainant's pleader was held to be at liberty before the Deputy Magistrate to cross-examine the witnesses for the defence on points respecting which they had made statements before the Joint Magistrate, and he might do so as regards previous statements which were reduced to writing, without showing the writing. Section 34, Act II of 1855, explained. **TUKHEYA RAI v. TUPSEE KOBE**

15 W. R., Cr., 23

95.**Evidence Act,**

1855, s. 23.—*Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.*—A witness when under examination-in-chief before the Court of Sessions should not have his attention directed to his deposition before the Magistrate. He might, under section 23, Act II of 1855, be cross-examined as to previous statements made by him in writing, when his attention might be drawn to the parts of the former writing which were to be used for the purpose of contradicting him. **QUEEN v. RAMCHUNDER SIRCAR**

[13 W. R., Cr., 18]

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—continued.

98. Right of witness on cross-examination.—Right to qualify statements.—A witness ought to be allowed on cross-examination to qualify or correct any statement which he has made in his examination-in-chief. *QUEEN v. TULSI DOSADH* **18 W. R., Cr., 57**

97. Right to recall witnesses for cross-examination.—*Cross-examination of, by accused.—Witnesses for defence.—Record of evidence.*—The charge having been read to the accused person, he stated his defence to the same, upon which the Magistrate, the witnesses for the prosecution being in attendance, called upon the accused to cross-examine them. The accused refused to do so until he had examined the witnesses for the defence who were not in attendance. The Magistrate then discharged the witnesses for the prosecution and adjourned the trial for the production of the witnesses for the defence. *Held per SPANKIE, J.*, that the accused was not entitled to have the witnesses for the prosecution summoned, in order that they might be cross-examined by the accused on the date fixed for the examination of the witnesses for the defence. *Held, also, per SPANKIE, J.*, that the Magistrate was empowered to record both oral and documentary evidence after the witnesses for the defence had been examined. *EMPRESS OF INDIA v. BALDRO SAHAI* [I. L. R., 2 All., 253]

98. Cross-examination after reading depositions.—Irregularity in examination.—*Criminal Procedure Code, ss. 286, 288.*—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the attorney who appeared for the prisoner suggested to the Court that, to expedite the trial, certain depositions of witnesses for the prosecution, taken before the Magistrate, should be read, and that he should be allowed to cross-examine the witnesses thereupon: to this course the Government Prosecutor and the Court consented. *Held* that this procedure was illegal, but that, inasmuch as it had not occasioned a failure of justice, a new trial should not be granted. *SUBBA v. QUEEN-EMPRESS* **I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83**

99. Cross-examination of witness after his examination by the Court.—*Evidence Act (I of 1872), s. 155.*—The principle that parties cannot, without the leave of the Court, cross-examine a witness whom, the parties having already examined or declined to examine, the Court itself has examined, applies equally whether it is intended to direct the cross-examination to the witness's statements of fact, or to circumstances touching his credibility, for any question meant to impair his credit tends (or is designed) to get rid of the effect of each and every answer, just as much as one that may bring out an inconsistency or contradiction, section 155 of Act I of 1872. *REG. v. SAKHARAM MUKUNDJI* **11 Bom., 166**

10. Recalling witnesses for cross-examination.—Refusal to recall witness.—

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—continued.

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination—continued.

When the charge had been framed and the defendant put on his defence, he had a right, under section 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to have the prosecutor's witnesses recalled for the purpose of cross-examination. The claim to recall the witnesses for the prosecution was very different from the request made by the accused person to summon a witness under section 362, Act X of 1872. *IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF BELLIOS, BELLIOS v. QUEEN ON THE PROSECUTION OF KALI DOSS BANERJEE* [19 W. R., Cr., 53]

101. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 252.—A Magistrate could not refuse to allow witnesses whom he allowed to be cross-examined by the accused previous to the preparation of a charge to be recalled and cross-examined after the accused had been put upon his defence, under section 252 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treating them as witnesses for the prosecution. *IN THE MATTER OF THAKOOR DYAL SEN* [17 W. R., Cr., 51]

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NOBIN CHAND BANERJEE **25 W. R., Cr., 32**

102. Warrant cases.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, Ch. XVII.*—In the trial of warrant cases the accused may, after the charge is drawn up and the witnesses for the defence have been examined, recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution. *TALLURI VENKAYYA v. QUEEN* **I. L. R., 4 Mad., 130**

103. Right of accused to cross-examine witness.—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.*—An accused person had, under section 218 of Act X of 1872, the right to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution at any time while he was engaged on his defence and before his trial was concluded. He is not precluded from asserting and exercising the right, by reason of his having cross-examined them before he was put on his defence, or by reason of his not having, *quo motu*, expressed his wish to do so at the time he was called upon to enter on his defence, and when the witnesses were in attendance in the Court and did not require to be re-summoned. *QUEEN v. LALL SINGH* [6 N. W., 270]

104. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.—Recall of witnesses for prosecution.—Under section 218 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate is not competent to refuse to recall the witnesses for the prosecution to be cross-examined by the accused, and it is not necessary for the accused to show that he has reasonable grounds for his application. *QUEEN v. AMIRUDDIN* **21 W. R., Cr., 29**

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—continued.****Recalling witnesses for cross-examination—continued.**

105. ————— *Cross-examination previous to framing of charge.*—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.*—An accused person was held to be not deprived of the right given him by section 218, Act X of 1872, to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution after the charge had been drawn up against him, by reason of the witnesses having been cross-examined before the charge was framed. A Magistrate should not of his own motion discharge the witnesses for the prosecution until the accused person has exercised or waived the right of cross-examination given him by the section. When it becomes necessary to adjourn the hearing the Magistrate should in all cases enquire of the accused if he desires to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, or consents to the discharge of all or any of them. If the accused consents to their discharge, and they are discharged accordingly, he is not entitled to have them re-summoned as a matter of right. Where it became necessary to adjourn the hearing, and the Magistrate did not call upon the accused to exercise his right under the section, and there was no sufficient proof that the accused consented to the discharge of the witnesses for the prosecution, it was held that the accused was entitled to have the witnesses, whom he desired to cross-examine at the further hearing, re-summoned. *Quere.*—If the Magistrate before granting an adjournment called upon the accused to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, and the accused refused to do so at that time, whether the Magistrate would thereupon be at liberty to discharge the witnesses. *QUEEN v. LALL MAHOMED* **6 N. W., 1284**

106. ————— *Right of accused to cross-examine witnesses.*—*Examination of accused.*—*Discretion of Magistrate.*—An accused should be allowed, at preliminary inquiries before a Magistrate, to cross-examine the witnesses; but whether the accused himself shall be examined upon the matter of the charge by the Magistrate is left entirely to the discretion of the Magistrate and such discretion should not be exercised when the Magistrate thinks that the evidence for the prosecution does not disclose any proper subject of criminal charge against the prisoner. *QUEEN v. SHAMA SUNKUR BISWAS* **10 W. R., Cr., 25**

107. ————— *Right of accused to recall witnesses for prosecution.*—*Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), ss. 217, 218.*—Reading sections 217 and 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code together, it appears that, if an accused person desires to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, the time at which he should express such desire is when the charge is read over to him and he is called upon to make his defence; and although it is in the discretion of the Magistrate to recall the witnesses at a subsequent stage of the case,

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.****(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—continued.****Recalling witnesses for cross-examination—continued.**

the accused has no right to insist upon the witnesses being recalled. *FAIZ ALI v. KOROMDI*
[**1 L. R., 7 Cal., 28**

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF FAIZ ALI
[**8 C. L. R., 325**

108. ————— *Cross-examination of witnesses for the prosecution.*—As a rule the proper and convenient time for the purpose of cross-examination of the witnesses for the prosecution is at the commencement of the accused person's defence; but it is in the discretion of the Criminal Court to allow the accused to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution at any period of the defence when the Court may think such a step right and proper. *KHURBUCKDHAREE SINGH v. PERSHADKE MUNDUL* [22 W. R., Cr., 44

109. ————— *Refusal to allow accused to recall witnesses for prosecution.*—*Waiver of right by accused.*—Where certain accused persons, who were convicted of using criminal force, had not been allowed to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, because the trying officer believed that such witnesses could only be recalled immediately after the framing of the charge,—*Held* that accused persons always had a right to recall prosecution witnesses, which ceased only when they themselves waived it; that Magistrates could waive all inconvenience to witnesses by asking accused persons, on the drawing up of charges, whether they required the further attendance of the witnesses; and that the conviction must be set aside because the accused had not enjoyed the protection provided by the law. *QUEEN v. RAM KISHAN HALWAI*
[**25 W. R., Cr., 48**

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.

110. ————— *Weight of evidence.*—*Single witness.*—*Evidence of fact.*—The evidence of one witness, if reliable, is sufficient to prove a fact. *ZALEM MISSEE v. KUNDUN KOORER*
[**11 W. R., 194**

BALINDUR NARAIN v. KALLA MESSOO KOOS
[**18 W. R., 341**

PROSONNO NARAIN DEB v. ROMONKE DOSSEE
[**10 W. R., 236**

111. ————— *Discrepancies in evidence of witnesses.*—*Effect of discrepancies.*—Discrepancies in the evidence of witnesses are not the less destructive of their testimony because a greater sagacity on the part of the witnesses would have avoided them. *REG. v. KALU PATIL* **11 Bom., 146**

112. ————— *Consideration of evidence.*—*Assumption of bad character of prisoner.*—A Judge cannot properly weigh evidence which starts

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued.**6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—continued.****Consideration of evidence—continued.**

with an assumption of the general bad character of the prisoners. *QUEEN v. KALU MAL*
[7 W. R., Cr., 103]

113. ——— Value of evidence.—*Value of evidence of medical witness.*—In trying a prisoner charged with giving false evidence, a Sessions Judge rejected facts which were proved by the evidence of certain witnesses, because a medical officer gave it as his opinion that what the witnesses deposed to could not be true. *Held* that it was not the proper way to try a case to rely on mere theories of medical men or skilled witnesses of any sort against facts positively proved. *QUEEN v. AHMED ALLY*
[11 W. R., Cr., 25]

WORKMAN.

See ACT XIII OF 1859.

[2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32
I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100
I. L. R., 10 Bom., 96
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379]

WRITTEN STATEMENT.

See ADMISSION—ADMISSIONS IN STATEMENTS AND PLEADINGS.

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904
1 B. L. R., A. C., 133
9 W. R., 83, 130, 290
22 W. R., 220
16 W. R., 257]

See FALSE EVIDENCE—GENERALLY.

[I. L. R., 6 All., 626]

1. ——— Form and contents of written statement.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 123.*—*Defendant's written statement.*—*Variance between pleading and proof.*—Section 123 of the Civil Procedure Code contemplated that a defendant should, in his written statement, set forth the case he intends to make at the trial. The rule followed in *Eshenchunder Singh v. Shamachurn Bhutto*, 11 Moore's L. A., 7; *Mohummud Zahoor Ali Khan v. Butta Koer*, 11 Moore's L. A., 463; and *Naranees Dossee v. Nurroohurry Mohuto, Marsh.*, 70, that a plaintiff must be held to the state of facts and equities alleged and pleaded by him in his plaint, or involved in or consistent therewith, applies also to the case made on the pleadings by a defendant. Therefore, where the defendant in a suit in ejectment averred in his written statement that the land in dispute was in fact his, but had previously to 1865 been encroached on by the plaintiff, who, in 1865, was about to erect a building thereon, and that the defendant then, in order to avoid litigation, compromised the dispute by payment to the plaintiff of a sum of money, and purchased the land, and had since then remained in possession of it,—*Held* that the only defence open to the defendant was that of purchase, and that he could not be allowed at the trial to prove a case of continuous user and posses-

WRITTEN STATEMENT.—Form and contents of written statement—continued.

sion adverse to the plaintiff commencing before 1865. *CHOVA KARA v. ISA BIN KHALIFA*

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209]

2. ——— Argumentative statement.—A written statement should not be argumentative. *BISHEN SAHAY SINGH v. BEER KISHORE SINGH* 8 W. R., 293

3. ——— Offer without prejudice.—*Irrelevant matter.*—*Act VIII of 1859, s. 124.*—An offer without prejudice should be omitted from the pleadings. In a suit where the written statement of the plaintiff contained letters relative to an offer made by the defendants without prejudice, the Court ordered, on the application of the defendant, that the paragraphs of the written statement relating to the offer should be struck out. *HALFORD v. EAST INDIAN RAILWAY COMPANY*
[12 B. L. R., Ap., 19]

4. ——— Irrelevant matter.—*Application to take written statement off the file.*—Where there was in a written statement matter irrelevant and improper, the Court, on application, ordered it to be taken off the file, with leave to file a fresh one in a week. Written statements should set out the *bonâ fide* nature of the defence, and nothing else. *KASUBLAL DEY v. TREMEARNE*
[3 B. L. R., Ap., 12]

5. ——— Taking off the file for irrelevancy.—*Relevant matter.*—*Tender of written statement.*—The Court has jurisdiction to take a written statement off the file, for irrelevancy, until it is "tendered," which is when it is produced at the hearing of the suit. "Relevancy" is to be judged by what the defendant believed to be material to his case, and not whether it did in fact disclose a good defence to the action. *KESHAYJI NAIK v. NASARVANJI ABDESIR WADIA* . 10 Bom., 425

6. ——— Court fee on written statement.—*Code of Civil Procedure (Act VIII of 1859), s. 120.*—*Act X of 1877, s. 110.*—*Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), s. 19.*—A written statement of his case, tendered by a party to a suit at any time before or at the first hearing of the suit, is not liable to any court fee, and may be written on plain paper (section 110 of Act X of 1877). A written statement called for by the Court after the first hearing, is also exempt from stamp duty (section 19 of Act VII of 1870). *NAGU v. YEKNATH*

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 400]

CHEBAG ALI v. KADIR MAHOMED

[12 C. L. R., 367]

7. ——— Verification of written statement.—*Admission on record without verification.*—A written statement filed by the defendant should be verified, but if admitted on the record without verification its allegations should be noticed and issues framed accordingly. *RADHACHURN ROY v. MORAN & Co.* 13 W. R., 342

WRITTEN STATEMENT.—Verification of written statement—continued.

8. ————— *Application to verify.—Notice.—Practice.*—Where an application is made that a written statement be verified by a person other than the plaintiff or defendant, it is always desirable that notice be given to the other side, although not absolutely necessary. *FINLAY, CAMPBELL, & Co., v. STEELE*

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39]

9. ————— *Application to verify by agent.—Notice.*—The Court will allow a written statement to be verified by the constituted attorney of the party without notice to the other side. *OVERNED, GURNEY, & Co., v. STEELE*

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40]

10. ————— *Filing written statement.—Time for filing.*—Under the Code of Civil Procedure a plaintiff cannot file a written statement after having seen that of the defendants, and by way of rejoinder thereto. *JADUB RAM DEB alias JADUB CHUNDER DEB v. RAM LOCHUN MUDUCK*

[5 W. R., 56]

11. ————— *Filing and verifying written statement on behalf of plaintiff.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 28, 123.*—The plaintiff in a suit went on a pilgrimage after he had been ordered to file a written statement, but without having filed it. Not having returned when the case was on the board, his son applied, under sections 28 and 123 of Act VIII of 1859, for leave to verify and file a statement, alleging himself to be interested as a reversioner. The application was refused. *Held* that a third party will not be allowed to verify and file a written statement for a plaintiff who has culpably neglected to file one himself. *DENOMOYE DOSSEE v. TARRACHUEN COONDOO CHOWDERY*

[Bourke, O. C., 153]

12. ————— *Admission of written statements.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 120, 122.*—The admission of written statements of the parties on various dates, unless expressly called for by the Court, was held to be contrary to the provisions of sections 120 and 122 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859. *ALI NUKEE alias EMDAD ALI v. TORAB ALI alias MIRZA NAWAB*

W. R., 1864, 44

13. ————— *Written statement by third party.—Power of Court.*—A Court has no authority to receive a written statement in a suit from one who is not a party, or to permit such a person to appear at the hearing. *SURNOMOYE v. BYKUNT CHUNDER MUSTOFFEE*

25 W. R., 17

14. ————— *Defendant neglecting to put in statement.—Adjournment of case.—Costs.*—In the event of a defendant neglecting to furnish a written statement, the Court will examine him as to the grounds of his defence; and should it appear desirable that a written statement should be put in, the case will be adjourned for that purpose at the expense of the defaulting party. *RAMRUTON v. ORIENTAL INLAND STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY*

[2 Hyde, 89]

WRITTEN STATEMENT—continued

15. ————— *Additional written statement.—Practice.—Act VIII of 1859, s. 122.*—An application by the defendant for leave to file an additional written statement allowed on condition of the defendant paying the whole costs and furnishing a copy of the additional statement to the plaintiff free of charge. Distinction made between such an application by a plaintiff and one made by a defendant. *DASMANI DASI v. SRINATH GHOSE*

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 11]

16. ————— *Supplemental statements, Filing of.*—Supplemental written statements cannot be filed after the parties have entered upon their case at the hearing. *MUNCHERSHAW BEZONJI v. NEW DHURUMSEY SPINNING & WEAVING COMPANY*

I. L. R., 4 Bom., 576

17. ————— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 122.*—A Court was held not to have done wrong in admitting a supplemental written statement which it had called for under section 122, Civil Procedure Code, 1859, which did not add to or vary the plaintiff's claim. *JAHANGHEER BUKSH v. BHICKAREE LALL*

11 W. R., 71

18. ————— *Statement explaining plaint.*—A written statement which was in explanation of the plaint and not starting a new case was allowed to be put in by the plaintiff after evidence was taken, and the defendant not being prejudiced by its admission. *LALL MAHOMED v. DHOOLEE RAM DOSS*

22 W. R., 377

19. ————— *Objections to written statement.—Sunday.—“Four clear days.”—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 124.*—A written statement has been “four clear days” upon the file in compliance with the rule 28, although the last of such days is a Sunday. Objections to the written statement on the ground stated in section 124 of Act VIII of 1859 cannot be taken when the suit is ripe for hearing. *SMALLWOOD v. PARRY*

Cor., 39

20. ————— *Raising question not raised in written statement.—Omission to raise equitable defence in written statement.*—A defendant is not precluded from availing himself of any equity which might arise out of the facts proved at the trial, merely because he has not raised that equity on the face of his written statement. *GOUB CHUNDER BISWAS v. GREESH CHUNDER BISWAS*

[7 W. R., 120]

21. ————— *Raising question of jurisdiction.—Fresh issue.—Practice.*—Where a question of jurisdiction had not been raised in a written statement, the defence therein being limited to a statement of the merits of the case, an application to raise an issue as to jurisdiction was granted on payment of the costs of the adjournment to enable the plaintiff to meet the case set up. *ROHEEMOLLA v. PALMER*

Cor., 8

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.

1. ————— *What amounts to imprisonment.—Suit for damages.*—The detaining of a

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.—What amounts to imprisonment—continued.

person in a particular place, or the compelling him to go in a particular direction by force of an exterior will overpowering or suppressing in any way his own voluntary action, is an imprisonment on the part of the person exercising that exterior will. **PARAN KUSAM NARASAYA PANTULU v. STUART**

[2 Mad., 396]

2. ——— Nature of confinement.—

Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), s. 346.—In an order to render a person liable under section 346 of the Penal Code, it must be shown that the wrongful confinement was of such a nature as to indicate an intention that the person confined should not be discovered. **IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SREENATH BANERJEE. EMPRESS v. SREENATH BANERJEE** **I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221**

3. Unlawful commitment by person in authority.—Illegal arrest.—Penal Code, s. 220.—Presumption of malice.—Proof of an unlawful commitment to confinement will not of itself warrant the legal inference of malice. Knowledge that such commitment is contrary to law is a question of fact and not of law, and must be proved in order to satisfy the requirements of section 220 of the Penal Code. **REG. v. NARAYAN BABAJI**

[9 Bom., 346]

4. ——— Obtaining arrest of wrong person.—Liability of person setting Court in motion.—Where a wrong person is arrested and imprisoned under a decree to which he is no party, the person setting the Court in motion is not liable for such arrest and imprisonment if he did not obtain the process fraudulently or improperly. **BHEEMA CHARLU v. DONTI MURTI** **8 Mad., 38**

5. Illegal arrest.—Malice.—Four persons, two of them police constables and two village officers, were convicted of wrongful confinement and abetment thereof. The defendants, the village officers, maliciously directed the arrest of certain persons for resisting the detention of certain pigs found trespassing. **Held**, a good conviction. **ANONYMOUS** **5 Mad., Ap., 24**

WRONGFUL CONVERSION.

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—TORTS.

**[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 118
5 Bom., O. C., 140]**

See ONUS PROBANDI—WRONGFUL CONVERSION **7 W. R., 286**

WRONGFUL DISMISSAL.

See CASES UNDER MASTER AND SERVANT.

Suit for, against Government.

See MASTER AND SERVANT.

[7 B. L. R., 688]

WRONGFUL DETENTION.

Detention of accused by Police Inspector.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 124.—

WRONGFUL DETENTION—continued.

Per GLOVER, J.—Where a Sub-Inspector of Police is charged with having detained prisoners for more than twenty-four hours, it is not necessary for the Crown to prove that he detained them with a guilty knowledge, as section 124, Act X of 1872, imperatively lays down that accused persons are on no account to be detained beyond that time except under special order of the Magistrate, which was not obtained in this case. **QUEEN v. BASOORAM DASS**

[19 W. R., Cr., 36]

WRONGFUL DISTRRAINT.

See BENGAL RENT ACT, VIII OF 1869, s. 27.

**[3 B. L. R., Ap., 74
6 W. R., Act X, 7, 33
9 W. R., 162
Marsh., 264
15 W. R., 451
3 B. L. R., A. C., 261
7 W. R., 41]**

See BENGAL RENT ACT, VIII OF 1869, s. 100.

**[Marsh., 470
3 W. R., Act X, 139]**

See PRIVATE DEFENCE, RIGHT OF—

[23 W. R., Cr., 40]

See RIOTING **8 Mad., Ap., 11**

See TRESPASS—GENERAL CASES.

[23 W. R., Cr., 40]

1. ——— Cutting and carrying away crops.—Persons put in possession in execution of decree.—Certain putnidars who, in execution of a decree for khas possession, had been put in nominal possession of their lands, instead of ousting the ryots allowed them to cultivate, and when they had cultivated cut and carried away their crops. **Held** that the act of the putnidars was an abuse of the law of distraint, and rendered them liable for damages. **ADOR MOHUN CHUCKERBUTTY v. THAKOOR MONER DABEE** **10 W. R., 70**

2. ——— Crops, Seizure of.—Act X of 1859, ss. 142 and 143.—Trespass.—Certain sub-lessees sued in the Collector's Court the zemindar and others employed by him for the value of crops seized and carried away, under a certificate, as was alleged by the defendants, granted to them by the Collector, but which they failed to produce. **Held** that sections 142 and 143 of Act X of 1859 applied to the case. Section 143, Act X of 1859, contemplated not only the case of a person who professes to follow the provisions of the law, though he has no power to distrain, but also the case of a person who, under colour of the Act, does distrain, but does not do so according to the provisions of the Act. Such persons were considered by that section as trespassers, and were liable to the penalty of trespass, in addition to damages which may be awarded against them by the Revenue Court. **RADHA MOHAN NASKAR v. JADUNATH DASS**

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 261; 12 W. R., 68]

3. ——— Person acting without authority.—Act X of 1859, s. 143.—Trespasser.—Section 143, Act X of 1859, did not apply when a

WRONGFUL DISTRRAINT.—Person acting without authority—continued.

distrainer acted without the authority of the superior holder. In such a case he was a mere trespasser. *Rowseun v. Bholanath Doss*

[5 W. R., Act X, 67

4. ——— Persons removing property under rent law.—Procedure.—Penal Code, s. 379.—Persons removing property under the provisions of the rent law relating to distrainment ought not to be proceeded against under the criminal law, but the parties aggrieved by a wrongful distrainment should have recourse to the remedy provided by Bengal Act VIII of 1869. *IN THE MATTER OF AGHANI. AGHANI v. BHAGI HALWAI*

[8 C. L. R., 204

5. Right to sue to set aside wrongful distrainment.—Right of landlord against trespasser.—A landlord whose tenant's crops have been wrongfully distrained by a stranger, has a right to sue to set aside such wrongful distrainment. *HORRO NARAIN v. SHOODHA KRISHTO BEHAH*

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 890; 4 C. L. R., 32

6. Right to damages for wrongful distrainment.—Beng. Act VIII of 1869, ss. 69, 78.—Liability to suit for damages.—When on the one hand a ryot institutes a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the Court has no option but must adjudicate upon the demand. If, on the other hand, the distrainer has distrained "otherwise than according to the provisions of the Rent Act," he has done so at his peril, and rendered himself liable to an action for damages by the owner of the distrained property. *TARINEE KANT LAHIREE CHOWDHURY v. RAJKISHORE TONTRY*

. 24 W. R., 334

7. Right to damages.—Act X of 1859, s. 142.—Suit to contest distrainment.—Onus of proof.—Damages.—In a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the landlord is only required to prove the fact of tenancy and the amount of jumma: if thereupon the tenant pleads payment and payment is denied, the onus is on the tenant to prove his allegation. Before a tenant can obtain any decree for damages on the ground of illegal distrainment, he must prove what loss he has actually sustained. *OJJAN DEWAN v. PRANNATH MUNDUL*

. 8 W. R., 220

8. ——— Onus of proof.—Suit for damages for wrongful distrainment.—Act X of 1859, s. 143.—In order to maintain a suit under section 143, Act X of 1859, it was necessary for the plaintiff to prove that the defendant in making the distress was acting not only without right, but without anything to justify him in supposing that he had a right to distrain—a mere trespasser without any reasonable foundation for the claim set up. *RAYE KUMUL DOSSEE v. JEOROO MOLLAH*

. 15 W. R., 543

See JOYLOLL SHEIKH v. BROJONATH PAUL CHOW-

. 9 W. R., 162

9. Suit on account of property damaged by wrongful distrainer.—Act X of 1859, s. 142.—Damages for vexatious distrainment. Power of Court to award.—When a suit had been

WRONGFUL DISTRRAINT.—Suit on account of property damaged by wrongful distrainer—continued.

brought under section 142, Act X of 1859, on account of property damaged or destroyed by neglect of a distrainer, the Court was not competent to award damages for vexatious distrainment. Such damages were properly awarded by the Collector under section 138, in a suit to contest the distrainer's demand. *NON-KOO RAM v. WOOGOGUE ROY*

. 5 W. R., Act X, 68

10. Procedure.—Beng. Act VIII of 1869, s. 101.—Proceedings against persons wrongfully distraining.—When proceedings are taken before a Munsif, under Bengal Act VIII of 1869, section 101, he is bound, first, to inquire whether an offence has been committed, and if he is satisfied that it has, the only order he can make against the offenders (not being tenants) is that they shall pay the value of the crops distrained. *PREM CHAND LAHA v. ADDOITO DOSS*

. 20 W. R., 445

WRONGFUL LOSS.

See MISCHIEF . . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 660

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

Trespasser.—Sums paid during wrongful possession, Right to recover.—Where a person has wrongfully taken possession of an estate and held it adversely to the true owner, and has, during his possession, paid certain sums for Government revenue on the supposition that he was the lawful owner (being however, in reality, nothing more than a trespasser and wrong-doer), he is not entitled to recover, as against the true owner, any sums so paid, even though such payments may have enured to the benefit of the true owner, but must be content to bear the burden of his own wrong. *TILUCK CHAND v. SOUDAMINI DASI*

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566; 3 C. L. R., 456

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECUTION.

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 244
(ACT XXIII OF 1861, s. 11)—QUESTIONS
IN EXECUTION OF DECREE.

[3 N. W., 187

2 Agra, 105

5 Mad., 185

12 B. L. R., 201, 203, note; 207, note;

208, note

12 W. R., 85

3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT

OF DAMAGES—TORTS . 3 Agra, 202

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74

7 Mad., 235

See EXECUTION OF DECREE—LIABILITY
FOR WRONGFUL EXECUTION.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

12 B. L. R., 208, note

I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECUTION—continued.

See CASES UNDER SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—WRONGFUL SALES.

WRONGFUL RESTRAINT.

See MISCHIEF . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55

1. ————— Penal Code, ss. 339, 340, 342. —*Police officer, Conduct of.*—In a case of a police officer charged under Penal Code, section 342, where there was no malice, no intention of doing an act of the nature spoken of in section 339 or 340, and no voluntary obstruction or restraint, though there was probably excessive and mistaken exercise of powers not civilly excusable in a police officer, the facts were held not to amount to the criminal offence of wrongful restraint. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF BUDBOOL HOSSEIN . . . 24 W. R., Cr., 51

2. ————— s. 339.—*Refusal to let person go until he gave bail.*—Where a police officer refused to let a person go home until he had given bail, he was held to have been guilty of wrongful restraint under section 339 of the Penal Code. SHEO SHUBH SANA v. MAHOMED FAZIL KHAN [10 W. R., Cr., 20

3. ————— *Restraint and taking money on false plea.*—Where the accused prevented the complainants from proceeding in a certain direction with their carts and exacted from them a sum of money on a false plea,—*Held*, the accused were guilty of wrongful restraint and not theft. JOWAHIR SHAH v. GHIDHAREE CHOWDHRY [10 W. R., Cr., 35

YEAR, AGRICULTURAL—

See N.W. P. RENT ACT (ACT XVIII OF 1873), s. 94 . I. L. R., 1 All., 512

ZANZIBAR.

See CONSUL'S COURT AT ZANZIBAR. [I. L. R., 3 Bom., 58

ZEMINDAR.

See GRANT—CONSTRUCTION OF GRANTS; [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 307
L. R., 13 I. A., 32

See GRANT—POWER TO GRANT. [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 75, 774

————— *Duty of.*—*Ancient tanks.*—*Negligence.*—*Statutory powers.*—*Liability for damage occasioned by overflow of tanks.*—The public duty of maintaining ancient tanks, and of constructing new ones, was originally undertaken by the Government of India, and upon the settlement of the country has, in many instances, devolved upon zemindars.

ZEMINDAR.—Duty of—continued.

Such zemindars have no power to do away with these tanks, in the maintenance of which large numbers of people are interested, but are charged under Indian law, by reason of their tenure, with the duty of preserving and repairing them. The rights and liabilities of such zemindars with regard to these tanks are analogous to those of persons or corporations on whom statutory powers have been conferred, and statutory duties imposed. A zemindar, if the banks of any such tank in his possession are washed away by an extraordinary flood without negligence on his part, is not liable for damage occasioned thereby. MADRAS RAILWAY COMPANY v. ZEMINDAR OF CARVETINAGARAM

[14 B. L. R., 209 : 22 W. R., 279
L. R., 1 I. A., 364

S. C. in lower Courts. MADRAS RAILWAY COMPANY v. ZEMINDAR OF KAVETINUGGUR.

[5 Mad., 139

and after remand . . . 6 Mad., 180

————— *Kabuliat between Government and—*

See SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE—SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE NOT ALLOWED.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 464

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF—

1. ————— *Power to grant lease.*—*Lease granted for longer term than zemindar's engagement with Government.*—*Operation of Act XVI of 1842.*—A lease granted by a zemindar for a longer period than the term of his own engagement with the Government was not absolutely void for the excess, but only voidable, and might be confirmed. *Semle.*—that Act XVI of 1842 applied to agricultural leases, not to *bonâ fide* leases for other purposes. NITA RAM v. NANUCK DASS

[1 N. W., Part III, 47 : Ed. 1873, 103

2. ————— *Hindu law.*—*Authority to grant leases as manager and owner.*—Under the Hindu law the granting of a lease, though for a term, is an act within the scope of a zemindar's authority as manager and owner of the zemindari, and is, as such, binding on his successor, unless, in the circumstances in which it was made, it was otherwise than *bonâ fide*. RAMANADAN v. SRINIVASA MURTHI . . . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80

3. ————— *Power to alter boundaries.*—*Effect of arrangement altering boundary without sanction of Government.*—Zemindars have no authority, without the sanction of Government, to alter the boundaries of their permanently-settled estates, and to transfer villages from one zemindari to another. Such an arrangement is of no binding effect, except between the parties who have made it. RAMCHUNDER BANERJEE v. MUDDUNMOHUN TEWABEE . . . W. R., 1864, 355

4. ————— *Power to charge estate with personal debts.*—A decree for possession of certain land with *wasilat* obtained by a zemindar of an estate, as such, cannot be pledged by him as security for a

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF.—Power to charge estate with personal debts—continued.

personal debt, nor for such a debt can the estate be made liable, nor his successor be held responsible. *NIMAYE CHURN SEIN v. RAMMONER BEEBEE*

[10 W. R., 152]

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF—

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—RENT AND REVENUE SUITS, N. W. P.

[1 L. R., 1 All., 338]

See MADRAS REGULATION XXV OF 1802.

[14 B. L. R., 115]

See MEASUREMENT OF LANDS.

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 27]

See RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY—TRANSFER OF RIGHT. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 774

1. ——— Nature of zemindari estate.

—*Power to deal with estate.*—A zemindar's estate is analogous to an estate-tail as it originally stood upon the statute *de donis*. The zemindar is the owner of the zemindari, but can neither encumber nor alienate beyond the period of his own life. *CHINTALAPATI CHINNA SIMHADIRAJ v. ZEMINDAR OF VIZIANAGRAM*

2 Mad., 128

2. ——— Collections of rent.—Claim to intermediate tenures.—Onus of proof.—A zemindar

has, as such, a *prima facie* right to the gross collections from all the mouzahs within his zemindari. It is for parties setting up an intermediate tenure to prove their grant. *PRAHLAD SEN v. DURGAPRASAD TEWARI*

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 111; 12 W. R., P. C., 6; 12 Moore's I. A., 286]

3. ——— Right to rent.—Payment of revenue by zemindar.—The right of a zemindar to exact from a tenant payment of rent for a certain piece of land, in no way depends on whether he does or does not pay revenue for that land. *JOTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE v. AYMUN BEEBEE*

[1 C. L. R., 366]

4. ——— Liability for rent.—Co-sharer in talook.—Held that a zemindar, by becoming a co-sharer in the talook, does not lose his right to the joint responsibility of all the other co-sharers for the due payment of the rent; he only becomes bound to make an allowance for that portion which he as a co-sharer ought to pay. *GOBINDO COOMAR CHOWDHRY v. MANSON*

[15 B. L. R., 56; 23 W. R., 152]

5. ——— Compensation.—Compensation to putnidar for loss sustained by erection of works by railway company.—A zemindar who receives his rents in full is not entitled to participate in compensation received by his putnidar for loss suffered by the latter in consequence of works being erected on land included in the putni. *MAHARAJAH OF BURDWAN v. WOOMA SOONDUREE DOSSE*

[10 W. R., 12]

6. ——— Sale in execution of decree.—

Custom.—Charge on sale-proceeds.—Where a sale

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF.—Sale in execution of decree—continued.

took place in execution of a decree, and it was proved by custom that the zemindar's right extended to one fourth of the sale-proceeds in cases of involuntary sale,—Held that the zemindar had a right to recover the fourth share of the proceeds of sale from the judgment-creditor, who in truth reserved the sale price. The zemindar's right attached to the sale-proceeds, and was a prior charge upon the proceeds. *BYJ NATH PERSHAD v. MAHOMED FUZI HOSSEIN*

[2 Agra, Part II, 204]

7. ———

Custom.—Right to share of sale-proceeds.—Calculation of amount.—Zemindari huq.—Where by custom the zemindar is entitled to a quarter share of the sale-proceeds as his huq zemindari, he is entitled to recover it on the occasion of sales, either absolute or originally conditional, but subsequently becoming absolute by foreclosure, from the vendor and the purchaser, and the latter cannot be discharged from his liability by proving that he has paid all, including zemindar's dues, to the former, it being incumbent on him to see that the zemindar is satisfied in respect of his dues. Held, further, that, under the circumstances, the plaintiffs, the zemindars, were entitled to one fourth from Rs 450, the principal amount repayable, and not from the amount ascertained at the time of foreclosure to be due to the mortgagee, including interest, inasmuch as the deed made no provision for payment of any sum as interest. *HEERA RAM v. DRO NARAIN SINGH*

Agra, F. B., 63; Ed. 1874, 43

8. ———

Sale in execution of house in mohalla.—Wajib-ul-urz.—Liability of auction-purchaser.—Right of zemindar to huq-i-chaharam.—The zemindars of a certain mohalla claimed from the purchaser of a house situated in such mohalla, which had been sold in execution of a decree, one fourth of the sale-proceeds of such house, such purchaser being the holder of such decree. Such suit was based upon the terms of the wajib-ul-urz. That document stated, *inter alia*, that, when a house in such mohalla was sold, a cess called chaharam was received by such zemindars "according to the understanding arrived at between the seller and the zemindars." Held that such zemindars were not entitled under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz to one fourth of the sale-proceeds; that the decree-holder, because he happened to have become the auction-purchaser, could not be regarded as the "seller," and it was only the "seller" who was liable; that the terms of the wajib-ul-urz were applicable only to private and voluntary sales and not to execution-sales; and that, under these circumstances, the suit must be dismissed. *BENI MADHO v. ZAHURUL-HAQ*

[1 L. R., 3 All., 797]

9. ———

Sale of zemindar's right.—Right as tenant in another house.—Where a zemindar's right is sold by auction, it does not follow that, by the sale of the zemindari right, he forfeits his tenant-right which he had in another putni in respect of a house. *RAM BUKSH SINGH v. PURDUMUN KISHORE*

[2 Agra, Part II, 555]

ZEMINDAR AND RYOT.

See CASES UNDER BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT.

See CASES UNDER MADRAS RENT RECOVERY ACT, 1865.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY.

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

1. Beng. Act VIII of 1862.—*Effect of Act on liability of putnidars.*—Bengal Act VIII of 1862 did not relieve putnidars from their liability under the old laws of paying the zemindari dak charges. *BISSONATH SIRCAR v. SHURNOMOYEE* [4 W. R., 6

2. ————— *Liability of putnidar.*—Where the terms of a putnee lease did not make the putneedar liable for the maintenance of the zemindari daks, it was held that the putnidar was not liable for a tax which was imposed on the

ZEMINDARI DAKS.—Beng. Act VIII of 1862—continued.

zemindar by Bengal Act VIII of 1862. *RAKHAL DOSS MOOKERJEE v. SHURNO MOYEE*

[6 W

3. *Liability of putnidar.*—The provision in a pottah that if any item is laid upon the zemindar over and above the sudder jumma, the putnidar shall bear a rateable proportion of it, held not to include the charges connected with the zemindari dak. *ROHINEE KANT ROY v. TRIPOORA SOONDURKE DASSIA* . 8 W. R., 45

SARODA SOONDERY DEBIA v. WOOMA CHURN SIRCAR . 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17

ZEMINDARI DUES AND CESSSES, SUIT FOR—

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL—JURISDICTION—CESS.

[1. L. R., 1 All, 444

APPENDIX.

ABATEMENT OF SUIT.

Appeal.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 366.—Application by legal representative to carry on appeal.—The appellant's father having died during the pendency of an appeal lodged by him, a notice was served upon the appellant's two adult brothers; but they having failed to apply within sixty days, the appellant, who was a minor, applied several months afterwards to be put on the record in his deceased father's place as his legal representative, which was done. The Assistant Judge who heard the appeal was of opinion that, in consequence of the omission on the part of the brothers of the appellant to apply, the appeal abated, and he passed an order accordingly. *Held* that the application having been made by the minor son within the time limited by law, the order of abatement made by the Judge was wrong. Although the complete legal representation vested in the minor son and his two brothers, section 366 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882) only required an application to be made by a person claiming to be the legal representative, in order to prevent an order of abatement being made. If neither of the brothers was willing to have his name placed on the record, the respondent was entitled to have them made defendants, so that they might be bound by the decree. The minor son could then proceed alone with the appeal. **BHIRAJI RAMCHANDRA v. PURSHOTAM**

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 220]

ABETMENT.

Penal Code, s. 107.—Act I of 1879 (Stamp Act), s. 61.—Abetment of making an unstamped receipt.—A debtor, having paid a sum of money to his creditor, accepted from the latter an unstamped receipt promising to affix a stamp thereto. *Held* that this did not constitute abetment, within the meaning of section 107 of the Penal Code, of the offence of making an unstamped receipt. *Empress v. Bahadur Singh, Weekly Notes, All., 1885, p. 30*, distinguished. *Empress v. Janki, I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82*; and *Empress v. Bhairon, Weekly Notes, All., 1884, p. 37*, referred to. **QUEEN-EMPRESS v. MITTHU LAL**

I. L. R., 8 All, 18

ACCOMPLICE.

See CASES UNDER APPROVER.

1. —Corroboration.—Evidence of accomplices.—It is an established rule of practice that an accomplice must be corroborated by independent evidence as to the identity of every person whom he impeaches. *Reg. v. Malapai, 11 Bom., 196*; and *Reg. v. Nanku, I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475*, followed. **QUEEN-EMPRESS v. KRISHNABHAT**

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 319]

ACCOMPLICE.—Corroboration—continued.

2. —Evidence of accomplices.—Observations on the necessity of requiring corroboration, in material particulars, of the evidence of an accomplice. *Queen-Empress v. Ram Saran, I. L. R., 8 All., 306*, referred to. **QUEEN-EMPRESS v. IMDAD KHAN** . I. L. R., 8 All, 120

3. —Evidence of accomplices.—*Act I of 1872, ss. 114 (b), 133.*—Law in India, as expressed in section 133 and section 114 of the Evidence Act, and which is in no respect different from the law of England on the subject, is that a conviction based on the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice is not illegal,—that is, it is not unlawful; but experience shows that it is unsafe, and hence it is the practice of the Judges, both in England and in India, when sitting alone, to guard their minds carefully against acting upon such evidence when uncorroborated, and, when trying a case with a jury, to warn the jury that such a course is unsafe. There must be some corroboration independent of the accomplice, or of a co-confessing prisoner, to show that the party accused was actually engaged directly in the commission of the crime charged against him. A second accomplice does not improve the position of the first, and, if there are two, it is necessary that both should be corroborated. The accomplice must be corroborated not only as to one but as to all of the persons affected by the evidence, and corroboration of his evidence as to one prisoner does not entitle his evidence against another to be accepted without corroboration. *R. v. Webb, 6 C. & P., 595*; *R. v. Dyke, 8 C. & P., 261*; *R. v. Addis, 6 P. P., 388*; and *R. v. Wilkes, 7 C. & P., 272*, referred to. The possession of property taken from a murdered person is not adequate corroboration of the evidence of an accomplice charging such person in possession with participation in the murder, though it would no doubt be corroboration of evidence that the prisoner participated in a robbery, or that he had dishonestly received stolen property. In the trial of *R., S., and M.* upon a charge of murder, the evidence for the prosecution consisted of (i) the confession of *P.*, who was jointly tried with them for the same offence; (ii) the evidence of an accomplice; (iii) the evidence of witnesses who deposed to the discovery in *R.'s* house of property belonging to the deceased; and (iv) the evidence of witnesses who deposed that, on the day when the deceased was last seen alive, all the prisoners were seen together near the place where the body was afterwards found. *Held* that there was no sufficient corroboration of the statements of the accomplice or of the co-confessing prisoner *P.* **QUEEN-EMPRESS v. RAM SARAN**

[I. L. R., 8 All, 306]

ACCUMULATIONS.

See HINDU LAW—WIDOW—INTEREST IN
ESTATE OF HUSBAND—BY INHERIT-
ANCE . . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478

ACT—1842—IV.

See MADRAS BOAT RULES.
[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431

See MAGISTRATE, JURISDICTION OF—
POWERS OF MAGISTRATES.
[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431

ACT—1856—XV.

See HINDU LAW—MARRIAGE—VALIDITY
OR OTHERWISE OF MARRIAGE.
[I. L. R., 8 All., 143

———— 1861—IX.

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—GUARDIAN.
[I. L. R., 8 All., 322

**———— 1868—VI (N.-W. P. MUNICIPAL
IMPROVEMENT).**

See N.-W. P. AND OUDH MUNICIPALITIES
ACT, 1883, SS. 69, 71.
[I. L. R., 8 All., 776

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
A.		
Abad Ali Pradham <i>v.</i> Jamiruddin Mahomed	13 C. L. R., 160 . . .	1228, 1234
Abadi Begam <i>v.</i> Asa Ram . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 162 . . .	1253
— <i>v.</i> Inam Begam . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 521 . . .	3718, 3722
Abadi Husain <i>v.</i> Jurawan Lal . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 866 . . .	5203
Abaji bin Sankroji <i>v.</i> Niloji bin Baloji . . .	2 Bom., 262 : 2nd Ed., 342 . . .	2849
Abas <i>v.</i> Ibrahimji . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 119 . . .	340, 752
Abas Ali Zenul Aradin <i>v.</i> Ghulam Muhammad	1 Bom., 36 . . .	1344
Abasi <i>v.</i> Dunge . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 598 . . .	3688
Abasu Begum <i>v.</i> Umda Khanum . . .	J. L. R., 8 Calc., 724 . . .	4727
Abba Haji Ishmail <i>v.</i> Abba Thara . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253 . . .	3316
Abbakku <i>v.</i> Ammu Shettati . . .	4 Mad., 137 . . .	3572
Abbas Ali, In the matter of . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 17 . . .	1951
Abbas Ali Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Illim Meah . . .	14 W. R., Cr., 46 . . .	4101
Abbas Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Yadeem Ramy Reddy . . .	3 Moore's I. A., 156 . . .	1776
Abbas Aly <i>v.</i> Nund Coomar Ghose . . .	7 W. R., 123 . . .	4006
Abbasee Begum <i>v.</i> Rajroop Kooer . . .	1 C. L. R., 368 . . .	1932
Abbilakh Singh <i>v.</i> Khub Lall . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1100 . . .	5513
Abboo Bibee <i>v.</i> Collector of Backergunge . . .	1 W. R., 255 . . .	2882
Abbott <i>v.</i> Abbott and Crump . . .	4 B. L. R., O. C., 51 . . .	1556
— <i>v.</i> Crump . . .	5 B. L. R., 382 . . .	415
Abdool, In re . . .	5 B. L. R., 109 . . .	4330
— <i>v.</i> Lucky Narain Mundul . . .	8 W. R., Cr., 32 . . .	1041
Abdoola bin Shaik Ally <i>v.</i> Stephens . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 132 . . .	4096
Abdool Adood <i>v.</i> Mahomed Makmil . . .	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17 . . .	4608
Abdool Ali. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 562 . . .	3697
— <i>v.</i> Abdoor Rahman . . .	15 B. L. R., 197 . . .	2947, 2955, 5936
— <i>v.</i> Abidunnissa Khatoon . . .	21 W. R., 429 . . .	1842, 4456
— <i>v.</i> Ashruffun . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 41 . . .	671
— <i>v.</i> Banoo . . .	25 W. R., 215 . . .	3828
— <i>v.</i> Mozuffur Hossein Chowdhry . . .	2 W. R., 287 . . .	877
— <i>v.</i> Mullick Sudderoodeen Ahmed . . .	16 W. R., P. C., 22 . . .	997, 1492, 2083, 4647
— <i>v.</i> Ramguttty . . .	14 W. R., 493 . . .	1755, 1761
— <i>v.</i> Verner . . .	12 W. R., 128 . . .	5108
— <i>v.</i> Yar Ali Khan Chowdhry . . .	23 W. R., 73, 239 . . .	2947, 2955
— <i>alias</i> Shoageea <i>v.</i> Kurrunnissa . . .	8 W. R., 467 . . .	2927
	9 W. R., 153 . . .	3287, 4161

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdoola Rohoman Moosan <i>v.</i> The "Thames"	Bourke, Ad., 21	5646
Abdool Azeez <i>v.</i> Dorab Ally Khan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 356	5491
_____ <i>v.</i> Hamid Hossein	17 W. R., 113: 10 B. L. R., 45	5613
_____ <i>v.</i> Shumsunnissa	11 W. R., 263	5751
Abdool Azim Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kabeer	11 W. R., 281	1426
Abdool Aziz Biswas <i>v.</i> Radha Kant Kobiraj	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 226	4774
Abdool Baree <i>v.</i> Nittyanund Koondoo	21 W. R., 103	215, 3804
Abdool Bari. In the matter of the petition of _____ <i>v.</i> Ramdass Coondoo	25 W. R., Cr., 50 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677	4736, 4737 5353
Abdool Futteh Moulvie <i>v.</i> Zabunnissa Khatun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 631: 8 C. L. R., 242. }	3699
Abdool Ghunnee <i>v.</i> Goodree Rai	2 Agra, Pt. II, 192	1389, 2860
Abdool Guffoor. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 54	1853
Abdool Guffoor Khan Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Golam Nujuf	16 W. R., 298	5050
Abdool Gunnee <i>v.</i> Bhutto Sheikh	22 W. R., 350	1656, 1756
_____ <i>v.</i> Gour Monee Debia	9 W. R., 375	4436, 5830
_____ <i>v.</i> Kherode Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 409	2982
_____ <i>v.</i> Kishanund Doss <i>alias</i> Kebul Ram Doss	17 W. R., 350	5029
_____ <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 524	2982
Abdool Gunney <i>v.</i> Zamoordoonissa Khanum	11 B. L. R., 203: 19 W. R., 171: L. R., I. A., Sup Vol., 165. }	1439
Abdool Gunny <i>v.</i> Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	2 W. R., 239	4208
Abdool Hakim <i>v.</i> Doorgaprosad Banerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 4	1096
Abdool Hamed <i>v.</i> Promothonath Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 218	2808
Abdool Hekim <i>v.</i> Aseentoollah	25 W. R., 94	3490, 3496
Abdool Hossein <i>v.</i> Lall Chand Mohtan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 36: 13 C. L. R., 323	1160, 1189, 3802
_____ <i>v.</i> Luteefoonnissa	11 W. R., 235	3748
Abdool Hossein Khan <i>v.</i> Gobind Chandra Shaha	11 W. R., 404	3723
Abdool Huq <i>v.</i> Ambur Ali	8 W. R., 422	5784
_____ <i>v.</i> Myah Bewah	W. R., 1864, 184	3983
Abdool Huq Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Idrak	21 W. R., Cr., 57	490
Abdool Hyd <i>v.</i> Lalla Nowah Roy	1 W. R., 204	860
Abdool Hye <i>v.</i> Macrae	23 W. R., 1	5457, 5493, 6015
_____ <i>v.</i> Mitterjeet Singh	23 W. R., 348	1252
_____ <i>v.</i> Nawab Raj	9 W. R., 196	5452
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Churn Singh	11 W. R., 445	2897
Abdool Jaleel <i>v.</i> Kalee Coomar Dutt	6 W. R., Mis., 3	4535
Abdool Jubbar <i>v.</i> Kalee Churn Dutt	7 W. R., 81	5176
Abdool Jubbar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Collector of Mymensingh	11 W. R., 65	3649
Abdool Juleel <i>v.</i> Kanchun Dossee	24 W. R., 143	3473
Abdool Kadir <i>v.</i> Abin Mirdha	24 W. R., 290	6234
Abdool Kadir Khan <i>v.</i> Magistrate of Purneah	11 B. L. R., Ap., 8: 20 W. R., Cr., 23.	6029
Abdool Khalek <i>v.</i> Poran Bibee	25 W. R., 542	3669
Abdool Khan <i>v.</i> Upendro Chunder Bhuttacharjee	14 W. R., 278	3956
Abdool Khyrat <i>v.</i> Jumalooddeen Hossein	10 W. R., 244	4913
Abdool Kureem <i>v.</i> Awlad	18 W. R., 141	755
_____ <i>v.</i> Jaun Ali	18 W. R., 56	5405
_____ <i>v.</i> Mea Jan	6 W. R., 288	2704
_____ <i>v.</i> Munsoor Ali	12 W. R., 396	2981
_____ <i>v.</i> Omer Chand Lahata	24 W. R., 461	3046
_____ <i>v.</i> Ooghun Lal	6 W. R., Mis., 119	221, 5730
_____ <i>v.</i> Suffur Ali	11 W. R., 118	1740

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdool Kureem Biswas v. Campbell	8 W. R., 172	159, 3817
Abdoollah. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., 394: 19 W. R., 303.	5115
_____	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 131: 26 W. R., 50: L. R., 3 I. A., 221	4764, 4849, 5115, 5126
_____ v. Reasut Hossein	17 W. R., 414	2709
_____ v. _____	20 W. R., 51	1918
_____ v. Shaha Mujeesooddeen	15 W. R., 286	4399, 4476
_____ v. _____	16 W. R., 27	4477
_____ v. Shokoor Ali	14 W. R., 192	3249
Abdoollah Khan v. Ameerun	1 Agra, 274	4559
_____ v. Sreekanto Pershad Hajrah	15 W. R., 252	4971
Abdool Luteef. In the matter of the petition of	9 W. R., Cr., 31	4356, 5519
_____ v. Mahomed Kamil	22 W. R., 369	5791
Abdool Mannah v. Baroda Kant Banerjee	15 W. R., 394	1716, 3071
Abdool Oahab Chowdhry v. Elias Banoo	8 W. R., 301	3747
Abdool Reza v. Jebunnissa Bibee	16 W. R., 34	4302
Abdool Rohoman v. Dataram Bashee	W. R., 1864, 367	3020
Abdool Ruhman v. Abdool Sobhan	12 W. R., 125	2646
Abdool Settar v. Makhum Kooer	25 W. R., 182	2648
Abdool Shukkoar v. Raheen-on-nissa	6 N. W., 94	5096
Abdool Soban. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 63	738
Abdool Wahad v. Drummond	2 W. R., Act X, 48	5322
Abdoor Ruhman. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., Cr., 37	1988, 6258
_____ v. Gunnesh Lall	23 W. R., 444	2576
_____ v. Kisto Lal Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 598: 6 W. R., 225.	3955
Abdoos Sobhan v. Brahma Deo Narain	14 W. R., 140	4951
Abdoos Salam v. Imraloonissa Bebee	Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28	5786
Abdula Haji Abdsatar v. Ahmed	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1	1149, 1342, 2282, 2369, 3632, 6200
Abdul Ahmed. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 94: 2 C. L. R., 485.	1530
Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan	7 B. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 W. R., 62.	2707
_____ v. Tarachand Ghose	6 B. L. R., 292	3147, 3174, 3290, 3306
Abdul Ali Ishmailji. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 180	3648, 3741
Abdul Allarakhi v. Abdul Bacha	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 5	2608
Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 63: 10 W. R., 356.	3715
Abdul Aziz v. Wali Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 333	2868
Abdul Bari v. Rash Behari Pal	6 C. L. R., 413	2073, 3688, 3853
Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158	2282, 3632, 3735
_____ v. Turner	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158	2282, 3632, 3735
Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu	1 B. L. R., A. C., 78: 10 W. R., 111.	293, 3720, 4410
Abdul Gani v. Krishnaji Bhikaji	10 Bom., 416	5351
Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussien Miya Rahimtula	10 Bom., 7	3661
Abdul Guffoor. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 54	4622
Abdul Gunnee v. Gour Monee Debia	9 W. R., 375	241

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdul Gunny v. Pogose	4 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 12 W. R., 436. }	3505
Abdul Hakim v. Gunesh Dutt	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 323	5286
— v. Tej Chandar Mukarji	I. L. R., 3 All., 815	1513
Abdul Hamed Chowdry. In re	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96, note	5863
Abdul Hamid v. Dongaram Dey	3 B. L. R., Ap., 133	1593, 4925
— v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 349	2013
Abdul Hayai Khan v. Chunia Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 377	1493, 1867
Abdul Hye v. Banees Pershad	21 W. R., 228	2075
— v. Mahomed Mozaffar Hossein	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 616: L. R., 11 I. A., 10. }	1396
— v. Nawab Raj	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 911	5452
Abdul Jabel v. Khelatchandra Ghose	1 B. L. R., A. C., 105: 10 W. R., 165. }	3706, 4535
Abdul Kadir v. Hurree Mohun	6 N. W., 261	5978
— v. Salima	I. L. R., 8 All., 149	3632, 3653, 5098
Abdul Karim v. Abdul Huque Kazi	8 B. L. R., 205: 15 W. R., 21	2652, 5976
— v. Bullen	I. L. R., 6 All., 385	6180
— v. Manji Hansraj	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 295	3133, 3226, 4145
Abdul Kawder v. Muhammad Mera	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 410	5278
Abdul Kureem v. Chukhun	5 C. L. R., 253	3501
— v. Jaun Ali	18 W. R., 56	2515
Abdulla v. Muhammad	1 Bom., 177	1779
Abdulla Khan v. Upendra Chandra	6 B. L. R., Ap., 53	3956
Abdulla Rawutan v. Pakkeri Mahomed Rawutan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346	3045, 3065
— v. Subbarayyar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346	334
Abdulla Saiba v. Abdulla	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 8	5396
Abdul Nassia v. Doolal Doss	11 C. L. R., 303	5466
Abdul Rahim v. Racha Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 363	5126, 5142
— v. Ziban Bibi	I. L. R., 5 All., 593	4833
Abdul Rahiman v. Khaja Khaki Aruth	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Abdul Rahman v. Muhammad Yar	I. L. R., 4 All., 190	204
— v. Yar Muhammad	I. L. R., 3 All., 636	359, 5270
Abdul Razzak v. Amir Haidar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 976: L. R., 11 I. A., 121. }	4226
Abdul Rohman v. Sofy Mikhayesh Saheba	24 W. R., 293	5760
Abdul Sabhan Chowdhry v. Shibkisto Daw	3 B. L. R., Ap., 15	4438
Abdul Samad v. Rajindro Kishor Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 357	232, 4423
Abdul Vidona Jonas v. Harone Esmile	7 B. L. R., Ap., 21	4791
Abdul Wahab v. Chandia	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 305	3613
Abdul Wahid Khan v. Nuran Bibee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 597: L. R., 12 I. A., 91. }	989, 3692
Abdur Ali v. Bhyea Lal Jha	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 666: 7 C. L. R., 497. }	4207
Abdur Rohoman v. Sakhin	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. R., 21. }	3740
Abdur Ruhman v. Digamburee Dossee	18 W. R., 477	570
Abed Hossein v. Assud Aly	11 W. R., 29	1913
— v. Lalla Ramsaran	13 B. L. R., 516, note: 13 W. R., 426. }	704
Abedoonissa Khatoon v. Ameerounissa Khatoon	9 W. R., 257	3679, 3732
— v.	17 W. R., 464	229
Abelakh Roy v. Guggun Bhuggut	22 W. R., 269	301
Abelakh Singh v. Sirram Singh	15 W. R., Cr., 50	4369

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6295

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Aben Sha <i>v.</i> Cassiráo Baba Saheb	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 260	269
Abhachari <i>v.</i> Ram Chandrayya	1 Mad., 393	2274
Abhai Charan Ghose <i>v.</i> Dasmani Dasi	6 P. L. R., 623	1544, 2544
Abhai Pandey <i>v.</i> Bhagwan Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 818	1164, 2871
Abhassi Begum <i>v.</i> Rajroop Koonwar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 33 : 2 C. L. R., 249.	2077, 2277
Abhaya Charan Dutt <i>v.</i> Haro Chandra Das Banik	4 B. L. R., Ap., 68	3236, 3293
Abhaya Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brae	6 B. L. R., Ap., 148 : 15 W. R., Cr., 42.	1806, 4489, 4732
Abhaya Churn Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Gour Mohun Dutt	24 W. R., 26	3147, 3189
Abhaya Durga <i>v.</i> Hari Krishna Gope	1 B. L. R., S. N., 21 : 10 W. R., 285.	3162
Abhay Chandra Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Pyarimohan Guho	5 B. L. R., 347	2373, 4321
Abhay Gobind Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Swarnamayi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7	3192
Abhiram Doss <i>v.</i> Sriram Doss	3 B. L. R., A. C., 421 : 12 W. R., 336.	2525, 4867
Abhoy Churn Pal <i>v.</i> Kally Pershad Chatterjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 949 : 6 C. L. R., 260.	1431, 4204
Abhoy Nath Bose <i>v.</i> Chairman of the Municipal Committee of Kishnagur.	7 W. R., 92	504
Abhram Ali <i>v.</i> Natha Jallam	5 Bom., A. C., 74.	3830
Abid Ali <i>v.</i> Munnoo Byas	2 Agra, 183	1928, 5422
Abid Hossein <i>v.</i> Reazun	15 W. R., 302	513
Abidunnissa Khatun <i>v.</i> Amirunnissa Khatun	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 327 : L. R., 4 I. A., 66.	1917, 5054
Abilak Roy <i>v.</i> Rubbi Roy	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 293	5386
Ablakhee Kooer <i>v.</i> Oodun Singh	15 W. R., 331	361
Ablakh Rai <i>v.</i> Ahmed Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 437	3026
— <i>v.</i> Salim Ahmed Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 437	3029
— <i>v.</i> Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 353	5200
Ablak Ram <i>v.</i> Mohendro Pershad Tewaree	20 W. R., 365	1435
Aboo Imam <i>v.</i> Benec Ram	5 N. W., 100	3520
Abool Hossein <i>v.</i> Raghu Nath Sahu	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 70	4839
Abool Mahomed <i>v.</i> Shib Doolaree Tewaree	11 W. R., 114	5482
Aboo Mahomed <i>v.</i> Kishen Mohun Surma	6 W. R., 290	6019
Aboo Sait & Co. <i>v.</i> Arnott	2 Mad., 439	2051, 5685
— <i>v.</i> Dale	6 Bom., A. C., 170	426
Abraham. In re	1 W. R., P. C., 1 : 9 Moore's I. A., 195.	1148
— <i>v.</i> Abraham	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17 : 10 W. R., 350.	395, 3559
— <i>v.</i> Queen	6 Bom., A. C., 77	991
Abubekar bin Hagada Hajisaba <i>v.</i> Maibibi	I. L. R., 4 All., 381	5378
Abu Hasan <i>v.</i> Ramzan Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 299	5615
Abul Hasan <i>v.</i> Zohra Jan	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 98	3254
Abul Munsoor <i>v.</i> Abdool Hamid alias Sabhan Miah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 511 : L. R., 11 I. A., 51.	4224
Achal Ram <i>v.</i> Udai Partab Addiya Dat Singh	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 138	468
Achamparambath Cheria Kunhammu <i>v.</i> Gantz	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 253	3091
Achaya <i>v.</i> Ratnavelu	11 W. R., 45	3696
Achina Bibee <i>v.</i> Ajeemoonissa Bibee	I. L. R., 8 All., 641	6126
Achobandil Kuari <i>v.</i> Mahabir Prasad	4 Mad., 378	4809
Achoo Bayaham <i>v.</i> Dhany Ram	2 Agra, 73	4932
Achul <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 812	3231
Achul Mahta <i>v.</i> Rajun Mahta	4 Mad., 478	4483
Achumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah <i>v.</i> Makachinde Agath Makachi		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Achumbah Paurey <i>v.</i> Ram Sahoy Paurey	W. R., 1864, 136	4291
Achumbeet Tewaree <i>v.</i> Bhugwant Pandey	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 161 .	3890
Achumbit Jha <i>v.</i> Jewun	11 C. L. R., 11	1994, 4436
Achumbit Misser <i>v.</i> Lalla Nund Ram	11 W. R., 544	3900, 4003
Achumbit Shaha <i>v.</i> Rohimoonissa <i>alias</i> Bibee Noor Jan	24 W. R., 358	1372
Achumbit Singh <i>v.</i> Kesho Lall	20 W. R., 128	3903
_____ <i>v.</i> Kunhya Lal Mohajun	7 W. R., 208	183, 5771
Achumbit Thakoor <i>v.</i> Choonee Lall Chowdhry	10 W. R., 27	3919
Achunth Singh <i>v.</i> Kishen Pershad Singh	W. R., 1864, 37	4158
Achurbur Panday <i>v.</i> Buckshee Ram	2 W. R., 38	3728
Achuta <i>v.</i> Kali	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 545 . . .	3764
Ackjoo Bibee <i>v.</i> Lallah Ram Chunder Lall Sahai	23 W. R., 400	4036
Act XI of 1849 as amended by Bengal Act III of 1873. }	11 B. L. R., 250	3781
In the matter of		
Act XIX of 1857. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 394 . . .	952
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 . . .	3146
Act X of 1866. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330 . . .	945
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335 . . .	951
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350 . . .	951
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123 . . .	945
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 . . .	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257 . . .	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296 . . .	936
	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924
Act XX of 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W. R., O. C., 24	4757
	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. R., 386, note	4800
Act XXVIII of 1866. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Act XVIII of 1869. In the matter of	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 420	6215
	3 C. L. R., 13	5843
	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 829: 3 C. L. R., 597	5841
Act II of 1874. In the matter of	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 340	4969, 5115
Act I of 1879. In the matter of	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 92: 13 C. L. R., 164	5848
Adamkhan <i>v.</i> Alarakhi	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 645	6144
Adamson <i>v.</i> Arumugam	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 463	4272, 5260
Adanky Ramachandra Row <i>v.</i> Indukuri Appalaraju Garu	2 Mad., 451	2719
Adarji Dorabji <i>v.</i> Erakshah Dhanji	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 272	1134
Adarji Edulji <i>v.</i> Manikji Edulji	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 414	5116
Addaita Charan Dey <i>v.</i> Peter Das	13 B. L. R., 417, note: 17 W. R., 383	53
Addoyto Chunder Dass <i>v.</i> Woojan Beebee	4 C. L. R., 154	5258
Adheen Misser <i>v.</i> Jograj Misser	11 W. R., 312	2775
Adhiranee Narain Coomary <i>v.</i> Shona Molee Pat Mahadai	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 365	2430
Adhirani Narain Kumari <i>v.</i> Purikhit Rawtra	7 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., 426	5918
_____ <i>v.</i> Raghu Mahapatro	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 50	4893
Adi Deo Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Dukharan Singh	1. L. R., 5 All., 532	1417, 2451
Adimulam <i>v.</i> Pir Rayuthan	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 424	3395
Adimulam Pillai <i>v.</i> Kovil Chinna Pillai	2 Mad., 22	5698
Adina Bibi <i>v.</i> Sububunnissa Bibi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	3507

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Adinarayana Setti <i>v.</i> Minchin	3 Mad., 297	305
Adjin Mullah Moodeen <i>v.</i> Cruikshank	21 W. R., 299	1477
Adjoodhia Gir <i>v.</i> Kashee Gir	4 N. W., 31	2150, 2529
Adjoodhya Pershad <i>v.</i> Middleton, Cohen, & Co.	3 N. W., 334	408
Adjoodhya Singh <i>v.</i> Girdharee	2 N. W., 199	2976, 3432
Adjudhia Prasad. In the matter of	7 B. L. R., 74: 15 W. R., O. C., 16.	2663, 2674
Administrator General of Bengal <i>v.</i> Ahmed Begg	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 33	1479
_____ <i>v.</i> Anundo Chunder Bose	21 W. R., 425	4590
_____ <i>v.</i> Apcar	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 553	6211
_____ <i>v.</i> Juggeswar Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 192: 1 C. L. R., 107.	1037
_____ <i>v.</i> Kedar Nath Moitry	4 C. L. R., 102	3137
_____ <i>v.</i> Lala Dyaram Doss	6 B. L. R., 688	762
Administrator General of Madras <i>v.</i> Anandachari	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 466	1149, 2439
_____ <i>v.</i> Hawkins	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 267	131
_____ <i>v.</i> Lazar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244	6203, 6217
Admulam Pillai <i>v.</i> Kovil Chinna Pillai	2 Mad., 22	5088
Adoo Mean <i>v.</i> Shibo Soondooree	2 W. R., 295	35
Adoremonee Dossee <i>v.</i> Premchund Mussaut	9 W. R., 454	1907
Ador Mohun Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Thakoor Monee Dabee	10 W. R., 70	6278
Adram <i>v.</i> Hurbullub	2 N. W., 58	963, 5278
Adrishappa <i>v.</i> Gurushidappa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 494: L. R., 7 I. A., 162.	1465, 2223, 4134
Adur Monee Debia <i>v.</i> Koolo Chunder Chatterjee	21 W. R., 140	4759
Adurmonee Dossee <i>v.</i> Kaminee Soonduree Debia	3 W. R., Act X, 145	5921
Adurmoni Deyi <i>v.</i> Chowdhry Sib Narain Kur	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 1	2165
Adu Shikdar <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 635	1019, 4061, 5994
Adut <i>v.</i> Naseeba Koore	5 N. W., 238	2919
Advocate General of Bengal (Officiating). In the matter of the claim of. {	Bourke, O. C., 224: A. O. C., 110.	151
Advocate General of Bengal <i>v.</i> Surnomoyee {	1 W. R., P. C. 14: 9 Moore's } I. A., 387.	1579
Advocate General of Bombay <i>v.</i> Fatima Sultani Begam	9 Bom., 19	3668
_____ <i>v.</i> Muhammad Huseu Huseni.	4 Bom., O. C., 203	5171
_____ <i>v.</i> Vishvanath Atmaram	1 Bom., Ap., 9	1579, 2538, 4244
_____ <i>v.</i> <i>Ex relatione</i> Daya Muhammad <i>v.</i> Muhammad Husen <i>alias</i> Aga Khan	12 Bom., 323	4862
Advyapa <i>v.</i> Rudrava	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 104	2336
Adyan Sing <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 121	919, 1791
Afa Khan <i>v.</i> Kisben Moonjoree Dossee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 17.	536
Afatoolla Sirdar <i>v.</i> Dwarka Nath Moitry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 814: 4 C. L. R., 95.	5306
Afrannessa Chowdhriani <i>v.</i> Sharafutullah Chowdhry	9 C. L. R., 321	790
Afsar Mundul <i>v.</i> Ameen Mundul	8 W. R., 502	3066
Afsuroodeen <i>v.</i> Akbur Ali	2 W. R., Act X, 77	536
Afsurooddeen <i>v.</i> Shorooshee Bula Dabee	Marsh., 558: 2 Hay, 664	2, 1731, 1769
Aftabooddeen <i>v.</i> Shumsooddeen Mullick	18 W. R., 461	2881, 4311, 4404
Aftabooddeen Ahmed <i>v.</i> Mobinee Mohun Doss	15 W. R., 48	511, 6014
Aftabooddeen Mahomed <i>v.</i> Sanioollah	23 W. R., 245	5348
Afzal <i>v.</i> Ram Kumar Bhudra	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610	190, 6038

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Afzal Ali v. Lala Gaurnarayan {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 519 : 6 } W. R., Act X, 59. }	5273
Afzal-un-nissa v. Tej Ban	I. L. R., 1 All., 725	304
Afzalunissa Begam v. Al Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 35	2763
Afzooloonissa Begum v. Parbutty Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis., 41	210
Aga Ali Khan v. Joydoyal Persaud	Bourke, O. C., 52	5897
Agabeg. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340	2634
—	12 C. L. R., 165	2667, 3290
Aga Kurboolie Mahomed v. Queen	3 Moore's I. A., 164	1897
Aga Mahomed Ali Sheraji v. Judah {	7 B. L. R., 50 : 17 W. R., 234, } note. }	2632
Aga Mahomed Hamadani v. Cohen	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 221	648, 3446
Aga Mahomed Rohim Sherazee v. Ally Mahomed } Shoosttry. }	4 W. R., P. C., 106	130
Aga Mohammed Jaffer Tehrani v. Nazirulla {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 73 : 10 W. } R., 385. }	869, 912
Agar Chand v. Viraraghavalu Chetti	3 Mad., 172	1097
Aga Syed Abdool Hossani v. Lenaine	13 Moore's I. A., 69	826
Aga Syud Saduck v. Jackariah Mahomed	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 308	2682, 2741
Ager Singh v. Boojhawun	4 N. W., 61	580
Aghani. In the matter of	—	—
— v. Bhagi Halwai {	8 C. L. R., 204	6279
Aghore Chunder Mookerjee v. Woomasoonderree Debea	7 W. R., 216	2887, 5682
Aghoreeram Sahoy v. Ramolee Sahoo	W. R., 1864, 309	4133
Aghore Lall Shamunt v. Gyananund Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 11	2889
Aghore Nath v. Shama Sundari	I. L. R., 5 All., 615	5442
Aghore Nath Neogy v. Prem Chand Neogy	7 C. L. R., 274	5284
Aghore Nath Roy v. Radhika Pershad Bose	14 W. R., 339	3769
Aghore Nauth Banerjee v. Calcutta Tramways Company	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 232	1114
Aghori Ramasarag Singh v. Cochrane	5 B. L. R., Ap., 14	2188
Agnew v. Indian Carrying Company	2 Mad., 449	4609
— v. Mathews {	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 71 : 1 } Mad., 17. }	6211
Agooree Hurrihur Churn v. Gungapersad Opadhya	W. R., 1864, 208	2089
Agra and Masterman's Bank. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951, 2669
— v. Gungapershad	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352	953, 2669
— v. Minto	15 W. R., F. B., 5, note	5139
— v. Robinson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 265	428
Agra Bank v. Abdul Rahiman	6 B. L. R., Ap., 140	129
— v. Cripps	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 1	591
Agra Savings Bank v. Sriram Mitter	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 455	798
Agrawal Singh v. Foujdar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 388	820
Agri Sarma Embrandri v. Vistun Embrandri	8 C. L. R., 346	1716
Aguiar v. Woomes Chunder Shaw	3 Mad., 198	107
Agum Misra v. Pullukdharee Misra	22 W. R., 209	1401, 2069
Agur Mullick Mundul v. Debnath Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 187	1499
Ahamudeen v. Grish Chunder Shamunt	24 W. R., 190	5681
Ahed Hossein v. Lallaram Surun	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 350	1184
Ahfutoonnissa v. Goleck Chunder Sen	11 W. R., 216	1072
Ahiloji valad Khandoji v. Dongar Harichand Gujar	22 W. R., 77	2078
Ahirunnissa Khatoon v. Komurunnissa Khatoon	5 Bom., A. C., 176	3212
Ahmad Ali v. Hafiza Bibi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 100	227
Ahmad Ata v. Mata Badal Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 514	3310
Ahmad Bakhsh v. Gobindi	I. L. R., 3 All., 844	187
—	I. L. R., 2 All., 216	4769
Ahmad Hossein Khan v. Nihal-uddin-Khan {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 945 : 13 C. L. } R., 340 : L. R., 10 I. A., 45 }	337, 3373, 4968

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ahmad Khan v. Madho Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 565	6092
Ahmad-uddin Khan v. Majlis Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 12	409, 5376
— v. —	I. L. R., 5 All., 438	2920, 4906
Ahmed v. Gunaish Pershad	2 Agra, 9	5109
Ahmedabad Municipality v. Mahamud Jamul	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 146	1541
Ahmed Ali v. Golam Gafar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., 432.	1607
— v. Nittyanund Roy	24 W. R., 171	215
Ahmed Ali Khan v. Ahmed	1 Agra, 101	4549
— v. Jawahir Singh	1 Agra, 3	3968
— v. Nubee	2 Agra, 239	164
Ahmed Ally Mahomed v. Gladstone, Wyllie & Co.	7 W. R., 508	165
Ahmedbhai Habibbhai v. Premchand Raichand	5 Bom., O. C., 83	4271, 5485, 5943
Ahmedbhoy Hubibbhy v. Vulleebhoy Cassumbhoy	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 572	2677, 4531
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 703	2025, 3087, 3736, 4663, 5045
	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 323	705, 4287
Ahmed Chowdhry v. Khatoon	7 C. L. R. 537	1892, 5422
Ahmedee Begum v. Dabee Persaud	18 W. R., 287	139, 2826
Ahmed Hossein v. Banded	15 W. R., 91	4149, 5091
— v. Keramut	8 W. R., 353	560, 563
— v. Khadija	3 B. L. R., A. C., 28, note: 10 W. R., 369.	3656, 4282
— v. Kurncedan	24 W. R., 60	4324
Ahmed Mahomed v. Auhin	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95	3811
Ahmed Mahomed Pattel v. Adjein Dooply	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 323	3339
Ahmed Mirza Saheb v. Thomas	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 162	1242
Ahmed Mundul v. Sonaoollah	8 W. R., 5	5788
Ahmedoollah v. Ashruff Hossein	13 W. R., 447: 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note	4309, 4310, 4315
— v. Gour Hurree Biswas	15 W. R., 251	1700
— v. Hur Churn Pandah	2 W. R., 236	3286, 3292, 5749
— v. Meah Khan	14 W. R., 105	1138
Ahmed Reza v. Aghori	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15	2929
— v. Enayet Hossein	W. R., 1864, 235	3317, 3318
— v. Khajurunnessa	8 B. L. R., 93: 16 W. R., P. C., 13 W. R., 281	1160
— v. Khujoorunnissa	15 W. R., 469	1934
— v. Khujoorunnissa	1 W. R., Mis., 20	2707
Ahmed Reza v. Enaet Hossein	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 343	3842
Ahmed Sujud v. Taree Rai	8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note	319
Ahmedulla v. Ashruff Hossein	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 63	2880, 4309, 4310
Ahmed Unnissa Begam Saliba v. Arundel	6 Bom., A. C., 149	3574
Ahmed valad Nanhubhai v. Khasaji valad Karimbai	6 W. R., Mis., 5	916
Ahmeenooddeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition of	16 W. R., Cr., 75	4444
Ahmuddy v. Anund Mohun Mozoomdar	16 W. R., 193	974, 4629, 3669
Ahmud Hossein v. Mohioodeen Ahmud	1 N. W., 51: Ed. 1873, 48: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 175.	449
Ahmud Hossein Khan v. Mahomed Azeem Khan	3 Agra, 186	2059
Ahmud Oollah v. Mithoo Lall	W. R., 1864, Act X, 15	341
Ahmuty v. Brodie	5 Mad., 275	5703
Ahobalaso Chetty v. Venkata Kristnamma	6 W. R., 37	2424
Aholly Bai Debia v. Luckhee Monee Debia	1 C. L. R., 473	6085
— v. Shama Churn Bose		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ahsan Aly v. Sabokhee Bibee . . .	10 W. R., 53 . . .	1471
Ahsan Khan v. Gangaram . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 185 . . .	3180
Ahsanoolah v. Jusoda . . .	23 W. R., 72 . . .	3797
Ahsanoolah v. Kajee Aftabooddeen . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 594 : 3 C. L. R., 382. }	2692
— v. Kisto Gobind Dass . . .	2 C. L. R., 592 . . .	5628
Ahsuna Begum v. Kheerun Singh . . .	10 W. R., 360 . . .	4848
Ain Ali v. Juggut Chunder Roy Chowdhry . . .	25 W. R., 416 . . .	5064
Aitchison v. Nilmonsee Singh Deo . . .	20 W. R., 347 . . .	531
Aiyayu v. Queen-Empress . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 61 . . .	719
Ajey Ram v. Girdharee . . .	4 N. W., 110 . . .	2188
Ajgur Ali v. Asmut Ali . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 110 : 10 C. L. R., 87. }	5344
Ajholah Kooeree v. Digambur Singh . . .	23 W. R., 206 . . .	87
Aji Ajam Tadallah Saib v. Gulam Hussain Saib . . .	4 Mad., 44 . . .	3671, 3686
Ajmut Ali Khan v. Jowahir Singh . . .	14 W. R., P. C., 17 . . .	2698
Ajit Singh v. Bijai Bahadur Singh . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 61 : L. R., 11 I. A., 211. }	1508
Ajmuddin v. Mathuradas Govardhan Das . . .	11 Bom., 206 . . .	3147
Ajmuddin Khan v. Zia-un-nissa . . .	12 Bom., 156 . . .	4006, 5653
Ajnas Kooer v. Luteefa . . .	18 W. R., 21 . . .	272, 1038
Ajnasi Kuar v. Suraj Prasad . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 501 . . .	852
Ajodhya Prasad Sing v. Umrao Sing . . .	6 B. L. R., 509 : 15 W. R., P. C., 1 : 13 Moore's I. A., 519. }	1743, 3562, 4651
Ajonnisia Bibee v. Surja Kant Acharji . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 181 : 11 W. R., 56. }	5939
Ajoodhia Lall v. Gumani Lall . . .	2 C. L. R., 134 . . .	4299, 4403, 6076
Ajoodhia Persad v. Emambandi Begum . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 725 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192 : 7 W. R., 528. }	5197
Ajoodhia Shookool v. Jewboodh Shookool . . .	6 N. W., 46 . . .	1485
Ajoodhya Chowbey v. Daibee Singh . . .	3 Agra, Rev., 5 . . .	1215, 6081
Ajoodhya Dass. In the matter of . . .	12 W. R., 354 . . .	226
Ajoodhya Doss v. Doorga Dutt Singh . . .	17 W. R., 101 . . .	3776
— v. Laljee Paurey . . .	19 W. R., 218 . . .	3819
— v. Misrun . . .	15 W. R., 176 . . .	6238
— v. Muthoora Doss . . .	23 W. R., 14 . . .	1206
— v. Sheo Pershun Singh . . .	11 W. R., 175 . . .	435
Ajoodhya Persad v. Collector of Durbhungah . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 419 : 11 C. L. R., 550. }	4303
Ajoodhya Pershad v. Gopal Dutt Misser . . .	17 W. R., 271 . . .	5409
— v. Bisheshur Sahai . . .	6 N. W., 141 . . .	3188
— v. Gobind Ram . . .	2 Agra, 188 . . .	2814
— v. Gunga Pershad . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 249 : 6 C. L. R., 567. }	165
— v. Kristo Dyal . . .	15 W. R., 165 . . .	4300
— v. Moracha Kooer . . .	25 W. R., 254 . . .	4481
Ajoodhya Pooree v. Sohun Lall . . .	7 W. R., 428 . . .	3726
Ajoo Khan v. Kisto Pershad Lahoory . . .	8 W. R., 477 . . .	4955, 4956
Ajudh Beharee Singh v. Ram Roy Tewari . . .	18 W. R., 105 . . .	145
Ajudhia v. Baldeo Singh . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 674 . . .	4547
Ajudhia Baksh v. Rakman Kuar . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 462 : L. R., 11 I. A., 1. }	6215
Ajudhia Bakhsh Singh v. Arab Ali Khan . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 892 . . .	4559
Ajudhia Nath v. Anant Das . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 799 . . .	2666, 4250

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ajudhia Nath <i>v.</i> Sital	I. L. R., 3 All., 567	3022
Ajudhia Prasad <i>v.</i> Bakar Sajjad	I. L. R., 5 All., 400	1122
— <i>v.</i> Balmukand	I. L. R., 8 All., 354	200
— <i>v.</i> Sheodin	I. L. R., 6 All., 403	5087
Ajuram Maniram <i>v.</i> Kusaji	4 Bom., A. C., 43	4902
Akashbutty Kooer <i>v.</i> Heera Ram Mundur	24 W. R., 82	1663, 2692
Akbar <i>v.</i> Khan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 256 : 8 C. } L. R., 533. }	1773, 3300, 5834
Akbar Ali. In the matter of the petition of — <i>v.</i> Jezuddin	19 W. R., 148	5937
Akbar Gazee <i>v.</i> Nufeezun	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 399	5680
Akbari Begam <i>v.</i> Wilayat Ali	8 W. R., 99	3493, 3514
Akbar Khan <i>v.</i> Sheoratan	I. L. R., 2 All., 908	4917
Akbar Tagudgeer <i>v.</i> Punchoo Biswas	I. L. R., 1 All., 373	2870
Akber Beg <i>v.</i> Bunda Ali	10 W. R., Cr., 42	6252
Akbur Ali <i>v.</i> Bhyea Lal Jha	2 N. W., 419	352
— <i>v.</i> Mahomed Faiz Buksh	I. L. R. 6 Calc., 666 : 7 C. } L. R., 497. }	303
— <i>v.</i> Mullick Mukhdoom Buksh	15 W. R., 12	475, 4286
Akbur Ali <i>alias</i> Aga Mirza <i>v.</i> Amceeroonnissa	25 W. R., 63	1488, 5782
Akburally <i>v.</i> Hossan Ally	11 W. R., 225	3918
Akhe Ram <i>v.</i> Nand Kishore	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101 : 5 } W. R., Mis., 29. }	852, 5778
Akhil Chandra Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nayu	I. L. R., 1 All., 236	3921, 4758, 5375
Akhoy Chunder Bagchi <i>v.</i> Kalapahar Haji	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 248	1745
Akhoy Ram Shahajee <i>v.</i> Ram Kant Roy	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 406 : L. } R., 12 I. A., 198. }	2136
Akhoy Sunkur Chuokerbutty <i>v.</i> Indro Bhusan Deb Roy	15 W. R., 223	3706, 3714
Akhtaroonnissa <i>v.</i> Shariutoollah Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 58	1620, 2930
Akhut Ramana <i>v.</i> Ahmed Yousaffji	7 W. R., 268	3704
Akilandammal <i>v.</i> Periasami Pillai	7 B. L. R., 81 : 15 W. R., 538	208, 5979
— <i>v.</i> Venkata Chala Mudali	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 309	3284
Akima Bibee <i>v.</i> Azeem Sarung	6 Mad., 112	1383
Akjoo Bibee <i>v.</i> Koonjo Beharee Lall	9 W. R., 334	77, 84
Akkul Sahoo <i>v.</i> Abdool Guffoor	19 W. R., 288	5762
Akoba Dada <i>v.</i> Sakharam	18 W. R., 15	5142
Akool Sircar <i>v.</i> Partama	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 429	2522
Akora Suth <i>v.</i> Boreani	24 W. R., Cr., 29	264
Akram Shere <i>v.</i> Laljee Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 199 : 11 } W. R., 82. }	2524
Akul Gaza <i>v.</i> Ameenooddeen	24 W. R., 16	573
“Alabama.” In the matter of the	5 C. L. R., 41	1647
Alagajya Tiruchittamballa <i>v.</i> Saminada Pillai	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 139	5500
Alagirisami Naiker <i>v.</i> Innasi Udayan	1 Mad., 264	3057
Alagu <i>v.</i> Abdoola	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 127	3589, 5700
Alaiahmad <i>alias</i> Boolaki <i>v.</i> Nusibun	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 147	4893, 5970
Alai Ahmed <i>v.</i> Bodhoo Singh	24 W. R., 70	32
Alamelu <i>v.</i> Rangasami	14 W. R., 204	1706
Alangamonjori Dabee <i>v.</i> Sonamoni Dabee	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 588	2463
— <i>v.</i> —	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 157 : 9 C. } L. R., 121. }	2566
Albert Mills Company. In re	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 637 : 10 C. } L. R., 459. }	2566, 5876
Aldwell <i>v.</i> Ilahi Bakhsh	9 Bom., 438	3782
Aleh Ahmed Sajjadanusheen <i>v.</i> Nusseebun	I. L. R., 5 All., 478	487
	17 W. R., 563	782

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Aleh Ahmed Shahazadanusheen v. Bany Singh	18 W. R., 277	1478
Aleh Ahmud v. Nehal Singh	21 W. R., 88	3377
Alfatun v. Rao Karan Singh	7 N. W., 362	5380
Alfutunnissa v. Goluck Chunder Sen	15 B. L. R., 353, note	88
Ali v. Gopal Dass	13 W. R., 420	588, 1576, 2211, 2575, 5749
Ali Abkar v. Abdul Latif Shusba	12 Bom., 8	274
Ali Ahmed v. Doorga Roy	22 W. R., 455	5634
— v. Oodhraj Ram	10 W. R., 79	5736
Ali Ashruf v. Chonga Gobind Roy	5 W. R., 220	5984
Ali Azim v. Ram Manick Roy	12 W. R., 195	5117, 5118
Ali Azim Khan v. Islam Khan	14 W. R., 483	4823
Aliba v. Nanu	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 218	3382
Ali Buksh v. Mudun Gopal	3 Agra, 369	4168
— v. Samiruddin	4 B. L. R., A. C., 31 : 12 W. R., 477.	1760, 5738
Ali Buksh Shah v. Nubee Buksh	9 W. R., 600	2890
Ali Bux v. Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 106	4211, 4459
Ali Hasan v. Dhiria	1. L. R., 4 All., 518	3936
— v. Nandar Khan	2 N. W., 62	567
— v. Ramdial	3 N. W., 78	3212
Ali Hossein Khan v. Dwarka Dass	5 N. W., 134	1484
Ali Husain v. Nillakanden Nambudiri	1 Mad., 356	3766
Ali Khan v. Appadu	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 304	3590
Alikhan Daudkhan v. Mahomad Khan Shamsher Khan Desmukh.	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 658, note	3973
Alikhan Umar Khan. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 92	3452
Ali Mahomed v. Kenaram Ghose	8 W. R., 128	4932
Ali Mazhar. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 4 All., 152	5672
Alim Buksh Fakir v. Jhalo Bibi	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 48	82, 4401
Ali Meah v. Magistrate of Chittagong	25 W. R., Cr., 14	6263
Alimelammah v. Arunachellam Pillai	3 Mad., 69	2093, 5268
Ali Moidin Ravuthan v. Elayachaudathil Kombi Achen	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 239	1743, 4994
Alimooddeen v. Sabir Khan	8 W. R., 60	5319
— v. Wuzeer Ali	23 W. R., 52	3230
Alimooddy Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Sen	24 W. R., 227	5477
Alimooddeen Moallem v. Syfoora Bibee	6 W. R., Mis., 125	3687
Alimuddi v. Kali Krishna Tagore	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 895	3807
Ali Muhammad v. Lalta Bakhsh	1. L. R., 1 All., 655	3443
— v. Taj Muhammad	1. L. R., 1 All., 283	3721, 3722
Ali Muhammad Khan v. Azizullah Khan	1. L. R., 6 All., 50	3659
— v. Gur Prasad	1. L. R., 5 All., 344	3525
Alimunessa Khatun v. Hassan Ali	8 C. L. R., 378	3698
Alimunnissa Khatoun v. Hossein Ali	6 C. L. R., 267	3115
— v. Official Trustee of Bengal	1. L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Ali Nukee alias Emdad Ali v. Torah Ali alias Mirza Nawab.	W. R., 1864, 44	6275
Ali Nuquee v. Bhugwan Das	1 Agra, 213	3198
Ali Reza v. Tarasoodere	2 W. R., 150	3963, 4013
Ali Saib v. Sanyasiraz Pedda Balaiya Rasimhulu	2 Mad., 401	3350
— v. Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simhulu	3 Mad., 5	3374, 3579
Ali Serang v. Beadon	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 524	4039
Ali Shah v. Husain Bakhsh	1. L. R., 1 All., 588	3551
Alla Aiyappa v. Nundula Peraiya alias Perambhotlu	3 Mad., 82	360, 363
Alladinee Dossee v. Sreenath Chunder Bose	20 W. R., 258	4930
Alladmonee Dossia v. Joy Sunkur Roy	7 W. R., 408	5133

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Allahabad Trading Company. In re	1 N. W., Part 6, p. 101 : Ed. 1873, 190.	923
Allah Bakhsh v. Sada Sukh	1. L. R., 8 All., 182	3991
Allah Bibee v. Joogul Mundul	25 W. R., 234	2993
Allarakia Ali v. Greach	3 Bom., O. C., 150	1402
Allarakhia Shivji v. Jehangir Hormasji	10 Bom., 391	388
Allee Shah v. Amanee Begum	19 W. R., 149	4142
Alleh Ahmed v. Nuseebun	21 W. R., 415	3165
Allender v. Dwarkanath Roy	15 W. R., 320	2978, 3000
Allen, Hayes, & Co., v. Anundo Chunder Mundle	Bourke, O. C., 156	6141
Alliance Financial Corporation, Blaney's case. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 106	921
Allij Hossein v. Muzhur Hossein	4 C. L. R., 577	820
Alli Khan v. Nasser Ali	1 W. R., 115	480
Allu Khan v. Roshan Khan	1. L. R., 4 All., 85	3954
Allum Chunder Shaha v. Bhurut Baboo	5 W. R., Act X, 92	4925
Allumuddy v. Braham	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 140 : 2 C. L. R., 431.	2588
Allunni v. Kunjusha	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 264	4986
Allyat v. Jugrut Chunder Roy	5 W. R., 242	1744, 5984
Ally Bebanee v. Hyder Hossein	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 449	5583
Ally Hossein v. Ally Hossein <i>alias</i> Chotey Mirza	2 Agra, 96	4311
_____ v. Dhunput Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 19	210
_____ v. Joogulkishore	Marsh., 244 : 2 Hay, 113	1881
Ally Nuckee Khan v. Anley	1 Hyde, 134	455
Almas Bane v. Mahomed Ruja	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 239 : 6 C. L. R., 553.	3230
Alooksoondery Goopto v. Horo Lal Roy	6 W. R., 287	2022
Altat Ali v. Lalji Mal	1. L. R., 1 All., 518	3841
Altat Hossein v. Grish Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 556	4051
_____ v. Tasuddook Hossein	2 Agra, 87	1515
Altamoonissa Bibee v. Sage	11 W. R., 335	2024
Aluckmonee Debee v. Dino Nath Ghose	24 W. R., 421	4269
Alukmonee Dabee v. Bane Madhub Chuckerbutty	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 677 : 3 C. L. R., 473.	2521, 5373
Alum Chunder Shaw Chowdhry v. Moran	W. R., 1864, Act X, 31	3027, 3030
Alum Manjee v. Ashad Ali	16 W. R., 138	1172, 5353
Alunga Bebee v. Gooroo Churn Roy	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3	821, 849
Alup Rai v. Sheo Dyal	2 Agra, 142	1038
Alu Prasad v. Sukhan	1. L. R., 3 All., 610	2715, 5214
Alvar Chetti v. Vaidilanga Chetti	1 Mad., 9	2211
Aman Ali Chowdhry v. Kasim Ali	6 W. R., 316	5122
Aman Chinaman. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 58	5166
Amanat Ali Chowdhry. Mussen Ali	2 B. L. R. Ap., 36 : 11 W. R., 145.	5078
Amanat Begam v. Bhajan Lal	1. L. R., 8 All., 438	6087
Amanatulla. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 569 : 15 W. R., 136.	577
Amanee Begum v. Koorban Ali	3 Agra, 204	5408, 5920
Aman Khan v. Hoseena Khatoon	9 W. R., 548	84
Amanollah v. Mahomed Nasir	22 W. R., 442	6132
_____ v. Ram Nidhee Ghose	9 W. R., 392	1646
Aman Singh v. Jeygopal Singh	3 Agra, 164	4310, 4315
Amanut Ali v. Bindhoo	13 W. R., 138	202, 224, 2696
Amarchand Lahata v. Bukshee Pyekar	22 W. R., 228	5179
Amar Nath v. Thakurdas	1. L. R., 3 All., 131	1232
	1. L. R., 5 All., 248	94, 2092

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
<i>Amarsi v. Dayal</i>	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 50 . . .	5858
<i>Amar Singh v. Tika</i>	I. L. R., 3 All., 139 . . .	3522
<i>Amatal Fatima Khanum v. Taranath Chand</i>	24 W. R., 151	4270
<i>Amatool Rasool v. Luteefun</i>	19 W. R., 302	1927
<i>Ambala Ramasawmy Iyengar v. Mahamadalli Ravutan</i>	5 Mad., 330	5783
<i>Ambala Vaveri Manakel Raman Somayajipad v. Nadu- vakat Krishna Poduval.</i> }	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 325 . . .	3213
<i>Ambaram Harivallabhdas v. Himat Sing Kalianji</i>	2 Bom., 109 : 2nd Ed., 103 . . .	748, 1867
<i>Ambalavana Padeiyatchi v. Sabramania Padeiyatchi</i>	6 Mad., 262	774
<i>Ambica Dasi v. Nadyar Chand Pal</i>	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 172 . . .	174
<i>Ambica Pershad Singh v. Surdhari Lall</i>	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 851 . . .	3522
<i>Ambika Charan Dutt v. Nadir Hossein</i>	2 B. L. R., A. C., 258 . . .	5790
<i>Ambika Dat v. Sukhmani Kuar</i>	I. L. R., 1 All., 437	2450
<i>Ambika Debi v. Pranhari Das</i>	4 B. L. R., F. B., 77 . . .	5323
<i>Ambler v. Pushong</i>	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 365 . . .	4496
<i>Ambu v. Raman</i>	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 371 . . .	3766
<i>Ambur Ali v. Kali Chand Doss</i>	24 W. R., 258	6089
<i>Ambu valad Appaji v. Khanu Sakharan</i>	6 Bom., A. C., 19, note . . .	2838
<i>Amedbbhai Habibhai v. Premchand Raichand</i>	5 Bom., O. C., 83	945, 2261
<i>Ameena Begum v. Doordana Khanum</i>	19 W. R., 44	1569
<i>Ameena Bibee v. Zeifa Bibee</i>	3 W. R., 37	3675
<i>Ameen Ahmed v. Velaet Ali Khan</i>	20 W. R., 422	1918
<i>Ameena Khatoon v. Radhabenod Misser</i>	7 Moore's I. A., 261	4638
<i>Ameen Buksh v. Bhyro Mundul</i>	22 W. R., 493	5202
<i>Ameen Chand v. Oomeid Singh</i>	1 Agra, 114	3326
<i>_____ v. Mendhoo Khan</i>	1 Agra, Rev., 53	368, 370
<i>Ameenooddeen Ahmed v. Khyroonissa</i>	20 W. R., 60	1357
<i>Ameenooddeen Khan v. Moozuffer Hossein Khan</i>	3 Agra, Mis., 5	3525
<i>Ameenooddeen Shaha v. Asgur Ali</i>	8 W. R., 464	1757
<i>Ameer Ahmud v. Zameer Ahmud</i>	18 W. R., 122	3824
<i>Ameer Ali v. Ameeroonissa Begum</i>	11 W. R., 11	5634
<i>_____ v. Bhabo Soonduree Debia</i>	6 W. R., 116	4538
<i>_____ v. Inderjeet Koorer</i>	15 W. R., 43	4174
<i>_____ v. Mohendro Nath Bose</i>	2 W. R., 271	3397
<i>_____ v. Pearun</i>	W. R., 1864, 239	3705
<i>_____ v. Ram Bahadoor Singh</i>	7 W. R., 84	773
<i>_____ v. Sahib Singh</i>	15 W. R., 530	3496
<i>_____ v. Syet Ali</i>	5 W. R., 289	1697
<i>Ameer Ali Khan v. Kassim Ali Khan</i>	13 W. R., 403	1544, 1938
<i>Ameer Ali Sowdagur v. Imamooddeen</i>	15 W. R., 145	2735
<i>Ameer Begum v. Noor Begum</i>	Agra, F. B., 1	997
<i>Ameer Beparee v. Hurree Mohun Kurmocar</i>	23 W. R., 87	5761
<i>Ameer Bibee v. Tukronissa Begum</i>	7 W. R., 332	5812
<i>Ameer Buksh v. Yusoof Ali</i>	22 W. R., 489	1754
<i>Ameer Chand Nobatta. In the matter of the petition of</i>	13 W. R., Cr., 63	6251
<i>Ameeree Begum v. Gobind Pandey</i>	15 W. R., 35	640
<i>Ameer Hossein v. Sheo Suhao</i>	19 W. R., 338	5187
<i>Ameer Khan. In re</i>	6 B. L. R., 392	57, 518,
<i>_____ In the matter of</i>	6 B. L. R., 456	2093
	6 B. L. R., 459 : 17 W. R., } Cr., 15.	3736
	18 W. R., 407, note	518, 2093
<i>Ameer Koollee Khan v. Russick Lall Singh</i>	8 W. R., 495	285
<i>Ameer Mahomed v. Brass</i>	14 W. R., Cr., 36	563, 4423
<i>Ameerooddeen v. Ram Chand Sahoo</i>	5 W. R., 53	981
<i>Ameeroollah v. Ram Doss Doss</i>	2 Agra, 187	4016
<i>Ameeroonissa. In the matter of</i>	11 W. R., 297	4022
		3687

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6805

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ameeroonnissa Begum <i>v.</i> Indurjeet Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 17.	266
Ameeroonnissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Woomarooddeen Mahomed Chowdhry	14 W. R., 49	6004
Ameeroonnissa Khatoon. In the matter of	14 W. R., 94	4531
_____ <i>v.</i> Dunne	14 W. R., 361	282
_____ <i>v.</i> Juggurnath Roy	11 W. R., 113	5984
_____ <i>v.</i> Komula Kant Roy	14 W. R., 117	6152
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Muzaffer Hossein Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 103	2695, 2710
Ameeroonnissa <i>v.</i> Moradoonnissa	6 Moore's I. A., 211	28, 3654
_____ <i>v.</i> Shib Subai	1 Agra, 271	3127
Ameeroonnissa Beebee <i>v.</i> Benode Ram Sein	2 W. R., 29	471, 481
Ameeroonnissa Begum <i>v.</i> Gopal Sahoo	22 W. R., 134	5221
Ameeroonnissa Khanum <i>v.</i> Ashruffoonnissa	17 W. R., 259: 14 Moore's I. A., 433.	6056
Ameeroonnissa Khatoon <i>v.</i> Abadoonnissa Khatoon	15 B. L. R., 67: 23 W. R., 208: L. R., 2 I. A., 87.	3676, 3747, 4648
_____ <i>v.</i> Abedoonnissa Khatoon	16 W. R., 307	207, 1906
_____ <i>v.</i> Juggernath Roy	11 W. R., 113	1736
_____ <i>v.</i> Wise	24 W. R., 435	5812
Ameerun. In the goods of	15 W. R., 496	1236
_____ <i>v.</i> Cheraj Ali	24 W. R., 343	5756
_____ <i>v.</i> Ruheemun	2 Agra, Pt. II, 162	3657, 3694
_____ <i>v.</i> Sunjeeda	11 W. R., 226	4315
_____ <i>v.</i> Wussehun	12 W. R., 11	2753
Ameerun Bibee <i>v.</i> Shibpershad Thakoor	8 W. R., 199	3495
Ameerunnissa Begum <i>v.</i> Indurjeet Koonwur	6 W. R., Mis., 97	5116
Ameerunnissa <i>v.</i> Chapman	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 334: 6 W. R., 108.	4439
Ameerunnissa Khatoon <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein	2 C. L. R., 143	845
_____ <i>v.</i> Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 65	799
Amina <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 277	5653
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramzan Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 116	2915
Amina Bibi <i>v.</i> Khatija Bibi	1 Bom., 2nd Ed., 157	3682
Aminooddowlah <i>v.</i> Roshun Ali Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 199	3733
Amin Sahib <i>v.</i> Ibram Sahib	4 Mad., 112	110
Amiraddi. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45	4100, 4361
Amir Ali <i>v.</i> Indurjit Koer	9 B. L. R., 460: 14 Moore's I. A., 203.	280, 1221, 1717, 4660
Amiran <i>v.</i> Asihun	3 B. L. R., A. C., 190	2753
Amirchand Jamnadas <i>v.</i> Maggan Amthu	4 Bom., A. C., 176	5825
Amir Hassan Khan <i>v.</i> Sheo Baksh Singh	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 6: L. R., 11 I. A., 237.	5956
Amir Hossein <i>v.</i> Imambandi Begum	11 C. L. R., 443	3139, 4477
Amirjan <i>v.</i> Rivett-Carnac	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 350	1218
Amir Saheb Hafizulla <i>v.</i> Jamshedji Rustanji	4 Bom., A. C., 41.	5771
Amir Singh <i>v.</i> Moazzum Ali Khan	7 N. W., 58	1172, 3025
Amirta <i>v.</i> Nund Kishore	2 Agra, 333	541
Amirto Lal Bose <i>v.</i> Rajonee Kant Mitter	15 B. L. R., 10: 23 W. R., 214: L. R., 2 I. A., 113.	140, 2307, 3160, 3398
Amiruddaula Muhamad Kaky Hussain Khan <i>v.</i> Nateri Srinivasa Charlu.	6 Mad., 356	3679
Amiruddin. In the matter of the petition of	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 481	2757
_____ <i>v.</i> Farid Sarkar	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 481	2757
_____ <i>v.</i> Jiban Bibee	1 B. L. R., F. B., 101: 10 W. R., F. B., 39.	194
Amirunnissa Khatoon <i>v.</i> Browne	13 C. L. R., 131	5364, 5367

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Amirunnessa Khatoon v. Secretary of State for India in Council.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 63 . . .	5363, 5367
Amirunnissa v. Behary Lall	25 W. R., 529	3094
Amirunnissa Barkat v. Affiattunnissa	3 B. L. R., A. C., 404: 12 W. R., 307.	678
Amirunnissa Begum v. Amir Khan	17 W. R., 119	4468
— v. Umar Khan	8 B. L. R., 540	
Amirunnissa Chowdharani v. Ahsanullah Chowdhri	13 C. L. R., 18	3502
Amir Zama v. Nathu Mal	I. L. R., 8 All., 396	1225, 4972 5611
Amitrav bin Yeshvantrav Deshmukh v. Anyaba Abaji Deshmukh.	5 Bom., A. C., 50	3368
Amjad Ali v. Kunku Shaw	9 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 17 W. R., 304.	903
— v. Moniram Kalita	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 52	812, 2203, 2524
Amjad Ali Khan v. Gholam Hyder Khan	1 W. R., 313	540
Amjad Hossein v. Kharag Sen Sahu	4 B. L. R., A. C., 203: 13 W. R., 299.	3723
Amjatt Ali v. Azbur Ali	21 W. R., 241	4195
Amjed Ali v. Ala Buksh	9 W. R., 537	4786
Amjud Ali v. Ali Buksh	2 W. R., 122	3292
Amjud Ali Khan v. Fazul Hossein	19 W. R., 187	5625
Amjudee Begum v. Ahmed Hossein	6 W. R., 314	6072
Amma v. Kunhunni	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 355	4904
Ammallu Ammal v. Subbu Vadiyar	2 Mad., 184	5700
Ammani Ammal v. Sellayi Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 426	1389
Ammiappa Mudali v. Mahomed Mustafa Saib	2 Mad., 443	2788
Ammu v. Ramakishna Sastri	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 226	1681, 3432, 3443
Ammur Singh v. Murdun Singh	2 N. W., 31	2480, 4468
Ammutti v. Kuoji Keyi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 452	
Amolak Ram v. Sahib Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 550	2605
Amra Nashya v. Gagan Shutar	2 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 11 W. R., 130.	3151, 5924
Amrita Kumari Debi v. Lukhi Narayan Chuckerbutty	2 B. L. R., F. B., 28	2300
Amrithamal v. Ranganadha Pillai	3 Mad., 165	3286
Amrithnath Jha v. Ahmed Reza	6 W. R., Cr., 61	4505
Amrith Nath Jha v. Dhunput Singh	20 W. R., 253	910
Amrit Kooer v. Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 459: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240.	6005
Amrit Koonwar v. Luchmee Narain	1 Agra, 93	806
Amrit Lal v. Balbir	I. L. R., 6 All., 68	
— v. Madho Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 292	
Amrit Lal Mansuk v. Maniklal Jetha	0 Bom., 375	3221
Amrit Misser v. Gurda Pardani	N. W., 183	
Amrit Nath Chowdhry v. Gauri Nath Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 232: 15 W. R., P. C., 10: 13 Moore's I. A., 542.	2230, 2360
Amritnath Jha v. Roy Dhunput Singh	8 B. L. R., 44: 15 W. R., 503	761
Amritrav P. Kokdi v. Manaji J. Jagtap	Bom., A. C., 49	4175, 5130
Amur Narain alias Nerput Suhaye v. Rugahoo Bunsee Koenwur.	W. R., 234	4410
Amutool Rusool v. Jhoomuck Singh	24 W. R., 345	4561
Anaji Dathushet v. Mornshet Bapushet	2 Bom., 354: 2nd Ed., 334	4561
Anakaran Kasmi v. Saidamadath Avulla	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 79	5806

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anakattil Narayana Krishnan Karthavu v. Kocheri Pilo Pilo.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 191	5683
Ananda Bibi v. Nownit Lal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 315	2308
Ananda Chandra Bose v. Broughton	9 B. L. R., 423	271
Ananda Krishna Bose v. Rajendra Narayan Deb	4 B. L. R., O. C., 231	2553
_____ v. Verner	13 B. L. R., 300: 22 W. R., 305.	2949
Ananda Lal Dass v. Radhamohun Shaw	2 B. L. R., F. B., 49: 11 W. R., O. C., 1.	438
Ananda Mayi Dasi v. Patit Pabuni Dasi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 18: W. R., F. B., 118.	2887
Anandamayi Dasi v. Purna Chandra Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 506: 6 W. R., Mis., 69.	1870, 3512
Ananda Raman Vathiar v. Paliyil Vittil Nanu Nayar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 9	5034
Anandayi alias Kunjara Natchiar v. Parvatavardani Natchiar.	2 Mad., 206	2120
Anandayyan v. Devarajayyan	2 Mad., 17	1348
Anand Chandra Ghose v. Prankristo Dutt	3 B. L. R., O. C., 14: 11 W. R., O. C., 19.	2473
Anand Chandra Mazumdar v. Gobardhan Khan	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 457: 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 19.	5708
Anand Chandra Pal v. Panchilal Surma	5 B. L. R., 691: 14 W. R., F. B., 33.	2631
Anand Coomari v. Ali Jamin	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 229	3390
Anandji Visram v. Nariad Spinning and Weaving Company.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 320	922, 1073
Anand Kumari v. Government	9 B. L. R., 16, note: 11 W. R., 180.	1442
Anandlal Dass v. Mushun Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 98, note	1597
Anand Lal Bera. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Empress on the prosecution of Azim Peon.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209.	6185
Anand Mayi Dasi v. Dharendra Chandra Mookerjee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209.	6185
Anandav v. Ravji	8 B. L. R., 122: 14 Moore's I. A., 101: 16 W. R., P. C., 19.	3389, 3547, 4170
Anandav Bapuji v. Shekh Baba	2 Bom., 214	4023
Anandav Bhikaji Phadke v. Shankar Daji Charya	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 562	5410
Anandav Chimuji v. Thakar Chand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 323	2840, 3276, 4273
Anandav Sivaji v. Ganesh Eshvaut Bokil	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 245	790
Ananta v. Ramabai	7 Bom., Ap., 33	2110
Anant Bahadur Singh v. Raghunath Kuar	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 554	2338
Anant Das v. Ashburner & Co.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 769: 11 C. L. R., 149: L. R., 9 I. A., 41.	1418, 4224, 6052
Ananthachari v. Ananthachari	I. L. R., 1 All., 267	1114
Anantha Narayanappaian alias Asvata Aiyan v. Ganapaty Aiyan.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 169	259
Anantha Narayana v. Periyana Kone	2 Mad., 469	5656
Anantharama Ayyan v. Karuppanan Kalingarayan	5 Mad., 101	5584, 5657
Anantharama Patter v. Madhava Paniker	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 119	3158
Anantha Tirtha Chariar v. Nagamuthu Ambalagaren	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 264	198
Anantnath Dey v. Mackintosh	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 200	2270
Anant Ram Chandra Lotlikar. In re _____	6 B. L. R., 571	2603
Anar Mollah v. Hills	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 197	5888
	10 W. R., 139	327

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anarullah Shaikh v. Koylash Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 118	2025, 5815
Anath Nath Dey v. Mackintosh	8 B. L. R., 60	2474
Andarji Kalyanji v. Dulabh Jeevan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 88	3206
Anderson v. Juggodumba Dabi	6 C. L. R., 282	4688
— v. McQueen	7 W. R., Cr., 12	1898
— v. Rajnarain Doss	Cor., 3	2705
— v. Spence's Hotel Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 378	930
— v. Sreemunto	Cor., 5	2705
Anderson, Wright & Co., v. Kalagarla Surjinarain	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 339	4870
Andi Konan v. Venkata Subbaiyad	2 Mad., 387	3237
Andrew v. Larmour	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 4: 1 Hay, 309.	5325
— v. Lyon	2 Hay, 362	4207
Andrews v. Joakim	2 B. L. R., O. C., 148	6203
Andy Chetty. In re	2 Mad., 438	17
Angelo v. Cargill	9 B. L. R., 417: 18 W. R., Cr., 41.	1221, 4108, 5284
Anisul Futwa v. Chando	8 B. L. R., Ap., 3	318
Anjud Singh v. Depun Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 143: 14 W. R., 238, note.	6085
Annada Gobind Chowdhry v. Swarnamayi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7	3192
Annagurubala Chetti v. Kristnaswami Nayakan	1 Mad., 457	1818
Annaji Apaji v. Ramji Jivaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 348	3491
Annaji Appaji v. Kasi Atmaji	3 Bom., A. C., 124	3043
Annaji Rau v. Raghubai <i>alias</i> Sithubai	6 Mad., 400	2498
Annaji Waghui v. Bapuchand Jethiram	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 520	1517
Annamalai Chetti v. Cloete	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204	1242, 6075
— v. Muthulinga Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 189	3572
Annammah v. Mabbu Bali Reddy	6 Mad., 360	888, 5920
Annappa v. Ganpati	8 Mad., 108	2142
Annappurnabai. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 181	4012, 4413
Anna Purna Dasi v. Joykisto Mookerjee	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 630	4785
Annasami v. Naranayen	W. R., 1864, Act X, 107	5886
Annasvami v. Narranaiyan	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12	1735
Annayunadavan v. Iyasawmy Pillai	1 Mad., 144	627
"Anne." In the matter of the Barque	6 Mad., 65	3916
Annoda Churn Roy v. Kally Coomar Roy	2 Hyde, 273	441
Annoda Persad Roy v. Dwarkanath Gangopadhya	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 89: 2 C. L. R., 464.	5647
Annoda Persaud Mookerjee v. Kristo Coomar Moitro	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 754: 8 C. L. R., 321.	1181
Annodapershad Acharjee v. Shurbosoonderee Debia	15 B. L. R., 60, note: 19 W. R., 5.	29, 4598
Annoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Troyluckhnath Paul Chowdry	11 W. R., 453	545
Annoda Sundari Dabi v. Jugut Moni Dabi	13 W. R., 123	1135
Annondomoyee Debee v. Luchmun Pershad Gogo	6 C. L. R., 176	1907
Annund Chunder Bose v. Broughton	2 C. L. R., 264	4667
Annundi Koomar v. Thakoor Panday	17 W. R., 301	4495
Annundmoyee Dasse v. Annund Soondur Mozoomdar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31: 4 W. R., Mis., 21.	4590
Annundo Chunder Banerjee v. Shubhul Chundra Debea	13 W. R., 237	3159
Anonymous	2 Hay, 624	764
	Bourke, A. O. C., 144	5437
	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 14	3789
	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 49	772, 4876
		195

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59	4520, 5732
	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58	271
	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 68	5732
	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 130	3024
	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 135	2261
	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 13	5754
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 8	2650
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 46	714 2009
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106	6178
	W. R., F. B., 126	3286
	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5655, 5663
	3 W. R., Cr., 33	503, 504, 2766
	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7	5703, 5709
	4 W. R., Cr., 2	3629
	9 W. R., 357	4518
	12 W. R., Cr., 34	2028
	13 W. R., 353	5842
	15 W. R., 237	1240
	15 W. R., 506	959
	18 W. R., 249	1354
	18 W. R., 397	4742
	23 W. R., 223	5659
	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 886 : 9 W. R., 175. }	5703
	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 62	4919
	{ 4 B. L. R., F. B., 41 : 12 W. R., Cr., 59. }	971
	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 767	5843
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 274	5859
	1 Mad., 99, note	6238
	1 Mad., 127	1001
	3 Mad., 341	5236
	3 Mad., 374	5660
	3 Mad., Ap., 1	6193
	3 Mad., Ap., 2	706
	3 Mad., Ap., 2	4238
	3 Mad., Ap., 4	4238
	3 Mad., Ap., 5	6259
	3 Mad., Ap., 6	1255
	3 Mad., Ap., 7	587
	3 Mad., Ap., 9	1989, 5566
	3 Mad., Ap., 10	4666
	3 Mad., Ap., 11	1669
	3 Mad., Ap., 23	1669
	3 Mad., Ap., 23	4505
	3 Mad., Ap., 24	4054
	3 Mad., Ap., 25	99
	3 Mad., Ap., 27	5821
	3 Mad., Ap., 29	1962
	3 Mad., Ap., 29	3606
	3 Mad., Ap., 31	2598
	3 Mad., Ap., 32	709
	3 Mad., Ap., 33	4070
	3 Mad., Ap., 34	1151, 6263
	4 Mad., Ap., 1	907
	4 Mad., Ap., 2	3606

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	4 Mad., Ap., 2	5600
	4 Mad., Ap., 3	998, 4114
	4 Mad., Ap., 3	3738
	4 Mad., Ap., 4	2907
	4 Mad., Ap., 5	711
	4 Mad., Ap., 6	4359
	4 Mad., Ap., 6	4717, 6258
	4 Mad., Ap., 7	23
	4 Mad., Ap., 8	982
	4 Mad., Ap., 9	3630
	4 Mad., Ap., 11	707
	4 Mad., Ap., 12	4484
	4 Mad., Ap., 14	5560
	4 Mad., Ap., 15	1791
	4 Mad., Ap., 16	3863
	4 Mad., Ap., 17	4717, 6258
	4 Mad., Ap., 18	1967, 5562,
	4 Mad., Ap., 18	4718
	4 Mad., Ap., 19	5146
	4 Mad., Ap., 20	4487
	4 Mad., Ap., 21	3625
	4 Mad., Ap., 22	343
	4 Mad., Ap., 23	3628
	4 Mad., Ap., 23	5543
	4 Mad., Ap., 24	4506
	4 Mad., Ap., 27	5550
	4 Mad., Ap., 28	955, 2005
	4 Mad., Ap., 29	6262
	4 Mad., Ap., 30	5992
	4 Mad., Ap., 31	3620
	4 Mad., Ap., 32	1257
	4 Mad., Ap., 34	4098
	4 Mad., Ap., 36	5578
	4 Mad., Ap., 38	4734
	4 Mad., Ap., 39	400
	4 Mad., Ap., 40	3615
	4 Mad., Ap., 41	974
	4 Mad., Ap., 42	5884
	4 Mad., Ap., 43	2778
	4 Mad., Ap., 43	4433
	4 Mad., Ap., 44	4719
	4 Mad., Ap., 45	4718
	4 Mad., Ap., 47	5542
	4 Mad., Ap., 48	21
	4 Mad., Ap., 49	{ 4487, 4488,
		{ 4490
	4 Mad., Ap., 51	1044
	4 Mad., Ap., 52	1044
	4 Mad., Ap., 53	5496
	4 Mad., Ap., 54	3627
	4 Mad., Ap., 55	1
	4 Mad., Ap., 56	2771
	4 Mad., Ap., 58	5822
	4 Mad., Ap., 61	3621
	4 Mad., Ap., 62	118
	4 Mad., Ap., 62	395, 3559
	4 Mad., Ap., 63	1001

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	4 Mad., Ap., 64	3627
	4 Mad., Ap., 65	6066
	4 Mad., Ap., 66	5544
	4 Mad., Ap., 67	4095
	4 Mad., Ap., 68	963
	4 Mad., Ap., 69	4621
	4 Mad., Ap., 69	4741
	5 Mad., 280	3332
	5 Mad., 371	407
	5 Mad., Ap., 1	5600, 6190
	5 Mad., Ap., 2	1532
	5 Mad., Ap., 3	5571
	5 Mad., Ap., 4	1801
	5 Mad., Ap., 4	2808
	5 Mad., Ap., 5	974
	5 Mad., Ap., 5	5561
	5 Mad., Ap., 6	6066
	5 Mad., Ap., 8	2072
	5 Mad., Ap., 8	2770
	5 Mad., Ap., 9	4530
	5 Mad., Ap., 10	5150
	5 Mad., Ap., 12	2778
	5 Mad., Ap., 13	1152
	5 Mad., Ap., 14	1951
	5 Mad., Ap., 15	1044, 6235
	5 Mad., Ap., 16	3570
	5 Mad., Ap., 17	1329
	5 Mad., Ap., 18	5576
	5 Mad., Ap., 19	4099
	5 Mad., Ap., 20	5146
	5 Mad., Ap., 21	5567
	5 Mad., Ap., 22	5885
	5 Mad., Ap., 23	5993
	5 Mad., Ap., 24	389
	5 Mad., Ap., 24	6277
	5 Mad., Ap., 25	2904
	5 Mad., Ap., 26	3567
	5 Mad., Ap., 27	6257
	5 Mad., Ap., 28	1046
	5 Mad., Ap., 28	1234
	5 Mad., Ap., 29	3568
	5 Mad., Ap., 30	3865
	5 Mad., Ap., 31	1535
	5 Mad., Ap., 32	5603
	5 Mad., Ap., 33	3628
	5 Mad., Ap., 34	1153
	5 Mad., Ap., 35	5564
	5 Mad., Ap., 36	3567
	5 Mad., Ap., 36	5992
	5 Mad., Ap., 37	5992
	5 Mad., Ap., 38	1329
	5 Mad., Ap., 39	5294
	5 Mad., Ap., 39	6190
	5 Mad., Ap., 40	958
	5 Mad., Ap., 40	3867
	5 Mad., Ap., 41	3568
	5 Mad., Ap., 42	5553

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	5 Mad., Ap., 43	3619
	5 Mad., Ap., 44	5562
	5 Mad., Ap., 44	6089
	5 Mad., Ap., 45	1240
	6 Mad., 135	424
	6 Mad., Ap., 2	3619
	6 Mad., Ap., 3	2904
	6 Mad., Ap., 3	5572
	6 Mad., Ap., 4	4492
	6 Mad., Ap., 5	5838
	6 Mad., Ap., 6	6235
	6 Mad., Ap., 7	1152
	6 Mad., Ap., 8	982
	6 Mad., Ap., 8	5146
	6 Mad., Ap., 9	3631
	6 Mad., Ap., 9	4779
	6 Mad., Ap., 10	1044, 6235
	6 Mad., Ap., 11	1789
	6 Mad., Ap., 11	3567
	6 Mad., Ap., 12	735
	6 Mad., Ap., 12	5830
	6 Mad., Ap., 13	4497
	6 Mad., Ap., 14	1041
	6 Mad., Ap., 15	974
	6 Mad., Ap., 16	5567
	6 Mad., Ap., 17	3619
	6 Mad., Ap., 18	3570, 5146
	6 Mad., Ap., 19	1327
	6 Mad., Ap., 20	3786
	6 Mad., Ap., 22	5509
	6 Mad., Ap., 23	3743
	6 Mad., Ap., 24	101, 5563
	6 Mad., Ap., 24	1235
	6 Mad., Ap., 25	1325
	6 Mad., Ap., 26	1325
	6 Mad., Ap., 27	710
	6 Mad., Ap., 28	1255
	6 Mad., Ap., 29	6259
	6 Mad., Ap., 30	4532
	6 Mad., Ap., 31	3576
	6 Mad., Ap., 32	2584
	6 Mad., Ap., 34	3576
	6 Mad., Ap., 36	5842
	6 Mad., Ap., 37	3864
	6 Mad., Ap., 37	5562
	6 Mad., Ap., 38	6193
	6 Mad., Ap., 39	4719
	6 Mad., Ap., 40	4773
	6 Mad., Ap., 40	5568
	6 Mad., Ap., 41	3615
	6 Mad., Ap., 41	3630, 5597
	6 Mad., Ap., 42	6230
	6 Mad., Ap., 43	3607
	6 Mad., Ap., 44	1046
	6 Mad., Ap., 45	5153
	6 Mad., Ap., 47	1510
	6 Mad., Ap., 47	2778, 5549

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	6 Mad., Ap., 48	4355
	6 Mad., Ap., 49	957
	6 Mad., Ap., 50	3601
	7 Mad., 339	1252
	7 Mad., Ap., 1	235
	7 Mad., Ap., 2	3615
	7 Mad., Ap., 3	1044
	7 Mad., Ap., 4	3576
	7 Mad., Ap., 5	3616
	7 Mad., Ap., 5	5159
	7 Mad., Ap., 6	3627
	7 Mad., Ap., 7	3576
	7 Mad., Ap., 8	3630
	7 Mad., Ap., 9	2030, 2033
	7 Mad., Ap., 10	3576
	7 Mad., Ap., 10, 11	1046
	7 Mad., Ap., 11	2581
	7 Mad., Ap., 12	5508
	7 Mad., Ap., 13	99
	7 Mad., Ap., 13	955
	7 Mad., Ap., 14	1045, 6235
	7 Mad., Ap., 15	655
	7 Mad., Ap., 15	1022
	7 Mad., Ap., 16	983
	7 Mad., Ap., 19	5992
	7 Mad., Ap., 22	5566, 5567
	7 Mad., Ap., 24	1988
	7 Mad., Ap., 25	1268
	7 Mad., Ap., 26	4121
	7 Mad., Ap., 27	5603
	7 Mad., Ap., 28	1304
	7 Mad., Ap., 28	1314
	7 Mad., Ap., 29	261
	7 Mad., Ap., 30	6193
	7 Mad., Ap., 31	100
	7 Mad., Ap., 31	978
	7 Mad., Ap., 32	3628
	7 Mad., Ap., 33	965
	7 Mad., Ap., 34	985
	7 Mad., Ap., 34	4531
	7 Mad., Ap., 35	5290
	7 Mad., Ap., 36	5836
	7 Mad., Ap., 37	3743
	7 Mad., Ap., 37	4435
	7 Mad., Ap., 39	3866
	7 Mad., Ap., 40	918
	7 Mad., Ap., 41	{ 150, 455, 4433
	7 Mad., Ap., 41	1669
	7 Mad., Ap., 42	263
	7 Mad., Ap., 43	1045, 6235
	7 Mad., Ap., 44	4354
	8 Mad., 112	5832
	8 Mad., Ap., 1	4703
	8 Mad., Ap., 2	5527
	8 Mad., Ap., 3	3602
	8 Mad., Ap., 5	981, 6254

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	8 Mad., Ap, 6 . . .	975
	8 Mad., Ap., 6 . . .	2571
	8 Mad., Ap., 7 . . .	962
	8 Mad., Ap., 8 . . .	5577
	8 Mad., Ap., 9 . . .	4095
	8 Mad., Ap., 11 . . .	5290
	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 54 . . .	3609, 5576
	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 55 . . .	2897
	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 56 . . .	6191
	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 133 . . .	5840
	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 305 . . .	1318
	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 17 . . .	5496
	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 335, note . . .	5569
	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 389, note . . .	5716
	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 287, note . . .	6086
	1 Bom., 138 . . .	4741
	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 478 . . .	5856
	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 298 . . .	5884
	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 302 . . .	257
	2 N. W., 337 . . .	419
	1 Mad., 140 . . .	4247
	1 C. L. R., 248 . . .	1185
	222 . . .	2792
_____ v. Muttusamiya Pillai . . .	25 W. R., 148 . . .	2164, 3255
Anoo Mundul v. Kamalooddeen . . .	26 W. R., 99 . . .	3448
Anoop Chund v. Shumbhoo Mull . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 256 . . .	5660
Anoragee Kooer v. Bhugobutty Kooer . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 481 . . .	2944
Anoragee Kooer v. Adoolah Khan . . .	11 B. L. R., Ap., 34 . . .	2657
Anpurnabai v. Sakharam Jagannath . . .	10 Bom., 358 . . .	5819
Anrudh Singh v. Sheo Prasad . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 575 . . .	2224
Anstruther. In the matter of . . .	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 217 . . .	5813, 5947
Antaji Nilkanth v. Janardan Vasudev . . .	1. L. R., 6 All., 110 . . .	2866
Antamma v. Kaveri . . .	W. R., 1864, 5 . . .	1782
Anthony v. Dupont . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 548 . . .	886
Antu v. Ghulam Muhammad Khan . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15 : 1 } Hyde, 147. }	2743, 6231
Anunda Moyee Dassee v. Mackenzie . . .	2 W. R., 287 . . .	2843
Anunda Shaha Biswas <i>alias</i> Nyomuddin Sha Biswas v. Kema Bebee. }	5 W. R., 205 . . .	
Anund Chunder Banerjee v. Woomesh Chunder Roy }	14 W. R., 376 . . .	3846
Anund Chunder Chatterjee v. Rokho Tarun Chatterjee . . .	25 W. R., 50 . . .	5760
Anund Chunder Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Roy . . .	25 W. R., 180 . . .	1740
Anund Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of . . .	8 W. R., 192 . . .	7
_____ v. Rutnessur Doss Sen . . .	2 W. R., 219 . . .	80, 2753
Anund Chunder Chund v. Gunee Gazee . . .	1 W. R., 229 . . .	245
Anund Chunder Deghee v. Nubocoomar Chatterjee . . .	11 W. R., 127 . . .	684
Anund Chunder Ghose v. Komul Narain Singh . . .	5 W. R., 215 . . .	2309
Anund Chunder Goopto v. Mohesh Chunder Mozoomdar . . .	15 W. R., 257 . . .	2631
Anund Chunder Mitter v. Baney Madhub Mitter . . .	21 W. R., 130 . . .	1507
Anund Chunder Mookerjee v. Teetooram Chatterjee . . .	4 W. R., 26 . . .	1768
Anund Chunder Paul v. Punchee Lall Soor . . .	8 W. R., 112 . . .	5734
Anund Chunder Pooshalee v. Mookta Keshee Debia . . .	3 W. R., 154 . . .	179
Anund Chunder Roy v. Huronath Roy . . .	8 W. R., 278 . . .	77, 92, 2533, 2545
Anund Chunder Roy v. Sidhy Gopal Misser . . .	17 W. R., 416 . . .	3001
Anund Chunder Singh v. Gopal Chunder Dass . . .	20 W. R., 476 . . .	680
Anund Coomar Gangooly v. Rakhal Chunder Roy . . .	9 W. R., Cr., 64 . . .	4487, 4492
Anund Coomar Mookerjee v. Bissonath Banerjee . . .		
Anundee Kooer v. Bachoo Singh . . .		
_____ v. Soonat Kooer . . .		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anundee Kooer <i>v.</i> Sooneet Kooer	10 W. R., Cr., 40.	4737
Anundee Koonwar <i>v.</i> Khedoo Lall	14 Moore's I. A., 412: 18 W. R., 69.	2350
— <i>v.</i> Takoor Pandey	4 W. R., Mis., 21.	2794
Anund Kunwar <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 764: 8 C. L. R., 381: L. R., 8 I. A., 14.	2482
Anundlal Sing Deo <i>v.</i> Dheeraj Gurrood Narayan Deo	5 Moore's I. A., 82.	2061
Anund Lall Doss <i>v.</i> Jullohdur Shaw	10 B. L. R., 134: 17 W. R., 313: 14 Moore's I. A., 543.	438
Anundloll Doss <i>v.</i> Jointee Chunder Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93.	3772
Anund Loll Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Kalika Persad Misser	12 B. L. R., 489, note: 20 W. R., 59.	5305
Anundmohay Dossee <i>v.</i> Doe.d. East India Company	8 Moore's I. A., 43: 4 W. R., P. C., 51.	2052, 2260, 2538, 3065
Anund Mohim Ghuttuck <i>v.</i> Soorji Kanto Acharjee Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 538	1736
Anund Mohun Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Sutto Ram Mozoomdar	8 W. R., 124	5831
Anund Mohun Hajrah <i>v.</i> Shibo Soonduree Dabee	16 W. R., 256	5620
Anund Mohun Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Mozoomdar.	W. R., 1864, 173	2117
Anund Mohun Mullick <i>v.</i> Indro Monee Chowdrain	16 W. R., 214	684, 1418
Anund Mohun Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ram Kishen Paul Chowdhry.	2 W. R., 297	6221, 6223
— <i>v.</i> Shamasoonduree	W. R., 1864, 352	2370
Anund Mohun Roy <i>v.</i> Chunder Monee Dasee	Marsh., 547: 2 Hay, 648	3396
— <i>v.</i> Lamb	Marsh., 169: 1 Hay, 374	2347
Anund Mohun Surma Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Huro Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	5 W. R., Mis., 16	5620
Anund Moyee Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Boykant Nath Roy	8 W. R., 193	2454
— <i>v.</i> Chunder Monee Dossia	3 W. R., Act X, 139	1438
— <i>v.</i> Sheeb Chunder Roy	2 W. R., P. C., 19: 9 Moore's I. A., 287: Marsh., 455.	1687, 4647
Anund Moyee Dahi <i>v.</i> Grish Chunder Myti	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 772: 9 C. L. R., 327.	3168
Anund Moyee Dasee <i>v.</i> Hameedonissa	Marsh., 85: 1 Hay, 152	1362
Anundmoyee Debia <i>v.</i> Khirodhur Halidar	2 W. R., Act X, 46	4932
Anundmoyee Dossee <i>v.</i> Dhurundro Chunder Mookerjee	1 W. R., 103	3547
— <i>v.</i> Mohendro Narain Dass	15 W. R., 264	2518, 3009
— <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Roy	9 Moore's I. A., 26	4643
— <i>v.</i> Raj Coomar Roy	23 W. R., 34	2999
Anundmoyee Dossee <i>v.</i> Raye Monee Dasee	20 W. R., 14	6108
Anundmoyee Dossia <i>v.</i> Kalee Coomar Rokheet	6 W. R., 18	5145
Anund Moyee Goopto <i>v.</i> Gopal Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 310	2427
Anund Moyi Dabia <i>v.</i> Shurnomoyi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 179	4484
Anund Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of — <i>v.</i> Junmejoy Biswas	4 W. R., Cr., 12	4493
— <i>v.</i> Thakoor Doss Mczoomdar	8 W. R., 240	537
Anundolall Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Hills	2 Hay, 472	1739
Anundo Lall Dass <i>v.</i> Boycaunt Ram Roy	4 W. R., Act X, 33	1617
Anundo Rai <i>v.</i> Kaliprosad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 283: 4 C. L. R., 473.	1381
Anund Roy <i>v.</i> Munorut Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 677	2041
Anungo Mohun Deb Roy <i>v.</i> Muddun Mohun Mozoomdar.	Marsh., 611	1458, 5240
Anunt Dass <i>v.</i> Kelly	1 C. L. R., 147	2692
Anunt Manjhee <i>v.</i> Joy Chunder Chowdhry	1 N. W., Part 7, p. 107: Ed., 1873, 194.	1150, 3788
	12 W. R., 371	3804

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anunto Doss Sein v. Ram Joy Sein	11 W. R., 435	247
Anunto Ram Seal v. Brojo Bullub Seal	11 W. R., 136	4454
Anuntram Agurwalla v. Nuthall	21 W. R., 62	2211, 2575
Anuragee Kunwar v. Ramracha Dass	25 W. R., Cr., 16	4499
Anurup Chandra Mukhopadhyaya v. Hiramani Dasi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 38	320, 6231
Anusuyabai v. Sakham Pandurang	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 464	5024
Anwar Biswas. In re	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 106	6179
Anwar Jan Bibee v. Azmut Ali	15 W. R., 26	244
Apach Ojha v. Ram Dulari Koer	4 C. L. R., 18	161
Apaji Bhivray v. Kavji	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 64	3944
Apaji Chintaman Devdhar v. Gangabai	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 632	2424, 5684
Apaya v. Rama	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 210	2736, 2747
Apcar v. Howah Bye	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 237	2685, 5140
Apee v. Heera Nund	2 N. W., 44	245
Appaji Bhivray v. Shivlall Khubchand	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 204	3099, 5975
Appana v. Nagia	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 512	5692
— v. Tangamma	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 106	423
Appa Pillai v. Ranga Pillai	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 71	1109
Appa Rao v. Court of Wards	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 236	1461
Apparau v. Annunukonda Rangaya Nayudu	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 41	757
Appasami v. Aghilanda	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 113	3137
— v. Manikam	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 103	1001
— v. Nagappa	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 499	2246
— v. Ramasami	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 279	4869
— v. Rama Subba	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 262	3586
— v. Scott	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 5	410
Appasami Mudali v. Rangappa Nattan	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 367	2952
Appasamy Pattar v. Govinen Nambiar	4 Mad., 145	394
Appa valad Kashinath v. Vithoba valad Tukaram	6 Bom., A. C., 88	296
Appavu Nayak v. Queen	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 245	5291
Appavu Pillai v. Subraya Muppen	2 Mad., 474	5689
Appaya v. Collector of Vizagapatam	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 155	3462
Appiah Chetty v. Chengadoo	4 Mad., 76	5899
Appoovier v. Rama Subba Aiyan	8 W. R., P. C., 1 : 11 Moore's } I. A., 75. }	2445
Appundy Ibram Sahib v. Sam	4 Mad., 297	3263
Appuni v. Vettuthadatha Shama	6 Mad., 401	6177
Appuni alias Ayampally Raman Kumaran v. Ayanepalli } Ekanatha Thavai Varikarnavan Shanguni. }	6 Mad., 401	3761, 6177
Apu Budgavda v. Narbari Annajee	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 21	4763, 4794
Aradhun Dey v. Golam Hossein	8 W. R., 487	5076
— v. Golam Hossein Maloom	7 W. R., 461	5829
Aradhun Mundul v. Myan Khan Takadgeer	24 W. R., Cr., 7	464, 5995
Arathoon v. Protap Chunder Dass	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 455 : 10 C. L. } R., 443. }	1717
Arbuthnot v. Betts	6 B. L. R., 273 : 14 W. R., 181	4609, 6098
— v. Daigre	2 Mad., 88	730
— v. Norton	3 Moore's I. A., 435	5298
— v. Oolagappa Chetty	5 Mad., 303	2236, 6071
Archakam Srinivasa Dikshatulu v. Udayagiry Anantha } Charlu. }	4 Mad., 349	2849, 5094
Archer v. Watkins	8 B. L. R., 372	2586, 3749
Ardaseer Curtsetjee v. Perozeboye	4 W. R., P. C., 91 : 6 Moore's } I. A., 348. }	2834, 2847, 5095
Ardesar Hormasji Wadia v. Secretary of State for India } ni Council. }	9 Bom., 177	348
Ardesar Jahangir Framji v. Avabai	9 Bom., 290	5101

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ardeshir Dhangibhai v. Collector of Surat	3 Bom., A. C., 116	296, 1691
Ardesir Jehangir v. Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 398	603
Ardesir Jehangir Framji v. Hirabai	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 474	4674
Ardesir Limji v. Sorabji Pestanji	1 Bom., 70	1205
Ardesir Nasarvanji v. Muse Natha Amiji	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 601	607
Aree Murdun Bhuggut v. Jannath Bhuggut	15 W. R., 328	674
Arfunnessa v. Peary Mohun Mookerjee	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 378 : 25 } W. R., 209.	4203
Arfunnissa Chowdhrair v. Rokibunnissa Chowdhrair	24 W. R., 444	3832
Argoon Singh v. Haree Hur Singh	14 W. R., 472	6221
Arjan Bibi v. Asgar Ali Chowdhuri	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 200	2729
Arjuna valad Bhiva v. Bhavan valad Nimbaji	4 Bom., A. C., 133	3430
Arlapa Nayak v. Narsi Keshavji & Co.	8 Bom., A. C., 19	1348, 4586
Armugam v. Sabapathi	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 12	5387
Aroonachella Gramany v. Velliappa Gramany	8 Mad., 103	2950
Arrathoon v. Cochrane	4 W. R., P. C., 66	5804
Arruth Misser v. Juggurnath Indraswamee	18 W. R., 439	2254
Arub v. Ashruf	24 W. R., 335	2995
Arulu Mastry v. Wakuthu Chinnayen	2 Mad., 205	2719
Arumugam v. Tulukanam	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 187	3739
Arumugam Chetty v. Perriyannan Servai	25 W. R., 81	4458
Arumugam Mudali v. Ammi Ammal	1 Mad., 400	2561
Arumugam Pillai v. Tijayammal	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 338	3580
Arunachala v. Ayyu	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 318	2652
— v. Munaiivami	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 39	2172
— v. Panchsanadam	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 348	4992
— v. Zemindarof Sivagiri	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 328	807
Arunachala Pillai v. Ramasamya Pillai	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 402	3170, 3297
— v. Vythialinga Mudaliyar	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 27	4254
Arunachala Reddi. In the matter of the petition of	5 Mad., 212	6029
Arunachella Mudaly v. Venkatachella Mudaly	5 Mad., 269	779
Arunachella Pillai v. Appava Pillai	3 Mad., 188	848
Arunachella Tevar v. Venkatasami Naik	7 Mad., 123	4043
Arunachella Thudayan v. Veludayan	5 Mad., 215	3480, 3542
Arunachellum Chetty v. Gangatharam Aiyar	5 Mad., 287	5656
— v. Olagappah Chetty	4 Mad., 312	53, 1771, 5823
Arun Chandra Mandal v. Ramanath Rakhit	1 B. L. R., S. N., 20	3236
Arundadhi v. Natesha	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 391	831
Arundadi Ammal v. Kuppammal	3 Mad., 283	2119
Arut Sahoo v. Prandhone Pykura	1. L. R. 10 Calc., 503	3005
Aruth Soar v. Juggunnath Mohapattur	23 W. R., 460	3917
Arzan v. Rakhai Chunder Roy Chowdhry	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 214	3231, 5281
Asad Ali Mirdha v. Toyfan Bibi	13 C. L. R., 328	4472
Asansab Ravuthan v. Vamana Rau	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 223	3966
Asapal Singh v. Nunkoo Singh	3 Agra, 216	3955
Asadudowlah Reza Hossein Khan v. Hamisadowlah } Abad Khan.	6 B. L. R., 575 : 15 W. R., 204	2647
Aseemoonnissa v. Ameeronnissa	15 W. R., 285	4Q45
Asgar Ali Chowdhry v. Mahabhat Ali	13 B. L. R., Ap., 34 : 22 W. R., 403.	1078
Asgur v. Goluck Chunder Chowdhry	8 W. R., 363	4172
Asgur Ali v. Asgur Ali	20 W. R., 373	4954
— v. Nugendro Chunder Ghose	23 W. R., 463	1206, 2696
— v. Woomakant Mookerjee	25 W. R., 318	4932
Asgur Ali Shikdar v. Mothoora Nath Ghose	15 W. R., 354	4793
Asgur Beparee v. Hurree Mohun Mojoomdar	23 W. R., 56	5751

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anunto Doss Sein v. Ram Joy Sein	11 W. R., 435	247
Anunto Ram Seal v. Brojo Bullub Seal	11 W. R., 136	4454
Anuntram Agurwalla v. Nuthall	21 W. R., 62	2211, 2575
Anuragee Kunwar v. Ramracha Dass	25 W. R., Cr., 16	4499
Anurup Chandra Mukhopadhyaya v. Hiramani Dasi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 38	320, 6231
Anusuyabai v. Sakham Pandurang	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 464	5024
Anwar Biswas. In re	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 106	6179
Anwar Jan Bibee v. Azmut Ali	15 W. R., 26	244
Apach Ojha v. Ram Dulari Koer	4 C. L. R., 18	161
Apaji Bhivrav v. Kavji	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 64	3944
Apaji Chintaman Devdhar v. Gangabai	1 L. R., 2 Bom., 632	2424, 5684
Apaya v. Rama	1 L. R., 3 Bom., 210	2736, 2747
Apcar v. Howah Bye	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 237	2685, 5140
Apee v. Heera Nund	2 N. W., 44	245
Appaji Bhivrav v. Shivilall Khubchand	1 L. R., 3 Bom., 204	3099, 5975
Appana v. Nagia	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 512	5692
— v. Tangamma	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 106	423
Appa Pillai v. Ranga Pillai	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 71	1109
Appa Rao v. Court of Wards	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 236	1461
Apparau v. Annunukonda Rangaya Nayudu	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 41	757
Appasami v. Aghilanda	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 113	3137
— v. Manikam	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 103	1001
— v. Nagappa	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 499	2246
— v. Ramasami	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 279	4869
— v. Rama Subba	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 262	3586
— v. Scott	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 5	410
Appasami Mudali v. Rangappa Nattan	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 367	2952
Appasamy Pattar v. Govinen Nambiar	4 Mad., 145	394
Appa valad Kashinath v. Vithoba valad Tukaram	6 Bom., A. C., 88	296
Appavu Nayak v. Queen	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 245	5291
Appavu Pillai v. Subrava Muppen	2 Mad., 474	5689
Appaya v. Collector of Vizagapatam	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 155	3462
Appiah Chetty v. Chengadoo	4 Mad., 76	5899
Appooover v. Rama Subba Aiyan	8 W. R., P. C., 1 : 11 Moore's } I. A., 75. }	2445
Appundy Ibram Sahib v. Sam	4 Mad., 297	3263
Appuni v. Vettuthadatha Shama	6 Mad., 401	6177
Appuni alias Ayampally Raman Kumaran v. Ayanepalli Ekanatha Thavai Varikarnavan Shanguni.	6 Mad., 401	3761, 6177
Apu Budgavda v. Narhari Annajee	1 L. R., 3 Bom., 21	4763, 4794
Aradhun Dey v. Golam Hossein	8 W. R., 487	5076
— v. Golam Hossein Maloom	7 W. R., 461	5829
Aradhun Mundul v. Myan Khan Takadgeer	24 W. R., Cr., 7	464, 5995
Arathoon v. Protap Chunder Dass	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 455 : 10 C. L. } R., 443. }	1717
Arbuthnot v. Betts	6 B. L. R., 273 : 14 W. R., 181	4609, 6098
— v. Daigre	2 Mad., 88	730
— v. Norton	3 Moore's I. A., 435	5298
— v. Oolagappa Chetty	5 Mad., 303	2236, 6071
Archakam Srinivasa Dikshatulu v. Udayagiry Anantha. Charlu.	4 Mad., 349	2849, 5094
Archer v. Watkins	8 B. L. R., 372	2586, 3749
Ardaseer Curtsetjee v. Perozeboye	4 W. R., P. C., 91 : 6 Moore's } I. A., 348. }	2834, 2847, 5095
Ardesar Hormasji Wadia v. Secretary of State for India ni Council.	4 Bom., 177	348
Ardesar Jahangir Framji v. Avabai	9 Bom., 290	5101

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Asgur Hossein. In re	8 C. L. R., 124	6264
———. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774: 8 C. L. R., 124. }	1836, 6264
Asgur Mahomed v. Nuzema Bibee	14 W. R., 272	5041
Ashabai v. Tyeb	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 390	2755
——— v. Tyeb Haji Rahimtulla	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 115	{ 2282, 2446, 2493, 3632, 6048
Ashadoollah v. Shaeba Jhasors	2 Hay, 345	3684
Ashanoollah v. Kadir	25 W. R., 92	3799
——— v. Kisto Gobind Dass	2 C. L. R., 592	1634
——— v. Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 325	543
Ashanulla v. Karoonamoyi Chowdhry	4 C. L. R., 125	273, 285
Ashanulla Khan Bahadur v. Rajendra Chandra Rai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 464	5311
Ashanullah v. Kali Kinkur Kur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 675	4313
Ashanullah Khan Bahadur v. Trilochun Bagchee	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 197	4190, 5294
Ashburner v. Currie	13 W. R., 27	4743
——— v. Keshav valad Tuku Patil	4 Bom., A. C., 150	2785, 4106
Asheerooddeen alias Kalla Miah v. Drobo Moyee	25 W. R., 557	3668
Ashgar Ali v. Delroos Bane Begum	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324	113, 4233
Ashgar Ali Shah v. Jhanda Mal	I. L. R., 2 All., 839	5084
Ashidharee Chowdhry v. Juggessur Kumar	14 W. R., 430	633
Ashidhari Chowdhry v. Jagessur Kumar	6 B. L. B., Ap., 32	633
Ashik Ali v. Mathura Kandu	I. L. R., 5 All., 187	3354, 5214
Ashootosh Chuckerbutty v. Teetoo Haldar	W. R., 1864, 293	5279
Ashootosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 584: 8 C. L. R., 23. }	788, 3543
Ashraf Ali v. Jagannath	I. L. R., 6 All., 497	5238
Ashrof Ali v. Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 281	1954
Ashruf v. Ramkishore Ghose	23 W. R., 288	4171, 5180
Ashruf Ali v. Ashad Ali	16 W. R., 260	3637, 3647
——— v. Lutchimput Singh	2 C. L. R., 223	5407
——— v. Vilaet Hossein	24 W. R., 356	1612
Ashruff Hossein. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 67	5518
Ashruffodowlah Ahmed Hossein v. Hyder Hossein Khan.	7 W. R., P. C., 1: 11 Moore's I. A., 94. }	3634
Ashruffunnissa v. Azeemun	1 W. R., 17	3684, 3703
——— v. Lehareaux	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 272: 10 C. L. R., 502. }	198
Ashruffunnissa Begum v. Khanun Jaun	6 W. R., 285	3879, 5254
Ashruf Hossein v. Hazara Begum	18 W. R., 396	5926
Ashrufoonissa v. Umung Mohun Deb Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 48	4151
Ashrufoonissa Begum v. Stewart	7 W. R., 303	1377, 5804
——— v. ———	9 W. R., 438	4916
——— v. Tosudduck Hossein	22 W. R., 260	2982
Ashruf Sirdar v. Bhubo Soonduree	25 W. R., 40	1680, 2024
Ashumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah v. Makachinde Agath Makachi.	4 Mad., 478	4198
Ashutosh Bose v. Huree Churn Nag	16 W. R., 305	2816
Ashutosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 438: 5 C. L. R., 296: L. R., 6 I. A., 182. }	2541
"Asia." In re the proceeds of the	5 Bom., O. C., 64	2833
Asiatic Banking Corporation v. Viegas	8 Bom., O. C., 20	131, 5904
Asima Krishna Deb v. Kumara Krishna Deb	2 B. L. R., O. C., 11	2552
Askar v. Ram Manick Roy	5 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 13 W. R., 344.	4560
Askar Mea v. Sabdar Mea	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 137	4106

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Askery Khan <i>v.</i> Ashruffunnissa	6 C. L. R., 112	3320
Aslem <i>v.</i> Kalla Durzi	2 C. L. R., 344	5231
Asloo <i>v.</i> Umdutoonissa	20 W. R., 297	26, 3695
Aama Bibee <i>v.</i> Ramkant Roy Chowdhry	19 W. R., 251	4758
Asmal Saleman <i>v.</i> Collector of Broach	1. L. R., 5 Bom, 135	342, 2859
Asman Singh <i>v.</i> Abeedoddeen	23 W. R., 460	535
— <i>v.</i> Ajnas Koer	2 C. L. R., 406	1696
— <i>v.</i> Doorga Roy	{ 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 284: 7 C. } L. R., 94.	5737
Asmedh Koonwar <i>v.</i> Tayler	W. R., 1864, 15	1962, 6015
Asmedh Koonwar <i>v.</i> Joykurun Lall	1 W. R., 349	3129
Asmed Kooer <i>v.</i> Indurjeet Kooer	9 W. R., 445	3834
Asmudh Kooer <i>v.</i> Joykurun Lall	5 W. R., 125	3336
Asmut Kooer <i>v.</i> Indurjeet Kooer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1003	3834
Asmutoonissa Bebee <i>v.</i> Atta Hafiz	8 W. R., 468	135
Asmutoonissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Khudemoonissa Bibee	17 W. R., 278	5468
Asmutullah Dalal <i>v.</i> Kally Churn Mitter	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 56	3534
Asrafannissa Begum <i>v.</i> Inaet Hossein	5 B. L. R., 316: 13 W. R., 439	5939
Assam Tea Company <i>v.</i> East Indian Railway Company	Bourke, O. C., 39.	4707
Assamathem Nessa Bibee <i>v.</i> Lutchmeeput Singh	{ 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 142: 2 C. } L. R., 223.	3640, 4943, 5407
Assanoolah <i>v.</i> Kalce Mohun Mookerjee	18 W. R., 469	2053, 4969
— <i>v.</i> Obhoy Churn Roy	{ 13 W. R., P. C., 24 } Moore's I. A., 317.	13 541, 5348
— <i>v.</i> Saffer Ali	21 W. R., 135	2046
Assanullah <i>v.</i> Bussarat Ali Chowdhry	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 920	4150
— <i>v.</i> Hafiz Mahomed Ali	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 932	2776
Assa Ram <i>v.</i> Kashmeeree Dass	Agra, F. B., 90: Ed. 1874,	5770
Assaram Burteah <i>v.</i> Commercial Transport Association	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 113	1203, 1524, 2732
Assaruddee Khan <i>v.</i> Baloo Khan	1 W. R., Cr., 6	957
Assenoolah Joo <i>v.</i> Abdool Aziz	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 923	4524
Assur Ali <i>v.</i> Woolfutoonissa	13 W. R., 33	5132
Asud Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Akbar Ali Khan	1 C. L. R., 364	1170
Asudooddeen Hyder <i>v.</i> Abdool Kureem	6 W. R., 110	5121
Asudoolah <i>v.</i> Akbur Ali	7 W. R., 60.	4464
Asudoolah <i>v.</i> Monohur Doss	22 W. R., 531	5325
Asu Mia <i>v.</i> Raju Mia	{ 1 B. L. R., A. C., 34: 10 W. } R., 76.	3419, 3446
Atahur Ali <i>v.</i> Altaf Fatima	10 W. R., 370, note	3656
Atchama <i>v.</i> Ramanadha Baboo	{ 7 W. R., P. C., 57: 4 Moore's } I. A., 1.	2135
Atchamma <i>v.</i> Subba Rayudu	5 Mad., 428	3397
Athiappa <i>v.</i> Ayanna	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 300	4292
Athilakshmi Ammal <i>v.</i> Sivananjanja Perumal Sethurayer	3 Mad., 75	2318
Athur Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Sekundar Ali Khan	4 W. R., 28.	5285
Athuroonissa <i>v.</i> Rughoonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 322	5431
Atmakuri Bhavana Setti <i>v.</i> Sanyasi Setti	3 Mad., 222	2810
Atmaram <i>v.</i> Balkishen	1. L. R., 5 All., 266	4289
— <i>v.</i> Chundun Singh	2 Agra, 77	1026
— <i>v.</i> Madho Rao	1. L. R., 6 All., 276	2111
Atmaram Ballal Kagji <i>v.</i> Sadashiv Hari Mahajani	2 Bom., 1	5744
Atmaram Gulabhai <i>v.</i> Amirchand Rupchand	3 Bom., A. C., 92.	5821
Atmaram Kaliandas <i>v.</i> Fatma Begum	5 Bom., A. C., 67.	1901
Atri Bai <i>v.</i> Arnopoorna Bai	{ 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 838: 12 C. } L. R., 409.	5727
Atta Oollah Mundle <i>v.</i> Sukeesooddeen Turupdar	W. R., 1864, 271	4677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ayyavu Muppanar v. Niladatchi Ammal	1 Mad., 45	{ 1146, 2419, 2465, 3366
Azadee v. Ajmere Koonwer	1 Agra, 282	5376
Azam Bhuyan v. Faizuddin Ahamed	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 594	3401
Azeegunnissa v. Ruhmancoollah	10 W. R., 306	3693
Azeem Ali v. Hurnam Dass	24 W. R., Cr., 9	1533
Azeem Khan v. Ameerun	12 W. R., 38	671
Azeemun v. Asgur Ali	2 Agra, Pt. II, 167	3660
Azeezoollah Gazee v. Bunk Beharee Roy	7 W. R., 48	2844
Azeezonissa v. Baqur Khan	10 B. L. R., 205 : 17 W. R., 393	4139
Azgur Howladar v. Asaruddin	17 W. R., Cr., 1	958
Azhar Ali v. Altaf Fatima	4 B. L. R., P. C., 1 : 13 W. } R., P. C., 1.	476
Azim Ali Khan Bahadoor v. Surussutty Debia	23 W. R., 93	158
Aziman Bibi v. Amir Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 343	4552
Azim Molla v. Satoo Poramanick	10 C. L. R., 523	4485
Azim Mullick v. Gunga Dhur Banerjee	5 W. R., Act X, 58	1655
Azimnullah Moodeen v. Cruikshank	11 B. L. R., 67	1490
Azim Sarung v. Almooddeen	17 W. R., 270	1758
Azim-ud-din v. Baldeo	I. L. R., 3 All., 554	5274
Azimudin Khan v. Zia-ul-nissa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 309	4405, 6130
Azimunnissa Begum v. Dale	6 Mad., 455	3683
Azimut Ali Khan v. Jowahir Singh	14 W. R., P. C., 17 : 13 Moore's I. A., 404.	2698, 3968
Azizoonnessa Khatoon v. Gora Chand Dass	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 163	5307
— v. Kally Churn Sen	8 C. L. R., 498	5307
Azizullah Khan v. Ahmad Ali Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 353	141, 3656
Azizunnissa Khatun v. Shashi Bhushan Bose	2 B. L. R., Ap., 47 : 11 W. R., 343.	3537
Azmuddin v. Mathuradas Govardhandas Gulabdas	11 Bom., 206	{ 3475, 3481, 3525
Azmut Ali Khan v. Hurdwaree Mull	14 W. R., P. C., 14 : 13 Moore's I. A., 395.	478
Azroal Singh v. Lalla Gopeenath	8 W. R., 23	5215
Azuddin Hossein v. Lloyd	13 C. L. R., 112	2081, 3206, 3304
Azur Ali v. Kali Kumar Chuckerbutty	2 B. L. R., A. C., 315	4895
Azurroodeen v. Mohur Singh	2 Agra, 165	3029

B

Baba v. Timma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 357	2156
— v. Vishvanath Joshi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 228	3033, 3047
Baba Chowdhry v. Abedooddeen Mahomed	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 69	3801, 3802, 3805
Babaji v. Anna	10 Pom., 479	3263, 3285
— v. Balaji Ganesh	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 660	2307
— v. Dhuri	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 305	2410
— v. Lakshmbai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 266	2799, 4426
— v. Maruti	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 310	2074
— v. Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340	3057, 4462, 5506
— v. Sheshgeri	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 593	688
— v. Vithu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 734	1518, 3980
Babaji bin Kusaji v. Maruti	11 Bom., 182	687
Babaji bin Ramji v. Kasimbhai valad Azambhai	3 Bom., A. C., 60	4919

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Babaji Hari v. Rajaram Ballal	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 75	4345 4390
Babaji Jivaji v. Bhagirthibai	6 Bom., A. C., 70	1412
Babaji Lakshman v. Vasudev Vinayak	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 95	1469
Babaji Mahadaji v. Krishnaji Devji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 666	2158
Babaji Parshram v. Kashibai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 157	2453
Babaji Sakhoji v. Ramshet Pandushet	2 Bom., 23	4155
Baba Mohamed v. Webb	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 786: 8 C. } L. R., 36. }	808, 838
Baban Mayacha v. Nagu Shrivucha	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 19	1995, 2843, 4976
Babashet bin Gobindshet v. Jirshet bin Yesshet	5 Bom., A. C., 71	2365
Baboojan v. Mahomed Nurool Huq	10 W. R., 375	3732
Baboojan Jha v. Byjnath Dutt Jha	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 474: 7 C. } L. R., 539. }	3844
Baboo Lall v. Luttoo Ram	18 W. R., 233	4656
Baboolall Jha v. Juma Buksh	2 W. R., 116	2343
Baboonath Jha v. Khugput Doss	19 W. R., 226	3517
Baboorain v. Gajadhar Singh	Agra, F. B., 86: Ed. 1874, 65	1469
Babshetti v. Venkataramana	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 154	1092, 2968, 5746
Babulal v. Ishri Parsad Narain Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 582	5020
Babun Mundle v. Shib Koomaree Burmonee	21 W. R., 404	3
Babur Ali v. Dowlut Ali	15 B. L. R., 242: 19 W. R., } 217. }	5074
——— v. Gokul Lall	24 W. R., 62	5938
Baburav Amrit Pethe v. Ganpatrav Damodar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 69	5893
Babur Meah v. Jumun Meah	2 C. L. R., 362	169
Bacharam Chowdry v. Mahtab Beebee	W. R., 1864, 377	3165
Bacharam Mundul v. Peary Mohun Banerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 813: 12 C. } L. R., 475. }	4205
Bachchu v. Madad Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 649	1495
Bachebi v. Makhan Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 55	2192, 2283, 5905
Bachha Jha v. Jugmon Jha	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 348	2497
Bachiraju v. Venkatappadu	2 Mad., 402	2308
Bachman v. Bachman	I. L. R., 6 All., 583	6217
——— v. Lall Beharee Pandey	13 W. R., 324	6236
Bachubai v. Shamji Jadowji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 536	3870, 4322
Bachun v. Hamid Hossein	10 B. L. R., 45: 17 W. R., } 113: 14 Moore's I. A., 377. }	3657, 4654, 5613
Bachun Ali v. Dewan Ali	11 W. R., 376	1424
Bachu Ramesam v. Nukala Bhanappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 182	3589
Bada v. Hussu Bhai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 236	5265
Badam v. Imrit	I. L. R., 3 All., 675	4917
——— v. Michel	1 Agra, 266	3037
Badami Kuar v. Dinu Rai	I. L. R., 8 AH., 111	5963
Badamoo Koowar v. Wuzeer Singh	1 Ind. Jur.; N. S., 144: 5 } W. R., 78. }	2470, 2514
Badaranissa Bibee v. Mafiattala	7 B. L. R., 442: 15 W. R., } 555. }	3646
Badaruth Tewary v. Jagarnath Dass	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 75	2444
Badaum Koowar v. Lalla Situl Pershad	5 W. R., 126	5359
Badi v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 579	1025
Badiya v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158	713, 5576, 6192
Badrinath v. Bhajan Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 191	2917, 3064
Badri Nath v. Parbat	I. L. R., 5 All., 121	5199

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Badri Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 359	5443
— v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 700	5815
— v. Muhammad Yusuf	I. L. R., 1 All., 382	3248
— v. Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 2 All., 593 : L. R., 7 I. A., 51.	4025
— v. Saran Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 359	5443
Badri Roy v. Bhugwat Narain Dobey	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 649 : 11 C. L. R., 186.	2467, 4310
Badrunnissa v. Muhammad Jan	I. L. R., 2 All., 671	4411, 5680
Badul Singh v. Chutterdhare Singh	9 W. R., 558	878, 2349
Badurul Huq v. Court of Wards	12 W. R., 498	3376
Bagaishree Dyal v. Government	2 Agra, 81	5254
Bagdee Manjee v. Mohindro Narain	10 W. R., Cr., 16	463, 6252
Baggot. In re	Bourke, Ins., 5	2670
Baghelin v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 430	3149
Bagoo Jan v. Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq	13 W. R., 69	1944, 3162, 5138
Bagram. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 52	983
— v. Collector of Bhullooa	20 W. R., 10	5930
— v. Khettranath Karformah	W. R., 1864, 243	1994, 4457
— v. Moses	3 B. L. R., O. C., 18	4566
— v. Wise	1 Hyde, 284	2706, 2828
Bagubai v. Nizmuddin	1 B. L. R., F. B., 91 : 10 W. R., F. B., 46.	1881
Bahadoor v. Shadee Ram	6 Bom., A. C., 205	5732
Bahadoor Ally v. Domun Singh	2 Agra, 3	52
Bahadoor Khan v. Kora Mull	7 W. R., 27	2744, 3556
Bahadur v. Nawab Jan	1 Agra, 281	3022
Bahadur Ali v. Bhabo Soonduree Debia Chowdhraim	I. L. R., 3 All., 822	6087
Bahadur Singh v. Bhugwan Dass	7 W. R., 425	236
— v. Hura	1 Agra, 23	243
— v. Jowahir Kuar	3 N. W., 73	580
— v. Lucho Koer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 887 : L. R., 11 I. A., 75.	5104
Bahal Singh v. Gauri	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 406 : 7 C. L. R., 251.	5067
— v. Shibo Ram Singh	7 N. W., 284	3182
Bahar Shah v. Pero Shah	W. R., 1864, 76	382
Baharulla v. Majan	24 W. R., 385	3376
Bahooria Doohma Kowar v. Lalla Juwahur Lall Paurey	3 B. L. R., Ap., 61	4163
Bahori Lal v. Gauri Shahai	20 W. R., 52	1940
Bahraichi Chaudhri v. Surju Naik	I. L. R., 8 All., 626	835
Bahur Ali v. Sookea Bibee	I. L. R., 4 All., 257	4887
Bai Amrit. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 63	2082, 3117
— v. Bai Manik	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 380	1544, 4426
Bai Anope v. Mulchand Girdhar	12 Bom., 79	2077, 2317
Bai Baiba v. Bai Daguba	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 355	309, 1443, 4417, 4429
Baichoo v. Howard	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 728	3858
Baichoo Ram v. Dowlut Ram	3 Agra, 15	3434, 5380
Bai Daya v. Natha Gobindlal	2 N. W., 8	1602
Bai Devkore v. Amritram Jamiatram	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 279	2421
Bai Ganga v. Dullabh Parag	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 372	2287
Bai Jadav v. Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas	5 Bom., A. C., 179	3043
Bai Jamna v. Bai Ichha	9 Bom., 333	6060
— v. Bai Jadav	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 604	3187, 3270
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 168	3774

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bai Javier <i>v.</i> Hathi Sing	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 56	4292
Bajinath <i>v.</i> Lachman Das	1. L. R., 7 All., 888	4837
——— <i>v.</i> Mahabir	I. L. R., 1 All., 608	2308
——— <i>v.</i> Mahomed Iradat	7 N. W., 185	4801
——— <i>v.</i> Munglee	2 N. W., 411	5997
Baij Nath Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Lakhimani Debi	5 B. L. R., 514, note	1405
Baijunath Sahai <i>v.</i> Desputty Singh	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208: 25 W. } R., 489. }	4669
Baij Nath Sahay <i>v.</i> Rugho Nath Pershad Singh	12 C. L. R., 186	480
Baij Nath Sahu <i>v.</i> Lala Sital Prasad	2 B. L. R., F. B., 1: 10 W. } R., F. B., 66: }	2891, 5367
Baijoo Baul <i>v.</i> Gugun Misser	8 W. R., Cr., 61	2006
Baijoo Lall. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 450	1314
Baijun Doobey <i>v.</i> Brij Bhokun Lall Awusti	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 133: 24 W. } R., 306: L. R., 2 I. A., 275 }	2519
Bai Kanku <i>v.</i> Bai Jadav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 15	2419
Baikanthanath Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Naziruddin	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. } R., 171. }	351
Baikanthanath Kumar <i>v.</i> Chandra Mohan Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., A. C., 133: 10 W. } R., 190. }	138, 4194
Baikantha Nath Singh <i>v.</i> Dhiraj Mahatab Chand	9 B. L. R., 87: 17 W. R., } 447. }	5330
Bai Kashf <i>v.</i> Bai Jamna	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 449	3262
Bai Kesar <i>v.</i> Bai Ganga	8 Bom., A. C., 31	2078, 2275
Bai Kesserbai <i>v.</i> Naranji Walji	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 353	459
Bai Khedu <i>v.</i> Dasu Lall	5 Bom., A. C., 123	1344
Baikunt Kumar. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 134	4508
Bai Kushal <i>v.</i> Lakhma Mana	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 452	2263
Bai Kuvar <i>v.</i> Vendidas Gangaram	8 Bom., A. C., 127	1898
Bai Lakshmi <i>v.</i> Lakshmidas Gopal Das	1 Bom., 13	2422
Bailur Krishna Raw <i>v.</i> Lakshmana Shanbhogue	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 302	413, 896, 1693
Bai Mahkor <i>v.</i> Bulakhi Chakee	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 538	4424, 6075, 6080
Bai Mancha <i>v.</i> Narotamdas Kashidas	6 Bom., A. C., 1	2342, 2371
Bai Maneckbai <i>v.</i> Bai Merbai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363	4239, 5879, 6056
Bai Maneckbai <i>v.</i> Manekji Kavasji	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 213	3463
Bainee <i>v.</i> Mahomed Ali Khan	2 Agra, 307	4925
Bainee Pershad <i>v.</i> Mahomed Ukber Hossein	3 Agra, Rev., 3	1645
Bainee Singh <i>v.</i> Bhurth Singh	1 Agra, 162	2345
Bai Premkuvar <i>v.</i> Bhika Kallianji	5 Bom., A. C., 209	5099
Bai Suraj <i>v.</i> Dalpatram Dayashankar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380	6118, 6142
——— <i>v.</i> Government of Bombay	8 Bom., A. C., 83	5591
Bai Ude Kuvar <i>v.</i> Mulji Naran	3 Bom., A. C., 177	3130, 3131
Bai Ujam <i>v.</i> Valiji Rasulbhai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 456	620
Baiva Khan Daud Khan <i>v.</i> Bhiku Sazba	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 475	3139
Bai Vijkor <i>v.</i> Fakirbhai	2 Bom., 335: 2nd Ed., 317	4902
Bai Vijli <i>v.</i> Nana Nagar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 152	1099
Baji Dev <i>v.</i> Sadashiv Bhaishankar	5 Bom., A. C., 158	1897
Bakar Halsana <i>v.</i> Dinobandhu Biswas	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17	3865
Bakas Ram Sahoo <i>v.</i> Chummun Ram	7 W. R., 11	2843
Bakatram Badrinath. In the matter of the petition of	6 Bom., O. C., 131	4758
Baker <i>v.</i> Sutherland	8 B. L. R., 298: 16 W. R., 90	1157
Bakhat Ram <i>v.</i> Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 448	2861, 3821
Bakbir Mohammed <i>v.</i> Doorga Churn Shaha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 39: 13 C. } L. R., 200. }	417, 5296
Bakhshi Nand Kishore <i>v.</i> Malak Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 289	5466

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bakranath Mandal v. Binodram Sen	1 B. L. R., F. B., 25: 10 W. R., F. B., 33.	1621, 3015, 4144
Bakshiram Gangaram v. Darku Tukaram	10 Bom., 369	4023 4885,
Baksu Lakshman v. Govinda Kanji	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 594	1825
Bakubai v. Manchhabai	2 Bom., 5	2304, 2334
Bakur Ali Chowdhry v. Ashkur Ali	5 W. R., 156	144
Balaji v. Ramasami	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 284	5979
Balaji Anant Rajadiksha v. Ganesh Janardan Kamati	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 499	1468
Balaji Baikaji Pinge v. Gopal bin Raghu Kuli	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 23	1188, 1639
Balaji Ganesh v. Khusalji	11 Bom., 24	3546
Balaji J. Bahalkar v. Narayan Bhat	3 Bom., A. C., 63	2055
Balaji Mahadev v. Krishnaji bin Chimnaji	6 Bom., A. C., 95	5822
Balaji Narayan Kolatkar v. Ram Chandra Ganesh Kelkar.	11 Bom., 37	3941, 4828, 5311
Balaji Narji v. Babu Deoli	5 Bom., A. C., 159	4185
Balaji Ramchandra v. Gajanan Babaji	11 Bom., 159	442, 5417
Balaji Ranchhoddas. In re	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 680	1888, 2793, 3140
Balaji Sitaram. In re	11 Bom., 34	5511, 5514
Balaji Sitaram Naik Salgavkar v. Bhikaji Soyare Prabhu Kanolekar.	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 164	3036, 3039, 4893
Balaji Vishvanath Joshi v. Dharma	2 Bom., 385: 2nd Ed., 363	4902, 5044
Bala Kadar v. Gulam Mohidin	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 424	5442
Balak Tewari v. Kansil Misr	1. L. R., 4 All., 491	246
Balam Das v. Dwarka Das	7 N. W., 88	1502, 5681
Balaram Chatruklal v. Magistrate of Igatpuri	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 672	4422
Balarami Reddi v. Pera Reddi	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 267	2218, 2289
Balaram Mullick v. Solano	8 B. L. R., 335	426
Balaram Nemchand v. Appa valad Dulu	9 Bom., 121	4822
Bala Thakoor v. Meghburn Singh	14 W. R., 269	1764, 3805
Bala valad Sankia v. Gabaji Balvant Kulkarni	2 Bom., 175: 2nd Ed., 168	1075, 6123
Balbhadar v. Bisheshar	1. L. R., 8 All., 495	2407
Bal Chand v. Raghunath Das	1. L. R., 4 All., 155	794
Baldeo Das v. Gobind Shankar	1. L. R., 7 All., 914	82, 5962
— v. Sham Lal	1. L. R., 1 All., 77	2370
Baldeo Das Agarwalla v. Kaich	3 B. L. R., O. C., 80	4325
Baldeo Panday v. Gokul Rai	1. L. R., 1 All., 603	2716
— v. Jhari Kuar	7 N. W., 334	4041
Baldeo Prasad v. Grish Chandra Bose	1. L. R., 2 All., 754	4261, 5245
Baldeo Sahai v. Bateshar Singh	1. L. R., 1 All., 75	5040
Balfour & Co. v. Kilburn & Co.	1 Hyde, 270	6013
Balgobind v. Bhawani Deen Sahoo	1 Agra, Mis., 16	1925
— v. Ramkumar	1. L. R., 6 All., 431	2484
Bal Gobind Muhtoon v. Lutafut Hossein	7 W. R., 142	5809
Balgobind Ram v. Hivusranee	2 W. R., 255	2481
Bal Gobind Tewaree v. Hureenath Pershad Sahoo	16 W. R., 183	4289
Balindur Narain v. Kalla Messoo Koos	18 W. R., 341	1570, 6272
Balkishen v. Jasoda Kuar	1. L. R., 7 All., 765	5759
— v. Sita Ram	1. L. R., 7 All., 731	437, 2402
Balkishen Das v. Run Bahadur Singh	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 305: 13 C. L. R., 392: L. R., 10 I. A., 162.	1478, 2731
Bal Kishen Mahapattur v. Bistoo Churn	22 W. R., 532	3870
Balkishoon v. Mahommed Tazam Allee	4 N. W., 90	796, 1926
Balkissen Dass v. Luchmiput Singh	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 91	185
Balkrishna v. Ganesh	11 Bom., 116, note	2032, 3133, 3469

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Balkrishna v. Kisansing	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 505, note	5665
— v. Lakshman	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 219 .	5892
· v. Masuma Bibi	I. L. R., 5 All., 142 : L. R., 9 I. A., 182 : 13 C. L. R., 232.	1251
· v. Nagvekar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 324 .	1229
Balkrishna Bhalchandra v. Gopal Raghunath	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 73 .	2499
Balkrishna Bhasakar Gupte. Ex parte	2 Bom., 96 : 2nd Ed., 91 .	178
Balkrishna Chimnaji v. Balaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 25 .	2851
Balkrishna Ganpatji. In the goods of	1 Bom., 114 .	2678, 6201
Balkrishna Gopal v. Bal Joshi Sadashiv Joshi	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 663 .	3455
Balkrishna Govind Gadgil v. Narayan Sakharam	8 Bom., A. C., 180 .	4864
Balkrishna Moreshvar Kunte v. Municipality of Mahad	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 32 .	4248
Balkrishna Pandurang v. Govind Saivaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 578 .	3316
Balkrishna Shaligram. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 96 .	99
Balkrishna Trimback v. Govind Pand Naik	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 297 .	5843
Balkrishna Trimbak Tendulkar v. Savitribai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 54 .	2285, 2297
Balkrishna Vasudev v. Madharav Narayan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 73 .	2892, 4417, 5341, 5367
Balkrishna Vithal v. Hari Shankar	8 Bom., A. C., 64 .	4881
Ball v. Stowell	I. L. R., 2 All., 322 .	3313
Ballabh Das v. Sunder Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 429 .	2393
Ballabh Shankar v. Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 173 .	4982
Ballin v. Ballin	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 218 : 8 C. L. R., 28. }	6208
Ballodeb Lall Bhagat v. Anadi Mohapatro	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 410 .	212
Bally Dobey v. Ganei Deo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 388 .	2041
Balmakund v. Janki	I. L. R., 3 All., 403 .	3852
— v. Sheojatan Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 125 .	5947, 5962
Balmer, Lawrie, & Co., v. Huree Narain Poddar	24 W. R., 292 .	5981
Balmokoond Brojobasi. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 65 .	4367
Bal Mokoond Lal v. Jirjudhun Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 271 : 11 C. L. R., 466. }	4252, 5364
Balmokund v. Lekhraj	3 N. W., 156, note .	5665
— v. Ramhit Dass	13 W. R., 134 .	438
· v. Sheo Dyal	L. R., 3 I. A., 259 : 26 W. R., 55. }	2215, 2216, 2762, 4224
Balnua Kundu Duboye v. Adikunda Punda	7 C. L. R., 560 .	4163
Baloji Tamaji Pothar v. Tamangonda bin Ghanasyam Gonda.	6 Bom., A. C., 97 .	4885
Baluk Doss v. Nimaye Chunder Sircar	17 W. R., 511 .	5376
Balum Bhutt alias Ram Bhuth v. Bhoozun Lall	6 W. R., 78 .	3334
Balund Khan v. Janee	2 N. W., 319 .	3657
Balvantrav v. Jivanji Hormasji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 77 .	6131
Balvantrav alias Tatiaji Bapaji v. Purshotam Sidheshvar	9 Bom., 99 .	3362, 3408
Balvantrav Bhaskar v. Bayabai	6 Bom., O. C., 83 .	2132
Balvantrav Oze v. Ganpatrav Jadhav	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 336 .	5236
Balvant Santaram v. Babaji bin Sambhapa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 602 .	1718, 2385, 4949, 5251
Balwant Rao v. Puran Mal	I. L. R., 6 All., 1 .	3171
Balwant Rao Bishwant Chandra Chor v. Puran Mal Chaube.	L. R., 10 I. A., 90 .	3171
Balwant Singh v. Daulat Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 315 .	883
— v. Gumani Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 591 .	635, 3153
Bam v. Survey Commissioner and the Collector of Ratnagiri.	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 134 .	609
Bama Churn Ghosal. In re	2 C. L. R., 553 .	2777, 3881

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bamakallee Dossee. In the matter of . . .	10 W. R., 4 . . .	667
Bama Nath Chuckerbutty v. Romanath Roy . . .	12 W. R., F. B., 25 . . .	4143
Bamandas Banerjee v. Harolal Shaha . . .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. R., 140 . . .	1098
Bamanji Manikji v. Naoraji Palanji . . .	1 Bom., 233 . . .	422, 1400
Bama Soonderee Dabea Chowdhranee v. Bhugruttee Debea Chowdhranee. . .	1 Hay, 495 . . .	5986
Bama Soonderee Dossee v. Radhika Chowdhrain . . .	1 W. R., 339 . . .	4150
Bamasoonderee Dossee v. Verner . . .	13 B. L. R., 189: 22 W. R., 136. . .	2950
Bamasoondery v. Nilmoney Chunder . . .	Cor., 56 . . .	3090
Bamasoondery Debea v. Samasoondery Debea . . .	W. R., 1864, 13 . . .	3371
Bamasoondery Debia v. Rogers . . .	7 W. R., 127 . . .	1209
Bamasoonderee Dabee v. Tarineekant Lahooree . . .	20 W. R., 415 . . .	3829
Bama Soonduree Dabee Chowdhrain v. Kashee Kissore Roy Chowdhry. . .	22 W. R., 245 . . .	4252
Bamasoonduree Debee v. Kaminee Bewa . . .	10 W. R., 352 . . .	5682
Bama Soonduree Debia v. Anundmoyee Debia . . .	3 W. R., 170 . . .	1453
Bama Soonduree Dossee v. Bama Soonduree Dossee v. . .	10 W. R., 133 . . .	2487, 5786
_____ v. Kaloo Peadah . . .	10 W. R., 301 . . .	2479, 4027
_____ v. Mudhoo Soodun Biswas . . .	10 W. R., 395 . . .	1641
_____ v. Radhika Chowdhrain . . .	25 W. R., 156 . . .	814
Bama Soonduree Dossia v. Madhub Chunder Goohoo . . .	13 W. R., P. C., 11: 13 } Moore's I. A., 248. . .	1595, 4150
Bama Soondury Debia v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. . .	8 W. R., 269 . . .	4754, 4764
Bamasundari Dasi v. Ramnarayan Mitter . . .	9 W. R., 367 . . .	3342
_____ v. . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 59 . . .	5207, 5534
Bamasundari Dassi v. Krishna Chandra Dhur . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 65 . . .	1214, 4526
Bamma Sundry Dossee v. Anundololl Doss . . .	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 424 . . .	4839
Bamun Doss Mookerjee v. Brojo Kishore Mitter Mo-zoomdar. . .	Bourke, O. C., 44 . . .	1213
Bamundoss Mookerjee v. Omeish Chunder Rae . . .	6 W. R., 130 . . .	159
Bamundoss Mookerjee v. Tarinee . . .	6 Moore's I. A., 289 . . .	2693
Banapa v. Sunderdas Jagjivandas . . .	7 Moore's I. A., 169 . . .	2145
Banarsi Das v. Bhikari Das . . .	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 333 . . .	1823
_____ v. Maharani Kuar . . .	1 L. R., 3 All., 717 . . .	4891
Banchanidhi Mahanty. In re . . .	1 L. R., 5 All., 27 . . .	1930
Banda Ali v. Banspat Singh . . .	17 W. R., Cr., 6 . . .	3882
Banda Hasan v. Abadi Begum . . .	1 L. R., 4 All., 352 . . .	1110
Bandam Settah v. Bandam Maha Lakshmy . . .	1 L. R., 4 All., 180 . . .	4887
Bandaru Swami Naidu v. Atchayamma . . .	4 Mad., 180 . . .	672, 687, 2308
Bandey Karim v. Romesh Chunder Bundopadhya . . .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 125 . . .	2711
Bandhan Singh v. Solhu . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 65: 11 C. } L. R., 145. . .	4982
Bandhu Naik v. Lakhi Kuar . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 191 . . .	191, 5951
Bandhu Roy v. Hanuman Singh . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 342 . . .	6018
Bandi Subbaya v. Madalapalli Subanna . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 320: 14 } W. R., 406, note. . .	5455
Bandy Ali v. Madhub Chunder Nag . . .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 96 . . .	3137, 4905
Banee Kant Ghose v. Haran Kisto Ghose . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 932 . . .	5464
Banee Krishun v. Koondun Lall . . .	24 W. R., 405 . . .	3181
Banee Lall v. Ram Bhurose Chowbey . . .	2 N. W., 221 . . .	4633
Banee Madhub Banerjee v. Bhagat Pal . . .	1 N. W., Ed., 1873, 63 . . .	2979
_____ v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee . . .	20 W. R., 466 . . .	1607, 1735
_____ v. . . .	4 W. R., Act X, 16 . . .	532, 5200
_____ v. . . .	11 W. R., 354 . . .	6040

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Banee Madhub Bose <i>v.</i> Kalee Churn Singh . . .	24 W. R., 387 . . .	5123
— <i>v.</i> Soodha Madhub Bose . . .	2 Hay, 333 . . .	2346
Banee Madhub Bukshee <i>v.</i> Radha Madhub Mozoomdar .	22 W. R., 196 . . .	3255, 5305
Banee Madhub Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Bholanath Banerjee . .	10 W. R., 164 . . .	5750
Banee Madhub Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Tara Prosunno Bose . .	21 W. R., 33 . . .	1626
Banee Madhub Dutt <i>v.</i> Nund Lall Mozoomdar . . .	22 W. R., 123 . . .	4954, 4955
Banee Madhub Ghose <i>v.</i> Thakoor Doss Mundul . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 588: 6 } W. R., Act X, 71. }	668, 1682, 2207
— <i>v.</i> Woomanath Roy Chowdhry . . .	21 W. R., Cr., 26 . . .	4102, 5946
Banee Madhub Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., Cr., 23 . . .	2029
Banee Madhub Lahoree <i>v.</i> Bipro Doss Dey . . .	15 W. R., 69 . . .	3191
Banee Madhub Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Bhagobutty Churn Banerjee	8 W. R., 370 . . .	2357
— <i>v.</i> Nilambur Banerjee . . .	8 W. R., 376 . . .	182
Banee Madhub Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	13 W. R., 431 . . .	4959
Banee Muhtoon <i>v.</i> Gopee Bhuggut . . .	12 W. R., 285 . . .	1908
Banee Pershad <i>v.</i> Court of Wards . . .	15 W. R., 192 . . .	2147
— <i>v.</i> Lalla Joggessur Doss . . .	11 W. R., 47 . . .	300
— <i>v.</i> Mahaboodhy . . .	7 W. R., 292 . . .	2313
— <i>v.</i> Maun Singh . . .	8 W. R., 67 . . .	1697
— <i>v.</i> Radha Pershad Singh . . .	15 W. R., 143 . . .	5134
— <i>v.</i> Reet Bhunjun Singh . . .	10 W. R., 325 . . .	5393
Banee Ram <i>v.</i> Hossein Ali . . .	11 W. R., 184 . . .	5141
Baneeram <i>v.</i> Newaz Bibee . . .	8 W. R., 511 . . .	5121
— <i>v.</i> Ram Nath Shah . . .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 18 W. R., } 412. }	568
Baneshur Doss <i>v.</i> Banee Madhub Doss . . .	18 W. R., 256 . . .	1823
Baney Madhub Roy <i>v.</i> Hurry Mohun Roy . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16 . . .	357
Baney Madhub Shaw. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 207: 10 C. } L. R., 389. }	501
Bangaraiya <i>v.</i> Balabhadra Razu . . .	2 Mad., 386 . . .	1568
Bani Madhub Mitter <i>v.</i> Matungini Dass . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 104 . . .	3178
Bank of Bengal <i>v.</i> Currie . . .	3 B. L. R., 396: 12 W. R., } 432. }	1026, 5708
— <i>v.</i> Fagan . . .	5 Moore's I. A. 27 . . .	4513
— <i>v.</i> Golam Azim . . .	12 W. R., 185 . . .	4743
— <i>v.</i> Macleod . . .	5 Moore's I. A., 1 . . .	4513, 4648
— <i>v.</i> Mendes . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 654: 5 C. } L. R., 586. }	2050
— <i>v.</i> Newton . . .	12 B. L. R., Ap., 1 . . .	2628
— <i>v.</i> Nundo Lall Doss . . .	12 B. L. R., 509 . . .	5426
— <i>v.</i> Radha Kissen Mitter . . .	3 Moore's I. A., 19 . . .	4617
Bank of Hindustan, China, and Japan, <i>v.</i> Eastern Fi-	3 B. L. R., P. C., 8: 12 W. } nancial Association. } R., P. C., 27: 13 Moore's } I. A., 15. }	951
— <i>v.</i> Nundo Loll } Sen. }	11 B. L. R., 301 . . .	2824, 4000
— <i>v.</i> Premchand } Raichand }	5 Bom., O. C., 83 . . .	945, 2261, 4271, 5485,
— <i>v.</i> Sedgwick . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 107 . . .	5943
— <i>v.</i> Shoroshibala } Debee. }	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 311 . . .	5825
— <i>v.</i> Wilson . . .	1 B. L. R., O. C., 41 . . .	4006
Bank of India <i>v.</i> Ragho Narayan . . .	4 Bom., A. C. 164 . . .	2704
Banka Bihari Ghose. In the matter of . . .	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 11 } W. R., Cr., 26. }	5460, 5468 5947, 6010, 6181

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Banno v. Pir Muhammad	I. L. R., 2 All., 688	
Bannoo v. Kashee Ram	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 315	
Bans Bahadur Singh v. Mughla Begam	I. L. R., 2 All., 604	
Bansidhar v. Bu Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 260	
— v. Har Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 340	
Bansi Lal v. Mercer	7 N. W., 331	
Banu v. Narayan Sahu	4 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 13 W. R., 233.	5736
Banwari Lal Sahu v. Girdhari Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 16 W. R., 275.	3776
Bany Madhob Mookerjee v. Sridhur Deb Ghuttuck	10 C. L. R., 555	
Bany Madhob Trivadi v. Ram Gopal Sircar	3 C. L. R., 523	
Bapirazu v. Kamarazu	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 26	
Bapooram Aham v. Gungaram Kacharee	17 W. R., Cr., 54	
Bapubhai Khushaldas v. Bai Suraj	8 Bom., A. C., 83	
Bapu bin Ishvar v. Lakshuman Baji	10 Bom., 19	
Bapu Daldi v. Qucen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 23	
Bapuji v. Pandurang	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 616	
Bapuji Apaji v. Senavaraji Marvadi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 231	
Bapuji Auditram v. Umedbhai Hathesing	8 Bom., A. C., 245	
Bapuji Balal v. Satyabhamabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 460	
Bapuji Balvant v. Raghunath Vithal	6 Bom. A. C., 72	
Bapuji Jagjivay v. Magistrate of Kheda	4 Bom., A. C., 153	
Bapuji Pitambhar. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 175	
Bapu Ram Parbhu v. Visaji Chando Saktanekar	8 Bom., A. C., 182	
Bapurav Krishna v. Madhavrav Ramrav	5 Bom., A. C., 214	
Bapusa bin Sadashiv v. Ramji bin Gopalji	2 Bom., 220	
Baradakant Rai v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 344	
Baradakant Roy v. Chundrakumar Roy	2 B. L. R., P. C., 1: 11 W. R., P. C., 1: 12 Moore's I. A., 145.	4483
Baradakant Roy v. Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	2 B. L. R., P. C., 33: 11 W. R., P. C., 14.	5916
Baranja v. Basdeo Misser	7 N. W., 241	
"Bardot", The, v. The "Augusta"	10 Bom., 110	
Barhamdeo Narain Singh v. Mackenzie	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1095	
Bari Babu v. Gulab Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 454	
Barkat v. Daulat	I. L. R., 4 All., 187	
Barkat-ul-lah Khan v. Rennie	1. L. R., 1 All., 17	
Barlow v. Cochrane	2 B. L. R., O. C., 56	
— v. Orde	18 W. R., 175	
	5 B. L. R., 1: 13 W. R., P. C., 41: 13 Moore's I. A., 277.	6207
Barmutollah. In re	10 B. L. R., 156, note	
Barnet v. Skinner	2 W. R., 208	
Baroda Debia v. Sreeram Chowdhry	5 W. R., Mis., 21	
Baroda Kant Banerjee v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee	17 W. R., 467	
Baroda Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	23 W. R., 57	
Baradakant Mookerjee v. Kali Bhuttacharjee	9 W. R., Cr., 21	
Baroda Kanto Beepari v. Jodhisteer Nath	10 C. L. R., 99	
Baradakant Roy v. Karimuddi Moonshee	4 C. L. R., 452	
	3 B. L. R., A., C., 343: 12 W. R., 192.	4468
Frankrishna Paroi	13 W. R., 163	
— v. Radha Churn Roy	1 W. R., 29	
— v. Sookmoy Mookerjee	1 W. R., 17	
Baroda Kooery v. Ashruffunnissa		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Baroda Prosad Mostafi v. Gora Chand Mostafi	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 295: 12 W. R., 160. }	2855
Baroda Prosonno Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 348	490
Baroda Soondree Dasee. In the matter of the petition of	{ B. L. R., Sup., Vol., 609: 6 W. R., Mis., 114. }	4526
Baroda Sundari Dabia v. Fergusson	11 C. L. R., 17	794, 1941
Baroo Mull v. Chujjoo Mull	4 N. W., 125	3160
Baroo Sircar v. Massim Mundul	21 W. R., 206	4033
Barracho v. DeSouza	7 Mad., 72	378
Barrow v. Archer	Cor., 9	2615
—— v. ———	2 Hyde, 125	4569
—— v. Pollock	{ 1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 57: 1 Hyde, 149. }	1001
—— v. Stewart	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	1063
Barrut Chunder Doss v. Damjee Pittumber	Bourke, O. C., 7: Cor., 150	355
Barry v. Abdool Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 64	2, 530
—— v. Steel	1 C. L. R., 80	6057
Barton v. Brijonath Surmah	3 W. R., 65	5375
Basa Mal v. Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 205	5391
Basant Lal v. Batul Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 23	3462
—— v. Najmunnissa Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 14	3484
—— v. Tapesbri Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 1	4770
Basant Rai v. Kanauji Lall	I. L. R., 2 All., 455	3959
Basant Ram v. Kolahal	I. L. R., 1 All., 392	2577
Basapa. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 172	{ 1263, 2767, 3604 }
—— v. Marya	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 433	{ 3463, 4464, 5416 }
Basapa bin Murtiapa v. Lakshmapa bin Maritamapa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 624	2914
Basappa v. Dundaya	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 540	5437
Basappa v. Yenkatappa	4 Mad., 70	2859
Basaruddin Bhuiah v. Bahar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 8	4105
Basawa Gurbasawa v. Kalkapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 489	327, 4783
Basdeo v. Gopal	I. L. R., 8 All., 644	3349, 3402
Basdeo Singh v. Mata Din Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 276	4012
Baser Mahomed v. Sudder Ghazee	7 W. R., 97	5074
Bashetiappa bin Baslingappa v. Shivlingappa bin Balappa.	10 Bom., 268	2115, 2127
Bashiyagarula Nayadu. Ex parte	1 Mad., 254	5129
Basit Ali v. Man Singh	2 N. W., 140	2004
Baskarasami v. Sivasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 196	3587, 3595
Baso Koer v. Hurry Dass	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 495: 12 C. L. R., 292. }	2399, 5388
Basoo Mad Furash v. Huree Pandey	11 W. R., 479	5826
Basunt Lall Dass v. Pana Ali	3 C. L. R., 432	1638
Bastian v. Tireman	2 Mad., 383	5686
Bastiram v. Fattu	I. L. R., 8 All., 146	836
Basudeb Bhat v. Narayan Daji Damle	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131	4838
Basudeb Surma Gossain. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 834	5886
—— v. Nazirooddeen	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 834	5866
Basu Dhul v. Kissen Chunder Geer Gossain	13 W. R., 200	2254
Basunt Kawal v. Forth	7 N. W., 47	1358
Basvantrav Kidingappa v. Mantappa Kidingappa	1 Bom., Ap., 42	2230
Baswantapa Shidapa v. Ranu	I., L. R., 9 Bom., 86	{ 1707, 5439, 6158 }
Batahee Begum v. Khooshal	3 Agra, 221	1172
Batai Ahir v. Bhuggobutty Koer	11 C. L. R., 476	4192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bata Krishna Naik v. Chintamani Naik	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 262	2354
Batasi v. Mahesh	I. L. R., 5 All., 555	5787
Bates v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Bel- lary.	7 Mad., 249	3599
Bateshar Nath v. Faizulhasan	I. L. R., 5 All., 280	5083
Batool Bebee v. Jagut Narain	4 N. W., 172	565
Batool Nashyo v. Bhugloo Chowkeedar	10 W. R., Cr., 50	973, 4749
Battas Kuar v. Lachman Singh	7 N. W., 117	2128
Baumgartner v. Stephenson	3 Agra, 321	1399, 6055
Bavachi Packi v. Pierce, Leslie, & Co.	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 219	229, 2652
Bawah Meah Saib v. Khajee Meah Saib	4 Mad., 218	2821
Bawa Misser v. Bishen Prokash Narain Singh	10 W. R., 287	2528
Bawan Das v. Mulchand	I. L. R., 6 All., 173	407
Bawani Sankara Pandit v. Ambabay Ammal	1 Mad., 363	2145, 2420
Bayabai v. Bala urf Venkatesh Rama Kant	7 Bom., Ap., 1	2120
Bayi Saiba v. Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba	3 Mad., 424	2316, 2464
Bayley v. Taruknauth Poramanic	Bourke, O. C., 263	5647
Bazayet Hossein v. Dooli Chund	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 402 : L. R., 5 I. A. 211.	3640
Bazloor Rahim v. Abdullah	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5 : 10 W. R., 359.	4006
Beake. In the goods of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 24 : 21 W. R., 397.	1238
Beake & Co. v. Davis	I. L. R., 4 All., 530	3179
Beard v. Egerton	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 179	421
Beattie v. Jetha Dungarsi	5 Bom., O. C., 152	1436, 2678
Bebee v. Mahomed Ghousi	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31	550
Becharam Banerjee v. Thakurmaui Debi	8 B. L. R., 53, note : 10 W. R., 114.	2842
Becharam Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the peti- tion of.	15 W. R., Cr., 67	4105
Becharam Chowdhry v. Puhubnath Jha	2 B. L. R., Ap., 53	1362
Becharam Doss v. Brojonath Pal Chowdhry	9 W. R., 369	2707, 3840
Becharam Dutta v. Abdul Wahed	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 55	3136, 3472, 3508
Becharam Ghorooee v. Boistubnath Bhooyan	14 W. R., 177	4105
Becharam Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 4	5338
Becharam Paul v. Bhugwan Chunder Ghose	5 C. L. R., 522	1489
Bechar Bhagavan v. Bai Lakshmi	1 Bom., 56	2510
Bechoo. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 92	6250
Bechoo Singh v. Roy Sheo Sahoy	1 N. W., 56 : Ed. 1873, 111	4028
Bechu Lal v. Oliullah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 338	4251
Bechun v. Mahomed Yakoob Khan	3 W. R., 225	3237
Beckwith v. Kishto Jeebun Buckshee	Marsh., 278 : 2 Hay, 286	225, 2691
—— v. Noorjumma	2 W. R., Act X, 11	1390
—— v. Umesh Chunder Roy	3 W. R., 110	3918
Bedar Bukht Mohummud Ali v. Khurruum Bukht Yahya Ali Khan.	19 W. R., 315	3655
Bedhoo Koolanee v. Bonomalee Gurain	11 W. R., 496	
Beechook Pasban v. Kullar Singh	20 W. R., 369	5594
Beedar Hossein v. Zuhooroonnissa	2 Moore's I. A., 441	508
Beedhun Bibee v. Fuzuloolah	20 W. R., 411	3687
Beegoo Roy v. Bal Mokund Misser	17 W. R., 421	4476
Beejoy Chunder Banerjee v. Bhyrub Chunder Banerjee	15 W. R., 427	366
Beejoy Gobind Bural v. Jannobee Bromonya	8 W. R., 252	336, 1629
Beejoy Gobind Bural v. Bheekoo Roy	10 W. R., 291	1745
Beejoy Gobind Sircar v. Radha Benode Misser	10 W. R., 348	760

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Beejoynath Chatterjee v. Luckhee Monee Dabee	12 W. R., 248	1405, 6105, 6106
Beekun Koer v. Maharajah Bahadoor	Marsh., 66: 1 Hay, 134	3117
Beelasmonee v. Nusseeboolah	Marsh., 410: 2 Hay, 510	556
Beema Shunkur v. Jamasjee Shaporjee	{ 5 W. R., P. C., 121: 2 Moore's I. A., 23.	2096, 3408
Beem Churn Sein v. Heeralall Seal	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 225	2125
Beemul Doss v. Shikhr Chand	24 W. R., 211	666
Beenarussee Doss. In the matter of	Cor., 123	2650
Beenodeeny Dossee. In the matter of	2 Hyde, 152: Cor., 78	910
Beepin Beharee Biswas v. Judoonath Hazrah	21 W. R., 367	5320
Beepin Chunder Shickdar v. Purrehnath Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 98	5476
Beer v. National Bank of India	19 W. R., 67	4515
Beer Chunder Jobraj v. Deputy Collector of Bhulloolah	13 W. R., P. C., 23	4175
Beer Chunder Joobraj v. Mohamed Asgur	W. R., 1864, 145	3177
_____ v. Neelkissen Thakoor	1 W. R., 177	2227
_____ v. Ram Coomar Dhur	6 W. R., Mis., 26	2708
_____ v. Ram Guty. Dutt	8 W. R., 209	3279, 4128
_____ v. Shibjoy Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 8	4203, 5105
_____ v. Tarinee Churn Roy	11 W. R., 46	6221
_____ v. Umakant Sein	W. R., 1864, 232	5105
Beer Chunder Manick v. Hossein	17 W. R., 29	3002
Beer Chunder Manickhya v. Hurro Chunder Burman {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 211: 12 C. L. R., 329.	559
Beer Chunder Manik v. Ramkishen Shaw	{ 14 B. L. R., 370: 23 W. R., 128.	1734
Beer Chunder Manikky v. Raj Coomar Nobodeep {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 535: 12 C. L. R., 465.	2411, 2802, 2895
Chunder Deb Burmono.	{	872
Beer Chunder Manikya v. Ishan Chunder Burdhun	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 136	1882
_____ v. Maymana Bibee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 736	1731, 4289, 6173
Beer Chunder Roy v. Tumeezooddeen	12 W. R., 87	2603
Beer Chund Gossai v. Hogg	Cor., 56	1605
Beer Kishore Lall v. Kunbooly Lall	W. R., 1864, Act X, 109	4583
Beer Kishore Sahoy v. Government of Bengal	17 W. R., 497	2155, 2188, 3747
Beer Kishore Suhye Singh v. Hur Bullub Narain Singh	{ W. R., 502	2342, 2346
Beer Narain Sircar v. Teencowrie Nundee	1 W. R., 316	2682
Beerooppo Setty v. Hursnull Ramchund	2 Hyde, 74	2171
Beer Persad v. Doorgapersad	W. R., 1864, 310	2188, 5770
Beer Pershad v. Doorga Pershad	W. R., 1864, 215	2326, 2535
Beerpertab Sahee v. Rajender Pertab Sahee	{ 9 W. R., P. C., 15: 12 Moore's I. A., 1.	208
Beet Bhunjun Singh v. Jowhur Doss	4 W. R., Mis., 17	3143
Begee Begum v. Yusuf Ali	6 N. W., 139	6061
Bego Jan v. Cherag Ali	5 W. R., 120	3332
Begoo Jaun v. Gashee Bebee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 19	3660
Begum v. Doolee Chund	20 W. R., 93	2893
Begum Bibee. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 168	5256
_____ v. King of Oudh	11 W. R., 116	4365
Behala Bibi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 789: 8 C. L. R., 207.	2849
Beharee Lal v. Baboo	2 Agra, 80	5436
Beharee Lall v. Rajah Ram	6 N. W., 291	1815
Beharee Lall Dey v. Kaminee Soonduree	14 W. R., 319	4294
Beharee Lall Doss v. Radhanath Doss	22 W. R., 229	2977
Beharee Lall Mookerjee v. Modhoo Soondun Chowdhry	8 W. R., 474	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Beharee Lall Naek v. Sreeram Roy	20 W. R., 259	5753
Beharee Lall Nundee v. Troyluckho Moyee Burmonee	12 W. R., 223	298
Beharee Lall Roy v. Kalee Doss Chunder	8 W. R., 451	4206
Beharee Lall Roy v. Lall Chand Roy	25 W. R., 307	2370
Beharee Lall Sahoo v. Juggernath Pershad	1 Agra, 41	1507
Beharee Ram v. Shooobhudra	9 W. R., 455	3715, 4201
Behari Bhagat v. Begam Bibi	1 L. R., 3 All., 75	185
Behari Das v. Kalian Das	1 L. R., 8 All., 543	373
Behari Hadji. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 238	1012
Behari Lal v. Beni Lal	1 L. R., 3 All., 408	3850, 4001
— v. Habiba Bibi	1 L. R., 8 All., 267	4235
— v. Khub Chand	1 L. R., 6 All., 48	1873
— v. Salik Ram	1 L. R., 1 All., 676	3527
Behari Lall Mookerjee v. Mungolanath Mookerjee	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 110 : 4 C. L. R., 371. }	3155
Behari Lal Mullick v. Indramani Chowdhraani	13 B. L. R., 401 : 21 W. R., 285. }	2112
Behari Mahton v. Queen-Empress	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 106	719, 4621
Behari Patak v. Mahomed Hyat Khan	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46 : 12 W. R., Cr., 60. }	4202, 4725, 4732
Behary Koomaree v. Mohendro Nath Bose	2 W. R., 271	3397
Behary Lall v. Goberdhun Lall	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 446 : 12 C. L. R., 431. }	2032, 3130, 3133, 3135, 3471
Behary Lall Bose. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 69	1799
Behary Lall Mohurwar v. Madho Lall Shir Gyawal	13 B. L. R., 222 : 21 W. R., 430. }	1419
Behary Lall Sandyal v. Juggo Mohun Gossain	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 1 : 2 C. L. R., 422. }	4667
Behary Loll Doss v. Tej Narain	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 764	1817, 2731
Behary Shaha v. Nubby Khan	9 W. R., Cr., 13	2004
Bejoy Chunder Banerjee v. Kally Prosonno Mookerjee	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 327	6005
Bejoy Gobind Deb v. Muddun Ram Pal	18 W. R., 454	5705
Bejoy Keot v. Gorla Keot	1 L. R., 7 Calc., 437 : 9 C. L. R., 218. }	2857
Bejoy Nath Chatterjee v. Bengal Coal Company	23 W. R., Cr., 45	4498
Bekon Singh v. Deen Dyal Lall	24 W. R., 47	3968, 5394
Belaet Khan v. Rash Beharee Mookerjee	22 W. R., 549	1746
Belas Koer v. Bhowanee Buksh	Marsh., 641	2356
Belchambers v. Ashootosh Dhur	7 C. L. R. 308	5037
Belilios. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 53	259, 5155, 6270
— v. Queen on the prosecution of Kalidass Banerjee. }	12 B. L. R., 249	4628
— jee. }	19 W. R., Cr., 53	259, 5155, 6270
Bell v. Gurudas Roy	1 B. L. R., A. C., 50	1450
Bellasis. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139	3085
Belsaree Coomaree v. Soobrun Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 12	534
Bemola Dossee v. Mohun Dossee	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 792 : 6 C. L. R., 34. }	2376
Bemola Moyee Debea v. Kishtomonee Debea	W. R., 1864, Mis., 10	670
Bemola Soondury Chowdhraani v. Panchanun Chowdhry	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 705	5061
Bemul Doss v. Ikbal Narain	25 W. R., 249	3221, 3527
Benarsee Doss v. Khooshal Chund	2 Agra., Pt. II, 170	3222, 3301
— v. Phool Chund	1 Agra, 243	3707
Benarsi Das v. Bhikhari Dass	1 L. R., 3 All., 717	1773, 5834
Benda Bibee v. Lalla Ramsurun Singh	10 W. R., 299	1378

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bengal Act VI of 1866. In the matter of .	15 B. L. R., Ap., 14 .	6026
Bengal Banking Corporation v. Makertich .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 315 .	4792
Bengal Coal Company v. Dareembah Dabaa .	Marsh., 105: 1 Hay, 181	1696, 2700
_____ v. Elgin Cotton Company	2 N. W., 13 .	2817, 5258
_____ v. Hurdyal Marwaree	25 W. R., 245 .	2059
_____ v. Mahtab Chund Bahadoor	12 W. R., 340 .	2952
Bengal Indigo Company v. Koylash Chunder Doss	10 W. R., 293 .	3205
_____ v. Tarinee Pershad Ghose	3. W. R., Act X, 149 .	1724
Beni Madhab Banerjee v. Jai Krishna Mookerjee .	7 B. L. R., 152: 12 W. R., 495	53, 6040
Beni Madhab Das v. Ramjoy Rokh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 213: 10 W. R., 316.	52
Beni Madhab Ghose v. Ganga Gabind Mandal .	5 B. L. R., 345, note: 15 W. R., F. B., 3, note.	5139
Beni Madho v. Zahurul Haq	I. L. R., 3 All., 797 .	6284
Beni Narain v. Achraj Nati	I. L. R., 5 All., 607 .	4486
Beni Prasad v. Lachman Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 131 .	4952
Beni Ram v. Hidayat Hossein	7 N. W., 82 .	4040
_____ v. Nanhu Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 102: L. R., 11 I. A., 181.	1694
Beni Ram Bhutt v. Ram Lal Dhukri	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 189	191, 741, 3857, 6173
Bennett v. Bennett	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 354 .	1554
Benode Beharee Mookerjee v. Beer Narain Roy .	5 W. R., Act X, 52 .	403
Benode Behari Nundi v. Mohesh Chunder Ghose	12 C. L. R., 331 .	5488
Benode Koomaree Debee v. Purdhan Gopal Sahee	2 W. R., 176 .	2305
Benode Mohini Chowdhrair v. Sharat Chunder Dey Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 837: 10 C. L. R., 449: 12 C. L. R., 421.	186, 3466, 5966
Benode Putnaik v. Doyanidhee Bullior Singh .	9 W. R., 493 .	323, 331
Benoderam Sen v. Brojendro Narain Roy	13 B. L. R., 169: 21 W. R., 97	3496
Benodhee Lall Roy v. Dulloo Sircar	Marsh., 620 .	1814
Benodi Lal Ghose v. Tamizuddin	7 C. L. R., 115 .	4774, 4819
Benud Behary Mullick. In the matter of	10 B. L. R., 231: 19 W. R., 110	3746
Bepin Beharee Roy v. Issur Chunder Sen	24 W. R., 13 .	1439
Bepin Beharee Sircar v. Nilmoni Singh Deo .	25 W. R., 125 .	1678
Bepinbehari Bundopadhyia v. Brojonath Mookhopadya .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 357 .	462, 2506, 5055
Bepin Behari Moduck v. Lalmohun Chattopadhyia .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 209 .	192, 2459
Bepin Behari Singh v. Government	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 325 .	5257
Bepin Behary Shaha v. Empress	13 C. L. R., 110 .	1269, 1291
Bepin Chunder Shickdar v. Modhoo Sudun Chowdhuri	12 C. L. R., 316 .	5476
Beputoolla v. Najim Sheikh	2 C. L. R., 374 .	1533, 5910
Beresford. In the goods of	7 B. L. R., 57: 15 W. R., 456	1239
_____ v. Hurst	I. L. R., 1 All., 772 .	2587, 3081
Berkeley v. Chittur Kooar	5 N. W., 2 .	4436
Berunja Koeree v. Hurpershad Lall	3 Agra, 235 .	1715
Besharutoollah v. Ajoo	14 W. R., 195 .	5050
Beshoondyal Singh v. Sarub Narain Singh . . .	4 N. W., 32 .	4940
Bessessur Bhugut v. Murli Sahu	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 163: 11 L. R., 409.	241, 3355, 3433, 4977
Bessessur Chuckerbutty v. Woomachurn Roy .	7 W. R., 44 .	1617, 4169
Bessunessoree Dabaa v. Tarasoonderree Brahmines	Marsh., 201: 1 Hay, 577	3837
Betts. In the matter of the petition of . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 83: 15 W. R. Cr., 6.	790
_____ v. Arbutnot	19 W. R., 65 .	4609
_____ v. Bonsi Mundul	25 W. R., 343 .	5132, 5174
_____ v. Jamie Sheikh	23 W. R., 271 .	3050
_____ v. Mahomed Ismael Chowdhry	25 W. R., 521 .	954, 3437

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bevis v. Turner	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 484 .	1207
Beychan Sahoo v. Ganesh Sahoo	2 N. W., 439	678, 684
Bhabatarini Dasi v. Grey	2 B. L. R., A. C., 152 .	4923, 4925,
Bhabosoonderee v. Bhugwan Chunder Mozoomdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 88 .	4937
Bhadeshwar Chowdhry v. Gourikant Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 834 .	4868
Bhadreswari Chowdhraïn. In the matter of the petition of	7 B. L. R., 329	4428
Bhadu Mahomed v. Radha Churn Bolia	4 B. L. R., A. C., 219 .	1806, 4489
Bhaee Chund v. Purtab Chund	5. W. R., P. C., 31 : Moore's I A., 154.	3717
Bhae Koonwar v. Zahoor Ali	1 Agra, 258	3123
Bhagabati Charan Bhuttacharjee v. Kali Kumar Chuttah	10 C. L. R., 441	3705
Bhagabati Dasi v. Kanai Lal Mitter	8 B. L. R., 225 ; 17 W. R., 433, note.	5451
Bhagabat Prasad Sing v. Durg Bijai Sing	8 B. L. R., 73 : 16 W. R., 95 .	2430
Bhagabatti Debi v. Chowdry Bholanath Thakoor	9 B. L. R., 93 : 15 W. R., 63 .	3015
Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 367	2190
Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry v. Bisheshwar Sen.	3 N. W., 192	5451
Bhagabat Khan v. Puddo Bewa	25 W. R., 68	2772
Bhagain Megh Rancee Koer v. Gooroo Pershad Singh	2 Mad., 393	1843
Bhagavatamma v. Pampana Gaud	1 B. L. R., S. N., 9	2485
Bhagawan Das v. Balgobind Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 84 : 10 W. R., 380.	2233
Bhagawani Kunwar v. Lala Baijnath Prasad	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 557	5621
Bhagbat Panda v. Bamdeb Panda	20 W. R., 269	5609
Bhagbut Chunder Dey v. Huro Gobind Pal	18 W. R., Cr., 64	470
Bhagbut Dey. In the matter of the petition of	3 W. R., Mis., 20	5495
Bhagbut Doss v. Buloram Doss	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104 : 24 W. R., 168 : L. R., 2 I. A., 256.	4338
Bhagbutti Deyi v. Bholanath Thakoor	2 Agra, 129	49, 2506
Bhageeruth v. Mohun	18 W. R., 58	4596
Bhageeruth Bishee v. Gokul Chunder Mundul	18 W. R., 91	2856
Bhageeruth Moodee v. Jabur Jummah Khan	2 Hay, 541	2950
Bhageeruttee Dabee v. Greesh Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 4 All., 74	35
Bhaggu Lal v. DeGruyther	I. L. R., 4 All., 430	4320
Bhaghelin v. Mathura Prasad	20 W. R., 267	3988
Bhagian v. Palmer	I. L. R., 2 All., 115	12
Bhagirath v. Naubat Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 283	3971
Bhagirath v. Ram Ghulam	7 B. L. R., 35 : 15 W. R., 413 .	176
Bhagirath Adhikari v. Tarini Chandra Pakrasi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 121	5982
Bhagirathi Panda v. Padala Gopaludu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 264	3595
Bhagirthibai v. Baya	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 298	2310, 4519
_____ v. Radhabai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 293	2128, 2148
Bhago Bibee v. Ramkant Roy Chowdry	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 386 : L. R., 12 I. A., 67.	5344
Bhagoti v. Chandan	1 Agra, Rev., 38	365, 1685
Bhagoutee Charun v. Shiva Pershad	6 W. R., Act X, 34	4471
Bhagruth Doss v. Mahasoo Roy	9 Bom., 418	1654
Bhagtidas Bhagvandas v. Oliver	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 318	1033, 4328
Bhagu Jetha v. Malek Bawasaheb	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 25	795
Bhaguji v. Aniba	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 641	3285
Bhagvan Dallabh v. Kala Shankar	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 25	2534
Bhagvandas v. Hathibhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 49	408
Bhagvandas Kishordas v. Abdul Husein Mahomed Ali		419, 5842

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhagvandas Tejmal v. Rajmal <i>alias</i> Hiralal Lachiman- das.	10 Bom., 241 . . .	2116, 2216
Bhagvan Dayalji v. Balu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 230 . . .	1888, 5893
Bhagvan Jayaram v. Vithoba Govind	4 Bom., A. C., 140 . . .	4799
Bhagvansang v. Bechardas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 73 . . .	749
Bhagvatsangji Jalamsangji v. Partabsangji Ajabbhai v.	4 Bom., A. C., 105 . . .	2772, 5775
Bhagwan Das v. Nathu Singh	4 Bom., A. C., 109 . . .	5775
Bhagwan Das Hurjivan. In re	I. L. R., 6 All., 444 . . .	4843, 6036
Bhagwan Doss v. Luchmee Narain	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 511 . . .	2676
Bhagwanee Koonwar v. Parbutty Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis., 19 . . .	2513
Bhagwan Prasad v. Sheo Sahai	2 W. R., Mis., 13 . . .	92
Bhagwan Singh v. Khuda Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 856 . . .	1938
_____ v. Mahabir Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 397 . . .	4851
_____ v. Mitarjit Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 184 . . .	4201
_____ v. Murli Singh	8 B. L. R., 382: 17 W. R., 169 . . .	1430
_____ v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 1 All., 459 . . .	5201
Bhagwanti v. Rudr Man Tewari	L. R., 2 I. A., 38 . . .	59
Bhagwant Singh v. Tej Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 145 . . .	5200
Bhagwat Dassa v. Gouri Kunwar	I. L. R., 8 All., 91 . . .	5065
Bhagwati Prasad Gir v. Bindeshri Gir	7 C. L. R., 218 . . .	5388
Bhaichand bin Khemchand v. Fulchand Harichand	I. L. R., 6 All., 106 . . .	4036
Bhairab Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Mahendra Chandra Chuckerbutty.	8 Bom., A. C., 150 . . .	412, 3126
Bhairab Chandra Madak v. Nadyar Chand Pal	4 B. L. R., Ap., 59: 13 W. } R., 118. }	5739
Bhairabchundra Chowdhri v. Alek Jan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 357 12 } W. R., 291. }	1143, 1401, 1462
Bhairabnath Khetttri v. Jumanram Dhandaria	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 268 . . .	5848
_____ v. Kishori Mohun Shaw	8 B. L. R., 415, note . . .	5989
Bhairabnath Sye v. Mahesh Chandra Bhadury	3 B. L. R., Ap., 1 . . .	4791
Bhairo v. Parmeshri Dayal	4 B. L. R., A. C., 162: 13 W. } R., 168. }	2112
Bhairo Din Singh v. Ram Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 516 . . .	6036
Bhairo Singh v. Lalman	I. L. R., 3 All., 316 . . .	222, 5140
Bhairo Singh v. Bechoo	I. L. R., 7 All., 23 . . .	3719
_____ v. Udikaran Singh	3 Agra, 393 . . .	4759
Bhairaw Deen v. Mookta Ram	3 B. L. R., Ap., 139 . . .	5076
Bhai Shankar v. Collector of Kaira	1 Agra, 13 . . .	3020
Bhaishankar Narbheram v. Harivallabh	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 77 . . .	605
Bhai Trimbakji v. Tomu valad Kutur	1 Bom., 20 . . .	4247
Bhajan v. Mushtak Ahmad	2 Bom., 200: 2nd Ed., 192 . . .	2795
Bhajan Lal v. Moti	I. L. R., 5 All., 324 . . .	6159
Bhaju Sing v. Kaifnath Tewari	I. L. R., 9 All., 177 . . .	1175
Bhala Nahana v. Parbhu Hari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 332 . . .	1722, 6246
Bhally Mahomed Bukshee v. Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 67 . . .	2116, 2513
Bhalu Roy v. Jakhu Roy	15 W. R., 269 . . .	780
Bhama v. Mohur Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 667 . . .	4843
Bhandu valad Rajaram v. Damaji valad Jivaji	2 Agra, Rev., 2 . . .	1644
Bhanoomutty Chowdrain v. Premchand Neogee	6 Bom., A. C., 59 . . .	4804
Bhanushankar Gopalram v. Raghunath Ram Mangalram	15 B. L. R., 28: 23 W. R., 96 . . .	4005
Bhaobunessury. In the matter of	2 Bom., 106: 2nd Ed., 101 . . .	1487
_____ v. Judobendra Narain Mullick	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869 . . .	3449
Bhao Narain Deo v. Court of Wards	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869 . . .	3449
Bhaoni v. Maharaj Singh	15 W. R., 59 . . .	2891
Bhao Singh v. Het Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 738 . . .	2229, 2440, 3279
	7 N. W., 17 . . .	5019

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bharada Dasi. In the matter of the petition of . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 13 . . .	686
Bharat Chandra Aitch v. Gaur Mani Dasi . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 266, note : 11 W. R., 31. . .	1598
Bharat Chandra Mazumdar v. Ramgunga Sen . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 362 : 5 W. R., 59. . .	5120
Bharat Chandra Sen. In re . . .	8 B. L. R., 423, note : 14 W. R., Cr., 74. . .	4854
Bharat Lal Bhagat v. Gopal Saran Lal Bhagat . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 1 : 11 W. R., 286. . .	3913
Bharatsangji Mansangji v. Navanaidharaya Mansukhram	1 Bom., 186 . . .	3377
Bharmangavda v. Rudrapgavda . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 181 . . .	2513
Bharotea Debea v. Kurrona Moyee Dassia . . .	10 W. R., 229 . . .	3495, 3496
Bharrut Chunder Roy v. Kallydas Dey . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 574 : 5 C. L. R., 545. . .	1189
Bharut Chunder Bose v. Dwarkanath Chowdry . . .	15 W. R., 86 . . .	5151
Bharut Chunder Dutt v. Dengar Gope . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691 : 7 W. R., 386. . .	5675
Bharut Chunder Roy v. Issur Chunder Sircar . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 78 . . .	3064
_____ v. _____	8 W. R., 141 . . .	883
_____ v. Nazir Ali Khan . . .	10 W. R., 354 . . .	796, 839
Bharut Chunder Sein v. Oseemooddeen . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 56 . . .	524
Bharut Chunder Sircar v. Gouree Parshad Roy . . .	18 W. R., 34 . . .	2694
Bharuth Chunder Roy v. Bepin Beharee Chuckerbutty . . .	9 W. R., 495 . . .	2691, 3071
Bharut Roy v. Gangunarain Mohapattur . . .	14 W. R., 211 . . .	1754, 2980, 3010
Bharut Singh v. Sadut Ali . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 20 . . .	3492
Bhaskarappa v. Collector of North Kanara . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 452 . . .	2967
Bhaskar Trimbak Acharya v. Mahadeb Ramji . . .	6 Bom., O. C., 1 . . .	2195, 2493
Bhau Babaji Gholap v. Gopal . . .	2 Bom., 183 . . .	2913
Bhau Balaji v. Hari Nilkanthrav . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 377 . . .	4886, 5043
Bhau Nanaji Utpat v. Sandrabai . . .	11 Bom., 249 . . .	2225
Bhauram Madan Gopal v. Ramnarayan Gopal . . .	12 Bom., 208 . . .	5837, 5851
Bhavanamma v. Ramasami . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 193 . . .	2062
Bhavan Badbar. In re . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 691 . . .	5862
Bhavani v. Hasan Miya . . .	1 Bom., 45 . . .	4563
Bhavanibai. In re . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 194 . . .	5858
Bhavani Sadashiv v. Bhavani Manaji . . .	12 Bom., 232 . . .	2850, 5591
Bhavani Shankar Shevakram v. Parsadri Kalidas . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 292 . . .	1937, 5240, 5683
Bhavan Mulji v. Kavasji Jasawala . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542 . . .	2639
Bhawabal Singh v. Rajendra Pratap Sahoy . . .	5 B. L. R., 321 : 13 W. R., 157 . . .	1496, 5059, 5139
Bhawanee Deen Sahoo v. Mohun Sahoo . . .	1 N. W., 188 : Ed. 1873, 273 . . .	3837
Bhawani v. Abdulla Khan . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 365 . . .	1602
_____ v. Mahtab Kuar . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 171 . . .	2526
Bhawani Das v. Daulat Ram . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 388 . . .	787
Bhawani Ghulam v. Deo Raj Kuari . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 542 . . .	2223, 2325, 2392
Bhawani Gir v. Dalmardan Gir . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 144 . . .	2920
Bhawani Kuar v. Ghulab Rai . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 348 . . .	5375
_____ v. Rikhi Ram . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 354 . . .	3294
Bhawani Prasad v. Damru . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 197 . . .	4558
Bhawani Prasad Singh v. Bisheshar Prasad Misr . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 846 . . .	3324
Bhawan Lal v. Akeedun . . .	1 W. R., 319 . . .	1395
Bhawun Doss v. Mahomed Hossein . . .	13 W. R., P. C., 38 : 13 Moore's I. A., 346. . .	480
Bhaygo Mutty Bibee v. Mahomed Wasil . . .	25 W. R., 315 . . .	6112

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Bheechuck Singh v. Lutchminarain Singh .	1 Hay, 182 .	4015
Bheeka v. Nund Kishore	Agra, F. B., 158 : Ed. 1874, 119	6091
Bheekalall v. Bhuggoo Lall	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 23 .	5012
Bheekoo v. Omar Khan	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 236 .	1189
Bheekumbur Singh v. Raj Chunder Ghose .	15 W. R., 196 .	3817
Bheekun Khan v. Bechun Khan	3 N. W., 35 .	4002
Bheema v. Pahlad	2 Agra, 38 .	4471
Bheema Charlu v. Donti Murti	8 Mad., 38 .	6277
Bheemangowda v. Eeranah	7 Mad., 358 .	3205
Bheem Goyallee v. Khoobun Sahoo . . .	17 W. R., 429 .	3403
Bheem Ram Chuckerbutty v. Huree Kishore Roy	1 W. R., 359 .	2300, 2480
Bheem Sein v. Hur Gobind	3 Agra, Rev., 12 .	1635, 1645
Bheemul Mahtoon v. Olimussa <i>alias</i> Begum Jan	8 W. R., 423 .	4848, 4853
Bheenuck Lall Mahtoon v. Rung Lall Mahtoon	11 W. R., 369 .	5737
Bhekaree Singh v. Muhossein Ally	1 Hay, 482 .	402
Bhekdharee Singh v. Kishen Pershad Singh	15 W. R., 106 .	5209
Bhekhan Dobe v. Rajroop Kooer	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 912 .	3304
Bhekharain Singh v. Januk Singh	1 L. R., 2 Calc., 438 .	2172
Bhekraj Koeri v. Gendh Lal Tewari	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 878 .	5472, 5941
Bhenuck Singh v. Tugger Singh	10 W. R., 299 .	1378
Bheree Dorayya v. Maddipatu Ramayya . .	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 35 .	1115
Bhichuk Singh v. Nageshar Nath	1 L. R., 2 All., 112 .	5734
Bhickaree Mullick. In re	10 W. R., Cr., 50 .	3618
Bhikaiji Ramchandra Oke v. Nijamali Khan	1 L. R., 8 Bom., 525 .	2936
Bhikaji v. Vaillabhdas	2 Bom., 209 .	4821
Bhikaji Apaji v. Jagannath Vithal	10 Bom., 351 .	2480, 3363
Bhikaji Ramchandra v. Purshotam	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 220 .	187, 6287
Bhikaji Ramchandra Oke v. Yashvantrav Shripat Khop- kar. . . .	1 L. R., 8 Bom., 489 .	2410, 5391
Bhikaji Sabaji v. Bapu Saju	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 550 .	921, 2608
Bhikaji Vithal Ambikar. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 119 .	208
Bhikambhat v. Fernandez	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 673 .	166, 3130, 3458, 3467
Bhikaree Pandah v. Ajoodhya Pershad . .	3 W. R., 176 .	5080
Bhika Roy. In re	7 B. L. R., 568, note .	6262
Bhikdharee Singh v. Kanhya Lall	14 W. R., 24 .	4829
Bhikha v. Sakarlal	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 440 .	3252, 4960
Bhikham Das v. Pura	1 L. R., 2 All., 141 .	2259
Bhikhan Khan v. Ratan Kuar	1 L. R., 1 All., 512 .	2920, 4088
Bhikha Roy v. Dhotun Roy	10 W. R., Cr., 36 .	6262
Bhikki Singh v. Nivath Singh	1 L. R., 7 All., 649 .	5758
Bhiknarain Singh v. Necot Koer	Marsh., 373 : 2 Hay, 446 .	1752
Bhikoo Mollah v. Rashmonee Dossee . . .	9 W. R., 357 .	4752, 5864
Bhikoo Sahoo v. Teik Ali Khan	9 W. R., 86 .	1758
Bhikun v. Elahi Khanum	8 B. L. R., Ap., 14, note : 11 W. R., 153 .	686
Bhikun Singh v. Jumeela Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 62 .	1499
Bhiku Singh v. Government	8 B. L. R., 529, note : 13 B. L. R., 119, note : 10 W. R., 296 .	5629
Bhiloo Mundul v. Motee Lall Ghose Mundul .	9 W. R., 251 .	4173
Bhimacharya bin Vyanakatacharya v. Fakirappa bin Annandappa. . . .	4 Bom., A. C., 206 .	759
Bhimaji Govind v. Rakmbai	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 338 .	1497
Bhimappa v. Mariappa	3 Bom., A. C., 128 .	5592
Bhima valad Krishnappa v. Ningappa bin Shidappa Tuse	5 Bom., A. C., 75 .	1032
Bhimavarapu Balaramaya v. Hodson	3 Mad., 370 .	5260
Bhim Das v. Upendra Mohan Tagore	9 B. L. R., Ap., 4 .	6204

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhimji Gridhar <i>v.</i> Morgan	3 Bom., O. C., 63	4530
Bhimrav Jivaji <i>v.</i> Bhimrav Govind	11 Bom., 194	5670, 5916
Bhimul Doss <i>alias</i> Lall Baboo <i>v.</i> Choonee Lall	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 379	2296
Bhinji Bechar <i>v.</i> Bawaji Daji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 448	642
Bhinji Govindji <i>v.</i> Monohar Dass	{ 5 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 14 W. R., 303.	465
Bhinuk Chowdhree <i>v.</i> Collector of Jounpore	2 Agra, 271	2841
Bhiro Chandra Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Bamundas Mookerjee	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 88: 11 W. R., 461.	3836
Bhiroogee Misser <i>v.</i> Oolfut Ali	2 N. W., 311	3991
Bhistoo Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Parbutty Dabea	Marsh., 274: 2 Hay, 213	1001
Bhisto Shankar Patil <i>v.</i> Ramchandrav Jahagirdar	8 Bom., A. C., 89	4986
Bhobanee Chunder Mitter <i>v.</i> MacNair	10 W. R., 464	3073
Bhobani Mahto <i>v.</i> Shibnath Para	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 113	4789
Bhobosoondree Dasseah <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Dutt	11 B. L. R., 36: 18 W. R., 140	701, 5802
Bhobosoonduri Dabee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 460	4669
Bhobosundari Dasi <i>v.</i> Makhun Lal Dey	8 B. L. R., 123	379
Bhobo Sundari Debi <i>v.</i> Rakal Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 583	6035
Bhobo Tarinee Dossee <i>v.</i> Prosonnomoye Dassee	13 B. L. R., 150, note	5337
Bhobotarini Debi <i>v.</i> Sree Ram Paul	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 629	4528
Bhodo Mahomed <i>v.</i> Radha Churn Bolia	13 W. R., 332	3717
Bhogeruth Adhikaree <i>v.</i> Tarinee Chunder Pakrassee	14 W. R., 174	5982
Bhogi Lal <i>v.</i> Chutter Singh	6 N. W., 323	3869
Bhogilal <i>v.</i> Popatbhai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 125	1228
Bhogoban Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Badsa Ally Sha	1 Ind. Jnr., O. S., 103	4609
Bhogobutty Koonwar <i>v.</i> Anuragee Koonwar	23 W. R., 459	4527
Bhoiharinee Dabee <i>v.</i> Nilmonce Singh Deo Bahadoor	24 W. R., 422	900
Bhoiro Mundul. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 28	4506
Bhoirub Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Hafezunissa Khatoon	2 C. L. R., 414	5616
Bhojohuree Bunick <i>v.</i> Aka Golam Ali	16 W. R., 97	3009
Bhojraj <i>v.</i> Gulshan Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 493	3229, 3407
Bhoj Singh <i>v.</i> Maha Konwer	3 Agra, Mis., 1	193, 4342
Bhokteram <i>v.</i> Heera Kolita	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 184	1959
Bhola <i>v.</i> Gobind Dayal	I. L. R., 6 All., 186	177
— <i>v.</i> Ramdhin	I. L. R., 7 All., 894	2871
Bholabhai <i>v.</i> Adesang	{ I. L. R., 9 Bom., 75	5068
— <i>v.</i> Collector of Kaira	3 Agra, 397	3243
Bhola Dut <i>v.</i> Ahmed	6 Bom., A. C., 80	52
Bholagiri Guru Manjir <i>v.</i> Hormasji Sorabji	I. L. R., 8 All., 70	1420
Bholai <i>v.</i> Kali	I. L. R., 4 All., 174	3029
— <i>v.</i> Rajah of Bansi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 93	4477
Bholai Mandal <i>v.</i> Jarif Gazi	2 Agra, 11	6156
Bholanath <i>v.</i> Baij Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 198	4833
— <i>v.</i> Baldeo	2 Agra, Pt. II, 165	4266
— <i>v.</i> Bisheshur Tewaree	I. L. R., 6 All., 63	2728
— <i>v.</i> Fateh Singh	20 W. R., Cr., 53	4101
Bholanath Bose <i>v.</i> Komuruddin	14 W. R., 373	4405
Bholanath Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Inder Chand Doogur	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 427	4448
Bhola Nath Das. In the matter of the petition of	{ I. L. R., 12 Calc., 273	192
Bhola Nath Dass. In the matter of the petition of	7 C. L. R., 516	4501
— <i>v.</i> Sonamoni Dasi	19 W. R., 106	5316
Bholanath Ghose <i>v.</i> Mothoor Mundle	7 W. R., 62	534
Bholanath Ghossal <i>v.</i> Kedarnath Banerjee	8 B. L. R., 89	1823
Bhola Nath Khan <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Sircar	12 B. L. R., 336: 20 W. R., 65	2362
Bholanath Khettri <i>v.</i> Kaliprasad Agurwalla	25 W. R., 222	2979
Bholanath Mahta <i>v.</i> Ajoodhia Persad Soukul		
Bholanath Mitter <i>v.</i> Kaloo		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bholanath Mookerjee v. Grish Mohinee Debia	15 W. R., 259	3561
Bholanath Mullick v. Heysham	11 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 221	2949
Bholanath Roy v. Nurendro Nath Roy	I L. R., 9 Calc., 380: 12 C. } L. R., 58. }	573
_____ v. Rakhal Dass Mukherji	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 69	2296
Bholanath Sandyal v. Gouree Pershad Moitro	16 W. R., 310	4591
Bholanath Sircar v. Baharam Khan	10 W. R., 392	3074
Bholanath Mullick. In re	Bourke, O. C., 96	6179
Bholan Misser v. Kanhiya Lal	7 N. W., 302	908
Bhola Singh v. Bulraj Singh	1 Agra, 233	4969
Bholi v. Imam Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 179	3241
Bhono Bibee v. Pallan Gazee	11 W. R., 131	295
Bhooboona Alumbahi Koer v. Jobraj Singh	11 C. L. R., 277	2027, 3543
Bhoobun Chunder Burrall v. Nagoree Dossia	15 W. R., 15	475, 1503
Bhoobun Chunder Sen v. Ram Soonder Surma Mo- zoomdar. }	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 300	3329
Bhoobun Chunder Shaw. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 464	4217
Bhoobun Dass Mundul v. Bilashmoney Dasseè	1 C. L. R., 415	4899
Bhoobunessuree Chowdhraïn v. Manson	22 W. R., 160	3828, 3833
Bhoobunessuree Dabea v. Goureedoss Turkopunchanun	11 W. R., 535	2338
Bhoobunessuree Debia v. Chunder Monee Debia	21 W. R., 243	3535
Bhoobunessur Roy Chowdhry v. Juggessuree Chowdhraïn	22 W. R., 413	474
Bhoobuneswar Dutt. In re	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 621: 2 C. } L. R., 80. }	4353
Bhoobun Joy Acharjea v. Anund Lall Chowdhry	22 W. R., 47	3910
Bhoobunjoy Acharjee v. Ram Narain Chowdhry	9 W. R., 449	5187
Bhoobun Mohinee Debee v. Poornoo Chunder Banerjee	17 W. R., 99	85
Bhoobun Mohinee Debia v. Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar	19 W. R., 82	1484
Bhoobunmohinee Dossee v. Dhonaye Karigur	15 W. R., 434	1600
Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee v. Kedarnath Bose	12 W. R., 141	1634
Bhoobun Mohini Debia v. Hurriah Chunder Chow- dhry. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 23: 3 C. L. } R., 339: L. R., 5 I. A., }	5504
Bhoobun Mohun alias Prolad Sandyal v. Bhubo Soon- dere Debia Chowdhraïn. }	8 W. R., 452	4937
Bhoobun Mohun Banerjea v. Thakoordoss Biswas	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 277: 15 } W. R., F. B., 18, note. }	413
Bhoobun Mohun Bose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 571	2649
_____ v. Chundernath Banerjee	17 W. R., 69	5698
Bhoobun Mohun Dey v. Dinonath Dey	1 Hyde, 122	1205
Bhoobun Mohun Mundul v. Nobin Chunder Bullub	10 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 18 W. } R., 282. }	852
_____ v. Rash Beharee Paul	15 W. R., 84	1567, 6114
Bhoobun Mohun Sircar v. Watson & Co.	W. R., 1864, 64	34
Bhoobun Moye Debia v. Ramkishore Acharjee	3 W. R., P. C., 15: 10 } Moore's I. A., 279. }	2142, 2286
Bhoobun Moyee Debea v. Mooty	1 W. R., Mis., 11	214
Bhoobun Moyee Dossee v. Kishoree Dossee	6 W. R., 235	6237
_____ v. Ruffick Mundle	17 W. R., 17	4402
_____ v. Umbica Churn Sett	23 W. R., 343	1838
Bhoobun Moyi Dabea v. Shurut Sundery Dabea	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 275	192
Bhoobun Singh v. Queen	9 W. R., Cr., 36	5598
Bhoocha v. Elahi Bux	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 574	3687
Bhoodun v. Jan Khan	13 W. R., 265	679
Bhoojunga Thakoar v. Luchmee Narain Sahee	9 W. R., 80	2885
Bhoooloo v. Ram Narain Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 129	5437
Bhoondur Mul v. Gunga Pershad	2 W. R., Mis., 50	209

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhoopal Chunder Biswas <i>v.</i> Mahomed Mollah	6 W. R., 286	3297
Bhoopendra Narain Roy. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539 : 8 C. L. R., 30.	2462, 3566
— <i>v.</i> Greesh Narain Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539 : 8 C. L. R., 30.	2462, 3566
Bhoopendro Nath Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Kalee Prosunno Ghose	24 W. R., 205	844, 2798
Bhoopnarain Chowbey <i>v.</i> Rughoonath Gobind Roy	18 W. R., 230	1358
Bhoopnarain Sahoo <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein	4 W. R., 60	5747
Bhoop Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Keramut Ali	6 W. R., 99	5285
Bhoop Singh <i>v.</i> Nursingh Rai	3 Agra, 144	4257, 4994
— <i>v.</i> Phool Kower	3 Agra, Part II, 168	2457
— <i>v.</i> Sunker Dutt Jha	6 W. R., Mis., 47	1880
Bhooputtee Roy <i>v.</i> Umbica Churn Banerjee	17 W. R., 198	527
Bhooputty Lal Tewaree <i>v.</i> Soochee Seekhur Mookerjee	12 W. R., 255	2943
Bhoorum Koer <i>v.</i> Sahebmadee	6 W. R., 149	2189
Bhoothnath Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Kedarnath Banerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 125	4177
Bhoot Nath Dey. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 405	2923
Bhora Roy <i>v.</i> Abilack Roy	10 W. R., 476	3998
Bhowabul Singh <i>v.</i> Rajendro Protap Singh	13 W. R., 157	1496, 5059
Bhowan Doss <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein	13 Moore's I. A., 346 : 13 W. R., P. C., 38.	480
Bhowanee Dutt <i>v.</i> Lokhoo Singh	W. R., 1864, 60	3723
Bhowanee Dutt Singh <i>v.</i> Beer Singh	2 N. W., 196	908, 3556
Bhowanee Koonwer <i>v.</i> Thakoor Dass	2 Agra, 277	5799
Bhowanee Pershad <i>v.</i> Oheedun	5 W. R., 177	2020
— <i>v.</i> Purshunno Singh	11 W. R., 282	3717
Bhowna <i>v.</i> Roop Kishore	5 N. W., 89	2156, 2200
Bhowun Doss <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 38 : 13 Moore's I. A., 346.	480
Bhoynrub Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Haradhun Ghose	Marsh., 561 : 2 Hay, 666	6108
Bhoynrub Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Chundi Churn Mitter	Bourke, O. C., 238	1214, 4521
— <i>v.</i> Madhub Chunder Poramanick	1 Hyde, 281	4254
— <i>v.</i> Wajedunnissa Khatoon	6 C. L. R., 234	1203, 5952
Bhoynrub Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Nubo Chunder Gooho	5 W. R., 111	415
Bhoynrub Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Madhub Chunder Sen	6 C. L. R., 19	407
Bhoynrub Chunder Sein <i>v.</i> Anundmoye Chowdhraim	Marsh., 494	6148
Bhoynrub Dass Johurry <i>v.</i> Doman Thakoor	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 139 : 4 C. L. R., 374.	10, 3464
Bhoynrubnath Khetry <i>v.</i> Doyal Chunder Laha	Bourke, O. C., 159	5484
Bhoynrubnath Sandyal <i>v.</i> Mutty Mundul	W. R., 1864, Act X, 100	1608
Bhoza Rughur Singh <i>v.</i> Bhoza Raj Singh	3 N. W., 319	1897, 2695
Bhrigoo Roy <i>v.</i> Bhagruth Upadhya	W. R., 1864, Act X, 41	351
Bhuban Chandra Shome <i>v.</i> Ramdyal Shamanta	5 B. L. R., Ap., 62 : 14 W. R., 55.	321
Bhubaneswari Debi <i>v.</i> Dinanath Sandyal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 320 : 11 W. R., 232.	841
— <i>v.</i> Harisaran Surma Moitra	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 720 : 8 C. L. R., 337.	1770
— <i>v.</i> Mahendranath Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., Ap., 33	3509
— <i>v.</i> Nilcomul Lahiri	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 18 : L. R., 12 I. A., 137.	2144
Bhuban Mohan Banerjee <i>v.</i> Elliott	6 B. L. R., 85	4567
— <i>v.</i> Sadu Charan Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 339 : 15 W. R., O. C., 5.	843
Bhuban Mohan Chattopadhyaya <i>v.</i> Sandamini Debi	5 B. L. R., Ap., 59	5751
Bhuban Pari <i>v.</i> Shamanand Dey	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 699	2968

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhubo Soonderee Chowdhrair v. Kasheenath Acharjea .	22 W. R., 351 . . .	1663
Bhubo Soonduree Debia v. Jynul Abdin . . .	8 W. R., 393 . . .	4924
Bhubo Tarinee Dossia v. Prosunno Moyee Dossia .	10 W. R., 304 . . .	565, 4266
Bhubotarinee Ghosany v. Juggernath Tewary . .	16 W. R., 58 . . .	5690
Bhudeswar Chowdhry v. Gauri Kant Nath . . .	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 834 . . .	315
Bhudressory Chowdhrair v. Goberdhun Majhee . .	16 W. R., Cr., 17 . . .	4489
Bhuggeruth Berah v. Moneeram Banerjee . . .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 855 . . .	5306
Bhuggeruth Dass Koyburto v. Chunder Churn Koyburto	22 W. R., 463 . . .	2856
Bhuggeruth Shikdar v. Ramnarain Mundur . . .	9 W. R., 299 . . .	692
Bhuggoban Chunder Ghose v. Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose	Marsh., 503 . . .	5771
Bhuggobutty v. Motee Chand Puteedundo . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 97 . . .	3526
Bhuggobutty Churn Halidar v. Niropunah Dabee . .	1 W. R., Mis., 8 . . .	1488
Bhuggobutty Churn Mookerjee v. Huro Mohun Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 55 . . .	531
Bhuggobutty Debia. In the matter of . . .	3 W. R., Mis., 18 . . .	685
_____ v. _____ . . .	14 W. R., 62 . . .	271
Bhuggobutty Dossee v. Kishen Nath Roy . . .	3 W. R., 30 . . .	2021
_____ v. Nobin Chunder Bose . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 99 . . .	1041
_____ v. Shamachurn Bose . . .	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 337 . . .	3928
Bhuggobutty Goopta v. Saroda Soonduree Debea .	11 W. R., 337 . . .	4191
Bhuggobutty Kowar v. Money . . .	2 C. L. R., 545 . . .	825, 5922
Bhugirath Patoni v. Ram Lochun Deb . . .	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 275 : 10 C. } L. R., 159. }	4964
Bhugmunt Narain Jha v. Loll Jha . . .	Marsh., 48 : 1 Hay, 114 . . .	1675
Bhugna v. Gungooa . . .	2 Agra, 170 . . .	3274
Bhugoban Chunder Doss v. Lalla Thakoor Pershad .	W. R., 1864, 359 . . .	5378
Bhugoban Chunder Poddar v. Mohun Chunder Chucker- butty. . .	12 W. R., Cr., 49 . . .	967
Bhugoban Tantee v. Gobind Chunder Roy . . .	9 W. R., 210 . . .	849, 5678
Bhugobat Singh v. Ramadhin Singh . . .	22 W. R., 330 . . .	1867
Bhugobut Churn Sein v. Siam Ali . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 18 . . .	971
Bhugobutty v. Bhikramajeet Singh . . .	8 W. R., 477 . . .	5774
Bhugobutty Burmonee v. Gour Chunder Mundul .	9 W. R., 267 . . .	6173
Bhugobutty Churn Rajpaye v. Sharoda Pershad Sookul .	22 W. R., 298 . . .	5679
Bhugobutty Kooer v. Bholanath Thakoor . . .	8 W. R., 317 . . .	667, 682
_____ v. Gunesh Dutt . . .	21 W. R., 308 . . .	4344
_____ v. Kustooree Kooer . . .	15 W. R., 272 . . .	6089
Bhugobutty Misrair v. Domun Misser . . .	24 W. R., 365 . . .	2343
Bhugun v. Rumjan . . .	24 W. R., 380 . . .	2847
Bhugwan v. Doyal Gope . . .	10 W. R., Cr., 7 . . .	1264, 6262
_____ v. Purnmeshree . . .	5 N. W., 179 . . .	181
Bhugwan Acharjee v. Gobind Sahoo . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 234 : 11 } C. L. R., 355. }	3998
Bhugwan Bhagut v. Jug Mohun Roy . . .	20 W. R., 308 . . .	5181
Bhugwan Chunder Banerjee v. Dukhina Debia . .	8 W. R., 356 . . .	2744, 5762
Bhugwan Chunder Bose v. Bindoobashinee Dossee .	6 W. R., 286 . . .	5684
Bhugwan Chunder Chowdhry v. Khosal . . .	7 W. R., 271 . . .	5279
Bhugwan Chunder Dass v. Sudder Ally . . .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 41 : 2 C. L. } R., 357. }	5330
Bhugwan Chunder Dutt v. Mechoo Lal Chuckerbutty .	17 W. R., 372 . . .	136
Bhugwan Chunder Ghose. In re . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 15 . . .	1933
_____ v. Rajcoomar Goho . . .	13 W. R., 303 . . .	302
Bhugwan Chunder Roy v. Rajchunder Roy . . .	9 W. R., 553 . . .	3327
Bhugwan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Jegur Khan . .	22 W. R., 456 . . .	6110
_____ v. Manick Bibee . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 383 : 11 C. } L. R., 577. }	4938
Bhugwan Dass v. Mahomed Jafer . . .	4 N. W., 161 . . .	3887, 3964

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhugwan Dass Marwari <i>v.</i> Nund Lal Sein	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 173 .	193, 292
Bhugwandeem Doobey <i>v.</i> Myna Baee . . .	9 W. R., P. C., 23: 11	373 1690, 2492
Bhugwan Doss. In re	Moore's I. A., 487.	5144
_____ <i>v.</i> Behary Khan	2 N., W. 113	6258
_____ <i>v.</i> Hunnooman Pershad Sahoo	Marsh., 191: 1 Hay, 437	3388
_____ <i>v.</i> Nubee Buksh	18 W. R., 184	1503
_____ <i>v.</i> Tekait Than Narain Deo . .	7 W. R., 31	3918
_____ <i>v.</i> Upooch Singh	23 W. R., 309	2711
Bhugwan Dutt Jha <i>v.</i> Sheo Mungul Singh	10 W. R., 185	472
Bhugwan Dutt Misser <i>v.</i> Nowneedh Lall .	22 W. R., 256	1744
Bhugwantajah <i>v.</i> Ojoodhya Persad . . .	25 W. R., 153	582
Bhujanga Rau <i>v.</i> Ramayamma	1 Hay, 31	4964
Bhujang Mahadev <i>v.</i> Collector of Belgaum	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 387	2494
Bhujangrav bin Davalatrav Ghorpade <i>v.</i> Malojirav bin	11 Bom., 1	3271, 3412
Davalatrav Ghorpade.	5 Bom., A. C., 161	2322, 2323
Bhujendro Bhusan Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Trigunanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 761	2533
Bhujhawan Lal <i>v.</i> Sukhraj Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 866	1428
Bhujjun Lall <i>v.</i> Gya Pershad	2 N. W., 446	5619
Bhujohuree Paul <i>v.</i> Huro Soonduree Debee .	17 W. R., 530	2339
Bhujohurree Paramanick <i>v.</i> Sharoda Soonduree Dossee.	8 W. R., 197	3369
Bhujonanund Mytee <i>v.</i> Radha Churn Mytee .	7 W. R., 335	83
Bhujun Ram Tewaree <i>v.</i> Lalla Ajoodhya Pershad	2 W. R., 335	1713, 2386
Bhukan Bhaibava <i>v.</i> Bhaiji Prag	2 W. R., Mis., 29	220
Bhulabhai Gullabhai <i>v.</i> Modji Desaiji . . .	1 Bom., 19	5375, 6142
Bhuli Singh <i>v.</i> Nehmu Behu	5 Bom., A. C., 48	1787
Bhupal <i>v.</i> Jag Ram	4 B. L. R., A. C., 29: 10 W. }	3376
Bhup Kuar <i>v.</i> Muhammadi Begam	R., 302.	
Bhurey Mal <i>v.</i> Nawal Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 449	3890
Bhurruck Chunder Sahoo <i>v.</i> Golam Shuruff	I. L. R., 6 All., 37	3899
Bhurrot Thakoor <i>v.</i> Murtaza	I. L. R., 4 All., 259	4558
Bhurth Singh <i>v.</i> Raghonath Suhai	10 W. R., 458	2943, 3671
Bhurut Pandey <i>v.</i> Munthoora Koer	21 W. R., 225	4301
Bhusun Parin <i>v.</i> Denonath Banerjee	3 Agra, 30	3955, 6125
Bhutnath Dey <i>v.</i> Ahmed Hosain	23 W. R., 421	1453
Bhutoo Ram <i>v.</i> Puhul Chowdhry	20 W. R., Cr., 15	5995
Bhya Bhoopnath Sahee <i>v.</i> Kunwan	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 417	3690
Bhya Ram Singh <i>v.</i> Agar Singh	7 W. R., 36	3333
Bhyranpershaud <i>v.</i> Isharee	7 W. R., 134	838
Bhyro Dutt <i>v.</i> Lekhranee Kooer	5 B. L. R., 293: 14 W. R., P. }	2295
Bhyroo Lall. In the matter of the petition of	C., 1: 13 Moore's I. A., 373. }	
Bhyroo Raoot <i>v.</i> Anoorodeb Deo Narain Singh	3 N. W., 313	1363
Bhyro Pershad <i>v.</i> Basisto Narain Pandey .	16 W. R., 123	1697, 6094
Bhyro Rai <i>v.</i> Golab Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 75	960
Bhyro Singh <i>v.</i> Oodee Kurn Singh	Marsh., 608	1197
Bhyrub Bharuttee. In the matter of the petition of	16 W. R., 31	2152, 5386
Bhyrub Chunder <i>v.</i> Golap Coomary	3 Agra, 303	5636
Bhyrub Chunder Banerjee <i>v.</i> Ameeroodeen	12 W. R., 284	5076
_____ <i>v.</i> Chairman of the Howrah	21 W. R., 340	610
Municipality.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 517	167
Bhyrub Chunder Bundopadhya <i>v.</i> Soudamini Dabee	17 W. R., 173	3075
Bhyrub Chunder Chunder <i>v.</i> Shama Soonderee Debia	17 W. R., 215	503
Bhyrub Chunder Dass <i>v.</i> Kalee Chunder Chuckerbutty.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 141	5416
Bhyrub Chunder Deb. In the matter of the petition of.	6 W. R., Act X, 68	5925
	16 W. R., 56	4782
	7 W. R., 131	3847

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhyrub Chunder Mojoondar v. Huro Prosunno Bhutta-charjee.	17 W. R., 257	3837
_____ v. _____	17 W. R., 258	1620
Bhyrub Chunder Mudduck v. Nuddiar Chand Pal	12 W. R., 291	1143, 1401 1462
Bhyrub Chunder Surmah Chowdhry v. Madhubram } Surmah.	11 B. L. R., 423 : 20 W. R., 84	5141
Bhyrub Jha v. Hunoomaun Dutt Jha . . .	5 W. R., 123 . . .	383
Bhyrub Lall Bhukut v. Abdool Hossein . . .	8 W. R., 93 . . .	900, 3264
Bhyrub Mundul v. Gungaram Banerjee . . .	12 B. L. R., 290, note : 17 W. R., 408.	1183
Bhyrubnath Sandyal v. Hure Soonduree Dossee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 28 . . .	2777
Bhyrubnath Shaha v. Kunhya Lall Roy . . .	20 W. R., 131 . . .	841
Bhyrub Nath Tye v. Kally Chunder Chowdhry . . .	16 W. R., 112 . . .	1737, 5129
Bhyrub Roy v. Nobin Roy . . .	9 W. R., 601 . . .	1756
Bhyrub Seal v. Queen . . .	W. R., 1864, Cr., 27 . . .	5552
Bhyrub Sheet v. Khettur Mohun Gossain . . .	5 W. R., 124 . . .	4911
Bhyrub Singh v. Jhogru Patnee . . .	11 W. R., 506 . . .	5943
Bhyrub Sircar v. Sham Manjee . . .	15 W. R., 70 . . .	4953
Bhyunkuree Dabee v. Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 38 . . .	6125
Bibeejan v. Bhajul Singh . . .	21 W. R., 438 . . .	6115
Bibeejaun v. Mahomed Hadee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40 . . .	2824
Bichook Nath Pandey v. Ram Lochun Singh . . .	11 B. L. R., 135 : 19 W. R., 271.	2720
Bichook Panday v. Narain Dutt . . .	1 N. W., 26 : Ed. 1873, 24 . . .	2977
Bichuk Abeer v. Auhuck Bhooneea . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 5 . . .	5560
Biddell v. Chutterdharee Lall . . .	12 W. R., 116 . . .	557
Biddomoye Dabee Dabee v. Sittaram . . .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 497 : 3 C. L. } R., 398.	1129
_____ v. Soobuldas Mullick	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 497 : 3 C. L. R., 398.	1129
Biddyadthur Mohapatro v. Syamanund Dey . . .	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 385 : 8 C. L. R., 514.	4491
Bidhoo Beebee v. Keshub Chunder . . .	9 W. R., 462 . . .	839
Bidhoo Bhooshun Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Roy } Chowdhry.	W. R., 1864, Mis., 4 . . .	672
Bidhoomokhee Dabee v. Sreenath Haldar . . .	15 W. R., Cr., 4 . . .	6183
Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee v. Beejoy Keshub Roy . . .	12 W. R., 495 . . .	1921
Bidhoomukhi Debi v. Nilmoney Sing Deo . . .	1 C. L. R., 464 . . .	4336
Bidhu Bhushun Basu v. Komaraddi Mundul . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 864 . . .	1190
Bidhumukhi Dabee Chowdhraun v. Kefyutullah . . .	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 93 . . .	3049, 5750
Bidhumukhi Debi. In re . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 129 . . .	6183
Bidyamayi Debia Chowdhraun v. Ramlal Misser . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 80 : 17 W. R., 148.	3820
Bidya Soonduree Dossee. In the matter of the peti- tion of.	15 W. R., 267 . . .	2050
Bihans Kunwar v. Behari Lal . . .	3 B. L. R., F. B., 15 : 11 W. R., F. B., 16 : S. C. on ap- peal, 10 B. L. R., 159 : 18 W. R., 157 : 14 Moore's 1. A., 496.	486
Bihari Lal v. Kundan Lal . . .	7 N. W., 103 . . .	3870, 4851
_____ v. Juni . . .	7 N. W., 108 . . .	2723
_____ v. Madhusudan Kundu . . .	2 B. L. R., O. C., 154 . . .	1054
Bihari Lal Nandi v. Trailakhomayi Barmani . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 346 : 12 W. R., 223.	5125, 5145
Bihoram v. Allaho Kolita . . .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 8 . . .	6263

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.
Bijadhur Bhugut <i>v.</i> Monohur Bhugut . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11 . . . }
Bijadhur Rai <i>v.</i> Empress	2 C. L. R., 211
Bijai Bahadoor Singh <i>v.</i> Bhyron Bux Singh . . .	6 C. L. R., 21
Bijai Ram <i>v.</i> Kallu	I. L. R., 1 All., 592
Bijee Kooer <i>v.</i> Damodur Dass	5 N. W., 55
Bijjo Nath Sahoo <i>v.</i> Ramdour Roy	7 C. L. R., 369
Bijoi Kesal Roy <i>v.</i> Samasundari	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 172: 2 W. R., Mis., 30.
Bijoya Debia <i>v.</i> Bydonath Deb	24 W. R., 444
Bijoy Gobind Naik <i>v.</i> Kalee Prosunno Naik . . .	16 W. R., 294
Bijoy Keshub Roy <i>v.</i> Obhoy Churn Ghose . . .	16 W. R., 198 }
Bijoy Kishen Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Joy Kishen Mookerjee . .	12 W. R., 430
Bikan Singh <i>v.</i> Parbutty Kooer	22 W. R., 99
Bika Singh <i>v.</i> Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 800
Bikramajeet Lall <i>v.</i> Phoolbas Kooer	14 W. R., 340 }
Bikrmajit Singh <i>v.</i> Husaini Begam	I. L. R., 3 All., 643
Bikromajeet Mullo Ogalsundo Deb <i>v.</i> Court of Wards .	21 W. R., 312
Bilash <i>v.</i> Makroo	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15: 10 W. R., Cr., 61. }
Bilash Koonwar <i>v.</i> Bhawanee Buksh Narain . . .	W. R., 1864, 1
Bilasmomi Dasi <i>v.</i> Sheopershad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 664: L. 9 I. A., 33: 11, C. L. 215.
Bilaso <i>v.</i> Dina Nath	I. L. R., 3 All., 88
Bill. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 390
Billings <i>v.</i> Uncovenanted Service Bank	I. L. R., 3 All., 781
Bimala Debi <i>v.</i> Tarasundari Debi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 101: 14 W. R., 480.
Bimaraz <i>v.</i> Papaya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 46
Bimola <i>v.</i> Dangoo Kansaree	19 W. R., 189
Bimola Soonduree Dasse <i>v.</i> Kalee Kishen Mojoomdar .	22 W. R., 5
Binayak Rai <i>v.</i> Luchmee Bai	3 Agra, 179
Bindabasini Debi <i>v.</i> Patitparan Chattapadhya . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 267
Binda Bibee <i>v.</i> Lalla Gopeenath	14 B. L. R., 323: 21 W. R., 66
Binda Bibi <i>v.</i> Lala Ramsaran Singh	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23
Bindabun Chunder Dutt <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Sen . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 15
Bindabun Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Janee Beebee	6 W. R., 312
Bindabun Chunder Sircar <i>v.</i> Mackintosh	9 W. R., 442
. <i>v.</i> Roberts	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1004, note.
Bindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdry <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Biswas. }	17 W. G., 282
Bindachari <i>v.</i> Dracup	8 Bom., A. C., 202
Binda Dabee <i>v.</i> Gopee Soonderee Dossia	6 W. R., Mis., 82
Binda Kuar <i>v.</i> Bhonda Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 660
Binda Prasad <i>v.</i> Ahmad Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 368
. <i>v.</i> Madho Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 129
Bindeshri Chaubey <i>v.</i> Nandu	I. L. R., 3 All., 456
. <i>v.</i>	I. L. R., 4 All., 320
Bindessuree Dutt Singh <i>v.</i> Doma Singh	9 W. R., 88
Bindessuree Pershad <i>v.</i> Gujadhur Pershad . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bindobaseeny Dabee v. Bissomoye Dassee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 339	898
Bindoomadhub Mitter v. Woomes Chunder Paul	2 Hyde, 86	1214, 4521
Biudrabun Chunder Chowdhry v. Issur Chunder Biswas	W. R., 1864, Act X, 1	5175
Bindubashini Dasi v. Renny (Rainey) {	7 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 15 W. R., 307. . . .	3392, 4465
Binja Ram v. Rajmohun Roy	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 282	1771, 5855
Binode Beharee Roy v. Masseyk	15 W. R., 493	1771, 3016
Binodee Lall Ghose v. Mackenzie	3 W. R., Act X, 157	3066
Binode Koomaree v. Purdhan Gopal	Marsh., 80: W. R., F. B., 26: 1 Hay, 148. . . .	1636
Binode Lall Chatterjee v. Luckhee Monee Debia	4 W. R., 84	5071
Binode Lall Pakrashee v. Gireedhur Chuckerbutty	22 W. R., 392	3371
Binode Ram Sein v. Deputy Commissioner of the Son- thal Pergunnahs.	6 W. R., 129: S. C. on review, 7 W. R., 178. . . .	900
Binoderam Sein v. Brohmo Moyee Debia	1 W. R., 168	2038
Bipin Beharee Lukkun v. Ghasoo	11 W. R., 16	777
Bipinbehari Chowdry v. Ram Chandra Roy	5 B. L. R., 234: 14 W. R., 12	4191
Bipin Behary Daw v. Sreedam Chunder Dey	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 42	4939
Bipproershah Mytee v. Kenae Doyee	3 W. R., 165: S. C. after re- mand, 5 W. R., 82. . . .	1835
Bipro Chunder Joobraj v. Ram Lochun Deb	W. R., 1864, 121	2240
Bipro Doss Dey v. Sakermonee Dossee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 38. . . .	186
_____ v. Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 655	1660
Biproross Dey v. Wollen	1 W. R., 223	2679, 4628
Biproross Gossain v. Chunder Sikur Buttacharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 718: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248: 7 W. R., 521. . . .	4927
Bipro Pershad Mytee v. Kanye Deyee	1 W. R., 341	3479, 3480
Bipropershad Mytee v. Kena Dayee	5 W. R., 82: S. C. before re- mand, 3 W. R., 165. . . .	3510
Bipro Protap Sahu v. Deo Narain Roy	3 W. R., Mis, 16	247, 3262
Birajan Kooer v. Ramchurn Lall Mahata	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 719: 9 C. L. R., 203. . . .	2342
Birajun Koer v. Luchmi Narain Mahata	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 392	414, 420
Birbal v. Tikaram	1. L. R., 4 All., 11	218
Birch v. Fuzind Ali	3 N. W., 303	2511
_____ v. Ratiram	1. L. R., 4 All., 115	5086
Bir Chandra Roy Mahapatter v. Bansi Dhar Roy Ma- hapatter.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 214	2736, 6245
Bir Chunder Manickya v. Hurrish Chunder Dass	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 383: 1 C. L. R., 585. . . .	1481
_____ v. Ishan Chunder Thakur	3 C. L. R., 417	326
Bir Chunder Manikhya v. Ishan Chunder Tagore	12 C. L. R., 473	1734, 4964
Birchunder Manikya v. Mahomed Afsaroo	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 299	4966
Biresuree Pershad. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 93	2061, 2894
Biressur Banerjee v. Onoodachurn Banerjee	3 W. R., 12	2895, 4968
Biressur Panrey v. Jogendro Chunder Deb	24 W. R., 261	3915
Birj Mohan Singh v. Collector of Allahabad	1. L. R., 4 All., 102	4727
Birjo Beharee Singh v. Wajid Hossein	1. L. R., 4 All., 339	4468
Birjobuttee v. Pertab Singh	14 W. R., 372	4268, 4279
Birjoo Singh v. Khub Lall	3 W. R., P. C., 36: 8 Moore's I. A., 168. . . .	4079
Biroja Monee Barmonea v. Wooma Moyee Barmonea	19 W. R., Cr., 66	4079
Biru v. Khandu	7 W. R., 124	481
Bisambhur Naik v. Sudasheeb Mahapattur	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 214	4645
	1 W. R., 96	6068
		1890
		2310
		2157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bisandas valad Magniram v. Lakmichand Kisanchand	6 Bom., A. C., 150 . . .	747
Biweshur Bhuttacharjee v. Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee	1 Hay, 559 . . .	5267
Bishambhur Shaha v. Shih Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 286 . . .	1167
Bishan Chand v. Ahmad Khan . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 263 . . .	3148
Bishan Dial v. Manniram . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 297 . . .	3998
Bisharut Ally v. Seetul Misser . . .	1 N. W., 40 . . .	692
Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer . . .	1. L. R., 6 All., 560; L. R., 11 I. A., 164. }	2272, 2560
Bishen Chunder Sealah v. Gokool Chunder Lahata	5 W. R., 171 . . .	1401
Bishen Chunder Surma Chowdhry v. Munmohinee Dabee	8 W. R., 501 . . .	5417
Bishen Dayal v. Udit Narain . . .	1. L. R., 8 All., 486 . . .	2713, 3888
Bishendyal Singh v. Khadeema . . .	Marsh., 213; 1 Hay, 584 . . .	1786
_____ v. Khudeemun . . .	W. R., 1864, 359 . . .	5460
_____ v. Probhoo Dass . . .	1 W. R., 1 . . .	2985
Bishen Moyee Dossee v. Delshad Bibee	7 W. R., 112 . . .	4796
Bishenmun Singh v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 244; L. R., 12 I. A., 7. }	1887, 4661
Bishenperakash Narain Singh v. Babooa Misser . . .	8 W. R., 73 . . .	3147, 3249
Bishen Perakash Narain Singh v. Bawa Misser . . .	12 B. L. R., 430; 20 W. R., 137 . . .	2151
Bishen Perakash Singh v. Ruttun Geer Chela . . .	20 W. R., 3 . . .	313, 6234
Bishen Sahaye Singh v. Beer Kishore Singh . . .	8 W. R., 296 . . .	4424, 6274
Bisheshar Kuar v. Hari Singh . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 42 . . .	5969
Bisheshar Singh v. Laik Singh . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 257 . . .	3998
_____ v. Sugundhi . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 366 . . .	216
Bishesur v. Matagholam . . .	2 N. W., 300 . . .	2443
Bishesur Bhuttacharjee v. Lamb . . .	21 W. R., 22 . . .	1848
Bishesur Mookerjee v. Judoonath Bose . . .	W. R., 1864, 48 . . .	1709
Bishesur Pershad v. Ram Churun . . .	5 N. W., 25 . . .	3869
Bishesur Roy Chowdhry v. Bishesur Bose . . .	8 W. R., 277 . . .	836
Bisheswar Shaha v. Bishwambhur Sircar . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 65 . . .	963
Bhishuresee Debia v. Mohun Chunder Bose . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 35 . . .	3834
Bisheswar Chakravarti v. Shitul Chundra Chakravarti	8 W. R., 13 . . .	2468
Bisheswari Debya v. Govind Persad Tewari . . .	L. R., 3 I. A., 194; 26 W. R., 32. }	474, 1501
Bishoka Dasia v. Anunto Lall Pain . . .	4 C. L. R., 65 . . .	375
Bishnath. In the matter of the petition of . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 318 . . .	4847
Bishnath Chowdhry v. Radha Churn Gangooly . . .	20 W. R., 465 . . .	4202
Bishno Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Shoshee Mohun Pal Chowdhry. }	22 W. R., 277 . . .	5922, 5925
Bishnoo Dass v. Mungul Dass . . .	24 W. R., 203 . . .	666
Bishnoo Pershad Bunnick v. Ram Coomar Deb . . .	22 W. R., 2 . . .	4860
Bishonath Dey Roy v. Chunder Mohun Dutt Biswas	23 W. R., 165 . . .	701, 6031
Bishonath Doss v. Ahmed Ali . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 19 . . .	219
Bishonath Mookerjee v. Kisto Mohun Mookerjee	7 W. R., 483 . . .	3950
Bishonath Neogy v. Huro Gobind Neogy . . .	5 W. R., 27 . . .	5089
Bishonath Rukhit v. Ramdhone Sircar . . .	11 W. R., 42 . . .	3770
Bishonath Sircar. In the matter of . . .	3 W. R., Cr., 63 . . .	21
Bishoo Barik. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 77 . . .	979, 1958
Bishooroop Hazrah v. Damanotee Debia	15 W. R., 537 . . .	
Bishto Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Woomanath Roy Chowdhry. }	15 W. R., 459 . . .	841
Bishtoo Churn Bhooson v. Kishen Gopal Misser . . .	13 W. R., 207 . . .	403
Bishtu Narayan Bandopadhyaya v. Ganga Narayan Biswas. }	3 B. L. R., A. C., 40; 11 W. R., 368. }	208
Bishumber Dey Poddar v. Hungsheshur Mookerjee	4 C. L. R., 34 . . .	3304
Bishunath v. Ilahi Baksh . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 277 . . .	2812
Bishun Coomar Roy v. Hurish Chunder Deb Roy . . .	2 W. R., 209 . . .	996

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bisnoo Chunder Biswas <i>v.</i> Toyluck Nath Banerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 28	3815
Bisokha Moyee Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Sonatun Doss	16 W. R., 14	5409
Bisram Mahton <i>v.</i> Sahib-un-nissa	1. L. R., 3 All., 333	5472
Bisram Singh <i>v.</i> Inderjeet Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis., 49	214
Bisram Singh <i>alias</i> Bishen Singh <i>v.</i> Inderjeet Koonwar	6 W. R., 2	772, 4138
Bissambhur Banerjee <i>v.</i> Koylash Chunder Bose	23 W. R., 388	5212
Bissambhur Shaha <i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 286	4208
Bissen Chand <i>v.</i> Rajendro Kishore Singh	1. L. R., 5 All., 302	3233
Bissen Doss Singh <i>v.</i> Sheo Pershad Singh	5 C. L. R., 29	1698
Bissendoyal <i>v.</i> Schlaepfer	22 W. R., 68	3933
Bissen Lall Dass <i>v.</i> Khyrunissa Begum	1 W. R., 79	4799
Bisseshur Narain Mahtab. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., Cr., 83	1992
Bisseshur Roy <i>v.</i> Hursperhad Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 1	4491
Bissessur Bhugut <i>v.</i> Murli Sahu	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 163 : 11 C. L. R., 409.	968
Bissessur Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of.	16 W. R., Cr., 1	3241, 3355
Bissessur Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Ramjoy Mozoomdar	2 W. R., 326	3433, 4977
_____ <i>v.</i> Seetul Chunder Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 69	503
_____ <i>v.</i> Woomachurn Roy	7 W. R., 44	4160
_____ <i>v.</i> _____	9 W. R., 122	2477
Bissessur Doss <i>v.</i> Joykishore Doss	25 W. R., 223	1617, 4169
Bissessuree Debee <i>v.</i> Jankee Doss	1 W. R., 162	1655
Bissessuree Debia <i>v.</i> Gobind Pershad Tewaree	21 W. R., 398	6031
_____ <i>v.</i> Sookram Doss	15 W. R., 347	1674
Bissessuree Dossee <i>v.</i> Kalee Koomar Roy	18 W. R., 198	472, 474
Bissessur Gir <i>v.</i> Sreekishen Shaha Chowdhry	24 W. R., 440	1501
Bissessuri Dabee <i>v.</i> Baroda Kanta Roy Chowdhry	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 1076	4716
Bissessuri Debia <i>v.</i> Gobind Prasad Tewari	L. R., 3 I. A., 194 : 26 W. R., 32.	33, 4471
Bissessur Kurmoker <i>v.</i> Juggobundoo Kurmoker	14 W. R., 183	3301, 3317
Bissessur Lall Sahoo <i>v.</i> Luchmessur Singh	L. R., 6 I. A., 233 : 5 C. L. R., 477.	1428
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramtuhul Singh	11 B. L. R., 121 : 19 W. R., 351.	474, 1501
Bissessur Mullick <i>v.</i> Dhiraj Mahatab Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 967 : 10 W. R., F. B., 8.	1171
Bissessur Nath <i>v.</i> Mohessur Bux Singh Bahadoor	11 B. L. R., 265 : 18 W. R., 160 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 34.	1897, 2347
Bissessur Roy <i>v.</i> Kanchun Roy	11 W. R., 155	5492, 6150
Bissessur Singh <i>v.</i> Gunput Singh	8 C. L. R., 113	3493
_____ <i>v.</i> Kurmofool	2 Hay, 408	159
_____ <i>v.</i> Nim Chand Bose	12 W. R., 605	5033
Bisseswar Panday <i>v.</i> Bhagwan Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 30 : 12 W. R., 176.	4002
Bissnosondery Dabee <i>v.</i> Burrodacaunt Roy	2 Moore's I. A., 127	4346
Bissomoyee Dossee <i>v.</i> Mackintosh	2 Hay, 14	5487
Bissonath Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Madhubmonee Dabee	15 W. R., 611	4644
Bissonath Chunder <i>v.</i> Radhakristo Mundul	11 W. R., 554	3012
Bissonath Deb <i>v.</i> Hurro Pershad Chowdry	2 W. R., Act X, 88	1231
Bissonath Dinda <i>v.</i> Doyaram Jana	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 738 : 5 C. L. R., 565.	3550
Bissonath Ruckitt <i>v.</i> Gunnessh Chunder Dey	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203	562, 2691
Bissonath Singh <i>v.</i> Brojonath Doss	6 W. R., 230	6198
		4263
		4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bissonath Singh <i>v.</i> Rajchunder Roy	W. R., 1864, 141	4820
Bissonath Sircar <i>v.</i> Shurnomoyee	4 W. R., 6	6285
_____ <i>v.</i> Taraprosunno Mozoomdar	22 W. R., 482	5751, 5756 5762
Bissonath Surma <i>v.</i> Shoodhamooke	11 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 20 W. R., 1. }	332
Bissonath Surma Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Moran	W. R., 1864, 353	481
Bissonath Chunder <i>v.</i> Bamasoondery Dossee	12 Moore's I. A., 41	2551
Bissonauth Mojomdhur <i>v.</i> Khetur Chunder Sen	Marsh., 467	776
Bissonauth Roy <i>v.</i> Lall Bahadoor Singh	1 W. R., 247	4155
Bissonauth Tewarry <i>v.</i> Koylashbany Narain Singh	2 Hay, 297	1925
Bissoroo Dutt <i>v.</i> Binode Ram Sein	W. R., 1864, Act X, 93	4936
Bissorup Gossamy <i>v.</i> Gorachand Gossamy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 120	5063
Bissumbhur Poorkaet <i>v.</i> Bhuggobutty Churn Poorkaet	W. R., 1864, 292	2981
Bissumbhur Shaha. In the matter of the petition of _____	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 484: 6 } W. R., Cr., 7. }	5578
Bissumbhur Shi <i>v.</i> Bukto Bedarul Hossein	17 W. R., 406	3301
Bissumbhur Sircar <i>v.</i> Soorodhun Dossee	3 W. R., 21	2343, 3157
Bissunden Narain Shahee <i>v.</i> Gungerkissen Shahee	2 Hay, 351	4404
Bissuram Koiree <i>v.</i> Empress	3 C. L. R., 410	149
Bistobehari Sahoy <i>v.</i> Lala Baijnath Prasad	7 B. L. R., 213: 16 W. R., 49	2192
Bistoo Chunder Banerjee <i>v.</i> Nithoremonee Dabee	10 B. L. R., 352: 19 W. R., 98	1148
Bistoo Pershad Bural <i>v.</i> Radha Soonder Nath	16 W. R., 115	2296
_____ <i>v.</i> _____	16 W. R., 34	2438
Bistoo Pershad Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Ishan Chunder Roy	23 W. R., 57	1873
Bistooprea Patmohadea <i>v.</i> Basoodeb Dul Bewartee Patnaik	2 W. R., 232	2227
Bistoopria Putmadaye <i>v.</i> Nund Dhul	13 Moore's I. A., 602	4642
Bistupria Patmadayi <i>v.</i> Basudeb Dhall Bewarti Patnaik	6 B. L. R., 190: 15 W. R., P. C., 19. }	4642
Bisvanath Maiti <i>v.</i> Baidyanath Mandul	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 199	2773, 5774
Biswanath <i>v.</i> Braja Mohan Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1: 10 W. R., 61. }	4460
Biswanath Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Collector of Mymensing. . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 21 W. R., 69, note. }	1175
Biswanath Chandra <i>v.</i> Khantomani Dasi	6 B. L. R., 747	2209
Biswanath Chunder <i>v.</i> Khantomani Dasi	7 B. L. R., 131	3363, 6186
_____ <i>v.</i> _____	9 B. L. R., 76	700
Biswanath Mukhopadhyaya <i>v.</i> Gossain Dass Baramadak	3 B. L. R., Ap., 140	3916
Biswanath Rakhit <i>v.</i> Ramdhan Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 375, note	3770
Biswa Sonan Chunder Gossyamy <i>v.</i> Binauda Chunder Dibingar Adhikar Gossyamy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 416	788, 1941
Bithal Das <i>v.</i> Harphul	I. L. R., 6 All., 503	4089
Bithul Bhut <i>v.</i> Lalla Rajkishore	2 Agra, 284	2053, 3256
Bittan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 357	2071
Bitthul Nath <i>v.</i> Toolsee Ram	1 Agra, 125	3968
Biyacha <i>v.</i> Moidin Kutti	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 70	3744, 5568
Biyogi Bhagut. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 134	979, 1959
Bizjie Bibez <i>v.</i> Monohur Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 118	1459, 2740, 2741, 4411
Bjorek <i>v.</i> Madras Railway Company	2 Mad., 102, note	730
Blackwell. In re	9 Bom., 461	2657
Blackwell & Co. <i>v.</i> Jones & Co. . . .	7 Bom., O. C., 144	729
_____ <i>v.</i> Sumar Ahmed	6 Bom., O. C., 88	5712
Blaquiere <i>v.</i> Ramdhone Doss	Bourke, O. C., 319	1709, 2823 4258

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bocha Gope Chowdhry v. Brajagabind Das	9 B. L. R., Ap., 26 : 18 W. R., 259. }	5976
Bodh Mull v. Gouree Sunkur	6 W. R., 16	2080
Bodhnarain Singh v. Rungo Lall Mundar	7 W. R., 190	1624
Bodh Narayan Singh v. Umrao Singh	6 B. L. R., 509 : 15 W. R., P. C., 1 : 13 Moore's I. A., 519. }	1743, 3562
Bodhrao Hunmont v. Nursing Rao	6 Moore's I. A., 426	2454
Bodh Singh v. Lochun Singh	3 Agra, 220	81
Bodh Sing Doodhooia v. Gunesh Chunder Sen	12 B. L. R., 317 : 19 W. R., 356. }	146, 486 1763, 2363
Bodi Ramayya v. Perma Janakiramudu	5 Mad., 172	4055
Bodru Rohman. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 508	741, 2645
Bodry. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 309	2658
Bodun Burooah v. Abdul Gunny	19 W. R., 281	4919
Boevey. In re	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 685	3859
Boga Kolita v. Thoolessur Kayasta	24 W. R., 357	
Bohuroonissa Bibee v. Kureemoonissa Khatoon	19 W. R., 18	1226
Boiddonath Bania v. Bheedoo Doss	9 W. R., Cr., 3	6253
Boiddonath Shah v. Lalunissa Bibee	7 W. R., 164	3288
Boidonath Dey v. Ramkishore Dey	10 B. L. R., 326, note : 13 W. R., 166. }	2089
Boidonath Maji Koyburto v. Aupurna Dabee	10 C. L. R., 15	3037
Boidonath Mashanta v. Laidlay	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 433	1638
Boidonath Paroooye v. Russick Lall Mitter	9 W. R., 274	5766
Boikuntanath Sett v. Russick Loll Burmono	10 W. R., 231	329, 4823
Boikunto Paraki v. Surendro Nath Roy	1 W. R., 84	9
Boileau v. Miller	10 C. L. R., 591	2640
Boistub Churn Bhudro v. Tara Chand Banerjee	11 W. R., 357	5328
Boistub Churn Digputty v. Gobind Pershad Tewaree	13 W. R., 203	4757, 4758
Boistub Churn Doss v. Prem Chand Mitter	4 W. R., 98	517, 3350
Boistub Churn Sein v. Trahee Ram Sein	15 W. R., 32	4167, 5077
Boistub Doss Koondoo v. Huro Narain Halidar	17 W. R., 408	5785, 6100
Bolakee Lal v. Chowdhry Bungsee Singh	7 W. R., 309	4890, 5426
Bolakee Lall v. Mahomed Hossein Khan	14 W. R., 63	988, 5977
_____ v. Monjee Lall	17 W. R., 163	5134
_____ v. Thakoor Pertam Singh	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 928 : 6 C. L. R., 370. }	3914
Bolakee Sahoo v. Court of Wards	14 W. R., 34	1248, 2367
Bolakun. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 121	279
Boley Dobey v. Sideswar Rao Baboo Roy Kur	4 B. L. R., Ap. 92 : 14 W. R., 437, note. }	2720
Bollye Satee v. Akram Ally	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 961	4249, 5183
Boloram Gooy v. Kameenee Dossee	4 W. R., 108	1998
Bolye Chund Dutt v. Khetterpaul Bysack	11 B. L. R., 459	2507
_____ v. Panchanun Chobay	W. R., 1864, Act X, 84	1390
Bolye Chund Singh v. Moulard	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 572	2741, 5990
Bolye Meah v. Khetoo Gorai	20 W. R., 208	2740
Bomanjee Muncherjee v. Hossain Abdoolla	5 W. R., P. C., 61 : 1 Moore's I. A., 494. }	1752
Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Co. v. Tapidas Govindbhai.	6 Bom., A. C., 116	1380
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Dorabji Cursetji.	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 415	932
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Mahomed Ali Sheragee.	10 B. L. R., 345 : 19 W. R., 123. }	58, 1369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation <i>v.</i> Mahomed Ally.	I. L. R., 4 Cal., 116 . . .	1381
Bombay City Bank <i>v.</i> Moonjee Hurri Doss . . .	Bourke, O. C., 274 . . .	588
— <i>v.</i> Moonjee Hurrydoss . . .	Bourke, A. O. C., 99 . . .	6228
Bombay Coast and River Steam Navigation Co. <i>v.</i> Heleux.	4 Bom., O. C., 149 . . .	2804, 2833
Bombay Joint Stock Corporation. In the matter of	6 Bom., O. C., 22 . . .	5641
Bombay Tramway Company <i>v.</i> Khairaj Tejpal . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 119* . . .	3789
Bommarajee Bahadoor. In re . . .	5 Moore's I. A., 298 . . .	4641
Bommarauze Bahadur <i>v.</i> Rangasamy Mudaly . . .	6 Moore's I. A., 232 . . .	2703, 6241
Bona Koeree <i>v.</i> Boolee Singh . . .	8 W. R., 182 . . .	2390
Bonomalee Bazadar <i>v.</i> Kylash Chunder Mojoomdar . . .	24 W. R., 72 . . .	320, 5194
Bonomalee Churn Mytee <i>v.</i> Hafizuddeen . . .	13 B. L. R., 247, note: 12 } W. R., 317. }	4897, 6114
— <i>v.</i> Shoroop Hootait . . .	14 W. R., 60 . . .	4904, 6110
Bonomalee Ghose <i>v.</i> Delu Sirdar . . .	24 W. R., 118 . . .	6174
Bonomalee Nag <i>v.</i> Koylash Chunder Dey . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 692 . . .	3035
Bonomali Bajadur <i>v.</i> Koylash Chunder Mojoomdar . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 135 . . .	1695
Bonomali Mozumdar <i>v.</i> Woomesch Chunder Bundopadhyas	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 730: 9 C. L. } R., 341. }	3009
Bonomally Deo <i>v.</i> Ram Sodoy Chuckerbutty . . .	17 W. R., 95 . . .	5483
Bonomally Kesh <i>v.</i> Hungshessur Roy . . .	17 W. R., 492 . . .	4747
Bonomally Nawn <i>v.</i> Campbell . . .	10 B. L. R., 193: 19 W. R., } 20. }	80, 81
Booa Russoolee <i>v.</i> Nawab Nazim of Bengal . . .	11 W. R., 382 . . .	5712
Boodha Mirdha <i>v.</i> Khyrut Ali . . .	5 W. R., 269 . . .	482, 4471
Boodhee <i>v.</i> Allee Hyder . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271 . . .	4981
Boodhoo Jolaha <i>v.</i> Empress . . .	2 C. L. R., 215 . . .	4479
Boodhoo Rae <i>v.</i> Lal Beebee . . .	2 N. W., 126 . . .	5918
Boodhoo Roy <i>v.</i> Ramdyal Singh . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 55 . . .	5574
Boodhun <i>v.</i> Boodhun Singh . . .	21 W. R., 156 . . .	2331
— <i>v.</i> Jan Khan . . .	13 W. R., 265 . . .	973
Boodhun Singh <i>v.</i> Luteefun . . .	22 W. R., 535 . . .	6032
Boolakee Lall <i>v.</i> Radha Singh . . .	22 W. R., 223 . . .	3693
Bool Chand Jha <i>v.</i> Luthoo Moodee . . .	23 W. R., 387 . . .	699, 6032
Bool Chand Kalta <i>v.</i> Janokee . . .	24 W. R., 228 . . .	2722
Bool Chand Kolita <i>v.</i> Janokee . . .	25 W. R., 386 . . .	5343
Boolee Singh <i>v.</i> Busunt Kooree . . .	8 W. R., 155 . . .	2229
— <i>v.</i> Hurobuns Narain Singh . . .	7 W. R., 212 . . .	5096
Boolinisharee Bibee <i>v.</i> Bukaoollah . . .	17 W. R., 140 . . .	1414, 2120
Booliroonnissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Kureemoonnissa Khatoon . . .	21 W. R., 230 . . .	2141
Boologram <i>v.</i> Swornam . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 330 . . .	3113, 4174
Boondi Roy <i>v.</i> Bunsee Thakoor . . .	24 W. R., 64 . . .	3695
Booniadi Lall <i>v.</i> Dewkee Nundun Lall . . .	19 W. R., 223 . . .	901
Boonjad Mathoor <i>v.</i> Nathoo Shahoo . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 375: 1 C. L. } R., 455. }	2372
Bootee Singh <i>v.</i> Moortut Singh . . .	20 W. R., 478 . . .	3446
Boro Khasia <i>v.</i> Jata Sirdar . . .	8 B. L. R., 78: 15 W. R., 315 . . .	481, 2354
Borradaile <i>v.</i> Gregory . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 63 . . .	173, 377
— <i>v.</i> — . . .	Bourke, Ex., O. C., 1 . . .	5196
Borradaile <i>v.</i> Chainsook Buxyam . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 71: 1 } Hyde, 51. }	332
Bowles <i>v.</i> Bowles . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 571 . . .	5334
Bowman <i>v.</i> Shawe . . .	10 W. R., 240 . . .	6019

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Boya Munigadu v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 33	1332
Boydonath v. Ramjoy Dey	9 W. R., 292	1438, 1628
Boydonath Bag v. Grish Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 26	335, 1457
Boydonath Shaw v. Sumbhoo Ramnutee	25 W. R., 59	4295
Boydonath Surmah v. Ojan Bibee	11 W. R., 238	1899
Boykant Ram Sahoo v. Poorno Chunder Dass	W. R., 1864, Act X, 97	877, 4398
Boykunt Nath Bhooya v. Ram Nath Bhooya	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 9	5733
Boykunt Nath Chatterjee v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 191	3353
Bradley v. Atkinson	I. L. R., 7 All., 596 ; and on appeal, I. L. R., 7 All., 899	5346
— v. Jameson	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 580 : 11 C. L. R., 414	3051
Brae v. Kumul Shaha	4 W. R., Act X, 5	3623, 4096
— v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 338	5946
Brahannayaki v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 92	5289
Brahma Mayi Debi v. Barkat Sirdar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 94	3545, 4886
Brahmaputra Tea Company v. Scarth	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 545	4952, 5831
Brajabehari v. Kamal Roy	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1	1112
Braja Bhukan Lal Ahusti v. Bichan Dobi	9 B. L. R., 204, note : 14 W. R., 330	3175
Braja Kishor Mitter Mozumdar v. Radha Gobind Dutt {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 435 : 12 W. R., 339	2337
Braja Kishor Sen v. Kasim Ali	3 B. L. R., Ap., 78	2294
Braja Kishor Surma v. Kirti Chandra Surma	7 B. L. R., 19 : 15 W. R., 247	3810
Brajalal Roy v. Sayama Charan Bhutt	6 B. L. R., 523 : 15 W. R., 20	3717
Brajanath Daisakh v. Matilal Baisakh	3 B. L. R., O. C., 92	4266, 4935
Brajanath Dey Sirkar v. Anandamayi Dasi	8 B. L. R., 208	2195
Brajanath Dhar v. Bhabo Mohun Dhar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 137	2564, 4672
Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry v. Gobindmani Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C., 83	1240
v. Khilat Chandra Ghose	8 B. L. R., 104 : 14 Moore's I. A., 144 : 16 W. R., P. C., 33	1666, 1904
v. Lakhi Narayan Addi	7 B. L. R., 211	3389, 3997
v. Lowther	9 B. L. R., 121	4470
Braja Nath Kundu Chowdhry v. Stewart	8 B. L. R., Ap., 51 : 16 W. R., 216	6005
Brajanath Pal Chowdhry v. Hiralal Pal	1 B. L. R., A. C., 87 : 10 W. R., 120	1591
Brajanath Pyne. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60 : 12 W. R., 386, note	53
Brajaraj Kisori Dasi v. Mohammed Salem	1 B. L. R., A. C., 152	9
Braja Sundari Debi v. Lachmi Kunwari	2 B. L. R., A. C., 155 : 11 W. R., 13	4800
Brajeshware Peshakar v. Budhanuddi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 268 : 7 C. L. R., 6	5380, 5394
Brammamayi Bewa. In re	9 B. L. R., 109, note : 14 W. R., 252	3165, 3384
Brammamayi Dasi v. Abbai Charan Chowdhry	7 B. L. R., 489 : 16 W. R., 164	1750, 4142
Bramamayi Dasi v. Jages Chandra Dutt	8 B. L. R., 400	1591
Bramanund Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 233	2213, 3289
Brammoye Dassee v. Kristo Mohun Mookerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 222	3438
Branson v. Municipal Commissioners, Madras	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 362	2565
Brass v. Tiruvengada Pillai	1 Mad., 247	1955
Breen v. Balfour	Bourke, O. C., 120	4975
		3575
		1216, 6227
		632, 3309

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
"Brenhilda," The, <i>v.</i> British India S. N. Co.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 547	276
Brereton. In re the goods of	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381	6064
Brett <i>v.</i> Ellaiya	12 W. R., P. C., 33 : 13 } Moore's I. A., 104. }	2057, 5103 5108, 5633
— <i>v.</i> Schonerstedt	2 Hyde, 1	2672
Briant. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 49	3084
Brice <i>v.</i> Toogood	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 18 . .	5695
Bridge <i>v.</i> Edalji Mancharji	10 Bom., 54	5662
Brijbhokun <i>v.</i> Bhyrow Dutt	3 Agra, 240	5191
Brijbhokun Lall Awustee <i>v.</i> Mahadeo Doobey	15 B. L. R., 145, note : 17 } W. R., 422. }	1674, 2519
Brijessuree Dossia <i>v.</i> Kishore Doss	25 W. R., 316	1477, 1899 4342
Brij Indar Bahadur Singh <i>v.</i> Jankee Koer	L. R., 5 I. A., 1 : 1 C. L. R., } 318. }	5504
Brij Mohan Lal <i>v.</i> Abul Hasan Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 775	3709
Brijnath Dass <i>v.</i> Juggernath Dass	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 742	5610
Brijo Beharee Sing <i>v.</i> Wajed Hossein	14 W. R., 372	475
Brijo Coomar Mullick <i>v.</i> Ram Buksh Chatterjee	1 W. R., Mis., 1	3536
Brijokishore Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Ooma Soonduree Debia	23 W. R., 37	1183
Brijolall Upadhya <i>v.</i> Motee Soonderee	W. R., F. B., 33	202
Brijo Mohun Sutputty <i>v.</i> Radha Mohun Dey	20 W. R., 179	4006
Brijonath Chowdry <i>v.</i> Lall Meeah Munneeporee	14 W. R., 391	1683, 1747 3506
Brijonath Dass <i>v.</i> Juggernath Dass	4 C. L. R., 122	5610
Brijoo Ram Misser <i>v.</i> Bhugwan Doss	7 W. R., 180	4860
Brij Soondur Roy <i>v.</i> Kaimoonnissa	23 W. R., 63	296, 299
Brindabun Bharotee <i>v.</i> Dhununjoy Narain Bhunjo Deo	18 W. R., 452	293
Brindabun Chandra Kurmoker <i>v.</i> Chundra Kurmoker	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 140	2438, 5099
Brindabun Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 47	4801
Brindabun Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry. } — <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Chowdhry. }	8 W. R., 507	5312
Brindabun Chunder Dass. In the matter of the petition of	1 W. R., 139	1198
Brindabun Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Municipal Commissioners of Serampore. } — <i>v.</i> Tarachand Pandopadhya }	19 W. R., Cr., 29	5542
Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bhoopal Chunder Biswas. } Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brindabun Chunder Dey Chowdhry. }	19 W. R., 309	502, 505 2847
Brindabun Chunder Sirkar <i>v.</i> Dhununjoy Nushkur	11 B. L. R., 237 : 20 W. R., } 114. }	4957
Brindabun Dey <i>v.</i> Bisonsa Bibee	17 W. R., 377	3419, 3425 4473
Brindabun Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	13 B. L. R., 408 : 21 W. R., } 324 : L. R., 1 I. A., 178. }	5312
— <i>v.</i> Beharee Mohun Sen	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246 : 4 C. } L. R., 443. }	539, 4977 6102
— <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Sein	13 B. L. R., 200, note : 13 } W. R., 107. }	1647, 4910
Brindabun Ghose. In the goods of	21 W. R., Cr., 24	4098
Brindabun Shaba. In the matter of	24 W. R., 442	572
Brinda Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Radhica Chowdhrair	22 W. R., Cr., 47	2924
Brinda Dabee Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Pearee Lall Chowdhry	11 B. L. R., Ap., 39 : 9 W. } R., 230. }	1237
	10 W. R., Cr., 41	4725
	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 492	4667
	9 W. R., 460	1414, 3397

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brojokishore Gugendar Mohapattur v. Hureekishen Doss	10 W. R., 57	2201
Brojo Kishore Nag v. Ram Dyal Bhudra	21 W. R., 133	3249
Brojo Kishore Rakhit v. Bashi Mundul	21 W. R., 251	535
Brojokishore Roy v. Madhub Persad Misser	{ 12 B. L. R., 456, note: 17 } W. R., 373.	2721
Brojo Kishore Sein v. Kassim Ali	11 W. R., 562	3810
Brojo Kishore Singh v. Bharut Singh Mohaputtar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 963	2934
Brojo Kissoree Dossee v. Sreenath Bose	8 W. R., 241	2479
Brojolall Baj Pye v. Umritolall Baj Pye	Marsh., 163	171
Brojolall Mookerjee v. Aughor Lall Ghosal	25 W. R., 71	5773
Brojolall Puramanick v. Ram Tadun Gossain	10 W. R., 127	3505
Brojo Lall Roy v. Khettur Nath Mitter	12 W. R., 55	4985
Brojo Lall Sandyal v. Bhobo Soonduree Debia Chowdrain	17 W. R., 499	4140
Brojo Lal Singh v. Gour Charan Sen	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 111	3440
Brojo Misser v. Ahladi Misran	13 B. L. R., 376: 21 W. R., 320	579
Brojo Mohun Bhutto v. Radhika Prosunno Chunder	13 C. L. R., 139	3356
Brojo Mohun De Sircar v. Dengu	7 C. L. R., 141	540
Brojo Mohun Doss v. Hurro Loll Doss	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 700	6053
_____ v. _____	6 C. L. R., 58	5210, 5533
Erojomohun Ghose v. Luchmun Singh	W. R., 1864, 83	2386
Brojomohun Mojoomdar v. Roodro Nath Surmah Mo- jundar.	{ 15 W. R., 192 } W. R., 1864, 60	{ 1457, 1899 } 2092
Brojomohun Mytee v. Radhakoomaree	W. R., 1864, 60	4160
Brojo Mohun Mytee v. Swayne	1 Hay, 297	3792
Brojomohun Sutputty v. Shooda Monee Dabee	8 W. R., 79	4949
Brojo Mohun Thakoor. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Ameenooddeen	20 W. R., 424	5450
_____ v. Gouree Pershad Chowdhry	20 W. R., 424	5450
Brojonath Dey v. Shumboo Chunder Chatterjee	15 W. R., 70	2256
Brojonath Dutt v. Joykishen Mookerjee	18 W. R., 25	5680
Brojonath Ghose v. Koylash Chunder Banerjee	4 W. R., 69	2973
Brojonath Koondoo Chowdhry v. Gopeenath Shaha	9 W. R., 593	2021
_____ v. Jumeeroonissa Bibee	17 W. R., 183	1591
_____ v. Unant Ram Dutt	7 W. R., 218	5120
_____	17 W. R., 449	4
Brojo Nath Kundu v. Stewart	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 51: 16 W. R., 216. }	1591
Brojonath Mitter. In the matter of	13 W. R., 301	2878
Brojonath Mookhopadhy v. Protap Chunder Thakoor	22 W. R., 296	4897
Brojo Nath Pal v. Dasmony Dassee	2 C. L. R., 589	217
Brojo Nath Paul Chowdhry v. Himola Soonduree Dossia	15 W. R., 298	1351
_____ v. Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry	12 W. R., 468	2343
Brojonath Roy v. Gilmore	2 W. R., Act X, 48	2984
_____ v. Kishen Lall Roy	5 W. R., 282	1509
Brojonath Sen v. Soorjakant Sen	25 W. R., 276	4901
Brojonath Singh Roy v. Bhugobutty Dassee	1 W. R., 133	4027, 5311
Brojonath Surmah Chuckerbutty v. Anund Moyee Debia Chowdrain.	{ 7 W. R., 237 } 22 W. R., 13	{ 763, 5170 } 1656
Brojonath Tewaree v. Grant	22 W. R., 13	1656
Brojonauth Mullick v. Weskins	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 163	{ 2980, 2992 } 3048, 3053
Brojo Rajkishoree v. Bishonauth Dutt	W. R., 1864, 305	138, 4470
Brojo Soondery Debia v. Luchmee Koonwaree	{ 15 B. L. R., 176, note: 20 } W. R., 95.	{ 2241, 3165 }
Brojo Soondur Bhadooree v. Eshan Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 179	5740
Brojo Soonduree Debia v. Anund Moyee Debia	16 W. R., 302	2695
_____ v. Collins	13 W. R., 359	1084
Brojo Soonduree Dossia v. Gilmore	7 W. R., 336	4436

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brojo Soondur Gossamee v. Juggut Chunder Dey	21 W. R., 199 . . .	4527
Brojo Soondur Mitter v. Futick Chunder Roy .	17 W. R., 407 . . .	2741, 3360
— v. Ram Chunder Roy . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 40 . . .	536
Brojo Soondur Mitter Mozoomdar v. Kalee Kishore Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 496 . . .	1596
Brojo Sunder Gossami v. Koilash Chunder Kur .	11 C. L. R., 133 . . .	4178
Brojunggonas Dasse v. Debranee Dasse . . .	Marsh., 424 . . .	1609
Brojungona Dasse v. Shona Mookhee Dasse . .	15 W. R., 15 . . .	3522
Bromanund Gossain v. Government . . .	5 W. R., 136 . . .	3124, 4178
Bromma v. Lullitnarin Deo . . .	W. R., 1864, 120 . . .	1748
Brommo Moyee Debia Chowdhrair v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee.	17 W. R., 466 . . .	51, 1432 4905
Brommo Roop Gossain v. Anund Moyee Debia .	7 W. R., 316 . . .	3782
Brommoroo Goswamee v. Prannath Chowdhry .	7 W. R., 17 . . .	5674
Brommoyee v. Anand Lall Roy . . .	13 B. L. R., 225, note : 19 W. R., 419. . .	1413
Brooke v. Gibbon . . .	19 W. R., 244 . . .	3300, 3340
— v. — . . .	21 W. R., 47 . . .	4398
— v. Surdial . . .	12 B. L. R., Ap., 13 . . .	352
Brooks. Ex parte . . .	1 Mad., 8 . . .	206
— v. Pattammari Nanjappa Naiok . . .	4 Mad., 316 . . .	3472
Broughton v. Mercer . . .	14 B. L. R., 442 . . .	6205
— v. Perhlad Sen . . .	19 W. R., 152 . . .	1476
— v. — . . .	19 W. R., 154 . . .	3826
— v. Pogose . . .	12 B. L. R., 74 : 19 W. R., 181 . . .	6203
— v. Spink . . .	25 W. R., 243 . . .	3110
Brown. In the matter of . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 629 . . .	2641, 2676
— v. Cutts . . .	5 C. L. R., 487 . . .	5797
— v. Pilot Brig "Kedgerie" . . .	1 Hyde, 253 . . .	395
— v. Ram Komul Ghose . . .	W. R., 1864, 179 . . .	1579
Browne v. Woomesh Chunder Roy . . .	7 W. R., 213 . . .	504
Brownlow. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 219 . . .	4528
Brozo Kishoro Patta Devu v. Varadhi Virapratapa Shri Raghunatha Devu.)	7 Mad., 301 . . .	2121
Bruce v. Cronin . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 438 . . .	3811
Bruhni Purgash v. Dumree Lall . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 133 . . .	3448
Brukowsky v. Thacker, Spink, & Co. . . .	6 B. L. R., 107 . . .	3791
Bubboo Doobey v. Ishree . . .	3 Agra, 74 . . .	4548
Buboria Ahun Basee Koer v. Joobraj Singh . . .	23 W. R., 225 . . .	1891
Bucha v. Lulee . . .	2 Agra, 20 . . .	142
Bucha Gope v. Collector of Tirhoot . . .	7 W. R., 102 . . .	3238
Buchanan v. Ardall . . .	15 B. L. R., 276 . . .	1125
Bucha Singh v. Mashook Ali Beg . . .	15 W. R., 572 . . .	4271, 5172
Buchi Ramayya v. Jagapathi . . .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 304 . . .	1082, 2192 2514
Buchoo Chowbey v. Ghoorlait . . .	4 N. W., 56 . . .	5746
Buchraj Ram v. Ram Kishen Singh . . .	11 C. L. R., 345 . . .	90
Buckapatnam Thatha Charlu v. Kajamiya . . .	6 Mad., 265 . . .	3316, 4440
Buckland v. Ashoo Chowdhrair . . .	9 W. R., 326 . . .	2889
Buddooddeen v. Golam Peer . . .	17 W. R., 279 . . .	5761
Buddoo Baboo v. Lambodar Mulliek . . .	1 Hyde, 132 . . .	5587
Buddoo Mull v. Maharoop . . .	6 N. W., 129 . . .	5704
Buddree Doss v. Doorga Pershad . . .	2 N. W., 284 . . .	3239
— v. Hoare, Miller, & Co. . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 170 . . .	4285
— v. Ralli . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 678 : 3 C. L. R., 294. . .	1083
Buddreenath v. Isree Pershad . . .	Cor., 114 . . .	4321

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Buddreenath Jha <i>v.</i> Amrit Sahoo	10 W. R., 126	1427
Buddun Chunder Maduck <i>v.</i> Chunder Coomar Shaha	5 W. R., 218	1902
Buddun Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Bepin Beharee Roy	23 W. R., 110	42
Buddun Sahu <i>v.</i> Rampertab Sahu	25 W. R., 511	337
Buddun Thakoor <i>v.</i> Shunker Doss	W. R., 1864, 106	1361, 3275
Buddu Ramaiya <i>v.</i> Venkaiya	3 Mad., 263	825
Budh Singh Dudhuria <i>v.</i> Denendra Nath Sanyal	11 C. L. R., 323	4512
Budhua Orawan Mahtoon <i>v.</i> Juggessur Doyal Singh	24 W. R., 4	1754, 2589
Budhu Mahatoon. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 266	168
Budhun <i>v.</i> Fuzloor Ruhman	9 W. R., 362	2209
— <i>v.</i> Hafezah	4 C. L. R., 70	1926
Budhun Rujwar <i>v.</i> Empress	10 C. L. R., 392	5570
Budley <i>v.</i> Bukhtoo	3 N. W., 203	5649
Budlun <i>v.</i> Fuzloor Ruhman	23 W. R., 449	3827
Budoobhoosun Bose <i>v.</i> Enaeth Moonshee	8 W. R., 1	3206
Budree <i>v.</i> Lokemun	3 Agra, 89	3253, 5271
Budree Doss <i>v.</i> Hunwant Singh	4 N. W., 69	531
Budree Lall <i>v.</i> Bhoosee Khan	25 W. R., 134	1764
— <i>v.</i> Kantee Lall	23 W. R., 260	2165
Budree Nauth Bhutt <i>v.</i> Chunder Shekur Man Singh	1 W. R., Mis., 3	5470
Budri Narain <i>v.</i> Sheo Koer	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 716	5536
Budrool Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 51	6281
Budrunnissa Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Prosunno Kumar Bose	6 B. L. R., F. B., 255: 14 W. R., F. B., 25.	39, 64
Budun Mollah <i>v.</i> Khettur Nath Chatterjee	24 W. R., 441	2996
Budurrodeen <i>v.</i> Haniff Mullick	5 W. R., 180	4147
Budurroonissa Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Chunder Coomar Dutt	10 W. R., 455	1586
Buggee Ram <i>v.</i> Buldeo Singh	2 N. W., 414	4295
Bugghoonauth Newgy <i>v.</i> Sudhamoyee Dabea	Marsh., 106: 1 Hay, 183	881
Bugola Moyee <i>v.</i> Bhowani Churn Paul	5 W. R., 119	2266
Buhal Singh Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Beharilal	1 B. L. R., A. C., 206: 10 W. R., 318	330, 4951
Buhram Khan. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 47	5563
Buhuns Koonwur <i>v.</i> Lalla Buhoree Lall	10 B. L. R., 159: 18 W. R., 157: 14 Moore's I. A., 496.	486
Bujrungee Dutt Pattuck <i>v.</i> Moorad Ali	22 W. R., 7	5808
Bujrungee Lall <i>v.</i> Velact Hossein Khan	5 W. R., 186	4300
Bukhooree Singh <i>v.</i> Government	8 W. R., 207	5273
Bukhsoor <i>v.</i> Haruk Chand Sahoo	1 W. R., 114	5784
Bukhta <i>v.</i> Gunga	3 Agra, 161	2885
Bukhtouree <i>v.</i> Meheen Lall	3 Agra, 96	4915
Bukronath Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Nilmonnee Singh Deo	18 W. R., 7	3479, 3509
Bukronath Singh <i>v.</i> Nilmoni Singh	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 389: 4 C. L. R., 583.	2040
Buksha Ali <i>v.</i> Tofee Ali	20 W. R., 216	3705
Buksh Ali <i>v.</i> Ameerun Bebee	2 W. R., 208	3645
— <i>v.</i> Nittyannund Doss	5 W. R., 227	5060
— <i>v.</i> Ramtonoo Gun	6 W. R., Act X, 64	1456
Buksh Ali Roohaan <i>v.</i> Nubotara	13 W. R., 468	4788
Buksh Ali Sowdagur <i>v.</i> Essan Chunder Mitter	W. R., F. B., 119	2515, 5403
— <i>v.</i> Joyanut Khan	11 W. R., 248	339, 6239
Bukshan <i>v.</i> Maldai Koeri	3 B. L. R., A. C., 423	3688
Bukshee <i>v.</i> Bungsheedhur	6 W. R., Mis., 46	227
Bukshee Kunnee Lall <i>v.</i> Thakoornath Sai	25 W. R., 80	5832
Bukshi Ram Pergash Lal <i>v.</i> Sheo Pergash Tewari	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 453	3247
Bukshoolah Chowdry <i>v.</i> Hur Chunder Chund	16 W. R., 248	2762
Bukshun <i>v.</i> Doolhin	12 W. R., 337	3688

Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bukshush Hossein v. Fuzeeloonissa	W. R., 1864, 189	515
Bulakee Lall v. Indurputtee Kowar	3 W. R., 41	2451, 4596
Bulakidas v. Keshav Lal	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 85	2307
Bulaki Singh v. Jaikishen Das	7 N. W., 208	3995, 4897
Bulbuddur Tewaree v. Ram Tewaree	1 Agra, 15	2476
Buldeb Surmah v. Taranath Surmah	22 W. R., 416	5889
Buldeen v. Golab Koonwer	Agra, F. B., 802: Ed. 1874, 77	3387
Buldee Singh v. Kanaba	1 N. W., 71: Ed. 1873, 125	442
Buldeo v. Guj Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 240	3479
Buldeo v. Luchmun	5 N. W., 106	5732
Buldeo Dass v. Moonna Lall	1 N. W., 23, Ed. 1873, 21	1396
— v. Nathoo Mull	2 Agra, 132	656, 1368
Buldeo Doss v. Buldeo Doss	3 N. W., 199	882
— v. Howe	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 64: 6 C. L. R., 582. }	1118
— v. Mool Koorer	2 N. W., 19	2824
Buldeo Doss Johurry v. Sreenauth Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 114	3288
Buldeo Misser v. Ahmed Hossein	15 W. R., 143	4443, 5785
Buldeo Narayan v. Scrymgeour	6 B. L. R., 581	1219, 6047
Buldeo Pershad v. Golab Khan	6 N. W., 101	5780
— v. Mohun	1 Agra, Rev., 30	3728, 4267
Buldeo Ram Tewaree v. Somessur Pauray	7 W. R., 491	2236
Buldeo Sehai v. Chadee Lall	2 N. W., 95	1465
Buldeo Singh v. Dhukrun Singh	Marsh., 632	6124
— v. Dwarka Dass	1 Agra, 169	1896, 5388
— v. Mahabeer Singh	1 Agra, 155	2470, 2529
— v. Ram Surn Lall	25 W. R., 234	5741
Bulkiram Nathuram v. Gujarat Mercantile Association	4 Bom., A. C., 81	6091
Bullee Abeer v. Nishan Singh	3 C. L. R., 209	4171
Bullee Singh v. Kanai Chowdhry	17 W. R., Cr., 2	977
Bullen v. Lalit Jha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 119	2982
Bullock Brothers & Co. v. Toay Aung	24 W. R., 74	593
Bulloo v. De Breton	2 N. W., 335	402
Bullov Bhuttacharjee v. Baburam Chattopndhya	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 169	5694
Bullabee Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Doorjo Dhun Shikdar	7 W. R., 89	6003
Bul Mokund Lal v. Tirjoodhun Roy	11 C. L. R., 466	5364
Bulobhuddur Mahantee v. Mudhoosoodun Pandey	23 W. R., 433	3456
Bulobhuddur Singh v. Solano	5 W. R., 107	1378
Buloram Baboo v. Issur Chunder Baboo	23 W. R., 77	2769
Buloram Doss v. Jogendro Nath Mullick	19 W. R., 349	1490
Buloram Paul v. Suroop Chunder Goolio	21 W. R., 256	3074
Bulwant Singh v. Gokaram Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 433	3922
Bulwunt Rai. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 124	255, 5921
Bulwunt Singh v. Chittan Singh	3 N. W., 27	4868
Bunarsee Doss v. Gholam Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 29: 13 } Moore's I. A., 358. }	4324
Bunda Ali Khan v. Ameerun	25 W. R., 493	485
Bunday Ali Khan v. Chotee Bibee	1 Agra, 273	3656
Bundey Hossein v. Lalla Puriag Dutt	16 W. R., 110	3713
Bundhoo. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 67	1306
Bundhoo Bhugut v. Mahomed Hossein	2 W. R., 70	684
Bundhoo Sookoolany v. Joy Prokash Singh	W. R., 1864, 367	5285, 5765
Buneead Singh v. Sudaseeb Dutt	2 W. R., Mis., 50	3842
Bungo Chunder Banerjee v. Chundernath Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 47	4905
Bungo Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Nuzmoodeen Ahmed	11 W. R., 156	1035
— v. Ram Kanaye Bhawal	10 W. R., 256	1614
Bungseedhur Ghose v. Tarinee Churn Singh	3 W. R., 195	3418

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bungseedhur Khettry. In the matter of . . .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 359 . . .	2638
Bungseedhur Mullick v. Calcutta Auction Company . . .	1 Hyde, 45 . . .	5796
Bungseeram Shaha v. Juggurnath Shaha . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 11 . . .	1488
Bungsee Singh v. Soodist Lall . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 739: 10 C. 2830, 4044 L. R., 263. 4248, 4884	
Bungshee Budden Dey v. Tarinee Churn Dey . . .	14 W. R., 375 . . .	4051
Bungshee Dhur Doss v. Bhugwan Doss . . .	24 W. R., 117 . . .	1568
_____ v. Mahomed Khubeel . . .	1 Hay, 369 . . .	5875
Bungsheedhur Mahata v. Mudhoo Soodun Chowdhry . . .	23 W. R., 406 . . .	5765
Bungsheedhur Mundul v. Puddo Lochun Roy . . .	W. R., F. B., 11: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5: Marsh., 38: 1 Hay, 90. 5731, 5169	
Bungshee Dhur Thakoor v. Khettermonee Debia . . .	11 W. R., 15 . . .	5287
Bungsho Dhur Biswas v. Mudhoo Mohuldar . . .	21 W. R., 383 . . .	511
Bungsrāj Bhokta v. Megh Lall Pooree Gossain . . .	20 W. R., 398 . . .	4473
Bunkabeharee Sein. In the matter of the petition of . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 23 . . .	5162
Bunkoo Lall Singh v. Basoomunissa Bibee . . .	7 W. R., 166 . . .	5120, 5135
Bunkut Nurseya v. Gowree Singh . . .	2 N. W., 369 . . .	1589
Bunnijan Bibi v. Mohammad Hossain . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 605: 9 C. L. R., 90. 5674	
Bunnomali Nundi v. Hurrydass Byragi . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 710: 11 C. L. R., 265. 3773	
Bunnoo v. Amerooddeen . . .	23 W. R., 24 . . .	3374
Bunnoo Bebee v. Sheo Buus Kando . . .	3 Agra, Rev., 9 . . .	3037
Bunsee v. Ramsookh . . .	3 Agra, 384 . . .	1601, 3278
Bunseedhur v. Heera Lall . . .	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 74: Ed. 1873, 166. 6133	
_____ v. Kuddey Lall . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 198 . . .	1488, 5692
Bunsee Dhur Ghose v. Bheem Lall Sahoo . . .	24 W. R., 219 . . .	2985
Bunseedhur Poddar v. Ramjee Morarjee . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 243 . . .	1031
Bunsee Lall v. Aoladh Ahsan . . .	22 W. R., 552 . . .	321, 2390 5789
Bunsee Sahoo v. Kaleepershad . . .	13 W. R., 414 . . .	5288
Bunsee Singh v. Nuzuf Ali Beg . . .	22 W. R., 328 . . .	3516, 3829
Bunseemohun Doss v. Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 28 . . .	410
Bunwaree Chand Thakoor v. Mudden Mohun Chutturaj . . .	21 W. R., 41 . . .	1488, 2244
Bunwaree Kishore v. Joychunder Gossain . . .	2 W. R., 262 . . .	5361
Bunwareelal v. Mahomed Hossein Khan . . .	2 Hay, 150 . . .	3903
_____ v. Sungum Lal . . .	7 W. R., 280 . . .	4792
Bunwaree Lall v. Hetnarain Singh . . .	4 W. R., P. C. 128: 7 Moore's I. A., 148. 1723, 4658	
_____ v. Kisto Behary Roy . . .	1 W. R., 63 . . .	769
Bunwaree Lall Misser v. Radha Pershad Sing . . .	1 C. L. R., 136 . . .	4495
Bunwaree Lall Sahoo v. Moheshur Singh . . .	Marsh., 544: 2 Hay, 644 . . .	2710, 4586
_____ v. Sudhist Lall . . .	25 W. R., 269 . . .	1147
Bunwaree Mohun Saha v. Prannath Saha . . .	2 W. R., 159 . . .	3331
Bunwaree Singh v. Ramanoogra Singh . . .	10 W. R., 14 . . .	3435
Bunwari Lall v. Chowdhry Drup Nath Singh . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 179 . . .	184
_____ v. Forlong . . .	9 W. R., 239 . . .	1766
Bunwari Lall Sahu v. Mohabir Pershad Singh . . .	12 B. L. R., 297: L. R., 1 I. A., 89. 97	
Bunwari Lal Roy v. Mahima Chandra Knuall . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 13 W. R., 267. 3018, 3394	
Bunwarry Lall v. Furlong . . .	8 W. R., 38 . . .	4744
Bunwary Poddar. In the matter of the petition of . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 55 . . .	4854
Bura Boodho v. Koylash Chunder Nundee . . .	6 W. R., 100 . . .	5133
Buraik Chuttur Singh v. Greedharee Singh . . .	9 W. R., 337 . . .	2151

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Burdakanth Roy v. Aluk Munjooree Dasiah .	4 Moore's I. A., 321 .	1352, 1382
Burhunnuddi Howladar v. Mohun Chunder Guha	8 C. L. R., 508 .	5982
	8 C. L. R., 511 .	2995
Burjore v. Bhagana	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 557 : L. R., 11 I. A., 7.	1600, 2995
Burjorji Cursetji Panthaki v. Muncherji Kuverji .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 143 .	278, 2225
Burkinyoung v. Bhoobun Mohun Bonerjee	Cor., 90 .	139, 4793
Burkut Hossein v. Majidoonnissa	3 C. L. R., 208 .	4262
Burmah Chowdhry v. Sreenund Singh	12 W. R., 29 .	742, 2031
Burmah Company v. Snadden	7 W. R., 120 .	1644
Burmamoye Dassee v. Dinobundhoo Ghose .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 564 : 7 C. L. R., 583.	1053
Burn Suroop Dass v. Khashee Jha	20 W. R., 471 .	3431
Burn v. Achumbit Lall	20 W. R., 14 .	2252
Burn & Co. v. Busho Moyee Dassee	14 W. R., 85 .	1747
Burnee Khanum v. Modhoosoodun Doss	3 W. R., Act X, 127 .	3032
Burney v. Eyre	1 Hyde, 68 .	1719
Burno Moyee Dossee v. Benode Mohinee Chowdhrair	20 W. R., 387 .	909
Burodacant Roy v. Commissioner of Soondurbuns	12 Moore's I. A., 225 .	3967
Buroda Churn Bose v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan .	23 W. R., 286 .	5916
Buroda Debia v. Pran Kishen Singh	2 W. R., 80 .	157
Buroda Kant Banerjee v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee	17 W. R., 466 .	296, 1783
Burodakanth Laha v. Gobind Chunder Goocho .	7 W. R., 50 .	1432, 4905
Buroda Kant Roy v. Chunder Coomar Roy .	23 W. R., 281 .	5352
_____ v. Commissioner of the Soondurbuns	13 W. R., 180 .	549
_____ v. Radha Churn Roy	13 W. R., 163 .	5106
_____ v. Ram Kishore Dutt	6 W. R., 99 .	1620, 2978
_____ v. Roy Churn Burnoshil	21 W. R., 381 .	3516
_____ v. Sib Sunkuree Dossee	4 W. R., Act X, 35 .	1637
Burra Fukeer Doss Bera v. Fukeer Doss Bera	20 W. R., 180 .	1622
Burra Lall v. Court of Wards	16 W. R., 289 .	5120
Burramoollah v. Nilchand Dutt	17 W. R., 484 .	283
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161 : 5 W. R., P. C., 21 : 10 Moore's I. A., 413.	5116
Burray Kaka v. Ramnath <i>alias</i> Chotay Kaka .		4631
Burroda Kant Roy v. Ram Tunnoo Bose	7 W. R., P. C., 51 .	1352, 1382
Burroda Soonduree Dossee v. Nobogopal Mullick	W. R., 1864, 294 .	5982
_____ v. Raj Bullab Sen	18 W. R., 202 .	248
Burtoo Singh v. Ram Purmessur Singh	24 W. R., 364 .	4876
Buryar Singh v. Hunsee		1922
Busharutoolla v. Collector of Tipperah	2 Agra, 166 .	2290, 2291
Busheerooddeen v. Dal Chund	8 W. R., 375 .	2303
Buskin. In the matter of the petition of	3 Agra, 236 .	3563
_____ v. Ramkissen Seal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192 .	541, 4476
Busrut Ally Chowdhry v. Eshan Chunder Roy .	23 W. R., 146 .	5178
Bussant Coomaree Dossee v. Collector of Jessore .	7 W. R., 267 .	4704
Busseerooddeen v. Shibpershad Chowdhry	13 W. R., 243 .	1112
Busseeroonissa Chowdhrair v. Leelanund Singh .	W. R., 1864, 170 .	3561
Bussun Lall Shookul v. Chundee Dass	14 W. R., 135 .	91
	I. J. R., 4 Calc., 686 : 4 C. L. R., 1.	3374
Bussunteram Marwary v. Kamaluddin Ahmed .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 421 .	4174
Bustee Narain Roy v. Sham Soonder Nundee .	2 W. R., Act X, 43 .	4965
Bustee Ram v. Newaz Singh	2 Agra, 62 .	3644
		778
		4988

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bustee Sahoo v. Jeonarain Singh . . .	24 W. R., 338 . . .	1757
Busunt Koomaree v. Kali Persad Singh	Marsh., 11 : 1 Hay, 32	3705
Busunto Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	15 B. L. R., 351, note	88
Busunt Rai v. Gridharee Singh . . .	3 Agra, 93 . . .	378
Butabee Begum v. Khooshal . . .	2 N. W., 24 . . .	5175
Butas Koonwar v. Jankee Pershad . . .	3 Agra, 292 . . .	554
Butchanner v. Rayudu . . .	5 Mad., 285 . . .	6174
Buti Begam v. Nihal Chand . . .	I. L. R. 5 All., 459	3462
Buti Singh v. Murat Singh . . .	13 B. L. R., 284, note	5196
Butler v. Lloyd . . .	12 B. L. R., Ap., 12	2651
Butoolun v. Koolsoom . . .	25 W. R., 444 . . .	3636
— v. Lloyd . . .	25 W. R., 444 . . .	3636
— v. Ozeerun . . .	8 W. R., 300 . . .	4822
Butto Behary Banerjee. In the matter of . . .	11 B. L. R., 20 . . .	4797
Buttokristo Doss v. Khettra Chandra Bhuttacharjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 69	4807
Buzlool Hossein v. Gunput Chowdhry . . .	25 W. R., 170 . . .	1136
Buzlool Rahman v. Prandhun Dutt . . .	8 W. R., 222 . . .	5354
		470, 852
Buzloor Ruheem v. Shumsoonnissa Begum	{ 8 W. R., P. C., 3 : 11 Moore's	2834, 4161
	I. A., 551.	4866, 5096
		5099, 5100
		5873
		1759, 3166
Buzl Ruheem v. Lutafut Hossein	W. R., 1864, 171	6059
Buzl-ul-Ruheem v. Luteefutoonnissa . . .	1 W. R., P. C., 57 : 8 Moore's	} 3645
	I. A., 379 : 1 Ind. Jur.,	
	O. S., 1.	
Buzmoonissa v. Rowshan Jahan . . .	10 W. R., 351 . . .	628
Buzrung Sahoy Singh v. Mantora Chowdhrair	22 W. R., 119 . . .	2085
Buzur Bibee v. Jackson . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 14	1883
Buzzul Ruhim v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum	W. R., F. B., 60	4161
Byddonath Ojha v. Gopal Mal . . .	6 W. R., 170 . . .	3368
Bydnath Shaha v. Jadub Chunder Shaha . . .	3 W. R., 208 . . .	6102
Bydonauth Sorbhon v. Kenooram Holdar . . .	1 W. R., 211 . . .	4198
Byji Nath Sahoo v. Ramdour Roy . . .	7 C. L. R., 369 . . .	4171
Byjnath v. Chutter Singh . . .	3 Agra, 181 . . .	1585
— v. Graham . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 740	273
Byjnath Dutt Jha v. Putshee Dobain . . .	20 W. R., 36 . . .	5833
Byjnath Koonwar v. Sahab Koonwar . . .	12 W. R., 532 . . .	1624
Byjnath Lall v. Ramoodeen Chowdhry . . .	L. R., 1 I. A., 106 : 21 W. R.,	} 3908, 4313
	233.	
		3334, 3816
Byjnath Pershad v. Badhoo Singh . . .	10 W. R., 486 . . .	5002
— v. Kopilmon Singh . . .	24 W. R., 95 . . .	3704, 3710
— v. Mahomed Fuzl Hossein	2 Agra, Part II, 204 . . .	6284
Byjnath Pundit v. Kunhya Lall Pundit	9 W. R., 527 . . .	429
Byjnath Sahoo v. Bacharam . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 76 : 5 W.	} 2573
	R., 86.	
— v. Doolar Chand Sahoo . . .	24 W. R., 245 . . .	847, 1931
— v. Rughoonath Pershad . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 16 . . .	4485
Byjnath Sahoy v. Wuzeer Narain . . .	24 W. R., 427 . . .	5144
Byj Nath Singh v. Dooly Mahton . . .	11 W. R., 215 . . .	3713
— v. Goburdhun Lall Mohasohree	24 W. R., 210 . . .	3917
— v. Indurjeet Koer . . .	8 W. R., 331 . . .	1759, 3556
— v. Solano . . .	14 W. R., 114 . . .	2002
Byjnath Suhaye v. Brohmo Deo Narain . . .	9 W. R., 255 . . .	3197
Byjonath Sahoy v. Doolhun Biswanath Koor	24 W. R., 83 . . .	3952

Caemmerer v. Birch	1 Mad., 8	206
Calanoor Soobramanayan. In re the articles of clerkship of.	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15	455
Calapatapu Kristnayya v. Lakshmi Venkamma Row	5 Mad., 93	3181
Calcutta and South-Eastern Railway Co. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., 217	5532
Calcutta Docking Company v. Passmore	Bourke, O. C., 125: Cor., 151	428
Calcutta Jute Mills Co. In the matter of	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. v. Lawless	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 627	3196, 4598
Calcutta Steam Tug Association. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
Calcutta Steam Tug Co. v. Hossein Ibrahim bin Johur	Bourke, O. C., 273	2766
Calder v. Halket	2 Moore's I. A., 293	2782
Callachand Dass. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327	5896
Cally Chunder Chowdhry v. Monikurnika Chowdhraïn	W. R., 1864, 149	33, 4471
Cally Churn Mullick v. Bhuggobutty Churn Mullick	10 B. L. R., 231: 19 W. R. } 110.	3746
_____ v. Janova Dassee	5 C. L. R., 108	3453
Cally Doss Sircar v. Janokeenauth Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 284	2469
Cally Nath Bundopadhya v. Koonjo Behary Shaha	1 W. R., Mis., 7	224
Cama v. Morgan	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 651	3921
Caminee Dossee v. Gourmoney Dossee	1 Bom., 205	397
Campbell. In re	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 359	5411
_____ v. Abdool Huq	2 Hyde, 177	2672
_____ v. Delaney	6 W. R., Act X, 8	572
_____ v. East Indian Railway Company	Marsh., 509	5406
_____ v. Jackson	Bourke, A. O. C., 56	3793
_____ v. Keith	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 41	4401
_____ v. Kishen Dhun Audhicaree	1 Hyde, 287	4676
	Marsh., 67: 1 Hay, 233	3016

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Cannammal Aiyar v. Vijaya Ragunada Ranga Samy Singapulliar.	8 Mad., 114 . . .	2735, 5501
Cannan v. Kylash Chunder Roy Chowdhry . . .	25 W. R., 117 . . .	5178
Cannon v. Bisso Nath Adhicaree	5 C. L. R., 154 . . .	39, 4252
Carapiet v. Panna Lal Seal	14 W. R., 152 . . .	420
Carey v. Banu Miya	10 Bom., 34 . . .	2948
— v. Kalu Miya	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 88: Bourke, } O. C., 399.	654
— v. Robinson	2 Hyde, 242 . . .	1051
Carlisle v. Nuthmull Nowluckee	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 679: 13 C. }	1051
Carlises Nephews & Co. v. Hurmook Roy . . .	L. R., 120.	1059, 1112
Carlises Nephews & Co. v. Ricknauth Bucktearmull	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 809 . . .	1686
Carmichael v. Brojonath Mullick	1 Hyde, 274 . . .	5235
Carrau. In re	1 Hyde, 143 . . .	1339
Carrison v. Rodrigues	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 115 . . .	1000
Carruthers v. Menzies	Cor., 40 . . .	3315
Carter v. Agra Savings Bank	I. L. R., 5 All., 562 . . .	4681
— v. Misree Lal	2 N. W., 179 . . .	4246
Carvalho v. Nurbibi	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 202 . . .	1203, 2715
Carwar Company. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 640 . . .	945
Casement v. Fulton	3 Moore's I. A., 395 . . .	6197
Caspersz. In the matter of the petition of . . .	9 B. L. R., 337 . . .	1534
— v. Raneegunge Coal Company	18 W. R., Cr., 39 . . .	1534
Cassibai v. Ransordas Hansraj	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 5 . . .	1949
Cassim Azim Doopla v. Cassim Mahomed Baroocha .	10 W. R., 349 . . .	5584
Cassum Jooma v. Thucker Liladhur Kissowji . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570 . . .	5713
Cavalry Vencata Narainapah v. Collector of Masuli- patam.	10 W. R., P. C., 47: 11 Moore's } I. A., 619.	4157
Cazee Ali v. Musseentoollah	2 W. R., 285 . . .	3719
Chabildas Lallubhai v. Municipal Commissioners of Bombay.	8 Bom., O. C., 85 . . .	2619
Chadami Lal v. Muhammad Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 563 . . .	4415
Chadee Singh v. Beharee Tewaree	10 W. R., 91 . . .	327
Chahez v. Nund Kishore	4 N. W., 15 . . .	1171
Chairman of the Howrah Municipality v. Montanee Bewah.	24 W. R., Cr., 70 . . .	503
Chairman of the Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Aneesood-deen Meah.	12 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 20 W. } R., Cr., 64.	1152
Chairman of the Naihati Municipality v. Kishori Lal Goswami.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 171 . . .	507
Chairman of the Suburban Municipal Commissioners v. Umbica Churn Mookerjee.	15 W. R., Cr., 84 . . .	504
Chaita v. Purum Sookh	2 Agra, 256 . . .	3961
Chaitanya Chundra Huris Chandana Jagadevu v. Col- lector of Ganjam.	22 W. R., 187: L. R., 1 I. A., } 335.	3404
Chakowri Lal v. Moti Kurmi	13 C. L. R., 275 . . .	1024, 5148
Chaku v. Ishvar Bhudar	8 Bom., Cr., 124 . . .	3740
Chaku Modan Isana v. Dullabh Dwarka	9 Bom., 7 . . .	2699, 2703
Chalakonda Alasani v. Chalakonda Ratna Chalam .	2 Mad., 56 . . .	2371
Chalayil Kandotha Nallakandiyil Parvadi v. Chalayil Kandotha Chathu Nambiar.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 169 . . .	3762
Challa Papi Reddi v. Challa Koti Reddi <i>alias</i> Kotappa .	7 Mad., 25 . . .	2152
Chalmers. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 137: 21 W. } R., 246, note.	1236
Chamaili Kuar v. Ramprasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 267 . . .	2157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chamaili Rani <i>v.</i> Ram Dai	I. L. R., 1 All., 552	1232
Chamarnee Bibee <i>v.</i> Ayenoolah Sirdar	9 W. R., 451	1605, 1743
Chamaro Malo <i>v.</i> Kashi Chunder Lalla	8 W. R., Cr., 85	4725
Chamia. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 354	587
Champabaty <i>v.</i> Jibun	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 213	306
Champat <i>v.</i> Shiba	I. L. R., 8 All., 393	2495
Champat Rai <i>v.</i> Pitambar Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 16	817
Champu Dai <i>v.</i> Uma Dai	11 C. L. R., 451	4477
Chamroo Pasban <i>v.</i> Puhlwan Roy	16 W. R., 3	3725
Chanbasappa Bin Sangappa <i>v.</i> Mainba bin Mahadshet	7 Bom., A. C., 138	318, 5584
Chanda Miah Sahib <i>v.</i> Lakshmana Aiyangar	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 45	3591
Chandan Kuar <i>v.</i> Tirkha Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 809	5978
Chandhari Sital Pershad Singh <i>v.</i> Jhumah Singh	4 C. L. R., 27	203
Chand Hurree Maitee <i>v.</i> Norendro Narain Roy	19 W. R., 231	2344
Chandi Charan Chatterji <i>v.</i> Chandra Kumar Ghose	{ 5 B. L. R., Ap. 70: 14 W. R., Cr., 23.	150, 1221
Chandi Churn Shashmal <i>v.</i> Durga Churn Mirdua	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 260: 12 C. L. R., 81.	4652
Chandi Dasi <i>v.</i> Janakiram	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12	3186
Chandika Singh <i>v.</i> Phokar Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 906	3999
Chandi Prasad Nandi <i>v.</i> Raghunath Dhar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 52	3182
Chand Khan <i>v.</i> Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 578	257
——— <i>v.</i> Naimat Khan	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 296: 12 W. R., 162.	3716
Chandmal <i>v.</i> Bachraj	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 474	3052
Chand Mean <i>v.</i> Khondkar Ashrutollah	6 W. R., 89	4165
Chand Monee Dassee <i>v.</i> Obhoy Churn Mal	24 W. R., 289	5753
Chandmoni Dasi <i>v.</i> Loke Nath Chatterjee	6 C. L. R., 494	6, 531
Chandrabhabagabhai <i>v.</i> Kashinath Vithal	2 Bom., 341: 2nd Ed., 323	2424, 4902
Chandrakanta De. In the matter of the petition of	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 445: 7 C. L. R., 350.	2621, 4359
Chandra Kant Bhattacharjee <i>v.</i> Jadupati Chatterjee	{ 1 B. L. R., A. C., 177: 10 W. R., 224.	1875, 2891
Chandra Bhusan Gangopadhyia <i>v.</i> Ramkanth Banerji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 108	3243
Chandrakant Bhattacharjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 495	5558
——— <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 495	5558
Chandrakant Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Kartikcharan Chaile	5 B. L. R., 103: 14 W. R., O. C., 38.	5825
Chandra Kanto Biswas. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 558	1889
Chandrakant Roy <i>v.</i> Pogose	3 B. L. R., O. C., 83	4071
Chandra Kumar Banerjee <i>v.</i> Iswar Chandra Newgi	6 B. L. R., 562: 14 W. R., 455	3978
Chand Ram <i>v.</i> Brojo Gobind Doss	19 W. R., 14	157, 916
Chandra Madhab Roy <i>v.</i> Khemamani Dasi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 19	1725
Chandramala Patti Mahadevi <i>v.</i> Muktamala Patti Mahadevi.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 20	2113
Chandra Narayan Ghose <i>v.</i> Kasinath Roy Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 43	5079
Chandra Nath Biswas <i>v.</i> Biswanath Biswas	6 B. L. R., 492, note	4716
Chandranath Roy <i>v.</i> Ramjai Mazumdar	{ 6 B. L. R., 303: 15 W. R., P. C., 7.	479, 2296
Chandra Nath Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65: 8 C. L. R., 353.	1024
Chandra Sekhar Rai. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 77	4718
Chandra Sen <i>v.</i> Gangaram	I. L. R., 2 All., 899	2182
Chandu <i>v.</i> Chathu Nambiar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 381	1436
——— <i>v.</i> Kombi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 208	1569, 4053
Chandvasekharudu <i>v.</i> Bramhanna	4 Mad., 270	4427
		2116

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chango valad Dudha Mahajan <i>v.</i> Kaluram Narayandas .	4 Bom., A. C., 120 . . .	840
Channu Lal Sabu <i>v.</i> Manu Lal	5 B. L. R., 220 : 13 W. R., 343	5005
Chanki Gounden <i>v.</i> Venkataramanier	5 Mad., 208	3586
Charavur Teramath <i>v.</i> Urath Lakshmi	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 270	3754
Chardon <i>v.</i> Ajeet Singh	12 W. R., 52	300, 3834
Charoo <i>v.</i> Zobeida Khatoon	25 W. R., 54	1750
Charoo Chunder Mullick. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 430	4727, 4729
_____ In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 397	1288, 6025
_____ <i>v.</i> Empress	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 397	1288, 6025
Charoo Khan <i>v.</i> Doorgamonee	9 W. R., 498	5667
Charriol <i>v.</i> Courtois	Cor., 123	428
_____ <i>v.</i> Shircore	8 B. L. R., 305	1071
Chartered Mercantile Bank <i>v.</i> Second6	3 B. L. R., O. C., 146	4072
Charu Surnokar <i>v.</i> Dokouri Chunder Thakoor	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 956 : 10 C. L. R., 577	4570
Chathu <i>v.</i> Aku	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 26	3234
Chathunni <i>v.</i> Sankaram	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 238	3755
Chatoor Singh <i>v.</i> Heera Kooer	5 W. R., 191	2979
Chatraput Singh <i>v.</i> Girindra Chunder Roy	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 389 : 7 C. L. R., 456	5356
Chattar Lal Singh <i>v.</i> Shewukram	5 B. L. R., 123 : 13 W. R., 285	2267
Chattarpal Singh <i>v.</i> Rajaram	1. L. R., 7 All., 661	4341, 5968
Chattar Singh <i>v.</i> Lekhraj Singh	1. L. R., 5 All., 293	5953
_____	1. L. R., 8 All., 488	4830
Chatternath Jha <i>alias</i> Jhingha Jha. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 41 : 14 W. R., B., 1	3714
Chatti Chalamanna <i>v.</i> Pandrangi Subbamma	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 23	2270
Chatturbhooj Meghji <i>v.</i> Dharamsi Narainji	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 438	2389, 2529
Chaturi Singh <i>v.</i> Makund Lall	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 710 : 9 C. L. R., 240	2994
Chatur Jagsi <i>v.</i> Tulsi	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 230	3209
Chatur Khushalchand <i>v.</i> Mahadu Bhagaji	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 91	3503
Cheda <i>v.</i> Nunhoo Beg	2 N. W., 348	7
Chedambara Chetty <i>v.</i> Renja Krishna Muthu Puchanja Naikar.	13 B. L. R., 509 : 22 W. R., 148 : L. R., 1 I. A., 241	631, 702
Chedambaram Chetty <i>v.</i> Kadunalyavalangapuly Taver	3 Mad., 342	4814
Chedambara Pillai <i>v.</i> Ratna Ammal	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 113	1913
Chedami Lal <i>v.</i> Amir Beg	1. L. R., 7 All., 676	5467
Chedee Singh <i>v.</i> Peareeoonnissa	20 W. R., 19	202
Chedi Lal <i>v.</i> Kirath Chand	1. L. R., 2 All., 682	305, 1225
_____	_____	1232
Cheet Banoo <i>v.</i> Ramkishen Singh	W. R., 1864, 102	2503
Cheetha <i>v.</i> Miheen Lall	11 Moore's I. A., 369	2360
Cheetun Lall <i>v.</i> Chutterdharee Lall	19 W. R., 432	1500
Cheigu Nangiah Gauri Nangiah <i>v.</i> Pidatala Vencatappah	5 Mad., 407	3142
Chelikani Tirupati Ryaningaru <i>v.</i> Suraneni Vencata } Gopala Narasimha Rau.	6 Mad., 278	2300
Chella Pillai <i>v.</i> Srinivasa Pillai	3 Mad., 71	_____
Chellapilla Rau Pantulu <i>v.</i> Balarama Krishnama Pantulu	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 424	1143, 5673
Chellapperumal Pillai. Ex parte	1 Mad., 135	157
Chellayamal <i>v.</i> Muttialamal	15 W. R., P. C., 1	4653
Chemminikara Muppil Nair <i>v.</i> Kiliyanat Ukona Menon	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 88	3755
Chena Pemaji <i>v.</i> Ghelabhai Narandas	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 301	187, 432
Chenchamma <i>v.</i> Subbaya	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 114	2138
Chengalroya Naiker. In the goods of	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	133
Chengaya <i>v.</i> Appasami Ayyar	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 172	791
Chengulva Raya Mudali <i>v.</i> Thangatchi Ammal	6 Mad., 192	5676

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chenibash Shaha v. Kadum Mundul	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97	3311
Chennapa Nayudu v. Pitchi Reddi	1 Mad., 453	3832
Cherachom Vittil Ayisha Kutti Umah v. Valia Pudiakel Biathu Umah. }	2 Mad., 350	3733
Cherag Ali v. Kadir Mahomed	12 C. L. R., 367	6274
Cheria Krishnan v. Vishnu Nambudri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 198	3767
Cheriyarakel alias Arakel Kunhi Kuttyali v. Vayaka Parambath Imbichi Ammah. }	6 Mad., 416	3250
Cherukomen alias Govinden Nair v. Ismala	6 Mad., 145	3757, 6177
Cherukuri Venkanna v. Mantravathi Lakshmi Naray- ana Sastrulu. }	2 Mad., 327	2859
Chetter v. Queen	15 W. R., Cr., 5	12 61
Chetti Gaundan v. Sundaram Pillai	2 Mad., 51	3378, 3885 4430
Chetty Colum Coomara Vencatachella Raddyar v. Rungasawmey Iyengar. }	4 W. R., P. C., 71 : 8 Moore's I. A., 319. }	2083
Chetu Narayana Pillay v. Ayamperumal Ambalom	4 Mad., 447	1033, 1401 5656
Chey Ram v. Chowdhry Nowbut Ram	5 W. R., P. C., 3 : 7 Moore's I. A., 207. }	4650
Chhabila Manchand v. Jadavbhai	3 Bom., O. C., 87	2357
Chhab Nath v. Kamta Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 333	2717
Chhaganlal v. Bapubhai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 68	3384
Chhaganlal Nagardas v. Jeshan Rav Dalsukhram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 503	5665
Chhagan Lal Narbheram v. Jamnadas Mancharam	11 Bom., 19	1880.
Chhiddu v. Narpatt	I. L. R., 8 All., 62	2920
Chidambaram Chettiar v. Gauri Nachiar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 83 : L. R., 6 I. A., 177. }	2452
Chidambara Nayinan v. Annapa Nayakkan	1 Mad., 62	2943, 6135
Chidambara Pillai v. Kaman	1 Mad., 189	196, 5727
_____ v. Manikka Chetti	1 Mad., 63	3024
_____ v. Ramasami Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 161	2754
Chidambara Setti v. Muttuvijeya	3 Mad., 260	4135
Chidi Choubee v. Bhowny	1 W. R., Cr., 1	957
Chikati v. Peddakimedi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 569	907, 4231
Chilicany Bhaskararayanan Garu v. Pillary Setty Raga- valu Naidu. }	5 Mad., 100	1879, 3526
Chimman Singh v. Subran Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 902	89
Chinnaji v. Ranu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 19	5841
Chingalraya Chetty v. Subbiah	6 Mad., 84	430
Chin Hong & Co. v. Seng Moh & Co.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 736 : 3 C. L. R., 585. }	597
Chinibash Ghose. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 436	1854
Chinna Aiyar v. Mahomed Fakiruddin Saib	2 Mad., 322	906, 5873
Chinna Gaundan v. Kumara Gaundan	1 Mad., 54	2129
Chinnaiya Chetty v. Naranapaiya	6 Mad., 15	1909
Chinnaiya Nattan v. Muttusvami Pillai	1 Mad., 226	5821
Chinnamal v. Tulukannatammal	3 Mad., 146	2806
Chinnamallaiya v. Venkataramaiya	1 Mad., 418	916
Chinna Nagayya v. Pedda Nagayya	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 62	2126, 2129
Chinna Perumal Naicker v. Annammal	7 Mad., 361	5838
Chinnappa Chetti v. Nadaraja Pillai	6 Mad., 1	758, 5729
Chinnaramakristna Ayyar v. Minatchi Ammal	7 Mad., 245	2288
Chinna Rangaiyengar v. Subraya Mudali	3 Mad., 334	109
_____ v. _____	3 Mad., 338	109
Chinnasami Chetti v. Nanjappasary	6 Mad., 151	6075

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chinnasami Iyengar <i>alias</i> Streenivassa Raghavacharyar <i>v.</i> Gopalacharry.	7 Mad., 392	3307
Chinnasami Pillai <i>v.</i> Krishna Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 104	4970
Chinna Sanyasi <i>v.</i> Suriya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 196	2405
Chinna Subbaraya Mudali <i>v.</i> Kandasami Reddi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 59	2632
Chinna Ummayi <i>v.</i> Tegarai Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 168	2221, 5264
Chinnaya <i>v.</i> Gurunatham	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 169	3209
Chinnaya Rau <i>v.</i> Ramaya	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 137	1030
Chinnayya Rawutan <i>v.</i> Chidambaram Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 212	4029
Chinniah <i>v.</i> Baubun Saib	5 Mad., 435	3852
Chinnimarigadd. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 289	3617
Chinniya Mudali <i>v.</i> Venkatachella Pillai	3 Mad., 320	4990
Chintalapati Chinna Simhadriraj <i>v.</i> Zemindar of Vizianagram.	2 Mad., 128	1032, 6283
Chintaman Bhaskar <i>v.</i> Shivram Hari	9 Bom., 304	2213, 3942
Chintamani Bapoocha <i>v.</i> Digambar Mitter	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15	4828
Chintamani Pal. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 126: 15 W. R., F. B., 1.	1355
— <i>v.</i> Pyari Mohun Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., 126: 15 W. R., F. B., 1.	5139
Chintamani Sen <i>v.</i> Iswar Chandra	3 B. L. R., Ap., 122	3249
Chintaman Narayan <i>v.</i> Madhavrav Venkatesh	6 Bom., A. C., 29	2827
Chintaman Sing <i>v.</i> Uma Kunwar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 505: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1: 6 W. R. Mis., 83	177
Chintamonee Bapoolee <i>v.</i> Digumber Mitter	10 W. R., 409	1355
Chintamonee Nye. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., Cr., 2	1796, 1804
Chintamonee Sein <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Chunder	12 W. R., 221	3249
Chintamun Singh <i>v.</i> Nowlukho Konwari	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 153: 24 W. R., 255: L. R., 2 I. A., 263.	2222, 2232
Chintamun Singh Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nowlaku Kunwari	13 W. R., 469	2447
Chinto Abaji Kulkarni <i>v.</i> Lakshmibai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 375	2850
Chinto Joshi <i>v.</i> Krishnaji Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 214	1863
Chintu <i>v.</i> Dhondu	11 Bom., 192, note	1711
Chiraghan <i>v.</i> Harbans	7 N. W., 213	1672
Chiranji Lal <i>v.</i> Jamna Das	7 N. W., 243	292
Chithambara <i>v.</i> Muthaya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 330	5276
Chittrayil <i>alias</i> Kunath Ahmed Koya <i>v.</i> Irumanom Vittel Kanhamath Haji.	3 Mad., 279	184, 5770
Chitko Raghunath Rajadiksh <i>v.</i> Janaki	11 Bom., 199	2137
Chitto <i>v.</i> Muzzur Hossain	2 Hyde, 212	3093, 6227
Chittra Coomary Bebee <i>v.</i> Ram Lall Mookerjee	18 W. R., 334	6096
Chittro Narain Singh Tekait <i>v.</i> Assistant Commissioner of Sonthal Pargunnahs.	14 W. R., 203	2035, 3270
Chockalinga Mudali <i>v.</i> Subharaya Mudali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 133	1116, 2404
Chockalinga Pillai <i>v.</i> Viruthalam	4 Mad., 334	2752, 5657
— <i>v.</i> Vythealinga Pundara Sunnady.	6 Mad., 164	5713
Choe Singh <i>v.</i> Bahadoor Singh	1 Agra, 55	3063
Chogalal <i>v.</i> Trueman	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 481	4880
Chohagur <i>v.</i> Thakooree Singh	1 Agra, 123	1883
Choitun Mohantee <i>v.</i> Bhikaree Mohantee	17 W. R., 410	3331
Choitunno Paramanick <i>v.</i> Zumeeroodees Shaikh	18 W. R., 27	5502
Chokkalingam Pillai <i>v.</i> Svamiyar Pillai	1 Mad., 105	5277
Chokalingapeshana Naiker <i>v.</i> Achiyar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 40	2082, 5268
Chooaram <i>v.</i> Ram Lapit Ram	4 C. L. R., 97	1426, 6079
		1867, 1905

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chooa Sahoo v. Tripoora Dutt	13 W. R., 244	1926, 3537
Choolhaie Telee. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 315	1957
Choolie Lall v. Kokil Singh	19 W. R., 248	1723, 4267
Choolun Singh v. Dhoorub Singh	11 W. R., 171	1761
_____ v. Roy Mohun Lall Mitter	Marsh., 183	5485
Chooeelal v. Chitowla	2 Agra, 137	2976
Choonee Lall v. Keramut Ali	W. R., 1864, 282	1677
_____ v. Kudhaira	6 N. W., 34	5250
_____ v. Puhulwan Singh	3 Agra, 270	3891
_____ v. Pultoo Bhukut	6 W. R., Mis., 74	210
Choonee Mahtoon v. Chatoo Mahtoon	25 W. R., 231	144
Choonee Mundur v. Chundee Lall Dass	14 W. R., 178	565, 4791
_____	14 W. R., 334	5138, 5826
_____	14 W. R., 225	5434
Choonee Sookul v. Hur Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 277	4245
Chooni Lal Misser v. Patroo Deo	6 W. R., 19	183
Choonilal Seal v. Spence's Hotel Company	1 B. L. R., O. C., 14	940
Choonnee Lall v. Doorga Pershad	3 Agra, 252	1914
_____ v. Gouree Shunker	1 Agra, 84	2841, 5676
Chooraa v. Busunttee	1 Agra, 174	2313
Chooral Monee Bose v. Prosonno Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 43	2290
Chooramoni Dey v. Howrah Mills Company	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 696	2953
Chooramun Singh v. Dunraj Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 56	1657
_____ v. Mahomed Ali	L. R., 9 I. A., 21	1463
_____ v. Patoo Kooer	24 W. R., 68	3007
Chootoo Dhoon Bharbonia v. Abdool Meah	7 W. R., Cr., 40	957
Chotalal Amritlal v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Co.	5 Bom., A. C., 101	5831
Chota Lal Jamnadas v. Bulakidas Jetha	7 Bom., A. C., 109	1240
Chota Sadoo v. Bhoobun Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., Cr., 3	1049
Chotay Lal v. Ram Dyal	2 N. W., 402	3480, 3508
Chotay Lall v. Chunnoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 235: 22 W. R., 496 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 744: 3 C. L. R., 465: I. R., 6 I. A., 15.	2306, 2496 2283
Choti Lal v. Kalka Parshad	7 N. W., 100	3904
_____ v. Manick Chand	7 N. W., 115	1883
Chotoollal v. Miller	7 C. L. R., 267	1483, 2697
Chottoo Misser v. Jemah Misser	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 198: 6 C. L. R., 588.	2478
Chotuck Pandoo v. Innayut Ali	3 Agra, 49: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 131.	2928
Chotu v. Jitan	I. L. R., 3 All., 63	216, 5084
Chotun Bebee v. Ameer Chund	6 W. R., 105	5095, 5100
Choudharee Sheoraj Singh v. Dwarka Doss	4 N. W., 42	3768
Choundawalee Bahoojee v. Girdhareejee	3 Agra, 226	2134, 2136
Chovakara v. Isa bin Khalifa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209	6274
Chowakaran Orkatari Bappan v. Chowakaran Cheria Orkatan Makki.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 259	3762
Chowdhari Nilkanth Prasad Singh v. Dignarayan Singh.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 30: 10 W. R., 75.	5060
Chowdhriani v. Tariny Kant Lahiry Chowdry	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 545: 11 C. L. R., 41.	471
Chowdhree Brij Lall v. Goor Suhai	Agra, F. B., 128: Ed. 1874, 95	4547
Chowdhree Nain Singh v. Jawahur Singh	1 N. W., 167: Ed. 1873, 246	3828
Chowdhree Zuhoorul Huq v. Kurum Chand Singh	24 W. R., Cr., 15	4508
Chowdhri Murtaza Hossein v. Bechunnissa	L. R., 3 I. A., 209: 26 W. R., 10.	387

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chowdhry Ajrawal Singh v. Chowdhry Bhugwan Singh .	2 Hay, 311	4472
Chowdhry Bholanath Thakoor v. Bhagabatti Debi .	7 B. L. R., 93 : 15 W. R., 63 .	48, 2190
Chowdhry Chatterpaul Singh v. Foujdar Roy .	Marsh., 405 : 2 Hay, 509 . .	555, 558
Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Government .	3 W. R., 57	1724, 2075
Chowdhry Deby Persad v. Chowdhry Dowlut Singh {	6 W. R., P. C., 55 : 3 Moore's } I. A., 347.	1751, 6245
Chowdhry Goluck Chunder v. Chowdhry Ganga Narain .	11 B. L. R., 363	1487
Chowdhry Goordutt Singh v. Gopal Dass .	11 B. L. R., 368, note	1487
Chowdhry Hamedoolah v. Muteoonissa Bibee .	1 Agra, 33	4629
Chowdhry Heerasutoollah v. Brojo Soondur Roy .	15 W. R., 574	1885, 6014
Chowdhry Hureehur Singh v. Hriday Narain .	18 W. R., 77	2114
Chowdhry Imdad Ali v. Boonyad Ali .	25 W. R., 310	3473
Chowdhry Izharool Huq v. Bhoosee Mahtoon .	14 W. R., 92	1465, 3825
Chowdhry Joogul Kishore Singh v. Poocha Singh .	25 W. R., 201	2994
Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Russomoye Dassee {	8 W. R., 413	3715
Chowdhry Junmenjoy Mullick v. Bissambhar Panjah {	11 B. L. R., 418, note : 10 } W. R., 209.	2199
Chowdhry Khan v. Gour Jana .	5 W. R., Mis., 45	3489
Chowdhry Khoorgo Roy v. Shib Tohul Roy .	2 W. R., Act X, 40	3019
Chowdhry Kripa Sindhoo Doss v. Radha Churn Doss .	17 W. R., 172	6239
Chowdhry Mahomed Momin v. Lutafut Hossein .	23 W. R., 234	673
Chowdhry Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq v. Mahomed Yakooob .	13 W. R., 239	161, 5171
Chowdhry Mohendro Narain Roy. In the matter of .	23 W. R., 367	3797
Chowdhry Nilkant Pershad Singh v. Ahlad Singh .	18 W. R., 512	3177
Chowdhry Padam Singh v. Koer Udaya Singh .	5 W. R., 287	1173
Chowdhry Purladh Mahapattar v. Chowdhry Jonardon {	2 B. L. R., P. C., 101 : 12 W. } R., P. C., 1 : 12 Moore's } I. A., 350.	2110
Chowdhry Roostum Ali v. Dhandoo .	6 W. R., Mis., 15	836, 3144
Chowdhry Ruttun Persad v. Hunooman Jah .	3 Agra, 157	4763
Chowdhry Sheo Nundun Proshad v. Chowdry Nil {	W. R., 1864, Mis., 20	224
Chowdhry Wahed Ali v. Jumaee .	13 W. R., Cr., 44	4738
Chowdhry Zaliim Singh v. Seetloo .	11 B. L. R., 149 : 18 W. R., } 185.	827
Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq v. Bagoo Jan .	6 W. R., Mis., 116	5453
Chowksi Himut Lal v. Chowksi Achrutlal .	19 W. R., 87	3336
Chowrasee Kooer v. Bokhooree Singh .	6 B. L. R., 52 : 14 W. R., 288	2794, 3542
Christacharl v. Karibasayya .	2 N. W., 404	4310
Christian v. Christian .	11 W. R., 532	3162
Chuckrodhuj Thakoor v. Beer Chunder Joobraj .	15 W. R., 329	2518, 5392
Chuckun Lal Singh v. Poran Chunder Singh .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 194	5855
Chuckur Surun Narain Singh v. Collector of Sarun .	24 W. R., 350	4268
Chuha Mal v. Hari Ram .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 399	1074
Chuhar Mal v. Mir .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 651	1548
Chujoo Singh v. Nazir Hossein .	1 W. R., 194	2440
Chuj Mull v. Khyratee .	9 W. R., 483	2373
Chukowree Misser v. Numoodah Kooer .	17 W. R., 180	3564
Chukradar Potti. In the matter of .	I. L. R., 8 All., 548	324, 373
Chulan Tewari v. Sukedad Khan .	I. L. R., 2 All., 715	2724
Chulkoo Dutt Jha v. Leelanund Singh .	2 Agra, 227	3213
	3 Agra, 65	3283
	24 W. R., 5	414
	8 C. L. R., 289	1960
	23 W. R., Cr., 9	4729
	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34	5475

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
<i>Chaltan Mahton v. Tilukdari Singh</i>	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 175 . . .	693
<i>Chumimony Dassee v. Nistarinee Dassee</i>	3 C. L. R., 357	869
<i>Chumman Shah. In the matter of</i>	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 756 : 2 C. R., 317. . . .	1011
_____ <i>v. Ishree Pershad Narain Singh</i>	4 N. W., 175	533
<i>Chummun v. Om Koolsoom</i>	13 W. R., 465	3233, 3376
<i>Chummun Mohunt v. Rajendra Sahoo</i>	7 W. R., 119	2485
<i>Chumroo Singh v. Mullick Khyrut Ahmed</i>	18 W. R., 525	5287
<i>Chumun Bhugut v. Mudun Mohan</i>	2 N. W., 186	3497
<i>Chumun Chowdhry v. Ali Azim</i>	9 W. R., 138	5829
<i>Chumun Lall Chowdhry v. Domun Lall</i>	9 W. R., 205	449
_____ <i>v. Rughoonundun Singh</i>	11 W. R., 194	3107
<i>Chumutkar Mohinee Dossee v. Raj Rakhai Mitter</i>	22 W. R., 479	163
<i>Chunda v. Roop Singh</i>	3 Agra, 281	2002
<i>Chundee Churn Chatterjee v. Bidoo Budden Banerjee</i>	10 W. R., 48	2951
<i>Chundee Churn Dutt v. Eduljee Cowasjee Bijnee</i>	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 678 : 11 C. L. R., 225. . . .	4331, 5719
<i>Chundee Churn Paul v. Ramnarain Sen</i>	Cor., 8	3288
<i>Chundee Churn Roy v. Ram Coomar Dutt</i>	7 W. R., 413	321
_____ <i>v. Shib Chunder Mundul</i>	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 945 : 6 C. L. R., 269. . . .	3232
<i>Chundee Deen v. Hussun Ali</i>	3 N. W., 88	5978
<i>Chundee Pershad Misser v. Nilanund Singh</i>	2 W. R., Mis., 38	209
<i>Chundee Roy v. Isree Pershad Narain Singh</i>	23 W. R., 274	3183
<i>Chunder Banerji v. Hurro Mohun Chuckerbutty</i>	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 41 : 6 C. L. R., 393. . . .	2129
<i>Chunder Bhadoory v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhraim</i>	6 W. R., Mis., 51	759
<i>Chunder Bhan Singh v. Jairam Geer</i>	5 N. W., 175	497
<i>Chunder Bhon Singh. In re</i>	17 W. R., Cr., 10	21
<i>Chunder Caunt Mookerjee v. Jodoonath Khan</i>	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 468 : 1 C. L. R., 470. . . .	5990
<i>Chunder Chowdhry v. Macnaghten</i>	23 W. R., 386	1176
<i>Chunder Churn Auggroday v. Loodunram Deb</i>	25 W. R., 324	5127, 5782
<i>Chunder Coomar Banerjee v. Azeemoodeen</i>	14 W. R., 100	1585
<i>Chunder Coomar Chatterjee v. Essen Chunder Chatterjee</i>	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 222	1194
<i>Chunder Coomar Deo v. Sudakut Mahomed Khan</i>	18 W. R., 436	4437
<i>Chunder Coomar Dutt v. Joy Chund Dutt Mojoomdar</i>	19 W. R., 213	1734, 1755
<i>Chunder Coomar Lahoree v. Gopee Kristo Gossamee</i>	20 W. R., 204	1906
<i>Chunder Coomar Mitter v. Modhoosoodun Dey</i>	9 W. R., Cr., 50	1990
_____ <i>v. Sib Sundari Dassee</i>	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 631 : 11 C. L. R., 22. . . .	5092
<i>Chunder Coomar Mookerji v. Koylash Chunder Sett</i>	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 665	4571
<i>Chunder Coomar Mookerjee v. _____</i>	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 677	4571
<i>Chunder Coomar Mundul v. Nunnee Khanum</i>	11 B. L. R., 434 : 19 W. R., 322. . . .	5077
<i>Chunder Coomar Poddar v. Chunder Kanta Ghose</i>	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 521	4496
<i>Chunder Coomar Roy v. Bhogobutty Prosonno Roy</i> {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 235 : 1 C. L. R., 23. . . .	3499
_____ <i>v. Bholanath Sircar</i>	W. R., 1864, Act X, 110	1632
_____ <i>v. Buradakant Roy</i>	W. R., 1864, 63	1991
_____ <i>v. Collector of Jessore</i>	13 W. R., 243	91
_____ <i>v. Gocool Chunder Bhuttacharjee</i>	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 370	666, 4277 4278
_____ <i>v. Gonesh Chunder Dass</i>	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 283	2523, 3823
_____ <i>v. Kadermonnee Dossee</i>	7 W. R., 247	5197
_____ <i>v. Kasheenaath Roy Chowdhry</i>	5 W. R., Mis., 37	3834
_____ <i>v. Kubeeroodeen</i>	10 W. R., 332	336

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chunder Coomar Roy <i>v.</i> Omesh Chunder Mojomondar	22 W. R., Cr., 78 . . .	5946
_____ <i>v.</i> Shurut Soonduree Debia	6 W. R., Mis., 37 . . .	3159, 3496
_____ <i>v.</i> Wilkins . . .	10 W. R., 311 . . .	6153
_____ <i>v.</i> Zeemuntoollah Sirdar . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 95 . . .	1732
Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Boshoon Lall Sookul . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 251 : 11 C. } L. R., 177. }	5793
Chundereshwur Narain Pershad <i>v.</i> Chuni Ahir . . .	9 C. L. R., 359 . . .	137
Chunder Jaleah <i>v.</i> Ramchurn Mookerjee . . .	15 W. R., 212 . . .	1994, 4560
Chunder Kalee Ghose <i>v.</i> Shibnath Bhuttacharjee . . .	17 W. R., 176 . . .	4269
Chunderkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the } petition of. }	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 43 . . .	4745, 5937
Chunderkant Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Bindabun Chunder } Mookerjee. }	7 W. R., 277 . . .	4745, 5937
Chunder Kant Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Hurish Chunder Chowdhry	1 W. R., 214 . . .	2772
_____ <i>v.</i> Nund Lall Chowdhry . . .	16 W. R., 277 . . .	5283
Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty. In re . . .	9 W. R., Cr., 63 . . .	1040
_____ <i>v.</i> Bunkoo Beharee Chunder	3 W. R., 177 . . .	2059
_____ <i>v.</i> Dukheeanee Odea . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6 : 4 W. } R., Act X, 41. }	4931
_____ <i>v.</i> Elias . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 29 . . .	5786
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 1 . . .	4931
_____ <i>v.</i> Pearee Mohun Dutt	5 W. R., 209 . . .	1674
Chunderkant Ghose <i>v.</i> Showdaminee Debia	9 W. R., 517 . . .	5768
Chunder Kant Mistree <i>v.</i> Brojonauth Bysack	13 W. R., 109 . . .	1847
Chunder Kant Mitter <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Dey Sirkar	8 W. R., 63 . . .	143, 3240
Chunderkant Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Coondoo	3 C. L. R., 505 . . .	5980
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramcoomar Koondoo	13 B. L. R., 530 : 22 W. R., 138	703, 1214
Chunder Kant Roy <i>v.</i> Gopeemee Debia . . .	6 W. R., 17 . . .	1170
_____ <i>v.</i> Krishna Sunder Roy . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 710 . . .	4805, 6140
_____ <i>v.</i> Peary Mohun Roy	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 21 : Marsh., 33 : 1 Hay, 69. }	3364, 3396
Chunderkant Surmah <i>v.</i> Bissesur Surmah Chucker-	7 W. R., 312 . . .	5436
_____ butty.	6 W. R., 61 . . .	2312
_____ <i>v.</i> Bunshee Deb Surmah . . .	13 C. L. R., 243 . . .	3535
Chunder Komal Das <i>v.</i> Bissasurree Dassia	14 W. R., 322 . . .	2488
Chunder Koomar Gangooly <i>v.</i> Rajkishen Banerjee	9 W. R., 598 . . .	2792, 2914
Chunder Koomar Mundul <i>v.</i> Bakur Ali Khan . . .	6 W. R., 194 . . .	878
Chunder Kulla Dossee <i>v.</i> Jotendra Mohun Tagore	16 W. R., 52 . . .	2199
Chunder Lall <i>v.</i> Lalla Gunput Lall . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 553 : 6 W. R., 184. }	3181
Chunder Madhub Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Chow-	25 W. R., 119 . . .	1393
_____ dhry.	4 C. L. R., 483 . . .	4488
Chunder Madhub Doss <i>v.</i> Ameer Ali . . .	1 B. L. R., O. C., 42 . . .	11
Chunder Madhub Ghose <i>v.</i> Juggut Chunder Sen . . .	4 W. R., Act X, 18 . . .	196, 778
Chunder Mohun Dutt <i>v.</i> Biswambhur Laha . . .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 389 . . .	3145
Chunder Mohun Mojomondar <i>v.</i> Teetooram Bose . . .	19 W. R., 343 . . .	1474
Chunder Mohun Roy <i>v.</i> Bhubon Mohini Dabee	25 W. R., 132 . . .	3047
Chunder Mohun Thakoor <i>v.</i> Amrito Chunder Thakoor	4 W. R., 54 . . .	38
Chunder Monee Chanda <i>v.</i> Brindabun Nath . . .	Marsh., 420 : 2 Hay, 519 . . .	529
Chunder Monee Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Chowdhraim	5 W. R., 246 . . .	1567
_____ <i>v.</i> Debender Nauth Roy	12 W. R., 460 . . .	2745
Chowdry.	1 W. R., 251 . . .	5253
Chunder Monee Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Raj Kishore Shaha	18 W. R., 375 . . .	2334
Chunder Monee Dabee <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	22 W. R., 185 . . .	3369
Chunder Monee Dassee <i>v.</i> Santo Monee Dassee		
Chunder Monee Debia <i>v.</i> Kristo Chunder Mozoomdar		
_____ <i>v.</i> Mehran Bibee . . .		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chunder Monee Debia Chowdhoorayan <i>v.</i> Mun Mohinee Debia.	8 Moore's I. A., 477 . . .	4651
Chunder Monee Dossee <i>v.</i> Dhuroneedhur Lahory . . .	7 W. R., 2 . . .	1448, 1636
_____ <i>v.</i> Joykissen Sircar . . .	1 W. R., 107 . . .	2196, 4160
_____ <i>v.</i> Modhoo Dey . . .	23 W. R., 166 . . .	877
_____ <i>v.</i> Nilambur Mustofee . . .	7 W. R., 43 . . .	1756
Chundermonee Nya Bhoosun <i>v.</i> Sumbhoo Chunder Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, 270 . . .	8036
Chunder Money Dossee <i>v.</i> Hurrydoss Mitter . . .	5 C. L. R., 557 . . .	2504
Chunder Narain <i>v.</i> Farquharson . . .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 837 . . .	1328
Chunder Narain Ghose <i>v.</i> Kasheennath Roy Chowdhry . . .	12 W. R., F. B., 50 . . .	5079
Chunder Narain Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Prithanund Asrum . . .	12 W. R., 290 . . .	5071
Chunder Narain Sen <i>v.</i> Amirto Lall Sen . . .	24 W. R., 292 . . .	4138
Chunder Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Brijo Bullub Gooyes . . .	14 B. L. R., 254: 21 W. R., 391. }	2788
_____ <i>v.</i> Brojo Bullub Gooyee . . .	21 W. R., 126 . . .	2788
_____ <i>v.</i> Kishen Chaud Golecha . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 855 . . .	2632
Chunder Nath Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Brindabun Shaha	25 W. R., 39 . . .	6075
_____ <i>v.</i> Juggut Chunder Bhutta-charjee.	22 W. R., 337 . . .	2985
Chunder Nath Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Tirthanund Thakoor . . .	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 504: 2 C. L. R., 147. }	3327
Chunder Nath Deb. In the matter of . . .	5 C. L. R., 372 . . .	264
_____ <i>v.</i> Ganga Gobindo Singh . . .	1 W. R., 94 . . .	1813
Chunder Nath Dhur <i>v.</i> Isurree Dossee . . .	18 W. R., 531 . . .	1362
Chunder Nath Ghose <i>v.</i> Nundo Loll Chatterjee . . .	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 81 . . .	1308
_____ <i>v.</i> Shotooram Mojoomdar . . .	12 W. R., 343 . . .	1634
Chunder Nath Misser <i>v.</i> Gouree Komul Bhuttacharjee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 19 W. R., 155. }	843
_____ <i>v.</i> Sirdar Khan . . .	18 W. R., 218 . . .	323, 3024
Chunder Nath Moitro <i>v.</i> Kristo Komul Singh . . .	15 W. R., 357 . . .	2361
Chunder Nath Mullick <i>v.</i> Nilakant Banerjee . . .	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 690 . . .	3553
Chunder Nath Nag Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Asanoollah Mundul . . .	10 W. R., 438 . . .	2923
Chunder Nath Nundi <i>v.</i> Hur Narain Deb . . .	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 153 . . .	2880
Chunder Nath Roy <i>v.</i> Bheem Sirdar . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X. 37 . . .	5594
_____ <i>v.</i> Bhoynrub Chunder Surma Roy . . .	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 250 . . .	4805
_____ <i>v.</i> Gobind Nath Roy . . .	11 B. L. R., 86: 18 W. R., 221. }	2146, 2238
Chunder Nath Sein <i>v.</i> Anundomoyee Dossee . . .	11 W. R., 289 . . .	3397, 6239
Chundernath Sen. In the matter of the petition of . . .	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 293 . . .	5946
_____ . . .	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 875: 6 C. L. R., 379. }	4111
Chundernath Surma <i>v.</i> Romanath Surma . . .	1 W. R., 69 . . .	4899
Chunder Pershad Roy <i>v.</i> Shuvadra Kumari Shaheba . . .	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 622 . . .	5333
Chunder Seekur Sookul <i>v.</i> Dhurm Nath Tewaree . . .	1 C. L. R., 434 . . .	1270
Chunder Seekur Roy <i>v.</i> Gholam Shurreef <i>alias</i> Loopan Meeah.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 146 . . .	5257
Chunder Sein <i>v.</i> Gujadhur Lall . . .	1 N. W., 148: Ed. 1872, 230 . . .	3350
Chunder Sekhur Deb <i>v.</i> Jadub Chunder Sett . . .	19 W. R., 78 . . .	5482, 6235
Chunder Sekhur Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Collector of Midnapore	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 464: 1 C. L. R., 384. }	5805
Chunder Shekhar Thakoor <i>v.</i> Nitaloo . . .	22 W. R., Cr., 29 . . .	5912
Chunder Shikur Mullick <i>v.</i> Sham Chand Mullick . . .	18 W. R., 395 . . .	4664
Chunder Sikhur Biswas <i>v.</i> Ram Buksh Chetlungee . . .	1 C. L. R., 545 . . .	4328
Chunder Sikur Bundopadhya <i>v.</i> Obboy Churn Bagchi . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 8 . . .	507
Chunder Singh <i>v.</i> Nirtio . . .	3 Agra, 11 . . .	3814

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chundessuree v. Gheenah Pandey	24 W. R., 152	5695
Chundi Churn Bhuttacharjea. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 207	1308
Chundi Churn Mookerjee v. Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 207	1308
Chundo v. Alimooddeen	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 849: 12 C. } L. R., 508. }	3790
Chundoo Vistee v. Sodagur Vistee	6 N. W., 28: Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 305. }	500, 3707
Chundrabulee Debia v. Brody	22 W. R., 457	4055
Chundra Bullee Debia v. Luckhee Debia Chowdhraim	9 W. R., 584	2503
Chundra Kaminy Debea v. Ramruttun Pattuck	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 25, 141: 5 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's I. A., 214. }	3124
Chundra Kumar Guha v. Mungul Mollah	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 302	482
Chundra Money Dossee v. Motilal Mullick	11 C. L. R., 387	3044
Chundro Marwari v. Mohamed Eshak	5 C. L. R., 496	2559
Chundro Monee Debia v. Kash Behary Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476	3609
Chundro Sheekh Roy v. Nobin Soondur Roy	21 W. R., 24	683
Chundro Tara Debia v. Buksh Ali	2 W. R., 197	2281
Chundun v. Talib Ali	11 W. R., 305	2351
Chundun Singh v. Nirto	2 N. W., 41	1245, 5209
Chundy Persad Doss v. Kasseenath Doss	3 Agra, 11	1680
Chunee Mul Johary v. Brojo Nath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 346	5739
Chunia v. Ramdial	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 967: 11 C. L. R., 315. }	78, 4802
Chuni Kuar v. Udai Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 360	6080
Chunilal Maniklalbhai v. Mahipatray valad Khundu	I. L. R., 6 All., 73	4144
Chunilal Panalal v. Bomanji Mancherji	5 Bom., A. C., 33	2813
Chuni Pandey v. Birjo Pandey	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 310	4792
Chuni Singh v. Hera Mahto	13 C. L. R., 49	2842
Chunni v. Thakur Das	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 633: 9 C. L. R., 37. }	1189, 1639
Chunni Lal v. Chamman Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 126	3892
Churamun Singh v. Anoop Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 159	199
Church v. Ramtanu Shaha	I. L. R., 3 All., 356	5443
Churn Manjee v. Ishan Chunder Dhur	11 C. L. R., 533	2881
Churn Singh v. Rungoo Singh	9 B. L. R., 105, note: 11 W. R., 547. }	1591
Chutka Panda v. Goburdhone Dass	9 C. L. R., 474	5034
Chutoor Bhooj Tewaree v. Villat Ali Khan	15 W. R., 221	3818
Chutraput Singh. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 85	5441
Chutterbhuj Bharti v. Janki Prasad Singh	W. R., 1864, 223	3020
Chutterdharee Lall v. Rambelashee Koer	5 C. L. R., 200	4498
Chutterdharee Misser v. Nursingh Dutt Sookool	4 C. L. R., 298	2046, 4165
Chutter Dharee Singh v. Hurcoomaree	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 318: 1 C. L. R., 347. }	5977
Chutter Singh v. Jutta Singh	3 Agra, 371: Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 163. }	4754, 5874
Chuttoonath Singh v. Sooboon Singh	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 99	3040
Chuttoorbhoj Bharthee v. Macnaghten	4 W. R., 76	1343, 4447
Chutturdharee Singh v. Hurcoomaree	9 W. R., 97	5677
Chuttur Dharee Singh v. Sureer Hossein	Marsh., 21: 1 Hay, 53	1041
Chuttur Mull v. Chuttur Kishore Lall	15 W. R., Cr., 2	1048
	1 Hay, 107	2487
	1 W. R., 28	4188
	3 Agra, 396	4548

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chuttur Singh <i>v.</i> Dhurram Koonwur . . .	1 N. W., Part II, p. 1: Ed. } 1873, 61. }	5462
Chuturya Run Murdun Syn <i>v.</i> Purluhad Syn . .	4 W. R., P. C., 132: 7 Moore's } I. A., 18. }	2321
Chyet Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Bunwaree Singh . . .	23 W. R., 395 . . . }	2295, 2358 2455, 4185
Chyet Ram <i>v.</i> Ramchunder Dutt . . .	Bourke, O. C., 101 . . .	2647
Chytun Chunder Roy. In the matter of . . .	20 W. R., 12 . . .	4502
— <i>v.</i> Brojokant Roy . . .	20 W. R., 12 . . .	4502
Chytun Churn Doss <i>v.</i> Collector of Sylhet . .	21 W. R., 75 . . .	6195
Chytun Mytee <i>v.</i> Lukhee Churn Patnaik . . .	8 W. R., 258 . . .	2287, 4163
Chytunno Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Kedar Nath Roy . .	14 W. R., 99 . . .	527
Chytun Singh <i>v.</i> Kayessur Koonwur . . .	5 W. R., 117 . . .	6152
Civa Rau Nanaji <i>v.</i> Jevana Rau . . .	2 Mad., 31 . . .	1674
Clark <i>v.</i> Beane . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 53 . . .	1720
— <i>v.</i> Doorgamoney Dossee . . .	2 Moore's I. A., 263 . . .	138, 1576 5581
— <i>v.</i> Rooplall Mullick . . .	2 Moore's I. A., 263 . . .	138, 1576 5581
— <i>v.</i> Ruthnavaloo Chetti . . .	2 Mad., 296 . . .	5607, 5614
Clarke <i>v.</i> Bindabun Chunder Sircar . . .	Marsh., 75: 1 Hay, 137: W. } R., F. B., 20: 1 Ind. Jur., } O. S., 97. }	1765, 4458
Clarton <i>v.</i> Shaw . . .	9 B. L. R., 245: 16 W. R., 414	1830
Cochrane. In the matter of the petition of . .	14 B. L. R., 330: 23 W. R., 310	5941
— <i>v.</i> Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co. . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 337 . . .	2629
— <i>v.</i> Heera Lal Seal . . .	7 W. R., 79 . . .	5116
— <i>v.</i> Hurro Soondery Debia . . .	4 W. R., P. C., 103: 6 Moore's } I. A., 494. }	3417
— <i>v.</i> Owen . . .	2 Hyde, 150 . . .	2663
Cockburn. In re . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 326 . . .	2656
Cockerell <i>v.</i> Dickens . . .	2 Moore's I. A., 353 . . .	2668, 4858
Coggan <i>v.</i> Pogose . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 158 . . .	4804
Cohen <i>v.</i> Auction & Co. . .	1 Hyde, 130 . . .	2586
— <i>v.</i> Bank of Bengal . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 598 . . .	1822
— <i>v.</i> Cassim Nana . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 264: 25 W. } R., 273. }	1368
— <i>v.</i> McCarthy . . .	14 W. R., 231 . . .	422, 5686
— <i>v.</i> . . .	14 W. R., 441 . . .	422
Collector of Agra <i>v.</i> Buljeeta . . .	3 Agra, 368: Agra, F. B., Ed. } 1874, 161. }	5728
Collector of Ahmedabad <i>v.</i> Balabhai Kevaldas .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 505 . . .	614
— <i>v.</i> Samaldas Bechardas . . .	9 Bom., 205 . . .	607, 5088
Collector of Allahabad <i>v.</i> Suraj Baksh . . .	6 N. W., 333 . . .	1683
Collector of Bareilly <i>v.</i> Ghusee Ram . . .	1 Agra, 260 . . .	4457
— <i>v.</i> Nuraen Day . . .	3 Agra, 349 . . .	81, 2141
Collector of Beerbhoom <i>v.</i> Mundakinee Debia .	W. R., 1864, 332 . . .	2840
— <i>v.</i> Mundakinee Debee . . .	1 W. R., Mis., 7 . . .	2840
— <i>v.</i> Rajcoomaree Dassia . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 17 . . .	3132
— <i>v.</i> Sreehurry Chuckerbutty . . .	2 W. R., 512 . . .	3490
Collector of Benares <i>v.</i> Sheo Prasad . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 487 . . .	2091
Collector of Bijnor <i>v.</i> Jafar Ali Khan . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 18 . . .	237
— <i>v.</i> Munuvar . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 20 . . .	909
Collector of Bograh <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Biswas . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 13 W. } R., 194. }	2977
— <i>v.</i> Krishna Indra Roy . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 301: 11 W. } R., 191. }	4935, 4955

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Bograh <i>v.</i> Shama Sunkur Mojoomdar . . .	6 W. R., 230 . . .	3819
Collector of Broach <i>v.</i> Desai Raghu Nath . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 546 . . .	606, 3197
<i>v.</i> Rajaram Laldas . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 542 . . .	606, 3197
Collector of Cawnpore <i>v.</i> Kedari . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 19 . . .	5687, 5743
Collector of Chittagong <i>v.</i> Kala Bibi . . .	15 B. L. R., 343: 24 W. R., 149. }	495
Collector of Colaba <i>v.</i> Ganesh Mareshvar Mehendale . . .	10 Bom., 216 . . .	610, 3068
Collector of Dacca <i>v.</i> Kalee Churn Poddar . . .	21 W. R., 446 . . .	4480
<i>v.</i> Kishen Kishore Chatterjee . . .	W. R., 1864, 273 . . .	44, 5107
<i>v.</i> Kumala Kant Mookerjee . . .	2 W. R., 33 . . .	183, 1203
Collector of East Burdwan <i>v.</i> Imdad Ali . . .	W. R., 1864, 358 . . .	1766
Collector of Fureedpore. In the matter of the petition of <i>v.</i> Goroodoss Roy . . .	12 B. L. R., 366 . . .	219
<i>v.</i> Kalee Dass Hazarah . . .	11 W. R., 425 . . .	5252
Collector of Futtehpore <i>v.</i> Yad Ali . . .	17 W. R., 195 . . .	1737, 4455
Collector of Godavari <i>v.</i> Isuf Kasim Nana . . .	1 Agra, 88 . . .	4535
Collector of Godavery <i>v.</i> Addanki Ramanwa Pantulu . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 42 . . .	5530
Collector of Hooghly <i>v.</i> Raj Kristo Mookerjee . . .	L. R., 13 I. A., 147 . . .	3422
<i>v.</i> Taraknath Mukhopadhya . . .	22 W. R., 234 . . .	2948
Collector of Jessore <i>v.</i> Beckwith . . .	7 B. L. R., 449: 16 W. R., 63. }	2787, 4095
Collector of Kheda <i>v.</i> Harishankur Tikam . . .	5 W. R., 175 . . .	4106, 4107
Collector of Kistna <i>v.</i> Kalavagunta Chinnamragu . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 23 . . .	5631
Collector of Madras <i>v.</i> Ramanuja Chariyar . . .	5 Mad., 360 . . .	2061
Collector of Madura <i>v.</i> Muttu Vijaya Ragunada Muttu Ramalinga Sethupati. }	4 Mad., 429 . . .	2101
<i>v.</i> Mutu Ramalinga Sathupathy . . .	2 Mad., 206 . . .	5629
<i>v.</i> Veeracamoo Ummal . . .	1 B. L. R., P. C., 1: 12 Moore's I. A., 397: 10 W. R., P. C., 17. }	2120, 2412
Collector of Maldah <i>v.</i> Sudurooddeen . . .	9 Moore's I. A., 446 . . .	4693
Collector of Masulipatam <i>v.</i> Caval Vencata Narainapah <i>v.</i> . . . }	1 W. R., 116 . . .	1672, 2246
Collector of Midnapore <i>v.</i> Ramdhone Dutt . . .	2 W. R., P. C., 59: 8 Moore's I. A., 500. }	5103
Collector of Monghyr <i>v.</i> Bhubany Pershad . . .	2 W. R., P. C., 61: 8 Moore's I. A., 529. }	1672
<i>v.</i> . . . }	2 W. R., P. C., 61: 8 Moore's I. A., 529. }	2048, 2509
Collector of Moorshedabad <i>v.</i> Anund Nath Roy . . .	Marsh., 65: 1 Hay, 133 . . .	4154, 4693
<i>v.</i> Dhunput Singh Baha- door. }	25 W. R., 183 . . .	5270
<i>v.</i> Rammohinee Dossee . . .	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 425: 5 C. L. R., 112. }	2945
<i>v.</i> Shibessuree Dabee . . .	25 W. R., 136 . . .	5049, 5392
Collector of Moradabad <i>v.</i> Muhammad Daim Khan . . .	W. R., F. B., 112 . . .	1592, 4925
Collector of Nasik <i>v.</i> Shanji Dasrath Patil . . .	15 B. L. R., 49: 23 W. R., 38 . . .	2146, 2266
Collector of Noakally <i>v.</i> Paxwell . . .	1 Hay, 520 . . .	64
Collector of North Arcot <i>v.</i> Ayya Krishnama Chariyar . . .	11 B. L. R., 86: 18 W. R., 226. }	1200
Collector of Poona <i>v.</i> Bhavanrav Balkrishna . . .	1. L. R., 2 All., 196 . . .	2146, 2238
<i>v.</i> Kashinath Khasgiwala . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 209 . . .	4343, 5425
Collector of Pubna <i>v.</i> Romanath Tagore . . .	20 W. R., 78 . . .	4578
<i>v.</i> Surno Moyee . . .	7 Mad., 37 . . .	517
Collector of Rajshahye <i>v.</i> Doorga Soondery Debia . . .	10 Bom., 192 . . .	4578
<i>v.</i> Hur Soondery Debia . . .	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 585 . . .	5255, 6004
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 63: 7 W. R., 191. }	2954
	17 W. R., 163 . . .	2842
	2 W. R., 210 . . .	34
	W. R., 1864, Act X, 6 . . .	1748
		5381

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Rajshahye <i>v.</i> Shama Soondree Debea .	14 B. L. R., 219 : 22 W. R., 324.	40
Collector of Ratnagiri <i>v.</i> Janardan Kamat .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 590 .	212, 4343
_____ <i>v.</i> Vyankatray Narayan Surve .	8 Bom., A. C., 1 .	1159, 2064
Collector of Rungpore. In the matter of the petition of }	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 655 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 178 : 7 W. R., 273.	516
_____ <i>v.</i> Prosunno Coomar Tagore	5 W. R., 115 . . .	3444, 4173
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramjadub Sein . . .	W. R., 1864, 243 . . .	1994, 4457
Collector of Salem. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 233 . . .	5884
Collector of Sea Customs <i>v.</i> Punniar Chithambaram	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 89 . . .	2784, 2876
Collector of Shahabad <i>v.</i> Rambuddun Singh	10 W. R., 400 . . .	3876
Collector of Shahjahanpur <i>v.</i> Surjan Singh . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 72 . . .	3538
Collector of South Arcot <i>v.</i> Thatha Charry . . .	8 Mad., 40 . . .	3446, 3469
Collector of Surat <i>v.</i> Daji Jogi . . .	8 Bom., A. C., 166 . . .	4564
_____ <i>v.</i> Dhirshingji Vaghbaji . . .	10 Bom., 235 . . .	2109, 2115
_____ <i>v.</i> Heiress of Kuvarbia . . .	2 Bom., 253 : 2nd Ed., 239 . . .	1562
Collector of Sylhet <i>v.</i> Kali Kumar Dutt . . .	7 B. L. R., 663 : 16 W. R., } F. B., 10.	168, 5831
Collector of Tanjore <i>v.</i> Ramasamier . . .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 342 . . .	5833, 5849
Collector of Thana <i>v.</i> Bal Patel . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110 . . .	610, 4353
_____ <i>v.</i> Bhaskar Mahadev Sheth . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 264 . . .	2051, 2103 5954
_____ <i>v.</i> Dadabhai Bomanji . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352 . . .	611, 622 1224
_____ <i>v.</i> Gana Ramji Patil . . .	7 Bom., A. C., 132 . . .	4441
_____ <i>v.</i> Hari Sitaram . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 546 . . .	2065, 2255
_____ <i>v.</i> Krishna Nath Govind . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 322 . . .	3411 3410
Collector of Tipperah <i>v.</i> Doorga Persad Paray	W. R., 1864, 302 . . .	44
_____ <i>v.</i> Mafizunnissa Bibi . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 46 . . .	5744
_____ <i>v.</i> Mafzunnissa Bibi	5 B. L. R., 341, note : 14 W. R., 84.	5139
Collector of Tirhoot. In the matter of . . .	14 W. R., 390 . . .	1195
_____ <i>v.</i> Hurroperashad Mohunt . . .	7 W. R., 500 . . .	2120, 2141
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajcoomar Deo Nundun Singh	10 W. R., 218 . . .	86
Collector of Trichinopoly <i>v.</i> Lekamani . . .	14 B. L. R., 115 : 21 W. R., } 358 : L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282 }	2442, 3578 6071
Collector of 24-Pergunnahs <i>v.</i> Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 222 . . .	2354
_____ <i>v.</i> Joynarain Bose . . .	W. R., F. B., 17 : 1 Ind. Jur., } O. S., 101. }	1360, 5347
_____ <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Ghose	W. R., F. B., 18 : 1 Hay, 122 . . .	6044
_____ <i>v.</i> Wilkinson . . .	3 W. R., 27 . . .	2947
Collie <i>v.</i> Cochrane . . .	12 W. R., 444 . . .	1204
Collins. In the matter of the goods of . . .	1 B. L. R., O. C., 131 . . .	2636
_____ <i>v.</i> Dewan Singh . . .	Bourke, O. C., 104 . . .	6217
_____ <i>v.</i> Maula Bakhsh . . .	2 N. W., 465 . . .	5827
Collis <i>v.</i> Manohar Das . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 284 . . .	3517
_____ <i>v.</i> Tarinee Churn Singh . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 745 . . .	239
Collision between the "Ava" and the "Brenhilda." In the matter of.	3 W. R., 210 . . .	3147
Colonas <i>v.</i> Bulajan . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 568 : 5 C. L. R., 331. }	1779, 1843 3813
Colvin, Cowie, and Co., <i>v.</i> Elias . . .	Marsh., 211 : 1 Hay, 587 . . .	1913
Comalammal <i>v.</i> Rungaswamy Iyengar	2 B. L. R., A. C., 212 : 11 W. R., 40.	293, 902 1405, 4410
	4 Mad., 56 . . .	755, 758 774

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Commercial Bank v. Madoosoodun Chowdhry .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17 .	1065
Commissioner of the Soonderbunds v. Chunder Coomar Ghose.	3 W. R., 175 . . .	4290
Commundun Mohideen Saib v. Oree Meerah Saib .	7 Mad., 271 . . .	4683
Companies Act (XIX of 1857). In the matter of .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 .	3146
_____ (X of 1866). In the matter of .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330 .	945
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335 .	943, 951
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350 .	951, 2669
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352 .	2069
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17 .	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94 .	943
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123 .	945
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 .	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257 .	953
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888 .	944
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317 .	924
_____ Rom-	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296 .	936
nath Gossain's case.		
Comptoir D'Escompte de Paris v. Currie & Co. In re {	3 B. L. R., Ap., 153 : 12 W. R., 413.	5295
Comul Lochun Roy v. Moran	2 W. R., Act X, 82 . . .	546
Constantine v. Drew	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 42 : Ed. 1873, 100.	3314
Cook v. Jadub Chandra Nandi	2 B. L. R., O. C., 43 . . .	5016
Cooke v. Hiseeba Beebee	6 N. W., 181	1935
Coomara Yettapa Naikar v. Venkateswara Yettia .	5 Mad., 405	2417
Coomaree Dabee v. Mahtab Chund	W. R., 1864, 380	3821
Coomari Rodeshwar v. Manroop Koer	L. R., 13 I. A., 20	1199, 1505
Coomar Poresh Narain Roy v. Watson & Co. . .	3 C. L. R., 543	1592
Coombe v. Caw	13 B. L. R., 268 : 22 W. R., } 257.	2647
Coonamull v. Surno Raur	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 187 . . .	5277
Coorlawalla. In re	9 Bom., 1	2674
Cooverji Ludha v. Bhimji Girdhar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 528	644
Corrah v. Gooroo Churn Ghose	18 W. R., 13	769
Coringa Oil Company v. Keogler	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 466	1113
Cornell v. Oody Tara Chowdhraim	8 W. R., 372	1382, 5366
Corporation of Calcutta v. Bheecunram Napit alias Bheecun Napit.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 290	6025
Corporation of the Town of Calcutta v. Anderson .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 445	3154, 4048
_____ v. Matoo Bewah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 108	652
Cosserat v. Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo	3 W. R., 210	2391
Cossim Hossein Soortu v. Lee Phee Chuan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 477 : 5 C. L. } R., 157.	597
Costello. In the matter of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 57	2676
Cotton v. Pegu Saw Mills Company	9 W. R., 539	923
Coultroup v. Smith	1 Mad., 204	3541
Courjon v. Courjon	9 B. L. R., Ap., 10	4515, 6020
Court of Wards. In the matter of the petition of .	7 W. R., 222	223
_____ v. Bunwaree Lall Thakoor	15 W. R., 102	1787, 3414
_____ v. Burra Lall Opendronath Deo	15 W. R., 99	4464
_____ v. Coomar Ramaput Singh	10 B. L. R., 294 : 17 W. R., } 459 : 14 Moore's I. A., 605.	2517, 5403
Court of Wards v. Darmalinga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 2	3590
_____ v. Debnath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 222	2354
_____ v. Fouzdar Roy	25 W. R., 14	582

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Court of Wards <i>v.</i> Gaya Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 107	293, 5413 5472
— <i>v.</i> Kupulmun Singh	10 B. L. R., 364: 19 W. R., 164. }	2338, 3564
— <i>v.</i> Leelannud Singh	14 W. R., 298	3091
— <i>v.</i> ———	25 W. R., 157	4912
— <i>v.</i> Lilanund Singh	4 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 13 W. R., 48. }	4400
— <i>v.</i> Mitterjeet Singh	23 W. R., 348	1252
— <i>v.</i> Mohessur Roy	16 W. R., 76	2494
— <i>v.</i> Mollwo, March, & Co.	12 W. R., 56	4319
— <i>v.</i> Nitta Kali Debee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 353: 12 W. R., 287. }	4853, 6150
— <i>v.</i> Radha Proshad Singh	22 W. R., 238	44
— <i>v.</i> Raj Kumar Deo Nandan Sing	9 B. L. R., 310, note	2226
— <i>v.</i> Roop Moonjuree Kooer	25 W. R., 260	5750
— <i>v.</i> Rughoobur Dyal	7 W. R., 5	2338, 3565 3566
— on behalf of the Raja of Darbangha. }	7 B. L. R., 730: 16 W. R., 191	267
In the matter of the petition of. }		
Coverji Luddha <i>v.</i> Morarji Punja	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 183	190, 646 5223
Cowar Rajkumar Roy <i>v.</i> Kadambini Debi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 175	899
Cowasjee Nanabhoy <i>v.</i> Lalbhoy Vullubhoy	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 468: 26 W. R., 78: L. R., 3 I. A., 200. }	4331
Cowasji Beramji Lilaovala. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 15	3561
Cowasji Edalji. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 1	2672
Cowell <i>v.</i> Ishen Chunder Sen	18 W. R., 16	781, 6236
— <i>v.</i> Mohadeb Mundul	17 W. R., 182	5127, 5313
Cowie. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Cal., 70: 7 C. L. R., 19. }	467, 2670 6059
— <i>v.</i> Dhurmsee Poonjabhoy	2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 75	4607
— <i>v.</i> Elias	10 W. R., 43	855
— <i>v.</i> Remfry	3 Moore's I. A., 448	1063
Cowlbas Koonwur <i>v.</i> Lall Bahadur Singh	9 Moore's I. A., 39	2150
Crawford <i>v.</i> Spooner	4 Moore's I. A., 179	5642
Crawley <i>v.</i> Luchmee Ram	1 Agra, 129	2798
— <i>v.</i> Maling	1 Agra, 63	303, 4610
Criniva Sammal <i>v.</i> Vijayammal	2 Mad., 37	2215
Crosthwaite <i>v.</i> Hamilton	I. L. R., 1 All., 87	4612, 5710
Crowder <i>v.</i> Bhekdhari Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 45: 16 W. R., 41. }	1162
Crowdie <i>v.</i> Kullar Chowdhry	21 W. R., 307	1776
Crowdy <i>v.</i> Goburdhun Roy	22 W. R., 491	3809
— <i>v.</i> Inder Roy	18 W. R., 408	2608
— <i>v.</i> Jhukree Dhanook	23 W. R., 387	3044
— <i>v.</i> Omrao Singh	22 W. R., 476	3804
— <i>v.</i> Poorao Singh	22 W. R., 480	3806
— <i>v.</i> Ram Bhurose Chowdhry	23 W. R., 383	4194
— <i>v.</i> Sree Misser	12 W. R., 4	4930
Cruise. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 53	4444
Crump <i>v.</i> Crump	3 B. L. R., O. C., 101	1554
Culliaandoss Kirparam <i>v.</i> Cleveland	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15	4560
Cumming <i>v.</i> Green	4 B. L. R., Ap., 75	4521
Curness <i>v.</i> Sheochurn Sahoo	W. R., 1864	184, 303
Curreemunnissa Begum <i>v.</i> Ahmed Haleemoozoman	10 C. L. R., 293	3704

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Currie v. Mutu Ramen Chetty	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 126 : 11 W. R., 520. }	304, 4772 5801
Cursetji Rustomji Setna v. Williams	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 313	599
Cutenho v. Souza	1 Mad., 15	3028
Cutts v. Brown	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 328 : 7 C. L. R., 171. }	1814, 5797 2755
D		
Dabee v. Nukeesunnissa	W. R., 1864, Act X, 116	3129, 3180
Dabee Bhuggut v. Beechun Raoot	W. R., 1864, Act X, 111	1583
Dabee Churn v. Jankee Pershad	3 Agra, 141	4611
Dabee Deen v. Doorga Pershad	3 N. W., 49	31
Dabee Doss Neogee Chowdhry v. Gobind Mohun Ghose	10 W. R., 213	1647
Dabee Dut v. Enait Ali	2 N. W., 395	1344, 1786
Dabee Dutt Misser v. Alikoonnissa	W. R., F. B., 77	4213
Dabee Golam Singh v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	3 W. R., 109	530
Dabee Lal v. Goolzar Rai	2 N. W., 394	1766
Dabee Matho v. Ram Mohun Mookhopadhya	10 C. L. R., 433	1972
Dabee Misser v. Mungur Meah	2 C. L. R., 208	1683
Dabee Munnee Chowdhrair v. Faqueer Chunder Shaha	W. R., 1864, 293	5355
Dabee Pershad v. Bisheshur Pershad Singh	6 N. W., 289	4540
_____ v. Joy Lall Chowdhry	12 W. R., 361	2065
_____ v. Man Khan	2 N. W., 444	4008
Dabee Pershad Chatterjee v. Ram Coomar Ghosal	10 W. R., 443	1743, 1768
Dabee Pershad Singh v. Delawur Ali	13 W. R., 312	1867
Dabee Rawoot v. Heramun Muhatoon	8 W. R., 223	3981
Dabee Singh v. Punchum Singh	2 Agra, Part II, 203	1588
Dabee Suhai v. Sheo Dass Rai	1 Agra, 285	4174
Dabi Din Rai v. Muhammad Ali	I. L. R., 3 All., 850	1486
Dabi Dutt Singh v. Gobind Pershad	25 W. R., 259	3980
Dabjee Sahoo v. Tumeezooddeen	10 W. R., 102	4192
Daboo Jha v. Luwa Jha	11 W. R., 223	4412
Dabu. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 47	1808
Dacosta. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 432	5940
_____ v. Currie	4 B. L. R., A. C., 50	4743
_____ v. Hall	5 W. R., Mis., 25	5940
_____ v. Kalee Pershad Singh	12 W. R., 260	449
Dadabhai. In the matter of the petition of	1 Bom., 16	4621
Dadabhai Hussanji v. Kuvarbai	10 Bom., 386	5715
Dadabhai Naoroji & Co. v. Sorabji Cowasji	3 Bom., O. C., 55	4519
Dadabhai Narsi v. Sallemann Dassi	5 Bom., A. C., 127	2046
Dadabhai Narsidas v. Sub-Collector of Broach	7 Bom., A. C., 82	{ 609, 4147 5811
Dadabhai Nasarvanji v. Manikji Shapurji Kaka	7 Bom., O. C., 22	2672
Dada Honaji v. Babaji Jagushet	2 Bom., 38 : 2nd Ed., 33	1574, 1817
Dadaji Bhikaji v. Rukhmabai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 529	5097
_____ v. _____	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 301	5097
Dada valad Valli v. Bavasha valad Kasam	6 Bom., A. C., 9	288, 4413
Dadia Bibee. In re the claim of	2 Hyde, 267	3104
Dadibbai Jahangirji v. Ramji bin Bhau	11 Bom., 162	1585, 2055
Dadoba v. Krishna	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 34	2991, 3421
Dadoo Mania. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59	2315, 2526
Dado Ravji v. Dinanath Ravji	2 Bom., 77 : 2nd Ed., 72	4967
Dadu valad Ansar v. Balgouda bin Shankarappa	5 Bom., A. C., 39	4949

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Dagai Dabee v. Mothura Nath Chattopadhyaya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 854: 12 C. L. R., 530.	2261
Dagdu bin Daud Teli Pardeshi v. Shek Saheb valad } Badruddin Kamble.	2 Bom., 369: 2nd Ed., 348	2084, 5013
Dagdusa v. Shamad	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 512	3300
Dagdusa Tilak Chand v. Bhukan Govind Shet	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 82	356, 5959
Dahoo Munder v. Gopee Nund Jha	2 W. R., 79	5024
Daia Chand v. Sarfraz Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 117	3215
_____ v. _____	I. L. R., 1 All., 425	3214
Daim v. Asooha Bebee	2 N. W., 360	3632, 3712
Daimoddee Paik v. Kaim Taridar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 300: 4 C. L. R., 419.	1816
Daitari Mohanti v. Jugo Bundhoo Mohanti	23 W. R., 293	1740, 1766 4192
Dajiba Anandrav v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India } Railway Company.	6 Bom., A. C., 235	1383
Dakhina Dabea v. Romesh Chunder Dutt	1 W. R., 142	549
Dakkal Ram v. Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 448	5200
Dal Chand v. Soonder	2 Agra, 173	2285
_____ v. Seeta Koonwar	2 Agra, 152	5109
Daleep Singh v. Seith Roshum Lall	1 Agra, 69	1375
Dalgleish v. Jeebun Mahto alias Jhaw Mahto	25 W. R., 230	2792, 5257
Dalip v. Ganpat	I. L. R., 8 All., 387	2321
Dalip Singh v. Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 442	4784, 4815
Dalmiah v. Radhapershad Singh	19 W. R., 188	5248
Daloo Ram v. Shiva Pershad	2 Agra, 71	2641
Dalpatbhai Bhagubhai v. Amarsang Khemabhai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 553	204, 741
Dalpat Narotam v. Bhagvan Khushal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 301	2196
Dalpat Singh v. Nanabhai	2 Bom., 323: 2nd Ed., 306	2276, 4902
Dalrymple v. Bhajan Saha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 54, note	2990
Dalsang Bhavsang v. Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 367	5635
Dalsukhran Mahasukhran v. Lallubhai Moti Chand	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 282	2260, 2432
Dalvi. Ex parte	3 Bom., O. C., 125	935
_____ v. Lakshuman Hari Patil	4 Bom., A. C., 86	3507
Damodar Das v. Gokal Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 79	4411
_____ v. Wilayet Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 763	3751
Damodardas Haridas v. Uttam Chand Savia Chand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 214	786
Damodardas Maniklal v. Utamaram Maniklal	10 Bom., 414	4402
Damodar Devchand v. Naro Mahadev	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11	1463
Damodar Gordhan v. Devram Kanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 367: 25 W. R., 261: L. R., 3 I. A., 102.	694
_____ v. Ganesh Deoram	10 Bom., 37	693
Damodar Gungadbur v. Vamanrav Lakshman	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 435	4024, 5860
Damodar Madhowji v. Purmanandas Jeewandas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 155	2511, 4418
Damodar Parsotam v. Ishvar Jetha	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 89	1898
Damodar Shaligram v. Malhari	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 106	432
Damodhar Bapuji Pachapurkar v. Zinga kom Kandlika	7 Bom., A. C., 31	663
Damodhar Lakhmidas v. Gulabdas Lalbhni	9 Bom., 254	3474
Damodhar Madhavji v. Kahandas Narandas	8 Bom., O. C., 1	698, 4260
Damodhar Timaji Gosavi v. Trimbak Sakhararam	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 370	238
Damodhar Tuljaram v. Lallu Khusaldas	8 Bom., A. C., 177	1363
Damodhar Vithal Khare v. Damodhar Hari Soman	1 Bom., 182	2379
Damodhar Doss v. Bholanath	2 N. W., 413	1926
Damodur Biddyadbur Mohapatro v. Syamanund Dey	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 385: 8 C. L. R., 514.	4487
Damoodur Bhooshun v. Rugho Nath Panja	12 W. R., 242	780
Damoodur Doss. In the matter of	Bourke, Test. 6	3084

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Damoodur Doss <i>v.</i> Choonee Bibee	Cor., 120, 123 : 2 Hyde, 216	752
— <i>v.</i> Ritoo Singh	24 W. R., 325	296
Damoodur Misser <i>v.</i> Roodurmar Misser	Marsh., 161	5262
— <i>v.</i> Senabutty Misrain	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 537 : 10 C. L. R., 401.	2459, 2466 2468, 4310
Damudar Dass <i>v.</i> Mahoram Pandah	13 C. L. R., 96	1710
Damudar Roy <i>v.</i> Nimanund Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 15 W. R., 365.	5334
Damunulla Sirkar <i>v.</i> Mamudi Nashio	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 178 : 11 W. R., 556.	5189
Danaoollah <i>v.</i> Amanutoollah	16 W. R., 147	3064
Danappa bin Subrav. In the matter of	1 Bom., 134	2073
Dandekar <i>v.</i> Dandekars	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 663	383, 385 389
Daniel <i>v.</i> Mohun Bibee	1 Agra, 104	1366
Danmull <i>v.</i> British India Steam Navigation Company	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 477	3273, 3343
Danno <i>v.</i> Darbo	I. L. R., 4 All., 243	2305
Dantuluri Narayana Gajapati Razu Garu <i>v.</i> Surappa Razu.	3 Mad., 113	184, 5771
Dantuluri Rayapparaz <i>v.</i> Malapudi Rayudu	2 Mad., 360	2264
Darbaree Sayal <i>v.</i> Fatu Dhalee	23 W. R., 285	1449
Darbha Venkamma <i>v.</i> Rama Subbarayadu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 387	844, 1705
Darbba Venkatta Sastri <i>v.</i> Vurella Gangaia	2 Mad., 305	1911
Darbo <i>v.</i> Kesho Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 356	4875
Dariao Bishoon <i>v.</i> Dowluta	5 N. W., 9	3048
Darimba Debia <i>v.</i> Nilmonee Singh Deo	13 W. R., 266	4934
— <i>v.</i> Nilmonee Singh Deo	15 W. R., 180	5318, 5789
Darimba Debya <i>v.</i> Nilmoney Singh Deo	5 C. L. R., 465	4
Darimbo Debee <i>v.</i> Hurreehur Mookerjee	18 W. R., 53	5766
Darna Ayyan <i>v.</i> Rajapa Ayyan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 181	5701
Darragh & Co. <i>v.</i> Purshotam Deveji	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 372	2811
Darshan Singh <i>v.</i> Hanwanta	I. L. R., 1 All., 274	4767
Darsun Lall <i>v.</i> Jumuk Lall	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522	1311
Darsu Pandey <i>v.</i> Bikarnajit Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 125	2160
Dasaradhi <i>v.</i> Joddumoni	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 193	2405
Dasi <i>v.</i> Lalanmani	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 64 : 11 W. R., P. C., 27.	340
— <i>v.</i> Saudamini Dasi	9 B. L. R., 192, note	497
Dasmani Dasi <i>v.</i> Srinath Ghose	3 B. L. R., Ap., 11	6276
Das Mercedes <i>v.</i> Cones	2 Hyde, 65	3785, 6203
Dassee <i>v.</i> Pitambur Panda	24 W. R., 129	5329, 5338, 5339
Dassorathy Huri Chunder Mahapattra <i>v.</i> Rama Krishna Jana.	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 526 : 13 C. L. R., 114.	3030, 4410
Data Hurrukman Pyne <i>v.</i> Oodoy Chand Pyne	6 W. R., 247	778
Dataram <i>v.</i> Ram Kristo	9 W. R., 594	5002
Dataram Nath <i>v.</i> Eshan Chunder Law	11 W. R., 116	36
Datti Parisi Nayudu <i>v.</i> Datti Bangaru Nayudu	4 Mad., 204	2320
Dattu <i>v.</i> Kasai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 535	5116
Daud <i>v.</i> Nathu	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 112	3331
Daud Ali <i>v.</i> Nadir Hossein	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 46 : 11 W. R., 388.	673
Daudsha <i>v.</i> Ismalsha	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 72	3698
Daulatia. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 All., 305	2756, 5550
Daur Sinha <i>v.</i> Rughnundun Sinha	3 N. W., 101	5677
Davani Ammal <i>v.</i> Ratna Chetti	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 417	3380
David. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 574	6250

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
David. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 245	4748
— v. Grish Chunder Guha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 183 : 11 C. } L. R., 305. }	1992, 5012 5667
— v. Ram Dhun Chatterjee	6 W. R., Act X, 97	1660, 3018
Davidas Harjivandas v. Tyabally Abdulally	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 30	5717
Davies v. Debee Mahtoon	18 W. R., 376	2039
Davis v. Abdool Hamed	8 W. R., 55	2991, 3112
— v. Koylash Chunder Ghose	13 C. L. R., 333	4218
— v. Middleton	8 W. R., 282	429, 4237
Davlata v. Ganesh Shastri	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 295	848, 4145
Davlata bin Bhujanga v. Beru bin Yadoji	4 Bom., A. C., 197	3041
Davlatram Shriram v. Bulakidas Khemchand	6 Bom., O. C., 24	2578
Davlatsing v. Pandu	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 176	851, 1093
Dawd Ali v. Nadir Hossein	16 W. R., 153	1224, 5829
Day & Co. v. Justices for the Town of Calcutta	Bourke, O. C., 232	650
Dayabhai Dipchand v. Dullabhram Dayaram	8 Bom., A. C., 133	5640
— v. Maniklal Vrijbhukan	8 Bom., A. C., 123	5640
Dayabhai Tribhovandas v. Lakhmichand Pana Chand	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 358	1070, 4415
Dayachand Nemchand v. Hemchand Dharam Chand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 515	1229, 1243 1904, 6074 579, 584
Dayal Chand Sahoy v. Nabin Chandra Adhikari	8 B. L. R., 180 : 16 W. R., 235 } I. L. R., 1 Bom., 237 }	882, 2743 4270, 4891 1528, 6136
Dayal Jairaj v. Jivraj Ratansi	12 Bom., 97	1679, 3225 4327
— v. Khatav Ladha	I. L. R., 5 All., 333	189, 6091
Dayanand v. Bakhtawar Singh	18 W. R., 530	3724
Dayemoolah v. Kirtee Chunder Surmah	2 Agra, 189	5104
Dayum Khan v. Tunsookh Rai	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 578 : 5 C. L. } R., 57. }	1371
De Angelis & Co. v. Mayappa Setty	3 N. W., 54	3890
Deans v. Richardson	14 W. R., 52	897
Deanuth Biswas v. Issur Gine. Ex-parte Hur Chunder Gine. }	12 W. R., 190	5695
Deanut Mundul v. Bussunt Moyee Dassia	10 W. R., 341	1875
Deanutoollah v. Sidhee Nazir Ali Khan	17 W. R., 188	1197
De Assis v. Dos Angos	6 N. W., 130	3794
Debarsee v. Jouguet	22 W. R., 461	2845
Deb Chunder Doss v. Joy Chunder Pal	17 W. R., 189	578
Deb Coomaree Dass v. Gungadhur Dutt	23 W. R., 195	1490
Debee Doss v. Lullit Mohun Shah Chowdhry	1 W. R., 321	2038
Debee Narain Singh v. Sree Kishen Sein	20 W. R., 73	855
Debee Pershad v. Gujadhur Ram	8 W. R., 275	282
— v. Umurth Nath Chowdhry	25 W. R., 374	1164
Debeepershad Sahoo v. Gujadhurpershad Narain Singh	12 W. R., 86	5734
Debee Pershad Singh v. Delawar Ali	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 546	436, 630
Debendra Kumar Mandel v. Rup Lall Dass	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 905 : 12 C. } L. R., 525. }	172, 377
Debendra Nath Shaw v. Aubhoy Churn Bagchi	20 W. R., 30	2518
Debendro Narain Roy v. Coomarr Chundernath Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 390	1357
Debendro Nath Mullick v. Odit Churn Mullick	2 B. L. R., A. C., 328	4214
Debi v. Madan Mohan Singh	3 N. W., 50	5187
Debia v. Brij Lal	21 W. R., 422	1680
Debia Chowdhrair v. Bimola Soonduree Debia	I. L. R., 4 All., 388	1482
Debi Charan v. Pirbhu Din Ram	20 W. R., Cr., 40	5150, 5151
Debi Churn Biswas. In the matter of the petition of		

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Debi Churn Boido <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Manjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 39: 11 C. L. R., 342.	4177
Debi Das <i>v.</i> Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 896	5673
— <i>v.</i> Nur Ahmad	7 N. W., 174	3263, 3274
Debi Dial Singh <i>v.</i> Ajaib Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 543	4874
Debi Dutt Sahoo <i>v.</i> Subodra Bibee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 283: 25 W. R., 449.	89
Debi Parshad <i>v.</i> Thakur Dial	I. L. R., 1 All., 105	2330
Debipershad <i>v.</i> Phul Koeri <i>alias</i> Ghina Koeri	12 W. R., 510	2446
Debi Prasad <i>v.</i> Har Dayal	I. L. R., 7 All., 691	5204
— <i>v.</i> Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 3 All., 40	3412, 5084
— <i>v.</i> Rupu	I. L. R., 6 All., 253	5861
Debi Rai <i>v.</i> Gokal Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 585	844, 1704
Debi Saran Lal <i>v.</i> Debi Saran Upadhia	I. L. R., 6 All., 378	4906
Debi Singh <i>v.</i> Bhup Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 709	4441
— <i>v.</i> Hanuman Upadhya	I. L. R., 3 All., 747	5677
Deb Kumari Bibee <i>v.</i> Ram Lall Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 107: 12 W. R., 66.	3777, 5374
Debnarain Bose <i>v.</i> Comulmonee Dossee	20 W. R., 39	1949
Deb Narain Bose <i>v.</i> Leisk	2 Hyde, 267	3104
Deb Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Ishan Chunder Malo	13 C. L. R., 153	3148
— <i>v.</i> Rajmonee Koonwar	3 W. R., 168	173, 371
Debnarayan Deb <i>v.</i> Kalidas Mitter	6 B. L. R., Ap., 70: 14 W. R., 397.	1756, 3815
Deb Nath Ghose <i>v.</i> Pachoo Mollah	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 8	5694
Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Gudadhur Dey	18 W. R., 132	3337
— <i>v.</i> Kally Kisto Roy Chowdhry	1 W. R., 2	4926
Deboki Nundun Sen <i>v.</i> Hart	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 294	5423
Deb Pershad <i>v.</i> Monga Koonwar	4 W. R., Mis., 19	677
Deb Pooree Boistobee <i>v.</i> Kenoo Singh Roy	20 W. R., 357	3042
Debraj Roy <i>v.</i> Kartick Chunder Sircar	W. R., 1864, 153	370
Debrancee Dossee <i>v.</i> Brojunggonna Dossee	W. R. F. B., 94	1609
— <i>v.</i> Shital Kareegur	W. R., 1864, Act X, 10	539
De Bretton <i>v.</i> De Bretton	I. L. R., 4 All., 49	1556
— <i>v.</i> De Bretton	I. L. R., 4 All., 295	1551
De Courey <i>v.</i> Meghnath Jha	15 W. R., 157	1613
Decree-suits in the Court of Munsif of Debroghur. In the matter of.	7 B. L. R., 305	6021
DeCruz. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 140	1259
Deedar Hossein <i>v.</i> Zuhooroonnissa	2 Moore's I. A., 441	3939, 4644
Deegendur Narain Ghose <i>v.</i> Hur Kishore Dutt	8 W. R., 88	3513
Deela Mahton. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 70	6255
— <i>v.</i> Sheo Dyal Koeri	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 714	6255
Deela Singh <i>v.</i> Toofanee Singh	1 W. R., 307	2357
Deelun Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Jeetoo Kaijee	24 W. R., 353	4915
Deen Doyal Lal <i>v.</i> Choa Singh	25 W. R., 189	2712
— <i>v.</i> Het Narayan Sing	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 41	2712
Deendoyal Poramanick <i>v.</i> Kylas Chunder Pal Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 92: 24 W. R., 106.	2499
Deen Dyal Agustee <i>v.</i> Watson	W. R., 1864, Act X, 113	1642
Deen Dyal Burmo Doss <i>v.</i> Poran Doss	9 W. R., 474	3252
Deendyal Lal <i>v.</i> Jugdeep Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 198: 1 C. L. R., 49: L. R., 4 I. A. 247.	2390, 2396
Deen Dyal Lal <i>v.</i> Thukroo Koonwar	6 W. R., Act X, 24	7
Deendyal Paramanick <i>v.</i> Juggeshur Roy	Marsh., 252: 2 Hay, 21	5320
— <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Chowdhry	9 W. R., 283	752

Name of Case.	Volume and l	Column of Digest.
Deendyal Paramanick v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry . . .	10 W. R., 345 . . .	5114, 5919
— v. Suttish Chunder Roy . . .	15 W. R., 272 . . .	1635
Deen Dyal Sahoo v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927: 9 } W. R., 223. }	211
Deen Dyal Singh v. Danee Roy . . .	13 W. R., 185 . . .	2890, 6238
— v. Heera Singh . . .	2 N. W., 338 . . .	3067
Deno Moyee Dossee v. Doorga Pershad Mitter . . .	3 W. R., Mis., 6 . . .	676
— v. Tarachurn Coondoo Chowdhry {	3 W. R., Mis., 7, note: Bourke, } A. O. C., 48. }	676
Deep Chand v. Gourree . . .	13 W. R., 98 . . .	5943
Deep Narain Singh v. Lal Chutterput Singh . . .	6 W. R., P. C., 27 . . .	5370
Deepo Dabea v. Gobindo Deb . . .	11 B. L. R., 131, note: 16 W. } R., 42. }	2218
Deepo Debia v. Gobindo Deb . . .	16 W. R., 42 . . .	2501, 3417
Degamber Mozumdar v. Kally Nath Roy . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 654: 9 C. L. } R., 265. }	30, 3149
Degumber Dossee v. Kissen Dhur Nundy . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 35 . . .	4902
Degumber Paul v. Kallydoss Dutt . . .	8 W. R., Cr., 82 . . .	973
Degumbery Dossee v. Anundnath Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, 43 . . .	4469
Degumbur Chatterjee v. Ram Prea Dabea . . .	Marsh., 517: 2 Hay, 583 . . .	352
Degumburee Dabea v. Shamasoonduree Debea . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 81 . . .	536
Degumburee Dabee v. Eshan Chunder Sein . . .	9 W. R., 230 . . .	1144
Degumbur Mitter v. Gobiudo Chunder Halidar . . .	Marsh., 354: 2 Hay, 402 . . .	1630
Delanney v. Bibeejan . . .	22 W. R., 494 . . .	4944
Delauney v. Kofilooddeen . . .	25 W. R., 35 . . .	3007
Delawur Ali v. Dabee Pershad . . .	11 W. R., 203 . . .	238, 4927
Delhi and London Bank v. Hunter . . .	3 N. W., 264 . . .	4615
— v. Miller . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 65 . . .	4422
— v. Orchard . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 47: L. R., } 4 I. A., 127. }	3132, 4981
— v. Wordie . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 249: 25 W. } R., 272. }	2830
Delhi Bank Corporation v. Greenway . . .	Bourke, O. C., 227 . . .	4613, 4615
Delroos Banoo Begum v. Ashgar Ally Khan . . .	15 B. L. R., 167: 23 W. R., } 453. }	113, 1246
Delrus Banoo Begum v. Abdoor Ruhman . . .	21 W. R., 368 . . .	4233
DeMello v. Broughton . . .	11 B. L. R., Ap., 6 . . .	164
Dena Gaze v. Mohinee Mohun Doss . . .	21 W. R., 157 . . .	2591
Dena Nath Banerjee v. Hari Dasi . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 499 . . .	1643
Dengoo Shaikh v. Adam Sircar . . .	17 W. R., Cr., 37 . . .	5773
Denobundhoo Bhadoore v. Prankishen Surma . . .	20 W. R., 146 . . .	4097, 5166
— Chowdhry v. Kristomonee Dossee . . .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 162 . . .	1629
— Pal v. Shushi Mohun Pal Chowdhry . . .	12 C. L. R., 60 . . .	5012
— Sadhoo v. Khetternath Tewarry . . .	2 Hay, 20 . . .	5440
Denobundhu Ghose v. Nistarini Dossee . . .	12 C. L. R., 50 . . .	4821
Deno Moyee Dossee v. Doorga Pershad Mitter . . .	3 W. R., Mis., 6 . . .	1758
— v. Tarrachurn Coondoo Chowdhry . . .	Bourke, O. C., 153 . . .	2145
Denonath Chuckerbutty v. Lallit Coomar Gango- padhya . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 633: 12 C. } L. R., 146. }	6275
Denonath Gangooly v. Nursingh Proshad Doss . . .	14 B. L. R., 87: 22 W. R., 90 {	3505
Denonath Ghattack v. Rajcoomar Singh . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573 . . .	3389, 3431
Denonath Mullick. In re . . .	10 B. L. R., 80 and 82, note . . .	4007
Denonath Ruckit v. Mutty Lal Paul . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125: } Hyde, 158. }	6068
Denonath Shaw v. Hurry Narain Shaw . . .	12 B. L. R., 349 . . .	1574
Denonauth Sreemany v. Hogg . . .	1 Hyde, 141 . . .	434, 1896
		2348, 3364
		2823

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Denuo Panoye v. Chinta Monee Chowdhry	18 W. R., 457 . . .	765
Deo Chand Sahoo v. Teeluck Singh . . .	14 W. R., 238 . . .	3923
Deo Dat v. Ram Autar	I. L. R., 8 All., 502 . . .	1463, 3990 4547
Deodhari Singh v. Lalla Sewsarun Lal	3 C. L. R., 395 . . .	4973
Deo Dhary Singh v. Dowlut Ram . . .	3 C. L. R., 189 . . .	572
Deojeet v. Bhugwant	6 N. W., 373 . . .	1602, 5876 1115, 3886
Deojit v. Pitambar	I. L. R., 1 All., 275 . . .	4767
Deo Karun v. Mohamed Ali Shah . . .	3 N. W., 328 . . .	2004, 3128 2340, 2341
Deokee v. Sookhdeo	2 N. W., 361 . . .	2524
Deokee Nundun v. Oomrao Singh . . .	2 Agra, 248 . . .	2812
Deokee Nundun Roy v. Kalee Pershad	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34 . . .	322
Deoki Nandan v. Dhian Singh	8 W. R., 366 . . .	5024, 5063
Deokishen v. Bansi	I. L. R., 8 All., 467 . . .	3023
— v. Budh* Prakash	I. L. R., 8 All., 172 . . .	5035, 5793
— v. Maheshar Shahai	I. L. R. 5 All., 909 . . .	2337
	I. L. R., 4 All., 248 . . .	242
Deolie Chand v. Nirban Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 252 : 4 C. L. R., 150.	3908
Deonarain Singh v. Naek Pershad . . .	2 N. W., 217 . . .	1744, 3821
Deo Nath v. Peer Khan	3 Agra, 16 . . .	477
Deo Nundun Agha v. Deshputty Singh . .	8 C. L. R., 210, note . . .	1162, 3383
Deopershad v. Lujoo Roy	14 B. L. R., 245, note: 20 } W. R., 102.	2306, 2496
Deo Prosad Sing v. Pertab Kairee . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 86 : 13 C. L. R., 218.	3194
Deoputtee Koonwar v. Dhamoo Lall . . .	11 W. R., 240 . . .	2080
Deoranel Koowar v. Indurjeet Koowar . .	12 W. R., 234 . . .	4473, 4992
Deorani Koer v. Parusman Narain . . .	12 C. L. R., 546 . . .	92
Deo Sarun Singh v. Tulsi Kant	12 C. L. R., 221 . . .	4504
Deo Surun Poory v. Mahomed Ismail . . .	24 W. R., 300 . . .	3411, 5761
DePenning v. Debendronath Moitro . . .	9 W. R., 549 . . .	394
Deputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom v. Rungo Lall Deo.	Marsh., 117 : W. R., F. B., 34 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 34 : 1 Hay, 200.	2039
Deputy Commissioner of Nowgong v. Nothiram Bhuaya.	21 W. R., 435 . . .	2000
Deputy Commissioner of Rae Bareli v. Rampal Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 237 : L. R., 12 I. A., 1.	3901
Desai Himatsingji Joravarsingji v. Bhavabhai Kayabhai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 643 . . .	614, 1121 5502
Desai Kalyanrai Hakumatrai. Ex parte . . .	4 Bom., A. C., 145 . . .	5830
Desai Kalyanraya Hukamatraya v. Government of Bombay.	5 Bom., A. C., 1 . . .	2060
Desai Maneklal Amrat Lal v. Desai Shivilal Bhogi Lal	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426 . . .	3297
Desaji Lakhmaji v. Fhavanidas Narotamdas . .	8 Bom., A. C., 100 . . .	184, 289 5771
Desalji Manaji v. Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha	10 Bom., 308 . . .	5683 .
DeSaran v. Hurrish Chunder Biswas . . .	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6 . . .	5278, 6236
Desaratulla v. Nazir Ali Khan	1 B. L. R., A. C., 216 . . .	1875
Desban Hossein v. Khodija	8 W. R., 64 . . .	780
DeSilva v. Ameer Shaha	16 W. R., 303 . . .	5617
— v. DeSilva	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16 : Bourke, O. C., 281.	6203
— v. Jehangeer	7 W. R., Cr., 23 . . .	4725

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
DeSilva v. Raj Coomarr Dutt	16 W. R., 153	1621, 5109
— v. Teheranee	9 W. R., 374	3834
DeSouza v. Coles	3 Mad., 384	2809, 3093
— v. DeSouza	12 Bom., 184	1944
— v. Pestanji Dhanjibhai	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 408	316, 3638
— v. Rangalan	6 Mad., 257	4072
— v. Secretary of State for India	12 B. L. R., 423	1944
Desputty Singh. In the matter of the petition of {	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 208: 25 W. R., 489. }	4669
— v. Doolar Roy. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 291	3146
Desraj v. Dhuni	7 N. W., 9	2881
Devaji v. Ramakristniah	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 173	5847
Devaji Gayaji v. Godabhai Godbhai	2 B. L. R., P. C., 85: 11 W. R., P. C., 35. }	1785, 1848
Devaji Gayaji v. Godadbhai Godebhai	2 Bom., 28: 2nd Ed., 27	4461, 4653
Devappa Setti v. Ramanadha Bhatt	3 Mad., 109	1506
Devarakonda Narasamma v. Devarakonda Kanaya	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 134	758
Devaran v. Ventesa Achariyar	1 Mad., 452	1204, 5021
Devasagayam Pitchamathoo v. Naiyagam	7 Mad., 284	4247
Deva Singh v. Ram Manohar	1. L. R., 2 All., 746	1550
Devgir Guru Sumbhagir. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 91	2181
Devidas Jagjivan v. Pirjada Begam	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 377	4338
Devi Dutt. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 193	3459, 4677
Devkabei v. Jefferson	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 248	4776
Devrav Krishna v. Halambhai	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 87	3603
Devsi Ghela v. Jivaraj Mukundas	2 Bom., 430: 2nd Ed., 406	1217
Devu v. Deyi	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 353	5050
Dewan Ali v. Munsoor Ali	11 W. R., 259	6121
— v. Soroshibala Dabee	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 297: 10 C. L. R., 111. }	2219
Dewan Munar Ali v. Ashurooddeen Mahomed	5 W. R., 270	3147
Dewan Ramjewan Singh v. Collector of Shahabad {	14 B. L. R., 221, note: 18 W. R., 64. }	791
Dewan Roy v. Riddell	9 W. R., 521	3711
Dewanti Kunwar v. Dwarkanath	8 B. L. R., 363, note: 10 W. R., 273. }	65, 2877
Dewar & Co. Ex parte	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 411	5380
Dhadphaie v. Gurav	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 122	2447
Dhajah Roy v. Sukhawut Hossein	Marsh., 492	2660
Dham M'indul. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 53	5252
Dhanak Singh v. Chain Sukh	1. L. R., 8 All., 61	5268
Dhan Bhagut v. Ramessur Dutt Singh	20 W. R., 53	1290
Dhan Bibee v. Haradhan Ram	2 B. L. R., Ap. 11: 11 W. R., 4. }	4090
Dhanessuree v. Goodhur Sahoy	11 W. R., 421	759
Dhanjibhai Bomanji Gagrati v. Navazbai	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 75	201
Dhanjibhai Kharsetji Ratnagar. In re	10 Bom., 327	3535
Dhanno Sirang v. Upendra Mohan Tagore	8 B. L. R., 244	130, 2586
Dhanobar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of {	6 B. L. R., 483: 15 W. R., Cr., 33. }	4241
Dhanookdharee Sahee v. Toomey	20 W. R., 142	2642
Dhanpat Singh v. Lilanand Singh	2 B. L. R., Ap., 18: 11 W. R., 28. }	6204
Dhanpat Singh Bahadoor v. Madhumati Debi	9 B. L. R., 197, note: 18 W. R., 316. }	256

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhanpat Singh Dogar v. Rahman Mandol	2 B. L. R., A. C., 269: 11 W. R., 163. }	555
Dhan Singh v. Basant Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 519	3144, 5964
Dhanuk Dhari Singh v. Gapi Singh	6 B. L. R., Ap., 151: 15 W. R., 191. }	3430
Dharam Chand v. Janki	I. L. R., 5 All., 389	2433, 5685
Dharamdas Bhavanidas. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 104	168
Dharamdas Santidas v. Vaman Govind	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 237	5894
Dharanamma v. Subba	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 306	3521
Dharani Kant Chowdry v. Kristo Kumari Chowdhani	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 181: L. R., 13 I. A., 70. }	471
Dharma Dagv v. Ramkrishna Chinnaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 80	2127
Dharmadas Ghose v. Nusseruddin	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 660	3863
Dharmaji Vaman v. Gurav Shrinivas	10 Bom., 311	995 2090
Dharma Vithal v. Govind Sadvalkar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 99	3208, 3214
Dharm Singh v. Hursershad Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38	4459, 6001
Dharoo Sooklain v. Court of Wards	11 W. R., 336	2342
Dharan Singh v. Kishen Singh	12 C. L. R., 532	1939
Dharup Nath v. Gobind Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 614	1849, 2292
Dhaunsee v. India General Steam Navigation Co.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125: 1 Hyde, 283. }	592
Dheeraj Mahatab v. Mudsoosoodun Mookerjee	Marsh., 572	238
Dheeraj Mahatab Chand v. Debender Nath Thakoor	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68	1390, 5750
Dheeraj Mahatab Chand v. Deno Nath Roy	Marsh., 340: 2 Hay, 445	5240
Dheeraj Raja Mahatab Chund v. Government of Bengal	4 Moore's I. A., 466	3125, 4206
Dheeraj Singh v. Deen Dyal Singh	11 W. R., 144	4648
Dheermoney Dossee v. Croft	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20	5617
Dheput Singh v. Halal Khoory Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 279	5257
_____ v. Mothooranath Jah	W. R., 1864, 278	3001
Dheraj Koer v. Adjoodya Bux Singh	3 N. W., 91	5355
Dheraj Mahatab Chand v. Huro Mohun Acharjee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 1	87
_____ v. Modhoo Soodun Bonnerjee	15 W. R., 162	2234
_____ v. Pearee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 61	3495
Dheraj Mahatab Chunder v. Munmohinee Dossee	12 W. R., 517	201, 897
Dheraj Mahatab Chand v. Chittro Coomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 201	1919
_____ v. Damooder Singh	W. R., 1864, 65	1920
_____ v. Dhun Coomaree Bibee	17 W. R., 254	2951
_____ v. Hurdeo Narain Sahoo	16 W. R., 119	4424
_____ v. Moorleedhur Ghose	15 W. R., 67	406
Dheraj Mahatab Chund v. Burodanath Mundul	18 W. R., 411	899, 1501
_____ v. Rudha Binode Chowdhry	8 W. R., 517	3492, 3495
Dhian Rai v. Thakur Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 25	435
Dhiraj Kuar v. Bikramajit Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 787	5737
Dhiraj Mahatab Chand v. Makund Bullabh Bose	9 B. L. R., Ap., 13: 14 W. R., 246. }	2367
_____ v. Surnomoyee Dossee	12 B. L. R., 414, note: 15 W. R., 222. }	1106
Dhiraj Mahatab Chand. In the matter of the petition of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 217	4925, 4935
_____ v. Bacharam Hazra	5 B. L. R., 162: 13 W. R., F. B., 74. }	439
_____ v. Bulram Singh Baboo	5 B. L. R., 611: 14 W. R., P. C., 21: 13 Moore's I. A., 479. }	5422, 5942
_____ v. Debkumari Debi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 26	3457
		3480, 3508
		2692

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhiraj Mahtab Chand <i>v.</i> Lakhi Bibi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 146	1879, 3526
_____ <i>v.</i> Naduroonnissa Bebee	4 W. R., 82	4950
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Brahma Mullick	4 B. L. R., A. C., 115 : 13 } W. R., 38. }	3523
Dhiraj Mahtab Chund <i>v.</i> Shagor Kundu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 91	5943
Dhodheya <i>v.</i> Munaran Tewary	7 W. R., 356	1530
Dhonaye Mundul <i>v.</i> Arif Mundul	9 W. R., 306	5073
Dhondhai Singh <i>v.</i> Suleemooddeen Hossein	24 W. R., 359	474
Dhondha Rai <i>v.</i> Megho Rai	1 L. R., 4 All., 332	3995
Dhondiba Krishnaji Patel <i>v.</i> Ramchandra Bhagwat	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 554	333, 3287 4466, 6112
Dhondiba Lakshuman <i>v.</i> Kusa	6 Bom., A. C., 219	3860
Dhondi Jagannath <i>v.</i> Collector of Salt Revenue	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 28	243
Dhondo Ramchandra <i>v.</i> Balkrishna Gobind	1 L. R., 8 Bom., 190	2512, 4024
Dhondo Sakharam <i>v.</i> Govind Babaji	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 20	6078
Dhondur Gurav <i>v.</i> Gangabai	1 L. R., 3 Bom., 369	2310
Dhondur Jagannath <i>v.</i> Narayan Ramchandra	1 Bom., 47	2499, 5818
Dhondur Mathuradas Naik <i>v.</i> Ramji valad Hanmanta } Kakda. }	4 Bom., A. C., 114	5411
Dhonender Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mutty Lall Mookerjee {	14 B. L. R., 276 : 23 W. R., } 6 : L. R., 2 I. A., 18. }	129, 1946
Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mutty Loll Mookerjee	Cor., 67	6128
Dhonessur Kooer <i>v.</i> Roy Gooder Sahoy	1 L. R., 2 Calc., 336	3181, 3489 3492
Dhooka Thakoor <i>v.</i> Ram Lall Sahee	7 W. R., 408	1811
Dhoolee Chund <i>v.</i> Rajroop Kooer	15 W. R., 107	3006
Dhoolbin Hurpaul Koonwaree <i>v.</i> Urbuck Singh	3 Agra, 18	37
Dhoondh Bahadoor Singh <i>v.</i> Priag Singh	17 W. R., 314	5753, 5755
Dhoree Roy <i>v.</i> Buldeb Narain Singh	W. R., 1864, 345	5394
Dhumea Behara <i>v.</i> Sevenoaks	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 80	3795
Dhum Singh <i>v.</i> Ganga Ram	1 L. R., 8 All., 214	3296
Dhundi <i>v.</i> Ram Lal	7 N. W., 149	3425, 4475 4989
Dhundiram Santukram <i>v.</i> Chanda Nabai	2 Bom., 103 : 2nd Ed., 98	2602
Dhune Ram <i>v.</i> Amanut Hossein	2 Agra, Pt. II, 161	4166
_____ <i>v.</i> Moorlee Dhur	2 Agra, 325	692
_____ <i>v.</i>	2 Agra, 326	692
Dhunjeebhoy Byramjee Mehta <i>v.</i> Betham	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 305	596
Dhunka Devla <i>v.</i> Hira Ramla	4 Bom., A. C., 57	5141
Dhunkristo Roy <i>v.</i> Huro Chunder Roy	5 W. R., 197	5789
Dhunkristo Sein <i>v.</i> Erskine & Co.	16 W. R., 54	3106
Dhunmonee Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Brindabun Chunder Sircar } Chowdry. }	7 W. R., 160	3192
Dhun Monee Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Golan Kasom	23 W. R., 331	4474
_____ <i>v.</i> Woomachurn Roy	23 W. R., 445	5794
Dhun Monee Debee <i>v.</i> Suttoorghun Seal	6 W. R., Act X, 100	4151
Dhunesh Koeree <i>v.</i> Oolfut Hossein	21 W. R., 219	1882
Dhunno Kazi. In the matter of the petition of	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 121	6260
_____ <i>v.</i> Empress	10 C. L. R., 151	6260
Dhunnoo <i>v.</i> Damodur Doss	2 N. W., 177	6076
Dhunnoo Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bukshun	12 W. R., 266	5705
Dhunno Sowdagur <i>v.</i> Sunnoo Bibee	15 W. R., 106	404
Dhunoo <i>v.</i> Brown	21 W. R., Cr., 25	4508
Dhunookdharee Lall <i>v.</i> Gunput Lall	11 B. L. R., 201, note : 10 } W. R., 122. }	2361
Dhunput Singh. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 30	969
_____ <i>v.</i> Boojah Sahco	4 W. R., 53	3373

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhunput Singh <i>v.</i> Denobhundu Saha	9 C. L. R., 279	1105
_____ <i>v.</i> Forbes	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 354	5614
_____ <i>v.</i> Gooman Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61	1588, 4394
_____ <i>v.</i> _____	9 W. R., P. C., 3 : 11 Moore's { I. A., 433. }	1586, 1588 3067
_____ <i>v.</i> Indurchunder Doogur	13 W. R., 121	5944
_____ <i>v.</i> Jhoomuk Khawas	3 C. L. R., 579	4408
_____ <i>v.</i> Lekraj Roy	1 C. L. R., 525	3319
_____ <i>v.</i> Mills	7 W. R., 473	4924
_____ <i>v.</i> Narain Pershad Singh	20 W. R., 94	4560, 4859
_____ <i>v.</i> Prem Bibee	24 W. R., 72	776, 5583
_____ <i>v.</i> Russomoyee Chowdhraïn	10 W. R., 461	4211
_____ <i>v.</i> Sham Soonder Mitter	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 291 : 4 C. } L. R., 501. }	5017
_____ <i>v.</i> Shooohudra Kumari	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 620 : 11 C. } L. R., 285. }	1250
_____ <i>v.</i> Wooma Sunkuree Goopta	21 W. R., 337	1881
Dhunput Singh Dogare <i>v.</i> Golam Hadee	2 Hyde, 106 : Cor., 12	2706
Dhunput Singh Doogur <i>v.</i> Government	17 W. R., 489	670
_____ <i>v.</i> Jowahur Ali	8 W. R., 152	1813
_____ <i>v.</i> Ruhman Mundul	9 W. R., 329	556
Dhunput Singh Roy <i>v.</i> Mudhomottee Debia	{ 11 B. L. R., 23 : 18 W. R., } 76. }	3515
_____ <i>v.</i> Villayet Ali	{ 13 B. L. R., 153, note : 15 W. } R., 211. }	5337
Dhunputty Koer <i>v.</i> Lloyd	17 W. R., 277	3286
Dhun Rae <i>v.</i> Ramphul Rae	2 N. W., 109	2772
Dhunraj <i>v.</i> Broughton	15 B. L. R., 296	123
_____ <i>v.</i> Govindaram	1 B. L. R., O. C., 76	2818
_____ <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	{ 1 N. W., 118 : Ed. 1873, } 204. }	3790, 4422
Dhunraj Giri Goswami <i>v.</i> Sripati Giri Goswami	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 27	683, 4499
Dhunraj Kooree <i>v.</i> Roodur Pertab Singh	{ 3 Agra, 300 : Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 155. }	81
Dhunraj Koonwar <i>v.</i> Ooggur Narain Koonwar	15 W. R., 2	4148
Dhun Singh <i>v.</i> Chunder Kant Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 25	4149
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Suhai	2 Agra, 39	3658
Dhun Singh Roy <i>v.</i> Chunder Kant Mookerjee	4 W. R., Act X, 43	1610, 1760
Dhunsook Doss <i>v.</i> Hurry Baboo	Bourke, O. C., 115	752, 5131
Dhunum Kaze. In the matter of	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53 : 11 C. } L. R., 169. }	2013, 6161 6164
Dhunundro Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Laidlay	20 W. R., 400	2996
Dhununjoy Ghose <i>v.</i> Bemal Dhara Bagdee	17 W. R., 514	4759
Dhurai Noshyo <i>v.</i> Hube Noshyo	7 W. R., Cr., 12	957, 958
Dhurandhardas Sakharan <i>v.</i> Bhau Govind	10 Bom., 4	4522
Dhurjobutty Chowdrain <i>v.</i> Chamroo Mundul	25 W. R., 217	542
Dhurum Chand Sreemul <i>v.</i> Hurrish Chunder Doobey	2 Hay, 115	4615
Dhurum Chund Shatea <i>v.</i> Rajmohishee Debee	5 W. R., 145	2342
Dhurum Dass Pandey <i>v.</i> Shama Soondery Debia	{ 6 W. R., P. C., 43 : 3 Moore's } I. A., 229. }	321, 2141 2361
Dhurumdeo Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Nund Lall Singh	6 N. W., 257	4767
Dhurum Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Bundhoo Ram	12 W. R., 75	3833
Dhurmo Doss Koondoo <i>v.</i> Koylash Kaminee Dossia	12 W. R., 372	1515, 5740
Dhurmo Narain Sahu <i>v.</i> Dassee	18 W. R., 440	1365
Dhurum Roy <i>v.</i> Muddoosoodun Prosad Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 117	3874
Dhuronidhur Sen <i>v.</i> Agra Bank	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 380 : 2 C. } L. R., 283 : 3 C. L. R., 421. }	2609

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhuronidhur Sen <i>v.</i> Agra Bank	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 86 : 4 C. L. } R., 434.	2610, 3269
Dhurony Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Bissambhur Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 103	5080
Dhurum Dass <i>v.</i> Mussoorie Savings Bank	6 N. W., 296	443
Dhurum Singh <i>v.</i> Kissen Singh	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 767 : 9 C. L. } R., 410.	110, 111
Dhurum Rawoot <i>v.</i> Ram Nath Sahoo	2 Hay, 585	2751
Dhurundo Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Annund Moyee Dossee	1 W. R., 103	3389, 4470
Dial Singh <i>v.</i> Jawahir Singh	2 Agra, 108	5626
Dianat-ullah Beg <i>v.</i> Wajid Ali Shah	1. L. R., 6 All., 438	3483
Dianut Hosen. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 14	5165
Dibeali <i>v.</i> Koond Luta	7 W. R., P. C., 44 : 4 Moore's } I. A., 292.	2280
Dick <i>v.</i> Heseltine	1 N. W., 196 : Ed. 1873, 280	524
Digambur Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Ram Roodro Gungopadhya	13 W. R., 39	1209
Digamburee Dabee <i>v.</i> Nundgopal Banerjee	1 W. R., Mis., 1	1074
Digamburee Debia <i>v.</i> Eshan Chunder Sein	15 W. R., 372	1144, 5439
_____ <i>v.</i> Saroda Pershad Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 27	3204
_____ <i>v.</i> Sharoda Pershad Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 46	1144
Digamburee Dossee <i>v.</i> Poornanand Dey	7 W. R., 401	179
Digambur Mitter <i>v.</i> Huopershad Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 126	2975
Digdayi <i>v.</i> Bhatan Lal	5 B. L. R., 448, note : 11 W. } R., 500.	2286
Digumburee Dabee. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 938	1144
Digumburee Dossee <i>v.</i> Baneemadhub Ghose	15 W. R., 155	1393, 4132
Digumber Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Moti Lal Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 563 : 12 C. } L. R., 204.	2290
Dijahur Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 647	1305
Dikshit <i>v.</i> Dikshit	2 Bom., 4	5748
Dila Kuari <i>v.</i> Jagar Nath Kuari	I. L. R., 6 All., 17	4545
Dila Mondul <i>v.</i> Kally Sahee	16 W. R., Cr., 63	1853
Dilbasse Koonwaree Mothee <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 278	4956
Dildar Hossein <i>v.</i> Mujeedunnissa	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 629	784
Dildur <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 36	583
Dilloo Singh <i>v.</i> Ootim Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 9	4735
Dinabandhu <i>v.</i> Lokanadhasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 322	3025
Dinabandhu Chowdry <i>v.</i> Rajmohini Chowdrain	8 B. L. R., Ap., 32	1245
Dinanath Abaji <i>v.</i> Sadashiv Hari Madhave	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 9	2842
Dinanath Das <i>v.</i> Gagan Chandra Sen	7 B. L. R., Ap., 45, note : 14 } W. R., 274.	1626
Dinanath Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Debnath Mullick	5 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 13 W. R., } 307.	1775, 5696
Dindayal Paramanik <i>v.</i> Radha Kishori Debi	8 B. L. R., 536 : 17 W. R., 415	550
_____ <i>v.</i> Surendranath Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 78, note : 10 } W. R., 77.	320, 2932
Dindayal Shaha <i>v.</i> Ganesh Mahatun	{ 6 B. L. R., 561, note : 12 W. } R., 528, note.	3978
Dindoyal Poramanick <i>v.</i> Pran Kishen Paul Chowdhry	{ Marsh., 394 : W. R., F. B., } 117 : 2 Hay, 423.	6175
Dindyal Lall <i>v.</i> Ramruttun Neoghee	16 W. R., 46	3779
Dindyal Paramanick <i>v.</i> Dino Bundhoo Chowdry	21 W. R., 412	494
Dinendra Nath Sannyal <i>v.</i> Chandra Kishore Munshi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436	6034
Dinendronath Sannial <i>v.</i> Ramkumar Ghose	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107 : 10 C. } L. R., 281 : L. R., 8 I. A., } 65.	444, 5378
Dinendro Nath Shanial. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 851	3622
Dinkar Sitaram <i>v.</i> Ganesh Shivram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 505	2124

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dinkishen Shatrah <i>v.</i> Gungadhur Mookerjee . . .	2 Hay, 582 . . .	2488
Dinkur Doyal <i>v.</i> Sheo Golam Singh . . .	22 W. R., 172 . . .	4028, 5013
Din-Muhammad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 All., 226	3648, 3741
Dinobundhoo Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Dinonath Mookerjee . .	19 W. R., 168 . . .	1181, 3808
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajmohinee Chowdry . . .	15 W. R., 73 . . .	671, 2411
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajmohini Chowdhrair . . .	16 W. R., 213 . . .	1245
Dinobundhoo Chutteraj <i>v.</i> Beharee Lal Mookerjee	3 W. R., Mis., 23 . .	194, 5729
Dinobundhoo Dey <i>v.</i> Ramdhone Roy . . .	9 W. R., 522 . . .	5174
Dinobundhoo Ghose <i>v.</i> Drobomoyee Dossia . . .	24 W. R., 110 . . .	1177
Dinobundhoo Nundee <i>v.</i> Keshub Chunder Ghose . .	3 W. R., Mis., 25 . .	3836
Dinobundhoo Pal <i>v.</i> Shoshee Mohun Pal . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 217	5440
Dinobundhoo Singh <i>v.</i> Macnaghten . . .	2 C. L. R., 185 . . .	3778
Dinobundhoo Suhaye <i>v.</i> Court of Wards . . .	11 W. R., 347 . . .	4457, 5983
_____ <i>v.</i> Furlong . . .	9 W. R., 155 . . .	1810, 4174
Dinobundoo Roy <i>v.</i> Oomachurn Chowdhry . . .	23 W. R., 53 . . .	1186
Dinomonee Banerjee <i>v.</i> Gyrutoollah Khan . . .	2 W. R., 138 . . .	6007
Dinomoney Dabea <i>v.</i> Doorgapersad Mozoomdar . .	12 B. L. R., 274 : 21 W. R., 70	3117
Lino Moyee Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Rehling . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 25 . .	2145
Dinomoyi Dabia Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Anungo Moyi . . .	4 C. L. R., 599 . . .	5041
Dinomoyi Debi <i>v.</i> Roy Luchmiput Singh . . .	L. R., 7 I. A., 8 . .	1770, 3207
Dinonath Addy <i>v.</i> Weller . . .	7 W. R., 16 . . .	5708
Dino Nath Burooa. In the matter of . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 32 . .	15
Dinonath Butobyal <i>v.</i> Womachurn Roy . . .	3 W. R., 128 . . .	3982
Dino Nath Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Hurgobind Pal . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 23 . .	2924, 2925
Dino Nath Das <i>v.</i> Bonomali Chatterji . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 941 : 4 C. L. R., 278 . . .	1622
Dinonath Ghose <i>v.</i> Auluck Moni Dabee . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 753 : 10 C. L. R., 129 . . .	4838
Dinonath Gope <i>v.</i> Saroda Mookhopadhya . . .	7 W. R., Cr., 47 . . .	977, 6260
Dinonath Lakhan <i>v.</i> Mohurum Mullick . . .	7 C. L. R., 138 . . .	1186
Dinonath Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Debnath Mookerjee . . .	14 W. R., 429 . . .	4814
Dinonath Mukerji <i>v.</i> Gopal Chunder Mukerji . . .	8 C. L. R., 57 : 9 C. L. R., } 379 . . .	1500, 2139 2289
Dinonath Mullick. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133 . .	4721
_____ <i>v.</i> Girija Prosonno Mookerjee . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133 . .	4721
Dinonath Panday <i>v.</i> Roghhoonath Panday . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 41 . .	3158
Dino Nath Rana <i>v.</i> Rubeebunnissa Bibee . . .	20 W. R., 270 . . .	3366
Dinonath Sen <i>v.</i> Guruchurn Pal . . .	14 B. L. R., 287 : 21 W. R., 310 . . .	842
Dinonath Sreemonee <i>v.</i> Hogg . . .	2 Hay, 395 . . .	4715
Dino Singh <i>v.</i> Doorga Pershad . . .	18 W. R., 348 . . .	1810
Diutarini Debi. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 880 : 11 C L. R., 190 . . .	4671
_____ <i>v.</i> Doibo Chunder Roy . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 880 : 11 C. L. R., 190 . . .	4671
Dipchand <i>v.</i> Gokaldas . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 363 . . .	1520
Dip Narain Rai <i>v.</i> Dipan Rai . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 185 . . .	2731
Dipsangji Jitsangji <i>v.</i> Fattasangji Jasvat Sangji . .	5 Bom., A. C., 59 . . .	4339
Dirgaj Singh <i>v.</i> Debi Singh . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 499 . . .	4003
Dirganj Singh <i>v.</i> Foorsut . . .	1 N. W., 99 : Ed. 1873, 144	5194
Dirgopal Lall <i>v.</i> Bolakee . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 269 . .	4482
Dirgpai Rai <i>v.</i> Bhondo Rai . . .	2 Agra, 341 . . .	1163
Dirg Paul Singh A. Gainda Koonwar . . .	1 Agra, Mis., 13 . . .	676
Dissent <i>v.</i> Justices of the Peace for the Town of Calcutta . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 24 : 20 W. R., 349, note . . .	5721
Ditta Harakman Singh. In re . . .	3 B. L. R., F. B., 45 . .	447, 5536

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dittia Hurruckman Singh <i>v.</i> Modhoosoodun Pyne. In the matter of.	12 W. R., F. B., 16 . . .	447, 5536
Divethi Varada Ayyangar <i>v.</i> Krishnasami Ayyangar . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 117 . . .	1775, 4816
Diwali <i>v.</i> Apaji Ganesh	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 342 . . .	412
Diwan Singh <i>v.</i> Bharat Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 206	5273
Docowri Kazi. In the matter of the petition of . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 517: 6 } W. R., Act X, 53. }	5917
Dods <i>v.</i> Stewart	8 B. L. R., 340: 17 W. R., 49	731, 1221
Doe d. Kullammal <i>v.</i> Kuppu Pillai	1 Mad., 85	2300, 2509 3233, 4458
Doe d. Seeb Kristo <i>v.</i> East India Company	6 Moore's I. A., 267	5812
Doibo Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Woomamoyee Debia	19 W. R., 321	35, 2212
Dokhina Kally Debee <i>v.</i> Deno Nath Roy Chowdhry . . .	3 C. L. R., 9	5761
Dolee Chand <i>v.</i> Goor Dyal Singh	24 W. R., 218	475
Dole Gobind Goopto <i>v.</i> Batoo <i>alias</i> Kisto Chunder Chuckerbutty.	22 W. R., 405	3304
Dole Gobind Singh <i>v.</i> Chamoo Singh	10 W. R., 312	4229
Dol Gobindmony Debia <i>v.</i> Imdad Ali	5 W. R., 170	1756
Doli Sati <i>v.</i> Ikram Ali	4 C. L. R., 63	5377
Dolsang Bhavsang <i>v.</i> Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 467	1179
Doma Roy <i>v.</i> Mellon	20 W. R., 416	2892
Doma Sahu <i>v.</i> Nathai Khan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 50	1648
Domestic servants. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32	4012
Dom Kumar <i>v.</i> Soorjo Dutt Surmah	22 W. R., 259	99
Domun <i>v.</i> Emaum Ally	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 401	5668
— <i>v.</i> Sudunkoolah	1 B. L. R., S. N., 25: 10 W. R., 253. }	1194
Domun Lall <i>v.</i> Pudmun Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 129	3156, 5187
Domun Singh <i>v.</i> Bhuggobutty Debea	8 W. R., 215	4212
— <i>v.</i> Kaseeram	5 W. R., P. C., 39: 1 Moore's I. A., 366. }	4141
Donnelly. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405	1145
Donunjoy Dey <i>v.</i> Dwarka Nath Singh	5 W. R., 280	5150, 5157
Donzelle <i>v.</i> Kadernath Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., 720: 16 W. R., 186	5158
— <i>v.</i> Ramnarain Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 51	144
— <i>v.</i> Tek Narain Singh	22 W. R., Act X, 103	1681
Donzelli <i>v.</i> Tekan Nodaf	2 C. L. R., 558	4917
Doobee Singh <i>v.</i> Jowkee Ram	3 Agra, 381: Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 172. }	2981
Doobri Hulwai <i>v.</i> Anonymous	19 W. R., Cr., 37	583
Dookeeram Sircar <i>v.</i> Gowhur Mundul	10 W. R., 307	5243
Dookhai Meer <i>v.</i> Nassir	20 W. R., 110	1292
Dookhchore Rai <i>v.</i> Hidayutoollah	Agra, F. B., 7: Ed. 1874, 5	1188
Dookhee Khan <i>v.</i> Rajessuree Ramee	15 W. R., 371	4829
Doolab Sircar <i>v.</i> Kristo Coomar Bukshee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 2 W. R., 303. }	3890, 3958
Doolal Bibee <i>v.</i> Nada Shaha	13 W. R., 446	5705
Doolal Chunder Deb <i>v.</i> Goluck Monee Debia	22 W. R., 360	1706
Doolal Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey <i>v.</i> Binaud Chunder <i>alias</i> Soda Shobhun Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	4823
Doolar Chand Sahoo <i>v.</i> Lalla Bisheshur Dyal	L. R., 6 I. A., 47: 3 C. L. R., } 561. }	5395
— <i>v.</i> Lalla Chabeel Chand	L. R., 6 I. A., 47: 3 C. L. R., } 561. }	2915
— <i>v.</i> Ram Sahoy Bhuggut	24 W. R., 139	5306

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doolar Chunder <i>v.</i> Damoodur Narain . . .	3 W. R., 162 . . .	5358
Doolar Jha <i>v.</i> Runjeet Roy . . .	15 W. R., 340 . . .	4628
Doolay Singh <i>v.</i> Goolam Hossein . . .	2 N. W., 72 . . .	4258
Doolee Chand <i>v.</i> Omda Khanum <i>alias</i> Babu Shubibu	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 377: 7 C. L. R., 375. }	4027
Doolee Chund <i>v.</i> Nirban Singh . . .	20 W. R., 62 . . .	5582
_____ <i>v.</i> Oomda Begum . . .	18 W. R., 473 . . .	2772, 5775
_____ <i>v.</i> Oomda Khanum . . .	24 W. R., 263 . . .	5379
Doolhin Hurnath Koonweree <i>v.</i> Baijoo Oojha	18 W. R., 238 . . .	4233
Doolhun Jankee Kooer <i>v.</i> Lall Beharee Roy . . .	2 Agra, 50 . . .	5484, 5485
Dooli Chand <i>v.</i> Birj Bhookun Lal Awasti . . .	19 W. R., 32 . . .	1419, 3351
_____ {	6 C. L. R., 528: 10 C. L. R., 61. }	411, 2082
Doollee Chand <i>v.</i> Wooma Sunkur Purshad . . .	7 C. L. R., 429 . . .	2153
Doolubdass Pettamberdass <i>v.</i> Ramlohl Thackoorseydass . . .	5 Moore's I. A., 109 . . .	1068, 5874
Doolun Singh <i>v.</i> Torul Narain Singh . . .	4 W. R., Mis., 3 . . .	94
Dooly Chand <i>v.</i> Nirban Singh . . .	18 W. R., 261 . . .	497
Dooma Sahoo <i>v.</i> Joonarain Lall . . .	12 W. R., 362 . . .	3887
Doomun <i>v.</i> Stevens . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 5 . . .	1730
Doomun Peadah <i>v.</i> Shook Chand Paul . . .	17 W. R., 90 . . .	464
Dooman Singh <i>v.</i> Sookun Lall . . .	2 W. R., 12 . . .	4166
Doonee Mahtoe <i>v.</i> Sheo Narain Singh . . .	21 W. R., 37 . . .	1540
Doongrussee Byde <i>v.</i> Gridharee Mull Doogur	10 W. R., 439 . . .	3770
Doongun Rai <i>v.</i> Doorga Rai . . .	2 N. W., 97 . . .	2921, 6246
Doorbijoy Mahtoon <i>v.</i> Prithhee Narain Singh . . .	14 W. R., 30 . . .	5337
Doorga Charn Das <i>v.</i> Soshi Bhusan Guho . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 275 . . .	2926
Doorga Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Mahomed Abbas Bhooyan	14 W. R., 121 . . .	3800
Doorga Churn <i>v.</i> Kassy Chunder Moitree . . .	Marsh., 539: 2 Hay, 646 . . .	2515, 4992
_____ <i>v.</i> Koonjbeharee Pandey . . .	3 Agra, 23 . . .	3055, 4586
Doorga Churn Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Shoshee Bhoosun Mitter	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 23 . . .	2018
Doorga Churn Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Aushutosh Dutt . . .	24 W. R., 70 . . .	2604
_____ <i>v.</i> Goluck Chunder Biswas . . .	23 W. R., 228 . . .	1636
Doorga Churn Dhur <i>v.</i> Kally Coomar Sen . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 145: 8 C. L. R., 375. }	4571
Doorga Churn Doss <i>v.</i> Mahomed Abbas Bhooyan	14 W. R., 121 . . .	878
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	14 W. R., 399 . . .	3800
_____ <i>v.</i> Nittokally Dossee . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 819: 6 C. L. R., 120. }	4337
Doorgachurn Dutt <i>v.</i> Kadumbinee Dossee . . .	1 Hay, 25 . . .	5752
Doorga Churn Giree. In the matter of . . .	9 W. R., Cr., 64 . . .	1988
Doorga Churn Mitter <i>v.</i> Huree Mohun Gooho . . .	17 W. R., 86 . . .	417, 433
Doorga Churn Nundee <i>v.</i> Debnath Roy Chowdhry . . .	18 W. R., 442 . . .	5622
Doorga Churn Paharee <i>v.</i> Chutoorbhooj Doss . . .	5 W. R., 200 . . .	4027
Doorga Churn Paul <i>v.</i> Pearee Mohun . . .	9 W. R., 283 . . .	4561
Doorga Churn Roy <i>v.</i> Dino Moyee Debia . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 14 . . .	3132
Doorga Churn Sett <i>v.</i> Shamanund Gossain . . .	12 W. R., 376 . . .	879, 5755
Doorgachurn Shaha <i>v.</i> Ramnarain Doss . . .	10 B. L. R., 327, note: 13 } W. R., 172. }	2089
Doorga Churn Sircar <i>v.</i> Doorga Churn Ghossal . . .	11 W. R., 23 . . .	5928
Doorgachurn Surma <i>v.</i> Jampa Dassee . . .	12 B. L. R., 289: 21 W. R., 46. }	1182
Doorga Churn Surma Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Najunooddeen . . .	21 W. R., 397 . . .	4212, 5330
Doorga Churn Surmah Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Neem Chand Surmah Chowdhry . . .	24 W. R., 208 . . .	1755
Doorgachurn Thakoor <i>v.</i> Kallydoss Hazrah . . .	10 W. R., 463 . . .	375, 1452
Doorga Dabce <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Chatterjee . . .	10 W. R., 367 . . .	4014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doorgadass Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 511 : 6 W. R., Mis., 65 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 401.	5863
Doorga Dayee v. Poorun Dayee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 128 : 5 W. R., 141.	2511
Doorgadoss Chatterjee v. Gooroo Churn Mistree	6 W. R., Act X, 81	3556
v. Nobin Mohun Ghosal	6 W. R., Act X, 63	547
Doorga Doss Chowdry v. Ramanauth Chowdry	8 Moore's I. A., 262	4639
Doorgadass Doss. In the matter of	17 W. R., 226	3846
Doorga Doss Roy Chowdhry v. Nurendro Coomar Dutt Chowdhry.	6 W. R., 232	1736
Doorgadoss Sandyal v. Panchoo Ram Mundul	23 W. R., 271	5925
Doorga Dutt Singh v. Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	19 W. R., 34	2706
	25 W. R., 33	3779
v. Jheengoor Jha	18 W. R., 63	781
v. Kalika Sookul	7 W. R., 46	777, 3210
Doorgakant Lahoor v. Radha Mohun Goocho Neogy	7 W. R., 51	4300
Doorgakant Mozoomdar v. Bisheshur Dutt Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 44	330, 2927 2931, 2983
Doorga Koonwar v. Tejoo Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 53	2491
Doorga Kripa Roy v. Janoo Lathak	18 W. R., 465	3032
v. Mohesh Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 242	2878
Doorga Lall v. Lalla Hulwant Sahoy	25 W. R., 306	1167
Doorga Mahtoon v. Kanhye Lall Ajha	Marsh., 371 : 2 Hay, 422	6109
Doorga Monee Dossee v. Benode Monee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 164	5915
Doorgamonee Dossee v. Doorga Bhunj	2 W. R., 266	3330
Doorga Moyee Dossea v. Kassissur Debea Chowdhrain	4 W. R., Act X, 20	1589
Doorga Narain Bose v. Brojo Kishore Ghose	23 W. R., 172	2738
Doorganarain Sen v. Baney Madhab Mozumdar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 199	4601, 6139
v. Ram Lall Chhutar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 330	578, 584 5965
Doorganath Roy v. Ram Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 341, L. R., 4 I. A., 52.	2253
Doorganath Roy Chowdhry v. Roy Kalee Narain Roy	24 W. R., 212	4880
Doorganath Shah v. Kazim Fakir	9 W. R., 348	1653
Doorganath Shoor v. Sookhmoy Biswas	2 W. R., 61, 62	5121
Doorgapersad Bose v. Sreekisto Moonshee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 48	5304
v. Waters	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 191	2813
Doorga Persad Singh v. Doorga Konwari	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 190 : 3 C. L. R., 31 : L. R., 5, I. A., 149.	1406, 2327 5010
Doorgapersaud Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 5897
Doorgapersaud Roy Chowdhry v. Tarapersaud Roy Chowdhry.	4 W. R., P. C., 63 : 8 Moore's I. A., 308.	3122
Doorga Pershad v. Budree	6 N. W., 189	2838
v. Doochur Pershad	3 Agra, 188	2297, 3019
v. Kundun Koowar	13 B. L. R., 235 : 21 W. R., 214 : L. R., 1 I. A., 55.	2448
v. Sheoraj Singh	5 N. W., 222	3869, 4937
v. Zorawur	2 N. W., 75	2004
Doorgapershad Chowdhry v. Rajendur Narain Roy	2 Hay, 121	5346
Doorga Pershad Doss v. Kalee Kinkur Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 88	2930
v. Sheo Proshad Pandah	7 C. L. R., 278	2241
Doorga Pershad Mohapattur v. Radhamohun Mytee	15 W. R., 536	5172
Doorgapershad Pal Chowdhry v. Jogeshprokash Gongo-padhya.	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5337
Doorga Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Tara Pershad Roy Chowdhry.	3 W. R., P. C., 11 : 10 Moore's I. A., 203.	3877

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6395

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doorgapershad Singh v. Doorga Kooeree	20 W. R., 154	2034, 2327
_____ v. Doorga Koonwaree	{ 13 W. R., 10: 9 B. L. R., 306, note.	324
_____ v. Lalla Juggunnath Pershad	{ 1 N. W., 34: Ed. 1873, 31	796
_____ v. Opendronath Chowdhry	{ 21 W. R., 145	1732
Doorga Prosad Mytee v. Joynarain Hazra	{ I. L. R., 2 Calc., 474	1187, 1583
Doorgaprosad Mytee v. Joynarain Hazra	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 96: 2 C. L. R., 371.	1185, 1187
Doorgaprosunno Ghose. In the matter of	9 C. L. R., 479	1231
Doorgaram Pal v. Kally Kristo Paul	3 C. L. R., 549	5036
Doorgaram Roy v. Nuro Singh Deb	11 W. R., 134	321, 3246, 3265
Doorga Roy v. Shyam Jha	8 W. R., 72	1636
Doorga Soonduree Debia v. Dinobundhoo Kyburto Doss	8 W. R., 475	5314
_____ v. Kasheekant Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 212	5922, 5930
_____ v. Shibeshuree Debia	8 W. R., 101	3835
_____ v. Shibessuree Debia	10 W. R., 391	1480
_____ v. Soorjomonee Debia	8 W. R., 101	4946
Doorga Sundari Dossee v. Surendra Keshav Rai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 686	2137
Doorga Tewaree v. Naipal Aheer	2 N. W., 224	1396
Doorhyar Roy v. Dulsingar Singh	12 W. R., 367	2199, 5688
Doorjodhun Doss v. Chooya Daye	1 W. R., 322	6040
Doorjun v. Chaina	2 N. W., 43	4470
Doorjun Singh v. Shibba	3 N. W., 171	3283, 4494 4500
Dooteeram Surma Dooree v. Luckeekant Gossamee	12 W. R., 425	2243
Dorab Ally Khan v. Abdool Azeez	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 229: 3 C. L. R., 358.	1219
_____ v. Executors of Moheeoodeen	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 356	5491
_____ v. Moheeoodeen	{ L. R., 5 I. A., 116: 2 C. L. R., 529.	5491
Dorappa v. Kundikuri Mallikarjunudu	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 806	5490
Dorasami Vajappavar v. Atiratra Dikshatar	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 55: 24 W. R., 372.	5490
Dori Lal v. Umed Singh	3 Mad., 363	3976
Dosabhai Kavasji v. Kherbadji Hormasji	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 136	2405
Dosibai v. Ishvardas Jagjivandas	I. L. R., 6 All., 164	4834
Dossee v. Fagan	7 Bom., O. C., 180	5720, 5837
_____ v. Pelaram Dossee	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 561	2054
_____ v. Pitambur Pundah	2 Hay, 75	5358
_____ v. Ram Nidhee Koondoo	15 W. R., 261	1742
_____ v. Sheebanee Dabia	21 W. R., 332	4437
_____ v. Sreenibash Dey	15 W. R., 183	4208
_____ v. Tarrachurn Coondoo Chowdhry	5 W. R., 123	3255
Dossee Monee Dossee v. Ram Chand Mohur	12 W. R., 74	5921, 5926
Doss Monee Dossee v. Huronath Roy	Bourke, A. O. C., 48: 3 W. R., Mis., 7, note.	1947, 2145 6094
Doss Money Dossee v. Jonmenjoy Mullick	7 W. R., 249	2351
_____ v. Prosonomoye Dossee	4 W. R., 2	5074
Doss Ram Doss v. Mohendro Roy Decha	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 363: 1 C. L. R., 446.	3893, 5018
Dost Mahomed Khan Chowdhry v. Soolochana Dabia	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18	2136, 2542
Dost Muhammad v. Sanjad Ahmad	18 W. R., 274	6097, 6112
Doucett v. Wise	1 W. R., 270	1736
	I. L. R., 6 All., 67	1122
	1 W. R., 322	183, 6227

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doucett v. Wise	3 W. R., 157 . . .	6152
— v. —	4 W. R., Mis., 7 . . .	6022
— v. —	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94 . . .	6020
— v. —	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227 . . .	6020
— v. —	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 357 . . .	909
— v. —	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 280 . . .	3090, 3957
Douglas v. Collector of Benares . . .	5 Moore's I. A., 271 . . .	5362
Doulatram v. Tara . . .	1 Agra, 12 . . .	1163
Dowar Rai v. Boonda . . .	Agra, F. B., 57: Ed. 1874, 43 . . .	2482
Dowlatram Harji v. Vitho Radhoji . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 188 . . .	5847
Dowlat Singh. In the matter of . . .	6 C. L. R., 273 . . .	1288
Dowlut v. Netram . . .	3 N. W., 42 . . .	4549
Dowlut Gazi Chowdhry v. Munwar . . .	12 B. L. R., 485, note: 15 W. } R., 341. . .	5411
Dowlut Khatoon v. Ali Jan . . .	2 Agra, 59 . . .	3729
Dowlut Kooer v. Burmadeo Sahoy . . .	14 B. L. R., 246, note: 22 W. R., 54. . .	2306
Dowlut Mundur v. Omrao Singh Rana . . .	14 W. R., 336 . . .	5589
Dowlut Rai v. Gya Misser . . .	2 Agra, 95 . . .	537
Dowlut Singh v. Kedar Singh . . .	3 Agra, 25 . . .	4557
Downes v. Richmond . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 258 . . .	2655
Doyal Chand Laha v. Bhojrubnath Khettry	Cor., 117 . . .	3053
Doyal Chunder Ghose v. Dwarkanath Misser	Marsh., 148: W. F. B., } 47: 1 Ind. Jur., S., 41: } 1 Hay, 347. . .	2914, 6221
Doyal Chund Mullick v. Keramut Ali . . .	12 W. R., 382 . . .	113
v.	16 W. R., 116 . . .	3385, 3661 3666, 3669
Doyal Mistree v. Kupoor Chund . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 318: 3 C. L. R., 482. . .	762, 5706
Doyamoyee Chowdhraïn v. Mohima Chunder Roy .	12 W. R., 137 . . .	1633
— v. Nundocoomar Dey . . .	2 Hay, 220 . . .	1594
Doyamoyee Chowdrainee v. Bhola Nath Ghose . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 592: 6 W. R., Act X, 77. . .	550
Doyamoyee Dabee v. Nilmonnee Chuckerbutty . . .	25 W. R., 70 . . .	3537
— v. Nobonee Dabee . . .	1 W. R., 89 . . .	3344
Doyamoyee Dossee v. Eshur Chunder Mutty Loll .	1 W. R., 203 . . .	877
— v. Luchmee Narain Shaha . . .	7 W. R., 457 . . .	3427
Doyanidhi Panda v. Kelai Panda . . .	11 C. L. R., 395 . . .	4466
Doyaram Luskuree v. Sookhanum . . .	16 W. R., 141 . . .	4327
Doyle v. Allum Biswas . . .	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 . . .	3201, 3317
— v. Dwarkanath Chatterjee . . .	8 W. R., 89 . . .	1357
— v. Edoe Gaze . . .	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 13 . . .	3201, 3317
— v. Khooseal Khan . . .	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 . . .	3317
— v. Khosal Mundle . . .	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8 . . .	5117
— v. Mundaree Mundul . . .	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 10 . . .	1376, 5826
Doyle v. Khoodee Ram Mundul . . .	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16 . . .	1692
Drabamayi Gupta v. Tarracharan Sen . . .	7 B. L. R., 207: 16 W. R., 17 . . .	561, 585 758
Drapu Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Das . . .	9 C. L. R., 231 . . .	6088
Drig Bijai Sing v. Gopal Dat Panday . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 218: 6 C. L. } R., 146: L. R., 7 I. A., 17. } . . .	4227
Drobo Misser v. Sreenebash Misser . . .	14 W. R., 409 . . .	2250
Drobo Moyee v. Tarachand Pal . . .	18 W. R., 459 . . .	2343
Drobomoyee Chowdhraïn v. Shama Churn Chowdhry	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 246 . . .	2144
Drobomoyee Dabee v. Bipin Mundul . . .	10 W. R., 6 . . .	5933
Drobo Moyee Dossee v. Juggessur Hati . . .	1 W. R., 75 . . .	3746

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Drobo Moyee Gosmanee v. Dhurmo Doss Koondoo	10 W. R., 197 .	1764
Drury v. Haradhun Bhuttacharjee	3 W. R., Mis., 8 .	420
Dubey Sahai v. Ganeshi Lal .	1. L. R., 1 All., 34 .	3152
Dubo Misser v. Srinibas Misser .	5 B. L. R., 617 .	2250
Dudsar Bibee v. Shakir Burkundaz	15 W. R., 168 .	4985, 5003
Duff v. Fisher .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 10 .	4072
— v. Sowdagur Sahoo Jotedar .	13 W. R., 255 .	1646
Dugappa Chetti v. Vidhia Purna Tirthasami .	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 263 .	2983
Dugappa Sheti v. Venkatramnaya . . .	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 493 .	1470
Dukharam Bharti v. Luchmun Bharti . .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 954 .	C. } 675
	L. R., 49 .	
Dukheena Mohun Roy v. Ameerooddeen Mahomed	12 W. R., 247 .	4250
Dukhina Dossee v. Rash Beharee Mozoomdar .	6 W. R., 221 .	2333
Dukhina Mohun Roy v. Kurreemoollah . .	12 W. R., 243 .	1608
Dukhi Sahu v. Mahomed Bikhu	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 284 : 13 C. .	3303, 4746
	L. R., 445 .	
Dukhoo v. Chundro Kant Chowdhry . . .	3 W. R., Cr., 68 . .	1671
Dukhun Pahan. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 32 . .	969
Dulabh Vahuji v. Bansidhar Rai	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 111 .	3297, 4998
Dula Faqueer v. Bhagirat Sircar	6 C. L. R., 276 . .	3617
Dulali Bewa v. Bhuban Shaba	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 53 .	977
Dular Chand v. Balram Das	1. L. R., 1 All., 453 .	4278
Dular Dat Rai v. Nijabat Hosein	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 536 .	253
Dulari v. Mohan Singh	1. L. R., 3 All., 759 .	5432
Dulbood Singh v. Mahadeo Dutt	2 W. R., 10	3727
Duleep Singh v. Sreekishoon Panday . .	4 N. W., 83	2153, 2943
Dulia Kasam v. Abramji Sale	8 Bom., A. C., 11 . .	611
Duli Chand. In the matter of the appeal of	9 B. L. R., 190 . . .	497
— v. Dhondi	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 184 .	6233
— v. Meher Chand Sahu	12 B. L. R., 439 . .	563
— v. Monohur Lall Upadhyia	2 C. L. R., 18 . . .	1664, 4860
— v. Rajkissore	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 88 : 11 C. L. .	569
— v. Ramkishen Singh	R., 326	
Duljeet Gorain v. Rewal Gorain	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 648 .	1119, 5323
Dullabhdas Devchand v. Lakshmandas Sarupchand	22 W. R., 435 . . .	3826
Dullabh Jogi v. Narayan Lakhu	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 88 .	3931
Dullabh Shivlal v. Hope	4 Bom., A. C., 110 . .	4975
Dullab Sirkar v. Krishna Kumar Bakshi . .	8 Bom., A. C., 213 . .	5878
Dulli v. Bahadur	3 B. L. R., A. C., 407 : 12 .	5379, 6133
— v. —	W. R., 303	
Dulli Chand v. Meher Chand Sahoo . . .	7 N. W., 55	3895
Dulloo v. Zainah Bebee	7 N. W., 57	2701
Duloon Koonwar v. Sungum Singh . . .	8 W. R., 138	528, 562
Dulsook Rattachand v. Chugon Narrun . .	16 W. R., Cr., 17 . .	563, 6173
Dumaine v. Uttam Singh	7 W. R., 311	
Duma Sahu v. Jeonarayan Lall	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 356 . .	3531
Dumree Sahoo v. Jugdharee	5 B. L. R., Ap., 44 : 13 W. .	324
Dumree Shaikh v. Bissessur Lall	R., 462	
Duncan. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., A. C., 27, note .	3887
Dundaya v. Chenbasapa	13 W. R., 398	6015
Dunne v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	13 W. R., 291	5198
— v. Hem Chandra Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., O. C., 3 . .	3084
	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 427 .	4842
	13 W. R., 41	283
	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46 : 12 W. .	4732
	R., Cr., 60	

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dunne v. Legge	1 Agra, 38	3769
Dunput Singh Bahadoor v. Rajessuree	15 W. R., 476	1918
Dunput Singh Doogar v. Jugut Indur Bunwaree } Gobind Deb.	4 W. R., 85	2577
Durand v. Durand	14 W. R., 416	1545
Durant v. Chandranath Chatterjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 246	3560
Durban Fakeer v. Nabinchandra Mazumdar	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. } R., 217.	6000
Durga v. Haidar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 167	3354
— v. Jhinguri	I. L. R., 7 All., 511	1699, 2862
— v. Mangal	7 N. W., 35	5202
Durga Bibee v. Janaki Pershad	10 B. L. R., 341: 18 W. R., } 331.	3283
Durga Bibi v. Chanchal Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 81	2289
Durga Charan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 All., 290	2251
Durga Charan Das v. Sashi Bhusan Guho	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 275	4445
Durga Charan Mazumdar v. Mahomed Abbas Bhuya	6 B. L. R., 361	2926
Durga Charan Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 165	3800
Durga Churn Shaha v. Nilmoney Dass	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 134: 13 C. } L. R., 369.	6928
Durgadasi Dabi v. Judunauth Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., Ap., 26	3855
Durga Narain Misser v. Goburdhun Ghose	9 C. L. R., 86	680
Durgapersad v. Keshopersad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 656: 11 C. } L. R., 210, L. R., 9 I. A. } 27.	578, 583
Durgapersaud Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	2914, 625965
Durgapershad v. Asa Jolaha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 925: 6 C. } L. R., 487.	113 472
Durga Pershad v. Ghosita Gorla	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 284	3661
Durga Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai	3 Mad., 71	430, 5897
Durga Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 154	5676
— v. Asa Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 361	9, 3351
— v. Baldeo	I. L. R., 3 All., 221	304
— v. Khairati	I. L. R., 1 All., 545	5947
— v. Munsli	I. L. R., 6 All., 423	3172
— v. Nawazish Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 591	1108
— v. Ram Charan	I. L. R., 2 All., 785	316
— v. Shambhu Nath	I. L. R., 8 All., 86	3720
Durga Prasad Misser v. Brindabun Sookul	7 B. L. R., 159: 15 W. R., } 274.	4415
Durga Prasad Singh v. Durga Kunwari	9 B. L. R., 306, note: 13 } W. R., 10.	162
Durgaprosonno Ghose v. Kalidas Dut	9 C. L. R., 449	3433, 3949
Durgaram Moniram v. Shripati	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 411	53
Durgaram Roy v. Narsing Deb	2 B. L. R., A. C., 254	2328
Durga Singh v. Mathura Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 460	5175, 5318
Durga Sundari v. Brindabun Chandra Sirkar Chowdry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 11 W. } R., 162.	1517
Durga Sundari Dasi v. Umdatannissa	9 B. L. R., 101: 18 W. R., } 234.	321, 3246,
Durga Sundari Devi v. Govinda Chundra Addy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 368	3265
Dur Gopal Singh v. Kasheeram Panday	3 W. R., 3	4851
Duriao Roy v. Doolla Roy	24 W. R., 10	5196
Duriao Singh v. Uma Proshad	24 W. R., Cr., 16	1591

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Durjan Mahton <i>v.</i> Wajid Hossein	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 135	3019, 3045
Durjan Singh <i>v.</i> Sibia	7 N. W., 329	5220, 5663
Durpo Narain Mahatah <i>v.</i> Nuleeta Soonduree Doss	11 W. R., 332	5685
Durryao Singh <i>v.</i> Dari Singh	13 B. L. R., 165 : L. R., 1 I. A., 1.	3911
Durshun Lall Sahoo <i>v.</i> Ashmutoonissa	19 W. R., 94	2221
Durshun Pandey <i>v.</i> Saminah Bebee	1 W. R., 114	3173
Dursun Bhugut <i>v.</i> Mahomed Ali	13 W. R., 438	5778
Dursun Lall Pandey <i>v.</i> Indur Chunder	6 W. R., 81	5931
Dursun Sahoo <i>v.</i> Pryag Ram	2 C. L. R., 538	6127
Durvasula Gangadharudu <i>v.</i> Durvasula Narasammah	7 Mad., 47	6104
Durya <i>v.</i> Mohur Singh	2 Agra, 163	2372
Duryao Singh <i>v.</i> Karun Singh	1 Agra, 31	1811
Dustur Husang Jamasji <i>v.</i> Fell	6 Bom., Cr., 30	322
Dutt <i>v.</i> Cornelius	5 B. L. R., Ap., 79	4494
Dutto Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575	5898
_____ <i>v.</i> Dosad Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575.	382, 383
Dutt Ram Misr. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Cr., 29	386
Dwarka Das <i>v.</i> Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 564	382, 383
Dwarkadas Lalubhai <i>v.</i> Adam Ali Sultan Ali	3 Bom., A. C., 1	386
_____ <i>v.</i> Blackwell	9 Bom., 319	5598
Dwarka Dass <i>v.</i> Dwarka Dass	2 Agra, 308	3708
_____ <i>v.</i> Rai Sita Ram	5 C. L. R., 430	2687, 5790
_____ <i>v.</i> Ruttun Singh	2 Agra, 199	2675
Dwarka Doss <i>v.</i> Gokul Doss	1 Agra, Rev., 22	1725
_____ <i>v.</i> Jankee Doss	6 Moore's I. A., 88	1396, 4650
Dwarka Lall Mitter. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 109	6119
Dwarka Lall Mundur <i>v.</i> Nirundro Narain Singh	22 W. R., 461	4863
Dwarka Manjhee. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 427	1727
Dwarka Nath <i>v.</i> Aloke Chunder Seal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 641	430
_____ <i>v.</i> Baburam Lasker	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 72 : 11 C. L. R., 326.	3833
Dwarkanath Banerjee. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 279	264
Dwarkanath Bhooya <i>v.</i> Ajoodhya Ram Khan	21 W. R., 109	5306
Dwarkanath Bhooyea <i>v.</i> Gopenath Bhooyea	12 B. L. R., 189, note: 16 } W. R., 10.	1623
Dwarkanath Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 93	6030
Dwarkanath Biswas <i>v.</i> Roy Dhunput Singh	17 W. R., 227	3197
_____ <i>v.</i> Uddit Churn Addy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 361	1166
Dwarkanath Bose <i>v.</i> Chundee Churn Mookerjee	1 W. R., 339	1306
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Lochun Bose	23 W. R., 465	3263
Dwarkanath Bysack <i>v.</i> Burroda Persaud Bysak	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443	1539
Dwarkanath Bysak <i>v.</i> Mahendranath Bysak	9 B. L. R., 198 : 18 W. R., 305	142, 1785
Dwarka Nath Chakravati <i>v.</i> Suvridra Nath Chowdhuri	8 C. L. R., 407	6115
Dwarka Nath Chattopadhyaya <i>v.</i> Bhogoban Panda	7 C. L. R., 577	6206
Dwarka Nath Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Beejoy Gobind Bural	10 W. R., 333	2337
_____ <i>v.</i> Kishenlall Chowdhry	Marsh., 553 : 2 Hay, 650	5307
_____ <i>v.</i> Komul Lochun Doss	10 W. R., 414	1820
Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Bhowanee Kishore } Chuckerbutty.	8 W. R., 12	1626
_____ <i>v.</i> Dhun Monee Chowdrain	15 W. R., 524	5129
_____ <i>v.</i> Tara Soondery Burmo- } nee.	8 W. R., 517	5233
Dwarkanath Doss <i>v.</i> Manick Chunder Doss	3 W. R., 197	3801

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dwarkanath Doss Biswas v. Manick Chunder Doss	9 W. R., 102	5133
_____ v. _____	9 W. R., 200	5312
_____ v. Muddun Mohun Chucker-butty.	6 W. R., 292	5766
_____ v. Unnoda Churn Dass	8 W. R., 319	328, 839
Dwarkanath Dutt v. Bhathee Hawaldar	22 W. R., 457	4054
_____ v. Gunga Dayi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 102	912
Dwarka Nath Gupta v. Komolmoni Dasi	12 C. L. R., 548	3401
Dwarkanath Haldar v. Huree Mohun Roy	20 W. R., 404	1629
_____ v. Kamalakanth Haldar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 128: 12 W. R., 99.	1448, 1473 1491, 1868
_____ v. Noder Chand Kamta.	22 W. R., Cr., 35	1292
Dwarkanath Kooeree v. Anundo Chuunder Sannel	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 18	1359, 4135
Dwarkanath Mazoomdar v. Nalu Das	21 W. R., 89	5912
Dwarkanath Misree v. Kanaye Sirdar	16 W. R., 111	3030, 5193 5199
_____ v. Noboo Sirdar	14 W. R., 193	1622
Dwarkanath Misser v. Hurrish Chunder	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 925: 4 C. L. R., 130.	5197
Dwarkanath Mitter. Claim of.	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 629	2641, 2676
_____ Ex parte	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 58	2639
_____ In re	4 B. L. R., O. C., 63: 15 W. R., O. C., 18, note.	2663
_____ v. Birch	15 B. L. R., 338, note	4619
_____ v. Daly	15 B. L. R., 338, note	4619
_____ v. Denonath Bonnerjee	Bourke, O. C., 1	4613
_____ v. Nobongo Munjori Dassi	7 C. L. R., 233	549, 3013
_____ v. Ramdhun Biswas	8 W. R., 103	3834
_____ v. Sarat Kumari Dasi	7 B. L. R., 55	4777
Dwarkanath Moitro v. Kenny	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	3315
Dwarkanath Narayan v. Madhavrav Vishvanath	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 207	4341
Dwarkanath Nath Biswas v. Ram Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 136	441
Dwarkanath Pal v. Grishchunder Bundopadhyia	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 827	4279, 5353
Dwarka Nath Rai v. Kali Chunder Rai	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 75	1175
Dwarka Nath Roy v. Dinobundhoo Singh Chowdhry	15 W. R., 461	43
_____ v. Jannobee Chowdhrair	4 W. R., 79	5252
_____ v. _____	19 W. R., 81	1449
_____ v. Woomasoonduree Daseee	14 W. R., 329	284
Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry v. Huronath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 238	1765
Dwarkanath Sadhoo Khan v. Doorga Churn Saha	6 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	842
Dwarkanath Sein v. Chunder Mohun Mitter	12 W. R., 326	5304
Dwarkanath Shaha v. Ram Lochun Biswas	10 W. R., 92	297
Dwarkanath Shaw v. Prankristo Paul Chowdhry	Bourke, O. C., 260	425
Dwarkanath Singh Roy v. Nubo Coomar Bose	20 W. R., 270	584
Dwarkanath Surmah Mojoomdar v. Unnoda Soonduree	5 W. R., Mis., 30	997, 1703
Dwarka Parshad Sukul v. Ram Autar Sukul	7 N. W., 281	4539
Dwarka Pershad v. Bankut Nurseya	2 N. W., 184	1488
Dwarka Singh v. Solano	22 W. R., 38	2954
Dya Chand Oswal v. Mookteeda Dabee	13 W. R., 24	627
Dyaram v. Gourree Shunker	3 N. W., 20	4866
_____ v. Jwala Nath	5 N. W., Ap., 2	3998
Dyebukee Nunduu Sen v. Muddee Mutty Goopta	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 123: 24 W. R., 478.	5661, 5735
Dyram Sahoo v. Sograh	3 W. R., 174	3282
Dyashunker v. Mahomed Ameenooddeen Khan	3 Agra, 246	2737
Dyce Sombre v. East India Company	4 W. R., P. C. 111: 7 Moore's I. A., 104.	514

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
E		
Ead Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Wahad Ali Khan	23 W. R., 25	679
Eadara Virana <i>v.</i> Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 400	5511
Eagleton <i>v.</i> East Indian Railway Company	8 B. L. R., 581: 17 W. R., } 532.	1091
Eales <i>v.</i> Ruttonjee Eduljee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 131	1082
Eastern Bengal Railway Co. <i>v.</i> Kalidas Dutt	23 W. R., Cr., 63	983, 1265
Eastern Financial Association <i>v.</i> Pestanji Cursetji	3 Bom., O. C., 9	922, 1067
Eastern Steam Tug Company. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	5827
East India Bank. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	953
_____ <i>v.</i> Vullie Goolwany	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 247	945
East India Company <i>v.</i> Ally	7 Moore's I. A., 555	2573, 4071
_____ <i>v.</i> Kamachee Boye Sahiba	4 W. R., P. C., 42	59, 275
_____ <i>v.</i> Odit Churn Paul	5 Moore's I. A., 43	4635
_____ <i>v.</i> Robertson	4 W. R., P. C., 10: 7 Moore's I. A., 361.	57, 2322 1578, 3120
East Indian Railway Co. <i>v.</i> Australasian Insurance Company.	6 B. L. R., 218: and on appeal, 7 B. L. R., 347.	4063, 4636
_____ <i>v.</i> Bengal Coal Co.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 95	2688
_____ <i>v.</i> Hall	3 N. W., 104	2825
_____ <i>v.</i> Jordan	4 B. L. R., O. C., 97: 14 W R., O. C., 11.	5617
East Indian Trading and Banking Co. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 113	934
Eathamakala Subbammiah <i>v.</i> Raghiah	7 Mad., 293	633
Ebadutoollah <i>v.</i> Mahomed Ali	25 W. R., 114	5188
Ebrahim <i>v.</i> Fuckhurnnisa Begum	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 531: 3 C. L. R., 311.	3091
Ebrahim Saib <i>v.</i> Nagasami Gurukul	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 9	5676
Echamoyee <i>v.</i> Hurro Soonduree Dassee	12 W. R., 155	4139
Edalji Shaporji <i>v.</i> Talsidas Sundardas	2 Bom., 285: 2nd Ed., 270	370
Edathil Itti <i>v.</i> Kopashon Nayar	1 Mad., 122	3758, 3766
Ede <i>v.</i> Kanto Nath Shaw	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 220	1072
Edmond <i>v.</i> Nierses	8 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 16 W. } R., 84.	1240, 2651
Edoo <i>v.</i> Hefazut Hossein	13 W. R., 358	2653
Edoo Khansamah. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 64	308, 4428
Eduljee Framjee <i>v.</i> Abdoola Hajee Cherak	1 Moore's I. A., 46: 5 W. R., P. C., 58.	1155
Edulji Mancherji Wacha <i>v.</i> Vullebhoy Khanbhoy	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 167	1759
Eduljee Ruttonjee. In re	10 B. L. R., Ap., 27	4294
Edulji Shapurji <i>v.</i> Tulsidas Sunderdas	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234	2650
Edun <i>v.</i> Bechun	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 264: 8 W. R., 175.	370
_____ <i>v.</i> _____	11 W. R., 345	5077
_____ <i>v.</i> Bechun	Marsh., 409	1793
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Siddik	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 150: 11 C. } L. R., 440.	4934
Edwards <i>v.</i> Muller	5 B. L. R., 252	4850
Eeshoore Singh <i>v.</i> Hunter	2 N. W., 53	910
Efatoonnissa <i>v.</i> Khondkar Khoda Newaz	21 W. R., 374	5072
Eglinton <i>v.</i> Koylashnath Mozoomdar	W. R., 1864, 303	1717
Eidan <i>v.</i> Mazhar Husain	I. L. R., 1 All., 483	1144
Ejuash Kooer <i>v.</i> Amjud Ally	2 W. R., 261	3651
		3715

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ekbal Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Kalee Pershad	3 W. R., 68	3335
Ekbal Bahadoor <i>v.</i> Solano	2 W. R., 164	1357
Ekcowree Singh Roy <i>v.</i> Kylash Chunder Mookerjee	21 W. R., 45	1848
Ekin Bibee <i>v.</i> Ashruf Ali	1 W. R., 152	3684, 3692 3731
Eknath bin Madoba. In the matter of	1 Bom., 102	4339
Eknath S. Gownde <i>v.</i> Jaggannath S. Gownde	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 417	5847
Ekowri Singh <i>v.</i> Bijaynath Chattapadhyaya	4 B. L. R., A. C., 111: 13 R., 11.	3824
— <i>v.</i> Hiralal Seal	2 B. L. R., P. C., 4: 11 W. R., P. C., 2: 12 Moore's I. A., 136.	41, 739
Ekram <i>v.</i> Buhooran	2 W. R., Act X, 69	1609
Ekram Hossein <i>v.</i> Kirtee Chunder	3 W. R., Mis., 9	669
Ekram Mundtl <i>v.</i> Holodhur Pal	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 271	1622, 1631 4965
Ekrar Ali. In re	1 L. R., 6 Calc., 482	2010
Elahee Buksh <i>v.</i> Hajoo	14 W. R., 33	172, 372 5931
— <i>v.</i> Jaffur Aly	1 N. W., 109: Ed. 1873, 157	579
— <i>v.</i> Mohan	25 W. R., 9	3726
— <i>v.</i> Sheo Narain Singh	17 W. R., 360	3337, 4973
Elahee Buksh Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Roopun Teelee	7 W. R., 284	1617
Elahee Newoz Khan <i>v.</i> Suburunissa	5 W. R., Cr., 14	4489
Elahi Buksh <i>v.</i> Marachow	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 825: 3 C. L. R., 593.	742
Elam Paramanick <i>v.</i> Sojaitallah	1 B. L. R., A. C., 43: 10 W. R., 85.	5662
Elavarisu Vanamamalai Ramanuja Jeeyarsvami <i>v.</i> Vanamamalai Ramanuja Jeeyar	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 354	4098
Elayachanidathil Kombi Achen <i>v.</i> Kenatumkora Lakshmi Amma.	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 201	3758, 4156 4272, 5048
Eldred. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 173	5386
Elias <i>v.</i> Chuckerbutty	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 223	2093
— <i>v.</i> Habool Mooshee Mooshee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18: Bourke, O. C., 382.	5537
— <i>v.</i> Haboob Mooshee Mooshee	Bourke, O. C., 350	3175
— <i>v.</i> Jorawar Mull	24 W. R., 202	4263
— <i>v.</i> Mahomed Peezeer	W. R., 1864, 217	773, 4126 5105
— <i>v.</i> Titharam Roy	W. R., 164	4203
Elijah <i>v.</i> Aratoon Brothers	14 W. R., 47	5232
Ellaiya <i>v.</i> Collector of Salem	3 Mad., 59	5103, 5108 5633
Ellapa Nayak <i>v.</i> Anumati Goundan	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 76	3222
Ellem <i>v.</i> Basheer	1 L. R., 1 Calc., 184 24 W. R., 382.	5129
Elliott. In the goods of	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 106: 2 C. L. R., 496.	1558
— <i>v.</i> Bhoobun Mohun Bonnerjee	12 B. L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 194: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 175.	4567
Ellokassee Dossee <i>v.</i> Durponarain Bysack	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 59	6209
Emam Ali <i>v.</i> Sudderuddeen	9 W. R., Cr., 18	5165
Emam Ali Mestory <i>v.</i> Ator Ali Khan	22 W. R., 133	5316
Emamandee Begum <i>v.</i> Tek Bahadoor	17 W. R., Cr., 53	4484, 4490

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Emam Montazoodeen Mahomed v. Rajcoomar Doss	{ 14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R., 187. }	3920, 5018
Emamooddeen Sowdagur v. Abdool Soobhan	{ 7 W. R., 117 . . . }	4536
Emamooddeen Showdaghur v. Futteh Ali	{ 3 C. L. R., 447 . . . }	5090
Emamuddin Khan v. Ram Kishore Kowar	{ 5 B. L. R., Ap., 30 . . . }	6089
Emamun v. Hardyal Singh	{ W. R., 1864, 301 . . . }	5240
Emeral Sheikh v. Mohammadi Sheikh	{ 24 W. R., Cr., 48 . . . }	5912
Empress v. Abdool Karim	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 18 : 3 C. L. R., 44. }	1263
— v. Abdool Kurreem	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 10 : 3 C. L. R., 81. }	16, 1262
— v. Abdul Hakim	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 253 . . . }	1333
— v. Abdul Kadir	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 279 . . . }	4366
— v. Abdulla	{ I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240 . . . }	3617
— v. Abul Hasan	{ I. L. R., 1 All., 497 . . . }	1954
— v. Achi	{ I. L. R., 2 Mad., 161 . . . }	3628
— v. Achiraj Lall	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 603 : 3 C. L. R., 87. }	1272
— v. Ajudhia	{ I. L. R., 2 All., 644 . . . }	5554
— v. Aliu Mundlo	{ 11 C. L. R., 55 . . . }	918
— v. Allen	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83 : 6 C. L. R., 463. }	1262, 1312
— v. Amar Nath	{ I. L. R., 5 All., 318 . . . }	240, 1669
— v. Amiruddeen	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 412 : 1 C. L. R., 483. }	4369
— v. Anand Sarup	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 563 . . . }	3608, 3609
— v. Anant Ram	{ I. L. R., 4 All., 293 . . . }	1981
— v. Annu Khan	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 83 . . . }	5572
— v. Anuntaram Singh	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 954 : 6 C. L. R., 297. }	1012
— v. Arjun	{ I. L. R., 7 Bom., 184 . . . }	1953
— v. Arshed Ali	{ 13 C. L. R., 125 . . . }	148
— v. Asgur Hossein	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774 : 8 C. L. R., 124. }	1836, 6264
— v. Ashgar Ali	{ I. L. R., 2 All., 260 . . . }	1008, 1797
— v. Asghar Ali	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 61 . . . }	5566
— v. Ashootosh Chuckerbutty	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 483 : 3 C. L. R., 270. }	1023
— v. Ashraf Ali	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 129 . . . }	1671
— v. Baban Khan	{ I. L. R., 2 Bom., 142 . . . }	718
— v. Babua	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 132 . . . }	4726, 5539
— v. Babu Lal	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 509 . . . }	1018
— v. Badrudin	{ I. L. R., 8 Bom., 197 . . . }	5150
— v. Bai Baya	{ I. L. R., 7 Bom., 126 . . . }	3865
— v. Baidanath Dass	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 366 : 1 C. L. R., 442. }	5911
— v. Bakhshi Ram	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 201 . . . }	1272, 4361
— v. Bala Patel	{ I. L. R., 5 Bom., 63 . . . }	1024
— v. Baldeo	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 322 . . . }	707, 1319
— v. Baldeo Sahai	{ I. L. R., 2 All., 253 . . . }	2590, 6269
— v. Bal Gangadhar Tilak	{ I. L. R., 6 Bom., 285 . . . }	913
— v. Baney Madhub Shaw	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 207 : 10 C. L. R., 389. }	501, 502
— v. Banni	{ I. L. R., 2 All., 349 . . . }	2758
— v. Behala Bibi	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 789 : 8 C. L. R., 207. }	4365
— v. Behari Lal Bose	{ 6 C. L. R., 431 . . . }	1854

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress <i>v. Berrill</i>	I. L. R., 4 All., 141	2903, 5166
<i>v. Bhagaban Bhivsan</i>	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379	100
<i>v. Bhagirath</i>	I. L. R., 3 All., 383	4061
<i>v. Bhagvanta Rayji</i>	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 303	3631, 6102
<i>v. Bhagwan Devraj</i>	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357	5511
<i>v. Bhairon Singh</i>	I. L. R., 3 All., 338	1013
<i>v. Bhawani</i>	I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675	1022
<i>v. ———</i>	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525	6161
<i>v. Bhawani Prasad</i>	I. L. R., 4 All., 182	1967
<i>v. Bhoirub Chunder Dutt</i>	10 C. L. R., 193	4362
<i>v. Bhupal</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 380	2598
<i>v. Bhup Singh</i>	I. L. R., 2 All., 570	1305
<i>v. ———</i>	I. L. R., 2 All., 771	4749
<i>v. Blechynden</i>	6 C. L. R., 278	1795
<i>v. Brojokant Roy Chowdhry</i>	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 637	4107
<i>v. Brojonath Dey</i>	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 425	502
<i>v. Budh Singh</i>	I. L. R., 2 All., 101	1327, 3864 5561
<i>v. Burah</i>	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 63 : 1 C. L. R., 161 ; and on appeal, I. L. R., 4 Calc., 172 : 3 C. L. R., 197 : L. R., 5 I. A., 178. }	2900, 2901
<i>v. Butokisto Dass</i>	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 59 : 6 C. L. R., 374. }	1222
<i>v. Butto Kristo Doss</i>	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 497	4691
<i>v. Chaitram</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 103	1542, 1965
<i>v. Chandra Nath Sircar</i>	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65 : 8 C. L. R., 353. }	1024
<i>v. Charu Nayiah</i>	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 354	1328
<i>v. Chatter Singh</i>	I. L. R., 2 All., 33	2779, 4059
<i>v. Cheda Khan</i>	I. L. R., All., 404	1855
<i>v. Chedi Rai</i>	7 C. L. R., 142	5155
<i>v. Chidda Khan</i>	I. L. R., 3 All., 573	6248
<i>v. Chunder Nath Dutt</i>	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 121 : 4 C. L. R., 305. }	6261
<i>v. Counsell</i>	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 896	913
<i>v. Dabee Pershad</i>	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 530 : 7 C. L. R., 541. }	1016
<i>v. ———</i>	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 532	1792
<i>v. Dahia</i>	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121 : 8 C. L. R., 300. }	1966
<i>v. Daji Narsu</i>	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 288	1013, 1024
<i>v. Darba</i>	I. L. R., 1 All., 461	5562
<i>v. Dedar Sircar</i>	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 384 : 1 C. L. R., 95. }	5541
<i>v. Deeki Nandan Lal</i>	I. L. R., 2 All., 806	908
<i>v. DeSilva</i>	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 479	1319
<i>v. Dharam Das</i>	I. L. R., 1 All., 635	1853
<i>v. Dhononjoy Baraj</i>	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 540 : 1 C. L. R., 478. }	2755
<i>v. Dhuniram</i>	5 C. L. R., 366	4736
<i>v. Dhunno Kazi</i>	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121	6260
<i>v. Dhunum Kazee</i>	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53 : 11 C. L. R., 169. }	2013, 6161 6164
<i>v. Diljour Misser</i>	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 225	4119
<i>v. Din Ali</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 462	6189

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Dinonath Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 166 : 10 C. L. R., 190.	1324
— v. Dongaji Andaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 564	264
— v. Donnelly	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405	5150, 5157 5158, 6249
— v. Dosabhoj Framji	I. L. R., 3 All., 214	654
— v. Dossaji Gulam Husein	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 334	1838, 2105
— v. Dwarkanath Chowdhry	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 399	5838, 5843 735, 4354
— v. Dwarka Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 97	4356
— v. Ekrar Ali	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 432	2010
— v. Fateh	I. L. R., 5 All., 217	1301, 2012
— v. Fox	I. L. R., 2 All., 522	1336
— v. Futteh Jya Khan	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 570	5604
— v. Gangadhur Bhunjo	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 622 : 2 C. L. R., 179.	3631
— v. Ganraj	I. L. R., 2 All., 444	1023
— v. Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	3781
— v. Gauri Shankar	I. L. R., 6 All., 42	1974
— v. Gayadin	I. L. R., 4 All., 148	252
— v. Gobardhan Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 62	1315, 5517
— v. Golam Mahomed	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 18 : 3 C. L. R., 44.	1263
— v. Gonesh Dooley	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 351 : 4 C. L. R., 580.	4060
— v. Gopal Dhanuk	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 90 : 8 C. L. R., 471.	4431
— v. Gowdapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 534	5157
— v. Grish Chunder Nundi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 87 : 8 C. L. R., 387.	1958
— v. Grish Chunder Talukdar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 614 : 5 C. L. R., 364.	6268
— v. Gurdu	I. L. R., 3 All., 129	1536
— v. Hait Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 404	1855
— v. Halodhur Poroe	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 383	4371
— v. Haradhan Tamuli. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 511	260
— v. Harai Mirdha	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 189	6166
— v. Harakhnath Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 27	394, 1668
— v. Haran Chunder Mitter	6 C. L. R., 390	1791
— v. Hari Kisto Biswas	5 C. L. R., 209	1010
— v. Hari Lakshman	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 185	4355
— v. Hary Doyal Karmokar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 16	1305, 5157
— v. Hematulla	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 389	981, 6425
— v. Hurro Kole	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 288	2104
— v. Husen	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 262	2624
— v. Idu Beg	I. L. R., 3 All., 776	1337
— v. Ilahi Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 910	3621
— v. Imambu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 167	3625
— v. Indarman	I. L. R., 3 All., 837	4117
— v. Irad Ally	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 869	5527
— v. Irbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 479	1966, 5510
— v. Ishan Chundra De	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 847 : 12 C. L. R., 451.	502, 5160
— v. Jafar M. Talab	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 85	255
— v. Jagannath	I. L. R., 3 All., 258	1265
— v. Jallu	I. L. R., 4 All., 216	5854
— v. Jamui	I. L. R., 5 All., 337	1959

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Jamoona	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620: 8 C. }	1954
— v. Jangbir	L. R., 215. }	
— v. Janki	I. L. R., 4 All., 150	918
— v. Janokinath Gupta	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82	16, 5854
— v. Janokinath Gupta	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 625: 8 C. }	4447
— v. Jhubboo Mahton	L. R., 56. }	
— v. Jhubboo Mahton	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739: 12 C. }	723, 1267
— v. Jogessur Mochi	L. R., 233. }	1804, 6068
— v. Joy Hari Kor	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 379	6266
— v. Jualaprosad	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 356	1126, 1322
— v. Jubdur Kazi	I. L. R., 5 All., 62	2625
— v. Judoonath Gangooly	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	1316
— v. Juwanand	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 273	5558
— v. Jyadulla	I. L. R., 5 All., 221	251
— v. Kakde	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 436	2010
— v. Kalachand Dass	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	251
— v. Kali Churn Chunari	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 14: 6 C. L. }	1513
— v. Kallee	R., 128. }	5537, 5541
— v. Kallu	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154	6266
— v. —	I. L. R., 5 All., 233	148
— v. Kampta Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 60	4369
— v. Kamta Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 366	3618
— v. Kanchan Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 530	4692
— v. Karan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 212	4721
— v. Karim Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 413	1304
— v. Kashi	I. L. R., 1 All., 680	5158
— v. Kashmiri Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 386	25, 253
— v. Kassim Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 447	981, 6254
— v. Keshub Mohajan	I. L. R., 1 All., 625	1319
— v. Ketabdi Mundul	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121: 8 C. }	1966
— v. Khamir	L. R., 300. }	
— v. Kherode Chunder Mozumdar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985: 11 C. }	2899
— v. Khimchand Narayan	L. R., 241. }	
— v. Khodai Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 764	1338
— v. Khogayi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 662: 10 }	1306
— v. Kishna	C. L. R., 8. }	
— v. Kola Lalang	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 717: 6 C. }	4383
— v. Kudrutoolah	L. R., 118. }	
— v. Kyte	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	2906
— v. Lachman Singh	3 C. L. R., 527	5563
— v. Lakshman Bala	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 122	1331
— v. Lakshman Sakharam	I. L. R., 2 All., 713	4365
— v. Lalai	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 214: 10 C. }	501, 5874
— v. Lingam Ramanna	L. R., 155. }	
— v. Madho	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 495: 2 C. }	1286, 1294
	L. R., 2. }	
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 223: 11 C. }	502
	L. R., 427. }	
	I. L. R., 2 All., 398	5156, 5605
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 124	1024
	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481	972, 1281
	I. L. R., 2 All., 301	5522
	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 137	4623, 6046
	I. L. R., 4 All., 498	15
		4357

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Mannoo Tamoolee. In re . . . {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 696 : 4 C. L. R., 137. }	1011
— v. McLeod	I. L. R., 3 All., 342 . . .	1512
— v. Maganlal	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 622 . . .	1952
— v. Maguire {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 124 : 4 C. L. R., 432. }	2904
— v. Mabadu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 690 . . .	5571
— v. Mahindra Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 638 . . .	1856
— v. Mahomed Yashin	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 101 . . .	5168
— v. Mahuddi {	6 C. L. R., 349 }	5547, 6160
— v. Malhari	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 731 . . .	6163
— v. Malka	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643 . . .	5883
— v. Manga	I. L. R., 2 All., 340 . . .	1277
— v. Mazhar Husain	I. L. R., 5 All., 553 . . .	253
— v. Megha	I. L. R., 1 All., 637 . . .	1973, 2012
— v. Mhasnya Rama	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 280 . . .	5571
— v. Mohan Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 46 . . .	5561
— v. Mohim Chunder Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 765 . . .	1006
— v. Moorga Chetty	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 338 . . .	400
— v. Muhammad Jafir	I. L. R., 3 All., 545 . . .	914, 2910
— v. Mukhun Kumar	1 C. L. R., 275	5168
— v. Mula	I. L. R., 2 All., 105 . . .	6161, 6165
— v. Mulu	I. L. R., 2 All., 646 . . .	1972
— v. Mulua	I. L. R., 1 All., 599 . . .	1023, 6250
— v. Municipal Corporation of the Town of Calcutta. {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 758 : 2 C. L. R., 520. }	4118
— v. Munshi Sheikh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 616 . . .	5509
— v. Murari	I. L. R., 4 All., 147 . . .	1014
— v. Murli	I. L. R., 2 All., 336 . . .	2758
— v. Nadua	I. L. R., 2 All., 53 . . .	5150
— v. Nana Rahim	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 140 . . .	255
— v. Narotam Das {	I. L. R., 6 All., 98 }	5572
— v. Nathu Khan	I. L. R., 4 All., 417 . . .	918, 5150
— v. Nawab	I. L. R., 2 All., 835 . . .	5515, 5522
— v. Niaz Ali {	I. L. R., 5 All., 17 }	5529
— v. Nilambhar Babu	I. L. R., 2 All., 276 . . .	2000, 5165
— v. Nipcha	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 712 . . .	5540
— v. Nistar Raur {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 163 : 7 C. L. R., 197. }	710, 1968
— v. Nobin Chunder Dutt {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865 : 4 C. L. R., 243. }	1978, 5853
— v. Nobin Chundra Banikya {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 560 : 10 C. L. R., 369. }	5147, 5885
— v. Nobo Coomar Pal	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 621 . . .	5530
— v. Nobogopal Bose	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491 . . .	4686
— v. Nuddiar Chand Shaw {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 832 : 8 C. L. R., 152. }	4739
— v. Nurul Huqq {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 757 : 2 C. L. R., 408. }	346, 1154
— v. O'Brien	I. L. R., 2 All., 766 . . .	5165, 5945
— v. Padmanabh Pai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 384 . . .	501
— v. Pancham	I. L. R., 4 All., 198 . . .	6029
— v. Pandharinath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 34 . . .	501, 502
		4741
		1386
		5522
		1016
		1016

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress <i>v.</i> Panjab Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 579	1287
— <i>v.</i> Parahu	I. L. R., 5 All., 598	1956
— <i>v.</i> Paramanada	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 85 : 13 C. L. R., 375. {	2905
— <i>v.</i> Partab	I. L. R., 1 All., 666	5539, 5565
— <i>v.</i> ———	I. L. R., 6 All., 121	6190
— <i>v.</i> Pemantle	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 971	5558
— <i>v.</i> Pitamber Jina	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61	2937
— <i>v.</i> Pitambur Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 566 : 5 C. L. R., 597. {	1015
— <i>v.</i> Pitam Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 215	148
— <i>v.</i> Popat Nathu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287	1956
— <i>v.</i> Poreshollah Sheikh	7 C. L. R., 143	1315, 1316
— <i>v.</i> Prankrishna Surma	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 969 : 11 C. L. R., 6. {	716
— <i>v.</i> Prayag Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 103	1339, 2938
— <i>v.</i> Radha Kishan	I. L. R., 5 All., 36	4096
— <i>v.</i> Radoinath Shaha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 195	1956, 4357
— <i>v.</i> Raghubar	I. L. R., 2 All., 351	715, 1287
— <i>v.</i> Rajcoomar Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573 : 1 C. L. R., 352 : 2 C. L. R., 62. {	4724
— <i>v.</i> Rama Birapa	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 12	3867, 4486
— <i>v.</i> Ram Adhin	I. L. R., 2 All., 139	5945, 6251
— <i>v.</i> Ramanand	I. L. R., 3 All., 664	1016, 1025
— <i>v.</i> Ramanjiyya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 5	2757
— <i>v.</i> Rama Prema	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 239	1513
— <i>v.</i> Ram Chand	I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675	1013, 2030
— <i>v.</i> Ram Dayal	I. L. R., 3 All., 773	1297, 5576
— <i>v.</i> Rameshar Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 379	1022
— <i>v.</i> Ram Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 723	5572
— <i>v.</i> Ram Lall	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875	5881
— <i>v.</i> Ram Lal Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 40	5653
— <i>v.</i> Ram Partab	I. L. R., 6 All., 121	4748
— <i>v.</i> Ram Saran	I. L. R., 5 All., 7	5156
— <i>v.</i> Ram Singh	11 C. L. R., 642	5558
— <i>v.</i> Randhir Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 597	1043
— <i>v.</i> Rango Timaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 402	4371
— <i>v.</i> Riasat Ali <i>alias</i> Babu Miya <i>alias</i> Bodiuz-zuma. {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 352 : 8 C. L. R., 572. {	1337
— <i>v.</i> Rochia Mohato	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 42 : 8 C. L. R., 273. {	5881
— <i>v.</i> Rohimuddin	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 31 : 4 C. L. R., 285. {	452, 2015
— <i>v.</i> Rukn-ud-din	I. L. R., 4 All., 5	722, 1838
— <i>v.</i> Sabsukh	I. L. R., 2 All., 533	2922
— <i>v.</i> Sadanand Krishnaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 151	1334
— <i>v.</i> Safatulla	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 815	6256
— <i>v.</i> Sagambur	12 C. L. R., 120	5512, 5515
— <i>v.</i> Sabae Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623 : 2 C. L. R., 304. {	5521, 5528,
— <i>v.</i> Sajiwan Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 386	620
— <i>v.</i> Salik	I. L. R., 1 All., 527	1337
— <i>v.</i> Salik Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 582 : 8 C. L. R., 255. {	918, 1010
		1800, 6264
		1334, 6161
		6166
		262
		1954
		1956

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Samiruddin	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 211 : 10 C. } L. R., 11. }	712, 1794
— v. Sarmukh Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 218	2908
— v. Seymour	I. L. R., 1 All., 630	1856
— v. Shankar	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 657	2009
— v. —	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 403	4710
— v. Shasti Churn Napit	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 331 : 10 C. } L. R., 290. }	1670
— v. Shibo Behara	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 584 : 8 C. L. } R., 265. }	1958
— v. Shivram Gundo	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 14	261, 3880
— v. Sirsapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15	1014, 1277
— v. Sitaram Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 181	4355
— v. Soddanund Mahanty	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 259 : 10 C. } L. R., 365. }	5993
— v. Sreenath Banerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221	5851
— v. Sreenath Kur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 450 : 10 C. } L. R., 421. }	6277
— v. Sri Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 694	2759
— v. Sukhari	I. L. R., 2 All., 405	4378
— v. Sumsher Khan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624	1319
— v. Sunker Gope	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 307 : 7 C. L. } R., 411. }	5563
— v. Surjanarain Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 88	2911
— v. Tarachand Bagdi	7 C. L. R., 168	2897
— v. Tegha Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 473	1304
— v. Thacoor Dyal Sing	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 320	391
— v. Thompson	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 523 : 8 C. L. } R., 106. }	4496
— v. —	I. L. R., 2 All., 339	982
— v. Tika Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 251	984
— v. Troylukhonath Chowdhry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 366 : 3 C. } L. R., 525. }	1536, 4385
— v. Tsit Ooe	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 667	15, 5994
— v. Tucker	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 42	254
— v. Udit Prasad	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985 : 11 C. } L. R., 241. }	6070
— v. Umi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 126	2899
— v. Umsadbaksh	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 178	16, 587
— v. Uttom Koondoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634 : 10 C. } L. R., 466. }	2937
— v. Vaimbilee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 826	2757
— v. Vithal Bhaichand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 19	718, 1009
— v. Vyapoory Moodeliar	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 655 : 8 C. } L. R., 197. }	2029
— v. Yakub Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 253	1803
Empress on the prosecution of the Bank of Bengal v. Dinonath Roy. {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 166 : 10 C. } L. R., 190. }	1008
Empress on the prosecution of Dinonath Ghattack v. Rajcoomar Singh. }	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573	1324
Empress on the prosecution of Jodoo Nath Ghose v. Brojo Nath Dey. }	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 425	3867, 4486
Empress on the prosecution of Jogendronath Bose v. Thompson. }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 523 : 8 C. } L. R., 106. }	5945, 6251
		502
		982

OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress on the prosecution of Malcolm <i>v.</i> Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278 . . .	3781
Empress on the prosecution of Michell <i>v.</i> Joggessur Mochi.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 379 . . .	1126, 1322
Emrit Lall <i>v.</i> Kidd	Cor., 46 : 2 Hyde, 117 . . .	2802
Emrit Lall Saigram <i>v.</i> Kidd	2 Hyde, 116	5581
Enaet Ali <i>v.</i> Kuhur Roy	2 W. R., 289	4027
— <i>v.</i> Rampreah Koonwar	1 W. R., 21	1126, 1393
— <i>v.</i> Sobhnath Misser	15 W. R., 258	3840
Enaet Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Kumola Koonwar	11 W. R., 261	3260
Enaet Hossein <i>v.</i> Khoobunnissa	11 W. R., 320	3685
— <i>v.</i> Kureemmoonissa	3 W. R., 40	321, 3684
— <i>v.</i> Nujeeboonnissa Begum	11 W. R., 138	406
— <i>v.</i> Roshan Jahan	5 W. R., 4	2080, 3633
Enaetoollah <i>v.</i> Ameer Buksh <i>alias</i> Moheeoollah	25 W. R., 225	3634, 3747
Enaetoollah Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Kishen Soondur Surma	8 W. R., 386	4997
Enamandaram Venkayya <i>v.</i> Venkata Narayana Reddi	1 Mad., 75	4460, 5811
Enayet Ali <i>v.</i> Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq	22 W. R., 534	3584
Enayet Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., Cr., 1	2708
— <i>v.</i> Ahmed Reza	7 Moore's I. A., 238	1260
— <i>v.</i> Deedar Bux	W. R., 1864, Act X, 97	3123
— <i>v.</i> Gholam Ally	2 Agra, 276	1754
— <i>v.</i> Gridhari Lall	2 B. L. R., P. C., 75 : 11 W. R., P. C., 29 : 12 Moore's I. A., 366.	28
— <i>v.</i> Khoobunnissa	9 W. R., 246	3435
— <i>v.</i> Muddun Monee Shahoon	14 B. L. R., 155 : 22 W. R., 411.	1761, 2743
— <i>v.</i> Ramzan Ali	1 B. L. R., A. C., 172 : 10 W. R., 216.	1161
— <i>v.</i> Roushan Jehan	1 B. L. R., F. B., 1 : 10 W. R., F. B., 1.	3644
Enayetoollah <i>v.</i> Meajan	16 W. R., 6	267
— <i>v.</i> Radha Churn Roy	15 W. R., 395	304
Enayetoollah Meah <i>v.</i> Nubo Coomar Sircar	20 W. R., 207	1038
Enayutoollah <i>v.</i> Elahee Buksh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 42.	1621
Endar Lala <i>v.</i> Lallu Hari	7 Bom., A. C., 111	2, 2993
English. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 378	2992
Equitable Coal Company <i>v.</i> Gonesh Chunder Banerjee	9 C. L. R., 276	2676
Erad Ali <i>v.</i> Nusibun Nissa Bibee	4 C. L. R., 534	2053
Erakshah Dhanjiseth <i>v.</i> Adarji Dorabji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 535	980
Erajabi <i>v.</i> Mayar	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 118	1234
Erambapalli Korapen Nayar <i>v.</i> Erambapalli Chenen Nayar.	6 Mad., 411	3156
Eranjoli Illath Vishnu Nambudri <i>v.</i> Eranjoli Illath Krishnan Nambudri.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 3	3756
Erasha Kaikhusru <i>v.</i> Jerbai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 537	1711, 3753
Eravanni Revivarman <i>v.</i> Ittapu Revivarman	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 153	4242, 6202
Erfoonissa Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Rukeeboonnissa	9 W. R., 457	3759, 3761
Erskine. In the matter of	18 W. R., 511	3835, 3842
— <i>v.</i> Dhun Kishen Sein	8 W. R., 291	5926
— <i>v.</i> Government	8 W. R., 232	3929
— <i>v.</i> Manick Singh Ghatwal	6 W. R., 10	1763, 2036
— <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Roy	8 W. R., 220	2035
— <i>v.</i> Trilochun Chatterjee	9 W. R., 518	4864, 4865
Erskine & Co. <i>v.</i> Gholam Khezur	9 W. R., 520	5737
		214

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Erskine & Co. v. Okhoy Chunder Dutt	W. R., 1864, 58	1712, 1817
Ertaza Hossein v. Bany Mistry	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 130: 11 C. } L. R., 393. }	4177
Ertazah Hossein v. Huree Pershad Singh	5 W. R., 281	1991
Esab v. Krishna Narain Dey	11 C. L. R., 164	886
Esaias v. Gabriel	3 N. W., 32	6196
Esau Ahmed v. Jassim Binsaff	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 251	5643
Eshan Chunder Banerjee v. Hurish Chunder Shaha	24 W. R., 146	1594
— v. Kristo Gutty Nag	14 W. R., 377	3223
— v. Nund Coomar Banerjee	8 W. R., 239	1902, 2844 5385
— v. Zamuduroonissa Khatoon	17 W. R., 468	3404
Eshan Chunder Bose v. Juggobundhoo Ghose	8 W. R., 98	3493, 3514
— v. Prannath Nag	14 B. L. R., 143: 22 W. R., } 512. }	3527
Eshan Chunder Doss v. Rukeemooddeen Soudagur	10 W. R., 412	4138
Eshan Chunder Dutt v. Prannath Chowdhry	Marsh., 270: 2 Hay, 236	239
Eshan Chunder Ghose v. Hurish Chunder Banerjee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 5: 18 W. } R., 19. }	53, 4998
Eshan Chunder Ghossal v. Burno Moyee Dossee	16 W. R., 233	3010
Eshan Chunder Mojoomdar v. Hurish Chunder Ghose	21 W. R., 137	5317
Eshan Chunder Roy v. Assanoollah	16 W. R., 79	551, 562
— v. Monmohini Dassi	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 683	3355, 3375
Eshan Chunder Sein v. Dhonaye	11 W. R., 61	311, 242
— v. Huree Churn Dey	2 W. R., 278	1756
Eshan Chunder Shaha v. Hatimoozzumah Khondkur	13 W. R., 334	4210
Eshan Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn Bhutto	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 87: 6 W. } R., P. C., 57: 11 Moore's I. } A., 7. }	4587, 6097
Eshan Chundra Safooi v. Nundamoni Dassee	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 357	6226
Eshan Khatoon v. Ramnath Sein Lushkur	15 W. R., 327	4955
Eshreepershad v. Debee Churn	2 N. W., 470	2005
Eshree Rai v. Bindoot Rai	3 Agra, 60: Agra, F. B., Ed. } 1864, 142. }	4810
Esmail v. Shamjee Poonjani	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 550	2683
Esree Singh v. Bishesher Singh	3 Agra, 255	3213
Essaji Adamji v. Bhimji Purshotam	4 Bom., O. C., 125	4609, 6149
Essan Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Soorjo Lall Gossain	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 3. W. R., } F. B., 1: Marsh., 139. }	3558
Essan Chunder Dutt v. Prannauth Chowdhry	Marsh., 270: 2 Hay, 236: } W. R., F. B., 71. }	2008, 5520 5778
Essen Chunder Manick v. Seebjoy Thakoor	Marsh., 435: 2 Hay, 503	1583
Esuf Ali Khan v. Shib Shunkur Shuhaye	W. R., 1864, 384	4954
Etwaree Lushkur v. Jadub Chunder Haldar	2 Hay, 599	3808
Etwari v. Ram Narayan Ram	4 B. L. R., Ap., 71: 13 W. } R., 230. }	85
Eusuf Ali v. Azumtoonissa	W. R., 1864, 49	4007
Evans. In the matter of the petition of	2 Mad., 473	5598
Everet v. Frere	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 205	428
Ewart, Latham, & Co. v. Muhammad Siddik	4 Bom., O. C., 133	5714
EWAZ v. Mokuna Bibi	1. L. R., 1 All., 132	1471, 1485
Ewing v. Choonee Lall Mullick	Cor., 150	2621
— v. Gosaidas Ghose	2 B. L. R., Ap., 7	5589
— v. Grant, Smith, & Co.	2 Hyde, 185	6013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Faez Buksh Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Sadut Ali Khan	23 W. R., 282	1928, 3490
Faez Bux Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Fakiruddin Mahomed Ahasan Chowdhry.	9 B. L. R., 456 : 14 Moore's I. A., 234.	473
Fagan <i>v.</i> Chunder Kant Banerjee	7 W. R., 452	4583, 5829
— <i>v.</i> Dossee	Marsh., 226	5358
Fahamidannissa Begum <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 67	65
Fahimunnissa <i>v.</i> Ajudhia Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 170	172, 4080
Faiz Ali. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 325	6272
— <i>v.</i> Koromdi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 28	6272
Faizullah <i>v.</i> Ramkamal Mitter	2 B. L. R., O. C., 7	4603
Fajaleh Ali Miah <i>v.</i> Kamaruddin Bhuya	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 170	1000
Fakaruddin Mahomed Ahsan <i>v.</i> Official Trustee of Bengal.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 538	804
Fakeer Bux <i>v.</i> Chutturdharee Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 513, note : 14 W. R., 209. }	5425
Fakeer Chand Lall. In the matter of	20 W. R., 470	5937
Fakeer Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 46	1153
Fakeer Rohoman <i>v.</i> Bhabo Soondery Debea	1 W. R., 232	532
Fakharooddeen Mahomed Ahsan <i>v.</i> Pogose	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 209 : 2 C. L. R., 573.	3326
Fakaruddin Mahomed Ahsan <i>v.</i> Official Trustee of Bengal.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 178 : 10 C. L. R., 176 : L. R., 8 I. A., 197.	1480, 3325 3326
Faki <i>v.</i> Khotu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 590	4783
Faki Ismail <i>v.</i> Umabai Bivalkar	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 425	3774
Fakira <i>v.</i> Basapa Mahadan Shetti	8 Bom., A. C., 234	5131, 5132 5135
Fakira Dobey <i>v.</i> Gopi Lall	6 C. L. R., 66	2191
Fakirapa bin Satyapa <i>v.</i> Chanapa bin Chanmalapa	10 Bom., 162	2395
Fakirappa <i>v.</i> Pandurangapa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 7	5241, 5243
Fakir Bakhsh <i>v.</i> Sadat Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 376	3965
Fakir Chand <i>v.</i> Fouzdar Misser	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 547	1876
— <i>v.</i> Thakur Singh	7 B. L. R., 614 : 15 W. R., 421	1408
Fakir Chand Bose <i>v.</i> Madan Mohun Ghose	4 B. L. R., F. B., 130 : 13 W. R., F. B., 40. }	841
Fakir Chand Ghose <i>v.</i> Hiralal Seal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 93 : 10 W. R., 403. }	1505, 1590 3066
Fakir Chand Govindram <i>v.</i> Kahandas Bhagwandas	3 Bom., A. C., 167	4773
Fakirchand Motichand <i>v.</i> Motichand Hurruckchand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438	2163, 2660
Fakir Mahomed Khan <i>v.</i> Fazeluddeen Khan	4 C. L. R., 256	4831
Fakir Muhammad <i>v.</i> Ghulam Husain	I. L. R., 1 All., 580	3490, 3521
— <i>v.</i> Tirumala Chariar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205	3059
Fakir Rawot <i>v.</i> Emambaksh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 35 : W. R., F. B., 143.	3710
Fakiruddin Mohammed Ahasan <i>v.</i> Phillips	3 B. L. R., Ap., 53 : 11 W. R., 464. }	2990
Fakiruddin Mohammed Asan Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Najumunnissa Chowdhraim.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 264 : 11 W. R., 145.	279
Fakrudin. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 40	3607, 3738
Fakuruddeen Mahomed Assan <i>v.</i> Official Trustee of Bengal.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 82	1865
Fakurudin Sahib <i>v.</i> Acken Sahib	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 197	111

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Falle v. MacEwen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 1: 8 C. L. R., 577. }	4063
Fanindra Deb Raikat v. Rajeswar Das	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 463: L. R., 12 I. A., 72. }	2217, 2271
Faqueeroonissa Begum v. Sufdar Ali	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 119	3733
Fareed Alum v. Sheo Charun Ram	4 N. W., 37	5408
Farid Ahmad v. Dulari Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 233	5970
Farid-unnissa. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 All., 92	913
Farman Khan v. Bharat Chandra Shaha Chowdry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 W. R., F. B., 21. }	3708
Farquharson v. Dwarkanauth Singh	8 B. L. R., 504	1762
— v. Government of Bengal	14 Moore's I. A., 259: 16 W. R., P. C., 29. }	1762
Farzand Ali v. Alimullah	I. L. R., 1 All., 272	4541, 4543
— v. Yusuf Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 669	294, 4413
Farzhara Banu v. Azizunnissa Bibi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 175: 3 W. R., 72. }	5107
Fata Iyah Khan. In re	3 C. L. R., 599	5604
Fateh Muhammad v. Gopaldas	I. L. R., 7 All., 424	846, 3218
Fateh Singh v. Sanwal Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 751	1096
Fatesangji v. Desai Kalyanraya	4 Bom., A. C., 189	3377, 3408
Fathula v. Muniyappa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 98	5450
Fati Chand Sahu v. Lilamber Singh Das	9 B. L. R., 433: 14 Moore's I. A., 129: 16 W. R., P. C., 26. }	4812, 4852 5801
Fatima Begam v. Sakina Begam	I. L. R., 1 All., 51	2802
— v. Sukhrum	I. L. R., 6 All., 341	1244
Fatima Begum v. Moosa	18 W. R., 128	5679
Fatima Eibee v. Ariff Ismailjee Bham	9 C. L. R., 66	3663, 3735
— v. Arif Sookanee	Marsh., 263: 2 Hay, 106	6109
Fatima Khatun v. Collector of Tipperah	8 B. L. R., 4, note: 13 W. R., 433. }	5336
— v. Mahommed Jan Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., P. C., 21: 10 W. R., P. C., 29: 12 Moore's I. A., 65. }	1138
Fatimunnissa Begum v. Hamza Ali	6 C. L. R., 391	4663
Fatma v. Essa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 266	4673
Fatma Bibee v. Collector of Surat	8 Bom., A. C., 79	348
Fatma Bibi v. Advocate General of Bombay	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 42	3666
— v. Sadruddin	2 Bom., 307: 2nd Ed., 291	3650
Fatma kom Nubi Saheb v. Darya Saheb	10 Bom., 187	5270, 6004
Fatteh Bahadur v. Janki Bibi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 13 W. R., 74. }	4309
Fatteh Singh v. Lachmi Kooer	13 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 21 W. R., 105. }	4977
Fayz Ali v. Taleb Ali	18 W. R., 330	687
Fazal Haq v. Maha Chand	I. L. R., 1 All., 557	5261
Fazal Imam v. Metta Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 549	3815
Fazal Muhammad v. Phul Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 192	3177
Fazel Biswas v. Jamadar Sheik	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 231	5124
Fazulun-nissa Begum v. Mulo	I. L. R., 6 All., 250	278
Feda Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 431	267, 270 273
— v. Empress	10 C. L. R., 184	2008
— v. Khajooroonissa	9 W. R., 459	1195
— v. Syedoonissa	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 80	2815
Feddah Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	2 Hay, 677	3847

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fegredo <i>v.</i> Mahomed Moddessur . . .	10 W. R., 267 . . .	1783, 2986
— <i>v.</i> Mahomed Mudessur . . .	15 W. R., 75 . . .	443, 3670
Fehrsen <i>v.</i> Simpson . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 514 . . .	6215
Felani Hariani. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 12 . . .	1
Fenn. In the matter of the estate of . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 3 . . .	128
Fenwick. In the matter of the petition of . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 47 : 14 W. R., Cr., 72. } . . .	2947
Ferasut Ali <i>v.</i> Ashootosh Roy Singh . . .	15 W. R., 455 . . .	4541
Fergusson <i>v.</i> Government . . .	9 W. R., 158 . . .	5128
Fernandez <i>v.</i> Alves . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382 . . .	6198
— <i>v.</i> Fernandez . . .	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12 . . .	1565
— <i>v.</i> Vasudev Shanbog . . .	3 Bom., A. C., 82 . . .	3318
Ferrier <i>v.</i> Ram Kalpa Ghose . . .	23 W. R., 403 . . .	5842
Fida Ali <i>v.</i> Muzaftar Ali . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 65 . . .	3718
Fida Hossein <i>v.</i> Gholam Jilani . . .	7 N. W., 346 . . .	2882
Fida Husain <i>v.</i> Kutub Husain . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 38 . . .	48
Fidaye Shikdar <i>v.</i> Ozeerooddeen . . .	7 W. R., 87 . . .	3252, 4523
Financial Association of India and China <i>v.</i> Pranji-vandas Harjivandas. . .	3 Bom., O. C., 25 . . .	1896, 2626
Finlay, Campbell, & Co. <i>v.</i> Steele . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39 . . .	6275
Finlay, Muir, & Co. <i>v.</i> Gopee Kristo Gossameo . . .	24 W. R., 404 . . .	3015
Fireengoo Singh <i>v.</i> Ahmed Hossein . . .	7 W. R., 22 . . .	1351
Firingee Sahoo <i>v.</i> Sham Manjhee . . .	8 W. R., 373 . . .	4469
Fischer <i>v.</i> Fischer . . .	6 Mad., 393 . . .	1819
— <i>v.</i> Kamala Naicker . . .	3 W. R., P. C., 33 : 8 Moore's I. A., 170. } . . .	697, 1083 2736, 4660
Fisher <i>v.</i> Nundoo Coomar Mundle . . .	Marsh., 625 . . .	1588
— <i>v.</i> Pearse . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 1 . . .	1982
Fitzpatrick <i>v.</i> Gowan . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 420 : 6 W. R., Act X, 64 . . .	567
— <i>v.</i> Seeta Roy . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 170 . . .	1640
— <i>v.</i> Wallace . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 317 : 11 W. R., 231. } . . .	3045, 5182
Fleming <i>v.</i> Koegler . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 237 : 3 C. L. R., 297. } . . .	1059
— <i>v.</i> Shearman . . .	4 B. L. R., O. C., 92 . . .	5536
Fleming Spinning and Weaving Co. In re . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 299 . . .	949
Flowest <i>v.</i> Kootoob Hossein . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 494 . . .	950
— <i>v.</i> Kootub Hossein . . .	2 Agra, 274 . . .	1588
Foggo <i>v.</i> Loudon . . .	Agra, F. B., 100 : Ed. 1874, 75 . . .	5787
Fondclair <i>v.</i> Vinaitheethan Chetty . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139 . . .	3085
Foolbashee Kowar <i>v.</i> Arzun Sahoo . . .	5 Mad., 251 . . .	5809
Fool Chund Johurry <i>v.</i> Ramkristo Bose . . .	12 W. R., 134 . . .	1434, 4316
Foolee Bibee <i>v.</i> Bassiruddy Mirdha . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 425 . . .	3986
Forbes <i>v.</i> Ameeroonissa Begum . . .	12 W. R., F. B., 25 . . .	4143
— <i>v.</i> Bhujloo Roy . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 117 : 5 W. R., P. C., 47 : 10 Moore's I. A., 340. } . . .	4015, 4631
— <i>v.</i> Dyanutoollah . . .	6 C. L. R., 301 . . .	545
— <i>v.</i> Girish Chandra Bhuttacharjee . . .	10 W. R., 415 . . .	5133
— <i>v.</i> Lutchmeput Singh . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 3 : 14 W. R., Cr., 31. } . . .	3864
— <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein . . .	10 B. L. R., 139 : 17 W. R., 197 : 14 Moore's I. A., 330 } . . .	5314
— <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kasseem . . .	12 B. L. R., 210 : 20 W. R., 44. } . . .	1991, 4211 4648, 5351
	1 W. R., 238 . . .	1382

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Forbes v. Mahomed Taki . . .	5 B. L. R., 529: 14 W. R., P. C., 28: 13 Moore's I. A., 438. }	36, 2035
—— v. Mean Jan . . .	3 W. R., 69 . . .	4206
—— v. Nund Comar Mundul . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 35 . . .	1605
—— v. Protap Singh Doogur . . .	7 W. R., 409 . . .	5301, 5311
—— v. Purtap Singh Doogur . . .	7 W. R., 400 . . .	5338
—— v. Ram Lall Biswas . . .	22 W. R., 51 . . .	3351
—— v. Sree Lal Jha . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 365 . . .	5192
—— v. Tullockchand Manockchand . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 386 . . .	542
Fornaro v. Ramnarain Sookdeb . . .	14 B. L. R., 180: 23 W. R., 136. }	1086
Forester v. Secretary of State . . .	12 B. L. R., 120: 18 W. R., 349: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 10. }	1088, 5720
v.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 161: L. R., 4 I. A., 137.	58, 5111 5507
Fort Gloucester Mills Co. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 260 . . .	2696
Foschola v. Huro Chunder Bose . . .	8 W. R., 284 . . .	6062
Foujdar Khan v. Baineer Doobey . . .	3 Agra, 398 . . .	1614
Foulkes v. Rajabratna Mudali . . .	6 Mad., 175 . . .	5436
Fowle v. Fowle	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 260: 3 C. L. R., 484.	3064
Fox. In re	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 67 . . .	1546, 1555
Framjee Cowasjee v. Thompson . . .	3 Moore's I. A., 422 . . .	2665
Framji Besanji Dastur v. Hormasji Pestanji . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258 . . .	4046
Framji Bomanji v. Hormasji Barjorji . . .	3 Bom., O. C., 49 . . .	5486
Framji Hormasji v. Commissioner of Customs . . .	7 Bom., A. C., 89 . . .	3090, 5875
Framji Kavasji v. Hormasji Kavasji . . .	1 Bom., 220 . . .	2703, 5497
Framji Rustanji v. Ratansha Pestanji . . .	9 Bom., 49 . . .	2807
Franks v. Nuneh Mal	7 N. W., 79 . . .	208, 796
Freek v. Harley	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 418: 7 C. L. R., 237.	3501, 3517
Freeman v. Fairlie	1 Moore's I. A., 305 . . .	5718
French v. Baranashee Banerjee . . .	8 W. R., 29 . . .	2962, 6000
Frewin v. Paul	12 W. R., 532 . . .	3919
Fuckoruddeen Mahomed Ahsan v. Mohima Chunder Chowdhry. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 529 . . .	1817
Fuggoo Daye v. Ranah Daye	4 W. R., Mis., 3 . . .	3331
Fukeer Ahmed v. Issur Chunder Das . . .	20 W. R., 481 . . .	2276
Fukeer Chunder Shaha v. Nobodeep Chunder Shaha . . .	W. R., 1864, 59 . . .	1377
Fukeerdass Pooroheet v. Gopal Mookerjee . . .	12 W. R., 107 . . .	4301
Fukeerooddeen Adam Shah. In the matter of the petition of. }	11 W. R., 413 . . .	6106
Fukeerooddeen Mahomed Ahsun Chowdhry v. Kurreem Buksh Chowdhry. }	5 W. R., 43 . . .	3085
Fukeeroonissa Begum v. Chunder Monee Dossee . . .	12 W. R., 538 . . .	473
Fukheerooddeen v. Kalachand Sirdar . . .	1 W. R., 287 . . .	5072
Fukurooddeen Mahomed Ahsan Chowdhry v. Annund- nath Roy. }	9 W. R., 370 . . .	5121
Fuller v. Bishoon Kooer	3 N. W., 25 . . .	5138
Fulli Bibi v. Bassirudi Midha	4 B. L. R., F. B., 54 . . .	1034, 1106
Fultoo Bibee v. Bhurrut Lall Bhukut . . .	10 W. R., 299 . . .	1750, 4143
Fulvahu v. Goculdas Vallabhdas . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 275 . . .	3670
Funeendro Deb Roy Kut v. Jogendro Deb . . .	23 W. R., 220 . . .	10, 5170
Fureedoonissa v. Ruhomut	4 W. R., 37 . . .	277
		2021

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fureedunnissa v. Ram Onogra Singh . . .	21 W. R., 19 . . .	1845
Furlong v. Johurree Loll	Marsh., 194: 1 Hay, 453	4928
— v. Kusroo Mundur	7 W. R., 531 . . .	3374
— v. Treelochun Singh	Marsh., 504: 2 Hay, 598	4928
Furookh Hossein v. Fuzul Hossein . . .	1 N. W., 209: Ed. 1873, } 292.	1379
Furzund Ali v. Abdool Rahim	4 W. R., 30 . . .	4821
— v. Ahmed Hossein	1 Agra, 267 . . .	5986
— v. Jaffree Bebee	5 N. W., 118 . . .	4989
Furzund Ali Khan v. Aka Ali Mahomed . .	3 C. L. R., 194 . . .	644, 2594
Furzund Hossein v. Janu Bibee	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 588 . .	3647
Fusseebun v. Ashrufoonnissa	23 W. R., 463 . . .	2692
Futeek Parooee v. Mohender Nath Mozoomdar .	1 L. R., 1 Calc., 385: 25 W. R., 226.	1378, 5771
Futeh Ali <i>alias</i> Nanna Meah v. Gregory . .	6 W. R., Mis., 13 . . .	3911, 5425
Futteh Ali v. Asgur Ali	17 W. R., 11 . . .	5279
— v. Gunganath Roy	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 113: 10 C. L. R., 20.	1122, 5672
— v. Kureem Ali	10 W. R., 61 . . .	756
Futteh Ali Shah v. Fuzeelutunnissa Bebee .	W. R., 1864, 131 . . .	3686
— v. Mahomed Mukeem Oodeen	W. R., 1864, 131 . . .	3686
Futteh Bahadoor v. Gibbon	22 W. R., Cr. 74 . . .	4736
Futtehma Begum v. Mahomed Ausur	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 309 . . .	2713, 5759
Futteh Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., Cr. 30 . . .	4355
Futtehoollah v. Oomdanissa Bibee	14 W. R., 69 . . .	4899
Futteh Ram v. Monohur Lall	3 Agra, 39	3191
Futtehsangji Jaswantsangji v. Deasai Kullianraj Hakoomutraiiji.	13 B. L. R., 254: 10 Bom., 281: L. R., 1 I. A., 24: 21 W. R., 178. }	3118, 3377 3408
Futteh Singh v. Gango	4 W. R., 4	376
Futtick Chunder Banerjee v. Golam Ali Chowdhry	10 W. R., 453	3876
Futtick Chunder Chatterjee v. Juggut Mohinee Dabi	22 W. R., 348	2333
Futtick Chund Sahoo v. Makund Jha	Marsh., 224: 1 Hay, 539 . .	3103
Fuzal Ali v. Bia Bibi Chowdhraim	7 C. L. R., 276	1504
Fuzeehun v. Kajo	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 15 . . .	2072
Fuzeelun v. Keramut Hossein	21 W. R., 212	3516
Fuzcelun Beebee v. Omdah Beebee	10 W. R., 469	3632, 4902 6244
	11 B. L. R., 60, note: 10 W. R., 469. }	459, 4246
Fuzeelutoonissa v. Hocrmutoonissa	Marsh., 218: 1 Hay, 559 . .	3644
Fuzl Hossein v. Tussuduck Ali Khan . . .	13 W. R., 395	182
Fuzl Moula v. Gholam Shurruff	12 W. R., 505	667
Fuzlon v. Abdoolah	7 W. R., 169	2929
Fuzl-ood-deen v. Imteaz-oon-nissa	3 Agra, 152	3015
Fuzlool Ruhman v. Ali Kureem	5 W. R., 163	4014
Fuzloonnissa v. Nawabunnissa	2 Hay, 479	3702
Fuzloor Ruhman v. Altaf Hossein	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 541 . . .	3503
Fuzludeen Khan v. Fakir Mahomed Khan .	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 336: 4 C. L. R., 257. }	4804, 4831
Fuzul Ali v. Jumna Doss	1 Agra, 229	1139
Fuzlutoonissa v. Chutter Dharee Singh . .	6 W. R., Mis., 43	3519
Fuzzle Ali. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 8	4435
Fuzzoo Khan v. Issur Chunder Sircar . . .	Marsh., 30	195
Fuzzul Hossei v. Amjud Ali Khan	17 W. R., 523	4232
— v. Enayet Ali Khan	2 W. R., 268	5782
Fyaz-ood-deen v. Pudmee	4 N. W., 68	4245, 5172

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6417

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fyazooddeen Bhooya v. Shumsunnissa Beebee	2 W. R., 508	3797, 5436
Fyez Ali v. Omedee Singh	1 W. R., 265	1786
Fyezoollah v. Kazim Hossein	4 W. R., 29	3895
Fyezoonnissa v. Sadutoollah	2 W. R., 3	4827
Fyz-ood-deen v. Giraudh Singh	N. W., 1	3778

G

G— v. G	8 Bom., O. C., 48	1549
Gabinda Chandra Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	4491, 6255
Gabind Chandra v. Collector of Dacca	3 B. L. R., Ap., 57: 11 W. R., 491.	3438
Gabind Chandra Biswas v. Hem Chandra Bardar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 115	3616
Gabind Chandra Dutt v. Ganga Dhye	7 B. L. R., 333	4410
Gabind Prasad Tewari v. Udai Chand Rana	6 B. L. R., 320	1428
Gabindsundari Debi v. Jagadamba Debi	6 B. L. R., 168: 15 W. R., P. C., 5.	4653
Gabriel v. Mordakai	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 148	5901
Gadadhar Moitry v. Ganga Prasad Moitry	4 B. L. R., O. C., 89	354
Gadgeppa Desai v. Apaji Jivanrao	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 237	2204
Gactree Dabea v. Thakoor Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 78	4935
Gahan v. Owen	Bourke, O. C., 17: Cor., 149	2687
— v. —	Cor., 11	5532
Gajadhar Persad v. Widows of Emam Ali Beg	15 B. L. R., 221: L. R., 2 I. A., 205.	4636
Gajadhar Prasad v. Ganesh Tewari	7 B. L. R., 149: 15 W. R., 485.	208, 404
Gajadhar Prasad Narayan Singh. In re	1 B. L. R., A. C., 186	5731, 6238
Gajapathi v. Alagia	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 89	6122
Gajapathi Nilamani v. Gajapathi Radhamani	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290: 1 C. L. R., 97: L. R., 4 I. A., 212.	2316, 2464
Gajapathi Radhika Patta Mahadebi Guru v. Gajapathi Hari Krishna Debi Guru	6 B. L. R., 202: 14 W. R., P. C., 33: 13 Moore's I. A., 497.	988
Gajapati Radhika Patta Mahadevi Guru v. Gajapati Radhamani Mahadevi Guru	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 96	3553
Gajapaty Hari Krishna Devi Garu v. Gajapaty Radhika Patta Maha Devi Garu	2 Mad., 369	988
Gajapaty Nilamani Patta Maha Devi Garu v. Gajapaty Radhika Patta Negha Deva Garu	2 Mad., 369	988
Gajendranarayan Roy v. Hemangini Dasi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 13 W. R., 35.	5977
Gajjo Koer v. Ally Ahmed	6 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 14 W. R., 474.	1743
Gale v. Maharanee Sreemutty	15 W. R., 133	2995
Gale v. Chedi Jha	2 Hay, 591	2983
Gambhirnal v. Chejmal	10 Bom., 406	5768
— v. Chejmal Jodhmal	11 Bom., 151	228, 5919
Gamble. Ex parte	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142	2627
— v. Abdur Rahiman bin Alli	12 Bom., 257	11, 3449
— v. Bholagir	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 147	2628, 2658
— v. Bholagir Mangir	1 Bom., 251	2658, 2670
Gamirullah Sarkar v. Abdul Sheikh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 408	4287
Ganapathi v. Balasundara	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 540	5911
Gandavathara Ayyangar v. Devanayaga Mudali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 222	786, 3544
		111

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gandharee Debea v. Sonatun Panday . . .	10 W. R., 215 . . .	4822
Gandharp Singh v. Sahib Singh . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 184 . . .	4548
Gane Bhive Parab v. Kane Bhive . . .	4 Bom., A. C., 169 . . .	2342, 2374
Ganeemut Hossein v. Rungoo Sahoo . . .	3 C. L. R., 8 . . .	4926
Ganendra Mohan Tagore v. Upendra Mohan Tagore	4 B. L. R., O. C., 103 . . .	2528, 2534
Ganendro Mohun Tagore v. Juttendro Mohun Tagore	12 B. L. R., 1 . . .	2557
	14 B. L. R., 60; 22 W. R., } 377: L. R., 1 I. A., 387. }	6214
Ganesh Ratnamaiyar v. Gopala Ratnamaiyar . . .	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 270: L. R., 7 I. A., 173. . .	2123
Ganesh Bhikaji Juvekar v. Bhikaji Krishna Juvekar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 398 . . .	4897
Ganesh Chandra Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., A. C., 265 . . .	4883
Ganeshee Lall v. Toolaram . . .	3 Agra, 376: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 167. . .	3239
Ganesh Hathi v. Mehta Vyankatram Harjivan . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 188 . . .	620, 5687
Ganeshi Lall v. Luchman Dass . . .	5 N. W., 31 . . .	3716
Ganesh Kooer v. Deedar Buksh . . .	5 N. W., 128 . . .	3997
Ganesh Krishna v. Madhavrao Ravji . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 75 . . .	3345
Ganeshlall v. Amir Khan . . .	8 B. L. R., 83: 17 W. R., 80 . . .	75, 2004
Ganesh Lall Tewari v. Shamnarain . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 213 . . .	5376
Ganesh Pandurang Agte v. Gangadhur Ramkrishna	6 Bom., A. C., 244 . . .	244
Ganesh Pershad v. Sheo Churun Lall . . .	6 N. W., 197 . . .	484, 1912
Ganesh Rai v. Kalka Prasad . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 595 . . .	4978
Ganesh Ram Chandra Date v. Shankar Ramchandra	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 395 . . .	420
Ganesh Ravji v. Govind Gopal . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 461 . . .	1523
Ganesh Sadashiv. In the matter of the petition of	5 Bom., A. C., 117 . . .	3141
Ganesh Shivram v. Abdula Beg . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 538 . . .	851
Ganesh Singh v. Ram Raja . . .	3 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 12 W. R., P. C., 38. . .	1379, 1560 1725
Ganesh Sundari Debi. In the matter of . . .	5 B. L. R., 418 . . .	2094, 3851
Ganes Sing v. Ramgopal Sing . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 55 . . .	107
Ganeswar Sing v. Durga Dutt . . .	7 B. L. R., 651 . . .	4654
Ganga v. Murli Dhar . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 240 . . .	844, 1705
Gangabai v. Kalapa Dari Mukrya . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 419 . . .	2990, 3062, 4474, 5110
— v. Sitaram . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 170 . . .	2423
— v. Vamanaji Datar . . .	2 Bom., 301 . . .	2156
Ganga Bisheswar v. Pirthi Pal . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 635 . . .	2157
Ganga Charan Roy Chowdry v. Jagarnath Dutt . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 208: 12 } W. R., 97. . . }	3399
Gangadaraiya v. Parameswaramma . . .	5 Mad., 111 . . .	2510
Ganga Dass Adhikaree. In re . . .	11 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: } 14 W. R., 281. . . }	4340
Ganga Dayal. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 375 . . .	3883
Gangadhar v. Zahurriya . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 446 . . .	2864, 3274
Gangadhara v. Sivarama . . .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 246 . . .	3932
Gangadhar Bhivrao v. Datto Krishnaji . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 368 . . .	2654
Gangadhar Raghunath v. Chinnaji Keshav Damle	5 Bom., A. C., 95 . . .	3748
Gangadhar Sakharan v. Mahadu Santajy . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 20 . . .	350, 1521
Gangadhar Shivkarn v. Collector of Ahmednagar . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 628 . . .	905
Gangadhar Sirkar v. Kasinath Biswas . . .	9 B. L. R., 128 . . .	5803
Ganga Din v. Dhurandhar Singh . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 495 . . .	5199
— v. Kushali . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 702 . . .	5417
Gangagobinda v. Kaliprasanna Naik . . .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 W. R., 206. . . }	372

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ganga Gobind Mandal <i>v.</i> Bani Madhab Ghose	{ 3. B. L. R., A. C., 172: 11 W. R., 543. }	4004
Ganga Gobind Sen <i>v.</i> Gobind Chandra Roy	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 39 }	1179
Ganga Jati <i>v.</i> Ghasita	{ 1. L. R., 1 All., 46 }	2497
Ganga Mulik <i>v.</i> Bayaji	{ 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 669 }	4020, 4186
Ganganarain Sircar <i>v.</i> Brindabun Chunder Kur Chowdhry.	{ 3 W. R., 142 }	2240
Ganga Narayan Das <i>v.</i> Saroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 230: 12 W. R., 30. }	1182, 1457
Ganga Pershad Sahu <i>v.</i> Gopal Singh	{ 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 136: L. R., 11 I. A., 234. }	1753
_____ <i>v.</i> Maharani Bibi	{ 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 379: L. R., 12 I. A., 47. }	5469
Gangappa <i>v.</i> Kapinappa	{ 5 Mad., 128 }	2079
Ganga Prasad <i>v.</i> Chandrawati	{ 1. L. R., 7 All., 256 }	5662
_____ <i>v.</i> Gajadhar Prasad	{ 1. L. R., 2 All., 651 }	2863
_____ <i>v.</i> Kusyari Din	{ 1. L. R., 1 All., 611 }	245
_____ <i>v.</i> Mawji Lal	{ 9 B. L. R., 426: 16 W. R., P. C., 30. }	3889
Ganga Prasad Gosain. In the goods of	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 43 }	629
Gangaram <i>v.</i> Bansi	{ 1. L. R., 2 All., 431 }	3747
_____ <i>v.</i> Beni Ram	{ 1. L. R., 7 All., 148 }	4833
_____ <i>v.</i> Chandan Singh	{ 1. L. R., 4 All., 62 }	2869
_____ <i>v.</i> Data Ram	{ 1. L. R., 8 All., 82 }	629
Gangaram Velgi <i>v.</i> Parbhu Dyaram	{ 9 Bom., 272 }	191, 6229
Ganga Sahai <i>v.</i> Hira Singh	{ 1. L. R., 2 All., 809 }	423
_____ <i>v.</i> Kishen Sahai	{ 1. L. R., 6 All., 262 }	365
_____ <i>v.</i> Lachman Singh	{ 1. L. R., 8 All., 194 }	6034
Gangathara Pandithar <i>v.</i> Rathabai Ammal	{ 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 237 }	3990
Gangbai <i>v.</i> Thavar Mulla	{ 1 Bom., 71 }	5447
Ganges Manufacturing Company <i>v.</i> Sourujmull	{ 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 669: 5 C. L. R., 533. }	3735
Ganges Steam Navigation Company. In the matter of _____ <i>v.</i> _____	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 394 }	1714
_____ <i>v.</i> _____	{ 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 }	952
Gangji Vithal <i>v.</i> Sitaram Shridhar	{ 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 181 }	3146, 3200
Gangoo Singh. In the matter of _____ <i>v.</i> _____	{ 9 Bom., 33 }	3236
Gangubai kom Sidhappa <i>v.</i> Ramanna bin Bhimauna	{ 2 C. L. R., 389 }	4440
Gangulu <i>v.</i> Ancha Bapulu	{ 3 Bom., A. C., 66 }	980
Gankim Swee <i>v.</i> Ralli Brothers	{ 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 73 }	2265
Gannu Lal <i>v.</i> Ram Sahai	{ 1. L. R., 13 Calc., 237: L. R., 13 I. A., 60. }	2170
Ganpat Bajashet <i>v.</i> Khandu Changshet	{ 1. L. R., 7 All., 197 }	1088
Ganpatgir Bholaqir <i>v.</i> Ganpatgir	{ 4 Bom., A. C., 69 }	3832
Ganpatji <i>v.</i> Saadat Ali	{ 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 230 }	4828, 6144
Ganpatlal Anupram <i>v.</i> Sampatram Ghelabhai	{ 1. L. R., 2 All., 787 }	1426, 1441
Ganpat Manikji Patil. In the matter of the petition of _____	{ 10 Bom., 400 }	5383
Ganpat Moroba <i>v.</i> Lakshmibai	{ 6 Bom., O. C., 64 }	421
Ganpat Pandurang <i>v.</i> Adarji Dadabhai	{ 5 Bom., O. C., 128 }	4757
Ganpat Pataya <i>v.</i> Collector of Kanara	{ 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 312 }	1700, 2509
Ganpat Rai <i>v.</i> Sadupi	{ 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 7 }	2540
Ganpatram Lakhmiram <i>v.</i> Jaichand Talakchand	{ 1. L. R., 1 All., 446 }	2500, 3439
Ganpatrav <i>v.</i> Rangrav	{ 4 Bom., A. C., 105, 109 }	4773
Ganpatrav Ranchodji <i>v.</i> Bai Suraj	{ 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note }	4343
Ganpatrav Trimbak Patwardhan <i>v.</i> Ganesh Baji Bhat	{ 7 Bom., A. C., 79 }	3919
	{ 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 112 }	2772, 5775
		2851
		2795
		5111

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ganpatrav Vireshvar v. Vithoba Khandappa	4 Bom., A. C., 130	2133
Ganraj Dubey v. Sheozore Singh	1. L. R., 2 All., 898	2392
Gau Savant Bal Savant v. Narayan Dhond Savant	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 467	2378, 4996
Ganubhai v. Srinivasa Pilla	4 Mad., 84	5047
Ganu bin Hanmantrav v. Moro Ganesh	10 Bom., 429	1393
Gapinath v. Abbas Hossein	7 B. L. R., 434, note	4284
Gapi Nath Nandi v. Shib Chandra Nandi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 74	589, 3637
Garbhu Bhagat v. Runglal Sing	7 B. L. Ap., 33	364
Garden Reach Spinning and Manufacturing Company v. } Empress of India Cotton Mills Company.	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 551	6157
Gardiner v. Fell	1 Moore's I. A., 299	4523
Gardner. Ex parte	6 Bom., O. C., 138	2962
Garib Hossein Chowdhry v. Wise	5 B. L. R., 342, note	5647
Garibulla Paramanick v. Fakir Mahomed Kolu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13 : 10 W. } R., 203. }	5139
Garland v. Rai Mohun Hazrah	1 W. R., 15	5669
"Garland," The, v. "Dragon," The	1 Hyde, 275	4928
Garuda Reddi o. Gudi Janakayya Garu	1 Mad., 124	2833
Gash v. McLean	2 N. W., 403	2697, 4947
Gasper. In the goods of	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 733 : 2 C. L. } R., 436. }	3199
Gasper (falsely called Gonsalves) v. Gonsalves	13 B. L. R., 109	1238
Gatharam Mistree v. Moolhita Kochin Atteah Domoonee } Gaura v. Gayadin	14 B. L. R., 298 : 23 W. R., } 179. }	1547, 3785
Gaurchandra Chuckerbutty v. Krishna Mohan Sing } Gaur Chandra Roy v. Rash Behari Dutt	1. L. R., 4 All., 355	5101
Gaurevallaba Ramchandra Vellia Bomaya Nayik v. } Virappa Chetti.	2 B. L. R., S. N., 4 : 10 W. R., } Cr., 46. }	682
Gaur Hari Das v. Madan Mohan Biswas	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1 : 10 W. } R., 43. }	4367
Gaur Hari Das Adhikari v. Hayagrib Das	2 Mad., 174	158
Gaur Hari Dutt v. Radha Gobind Shaha	3 B. L. R., A. C., 16 : 11 W. } R., 330. }	1684, 1828
Gaurhari Gui v. Peari Dasi	6 B. L. R., 371	3309
Gaurhari Singh v. Behari Raut	7 B. L. R., 343, note : 12 W. } R., 54. }	3769
Gauri v. Chandramani	B L. R., Sup. Vol., 48 : W. } R., F. B., 165. }	413
Gauri Anantha Parathesi alias Saththappayyan v. Kali- } appa Setti.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 138 : 12 W. } R., 277. }	2207
Gauri Baijnathprasad v. Budhu Sing	1. L. R., 1 All., 262	5180
Gauri Dat v. Gur Sahai	3 Mad., 213	2259
Gaurinath Mookerjee v. Madhumani Peshkar	2 B. L. R., S. N., 16	2914
Gauri Prasad Koondoo v. Reily	1. L. R., 2 All., 41	5002
Gauri Sahai. In the matter of the petition of } v. Ram Sahai	9 B. L. R., Ap., 37	2433
v. Rukko	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 112 : 12 C. } L. R., 41. }	2987
Gauri Shankar v. Mumtaz Ali Khan	1. L. R., 6 All., 114	3844
v. Surju	7 N. W., 157	5524
Gaur Lal Sirkar v. Rameswar Bhumik	1. L. R., 3 All., 45	5610, 5736
Gaur Mohan Chowdhry v. Madan Mohan Chowdhry } 29. }	1. L. R., 2 All., 411	2317
	1. L. R., 3 All., 276	1096
	6 B. L. R., Ap., 92	3345
	6 B. L. R., 352 : 15 W. R., }	5192
		3375

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gaur Mohan Dass v. Ramrup Mazoomdar	1 B. L. R., A. C., 42: 10 W. R., 84.	4757
Gaur Mohan Sing. In the matter of the petition of Gaur Narayan Mazumdar v. Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry.	8 B. L. R., Ap., 11	1960
Gavdapa v. Shibasangvada	5 B. L. R., 463: 14 W. R., 491	3953
Gayadat v. Kutub-un-nissa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note	2851
Gayadeen v. Ramsahai	I. L. R., 6 All., 578	2873
GayaJati v. Ramjiawan Ram	2 Agra, Pt. II, 181	4548
Gaya Parshad v. Bhup Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 569	3070
Gaya Prasad v. Gaya Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 180	1887
— v. Salik Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 682	3935
— v. Sikri	I. L. R., 3 All., 682	3935
Gayaram Mazumdar v. Madhusudan	I. L. R., 4 All., 23	3460
Gayasooden v. Khuda Buksh	4 B. L. R., Ap., 73	4824
Gazee v. Hameed Buksh	1 N. W., 87: Ed. 1873, 139	2974
Geddera Kolita v. Debendro Narain Konwar	16 W. R., 160	349, 351
Gedroo Sircar v. Beharee Lall Rudra	25 W. R., 352	6154
Geereeballa Dabee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	20 W. R., 165	3402
Geetum Sing v. Buldeo Kahar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 213	3858, 4273
Gehanaji bin Kes Patil v. Ganpati bin Lakshuman	4 N. W., 76	4526
Gendapuri v. Chhatarpuri	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 469	1539
Gend Lall Tewari v. Denonath Ram Tewari	L. R., 13 I. A., 100: I. L. R., 9 All., 1.	5261
Gendu Malhari v. Govind Atmaram	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 673	2245
General Manager, Raj Durbungha v. Ramput Singh	10 Bom., 409	1693
Gengu Reddi v. Asal Reddi	14 Moore's I. A., 605: 17 W. R., 459: 10 B. L. R., 294.	5658
Gentle v. Bank of Hindostan, China and Japan	1 Mad., 12	2517, 5404
— v. Thomson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245	5631
George. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 69	3104
— v. George	6 B. L. R., Ap., 138: 15 W. R., 457, note.	591
— v.	11 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1236
— v. Hamilton, Brown, & Co.	6 N. W., 219	1554
— v. Ram Ruttun	4 N. W., 74	6202
Gerender Coomar Dutt v. Juggadumba Dabee	3 Agra, 272	5142
Ghalib Ali v. Khilloo	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 126	225
Ghamshamlal v. Bhansali	3 N. W., 51: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 243.	3486, 5914
Ghanasham Das Goorsamull v. Joharimull Kedarinath	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 249	5877
Ghannoo Singh v. Ram Gobind Singh	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 431	410
Ghansam Singh v. Chukowree Singh	13 W. R., 231	431
Ghansham v. Mukha	W. R., 1864, 197	3878
Ghasee v. Jusondee	I. L. R., 3 All., 320	4142
Ghasee Khan v. Kulloo	2 Agra, 226	3520
Ghaseeram. In re	1 Agra, 152	2476
Ghasi Ram v. Nuraj Begam	12 B. L. R., Ap., 4	5000
Ghasi Singh v. Budh Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 31	917
Ghasseeram. In the matter of	7 N. W., 193	3091
Ghasseeram v. Monohur Doss	15 B. L. R., Ap., 10.	5789
Ghasseeram Misser v. Williamson	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 241	2670
Ghazee Lall Jha v. Sheo Narain Singh	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 205	3315
Ghazeeood-deen v. Bhookun Doobey	8 W. R., 24	1451
Ghazeeooddeen Hyder v. Bhoolun	2 Agra, 301	208
	2 Agra, 344	1695, 3981
		1165

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ghazi v. Kadir Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 212 .	5456
Ghazidin v. Fakir Baksh	I. L. R., 7 All., 73 .	205, 804 1940
Ghelabhai Bhikaridas v. Pranjivan Ichharam	11 Bom., 218	4775, 5349
Ghella Pema v. Guntibai	8 Bom., O. C., 140 .	132, 3087
Ghinaram Dobey v. Ram Monaruth Ram Dobey	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 566, note : 7 C. L. R., 580.	3431
Ghirdharee Singh v. Koolahul Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 1 ; 2 Moore's I. A., 344.	2226, 2502 4397, 4646
Ghisa v. Didari	7 N. W., 257	2865
Ghogoolee v. Muzhur Hossein	24 W. R., 389	4173
Gholab Khan. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 83 : 15 W. R., 171.	3882
	7 B. L. R., 179 : 16 W. R., Cr., 15.	3882
Gholab Khoonwarree Bebee v. Eshur Chunder Chowdhry.	2 W. R., P. C., 47 : 8 Moore's I. A., 447.	1703
Gholam Ali v. Asgur Ali	11 W. R., 105	330
Gholam Ali Chowdhry v. Collector of Backergunge	2 C. L. R., 39	47
Gholam Ally v. Nundaya	Marsh., 264 : 2 Hay, 108	544
Gholam Durbesh Chowdhry v. Sham Kishore Roy	W. R., 1864, 378 . . .	3182
Gholam Hossein v. Abdool Kadir	5 N. W., 11	3726
_____ v. Hur Gobind Dass	1 W. R., 19	5650
_____ v. Okhoy Coomar Ghose	3 W. R., Act X, 169 . .	5782
Gholam Hyder v. Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 147 . .	5189
Gholam Mahomed v. Indra Chand Jahuri	7 B. L. R., 318 : 15 W. R., 34	410
Gholam Mahomed Akbur v. Koonj Beharee Lal .	5 W. R., Mis., 27 . . .	194
Gholam Mohamed v. Asmut Ali Khan Chowdhry .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 974 : 10 W. R., F. B., 14.	2932
_____ v. Calcutta Club	Cor., 67	4532
Gholam Mukhdoom v. Ashuck Jan Bibee . . .	25 W. R., 86	5355
Gholam Murteza Chowdhry v. Goluck Chunder Roy	3 W. R., 191	1700
Gholam Nujjuff v. Toosoodduck Hossein . . .	1 W. R., 126	3166
Gholam Nuzuf v. Emanum	9 W. R., 275	4017
Gholam Rasul Khan. In re	1 B. L. R., O. C., 130 .	2675
Gholam Singh v. Bejoyram Protap Singh . . .	W. R., 1864, 317 . . .	6079
Gholam Sobhan alias Saboo Meah v. Mahomed Rouf	20 W. R., 286	670
Ghoogly Sahoo v. Chundee Pershad Misser . .	21 W. R., 246	3817
Ghoolam Moortoozah Khan v. Government . .	9 Moore's I. A., 456 . .	4066, 4650
Ghooroo Singh v. Dabee Dyal	2 Agra, 280	4543
Ghosee Lall v. Gaiind Lall	3 Agra, 184	3992
Ghotae Mundle v. Kajroo	16 W. R., 227	5171
Ghufoorun Bebee v. Mustukedeh	2 Agra, 300	3412, 3660
Ghulam Muhammad Naiamut Khan v. Dale . .	1 Mad., 281	3730
Ghulam Mustafa v. Hurmat	I. L. R., 2 All., 854 . .	3685
Ghumundee Singh. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 30. . . .	4750
Ghunshyam Chobey v. Kasheenath Shanteekaree .	3 W. R., Act X, 4 . . .	1621
Ghunshyam Singh v. Runjeet Singh	4 W. R., Act X, 39 . . .	1178
_____ v. Tara Proshad Coondoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 465 : 10 C. L. R., 447.	1664
Ghuntham Doss v. Mooltan Mull	2 N. W., 229	166, 3845
Ghurbin Bind v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1097 .	1792
Ghurreeb Hossein Chowdhry v. Useemoonnissa Khatoon	1 Hay, 528	1742, 2020
Ghursobhit Ahir v. Ramdutt Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 923 : 6 C. L. R., 537.	5040
Gibbon v. Abdur Rahman Khan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 411 . .	2854, 6044
_____ v. Sheo Purshun Misser	17 W. R., 236	1907

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gilby v. Sabhu Pillai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100	99
Gillanders, Arbuthnot and Company v. Obhoy Churn Nundy.	23 W. R., 139	1525
Gilmore v. Rose	6 N. W., 311	5500
— v. Sreemunt Bhoomick	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	5185
— v. Surbessuree Dossee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 72	5176
Girdar Das Vallaba Das. In the goods of	1 Mad., 234	132
Girdhar v. Kalya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 83	2839
Girdhar Dalpat v. Haribhai Narayan	7 Bom., A. C., 3	4852
Girdharee v. Dilsookh Rai	5 N. W., 221	907
Girdharee Singh v. Deanut Ali	21 W. R., 311	3727
— v. Kantoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 187: 22 W. R., 56: L. R., 1 I. A., 321.	2164
— v. Oomrao Singh	3 Agra, 249	1680
Girdharee Lall Singh v. Modhoo Roy	18 W. R., 323	1810
Girdharee Lall Singh Pasban v. Chundee Porshad	21 W. R., 277	4267
Girdharee Sahoo v. Hera Lall Seal	2 C. L. R., 467	4253
Girdharee Singh v. Collis	8 W. R., 497	3894
— v. Koolahul Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 1: 2 Moore's I. A., 344.	2226, 2502 4397, 4646
— v. Laloo Koenwur	3 W. R., Mis., 23	1684
— v. Rojun Singh	24 W. R., 462	3724
Girdhar Hari v. Kalikant Roy Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., A. C., 161: 11 W. R., 501.	4196
Girdhari v. Sheo Raj	I. L. R., 1 All., 431	2827
Girdhari Das v. Powlett	I. L. R., 2 All., 690	4253
Girdhari Singh v. Hurdeo Narain Singh	L. R., 3 I. A., 230: 26 W. R., 44.	5479
Girdharlal v. Bai Shiv	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309	4945
Girdharlal Dayaldas v. Jaganath Girdharbhai	10 Bom., 182	4257, 4405 4430
Girdhar Manordas v. Dayabhai Kalabhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 174	5003
Girdhar Nagjishet v. Ganpat Maroba	11 Bom., 129	311, 1505 5818
Girdhar Naran v. Umar Aju	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 326	5834
Girdhar Parjaram v. Daji Dalabhram	7 Bom., A. C., 4	6144
Giree Dharee Sahoo v. Buksun	1 W. R., 361	1456
Giree Dharee Singh v. Kalika Sookul	7 W. R., 46	777, 3210
Gireedhur Dass v. Nitto Gopal Dass	19 W. R., 291	4781
Gireejabatty Kooerree v. Monjee Lal	20 W. R., 477	3563
Gireewar Singh v. Muddun Lall Dass	16 W. R., 252	2091
Girendro Nath Tagore. In the matter of the petition of	14 B. L. R., 334, note	800
— v. Huronath Roy	10 W. R., 455	800
Giriapa v. Jakana	12 Bom., 172	2246, 4475
Giridhara Doss Manakji Tadabayi Birzi Mohan Doss v. Suraneni Lakshmi Venkamma Row.	5 Mad., 93	3181
Giri Dharee Singh v. Rankishore Narain Singh	1 C. L. R., 252	3490
Giridhari Lall Roy v. Government of Bengal	1 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 10 W. R., P. C., 31.	1671, 2286 2301
Giridhari Mondul. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. L. R., 46.	1958
— v. Uchit Jha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. L. R., 46.	1958
Girija Bhusan Holdar v. Akhay Nikari	5 B. L. R., Ap., 57, note: 13 W. R., 105.	3148
Girija Singh v. Giridhari Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 14: 10 W. R., 65.	4827

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Giriowa v. Bhimaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 58	2125
Girish Chandra Bose v. Kali Krishna Haldar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 538 : 6 } W. R., Act X, 57. }	1603
Girish Chandra Dass v. Gillanders, Arbuthnot, & Co.	2 B. L. R., O. C., 140.	3790
Girish Chandra Dutt v. Buzul-ul-Huq	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68 : 11 W. } R., 412. }	4760
Girish Chandra Lahury v. Fakir Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 503 : 6 } W. R., Mis., 72. }	4745, 5618
Girish Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Amina Khatun	3 B. L. R., Ap., 125	1775, 4137
_____ v. Rajchandra Roy Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 1	4940.
Girish Chunder Nundee. In the matter of the petition of	26 W. R., Cr., 8	715
Girjanund Oopadhya v. Chunder Binode Oopadhya	5 W. R., Mis., 5	3526
Girjoji Bhikaji Sonar v. Keshavera Ravji Patil Henge	2 Bom., 211	4021
Gir Prasad v. Bansi	1. L. R., 2 All., 431	4833
Girwar v. Nyaz Ali	2 N. W., 306	3871
Gisborne & Co. v. Radhanath Das	5 W. R., 253	3386
_____ v. Subal Bowri.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 284 : 10 C. } L. R., 219. }	5842, 5843
Gladstone. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 168	1239
_____ v. Joakim	Cor., 148	5231
_____ v. Sadoo Churn Dutt	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203	5826
_____ v. Sewbux	4 C. L. R., 106	1368
Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co. v. Harrison	24 W. R., 50	638, 2834
_____ v. Woimesh Chunder Chatterjee	25 W. R., 96	2646
Glascott v. Gopal Sheikh	9 W. R., 254	4246
Glasscott v. Rajchunder Moochy Mundul	25 W. R., 381	547, 1644
Gobabjan v. Moshiatoolah	1 C. L. R., 537	1173
Gobardhan v. Balmukand	7 N. W., 349	3428
Gobardhan Barmono v. Mano Bibi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 126 : S. C. } on appeal, 5 B. L. R., 76 : } 14 W. R., O. C., 34. }	278
Gobardhan Das v. Gokal Das	1. L. R., 2 All., 633	3993
_____ v. Gopal Ram	1. L. R., 7 All., 366	1874
Goberdhone Doss v. Nittanund Mullick	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 41	1373
Goberdhun v. Girwar	3 Agra, 92	81
_____ v. Gokal Chand	7 N. W., 31	5203
Gobin Dass v. Ranchore alias Rughobeer	3 N. W., 324	2203
Gobind Chandra Sarma Mazumdar v. Anand Mohan Sarma Mazumdar.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 313	2145, 3418
Gobind Chunder Addya v. Afzul Rabbani	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 426 : 12 C. } L. R., 29. }	4974
Gobind Chunder Aooch v. Bamundoss Mookerjee	22 W. R., 481	5460, 5468
Gobind Chunder Bagchee v. Kripamoyee Dabee	11 W. R., 338	3367
Gobind Chunder Bagdee v. Gobind Ghose Mundul	7 W. R., 171	4953, 5811
Gobind Chunder Banerjee v. Wise	12 W. R., 19	2744, 3905
Gobind Chunder Bose v. Alimooddeen	11 W. R., 160	4164
Gobind Chunder Burmono v. Allabux	2 Hay, 347	5314
Gobind Chunder Chowdhry v. Johurulnissa Bibee	18 W. R., 156	520
Gobind Chunder Chunder v. Kristo Kanto Dutt	14 W. R., 273	3523
Gobind Chunder Dutt v. Hendry	14 B. L. R., Ap., 12 : 24 W. } R., 15. }	3009
_____ v. Hurrnath Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 52 : 5 W. } R., Act X, 10. }	150
_____ v. Kherode Chunder Mitter	10 B. L. R., Ap., 12	1589
Gobind Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 61	5581
_____ v. Anundo Chunder Sircar	18 W. R., Cr., 54	4423
		4491

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gobind Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Bykunt Nath Ghose . . .	19 W. R., 61 . . .	4423
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramcoomar Dey . . .	24 W. R., 393 . . .	1173
Gobind Chunder Goopto <i>v.</i> Raj Kishore Sein . . .	14 W. R., 365 . . .	3712
Gobind Chunder Koondoo <i>v.</i> Taruck Chunder Bose {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 145: 1 C. } L. R., 35. }	5061
Gobind Chunder Lahory <i>v.</i> Jardine, Skinner, & Co. {	7 W. R., 163 . . .	6109
Gobind Chunder Moitra. In re . . . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 835: 8 C. } L. R., 217. }	1266
Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Bissumbhurree Dossee . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 5 . . .	532, 5206
_____ <i>v.</i> Dulmeer Khan . . .	23 W. R., 125 . . .	2504, 3397
Gobind Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Doorgapersad Baboo {	14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., } 248. }	2346, 4858
_____ <i>v.</i> Kalla Gajee . . . {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. }	6135
_____ <i>v.</i> Kola Gazi . . . {	7 W. R., 185 . . .	513
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramkomul Chatterjee . . . {	25 W. R., 364 . . .	5463, 5479
Gobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ramkishen Paul } Chowdhry.	2 W. R., 297 . . .	6221, 6223
Gobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 70 . . .	5561
_____ <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Sein . . .	10 W. R., 36 . . .	4822
_____ <i>v.</i> Sib Nath Shaw . . .	5 C. L. R., 171 . . .	4525
Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Chow- } dhry.	19 W. R., 94 . . .	3377
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . . {	22 W. R., 421 . . .	5308
Gobind Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Administrator General of {	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17: 1 W. } Bengal. R., P. C., 43: 9 Moore's } I. A., 140. }	4585
Gobind Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Abdoool Gunny . . .	6 W. R., 41 . . .	1992
_____ <i>v.</i> Ashruf Ali Meah . . .	8 W. R., 490 . . .	3283
_____ <i>v.</i> Puddo Monee Dassee . . .	17 W. R., 400 . . .	512
Gobind Chund Juttee <i>v.</i> Mun Mohan Jha . . .	12 W. R., 198 . . .	2745
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	14 W. R., 43 . . .	3045
Gobind Chundra Gangopadhyia <i>v.</i> Sherajunnissa Bibi . . .	13 C. L. R., 1 . . .	5367
Gobind Chundra Sen <i>v.</i> Joy Chundra Dass . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 327 . . .	5343
Gobind Coomar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Huro Chunder Chowdhry . . .	7 W. R., 134 . . .	3161
Gobind Dass <i>v.</i> Jaykishen Dass . . .	2 Agra, 101 . . .	4246
Gobind Dayal <i>v.</i> Inayatullah . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 775 . . .	3709
Gobind Hari Walekar <i>v.</i> Shidrat bin Shidmurti . . .	7 Bom., A. C., 37 . . .	1866, 1881
Gobind Koomar Chowdhry. In the matter of the {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 714: 2 } petition of. Ind. Jur., N. S., 199: 7 } W. R., 520. }	5929
Gobind Kumar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Hargopal Nag . . . {	3 B. L. R., Ap., 72: 11 W. } R., 537. }	2570, 3337
_____ <i>v.</i> Huro Chandra Nag {	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61: 11 } W. R., 571: 21 W. R., } 442, note. }	4397, 5829
Gobind Lall Seal <i>v.</i> Chand Hurry Maity . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 172 . . .	1627
_____ <i>v.</i> Debendronath Mullick . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 679: 5 C. } L. R., 527. }	5332
_____ <i>v.</i> Debendro Nath Mullick . . . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 311: 7 C. } L. R., 181. }	3405
_____ <i>v.</i> Knight . . . {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 372: 9 C. L. } R., 390. }	3406
Gobindmani Dasi <i>v.</i> Shamlal Bysak . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. } R., F. B., 165. }	1540
Gobind Mohun Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Sheriff . . . {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 169: 8 C. } L. R., 357. }	2207
		4400, 5012

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gobind Monee v. Rajendro Kishore Chowdhry . . .	15 W. R., 18 . . .	539, 540
Gobind Monee Debia v. Dino Bundhoo Shaha . . .	15 W. R., 87 . . .	3015
Gobindmonee Dossee v. Sham Loll Bysack . . .	W. R., 1864, 153 . . .	2485, 4156
Gobind Muhtoo v. Goopee Bhuggut . . .	16 W. R., 4 . . .	1746, 1768
Gobind Nath Roy v. Luchmee Koomaree . . .	11 W. R., 36 . . .	1198, 3385
Gobindnath Sandyal v. Ramcoomar Ghose . . .	6 W. R., 21 . . .	210, 3261
— v. — . . .	9 W. R., 115 . . .	5618
Gobind Nath Sein v. Gobind Chunder Sein . . .	10 W. R., 393 . . .	5924
Gobind Nath Shaha Chowdhuri v. Reily . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 1 . . .	2342, 4476
Gobind Nauth Rai v. Anund Nauth Rai . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 79 . . .	6004
Gobindnauth Sundyal v. Romanauth Thakoor . . .	1 Hay, 501 . . .	4212
Gobindo Chunder Moulick v. Mudhoosudun Moulick . . .	25 W. R., 550 . . .	4492
Gobindo Coomar Chowdhry v. Manson . . .	15 B. L. R., 56: 23 W. R., } 152. }	4965
Gobindo Hureekar v. Woomes Chunder Roy . . .	W. R., F. B., 176 . . .	5753
Gobindo Mahapatro v. Gopeenath Pundit . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 581: 6 } W. R., 211. }	547, 3184
Gobindo Nath Roy v. Ram Kanay Chowdhry . . .	24 W. R., 183 . . .	3337, 6283
Gobindonath Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Kant Roy . . .	10 W. R., 254 . . .	2293
Gobind Pandey v. Heemut Bahadoor . . .	6 W. R., 42 . . .	4412
Gobindpershad v. Ruddell . . .	5 N. W., 160 . . .	2142
Gobindprasad. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 465 . . .	1422
Gobind Proshad Talookdar v. Mohesh Chunder Surma { Ghuttuck. }	15 B. L. R., 35: 23 W. R., } 117. }	3129
Gobind Puramanick v. Gooroo Churn Dutt . . .	3 W. R., 71 . . .	3105
Gobind Ram v. Bhupal Singh . . .	10 C. L. R., 76 . . .	1327
Gobind Row v. Girdharee Sahoo . . .	24 W. R., 355 . . .	2290
Gobind Saran v. Dharup Nath . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 614 . . .	52
Gobind Shunkerjee v. Girdharee Sing . . .	1 N. W., 90: Ed. 1873, 142 . . .	5304
Gobind Singh v. Kallu . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 778 . . .	6106
— v. Munno Ram Doss . . .	19 W. R., 414 . . .	1849, 2292
— v. Soonder Pal . . .	2 Agra, Pt. II, 177 . . .	2477
— v. Zalim Singh . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 33 . . .	4756
Gobind Sooboodhee. In the matter of the petition of . . .	15 W. R., Cr., 56 . . .	6093
Gobind Sookool v. Gokool Bhukut . . .	23 W. R., 304 . . .	4051, 5433
Gobind Sundari Debia v. Jagadamba Debia . . .	3 B. L. R., P. C., 25 . . .	5434
Gobinlall Seal v. Kinoo Koyal . . .	Marsh., 400 . . .	5617
Goburdhun v. Sadhoo . . .	1 W. R., 244 . . .	445
Goburdhun Doss v. Munnoo Lall . . .	15 W. R., 95 . . .	4735
Goburdhun Lall v. Singessur Dutt Koer . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 52: 8 C. L. } R., 277. }	4935, 5697
Goburdhun Majhee v. Bane Chunder Doss . . .	21 W. R., 375 . . .	299
Goburdhun Nath v. Onoop Roy . . .	3 W. R., 105 . . .	2931
Gocoolanund Dass v. Wooma Dae . . .	15 B. L. R., 405: 23 W. R., } 340. }	2772, 5774
Gocool Chunder v. Ali Mahomed . . .	10 W. R., 6 . . .	1427
Gocool Chunder Gossamee v. Administrator General of Bengal. }	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 726: 5 C. L. } R., 569. }	2174
Gocool Coomar v. Bhichook Singh . . .	22 W. R., 1 . . .	5678
Gocool Dass Soonderjee. In the matter of . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327: } Bourke, O. C., 240. }	2509
Goculdas Jagmohandas v. Lakhmidas Khimji . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 402 . . .	2134, 2304
Godadhar Dass v. Dhunput Singh . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 585: 9 C. L. } R., 227. }	5078
		867, 4529
		5615
		424, 2027
		705
		2952

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Godayi Joardar <i>v.</i> Mears	10 W. R., 50	327
Goday Narrain Gajpathi Ram <i>v.</i> Ankitam Venkata Narsing Rau. }	6 Mad., 85	3771, 3772
Godby. In re	1 Hyde, 196	6200
Godha <i>v.</i> Naik Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 152	5665
Gogaram <i>v.</i> Kartick Chunder Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1022 : 9 W. R., 514. }	827, 2860
Gogon Manji <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Khan	1 C. L. R., 241	2933
_____ <i>v.</i> Kashishwary Debi	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 498	2933
Gogun Chand Dut <i>v.</i> Huri Mohun Dut	12 C. L. R., 539	5613
Gogun Chunder Dutt <i>v.</i> Janokee	20 W. R., 235	1095
Gogun Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Dhuronidhur Mandul	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 616 : 9 C. L. R., 257. }	1073
_____ <i>v.</i> Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 247 : 7 C. L. R., 74. }	1603
Gogun Chunder Sirkar <i>v.</i> Laidlay	5 C. L. R., 189	3830
Gogun Pramanick <i>v.</i> Surnomoyee	19 W. R., Cr., 39	5149
Gokalbbhai Mulchand <i>v.</i> Jhaver Chaturbhuj	8 Bom., A. C., 61	3380
Gokal Singh <i>v.</i> Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 772	4550
Gokhla Nund <i>v.</i> Lalljee Sahoo	21 W. R., 11	670
Gokhul Chund Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Mosahroo Kandoo	21 W. R., 5	5696
Gokool Dass <i>v.</i> Gungeshur Singh	3 N. W., 164	827, 2860
Gokool Kishen Sen <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Roy	18 W. R., 12	1167
Gokool Kristo Sen <i>v.</i> David	23 W. R., 443	4181
Gokool Pershad <i>v.</i> Etwari Mahto	20 W. R., 138	4254, 4261
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajendur Kishore Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 4	536
Gokool Pershad Discheet <i>v.</i> Brojomonee Debia	24 W. R., 259	5171
Gokoolram Deb <i>v.</i> Ramsoondur Surmah	9 W. R., 292	896
Gokul Chunder <i>v.</i> Bureek Begum	Marsh., 344 : 2 Hay, 325	4409, 5253
Gokuldas <i>v.</i> Ganeshlal	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 416	5586
Gokul Dass Gopal Dass <i>v.</i> Murli	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 602 : 2 C. L. R., 156. }	1076, 1095 2708, 4029
Gokuldass <i>v.</i> Kriparam	13 B. L. R., 205	3898, 4995
Gokulpershad <i>v.</i> Rughonath	3 Agra, 245	5627
Golabchand Baboo <i>v.</i> Prosunno Kumari Debya	11 B. L. R., 332 : 20 W. R., 8	2253
Golab Chand Nowluckha <i>v.</i> Jeebun Coomaree Bibee	24 W. R., 437	1355
Golab Koonwar <i>v.</i> Collector of Benares	7 W. R., P. C., 47 : 4 Moore's I. A., 246. }	2001
Golab Koonwer <i>v.</i> Shib Sahai	2 Agra, 54	2291, 2303 2484
Golabolee <i>v.</i> Kootoooolah Sircar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 527	539
Golaboo <i>v.</i> Ramdyal Singh	8 W. R., 304	5122
Golab Singh <i>v.</i> Cheda Singh	3 Agra, 135	6224
_____ <i>v.</i> Rao Kurun Singh	10 B. L. R., 1 : 14 Moore's I. A., 176, 187. }	2209, 2301 4155, 4885
Golack Chandra Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ali Mollah	8 B. L. R., 528, note	5627
Golakram Deb <i>v.</i> Brindaban Deb	6 B. L. R., 165 : 14 W. R., 265	3724
Golam <i>v.</i> Wajida Bibi	7 N. W., 188	3871
Golam Abed <i>v.</i> Toolseeram Bera	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 861 : 12 C. L. R., 411. }	22
Golam Ahea <i>v.</i> Digumbur Singh	W. R., 1864, 225	6135
Golam Ahmed <i>v.</i> Sham Soondur Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 9	5074
Golam Ahmed Shah <i>v.</i> Behary Lal	Marsh., 239 : 1 Hay, 500	1196, 2704
Golam Ahyah <i>v.</i> Sham Soonder Koonwaree	7 W. R., 375	3447
Golam Ali <i>v.</i> Gopal Lal Tagore	1 W. R., 56	4147
_____ <i>v.</i> Gopal Lal Thakoor	9 W. R., 65	3018, 4148

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Golam Ali v. Kali Krishna Thakur . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 479 : 8 C. L. R., 517.	3017
——— v. Kally Kishen Thakoor . . .	12 B. L. R., 167 : 18 W. R., 299.	4636
——— v. Mahomed Zahur Alum . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 76 . . .	2854
——— v. Sowlutoonissa Bibee . . .	W. R., 1864, 242 . . .	3670
Golam Ali Chowdhry v. Bhoasai . . .	25 W. R., 227 . . .	3025
——— v. Futtick Chunder . . .	10 W. R., 460 . . .	4399
——— v. Gopal Lal Tagore . . .	9 W. R., 401 . . .	39
Golam Ali Khan v. Agurjeet Roy . . .	17 W. R., 343 . . .	3712
——— v. Imam Ali Khan . . .	2 Agra, 224 . . .	365
Golam Ali Mundul v. Golap Soondery Dossee . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 612 : 10 C. L. R., 499.	5195
Golam Ally v. Gopal Lal Thakoor . . .	9 W. R., 65 . . .	1663
Golam Arab v. Curreembux Shaikjee . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 294 : 4 C. L. R., 477.	5241
Golam Arfin. In the matter of . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 47 . . .	6068
Golam Asgar v. Lakhimani Debi . . .	5 B. L. R., 68 : 13 W. R., 273 . . .	815, 5448
Golam Ashgar v. ——— . . .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 24 . . .	3495
Golam Ayhya v. Joy Mungul Singh . . .	13 W. R., 435 . . .	4201, 4554
Golam Chunder Dey v. Nuddiar Chand Adheekaree . . .	16 W. R., 1 . . .	576
Golam Dustagir Khan v. Jogai Singh . . .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3 : 10 W. R., 86.	4003
Golamee v. Paelan . . .	18 W. R., 298 . . .	518, 3236
Golamee Gopee Ghose v. Juggessur Ghose . . .	3 W. R., 193 . . .	2437
Golam Esha v. Hurrish Chunder Mookerjee . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 29 . . .	5124
Golam Ghous v. Fureed Alum . . .	1 Agra, 246 . . .	2916
Golam Guffoor v. Ekram Hossein Chowdhry . . .	10 W. R., 358 . . .	4344, 5822
Golam Hossein v. Alla Rukhee Beebee . . .	3 N. W., 62 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 248.	5242
——— v. Ram Doyal Ghose . . .	12 W. R., 152 . . .	2775
Golami Sahu v. Chutter Bhooj Patuck . . .	3 C. L. R., 261 . . .	3475
Golam Jelanee Chowdhry v. Nuleet Chunder Singh . . .	11 W. R., 503 . . .	1452
Golam Jilani v. Imdad Husain . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 357 . . .	6120
Golam Khejur v. Erskine & Co. . . .	11 W. R., 445 . . .	3800
Golam Koodsee Chowdhry v. Jokoorunnissa Khatoon . . .	19 W. R., 238 . . .	2023
——— v. Rashu Chunder Ghose . . .	Marsh., 323 . . .	66
Golam Lal v. Beni Prosad . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 27 : 4 C. L. R., 29.	1684
Golam Mahomed Akbur v. Radha Kishen Mohunt . . .	9 W. R., 287 . . .	4933
Golam Mahomed Shaha v. Mookta Keshee Debee . . .	7 W. R., 161 . . .	1436
——— v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick . . .	19 W. R., 91 . . .	4891
Golam Mostofa v. Goburdhun Mulla . . .	8 C. L. R., 441 . . .	4765
Golam Mukdood v. Hafeezoonissa . . .	7 W. R., 489 . . .	3697, 5769
Golam Mustafa Khan v. Sheo Soonduree Burmonee . . .	10 W. R., 187 . . .	4033
——— . . .	15 W. R., 304 . . .	2350
——— . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 85 : 12 W. R., 9.	3403
Golam Narotam v. Secretary of State for India . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 596 . . .	605
Golam Panja v. Hurrish Chunder Ghose . . .	17 W. R., 552 . . .	5188
Golam Rahman v. Fatima Bibi . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 232 . . .	648, 6088
Golam Reza Chowdhry v. Chandoo Meah Lushkur . . .	15 W. R., 45 . . .	6001
Golam Russool v. Abdool Ruheem . . .	15 W. R., 19 . . .	471
Golam Russool Khan v. Kishen Mohun Shaha . . .	23 W. R., 156 . . .	808
Golam Sobhan v. Muddun Mohun Paul . . .	18 W. R., 257 . . .	4236
Golam Yabeya v. Shama Sundari Kuari . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 134 : 12 W. R., 142.	448

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Golam Yassin <i>v.</i> Official Trustee of Bengal . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 887 . . .	6057
Golap Chand Marwaree <i>v.</i> Mohokoom Kooaree . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 314 : 2 C. } L. R., 412, note. }	1771, 1773 5834
Golap Chand Nowluckha <i>v.</i> Krishto Chunder Dass Biswas. }	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 314 . . . }	558, 3149 3155
Golap Coomary Dassee <i>v.</i> Sundur Narain Deo. In the matter of. }	4 C. L. R., 36 . . . }	770
Golaum Mohamed <i>v.</i> Calcutta Club . . .	Cor., 67 . . .	4532
Golaupmonee Dossee <i>v.</i> Prosonomoye Dossee . . .	11 B. L. R., 373 . . .	4337
Golla Chinna Guruvuppa Naidu <i>v.</i> Kali Appiah Naidu .	4 Mad., 434 . . .	4820, 4828
Golla Nagabhu Shanam <i>v.</i> Kanakala Gangayya . . .	2 Mad., 28 . . .	4326
Goloke Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Magistrate of Chittagong	25 W. R., Cr., 15 . . .	137, 1003
Goloke Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Kallee Kinkur Chuckerbutty. }	1 W. R., 164 . . . }	5268
Golokemoney Dabia <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Mosa . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 547 : 1 C. L. } R., 149. }	574
Goloke Nath Sen Biswas <i>v.</i> Ram Kant Dey Sircar	3 C. L. R., 444 . . .	557
Goluckbur <i>v.</i> Bishonath Geeree . . .	Marsh., 32 . . .	759
Goluck Chandra Mytee <i>v.</i> Harapriah Debi . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 559 . . .	786
Goluck Chandra Pal <i>v.</i> Kali Charan De . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 175 . . .	4362, 4490
Goluck Chunder <i>v.</i> Ram Huree . . .	23 W. R., 104 . . .	1435
Goluck Chunder Bose <i>v.</i> Ohilla Dayee . . .	25 W. R., 100 . . .	2429
_____ <i>v.</i> Rughoonath Sree Chunder Roy. }	11 B. L. R., 337, note : 17 W. } R., 444. }	2252
_____ <i>v.</i> Sreemurd Rajeshurree Bid- diadthur Soondeah Nurrendur. }	W. R., 1864, 135 . . . }	1811
Goluck Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ali Mollah . . .	11 W. R., 378 . . .	4460
_____ <i>v.</i> Kanto Pershad Hazaree . . .	15 W. R., 317 . . .	4586
_____ <i>v.</i> Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty. }	4 W. R., 49 . . . }	5282
Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Teeluck Chunder Shah	2 Hay, 49 . . .	1171
Goluck Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Gopal Kishen Sen . . .	W. R., 1864, 250 . . .	1458
Goluck Chunder Dutt <i>v.</i> Anunt Kishore Gossain . . .	25 W. R., 38 . . .	4902
_____ <i>v.</i> Court of Wards . . .	10 W. R., 127 . . .	5779
_____ <i>v.</i> Meah Raja Mijee . . .	17 W. R., 119 . . .	580
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohun Loll Sookul . . .	5 W. R., 271 . . .	4016, 4529
Goluck Chunder Gooho <i>v.</i> Mohim Chunder Ghose	13 W. R., 95 . . .	2602
Goluck Chunder Kool <i>v.</i> Dookhee Ram . . .	12 W. R., 209 . . .	1759
Goluck Chunder Kur. In re . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 29 . . .	3880
Goluck Chunder Masanta <i>v.</i> Nundo Coomar Roy . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699 : 3 C. } L. R., 450. }	4478, 6005
Goluck Chunder Mussunt <i>v.</i> Gunga Narain Mussunt	20 W. R., 111 : 18 W. R., 111 . . .	1487
Goluck Chunder Mytee. In the matter of . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 9 . . .	4493
_____ <i>v.</i> Parbutty Churn Doss . . .	15 W. R., 167 . . .	3
Goluck Chunder Paul <i>v.</i> Mahomed Rohim . . .	9 W. R., 316 . . .	2199, 2516
Goluck Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Juggernath Roy Chowdhry }	Marsh., 146 : W. R., F. B., } 47 : 1 Hay, 346. }	2972
_____ <i>v.</i> Raj Mohun Bose . . .	17 W. R., Cr., 33 . . .	4485
Goluck Chunder Sein <i>v.</i> Collector of Backergunge	2 W. R., 139 . . .	1719
Goluck Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Puresh Mahomed . . .	25 W. R., 284 . . .	4905
Goluck Chunder Sircar <i>v.</i> Ishan Chunder Deb . . .	23 W. R., 437 . . .	6095
Goluck Kishore Acharjee <i>v.</i> Kesha Majhee . . .	15 W. R., 23 . . .	3806
Goluck Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nund Mohun Dey Sircar. }	12 W. R., 394 . . . }	1810, 4791
Goluckmonee Dabea <i>v.</i> Assimooden . . .	1 W. R., 56 . . .	4589
_____ <i>v.</i> Nabungo Moonjures Dabea . . .	W. R., F. B., 14 . . .	3984

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Goluckmonee Debia <i>v.</i> Rammonee Bose	12 W. R., 21	1737
— <i>v.</i> Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 82	3919
Goluck Monee Dossee <i>v.</i> Huro Chunder Ghose	8 W. R., 62	5351
Goluck Narain Dutt <i>v.</i> Bisto Prea Dossee	1 W. R., 140	230
Golucknath Dutt <i>v.</i> Seetaram Gower	{ W. R., F. B., 53 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 66 : 1 Hay, 378. }	3144, 4338
Golucknath Ghose <i>v.</i> Sreenath Bose	24 W. R., 209	4213
Goluck Rana <i>v.</i> Nubo Soonduree Dossee	21 W. R., 344	3020
Goluk Chunder Mahinta <i>v.</i> Surbomangala Dabi	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 711 : 8 C. L. R., 189. }	1905
Goluk Chundra Dass <i>v.</i> Bhagmut Dass	11 C. L. R., 106	479
Goluknath Misser <i>v.</i> Lalla Prem Lal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 307	3929
Gomain Sircar <i>v.</i> Prannath Goopto	1 W. R., 14	2085
Goma Mahad Patil <i>v.</i> Gokaldas Khimji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74	1385, 1932
Gomanee Lal <i>v.</i> Jeewun Ram	2 Agra, 33	4595
Gomes <i>v.</i> Carter	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 411	2602
Gomez <i>v.</i> Young	{ 2 B. L. R., O. C., 165 : 12 W. R., O. C., 1. }	5824
Gonda <i>v.</i> Pyari Doss Gossain	13 W. R., Cr., 19	3738
Gonda Kooer <i>v.</i> Oodey Singh	14 B. L. R., 159	48
Gonesh Chunder Pal <i>v.</i> Shodanund Surma	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 138	4001
Gonesh Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Nil Komul Roy	2 W. R., 264	2300
Gonesh Doss <i>v.</i> Gondour Koorim	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 147 : 12 C. L. R., 418. }	3355
Gonesh Junonee Debia <i>v.</i> Biresbur Dhul	25 W. R., 176	2349
Gonesh Lal <i>v.</i> Sheo Golam Singh	5 C. L. R., 211	3319
Gonesh Pandey <i>v.</i> Dabee Doyal Singh	5 C. L. R., 36	2397
Goneshpershad <i>v.</i> Saligram	6 N. W., 278	1136
Goodrich <i>v.</i> Venkanua	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 104	1699
"Good Success." In the matter of the	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 303	637
Goodur Sahoy <i>v.</i> Dhunessur Koer	7 C. L. R., 117	328, 1895
Goodur Suhaye <i>v.</i> Achebur Lal	13 W. R., 120	5132
Googlee Sahoo <i>v.</i> Prem Lal Sahoo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 148	4271, 5726 5779
Goolabee <i>v.</i> Ramtahal Rai	1 N. W., 191 : Ed. 1873, 275	1716
Goolam Hoosein Noor Mahomed <i>v.</i> Fatmabai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 391	1200, 2091
Gooljehan <i>v.</i> Bunno	1 Agra, 252	4902
Goolzar Khan. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 30	5552
Gooman Singh <i>v.</i> Makhun Singh	2 N. W., 145	1489
— <i>v.</i> Tripool Singh	8 W. R., 437	3711
Goonath Mundle <i>v.</i> Troylocko Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., Cr., 15	973
Goonjessur Koonwar <i>v.</i> Luchmee Narain Singh	20 W. R., 418	438
Goonomonee Debia <i>v.</i> Ram Komul Sandle	17 W. R., 144	80, 4401
Goono Monee Dossee <i>v.</i> Bhabosoonduree Dossee	18 W. R., 258	93
— <i>v.</i> Burrodakant Roy	18 W. R., 191	4205
Goonomonee Dossia <i>v.</i> Parbutty Dossia	10 W. R., 326	244, 3996
Goopee Kissen Gossain. In the matter of	24 W. R., Cr., 79	504
Goopee Nath Dutt <i>v.</i> Preo Nath Sircar	6 W. R., Act X, 7	544
Goorbin Bhagat <i>v.</i> Fureed Alum	3 Agra, 401	1607
Goor Buksh <i>v.</i> Choonnoo Lal	1 Agra, Rev., 70	531
Goor Chunder Puteetundo <i>v.</i> Joogul Chunder <i>alias</i> } Shama Churn Ghose. }	1 W. R., 80	351
Goordass <i>v.</i> Manohur Dass	2 Agra, 269	4565
Goordial <i>v.</i> Ramdutt	Agra, F. B., 15 : Ed. 1874, 11	2992, 5182
Goordyal <i>v.</i> Hunscoonwer	2 Agra, 176	3991
Goordyal Roy <i>v.</i> Deka Noonya	22 W. R., 446	581, 5130
Gooroochurn Bose <i>v.</i> Bykunt Nath Acharjee	5 W. R., Mis., 38	1450

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gooroo Churn Coomar <i>v.</i> Khetter Mohun Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 79 . . .	535
Gooroo Churn Dey <i>v.</i> Ramdhone Paul . . .	7 W. R., 28 . . .	371
Gooroo Churn Goon <i>v.</i> Gungagobind Chatterjee . . .	8 W. R., 269 . . .	4104, 5280
Gooroo Churn Mullick <i>v.</i> Ram Dutt . . .	2 W. R., 43 . . .	1360
Gooroo Churn Nag <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Goocho . . .	24 W. R., 362 . . .	5257
Gooroo Churn Poddar <i>v.</i> Hafeeza Bibee . . .	7 W. R., 366 . . .	4129, 5633
Gooroochurn Sein <i>v.</i> Oojulmonee Chowdhrair . . .	3 W. R., 169 . . .	4246
_____ <i>v.</i> Radhanauth Sein . . .	7 Moore's I. A., 1 . . .	4641
Gooroo Churn Sircar <i>v.</i> Brijanath Dhur . . .	24 W. R., 111 . . .	5036
_____ <i>v.</i> Goluckmonee Dossee . . .	13 W. R., 188 . . .	3367
_____ <i>v.</i> Kylash Chunder Sircar . . .	3 W. R., 43 . . .	3853
Gooroo Churn Soor <i>v.</i> Sree Churn Ghose . . .	15 W. R., 308 . . .	5288
Gooroo Dass Dan <i>v.</i> Kooshoom Koomaree Dossee . . .	9 W. R., 547 . . .	4822
Gooroo Dass Roy. In the matter of the petition of . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 57 . . .	4737
Gooroo Doss Akhoolie <i>v.</i> Poran Mundul . . .	12 W. R., 363 . . .	327
Gooroodoss Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of . . .	11 W. R., 158 . . .	4393
Gooroodoss Dutt <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Manna . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 61 . . .	4848
_____ <i>v.</i> Uma Churn Roy . . .	22 W. R., 525 . . .	2697
_____ <i>v.</i> Wooma Churn Roy . . .	13 W. R., 83 . . .	3495
Gooroodoss Ghose <i>v.</i> Sristeedhur Dey . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 39 . . .	6109
Gooroo Doss Mundul <i>v.</i> Durbaree . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 86 . . .	1610
Gooroo Doss Roy. In the matter of . . .	18 W. R., 407 . . .	285
_____ <i>v.</i> Anund Moyee Debia . . .	15 W. R., 203 . . .	3842
_____ <i>v.</i> Bunsbeedhur Sein . . .	15 W. R., 61 . . .	3843
_____ <i>v.</i> Collector of Furreedpore . . .	19 W. R., 170: 20 W. R., 262 . . .	219
_____ <i>v.</i> Bishtoo Churn Bhuttacharjee . . .	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 628: 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 112: 7 W. R., 186 . . .	535
_____ <i>v.</i> Gholam Mowlah . . .	Marsh., 24: 1 Hay, 103 . . .	271
_____ <i>v.</i> Greedhur Sein . . .	11 W. R., 110 . . .	780, 6242
_____ <i>v.</i> Huronath Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, 61 . . .	6243
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	2 W. R., 246 . . .	5786
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	7 W. R., 423 . . .	4173
_____ <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Bose . . .	22 W. R., 246 . . .	5008
_____ <i>v.</i> Panchanun Bose . . .	9 W. R., 337 . . .	3019
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Mitter . . .	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 628: 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 112: 7 W. R., 186 . . .	5728
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramrunginee Dossee . . .	20 W. R., 54 . . .	596
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Runginee Dossia . . .	17 W. R., 136 . . .	200
_____ <i>v.</i> Sonamonee Dossia . . .	20 W. R., 345 . . .	3245
Gooroo Doyal Singh <i>v.</i> Durbaree Lall Tewaree . . .	7 W. R., 18 . . .	1777
Gooroo Dutt <i>v.</i> Sooroo . . .	16 W. R., 264 . . .	5003
Gooroo Dyal Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Keshub Bibee . . .	20 W. R., 409 . . .	5612
Gooroodyal Mundur <i>v.</i> Gopal Singh . . .	24 W. R., 272 . . .	3840
Gooroodyal Singh. In the matter of the petition of . . .	9 W. R., 333 . . .	4393
Goorooogobindo Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Hureemadhub Roy . . .	Marsh., 398: 2 Hay, 401 . . .	2292, 2294
Goorooopersad Khoond <i>v.</i> Juggut Chunder . . .	{ 3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's } I. A., 164, 165, 166 . . .	4638
Gooroo Persad Roy <i>v.</i> Juggobundoo Mozoomdar . . .	W. R., F. B., 15: 1 Hay, 228 . . .	2793, 4168
Goorooopersaud Janah <i>v.</i> Bipppersaud Berrah . . .	Marsh., 292: 2 Hay, 152 . . .	4002
Goorooopersaud Sircar <i>v.</i> Philippe . . .	Marsh., 366: 2 Hay, 451 . . .	3077
Goorooopershad Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Bani Nath Chuckerbutty . . .	2 C. L. R., 216 . . .	1716, 5349
Gooroo Pershad Dutt <i>v.</i> Sreenath Banerjee . . .	15 W. R., 314 . . .	4907
Gooroo Pershad Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Kalee Pershad Mookerjee . . .	5 W. R., 121 . . .	4190
Gooroo Pershad Roy <i>v.</i> Bykunto Chunder Roy . . .	6 W. R., 82 . . .	4459, 4560

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gooroo Pershad Roy <i>v.</i> Debee Pershad Tewaree	6 W. R., 58	2346
_____ <i>v.</i> Nimaye Chand Pulshani	3 W. R., Act X, 5	538
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Lochun Pauray	13 W. R., 241	2063
Gooroo Prosunno Banerjee <i>v.</i> Gugun Chunder Dutt	20 W. R., 383	4267
_____ <i>v.</i> Sregopal Pal Chowdhry	20 W. R., 99	3007
Gooroo Prosunno Singh <i>v.</i> Nil Madhub Singh	21 W. R., 84	4153
Gooroo Swamy Perria Woodia Tawer <i>v.</i> Anga Mootoo Natchair.	6 W. R., P. C., 50 : 3 Moore's I. A., 278.	4658
Gooroova Butten <i>v.</i> Narrainasawmy	8 Mad., 13, note	2532
Goor Pershad <i>v.</i> Nunda Singh	1 Agra, 160	6126
_____ <i>v.</i> Sheodeen	4 N. W., 137	414, 5392
Goor Sahai <i>v.</i> Hur Sahai	3 Agra, 202	1384
Goor Suruz Doss <i>v.</i> Ram Surun Bhukut	5 W. R., 54	2369
Goosaeen Sree Choundawalee Bahoojee <i>v.</i> Girdhareejee	3 Agra, 226	2245
Goosery Cotton Mills Company <i>v.</i> Steel	2 Hyde, 238	923
Gooshaeen Teekumjee <i>v.</i> Pursotum Laljee	3 Agra, 238	2481
Gopal <i>v.</i> MacNaghten	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 751	1189
_____ <i>v.</i> Nanku	I. L. R., 1 All., 624	5710
_____ <i>v.</i> Narayan bin Tukaji	1 Bom., 31	1751
_____ <i>v.</i> Uchabal	I. L. R., 3 All., 51	5082
Gopala <i>v.</i> Paramma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 583	3311
Gopala Appa Row. Ex parte	L. R., 13 I. A., 155 : I. L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	4657
Gopalaratnamayyar <i>v.</i> Bupala Narasimma Nayudu	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 399	5237
Gopalasami <i>v.</i> Chinnasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 458	2331
_____ <i>v.</i> Sankara	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 418	4716
Gopalasami Pillai <i>v.</i> Chokalingam Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 320	2170
Gopalasawmy Mudelly <i>v.</i> Mukkee Gopalier	7 Mad., 312	3591
Gopala Setty <i>v.</i> Damodara Setty	4 Mad., 173	3489
Gopalayyan <i>v.</i> Raghupati Ayyan <i>alias</i> Aiyavayyan	3 Mad., 217	5027
_____ <i>v.</i> Raghupatiayyan <i>alias</i> Ayyavayyan	7 Mad., 250	2133
Gopal Burnawar. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 13	4362, 4500
Gopal Chandra Biswas <i>v.</i> Ramjan Sirdar	5 B. L. R., 194 : 13 W. R., 275.	5691
Gopal Chandra Dey <i>v.</i> Pemu Bibi	1 B. L. R., A. C., 76 : 10 W. R., 104.	838, 3326
Gopal Chandra Lahiri <i>v.</i> Solomon	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 62	3154, 5136
Gopal Chandra Roy <i>v.</i> Nabin Chandra Bhandari	3 B. L. R., Ap., 34	5004
Gopal Chunder <i>v.</i> Jugut Indur Bunwaree Gobind	8 W. R., 200	837
Gopal Chunder Bose <i>v.</i> Mothoor Mohun Banerjee	3 W. R., Act X, 132	1617
Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Oodoy Lall Dey	12 W. R., 411	1481, 5173
_____ <i>v.</i> Oodoy Lall Dey	10 W. R., 115	5326
Gopal Chunder Daghoria <i>v.</i> Kenaram Daghoria	7 W. R., 35	2298
Gopal Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Dhurundhur Roy	Marsh., 311 : 2 Hay, 188	1201
_____ <i>v.</i> Raj Chunder Dutt	2 W. R., Mis., 9	224
_____ <i>v.</i> Tincooree Mundul	19 W. R., 349	5762
Gopal Chunder Gooho <i>v.</i> Juggodumba Dossia	10 W. R., 411	1179, 4906
Gopal Chunder Hazrah <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	21 W. R., 44	6232
Gopal Chunder Lahoory <i>v.</i> Roy Kishore Lahoory	15 W. R., 467	55
Gopal Chunder Mitter <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Boral	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 230 : 11 C. L. R., 363.	3241, 3355
Gopal Chunder Mukerjee <i>v.</i> Juddoo Lall Mullick	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 778 : 13 C. L. R., 146.	3433
Gopal Chunder Mundle. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 57	5281
Gopal Chunder Nath Coondoo <i>v.</i> Haridas Chini	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 343	261
Gopal Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Arman Shaikh	5 W. R., 402	674, 2293
_____ <i>v.</i> Brojendro Coomar Roy	5 C. L. R., 338	5708
		369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Brojo Behary Mitter .	9 C. L. R., 293 . . .	3177
_____ <i>v.</i> Gooroo Dass Roy . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 764, note: } 7 W. R., 135. }	1605, 4745
_____ <i>v.</i> Oodhub Chunder Mullick .	W. R., 1864, 156 .	5104
Gopal Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Bhabo Tarinee Dossee .	7 W. R., 240 .	5105
_____ <i>v.</i> Madhub Chunder Shaha .	21 W. R., 29 .	1765
_____ <i>v.</i> Sinaes . . .	8 W. R., 4 .	3288
Gopal Chunder Sircar <i>v.</i> Adhiraj Aftab Chand Mahtab	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 743	693
_____ <i>v.</i> Kurnodhar Moochee .	7 W. R., 349 .	911, 5658
Gopal Chunder Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 728: 11 C.	17
_____ <i>v.</i> Foolmoni Bewa	L. R., 223.	17
Gopal Dai <i>v.</i> Chunni Lall . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 728: 11 C.	17
Gopal Das <i>v.</i> Ali . . .	L. R., 223.	5418
_____ <i>v.</i> Gopinath Sircar . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 67	588, 2211
_____ <i>v.</i> Sectaram . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 193	2575
_____ <i>v.</i> Than Singh . . .	12 C. L. R., 38 .	4996
Gopal Doss <i>v.</i> Doomee Chowdhry	3 Agra, 268	2574, 4261
Gopal Govind <i>v.</i> Ganeshdas Tejmal	I. L. R., 4 All., 184	4467, 5267
Gopal Gurain <i>v.</i> Gurain . . .	W. R., 1864, 226 .	4820
Gopal Hanmant <i>v.</i> Sakham Govind	8 Bom., A. C., 97 .	3491
Gopal Hanmant Deshka <i>v.</i> Kondo Kashinath	7 W. R., 299	2837
Gopal Inder Narain Roy <i>v.</i> Jagarnath Gurg	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 254	2101, 2850
Gopaliyan <i>v.</i> Mahasangavastha Aiya . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 328	4985
Gopal Jayachand <i>v.</i> Hargovind Khushal .	5 W. R., P. C., 129: 2 Moore's }	5982
Gopal Kashi <i>v.</i> Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan .	I. A., 311.	3594
Gopal Khundee Rao <i>v.</i> Deokee Nundun .	5 Mad., 425 . . .	4433
Gopal Kishen Sircar <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Koondoo	5 Bom., A. C., 83 .	3224, 3860
Gopal Kishore Shoor <i>v.</i> Mudun Mohun Holdar	12 Bom., 17 . . .	4277
Gopal Kisto Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mudhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry	6 N. W., 172 . . .	5752, 5772
Gopalkristna Sastri <i>v.</i> Ramayyengar . . .	17 W. R., 175 . . .	5785
Gopal Lall <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hadee . . .	2 W. R., 188 . . .	3392
Gopal Lall Bose <i>v.</i> Kaleenath Mookerjee . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 82 .	5328
Gopal Lall Tagore <i>v.</i> Tilluck Chunder Rai . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 236 .	5081
Gopal Lall Thakoor <i>v.</i> Kumur Ali . . .	6 N. W., 73 . . .	5668
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kadir . . .	5 W. R., 89 . . .	203
_____ <i>v.</i> Teluk Chunder Rai . . .	3 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's }	778
Gopal Mullick <i>v.</i> Onoop Chunder Roy . . .	I. A., 183.	1598
Gopal Mundul <i>v.</i> Nobo Kishen Mookerjee . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 85	1661
Gopal Narain <i>v.</i> Trimbak Sadashiv . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 73	1390, 5750
Gopal Narain <i>alias</i> Jugdeo Narain <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad } Sahee. }	7 Moore's I. A., 543	4639
Gopal Narain Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Muddomutty Gooptee .	11 W. R., 183 .	3395
Gopal Narhar Safray <i>v.</i> Hanmant Ganesh Safray	5 W. R., Act X, 83	1616, 1769
Gopal Nath <i>v.</i> Abdool Ghanee . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 267	4772
Gopal Nath Tewaree <i>v.</i> Bhooyah Oranoo .	19 W. R., 270 .	2020
Gopal Pal Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Tarinee Pershad Glose		88, 1945
Gopal Pandey <i>v.</i> Parsotam Das . . .	14 B. L. R., 21 .	2234, 4134
Gopal Paul Chowdry <i>v.</i> Grish Chunder Pandey	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273	2382, 3209
	1 Agra, 120 .	2128, 2148
	6 W. R., 137 .	4502, 5984
	9 W. R., 89 .	5595
	I. L. R., 5 All., 121	4864
	14 W. R., 269 .	5199
		8

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Pershad v. Ramanoogra Singh	8 W. R., 201	1924
Gopal Prasad v. Nandarani	1 B. L. R., A. C., 192 : 10 W. R., 252.	4770
Gopal Ram v. Rangayyengar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 114	110
Gopalrao Ganesh v. Kishor Kalidas	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 527	2773, 3052
Gopalrav v. Trimbakrav	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 598	2232
Gopalrav Myral. In re	8 Bom., O. C., 236	2105, 5296 5580
Gopal Roy v. Tekaet Roy	8 W. R., 333	5774
Gopal Safray v. Hanmant Safray	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273	2234, 2382 4134
_____ v. _____	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 107	5137
Gopal Sahi v. Ojoodhea Pershad	2 W. R., 47	3711, 4298
Gopal Sahoo v. Gunga Pershad Sahoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 530	3915
Gopal Sahu Deo v. Joyram Tewary	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 620 : 9 C. L. R., 402.	2690, 3485
Gopal Shridhar Dikshit Patvardhan v. Naro Vinayak } Dikshit Patvardhan.	7 Bom., Ap., 24	2121
Gopal Singh v. Court of Wards	7 W. R., 430	5929
_____ v. Dhungazee	3 W. R., 206	2339
_____ v. Dular Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 352	221
_____ v. Jhakri Rai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 37	301, 5791
_____ v. Kanhya Lal Sahebzada	2 B. L. R., Ap., 14 : 11 W. R., 9.	3398
_____ v. Roy Bunwaree Lal Sahoo	5 C. L. R., 181	5475
Gopal Singh Deb v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 393	795
Gopal Singh Moorah v. Sunkuree Paharin	23 W. R., 458	1589
Gopal Sitaram Gune v. Desai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 674	3444
Gopal Surnokar v. Goyaram Sircar	13 W. R., 273	5678
Gopal v. Venkatadoss	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 552	3582
Gopal Yadavrav Keshar v. Krishnappa bin Mahadappa	7 Bom., A. C., 60	3942
Gopanund Jha v. Lalla Gobind Pershad	12 W. R., 109	4169
Gopaul v. Ram Suroop Lal	1 Agra, Rev., 51	1570
Gopaul Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Nilmoney Mitter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 374	4147
Gopaul Chunder Lahiry v. Solomon	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 767	3154, 5136 1499, 2197
Gopaul Chunder Manna v. Gour Monee Dossee	6 W. R., 52	2515
Gopaul Doss v. Greedharee Doss	6 W. R., 13	5583
Gopaul Lal Thakoor v. Budurooddeen	7 W. R., 28	2994
Gopaul Mullick v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjee	14 B. L. R., 205, note : 51 W. R., 363.	3055
Gopee Bullub Roy v. Goluck Proshad	W. R., 1864, 135	877
Gopee Bundhoo Shantra Mohapattur v. Kalee Pudo Banerjee.	23 W. R., 338	3930
Gopee Chand Setia v. Bhoobun Mohun Sen	23 W. R., 401	5279
Gopee Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ram Komul Ghose	W. R., 1864, 179	1579
Gopee Kishen Goshamee v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry.	12 W. R., P. C., 36 : 13 Moore's I. A., 37.	3199
Gopeekishen Gossain v. Hem Chunder Gossain	13 W. R., 322	1159
_____ v. _____	16 W. R., 38	6175
_____ v. Ryland	9 W. R., 279	503, 506 4255
_____ v. _____	9 W. R., 562	505
Gopeekishen Gossamy v. Thakoordass Gossamy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 807 : 10 C. L. R., 439.	3375
Gopee Kissen Gossamee v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar	19 W. R., 41	2694
Gopeekisto Gossamee v. Ram Comul Mistry	Marsh., 212	5311

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopeekrist Gosain <i>v.</i> Gungapersaud Gosain	6 Moore's I. A., 53	475, 477 2343
Gopee Lall <i>v.</i> Bhugwan Doss	12 W. R., 7	1434, 2346
Gopee Loll <i>v.</i> Chundraolee Buhoojee	11 B. L. R., 391: 19 W. R., } 12: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 131 }	2134, 2136 2245, 2737
Gopee Mohun Banerjee <i>v.</i> Sreekanto Bose	13 W. R., 103	5707
Gopee Mohun Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Mackintosh	9 W. R., 395	5829
Gopee Mohun Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Hills	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 789	4964
Gopee Mohun Roy <i>v.</i> Abdool Rajah Surgun Nacoda	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 358	1727
_____ <i>v.</i> Protap Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 530	5659
_____ <i>v.</i> Sib Chunder Sen	1 W. R., 68	5175
Gopee Mohun Thakoor <i>v.</i> Radhanath	5 W. R., P. C., 72	5234
Gopeenath Acharjee <i>v.</i> Achcha Bibee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 553: 9 C. L. } R., 395. }	5420
Gopeenath Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Gooroodoss Surma	18 W. R., 472	2242
Gopeenath Dobey <i>v.</i> Roy Luchmeput Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 542: 1 C. } L. R., 349. }	5470
Gopee Nath Doss <i>v.</i> Dyanidhee Soondura Mohapattur	7 W. R., 485	4458
Gopeenath Ghose <i>v.</i> Kedarnath Chuckerbutty	23 W. R., 426	5696
Gopeenath Jannah <i>v.</i> Jotoo Mollah	18 W. R., 272	1632, 2933
Gopee Nath Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Raddhumunt Mal	25 W. R., 26	288
Gopeenath Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Kally Doss Mullick	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 225	2195; 2608
_____ , Mundul	9 W. R., 476	1655, 1660
Gopeenath Mudduck. In the matter of	14 W. R., 7	4433
Gopeenath Naick <i>v.</i> Jodoo Ghose	23 W. R., 42	1680
Gopeenath Paul <i>v.</i> George	6 W. R., 7	5739
Gopeenath Roy <i>v.</i> Gopeenath Chatterjee	6 W. R., Mis., 106	201, 3175
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramjoy	14 W. R., 249	1234
Gopeenath Singh <i>v.</i> Anund Moyee Debia	8 W. R., 167	1747, 1768
Gopee Ojha <i>v.</i> Hur Gobind Singh	12 W. R., 229	6240
Gopee Sing <i>v.</i> Kisha Lall	25 W. R., 187	5427
Gopee Thakoorae <i>v.</i> Sheo Sevuk Misser	5 N. W., 95	1995
Gopi Chand <i>v.</i> Sujun Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 646	2283, 2483
Gopikrishna Gossami <i>v.</i> Nilcomul Banerjee	13 B. L. R., 461: 22 W. R., 79	2810
Gopi Mohun Dass <i>v.</i> Tincouri Gupta	1 C. L. R., 254	
Gopi Mohun Mullick <i>v.</i> Taramoni Chowdhrahi	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 7: 4 C. L. } R., 309. }	1440, 4096
Gopi Mohun Muzoomdar <i>v.</i> Hills	5 C. L. R., 33	1661
Gopinath <i>v.</i> Kuldip Singh	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 566	4854, 5510
Gopi Nath Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Modhu Sudun Dey	11 C. L. R., 51	1179
Gopi Nath Chobey <i>v.</i> Bhugwat Pershad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 697	489, 3360 4247, 5038
Gopinath Shaha. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 141	5069 1334
Gopi Wasudev <i>v.</i> Markande Narayan Bhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 30	5398
Gorachand <i>v.</i> Gudadhur Chatterjee	7 W. R., 470	1662
Gora Chand Dutt <i>v.</i> Lokenath Dutt	8 W. R., 334	3201, 3378
Gorachand Goswami <i>v.</i> Raghu Mandal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 121: 12 W. } R., 169. }	762
Gorachand Misser <i>v.</i> Boykanto Narain Singh	12 B. L. R., 261: 20 W. R., } 421. }	5734
Gora Chand Mustafi <i>v.</i> Madan Mohan Sikdar	13 B. L. R., 279, note: 11 W. } R., 94. }	5193, 5199
Gorachand Sirkar <i>v.</i> Ramnarain Chowdhry	9 W. R., 587	6241
Gorachund Chunder Bose <i>v.</i> Charroo Chuuder Ghose	Bourke, O. C., 3: Cor., 93	5712
Goraki Kanaji <i>v.</i> Nathu bin Appaji	1 Bom., 135	3958
Gordhandas Girdharbhai <i>v.</i> Prankor	6 Bom., A. C., 263	3711

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gordhan Pema <i>v.</i> Kasandas Balmukundas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 179	5664
Gordon <i>v.</i> Abou Mahomed Khan	5 W. R., P. C., 68	3125
— <i>v.</i> Gopee Soonduree Dossee	25 W. R., 53	1984
— <i>v.</i> Gordon	3 B. L. R., O. C., 100	1547
— <i>v.</i> Gordon and DeSaran	3 B. L. R., O. C., 136	1548
— <i>v.</i> —	3 B. L. R., Ap. 13	1554
Gordon, Stuart, & Co. <i>v.</i> Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry	8 W. R., 291	135
— <i>v.</i> Executive Engineer of the Calcutta and Jessore Road Division. }	7 W. R., 426	1053
— <i>v.</i> Mohatab Chunder	Marsh., 490: 2 Hay, 565	6, 2951
— <i>v.</i> Taylor	W. R., F. B., 9	3030
— <i>v.</i> Tikaitnee Seobas Kowaree	W. R., 1864, 370	2063
Goreeboollah Sirkar <i>v.</i> Boyd	2 W. R., 190	143
Goree Monee Dossee <i>v.</i> Juggut Indro Narain Chowdry	11 Moore's I. A., 1	4631
Goriboolla Gazee <i>v.</i> Gooroodoss Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 99	1724
Goruppa bin Rachappa. In the matter of	1 Bom., 90	209
Gossain Shiva Ram <i>v.</i> Rugho Rai	2 Agra, 44	4279
Gossain Rambharti Jagrupbharti <i>v.</i> Surajbharti Haribharti	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 682	2339, 4134
Gossain Tota Ram <i>v.</i> Rukiniballab	3 B. L. R., P. C., 34: 12 W. R., P. C., 32: 12 Moore's I. A., 77 }	4653, 4677
Goshaien Jugoopooree <i>v.</i> Bishen Dyal Chund	2 Agra, 32	4986
Goshain Girdhariji <i>v.</i> Durga Devi	I. L. R., 2 All., 119	350
Goshain Gobind Pershad <i>v.</i> Fatima	2 W. R., 5	3237
Goshain Jugroop Geer <i>v.</i> Chingun Lal	2 N. W., 46	903, 4445
Goshain Luchmun Pershad Pooree <i>v.</i> Pohoop Narain Pooree. }	24 W. R., Cr., 30	4095, 4731
Gosmiah <i>v.</i> Taffuzzul Hossein	4 B. L. R., Ap., 32	5945
Gossain Dass Chunder <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Nath	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224	486
Gossaindoss Ghose <i>v.</i> Gooroodoss Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., 198	4478, 6005
Gossain Doss Koondoo <i>v.</i> Siroo Koomaree Debia	12 B. L. R., 219: 19 W. R., 192	5243
Gossain Dowlut Geer <i>v.</i> Bissessur Geer	19 W. R., 215	3368, 4173
— <i>v.</i> —	22 W. R., 207	2244
Gossain Dulmir Puri <i>v.</i> Tekait Hetnarain	6 C. L. R., 467	4911
Gossain Gopal Dutt <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	21 W. R., 418	218, 4714
Gossain Gunga Dutt Bharutee <i>v.</i> Dabee Dass Baboo	25 W. R., 118	3496
Gossain Jhunmi Pooree <i>v.</i> Anund Moyee Dossee	3 W. R., Mis., 9	4262, 4401
Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree <i>v.</i> Tekait Het Narain Singh. }	18 W. R., 322	209
Gossain Money Puree <i>v.</i> Guru Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 146	2714
Gossain Mungul Doss <i>v.</i> Roy Dhunput Singh	25 W. R., 152	1936, 2605
Gossain Munraj Pooree <i>v.</i> Deen Doyal Lall	20 W. R., 20	5337
Gossain Sheo Suhaye Geer <i>v.</i> Mohadeo Suhaye	6 W. R., 294	4347
Gossain Umur Narain Pooree <i>v.</i> Arurut Lall <i>alias</i> Baboo Jan. }	7 W. R., 301	4209
Gossami Sri 108 Sri Gridhariji Maharaj Tickait <i>v.</i> Purushotum Gossami. }	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 814	547
Gossie Doss <i>v.</i> Narain Doss	W. R., 1864, 287	889, 3096
Gossyen Luchmee Narain Poori <i>v.</i> Bieram Singh	4 C. L. R., 294	5123
Gosto Behary Pal <i>v.</i> Johur Lall Pal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 836: 4 C. L. R., 164. }	3953
Gosvami Shri Purushotamji Maharaj <i>v.</i> Robb	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398	4525
Goura <i>v.</i> Kekree Singh	4 N. W., 60	1105, 6011
Goura Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Chummun Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 340	679
Gourah Koeri <i>v.</i> Gajadhur Pershad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 219: 4 C. L. R., 398. }	2333
Gour Beharee Ram Bhuggut <i>v.</i> Sheoruttun Koonwar	NO W. R., 243	674
		6105

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gourchandra Rai <i>v.</i> Protab Chandra Dass . . .	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 241 : 6 C. L. } R., 591.	4619
Gour Chunder Bhattacharjee <i>v.</i> Sodoy Chunder Nundee	17 W. R., 30	171, 376
Gour Chunder Biswas <i>v.</i> Chunder Coomar Roy . . .	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 291 : 10 C. L. } R., 236.	5477
_____ <i>v.</i> Greesh Chunder Biswas . . .	7 W. R., 120	2359, 6276
Gour Chunder Goopto <i>v.</i> Tara Monee	6 W. R., 217	5345
Gour Chunder Puteedundee <i>v.</i> Clay	8 W. R., 256	2837, 5650
Gour Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Manick Ram	13 W. R., 76	6240
Gour Chunder Thakoor. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 229	3088
Gour Churn Soor <i>v.</i> Jugobundhoo Sen	22 W. R., 437	4280
_____ <i>v.</i> Peary Lall Paul	15-B. L. R., Ap., 12	5915
Gour Churn Surma <i>v.</i> Jinut Ali	11 C. L. R., 166	4808
Gour Dyal Singh <i>v.</i> Hubeel Hossein	14 W. R., 83	3005
Gouree <i>v.</i> Oomrao Koonwar	1 Agra, 149	2313
Gouree Byjnath Pershad <i>v.</i> Lochun Kooer	22 W. R., 102	684
Goureekant Banerjee <i>v.</i> Goluck Chunder	4 W. R., Act X, 49	5185
_____ <i>v.</i> Lall Mahomed Mollah	{ W. R., F. B., 70 : Marsh., 265 : } 2 Hay, 107.	907
Gouree Komul Bhattacharjee <i>v.</i> Raj Kishen Nath	5 W. R., 106	5303
_____ <i>v.</i> Raj Kristo Nath	14 W. R., 369	5303
Gouree Lall Singh <i>v.</i> Joodhisteer Hajrah	{ I. L. R., 1 Calc., 359 : 25 W. } R., 141.	5332
Gouree Narain Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Modhosoodun Dutt .	2 W. R., Act X, 1	1756
Goureenath <i>v.</i> Collector of Monghyr	7 W. R., 5	{ 2338, 3565 } 3566
Goureenath Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Modhoomonee Peshakur	18 W. R., 445	2987
Goureenath Roy <i>v.</i> Ramgutter Chunder	12 W. R., 102	1645
Gouree Pershad Koondoo <i>v.</i> Prannath Surmah . . .	10 W. R., 365	6244
Gouree Singh <i>v.</i> Fuzl Hossein	15 W. R., 313	3918
Gouree Sunkur. In the matter of	11 W. R., 556	239
Gouree Sunkur Roy <i>v.</i> Anund Mohun Moitro . . .	9 W. R., 487	4302
Gouree Sunkur Surmah <i>v.</i> Tirtho Monee	12 W. R., 452	1177
Gouree Sunkur Tribedee <i>v.</i> Aman Ali Chowdhry . .	21 W. R., 309	3498
Gour Gopaul Dutt <i>v.</i> Bissonath Ghose	Cor., 41	4254, 5484
Gour Hari Mal <i>v.</i> Amirunnessa Khatoon	11 C. L. R., 9	1682
Gour Hari Sanyal <i>v.</i> Prem Nath Sanyal	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 738 : 12 C. } L. R., 395.	4520
Gour Huree Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Pran Huree Laha	21 W. R., 42	771
Gour Huree Doss <i>v.</i> Hyagrib Doss	14 W. R., 425	3770
Gour Hurree Doss <i>v.</i> Mutteoolah	1 W. R., 99	5080
Gouri Kant Roy <i>v.</i> Giridhar Roy	{ 4 B. L. R., A. C., 8 : 12 W. } R., 456.	4829
Gouriprosad Moitra <i>v.</i> Bhola Nath Sanyal	8 C. L. R., 487	853
Gouri Sunker <i>v.</i> Maharaja of Bulrampore	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 839 : L. R., } 6 I. A., 1.	4222
Gourkishen Singh <i>v.</i> Sahay Fukeer Chund	7 W. R., 364	3815
Gour Kishore Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hassim Chowdhry	10 W. R., 191	825
Gour Kishore Chunder <i>v.</i> Bonomalee Chowdhry . .	22 W. R., 117	9
Gour Kishore Dutt <i>v.</i> Akbur	22 W. R., 489	322
Gour Kishore Dutt Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ozeer Ali	24 W. R., 99	629
Gour Kishore Shaha <i>v.</i> Chunder Kishore Dutt Mojom-	25 W. R., 45	6121
dar.		
Gour Lall Singh <i>v.</i> Mohesh Narain Ghose	14 W. R., 484	144, 2356
Gour Mohan Bandopadhyia <i>v.</i> Tara Chand Bandopadhyia	{ 3 B. L. R., Ap., 17 : 11 W. } R., 567.	1940, 3496

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gour Mohun Ghose <i>v.</i> Mohindro Nath Chatterjee	13 W. R., Cr., 78	5579
Gour Mohun Majee <i>v.</i> Doollubh Majee	22 W. R., Cr., 81	1268, 4491
Gour Mohun Roy <i>v.</i> Anund Mundul	22 W. R., 295	3074
— <i>v.</i> Radha Romun Singh	21 W. R., 372	4
Gour Mohun Shaha <i>v.</i> Gour Mohun Ghose	5 W. R., Mis., 11	3489
Gour Mohun Surmah <i>v.</i> Juggernath Acharjee	14 W. R., 446	3144
Gourmonee <i>v.</i> Huree Kishore Roy	10 W. R., 338	1746, 1782
Gour Monee Debea <i>v.</i> Krishna Chunder Sannyal	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 397	1689
Gourmonee Debee <i>v.</i> Neelmadhub Goocho	5 W. R., Mis., 3	3499
Gourmonee Debia <i>v.</i> Abdool Gunnee	10 Moore's I. A., 59	4642
Gourmonee Dossee <i>v.</i> Jogutindronarain	18 W. R., 319	1941
Gour Monee Moorain <i>v.</i> Shun Kuree Paharinee Debia	13 W. R., 459	3403
Gourmonee Debia <i>v.</i> Abdool Gunny	8 Moore's I. A., 268	4639
Gour Mookh Singh <i>v.</i> Lalla Gour Sunkur	1 W. R., Mis., 11	5477
Gour Paroy <i>v.</i> Wooma Soonduree Debia	12 W. R., 472	1845, 4192
Gour Pershad Doss <i>v.</i> Sookdeb Ram Deb	12 W. R., 279	1426, 4438
Gour Pershad Lal <i>v.</i> Lalla Nund Lal	7 W. R., 439	306
Gour Pershad Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Kallee Pershad Mookerjee	5 W. R., 121	4316
Gourpershad Narain <i>v.</i> Sheopershad Ram	5 W. R., 103	2084
Gour Pershad Surmah <i>v.</i> Anjub Ali	24 W. R., 294	5132
Gour Ram Chunder <i>v.</i> Sonatun Doss	25 W. R., 320	2955
Gour Sahoy Singh <i>v.</i> Sheo Sahoy Singh	15 W. R., 94	5287
Goursimull <i>v.</i> Dhansuk Das	7 B. L. R., 289, note : 16 W. R., 10, note.	2578
Gour Soondur Paray <i>v.</i> Kristo Kant Mahaton	15 W. R., 108	682
Gour Surn Dass. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 305	275
Gour Surun Dass. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., Cr., 83	257
Gour Surun Dass <i>v.</i> Ram Surun Bhukut	8 W. R., 253	413
Gour Surun Doss <i>v.</i> Kanhya Singh	2 W. R., 237	303
Gour Surun Doss <i>v.</i> Serondey	15 W. R., 144	4135
Goury Churn Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Jogendronath Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 289	5817
Gouse <i>v.</i> Sundara	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 394	3587
Goutiere <i>v.</i> Charriol	1 N. W., Part 2, p. 32 : Ed. } 1873, 91.	393, 427
— <i>v.</i> Robert	2 N. W., 353	3768
Goverdhan Sinha <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 570	1570
Government <i>v.</i> Abdool Huq	3 Agra. Cr., 1	1972
— <i>v.</i> Anundee Kooer	10 W. R., Cr., 40	4737
— <i>v.</i> Behari Lall Brajabasi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46 : 12 W. R., Cr., 60.	4732
— <i>v.</i> Choonee Lall	2 Agra, Cr., 1	4094
— <i>v.</i> Doulat	2 Agra, Cr., 3	460
— <i>v.</i> Fergusson	9 W. R., 158	1763, 4281
— <i>v.</i> Gholam Mahomed	1 Agra, Cr., 33	5128
— <i>v.</i> Girdharee Lall	1 Agra, Cr., 24	4490, 4494
— <i>v.</i> Gopal Singh	1 Agra, Cr., 21	4500
— <i>v.</i> Greedharee Lall Roy	4 W. R., 13	655, 6027
— <i>v.</i> Holasee Singh	1 Agra, Cr., 17	18
— <i>v.</i> Hungessur Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11	1671, 2286
— <i>v.</i> Hushumtoonissa Bibee	2 W. R., Act X, 106	2301
— <i>v.</i> Jungli Beldar	17 W. R., Cr., 7	1223, 2762
— <i>v.</i> Kalika Misser	1 Agra, Cr., 3	3629
— <i>v.</i> Kangalee Muduk	18 W. R., Cr., 7	4936
— <i>v.</i> Karamut Khan	1 Agra, Cr., 1	73
		1338
		6189
		4448

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Government <i>v.</i> Karimdad	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 496 : 7 C. } L. R., 467.	1958, 3603
<i>v.</i> Kesree	1 Agra, Cr., 37	2599
<i>v.</i> Lalawun Singh	1 Agra, Cr., 31	5549
<i>v.</i> Lalji Sahu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23	1203
<i>v.</i> Lall Mohun Nanth	2 Hay, 136	2061
<i>v.</i> Mahomed Buksh	1 Agra, Cr., 23	4094, 4360
<i>v.</i> Monohur Deo	W. R., 1864, 39	{ 1249, 1341 2034
<i>v.</i> Mozuffer Khalifa	18 W. R., Cr., 21	4100
<i>v.</i> Mudun Dass	6 W. R., Cr., 52	1805
<i>v.</i> Nowlia	1 Agra, Cr., 9	5880
<i>v.</i> Pokharun Singh	7 W. R., 465	5628
<i>v.</i> Radhay Singh	20 W. R., 117	5370
<i>v.</i> Radhoochurn Ash	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5564
<i>v.</i> Raj Kishen Singh	8 W. R., 343	640
<i>v.</i>	9 W. R., 426	640, 1432
<i>v.</i> Ramasawmy	5 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	712
<i>v.</i> Ram Charun Misr	2 Agra, 74	5212
<i>v.</i> Rhoop Narain Singh	2 W. R., 162	3376, 3438
<i>v.</i> Sham Soonder	1 Agra, Cr., 34	4094
<i>v.</i> Sreeputtee Roy	17 W. R., Cr., 59	4500
<i>v.</i> Surjakant Acharjia	17 W. R., Cr., 37	{ 4097, 4435 5166
<i>v.</i> Tabira	7 W. R., 513	40
<i>v.</i> Thacoor Doss	1 Agra, Cr., 13	715
Government of Bengal. In re	7 B. L. R., 244, note	5947
	7 B. L. R., 250, note: 15 W. } R., Cr., 60.	5947
In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 342	21, 5146
<i>v.</i> Akatoollah	15 W. R., Cr., 21	5494
<i>v.</i> Gokool Chunder Chowdhry	24 W. R., Cr., 41	250, 5510
<i>v.</i> Haneef Fakeer	23 W. R., Cr., 50	252
<i>v.</i> Heera Lall Doss	17 W. R., Cr., 39	3604, 4854
<i>v.</i> Jafur Hossein Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 467	5103, 5501
<i>v.</i> Kazimuddin	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5151
<i>v.</i> Mahaddi	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 371	6160, 6163
<i>v.</i> Parmoshur Mullick	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1029	251
<i>v.</i> Sheo Gholam Lalla	24 W. R., Cr., 67	2581
<i>v.</i> Shurrufftoonissa	{ 3 W. R., P. C., 31 : 8 Moore's I. A., 225. }	3120, 3201 3445
<i>v.</i> Surwar Jan	18 W. R., Cr., 33	21
<i>v.</i> Whittard	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 453 : 5 C. L. R., 307. }	3812
Government of Bombay. Ex parte	11 Bom., 117	251
<i>v.</i> Dadyama Basapa	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 478	390
<i>v.</i> Damodhar Parmanandas	5 Bom., A. C., 202	{ 2877, 5590 5595
<i>v.</i> Desai Kullianrai Hakoomutrai.	14 Moore's I. A., 551	2064, 4563
<i>v.</i> Ganga	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 320	586
<i>v.</i> Gasvami Shri Girdharlalji	9 Bom., 222	4564
<i>v.</i> Haribhai Monbhai	12 Bom., Ap., 225	{ 2858, 2959 2969
<i>v.</i> Rammalingji Amarsingji	9 Bom., 242	2798
<i>v.</i> Shidapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 405	933
<i>v.</i> Sundarji Savram	12 Bom., Ap., 275	2858, 2960

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Government Pleader. In the matter of the petition of the	7 Mad., 339	252
Govinda v. Jesha Premaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 73	3897, 4126
Govinda Babaji v. Naiku Joti	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 78	3775
Govinda Chundra Sikdar v. Ayinuddin Sha Biswas	11 C. L. R., 281	3004
Govinda Dass v. Dulall Dass	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 67: 13 C. } L. R., 408. }	3612
Govinda Muneya Tiruyan v. Bapu	5 Mad., 200	5672
Govindan v. Kannaran	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 351	3757
Govinda Nair v. Kesava	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 81	4950
Govindan Nambiar v. Krishnan Nambiar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 146	6083
Govindannayar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 224	5524
Govindan Pillai v. Chidambara Pillai	3 Mad., 99	3366
Govindappah v. Kindappah Sastrulu	6 Mad., 131	668
Govindappah v. Kyatadoo	6 Mad., 131	668
Govindappa Nayak. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 36	4629
Govindarajulu v. Lakshuman	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 37	3573
Govindas v. Dayabhai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 22	6077
Govind Bhaichand v. Kalnak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 592	3440
Govind Chunder Addy v. Auloo Beebee	1 W. R., 49	1744, 2930
Govind Chunder Goswami v. Rungunmoney	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 60: 6 C. L. } R., 345. }	3464
Govind Hari Valekar v. Bank of India	4 Bom., A. C., 164	5460, 5468
Govindji Khimji v. Lakhmidas Nathubhoy	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 318	2212
Govind Lakshuman v. Narayan Moreshvar	11 Bom., 111	2032, 3133 3469
Govind Nath Sandyal v. Nubo Coomar Banerjee	8 W. R., 206	46
Govindo Prosad Roy Chowdhry v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry.	4 C. L. R., 274	1812
Govind Pershad v. Rampurkash Singh	8 W. R., 378	897
Govind Purshotam Kolatkar v. Sub-Collector and Deputy Conservator of Forests of Colaba.	6 Bom., A. C., 188	3022
Govind Ragunath v. Govind Jagoji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 500	6032
Govind Ramchandra Gokhle v. Ahmed	5 Bom., A. C., 133	6108
Govind Ram Marwary v. Mathoora Sabooya	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 339: 1 C. } L. R., 429. }	2575
Govindrav Deshmukh v. Ragho Deshmukh	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 543	1840, 6099
Govind Shanbhog v. Appaya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 246	3506
Govind Vaman v. Sakharam Ramchandra	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 42	1718, 1910
Govind Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri	6 Bom., A. C., 101	611
Gowhur Ali Khan v. Ahmed Khan	20 W. R., 214	3645
— v. Sakheena Khanum	15 W. R., 507	298, 4139
Gowhur Hossein v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	8 W. R., 481	554
Gowra Bebee v. Kissen Misser	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224	3219
Gowra Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree	19 W. R., 252	3431, 5076
Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company	5 B. L. R., 667, note: 13, } W. R., 129. }	5076
— v. —	12 B. L. R., 282, note: 13 } W. R., 129. }	3118, 3431
Gowree Boyjo Nath Pershad v. Jodha Singh	19 W. R., 416	5438
Gowree Kumul Bhattacharjee v. Surut Chunder Dass Biswas.	22 W. R., 408	5382, 6153
Gowree Nath Sahoy v. Fukeer Chand	18 W. R., 347	5470
Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad	17 W. R., 211	3904
Gowri Koer v. Audh Koer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1087	5093
Gowri Prosad Kundu v. Ram Ratan Sircar	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 159	3264, 4040
Goyamoney Surinee v. Mohesh Chunder Shaha	9 W. R., Cr., 1	3742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Goya Pershad Aubustee v. Tarinee Kant Lahoree Chowdhry.	23 W. R., 149	1735
Graham v. Hille	10 Bom., 60	596
— v. Ker, Dods & Co.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 4	2621
— v. Lopez	1 W. R., 141	3556, 5776
Graham & Co. v. Mervanji Nusservanji	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 539	732
Grant. In the matter of	14 W. R., 47	6089
— v. Bangsi Deo	6 B. L. R., 652: 15 W. R., 38. }	2039
— v. Byjnath Tewaree	21 W. R., 279	1845
— v. Modhoo Soodun Singh	10 W. R., 79	5749
— v. Ram Tonoo Bhoomick	10 W. R., 83	564, 5661
Grant, Smith & Co. v. Juggobundoo Shaw	Bourke, A. O. C., 17: 2 } Hyde, 301.	4585, 4603
— v. Steel	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 60	5295
Grasemann v. Gardner	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 2	395, 592
— v. Littlepage	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	3559
Gray v. Anundmohun Moitro	W. R., 1864, 108	1356
— v. Chick	Cor., 136	1992
Great Eastern Hotel Co. v. Collector of Allahabad	2 Agra, Ex. O. C., 1	2672
— v. Secretary of State for India	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	1577, 3027
Great Indian Peninsula Railway Co. v. Nowroji Pestanji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 390	6019
— v. Radhakisan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 371	2618
Khushaldas	4 Bom., A. C., 93	4599
Greaves v. Bhagvan Tulsi	Marsh., 573: 2 Hay, 633	4690
Greedharee Doss v. Nundkishore Dutt	11 Moore's I. A., 405: 8 } W. R., P. C., 25.	2248, 3262
— v. Nundkishore Doss	24 W. R., 173	3262
Greedharee Singh v. Fooljdhuree Kooer	17 W. R., 237	685, 1681
— v. Indro Kooer	Marsh., 37	3395
— v. Pultoo Roy	7 W. R., 221	67
Greeja Bhoosun Mitter v. Kishen Kishore Ghose	8 W. R., 136	1916
Greejanund Oopadhya v. Ruttee Raman Oopadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 12: 9 C. L. R., 249.	200
Greeman Singh v. Wahari Lall Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897: 4 C. L. R., 193.	1417
Greender Chunder Ghose v. Mackintosh	14 W. R., Cr., 27	3169, 6138
Greene v. Delanney	Bourke, A. O. C., 111: Cor., 97: 2 Hyde, 3.	1509
Greenway v. Hogg	Bourke, A. O. C., 139	131, 1945
— v. —	12 B. L. R., 42: 20 W. R., 467.	6201, 6220
Greenwood v. Holquette	2 Hyde, 79	888
Greesh Chunder Bonnerjee v. Collins	10 W. R., 173	1127, 1129
Greesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Mohesh Chunder Nyalunkar	1 Hay, 495	2805, 4069
Greesh Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhugruttee Daben Chowdhralee	15 W. R., Cr., 36	4140
Greesh Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 38	5986
Greesh Chunder Doss. In the matter of	8 W. R., 333	4103
Greesh Chunder Lahoori v. Asudoonissa Bebee	8 W. R., 26	4505
Greesh Chunder Lahoree v. Kasheessuree Debia	1 W. R., 144	1138
— v. Ram Lall Sircar	1 W. R., 55	5929
Greesh Chunder Pottar v. Lookhooda Moyee Dabee	7 W. R., 349	1770, 2080
Greesh Chunder Roy v. Collector of Sylhet		2146
		5484
		6088

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Greesh Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Sumbhoo Chunder Roy . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 98 . . .	2414
Greesh Chunder Singh <i>v.</i> Gourmohun Banerjee . . .	7 W. R., 49 . . .	881
_____ <i>v.</i> Prankishen Bhattacharjee . . .	7 W. R., 157 . . .	3143
Gregory <i>v.</i> Cochrane . . .	8 Moore's I. A., 275 . . .	5804
_____ <i>v.</i> Dooley Chand . . .	14 W. R., O. C., 17 . . .	291, 911
_____ <i>v.</i> Dulsook Roy . . .	Cor., 9 . . .	1761, 6228
_____ <i>v.</i> Essuff Coonjee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 244 . . .	2705
_____ <i>v.</i> Gourdossh Shaha . . .	8 W. R., 490 . . .	429
_____ <i>v.</i> Juggut Chunder Bannerjee . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 17 . . .	3283
_____ <i>v.</i> Joychunder Bannerjee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 80 . . .	3132
Gridharee Singh <i>v.</i> Court of Wards . . .	10 W. R., 368 . . .	3468
Gridhari Das <i>v.</i> Jagan Nath . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 115 . . .	4934
Gridhari Lall Roy <i>v.</i> Government of Bengal . . .	1 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 10 W. R., P. C., 31. . .	5839
_____ <i>v.</i> Sundar Bibi . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 496: 6 W. R., 187. . .	1671, 2286
Gridhari Lall Sahoo <i>v.</i> Gowrunbutty . . .	15 B. L. R., 264: 23 W. R., 365. . .	2301
Gridhari Mondul. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. L. R., 46. . .	183
_____ <i>v.</i> Uchit Jha . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. L. R., 46. . .	2164
Gridhari Singh <i>v.</i> Hurdeo Narain Sahu . . .	21 W. R., 263 . . .	5513
Gridhar Ranchoddas <i>v.</i> Hakamchand Revachand . . .	8 Bom., A. C., 75 . . .	5513
Grija Kant Lahory Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Hurish Chunder Chowdhry. . .	19 W. R., 114 . . .	267
Grijamonee <i>v.</i> Ishur Chunder . . .	W. R., 1864, Cr., 2 . . .	6136
Grish Chandra <i>v.</i> Kashisauri Debi . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145 . . .	4180
Grish Chandra Dutt <i>v.</i> Buzul-ul-Huq . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 11 W. R., 412. . .	2571, 4490
Grish Chandra Ghose <i>v.</i> Iswar Chandra Mookerjee . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 337: 12 W. R., 226. . .	4494, 4500
Grish Chandra Roy <i>v.</i> Prasanna Kumar China . . .	4 B. L. R., O. C., 94 . . .	6039
Grish Chandra Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Amina Khatun . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 121 . . .	4760
Grish Chunder Banerjee <i>v.</i> Bhanoo Motee Chowdhraim . . .	11 W. R., 329 . . .	146, 1628
_____ <i>v.</i> Gunga Doorga . . .	25 W. R., 60 . . .	2631
_____ <i>v.</i> Hemlota Debi . . .	11 C. L. R., 359 . . .	327
Grish Chunder Chooramonee. In the matter of the petition of.	20 W. R., 56 . . .	3479, 6173
_____ <i>v.</i> Brojonath Bhattacharjee . . .	20 W. R., 56 . . .	5343
Grish Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Kristo Soondur Sandyal . . .	14 W. R., 277 . . .	6196
Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Biseswari Debia . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243: 7 C. L. R., 420. . .	4868
_____ <i>v.</i> Jibanawari Dabia . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243: 7 C. L. R., 420. . .	4868
Grish Chunder Das <i>v.</i> Brojo Jibun Bose . . .	8 C. L. R., 4 . . .	4757, 4758
Grish Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Kalee Tara . . .	25 W. R., 395 . . .	5377
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramtonoo Biswas . . .	12 W. R., 449 . . .	2102, 5446
Grish Chunder Guha <i>v.</i> Gour Chunder Dass . . .	12 C. L. R., 161 . . .	5307
Grish Chunder Kur <i>v.</i> Kristo Chunder Ghose . . .	18 W. R., 123 . . .	1587, 1626
Grish Chunder Lahoory <i>v.</i> Koomaree Dabea . . .	1 W. R., Mis., 23 . . .	2718
Grish Chunder Lahoree <i>v.</i> Bhuggobutty Debia . . .	14 W. R., P. C., 7: 13 Moore's I. A., 419. . .	5703
_____ <i>v.</i> Shama Churn Sandyal . . .	15 W. R., 437 . . .	2237, 5611
		4234
		139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Grish Chunder Mitter. In the goods of . . . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 483 : 7 C. } L. R., 593. }	3086
_____ v. Jhaku . . . {	12 B. L. R., 488, note, 17 } W. R., 352. }	5305
Grish Chunder Mookerjee v. Miller . . . {	3 C. L. R., 17 . . . }	2076, 3854
_____ v. Ramessuree Dabee . . . {	22 W. R., 308 . . . }	4890
Grish Chunder Mundul v. Doorga Doss . . . {	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 494 . . . }	5321, 5699
Grish Chunder Nuudee. In the matter of the petition of . . . {	26 W. R., Cr., 8 . . . }	4446
Grish Chunder Roy v. Bhugwan Chunder Roy . . . {	13 W. R., 191 . . . }	1500, 1503
_____ v. Boydonath Dey . . . {	W. R., 1864, 262 . . . }	4473
_____ v. Gobind Singh . . . {	17 W. R., 88 . . . }	5629
Grish Chunder Sein v. Gudadhur Ghose . . . {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 869 : 6 C. L } R., 498. }	5632
Grish Chunder Sen v. Eastern Bengal Jute Manufac- turing Company. . . {	10 W. R., 492 . . . }	1664
Grish Chunder Singh v. Auna Dassee . . . {	17 W. R., 46 . . . }	562
Grob v. Palmer . . . {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395 . . . }	5683
Groom v. Wilson . . . {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 539 . . . }	4071
Grose v. Amirtamayi Dasi . . . {	4 B. L. R., O. C., 1 : 12 W. } R., O. C., 13. }	4526
Gubboy v. Miller . . . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 833 : 7 C. L. } R., 29 : 9 C. L. R., 385. }	48, 401
Gubdoo Mull v. Hoolasee . . . {	2 Agra, 247 . . . }	696, 6022
Gudadhur Banerjee v. Government . . . {	6 W. R., 326 . . . }	6186
_____ v. Kanye Dekhooria . . . {	8 W. R., 191 . . . }	2640
_____ v. Khettermohun Surmah . . . {	7 W. R., 460 . . . }	1633
_____ v. Monmohinee Dossea . . . {	7 W. R., 366 . . . }	2034
_____ v. Nund Lal Biswas . . . {	3 W. R., Act X, 145 . . . }	4166
_____ v. Premomoyee Debia . . . {	10 W. R., 286 . . . }	2980
_____ v. Tara Chund Banerjee . . . {	15 W. R., 3 . . . }	248, 878
Gudadhur Chatterjee v. Nundlall Mookerjee . . . {	12 W. R., 406 . . . }	1628
_____ v. Rajkristo Roy . . . {	13 W. R., 73 . . . }	307
Gudadhur Chowdry v. Shama Churn Mitter . . . {	16 W. R., 8 . . . }	1749
Gudadhur Dutt v. Shushee Monee Dossia . . . {	21 W. R., 7 . . . }	5932
Gudadhur Kamila v. Baidanath Jana . . . {	24 W. R., Cr., 6 . . . }	4285
Gudadhur Koondoo v. Ramkoomar Bose . . . {	6 W. R., 155 . . . }	1145
Gudadhur Lall v. Ram Jhan Gunderee . . . {	10 W. R., 212 . . . }	4910
Gudadhur Paul Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Bhatta- charji. . . {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 918 . . . }	4104
Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh v. Jugdeo Narain . . . {	10 W. R., 233 . . . }	5985
Gudadhur Purshad Tewaree v. Soonder Koomaree . . . {	6 Moore's I. A., 201 . . . }	3006
Guddahu Ruthua Mudaliyar v. Kunnattur Arumuga Mudaliyar. . . {	7 Mad., 189 . . . }	1847
Gudi Janakayya Garu v. Garuda Reddi . . . {	1 Mad., 124 . . . }	5731, 6238
Gudri Lal v. Jagannath Ram . . . {	I. L. R., 8 All., 117 . . . }	4644
Guduri Jagannadham v. Rapaka Ramanna . . . {	7 Mad., 348 . . . }	1828
Gugun Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ajmul Ali . . . {	11 W. R., 91 . . . }	2697, 4947
Gugunfur Ali v. Makomed Yaseen . . . {	20 W. R., 334 . . . }	2832
Gujadhur Pauree v. Naik Pauree . . . {	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 528 . . . }	4810
Gujadhur Pershad v. Abdoollah . . . {	11 W. R., 220 . . . }	5060
_____ v. Kunhya Lall . . . {	3 Agra, 27 . . . }	4783
Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh v. Sahab Roy . . . {	19 W. R., 203 . . . }	1484
Gujadhur Pershad v. Narain Singh . . . {	5 W. R., Mis., 41 . . . }	3691
Gujadhur Singh v. Nund Ram . . . {	1 Agra, 244 . . . }	4325
Gujar v. Barve . . . {	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 673 . . . }	4037
		2840
		52
		3175, 3450

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gujendro Mohun Shaha <i>v.</i> Eastern Bengal Railway Co.	17 W. R., 240	1062
<i>v.</i>	18 W. R., 145	5708
Gujendro Narain Roy. In the matter of	11 W. R., 158	5864
<i>v.</i> Collector of Midnapore	23 W. R., 197	522
Gujarat Trading Company <i>v.</i> Trikamji Velji	3 Bom., O. C., 45	1094, 5875
Gujju Lal <i>v.</i> Fatteh Lal	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 171: 6 C. } L. R., 439.	4963
Gujmull <i>v.</i> Chahee Kowar	L. R., 2 I. A., 34	4649
Gujraj Singh. In the matter of	10 W. R., 355	4434
<i>v.</i> Bijai Singh	6 N. W., 114	5781
Gulabchand Manickchand <i>v.</i> Dhondi valad Bhan	11 Bom., 64	3545, 3546 4265
Gulab Dai <i>v.</i> Jiwan Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 318	753
Gulabdas <i>v.</i> Lakshman Narhar	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 221	3453, 3455
Gulabdas Jugjivandas <i>v.</i> Collector of Surat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 186	2750
Gulabhai Mondas <i>v.</i> Dayabhai Govardhandas	10 Bom., 51	1502, 5682
Gulabi Singh <i>v.</i> Rai Normal Chund	6 N. W., 342	332, 533
Gulab Narotam <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 596	605
Gulab Rai <i>v.</i> Indar Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 54	5203
<i>v.</i> Mangli Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 71	6080
<i>v.</i>	I. L. R., 7 All., 42	189, 5949
Gulab Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 45	5526
<i>v.</i> Amar Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 237	3240
<i>v.</i> Debi Prosad	I. L. R., 6 All., 45	5526
<i>v.</i> Lachman Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 748	197
<i>v.</i> Pemian	I. L. R., 5 All., 342	1904
Gulam Alli bin Kazi Ismail <i>v.</i> Aga Khan	6 Bom., O. C., 93	6242
Gulam Husen Mahamed <i>v.</i> Musa Miya Hamad Ali	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 260	237, 3154 5137
Gulam Hussain Saib <i>v.</i> Aji Ajam Tadallah Saib	4 Mad., 44	3671, 3686
Gulam Jafar <i>v.</i> Masludin	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 238	3678
Gulam Mohidin <i>v.</i> Collector of Ahmedabad	12 Bom., Ap., 276	2858, 2960
Gulam Muhammad Sharif-ud-daulah. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 439	5509
Gulam Rahumtulla Sahib <i>v.</i> Mahommed Akbar Sahib	8 Mad., 63	3667
Gulawad Chandabhai <i>v.</i> Rahimtulla Jamalbhai	4 Bom., A. C., 76	849
Gule <i>v.</i> Maharanee Sreemutty	15 W. R., 133	3832
Gulibai <i>v.</i> Jagannath Galvankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 659	2021
Gulzar Ali <i>v.</i> Fida Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 24	1712
Gulzari Lal <i>v.</i> Collector of Bareilly	I. L. R., 1 All., 596	4343
Gulzari Lal <i>v.</i> Jadaun Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 63	1244
<i>v.</i>	I. L. R., 2 All., 799	6079
Gulzar Shah <i>v.</i> Mahtab Singh	2 Agra, 30	2776
Guman Gallubhai <i>v.</i> Sorabji Barjorji	1 Bom., 11	1811
Gumani <i>v.</i> Hardwar Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 698	445
<i>v.</i> Ram Charan	I. L. R., 1 All., 555	5815
<i>v.</i> Ram Padarath Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 838	4886
Gumani Kazi <i>v.</i> Harihar Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 15: W. } R., F. B., 115: Marsh., } 527.	1438, 2975 4151, 4169
Gumna Dambershet <i>v.</i> Bhiku Hariba	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 125	633, 3308 3309, 3531
Gunamane <i>v.</i> Haree Datta	18 W. R., Cr., 6	957
Gunamani Dasi <i>v.</i> Frankishori Dasi	5 B. L. R., 223: 13 W. R., } F. B., 69.	821, 840
Gundam Venkatesami <i>v.</i> Chinnam Purushottama	5 Mad., 466	1717, 5259
Gunda Reddi Narayana Reddi <i>v.</i> Kristna Doss Bala } Mukunda Doss,	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 87	3586

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gundo Anandray v. Krishnarav Govind	4 Bom., A. C., 55 . . .	
Gundo Mahadev v. Rambhat bin Bhaubhat	1 Bom., 39 . . .	
Gundo Shiddheshvar v. Mardan Saheb	10 Bom., 419 . . .	
Gunee Biswas v. Sree Gopal Paul Chowdhry	8 W. R., 395 . . .	
Gunee Mahomed v. Baharoollah	13 W. R., 240 . . .	
Gunesh Chunder Gangooly. In the matter of	13 W. R., 456 . . .	
Gunesh Chunder Shah v. Ram Dhunee Dossee	22 W. R., 283 . . .	
Gunesh Doss v. Gondour Koormi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 147 : 12 L. R., 418. . . .	533
Gunesh Dutt v. Bulwunt Singh	14 W. R., 175 . . .	
— v. Lall Muttee Kooer	17 W. R., 11 . . .	
Gunesh Dutt Singh v. Moheshur Singh	6 Moore's I. A., 164 . . .	
— v. Mungreeram Chowdhry	19 W. R., 186 . . .	
— v. Munguy Ram Chowdhry	21 W. R., 288 . . .	
Gunesh v. Chotay Lal	3 N. W., 117 . . .	
Gunesh Lal v. Zaraut Ali	2 N. W., 343 . . .	
Gunesh Lal v. Tekum Kooer	5 N. W., 78 . . .	
Gunesh Kooer v. Oomdutoonnissa Begum	6 N. W., 77 . . .	
Gunesh Lal Tewaree v. Bindoo Bashinee	24 W. R., 85 . . .	
Gunesh Persad v. Wilson	W. R., 1864, Act X, 86 . . .	
Gunesh Pershad. In re	3 N. W., 200 . . .	
— v. Jykishun	1 N. W., 134 : Ed. 1873, 218 . . .	
Gunesh Ram Surmah v. Rohinee Dassee	14 W. R., 236 . . .	
Guneshur Singh v. Doorga Dutt	15 W. R., P. C., 37 . . .	
Gunga Bae v. Hogg	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 124 . . .	
Gunga Baksh v. Wali Baksh	7 N. W., 169 . . .	
Gunga Bishen v. Ram Gutti Rai	2 Agra, 49 . . .	
Gungabishen Bhugut v. Roghoonath Ojha	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 381 : 9 C. } L. R., 34. . . .	5039
Gunga Bishen Chund v. Dhiraj Mahtab Chand Bahadur. . . .	12 B. L. R., 506, note : 10 W. } R., 224. . . .	3523
Gunga Bishen Sahu. In re	6 B. L. R., 334, note . . .	
Gunga Bishun Tewarry v. Roy Mohun Lal Mitter	W. R., 1864, 291 . . .	
Gunga Churn Dhur v. Kulinga Pa Setee	12 W. R., 422 . . .	
Gungadass Dey v. Ramjoy Dey	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 30 . . .	
Gunga Deen v. Luchmun Pershad	1 N. W., 147 : Ed. 1873, 229 . . .	
Gunga Deen Chowdhry v. Hur Sahai Singh	3 Agra, 261 . . .	
Gungadeen Misser v. Kheeroo Mundul	14 B. L. R., 170 : 22 W. R., 449 . . .	
Gunga Deen Rawut v. Modhoo Sudun	3 Agra, 4 . . .	
Gungadur Chatterjee v. Soorjo Nauth Chatterjee	15 W. R., 446 . . .	
Gungadur Dass Rai v. Jagannath Prasad Gupta	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 375 . . .	
Gungadur Roy v. Wooma Soonderee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 672 : 7 W. R., 347 : 2 Ind. Jur., -- S., 120. . . .	2781
Gungadur Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 9 . . .	5495
Gungadur Seal v. Raddamoney Dossee	6 Moore's I. A., 209 . . .	4631
Gunga Dhur Shikdar v. Ayimuddin Shah Biswas	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 960 . . .	3004
Gungadur Singh v. Bimola Dossee	5 W. R., Act X, 37 . . .	4150
Gungadur Sircar. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 14 . . .	150
Gungadur Sannaputty v. Hera Loll Seal	Marsh., 60 . . .	5777
Gunga Din v. Khushali	I. L. R., 7 All., 702 . . .	446

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gunga Doss Dutt v. Ramnarain Ghose . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 6252 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 111: 7 W. R., 183.	2890
Gungagobind Bose v. Dhunnee . . .	1 W. R., 60 . . .	2203
Gunga Gobind Gooptoo v. Makhun Lall Hattee . . .	9 W. R., 362 . . .	838, 4523
Gungagobind Mundul v. Ashootosh Dhur . . .	21 W. R., 256 . . .	1140
_____ v. Bonomalee Paul . . .	14 W. R., 54 . . .	2648
_____ v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	7 W. R., P. C., 21: 11 } Moore's I. A., 345.	299, 300 3446, 4196
Gungagobind Roy v. Kala Chand Surma Gangooly	20 W. R., 455 . . .	535, 537 5077
Gunga Gobind Sen v. Gobind Chunder Dass . . .	11 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 19 W. R., 347.	548
Gunga Gobind Singh v. Joy Gopaul Panda . . .	10 W. R., 165 . . .	1455
Gunga Gotti Pal v. Ram Sunder Dutt . . .	8 C. L. R., 157 . . .	451
Gunga Hurry Dhokey v. Tripp . . .	1 W. R., 31 . . .	5075
Gungahurry Nundee v. Raghubram Nundee . . .	14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 131.	6031, 6143
Gungamala Chowdhrair v. Madhub Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 413 . . .	4129
Gungamonee v. Lutefoonissa Chowdhrair . . .	7 W. R., 196 . . .	5347
Gungamonee Chowdhrair v. Gopal Chunder Roy	19 W. R., 214 . . .	166
Gunga Monce Dossee v. Issur Chunder Shaha . . .	17 W. R., 465 . . .	5781
Gungamoyee Dossee v. Shib Sunkur Bhuttacharjee	3 C. L. R., 430 . . .	3482
Gunga Narain Behutta v. Collector of Midnapore	6 W. R., 47 . . .	5431
Gunga Narain Bose v. Cornell . . .	10 W. R., 442 . . .	97
Gunga Narain Chatterjee v. Radhakrishna Dutt . . .	25 W. R., 322 . . .	4759
Gunga Narain Chowdhry v. Kofa Pali . . .	11 W. R., 377 . . .	1603
_____ v. Radhika Mohun Roy	21 W. R., 115 . . .	47, 1747
Gunganarain Dass v. Panchanunee Dassee	W. R., 1864, 163 . . .	4980
Gunga Narain Doss v. Sib Chunder Sen . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 409 . . .	1031
Gunga Narain Ghose v. Ram Chand Bose . . .	12 B. L. R., 48: 20 W. R., 311.	169
Gunga Narain Gupta v. Annada Moyee Burrooanee	12 C. L. R., 404 . . .	1895
Gunga Narain Mitter v. Gour Soonder Chowdry . . .	15 W. R., Cr., 85 . . .	4490
Gunganarain Moytro v. Gudadhur Chowdhry . . .	13 W. R., 434 . . .	5676
Gunganarain Paul v. Umesh Chunder Bose . . .	W. R., 1864, 277 . . .	2233
Gunganarain Roy v. Gonomoonee . . .	8 W. R., 181 . . .	5132
Gunga Narain Sen v. Hurish Chunder Changdars	6 C. L. R., 336 . . .	3952
Gunga Narain Sircar v. Azeezoonissa Beehee . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 18 . . .	239
Gunga Narayan Chowdhry v. Phul Mohamed Sirkar	2 B. L. R., Ap., 45 . . .	3495
Gungapersad v. Agra and Masterman's Bank . . .	5 B. L. R., 340, note . . .	5139
_____ v. Gogun Singh . . .	1 L. R., 3 Cal., 322 . . .	4795
Gungapersaud Singh v. Ramloll Singh . . .	Marsh., 185: W. R., F. B., 59: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 118: } 1 Hay, 452.	1662
Gungapershad v. Ajoodhia Pershad . . .	Agra, F. B., 31: Ed. 1874, 23.	4591
_____ v. Buldeo Singh . . .	3 Agra, 310 . . .	4996
_____ v. Inderjit Singh . . .	23 W. R., 390 . . .	1729
_____ v. Mahomed Kootob Alum . . .	2 Agra, 27 . . .	3282
_____ v. Phool Singh . . .	10 B. L. R., 368, note: 10 } W. R., 106.	2077
_____ v. Rampershad . . .	Agra, F. B., 31: Ed. 1874, 23.	4591
_____ v. Ramphal Sahoo . . .	20 W. R., 177 . . .	3770
Gunga Pershad Bhoomick v. Debi Sundari Dabea	1 L. R., 11 Cal., 227 . . .	3504
Gunga Pershad Dutt v. Lokenath Nundee . . .	12 W. R., 179 . . .	4129

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gungapershad Kur <i>v.</i> Shumbhoonath Burman . . .	22 W. R., 393 . . .	2489
Gungapershad Roy <i>v.</i> Enayet Zahera . . .	16 W. R., 251 . . .	3985
Gunga Pershad Sahoo <i>v.</i> Wooma Koower . . .	18 W. R., 326 . . .	3562
Gunga Pershad Singh <i>v.</i> Gunga Koonwer . . .	2 Agra, Pt. II, 210 . . .	1744
Gunga Prasad <i>v.</i> Gajadar Prasad . . .	1 L. R., 2 All., 651 . . .	3842
_____ <i>v.</i> Sheodyal Singh . . .	5 C. L. R., 224 . . .	2173
Gungaprosad <i>v.</i> Ajudhya Pershad Singh . . .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 131: 9 C. L. R., 417. . .	2175
Gunga Rai <i>v.</i> Sakeena Begum . . .	5 N. W., 72 . . .	3871, 5779
Gungaram <i>v.</i> Gunga Dhur . . .	1 Agra, 198 . . .	4331
_____ <i>v.</i> Hoolasee . . .	2 N. W., 88 . . .	3771
_____ <i>v.</i> Kheni Narain Pooree . . .	11 W. R., 250 . . .	4973
_____ <i>v.</i> Moola . . .	2 N. W., 200 . . .	4542
_____ <i>v.</i> Ujoodhyaram Myte . . .	2 W. R., 158 . . .	1583
Gungaram Chowdry <i>v.</i> Huree Nath Chowdry . . .	15 W. R., 436 . . .	3374
Gungaram Dutt <i>v.</i> Chowdhry Junnajooy Mullick . . .	1 C. L. R., 144 . . .	4858, 6173
Gungaram Ghose Sirdar <i>v.</i> Kalipodo Ghose . . .	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 661 . . .	4832
Gungaram Sadhookhan <i>v.</i> Panch Cowree Poramanick . . .	25 W. R., 366 . . .	4444, 4987
Gunga Ram Sirdar <i>v.</i> Biressur Banerjee . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 32 . . .	3010
Gungaram Sirkar <i>v.</i> Sreenath Banerjee . . .	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 915: 6 C. L. R., 16. . .	1184
Gungoo Mull <i>v.</i> Bunseedhur . . .	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 79: Ed. 1873, 170. . .	2366
Gungowa Kome Málupa <i>v.</i> Erawa Kome Jogapa . . .	13 Moore's I. A., 433 . . .	4632
Guni Khan <i>v.</i> Koonjo Behary Seiu . . .	3 C. L. R., 414 . . .	822
Guni Mahomed <i>v.</i> Moran . . .	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 96: 2 C. L. R., 371. . .	1185, 1187
Gunnes Chunder Hazra <i>v.</i> Rampria Debea . . .	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 53 . . .	1439, 1630
Gunnesh Dutt Singh <i>v.</i> Mugneeram Chowdhry . . .	11 B. L. R., 321: 17 W. R., 283. . .	3769, 6247
Gunnesh Pattro <i>v.</i> Ram Nidhee Koondoo . . .	22 W. R., 361 . . .	2793
Gunno Singh <i>v.</i> Muddun Mohun Singh . . .	W. R., 1864, 26 . . .	447
Gunoo Singh <i>v.</i> Latafut Hossain . . .	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 336: 1 C. L. R., 91. . .	3893
Gunowree Bhooea. In re . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 70 . . .	1154
Gunput Narain Singh. In the matter of . . .	1 L. R., 1 Calc., 74 . . .	2607, 5805
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajun Kocer . . .	24 W. R., 207 . . .	2607, 5805
Gunput Roy <i>v.</i> Ram Deour Roy . . .	21 W. R., 416 . . .	300
Gunput Singh <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad . . .	2 Agra, 230 . . .	2509
Gunsun Doss <i>v.</i> Mooltan Mull . . .	2 N. W., 192 . . .	3845
Guntham Doss <i>v.</i> Mooltan Mull . . .	2 N. W., 229 . . .	166
Gupikishen Goswami <i>v.</i> Brindabun Chandra Sirkar Chowdhry. . .	3 B. L. R., P. C., 37: 12 W. R., P. C., 36: 13 Moore's I. A., 37. . .	3199, 3202
Gupinath Roy <i>v.</i> Dinabandhu Nandi . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 62 . . .	1913
Gupinath Singh <i>v.</i> Sheo Sahai Singh . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 72: 1 W. R., 315. . .	3918
Guracharya <i>v.</i> Collector of Belgaum . . .	1 L. R., 8 Bom., 529 . . .	3180
_____ <i>v.</i> Svaminraya Charya . . .	1 L. R., 3 Bom., 431 . . .	688
Guravi <i>v.</i> Guravi . . .	3 Bom., A. C., 170 . . .	2365
Gur Dayal. In the matter of the petition of . . .	1 L. R., 2 All., 205 . . .	5522
_____ <i>v.</i> Kaunsila . . .	1 L. R., 5 All., 367 . . .	2436
Gurdial Mal <i>v.</i> Jauhri Mal . . .	1 L. R., 7 All., 820 . . .	3996, 4781
Gurdial Mundar <i>v.</i> Teknarayan Singh . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 166: 2 W. R., 215. . .	3716

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gurdi Misree v. Maheswar Buksh Singh . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13 : Marsh., 527 : W. R., F. R., 116. }	210
Gureeb Doss v. Mungul Doss	14 W. R., 383	675
Gureeboollah v. Syefoollah	7 W. R., 41	544, 5734
Gureeboollah Khan v. Kebul Lall Mitter . . .	13 W. R., 124	3696, 3713
Gureebullah Sirkar v. Mohun Lall Shaha . . .	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 127 : 8 C. L. R., 409. }	573, 5873
Gurivi Chetty v. Aiyappa Naidu	2 Mad., 329	3350
Gurivi Reddi v. Chinnamma	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 93	2512
Gur Parshad v. Umrao Singh	7 N. W., 218	2951, 4463
Gur Sahai v. Ram Dial	7 N. W., 91	5429
Guru Churn Chuckerbutty v. Kali Kissen Tagore	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 402	2073, 3857
Guru Churn Sen v. Kalinath Dass Biswas . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 62	1294
Gurudas Akhuli v. Gobin Naik	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 492 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 421 6 { W. R., Mis., 98. }	3506, 3526
Gurudas Bose. In re	7 B. L. R., Ap., 23	2651
Gurudas Dey v. Sambhunath Chuckerbutty . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 258	1845, 5759
Gurudas Dhar v. Bijaya Gobind Baral	1 B. L. R., A. C., 108 : 10 W. R., 71. }	1165
Gurudas Nag v. Matilal Nag	6 B. L. R., Ap., 16 : 14 W. R., 468 }	1416
Gurudas Pyne v. Ram Narain Sahu	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 860 : L. R., 11 I. A., 59. }	3357
Gurudas Rai v. Stephens	13 B. L. R., Ap., 44 : 21 W. R., 195. }	2695
Guru Gobind Shaha Mandal v. Anand Lal Ghose Ma- zumdar. {	5 B. L. R., 15 : 13 W. R., F. B., 49. }	2293
Gurumurti Nayudu v. Pappa Nayudu	1 Mad., 164	1767, 5142 5782
Gurunath Nilkanth v. Krishnaji	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 462	884, 2193
Gurupadapa Basapa v. Virbhadrappa Irasangupa .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 459	3130, 3133 3470, 3471
Gurupadava v. Putapa	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 599	688
Gurupathappa v. Narasingappa	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 174	376
Guru Pershad Singh v. Gossain Munraj Puri . .	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 733	3853
Guruprasad Roy v. Dhanpat Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 46 : 14 W. R., 20. }	4853, 6150
_____ v. Nafardas Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 121 : 11 W. R., 497. }	2268, 2494
Guru Prasad Sahu v. Binda Bibi	9 B. L. R., 180 : 16 W. R., 279. }	438
Guruprashad Roy v. Ras Mohun Mukhopadhyia .	1 C. L. R., 431	1485, 4900
Gurusami Chetti v. Sadasiva Chetti	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 37	1116, 2170
_____ v. Samurta Chinna Mannar Chetti . .	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 37	2116, 2170
Gurusami Sastrial v. Ganapathia Pillai	1. L. R., 5 M., 337	4157, 5798
Gurusamy Aiyan v. Swaminadha Aiyan	2 Mad., 450	6124
Gurushidgavda bin Rudragavda v. Rudragavdati kom Dyamangavda. }	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 531	4388
Guthrie v. Abul Mazaffar	7 B. L. R., 630 : 15 W. R., P. C., 50 : 14 Moore's I A., 53. }	1559, 4654
_____ v. Lister	6 W. R., P. C., 59 : 11 Moore's I. A., 129. }	1031
Guttee Koiburto v. Bhukut Koiburto	22 W. R., 457	1732
Guz Behary Singh v. Washun	W. R., 1864, 302	3157

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Guzerat Spinning and Weaving Company <i>v.</i> Girdarlal Dalpatram.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 425 . . .	924
Guzeree Lall. In the matter of the petition of . . .	13 W. R., 393.	5925
Gya Din <i>v.</i> Raj Bansi Kuar	I. L. R., 3 All., 191	2183
Gyamonee <i>v.</i> Radha Romon	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 592	212
Gyan Chund <i>v.</i> Jawahur	2 N. W., 83	634
Gyan Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Protap Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 208: 8 C. L. R., 267.	1957, 5509
Gyan Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Kalee Churn Roy Chowdhry.	7 W. R., 48	3185
Gyan Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Durga Churn Sen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 318: 8 C. L. R., 415.	335, 4308
Gyaneé Ram <i>v.</i> Palee Ram	2 N. W., 73.	1216, 2573
Gyanendro Chunder Lahiri <i>v.</i> Kalapahar Haji	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 50: 11 C. L. R., 297.	2136
Gyanendro Nath Roy <i>v.</i> Lobongomunjuri Dabi	11 C. L. R., 198	2480
Gyan Singh <i>v.</i> Peetum Singh	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 73 } Ed. 1873, 165.	2053
Gya Persad <i>alias</i> Lal Persad <i>v.</i> Heet Narain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 93	3401
Gyaram Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Buroda Dabee	20 W. R., 484	3906
Gyaram Dutt <i>v.</i> Gooroochurn Chatterjee	2 W. R., Act X, 59	1614
Gyaram Mundul <i>v.</i> Gyaram Naik	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22: } Marsh., 28: 1 Hay, 65.	4167
Gyaram Seal <i>v.</i> Issur Uhunder Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 158	4274, 5778
Gyasooddeen Hyder <i>v.</i> Fatima Begum	1 Agra, 238	3683

H

Habeeboollah <i>v.</i> Gouhur Ally Khan	18 W. R., 523	3633, 6245
Habeel Beparee <i>v.</i> Choalmun Mah	10 W. R., 263	4043
Habela Sircar <i>v.</i> Doorgakant Mozoomdar	11 W. R., 456	4865
Habib Sobhan <i>v.</i> Mahendra Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., Ap., 32: 11 W. R., 129.	5933
Habibullah <i>v.</i> Achaibar Pandey	I. L. R., 4 All., 145	3226
— <i>v.</i> Kunji Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 447	2874
— <i>v.</i> Nakched Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 447	4768, 4769
— <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 937	4834
Habib-un-nissa <i>v.</i> Barkat Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 275	1979
Hachun Banoo <i>v.</i> Abdul Ilakim	19 W. R., 321	3719
Hadayet Aly <i>v.</i> Lall Singh	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 38: Ed. 1873, 96.	292
Hadoo Sirdar <i>v.</i> Jadoo Monee Dossæ	17 W. R., 46	5198
Hafeez-oor-rahman <i>v.</i> Khadim Hossein	4 N. W., 106	5618
Hafiza <i>v.</i> Azhur Hossein	13 W. R., 323	3736
Hafiz Abdul Kurrim <i>v.</i> Sri Kissen Rai	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 139	302
Hafiz Ahmad <i>v.</i> Sobha Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 488	301, 5769
Hafiz Ameer Ahmed <i>v.</i> Hafiz Nuzal Ali	I. Agra, 46	6093
Hafizunnessa Khatun <i>v.</i> Bhyrab Chunder Dass	13 C. L. R., 214	3128
Hafiz-un-nissa <i>v.</i> Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 116	3194
Haidar Ali. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 520	5297
Haidri Bai <i>v.</i> East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 1 All., 687	5540
Haim Raj <i>v.</i> Ram Bux	1 Agra, 115	5537
Haimun Chull Singh <i>v.</i> Gunsheam Singh	5 W. R., P. C., 69	2810
Haines <i>v.</i> East India Company	4 W. R., P. C., 99: 6 Moore's } I. A., 467.	2119, 6002
		1668

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hait Ram <i>v.</i> Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 609	6053, 6137
Hait Singh <i>v.</i> Dabee Singh	2 N. W., 308	2347
Hajarimal <i>v.</i> Krishnarav	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 647	1522
Hajee Ali <i>v.</i> Ali Nukee	12 W. R., 240	1478
Haji <i>v.</i> Atharaman	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 512	3254, 5480
Hajon Manick <i>v.</i> Bursingh	I. L. R., 11 Cal., 17	2000
Hajra Begum <i>v.</i> Hossein Ali Khan	{ 4 B. L. R., A. C., 16: 12 W. R., 498.	3096, 3671
Hakeem Meah <i>v.</i> Beejoy Patnee	22 W. R., 8	6137
Hakeemmoonissa <i>v.</i> Buldeo	3 Agra, 309	4438
Hakim Khan <i>v.</i> Gool Khan	{ I. L. R., 8 Cal., 823: 10 C. L. R., 603.	3697
Hakma Manji <i>v.</i> Meman Ayab Haji	7 Bom., O. C., 19	2498, 2719
Haladhar Dey <i>v.</i> Ambika Charan Bose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 80	5898
Hala Khoory Bibee <i>v.</i> Basoo Koshye	17 W. R., 512	5682
Haldane. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 77	5652
Halford <i>v.</i> East Indian Railway Company	12 B. L. R., Ap., 19	6274
Halimbhai Karimbhai <i>v.</i> Shanker Sai	14 B. L. R., 1	4706
Halimoozzunah <i>v.</i> Municipal Commissioners of Hooghly	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 381	362
Hall <i>v.</i> Joakim	13 W. R., 340	2783
Halodhar Shaha <i>v.</i> Harogobind Das Koiburto	12 B. L. R., 34	5720
Haloo <i>v.</i> Atwaro	I. L. R., 12 Cal., 105	827
Ham <i>v.</i> Bengal Eastern Railway Co.	7 W. R., 81	5729
Hamabai <i>v.</i> Bamanji Nasarvanji	2 Hyde, 228	3791
Hamed Bux <i>v.</i> Bindrabun	7 Bom., A. C., 64	4665
Hameeda Bibee <i>v.</i> Noor Bibee	2 N. W., 37	631, 4825
Hameedollah. In the matter of the petition of	9 W. R., 394	686, 1487
— <i>v.</i> Genda Lall	{ I. L. R., 6 Cal., 499: 7 C. L. R., 471.	5675
— <i>v.</i> Mahomed Asghur Hossein	17 W. R., 171	
Hameedoonissa <i>v.</i> Anundmoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 6 Cal., 499: 7 C. L. R., 471.	
Hameer Mahomed Chowdry <i>v.</i> Fool Mahomed Chowdry	W. R., F. B., 25	1362
Hamida <i>alias</i> Kajoo <i>v.</i> Bhudhun	16 W. R., 311	5752
Hamid Alee <i>v.</i> Affeeooddeen	20 W. R., 238	1872
Hamid Ali <i>v.</i> Imtiazan	{ 1 B. L. R., S. N., 14: 10 W. R., 213.	330, 2932
Hamid Hossein <i>v.</i> Gervain	I. L. R., 2 All., 71	3647
Hamidoodeen <i>v.</i> Bhadoo Sahae	15 W. R., 496	5282
Hamidoodeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., 345	340
Hamidoolla <i>v.</i> Faizunnissa	24 W. R., Cr., 37	5530
Hamilton <i>v.</i> Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 8 Cal., 327: 10 C. L. R., 291.	3647
— <i>v.</i> Rughoonundun Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 456	6107
Hamir Singh <i>v.</i> Zakia	20 W. R., 70	1172
Haninabalu Sannappa <i>v.</i> Cook	I. L. R., 1 All., 57	3641
Hanlon <i>v.</i> India Branch Railway Company	6 Mad., 346	133
Hanmantmal Motichand <i>v.</i> Rambabai	1 Hyde, 197	5584
Hanmantram Sadhuram <i>v.</i> Bowles	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 198	3220, 3221
Hanmantrav Khanderav <i>v.</i> Bhavanrav Bajirav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 561	3179, 3313
Hanmantrav Pandurang Joglekar <i>v.</i> Subaji Girmaji	10 Bom., 299	4387
Hanooman Pershad <i>v.</i> Surubjeet Singh	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 257	3463
"Hanover." In the matter of the	4 N. W., 167	3413
	Bourke, Ad., 15	5645

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hansji Chhiba v. Valabh Chhiba	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 297 .	3365, 3369
Hansraj Malji. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411 .	3370
Hanuman Dat v. Assadullah	7 N. W., 145	2660
Hanuman Dutt Roy v. Kishen Kishor Narayan Singh	8 B. L. R., 358	1708
Hanuman Kamat v. Dowlut Mundar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 528 .	2387
Hanuman Parshad v. Kaulesar Pandey	I. L. R., 1 All., 301 . .	2177
_____ v. Ramjug Singh	6 N. W., 371	1616
Hanuman Prasad v. Ajodhya Prasad	1 B. L. R., F. B., 7 : 10 W. R., F. B., 5.	1616 214
Hanuman Rai v. Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 917 . .	4537
Hanuman Singh v. Nanak Chand	I. L. R., 6 All., 193 . .	2184
Hanumantamma v. Rami Reddi	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 272 . .	2217
Hanumantha v. Hanumayya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 232 . .	801
Hanuman Tiwari v. Chirai	I. L. R., 2 All., 164 . .	2130
Hanumayya v. Roupell	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 64 . .	3601
Hara Chand Poramanick v. Krishto Monee Giree	1 W. R., 298	6231
Haradhan Dat v. Radhanath Shaha	2 B. L. R., S. N., 14 : 10 W. R., 398.	354
Haradhone Shamunto v. Goluck Chunder Shamunto	25 W. R., 79	5451
Haradhun Chuckerbutty v. Protap Narain Chowdhry	14 W. R., 401	756
Haradhun Dutt v. Joykisto Banerjee	11 W. R., 444	3818
Haradhun Gossamee v. Ram Newaz Missry . .	17 W. R., 414	1183
Haradhun Mookerjee v. Chunder Mohun Roy .	Marsh., 205 : W. R., F. B., 66 : 1 Hay, 577.	5115
Haradhun Mudduck v. Dinobundhoo Mojoomdar .	25 W. R., 319	3032
Haradhun Nag v. Issur Chunder Bose	6 W. R., 222	1415, 3397
Haradhun Sandyal v. Rash Monee Dassia . .	2 W. R., Mis., 21	2694
Harajai Kumara Venkata Perumalraj v. Kannappah	4 Mad., 149	3588, 4053
Harak Narain Singh v. Luchmi Bux Roy . . .	5 C. L. R., 287	4497
Haramohini Chowdhraiv. Dhanmani Chowdhraiv.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 138 : 10 W. R., 62.	3824
Haranath Gupta v. Jagannath Roy Chowdhry .	9 B. L. R., 89, note : 11 W. R., 87.	5330
Haran Chandra Mookerjee v. Nandogopal Muttylall .	13 B. L. R., 142 : 22 W. R., 71.	4256, 5284
Haran Chandra Pal v. Mukta Sundari Chowdhraiv.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 81 : 10 W. R., 113.	5176, 5199
Haran Chunder Bhooree v. Russick Chunder Neogy	20 W. R., 63	5768, 5836
Haran Chunder Ghose v. Dinobundhoo Bose . .	14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R., 187.	3920, 5018
_____ v. Gooroo Churn Sircar	10 W. R., 421	1588
Haran Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 63	4739
Haran Mandal. In re	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1 : 10 W. R., Cr., 31.	1969
Haran Sheikh v. Ramdhun Biswas	24 W. R., Cr., 21	5912
Haranund Dutt v. Ram Dhun Sein	W. R., 1864, Act X, 122 .	2888
Haranund Mozoomdar v. Prosunno Chunder Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 763 : 12 C. L. R., 556.	4035
Haraprasad Roy Chowdhry v. Digamber Mitter .	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15 . . .	1355
Harasatoollah v. Brojonath Ghose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 724 : 1 C. L. R., 517.	4960, 5373
Harasundari Baistabi v. Jayadurga Baistabi . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 36 : 13 W. R., 112.	3851, 4053
Harasundari Dasi v. Kistomani Chowdhraiv.	5 B. L. R., Ap., 37 : 13 W. R., 257.	5314
Hara Sundari Debi v. Dukhinessur Malia . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 250 . .	181, 1000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Harbhai v. Gumani	I. L. R., 2 All., 493	6054
Harbhat bin Ram Chandrabhat. In the matter of the petition of	4 Bom., A. C., 67	4387
Harbuns Sahai v. Bhairo Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 259 : 4 C. L. R., 23. }	162, 5471
Hardai Narain v. Haruck Dhari Singh	12 C. L. R., 104	2177
Hardatrai Shrikisandas v. Victoria Finance and Bullion Association.	3 Bom., O. C., 60	763
Har Dayal v. Chadami Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 194	1904
Hardayal Mandal v. Tirthanand Thakur	4 B. L. R., Ap., 28 : 13 W. R., 34. }	5934
Hardeo. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 All., 139	5148
Hardeo Bux v. Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522 : L. R., 4 I. A., 178. }	270, 4221
Hardeo Das v. Hukam Singh	L. R., 6 I. A., 161	4222
Hardeo Das v. Zaman Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 320	1495
Hardial v. Doorga Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 639	5979
Hardi Narain Sahu v. Ruder Perakash Misser	I. L. R., 6 All., 105	5514
Haree Bundhoo Santra. In re	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 626 : L. R., 11 I. A., 26. }	2400, 3853
Harender Kishore Singh v. Administrator General of Bengal.	5 W. R., Cr., 55	5886
Harendra Narayan. In the goods of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 357	559, 1211
Hargobind Das v. Baroda Prasad Das	4 B. L. R., O. C., 41	3347
Hargobind Kuari v. Dharam Singh	6 B. L. R., 614 : 15 W. R., 112	48, 2190
Hargopal Das v. Ram Golam Sahi	I. L. R., 6 All., 329	2885
Hargopal Premsukdas v. Abdul Khan Haji Muhammad Hargovan v. Hira Haribhai	5 B. L. R., 135 : 13 W. R., 381. }	2417
Hari v. Lakshman	9 Bom., 429	4317, 5368
— v. Maruti	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 301	2803, 3301
Haribhai v. Ardesir Ukadji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 614	1886
Hari Bhanji v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 741	1520, 3925
Haribhat v. Damodarbhata	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 229, note	4012
Hari Bhikaji v. Naro Vishvanath	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 344	3370
Hari bin Joti v. Narayan Acharya	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 171	416
Haridas v. Ghansham Narain	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 432	5219
Haridas Baisakh v. Moazam Hossein	6 Bom., A. C., 23	2306
Haridas Purshotam v. Gamble	I. L. R., 6 All., 286	5968
Haridas Sanyal v. Pran Nath Sanyal	I. L. R., 8 B. L. R., Ap., 16 : 15 W. R., 447. }	1584, 3043
Hari Dhangar v. Biru Darsa	8 B. L. R., 447. }	4081, 4165
Hari Govind Joshi v. Ram Chandra Pandurang Joshi	12 Bom., 23	909, 6231
Harihara v. Subramanya	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 566	1206, 1578
Harihar Dat v. Sheo Prasad	9 Bom., A. C., 135	2019, 2686
Harihar Mukhopadhyaya v. Madhab Chandra Babu	9 Bom., 64	4904
Harihar Pershad Narain Singh v. Buddu Pershad	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 259	2456, 4306
Harika Ramayyar v. Sindu Tirtasami	I. L. R., 7 All., 41	1846
Hari Kishore Dutt v. Collector of Dacca	8 B. L. R., 566 : 20 W. R., 459 : 14 Moore's I. A., 152. }	5374
Harilal Amthabhai v. Abhesing Meru	13 C. L. R., 254	5699
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 61	3722
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 116	
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 323	4202, 5106
		5118
		5088
		35
		409, 5455

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hari Mahadaji v. Balambhat Raghunath . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 233 .	2500, 3381 3954
Hari Mahadaji Joshi v. Vasudev Moreshtar Joshi	2 Bom., 344: 2nd Ed. 325	3126, 3157
Hari Mohan Bysak v. Krishna Mohan Bysak .	9 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 17 W. R., 442.	2575
Harimohan Malo. In the matter of . . .	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 20: 10 W. R., Cr., 5.	4103
Hari Mohan Sirkar v. Moncrieff . . .	9 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 15 W R., 464, note.	4926
Hari Mohun Thakur v. Kissen Sundari . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 52 .	4146, 4508
Hari Narayan Brahme v. Ganpatrav Daji . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 272 .	4307, 5042
Harinarayan Maiti v. Ajodhya Ram Shi . . .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 17: 10 W. R., 308.	3272
Harinath Das v. Asmut Ali . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 118: 15 W. R., 171.	532
Hari Prasad Jha v. Maddan Mohan Thakur .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 72: 17 W. R., 217.	4308
Hari Ram v. Denaput Singh . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 167: 11 C. L R., 339.	6157
— v. Jitan Ram . . .	3 E. L. R., A. C., 426: 12 W. R., 378.	3849, 3850
— v. Raj Coomar Opadhya . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 759 .	4194
Hariram Birbhan. In re . . .	11 Bom., 170 .	4738
Hari Ramchandra v. Mahadaji Vishnu . . .	8 Bom., A. C., 50 .	3941, 4827
— v. Vishnu Krishnaji . . .	10 Bom., 204 .	3180
Hari Ravji Chiplunkar v. Shapurji Hormasji Shet	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 461 .	3932, 6100
Hari Sadashiv Dikshit v. Bapubulvant . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 78 .	840
Harischandana Deva v. Ramanna Chandri . . .	1 Mad., 355 .	5103
Haris Chandra Dutt v. Jagadamba Dasi . . .	8 B. L. R., 190, note: 16 W. R., 61.	3185
Haris Chandra Gupto v. Shashi Mala Gupti .	6 B. L. R., 721: 15 W. R., 163.	901, 5932
Harish Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Tarachand Shaha	2 B. L. R., Ap., 4 .	1761
Hari Singh v. Baldeo Singh . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 905 .	5668
— v. Danish Mahomed . . .	20 W. R., Cr., 46 .	1535
— v. Empress . . .	3 C. L. R., 49 .	6069
Hari Trimbak v. Abasaheb . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 321 .	5675
Harivalabdas Kallindas v. Utamchand Manekchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 1 .	382
Harivallabhdas Kallindas v. Utamchand Manikchand	7 Bom., O. C., 172 .	1049, 5296 6184
	8 Bom., O. C., 135 .	5297, 5580
	8 Bom., O. C., 236 .	5296, 5580
	5 Bom., A. C., 85 .	2991
	6 Bom., A. C., 181 .	202
	11 Bom., 23 .	1631
Hari Vasudev v. Mahajani Appaji	I. L. R., 7 All., 118 .	3714
Hari Vishnu v. Gopal bin Rajji	I. L. R., 8 All., 256 .	4083
Hari Yemaji v. Parshram Gundo	7 B. L. R., 102: 16 W. R., O. C., 16; and on appeal, 7. B. L. R., 535: 16 W. R., O. C., 16.	2808, 4620
Harjas v. Kanhya . . .	4 Bom., A. C., 31 .	2261
— v. Radha Kishan . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 155 .	4773, 4774 4819
Harjiban Das v. Bhagwan Das	3 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 12 W. R., 6.	5634
Harjivan Anandram v. Naran Haribhai . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 191 .	3297, 3378
Harkisandas Narandas v. Bai Ichha . . .		
Harlal Tewari v. Collector of Bhaugulpore .		
Harmukhgaury v. Harisukhprasad . . .		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Harnamgir Guru Dhanpatgir v. Spiers	2 Bom., 213; 2nd Ed., 204	4821
Harnath v. Haree Singh	4 N. W., 116	1147
Haro Chand Poramanick v. Krishto Mohun Giree	1 W. R., 297	228
Haro Chunder Turkochooramonee v. Issur Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 296	2751
Haro Das v. Gobind Bhattacharjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 123	5194
Harodyal Bose v. Kristo Gobind Sein	17 W. R., 70	5811
Haro Jemadar v. Jadub Chunder Holdar	3 W. R., Mis., 24	5472
Haromohun Mookerjee v. Shoonoyanee Mohunt Thakoo-raney. }	Marsh., 469; 2 Hay, 591	236
Haronarayan v. Manik Singh	7 R. L. R., Ap., 61	1767
Haroo Chowdhry v. Joyessur Nundee	6 W. R., Act X, 46	4149
Haro Sanker Sandyal v. Tarak Chandra Bhattacharjee {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 114; 11 W. R., 488. }	1895, 5619
Harpal Singh v. Bal Gobind	I. L. R., 7 All., 586	5181, 5184
Har Prasad v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 196	3934
_____ v. Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 345	3449, 5957
Harrak Singh v. Tulsi Ram Sahu	5 B. L. R., 47; 13 W. R., 216. }	1610, 1613
Harrendro Coomar Chowdhry v. Taramoni Chowdhraim	7 C. L. R., 272	3096
Harrington v. Gonesh Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 440	4689
Harris v. Britain	15 W. R., 534	3179
_____ v. Harris	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2103
_____ v. Koylas Chunder Bandopadia	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2588
Harrison v. Delhi and London Bank	I. L. R., 4 All., 437	2588
_____ v. Hope	9 B. L. R., Ap., 43	1132, 3359
Har Sahai v. Chunni Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 14	4263, 4326
Har Sahai Mal v. Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 294	3199, 5588
Harsarau Singh v. Muhammad Raza	I. L. R., 4 All., 91	4481, 4846
Har Shankar Parshad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 178	5083
Harsukh v. Meghraj	I. L. R., 2 All., 345	5967
Hart v. Buskin	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	1933
_____ v. Tara Prasanna Mukherji	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 718	1481
_____ v. Thomas	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4704
Haru. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 18	5429
Harvey v. Brice	4 W. R., Cr., 26	4705
Hasam Ibrahim v. Mancharam Kaliandas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 137	971
Hasam Kasam v. Goma Jadavji	5 Bom., O. C., 140	4491, 4504
Hasan Ali v. Mehdi Husain	I. L. R., 1 All., 533	5008
_____ v. Maherban	I. L. R., 2 All., 625	1381
Hasha v. Ragho	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165	2237, 3644
Hashim v. Aujest Singh	W. R., 1864, 217	3690
Hashum Ali v. Ramdharee Singh	7 W. R., 82	3730
Hasmat Rai v. Sunder Das	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 396	6140
Hasonbhoy Visram v. Clapham	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 51	3963
Hasoon Arra Begum v. Jawadoonnissa Satooda Khan-dan. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 29	4017
Hassaji v. East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 388	2178
Hassan Ali v. Naga Mal	I. L. R., 1 All., 283	734
Hassan Allee v. Budderooddeen	1 W. R., 141	3917, 5417
Hassanbhoy Visram. Exparte	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 323	3343
Hassan Raza Khan. In the matter of the petition of	7 N. W., 211	2134
Hassonbhoy v. Cowasji Jehangir	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 1	2883
Hassun Aly v. Naib Ahmed	11 W. R., 146	4287
Hastie. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 451	4749
Hastings. In re	9 Bom., 154	1042
		4955
		433, 2652
		6183

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hathi Ram <i>v.</i> Gobind Ram	3 Agra, 131	4126, 4264
Hathising Sobhai <i>v.</i> Kuverji Javher	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 105	4839
Hatim <i>v.</i> Empress	12 C. L. R., 86	1810
Hattee Lall Bhuggut <i>v.</i> Radha Muddun Mohun Doss {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927 : 9 W. R., 223. }	211
Havildar Roy. In the matter of the petition of {	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 96 : 11 C. L. R., 423. }	258
_____ <i>v.</i> Jagu Mean {	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 96 : 11 C. L. R., 423. }	258
Hawabi <i>v.</i> Ibrahim Sali Bhay Dapti	5 B. L. R., 305	4743
Hawah Bee <i>v.</i> Ibrahim Salee Bhoy Duplee	13 W. R., 393	4743
Hay <i>v.</i> Gordon {	10 B. L. R., 301 : 18 W. R., 480 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 106. }	1552, 3351 4655
Hayatka <i>v.</i> Abdulakha	6 Bom., A. C., 151	5613
Hayatunnissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Asirooddeen	18 W. R., 304	5683
Hazara Begum <i>v.</i> Collector of Burdwan	23 W. R., 378	4391
Hazari <i>v.</i> Chundi Churn Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., Cr., 72	4533
_____ <i>v.</i> Chunni Lal	1. L. R., 8 All., 259	4617
Hazari Khan <i>v.</i> Ramdhone Chaki	7 C. L. R., 345	1877
Hazari Lal <i>v.</i> Jadaun Singh	1. L. R., 5 All., 76	705, 3325
_____ <i>v.</i> Kheru Rai	1. L. R., 3 All., 576	5961
Hazari Mull Nahatta <i>v.</i> Sobagh Mull Duddha	9 B. L. R., 1	2578
Hazari Ram <i>v.</i> Shankar Dial	1. L. R., 3 All., 770	5213
Hazi Arjun Mullick <i>v.</i> Farutulia	9 C. L. R., 295	488
Hazir Gazi <i>v.</i> Sonamonee Dassee {	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 31 : 6 C. L. R., 516. }	5017
Hazra Begum <i>v.</i> Hossein Ali Khan	5 B. L. R., 341, note	5139
Hearn <i>v.</i> Bapu Saju Naikin	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 505	3316
Hearsay <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	6 N. W., 47	2817
Hearsey <i>v.</i> Girdharee Lal	3 N. W., 338	3751
Heckford <i>v.</i> Galstin	Cor., 134 : 2 Hyde, 274	3102
Heerachand Banerjee <i>v.</i> Bancee Madhub Chatterjee	10 W. R., 164	5750
Heera Dass <i>v.</i> Hurmoo Singh {	1 N. W., Part II, p. 17 : Ed. 1873, 77. }	5983
Heera Kooree <i>v.</i> Noor Ali	3 Agra, Rev., 1	534
Heera Lal <i>v.</i> Rudhoul	2 N. W., 85	2860
Heera Lal <i>v.</i> Bishen Suhaye	1 W. R., 297	5617
_____ <i>v.</i> Kousillah	2 Agra, 42	2428
_____ <i>v.</i> Moorut Lal	11 W. R., 275	3727
Heeralall Banerjee <i>v.</i> Buroda Kant Roy	13 W. R., 467	4957
Heeralall Bukshee <i>v.</i> Rajkishore Mozoomdar {	W. R., F. B., 58 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 81 : 1 Hay, 449. }	4934
Heera Lal Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bistoo Lal Chowdhry	22 W. R., 288	5780
_____ <i>v.</i> Janokeenath Mookerjee	16 W. R., 222	5361
Heeralall Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Ghosaul	1 Hyde, 105	287
Heera Lal Ghose <i>v.</i> Kaledass Mookerjee	23 W. R., 65	5760
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Taruck Dey	23 W. R., 323	5136
Heera Lal Kooer <i>v.</i> Purmessur Kooer	15 W. R., 401	5279, 6072
Heera Lal Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Roy Dhunput Singh	24 W. R., 282	844
Heera Lal Mookhopadhy <i>v.</i> Dhunput Singh {	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 500 : 3 C. L. R., 554. }	1109
Heera Lal Pal <i>v.</i> Neel Monee Pal	20 W. R., 383	3041
Heera Lal Poramanic <i>v.</i> Barikunnissa Bibee {	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 561 : 1 C. L. R., 596. }	4203
Heera Lal Roy <i>v.</i> Bidyadhur Roy	21 W. R., 343	2344

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Heeralall Rukhit <i>v.</i> Ram Surun Lall .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 835	2680
Heera Lall Samunt <i>v.</i> Oozeer Ali .	21 W. R., 347	4611
Heera Lall Seal <i>v.</i> Carapiet .	13 W. R., 431	11, 5535
— <i>v.</i> Joheer Mollah .	20 W. R., 273	1733
Heera Lall Thakoor <i>v.</i> Gridharee Lall	8 W. R., 450	3816
Heera Lal Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Digumburee Kuloonee	14 W. R., 431	4946
Heera Loll Saha <i>v.</i> Jadub Chunder Chenchkey	Cor., 66	4524
Heeraloll Seal <i>v.</i> Gungadhur Senaputty .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 8 : W. R., F. B., 19 : Marsh., 60 : 1 Hay, 229.	1627, 5777
Heera Loll Seal <i>v.</i> Poran Matteah .	6 W. R., Act X, 84 .	572
Heera Loll Shaha <i>v.</i> Jadub Chunder Chenchkey	Cor., 119 .	4154, 4469
Heeramonee <i>v.</i> Gunganarain Roy .	10 W. R., 384 .	3040
Heeramonee Brahminee <i>v.</i> Nuffaree Brahminee	1 Hay, 292	2284
Heera Monee Dabee <i>v.</i> Koonj Behary Holdar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8 : 2 W. R., 207.	5106
Heera Monee Debia <i>v.</i> Lokenath Mundul .	2 W. R., 135 .	4206
Heeramonee Dossee <i>v.</i> Promothonath Ghose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 233 : 8 W. R., 32.	1998
Heeramun Roy <i>v.</i> Jhuboo Singh .	22 W. R., 511 .	571
— <i>v.</i> Tahoor Enam .	7 W. R., 109 .	769
Heera Nath <i>v.</i> Shumshere Sabeo .	1 N. W., 14 .	1743
Heeranath Kooeree <i>v.</i> Burm Narain Singh .	15 W. R., 375 : 9 B. L. R., 274.	1724
Heeranund Sahoo <i>v.</i> Khubeeroonissa .	15 W. R., 516 .	5287
— <i>v.</i> Ozeerun	6 W. R., 151 .	3376
— <i>v.</i> .	9 W. R., 102 .	3377
Heeraram <i>v.</i> Deo Narain Singh .	Agra, F. B., 63 : Ed. 1874, 48	6284
Heeraram Bhattacharjee <i>v.</i> Ashruf Ali .	9 W. R., 103 .	1209, 4152
Heera Rawut <i>v.</i> Racha Rawut .	22 W. R., 115 .	5078
Heera Singh <i>v.</i> Akbur Ali .	24 W. R., 382 .	549
— <i>v.</i> Burzar Singh .	1 Agra, 256 .	2138
— <i>v.</i> Ragho Nath Suhai .	3 Agra, 30 .	3955, 6125
Heeroo <i>v.</i> Dhoree .	2 N. W., 129 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 204.	5181
Heerun <i>v.</i> Mariun .	14 W. R., 87 .	2702, 3290
Heirs of Husen Beg Bai <i>v.</i> Akubai .	2 Bom., 357 : 2nd Ed., 337	3291
Heit Singh <i>v.</i> Thakoor Singh .	4 N. W., 57	1032
Helalooddeen <i>v.</i> Chowdhry Abdool Suttar .	9 W. R., 351	76
Hema Kooree <i>v.</i> Ajoodhya Pershad .	24 W. R., 474	4815
Hemalee <i>v.</i> Kumla Kant Banerjee .	16 W. R., 133	2420, 5684
Hemanginee Dossee <i>v.</i> Jogendro Narain Roy	12 W. R., 236	2929
Hemangini Dasi <i>v.</i> Nobin Chand Ghose .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 788 : 11 C. L. R., 370.	484
— <i>v.</i> Ramnidhi Kundu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10 : 10 W. R., 158.	3170
Hema Pandey <i>v.</i> Gujadhur Roy .	24 W. R., 108 .	777
Hemayetoollah Chowdry <i>v.</i> Nil Kanth Mullick .	17 W. R., 139 .	4172
Hem Chand Kuher <i>v.</i> Vohora Raji Haji .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 515 .	1187, 2386
Hem Chunder <i>v.</i> Ahmed Reza .	Marsh., 332 : 2 Hay, 429	3208
Hem Chunder Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 162 .	317
Hem Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brojosoondury Debee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 89 : 10 C. L. R., 272.	1610, 1630
Hem Chunder Chunder <i>v.</i> Prankristo Chunder .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 403 .	3515, 3516
Hem Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Radha Pershad Paleet .	23 W. R., 440 .	418
		3052

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hem Chunder Soor v. Kally Churn Dass . . . {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 528 : 12 C. } L. R., 287.	1826
Hem Chundra Chowdhari v. Chand Akund . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 115 . . .	5195
Hemendro Coomar Mullick v. Rajendro Lal Moonshee {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 353 : 1 C. L. } R., 488.	1116
Hemlota Dabee. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 226 . . .	6196
Hem Lotta v. Sreedhone Borooa	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 771 . . .	2887
Hemmomoyee Dayee v. Watson & Co.	14 W. R., 297	764
Hem Nath Dutt v. Ashgur Sindur	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 894	5195
Hem Nauth Bose. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 111	2274, 3746
Hempammal v. Hanuman	2 Mad., 472	3306
Hemraj Chowdhry v. Asoodun	5 W. R., Mis., 43	5620
Hendry v. Mutty Lal Dhur	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 395	3641, 5406
Hera Roy v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh . . .	24 W. R., 286	5772
Her Chund Singh v. Ram Singh	1 Agra, 286	6033
Herrikosima v. Stephenson	1 Agra, 293	4905
Hethan Singh v. Lokraj Singh	3 W. R., 184	3982
_____ v. Nurkoo Singh	3 W. R., 184	3982
Het Lal Roy. In the matter of the petition of . . .	22 W. R., Cr., 75	1264
Het Narain Singh v. Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree .	23 W. R., 256	5472
_____ v. Modnarain Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 51 : 7 Moore's } I. A., 311.	995
_____ v. Ramdein Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 871 : 12 C. } L. R., 590.	2499
_____ v. Rampershad Singh	7 C. L. R., 404	5090
Hewson. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 770 : 4 C. L. } R., 42.	3088
Heysham v. Bholanath Mullick	11 B. L. R., 230 : 17 W. R., 221 . . .	2949
Hicks v. Hicks	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 756	1550
Hidaitoonnissa v. Afzul Hossein	2 N. W., 420	114, 367 3667
Hidayetoollah v. Inderjeet Tewareo	2 Agra, 282	1178, 1181 1188
Hidayut Ali v. Maeraj Begum	3 N. W., 202	3142
Hidayut-oollah v. Dookhchore Rai	2 Agra, 204	996
_____ v. Rai Jan Khanum	3 Moore's I. A., 295	3702
Hiddut Ali v. Kobeemalla Meeajee	6 W. R., Act X, 9	2928
High Court's Criminal Procedure Act (X of 1875). In the matter of. {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891 : 11 C. L. } R., 357.	561
Hill. Ex parte	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254 : 10 C. L. } R., 33.	5847
_____ v. Khowaj Sheikh Mundul	Marsh., 554 : 2 Hay, 663	1582
Hills v. Aradhun Mundul	10 W. R., 389	1171
_____ v. Besharuth Meer	1 W. R., 10	1618
_____ v. Budu Khan	12 W. R., 533	1374
_____ v. Clark	14 B. L. R., 367 : 23 W. R., 63 . . .	2814
_____ v. Huro Lal Sen	3 W. R., Act X, 135	1617
_____ v. Ishore Ghose	Marsh., 151 : 1 Hay, 350	1618, 5787
_____ v. _____	W. R., F. B., 131	1649, 5193
_____ v. Jendar Mundul	1 W. R., 3	4147
_____ v. Magistrate of Nuddea	11 W. R., 1	3203, 3271
_____ v. Osman Biswas	25 W. R., 35	4905
_____ v. Panch Courree Sheikh	1 W. R., 3	1633
_____ v. Shokhee Monee Dossee	10 W. R., 59	12, 5217
_____ v. Sreehuree Roy	7 W. R., 155	3626
_____ v. Wooma Moyee Burmonee	15 W. R., 545	2988
Himalaya Bank v. Hurst	I. L. R., 3 All., 710	5419

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Himalaya Bank v. Simla Bank	I. L. R., 8 All., 23	4838
Himayat Hussain v. Jai Devi	I. L. R., 5 All., 589	1873
Himmat Bahadur. In the matter of the petition of {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 429 : } 5 W. R., 91.	244
Himmat Lal v. Shivajirav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 593	5240
Himmat Singh v. Sewa Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 157	4768
Himmatsingh Becharsing v. Ganpatsing	12 Bom., 94	2419
Himmat Ali Khadim v. Nyamutoollah Khadim	23 W. R., 250	5754, 5765
Himmat Bahadoor v. Shahebzadi Begum	14 W. R., 125	3637, 3693
— v. Solano	17 W. R., 428	3700
— v. Sooneet Koer	15 W. R., 549	1916
Himmat Bahadur v. Shahebzadi Begum	13 B. L. R., 182 : 21 W. R., } 113 : L. R., 1 I. A., 23.	2063, 5102
Himmat Singh v. Collector of Bijnour	2 Agra, 258	3637
Himnauth Bose. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 111	3279, 5630
Himunchull v. Maharaj Singh	1 Agra, 210	6188
Hinde v. Baudry	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 13	2274, 3746
— v. Ponnath Brayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 52	2291, 2303
Hinde & Co. v. Ponnath Brayan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 359	3102
Hinganghat Mill Company v. Rekchand	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 310	892
Hingan Khan v. Ganga Pershad	I. L. R., 1 All., 293	4967
Hingun Lall v. Debee Pershad	24 W. R., 42	5859
Hingun Sowdagur v. Boistum Churn Ojah	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 5	1485
Hippolite v. Stuart	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522	3290, 3291
Hira v. Kallu	I. L. R., 7 All., 916	1376, 5696
— v. Unas Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 827	3788, 6035
Hirabai v. Garbai	12 Bom., 294	3707
Hira Chand v. Abdal	I. L. R., 1 All., 455	4539
Hira Chand Babaji v. Bhaskar Ababhat Shende	2 Bom., 198	2226
Hira Chand Banerjee v. Shama Charan Chatterjee {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 351 : 12 } W. R., 275.	4041
Hirada Basappa v. Gadigi Muddapa	6 Mad., 142	3942
Hira Dai v. Hira Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 538	2855, 2897
Hirada Karibasappah v. Gadigi Muddappa	6 Mad., 197	4215
Hiralal v. Badri Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 792	3318
— v. Bhairon	I. L. R., 5 All., 602	199, 766
— v. Datadin	I. L. R., 4 All., 135	342, 3301
— v. Ganesh Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 415	3474
— v.	I. L. R., 4 All., 406	1168
— v. Karim-un-nisa	I. L. R., 2 All., 780	1772
— v. Ramjas	I. L. R., 6 All., 57	4075
Hiralal Chatterjee v. Gourmoney Debi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 326	1779
Hiralal Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 100	5488, 5489
Hiralal Mullick v. Matilal Mullick	5 B. L. R., 682	4548
Hira Lal Pal v. Hills	11 C. L. R., 528	830
Hiralal Seal v. Carapiet	9 B. L. R., Ap., 17	4946
— v. Schiller	7 B. L. R., Ap., 91	4859
Hiranath Koer v. Ram Narayan Singh	9 B. L. R., 274 : 17 W. R., 316	1738
Hiran Miya. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 21	5981
Hira Singh v. Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 6 All., 322 : L. R., } 11 I. A., 20.	2665
— v. Makund Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 406	2328, 3096
Hirbai v. Gorbai	12 Bom., 294	1016
— v. Jan Mahomed Khalakdina	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229	365
Hirdey Behee v. Besheshur Pershad	2 Agra, Pt. II, 175	4080
		3087
		6050
		3253

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hirdhamun Jha v. Jinghoor Jha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 711	239, 5726
Hirdy Narain v. Allaoollah	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 72: 2 C. L. R., 580. }	3970
Hirji Jina v. Narran Mulji	12 Bom., 129	1475, 1489 3092
Hisam-ood-deen Khan v. Khaleefa Unwar-ool-lah	2 N. W., 400	5246
Hobeebo Sobair v. Hossein Ali	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 14	1766, 4754
Hodgson v. Rupchand Hazarimul	6 Bom., O. C., 39	1730
Hoffman v. Framjee	Cor., 7	910
Hogg v. Denonath Koondoo	11 W. R., 566	4184
— v. Dinonath Sreemanee	8 W. R., 447	3833
— v. Greenway	2 Hyde, 3	1945, 6220
— v. ———	Cor., 97: Bourke, A. O. C., 111	6201, 6220
Holee Ram Doss v. Mihee Ram Gogooee	6 W. R., 39	3147
Hollick, In the matter of	2. B. L. R., A. C., 109: 10 W. R., 447. }	421
Holloway v. Asman Roy	10 W. R., 325	5078
— v. Holloway and Campbell	I. L. R., 5 All., 71	1548
— v. Muddun Mohun Lall	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 446: 10 C. L. R., 381. }	1163
— v. Wahid Ali	12 B. L. R., 191, note: 16 W. R., 140. }	1165
Holmes. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 99	1339
Homabhaec v. Punjea Bhaec Dosabhaec	5 W. R., P. C., 102	2147, 4242
Honama v. Timannabhat	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 559	2427
Hong-Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation v. Baker	6 Bom., O. C., 71	597, 656
— v. ———	7 Bom., O. C., 186	656
Honooman Dutt Roy v. Bhagbut Kishen	15 W. R., F. B., 6	2387
Honooman Singh v. Fell	3 Agra, 148	295
Hooba Khan v. Munsub Ali	3 N. W., 37	5188
Hookum Bebee v. Mahomed Moosa Khan	6 W. R., Mis., 13	3832
Hoolash Koer v. Kasseer Proshad	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 369	87, 2452
Hoolas Kooeree v. Sufeehun	8 W. R., 379	241, 3911
Hoolas Koonwar v. Man Singh	3 Agra, 37	2457
Hoolassee Ram v. Pursotum Lol	3 N. W., 63: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 250. }	3040
Hoorul Munder v. Hurruck Dutt Khowas	22 W. R., 429	1626
Hootaboo Ravah v. Loom Ravah	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 440, note: 7 C. L. R., 221. }	524, 2857
Hori Dasi Dabi v. Secretary of State for India .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77. }	6207, 6208
Horidas Mal v. Mahomed Jaki	I. L. R., 11 Calc. 434	1995
Horil Pattuck v. Bhowaneeram	15 B. L. R., 273, note: 21 W. R., 308. }	3175
Hori Narain Ghose v. Administrator General of Bengal	3 C. L. R., 446	3322
Horish Chunder Roy v. Broj Soondur Mozoomdar	6 W. R., 16	896
Hormasji. Ex parte	5 Bom., O. C., 64	637, 2833
Hormasji Cursetji Ashburner v. Bomanji Cursetji Ashburner. }	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 250	4522
Hormasji Dorabji Vania v. Burjorji Jamsetji Vania	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Hormasji Karsetji v. Pedder	12 Bom., 199	620, 2619
Hormasji Sorabji v. Bholagir Guru Manjir	6 Bom., A. C., 80	52
Hormasji Temulji v. Mankuvarbai	12 Bom., 262	459, 1199 6138
Horokishen Banerjee v. Joykishen Mookerjee . .	1 W. R., 299	8
Horomohun Mookerjee v. Ram Coomar Mitter . .	1 W. R., 225	5311
Horro Narain v. Shoodha Kristo Berah	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 890: 4 C. L. R., 32. }	3019, 6279

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Horro Soondery Chowdhrair. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20 : 3 C. L. R., 93.	4236
Hossain Buksh Putooah v. Baroo Beparee	5 W. R., 49	248
Hossaini Bibi v. Peri Khanun	1 B. L. R., O. C., 45	6227
Hossain Ibrahim bin Johar v. Muttu Loll	Cor., 5 : 2 Hyde, 107	2685
Hossain Khan v. Dinnobundhoo Pundah	1 W. R., 35	3121
Hossein v. Bakir Ali	W. R., 1864, 302	2837, 5650
—— v. Dickenson	2 B. L. R., S. N., 3	5687
Hosseina v. Jhamun Singh	25 W. R., 323	3797
Hosseina Bibi v. Smith	13 B. L. R., 440 : 22 W. R., 15.	5616
Hossein Ali. In the matter of	13 W. R., 295	572, 3192
—— v. Hazara Begum	12 W. R., 344	3671
Hossein Ali Khan v. Burkut Ali	10 W. R., 372	5210
Hossein Ally v. Donzelle	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 906 : 6 C. L. R., 239.	568
Hossein Aly v. Ashotosh Gangooly	3 C. L. R., 30	1889
Hossein Beg. v. Jeewa Ram	5 N. W., 139	5272
Hossein Buksh v. Ameena Khatoon	16 W. R., 280	2772
	20 W. R., 231	3446
v. Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 96 : 6 C. R., 521.	1266, 1854
v. Musund Hossein	18 W. R., 260	5242
v. Tussuduck Hossein	21 W. R., 245	3197
Hossein Buksh Khan v. Roy Dhunput Sing	18 W. R., 289	5359
Hosseinee v. Dickinson	9 W. R., 112	5687
Hosseinee Jan v. Mukhdoomun	2 W. R., 44	1780
Hosseinee Khanum v. Lallun	W. R., 1864, 117	3241, 3721
—— v. Rubia Khanum	5 W. R., Act X, 14	539
—— v. Tijun Lall	14 W. R., 293	1500, 3729
Hosseini Begum. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 434	3687
Hosseinooddeen Chowdhry v. Tajunnissa Khatoon	W. R., 1864, 199	3653
Hothoor Laloong v. Hindoo Singh Mouz	10 W. R., Cr., 49	960
Hoti Lall v. Hardeo	I. L. R., 5 All., 212	205, 669
Hovenden v. Akbar Ali	L. R., 1 I. A., 76	1567, 6033
Howard v. Nicoll	1 Bom., Ap., 85	3101
—— v. Wilson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 231 : 2 C. L. R., 488.	370, 3090
Howard Brothers. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 254	3093
	13 B. L. R., Ap., 9	2656
Howrah Docking Co. v. "Jean Louis," The	Cor., 113 : 2 Hyde, 255	2668
Hoymobutty Debia Chowdhrair v. Koroona Moyee	8 W. R., 41	2834
Debia Chowdhrair.		415
Hoymobutty Dossee v. Sreekissen Nundy	14 W. R., 58	288, 470
Hubeebool Hossein v. Allender	14 W. R., 44	426, 3042
—— v. Land Mortgage Bank	14 W. R., 44	463, 5477
Hubeeboollah Khan v. Gowher Aly Khan	7 W. R., 225	1871
Hubeebul Hossein v. Muneeram	24 W. R., 357	4267
Hubeebunnissa v. Cox	W. R., 1864, 266	6154
Hubibul Hossein v. Mahomed Reza	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 192 : 10 C. L. R., 385.	1228, 4430
Hub Lal v. Kanhia Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 365	5456
Hub Lal Chowdhry v. Nittyanund Singh	12 C. L. R., 393	6153
Hublal Sukul v. Ram Goti Dey Roy	11 C. L. R., 581	4512
Hufazuttoolah Chowdry v. Humeedbur Rohman	6 W. R., Mis., 123	5535
Hughes v. Hughes	16 W. R., 250	2586

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hughes v. Municipal Commissioners of Howrah	19 W. R., 339	506
— v. Secretary of State for India	7 B. L. R., 688	3792
Hukeem-oon-nisa v. Bhooria	5 N. W., 23	5192
Hukm Singh v. Zanki Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 506	5056
Hukumchand v. Hiralal	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 159	1829
Hukum Chand Tekaram v. Bhugvantra	1 Bom., 94	3130
Hulasi v. Maiku	I. L. R., 5 All., 236	3487
— v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 455	1473, 3721
Hulkhory Lall v. Sheo Churn Lall	24 W. R., 109	2515
Hulodhur Bangal v. Hogg	1 W. R., 189	632
Hulodhur Mookerjee v. Ram Nath Mookerjee	Marsh., 35 : 1 Hay, 71	2453
Hulodhur Roy Chowdry v. Juddonath Mookerjee	14 W. R., 162	1919
Hulodhur Santal v. Gonesh Santal	6 W. R., 60	178
Hulodhur Sen v. Gooroodass Roy	20 W. R., 126	1159, 1177
— v. Seetul Chunder Bhoomick	23 W. R., 85	6114
Hulse v. Luchmun Dass	1 Agra, Mis., 1	5483
Humeeda v. Budlun	17 W. R., 525	3659
Humeeda alias Khajoo v. Amatoool Mehdee Begum	17 W. R., 106	4653, 6097
Humeed-ool-lah Khan v. Pran Sookh	3 Agra, 280	1588, 5631
Humutoollah Chowdhry v. Heerun	13 W. R., 62	179
Hunooman Chowbay v. Bindhoo Toraba	10 W. R., 336	4298, 5984
Hunooman Doss v. Koomeroonissa Begum	W. R., F. B., 40 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 42.	1204, 2981
— v. Shamachurn Bhutta	1 Hay, 426	1984
Hunooman Doss alias Nonnah Baboo v. Bipro Churn Roy.	20 W. R., 132	5331
Hunooman Persaud Pandey v. Mundraj Koonweree	6 Moore's I. A., 393 : 18 W. R., 81, note.	1500, 2083 2740, 4017
Hunoomanpersaud Sahoo v. Kaleepersaud Sahoo	W. R., 1864, 285	4005
Hunooman Singh v. Crowdie	23 W. R., 428	1162
— v. Suddolall	W. R., 1864, 232	243
Hunsa Kooer v. Sheogobind Raoot	24 W. R., 431	1735, 5753
Hunsbutti Kerain v. Ishri Dut Koer	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 512 : 4 C. L. R., 511.	1406, 2191
Hunsraj Singh v. Choka Singh	7 W. R., 211	3706
— v. Rash Beharee Singh	7 W. R., 211	3706
Hunter. In the matter of the will of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420	6215
Hunt Mounet & Co. In re	1 Bom., 251	2658, 2670
Hurbans Sahye v. Thakoor Purshad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 209	4287
Hurbullubh Narain Singh v. Genda Maharaj	12 B. L. R., 473, note : 20 W. R., 257.	5143
Hurbuns Lall v. Sheo Narain Singh	21 W. R., 391	2722
Hurbuns Sahai v. Bhairso Pershad	4 C. L. R., 23	3468
Hurbuns Sahay. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 108 : 13 C. L. R., 285.	162, 5472
— v. Thakoor Persad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 108 : 13 C. L. R., 285.	3092
Hurchunder Ghose v. Wooma Soonduree Dossee	23 W. R., 170	303, 4767
Hurchunder Gine. Ex parte	14 W. R., 52	897
Hurchundra Kulal v. Jafer Ali	20 W. R., Cr., 72	6192
Hurchund Singh v. Roopa Kooer	4 N. W., 171	5117
Hurchurn Doss v. Hazareemull	1 Ind. Jur., S. O., 12	370, 4404
Hurchurun Lal v. Toorab Khan	2 N. W., 176	3824
Hurdei Beebee v. Surjoo Pershad	6 N. W., 309	202
Hurdeo v. Guneshee Lall	1 Agra, 36	4257

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurdeo Narain Sahoo <i>v.</i> Gridharee Singh . . .	19 W. R., 227 . . .	5479
Hurdeo Narain Sahu <i>v.</i> Gridhari Singh . . .	13 B. L. R., 103 . . .	267
Hurdeo Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Fuzla Hossein . . .	1 W. R., 270 . . .	3906
Hurdwar Singh <i>v.</i> Luchmun Singh . . .	3 Agra, 41 . . .	2444
Hur Dyal Nag <i>v.</i> Roy Kristo Bhoomick . . .	24 W. R., 107 . . .	4153
Hurdyal Oopudhya <i>v.</i> Mahomed Naeem . . .	1 N. W., Part II, p. 19: Ed. } 1873, 79. . .	1640, 6016
Hurdyal Singh <i>v.</i> Heera Lall . . .	16 W. R., 107 . . .	143, 3714
— <i>v.</i> Kunhya Lall . . .	19 W. R., 247 . . .	5770
Huree Bungsho Banerjee <i>v.</i> Ramessur Banerjee . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 38 . . .	3479
Hureebuns Burhal <i>v.</i> Joykishen Mookerjee . . .	6 W. R., 92 . . .	2972
Huree Chund <i>v.</i> Brij Komar Singh . . .	1 Agra, Rev., 35 . . .	5257
Huree Churn Bose <i>v.</i> Meharcounissa Bibee . . .	7 W. R., 318 . . .	5319
Hureedyal Goocho Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Pal . . .	17 W. R., 387 . . .	1169
Hureehur Mahtoon. In the matter of . . .	14 W. R., 167 . . .	1224
Hureehur Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Churn Majhee . . .	22 W. R., 355 . . .	1782
Hureehur Mookerjee. In the matter of . . .	20 W. R., 202 . . .	900, 5934
— <i>v.</i> Biressur Banerjee . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 17 . . .	5186
— <i>v.</i> Jodoonath Ghose . . .	7 W. R., 114 . . .	5194, 5202
— <i>v.</i> Judoonath Ghose . . .	10 W. R., 153 . . .	5749
— <i>v.</i> Mollah Abdoolbur . . .	17 W. R., 209 . . .	3334, 3833
— <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Doss . . .	20 W. R., 202 . . .	900, 5922
— <i>v.</i> Rajkishen Mookerjee . . .	23 W. R., 236 . . .	5934
— <i>v.</i> Teencowree Dossee . . .	6 W. R., 170 . . .	2270
Hureehur Pershad Doss Puhraj <i>v.</i> Gocoolanund Doss } Mohapattur. . .	17 W. R., 129 . . .	3368
Hureehur Singh <i>v.</i> Dabee Sahoy . . .	W. R., 1864, 260 . . .	2415
Huree Kishen <i>v.</i> Asmedh Koonwar . . .	W. R., 1864, 57 . . .	3960
— <i>v.</i> Nursing Narain . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 63 . . .	3314
Hureekishen Roy <i>v.</i> Shaikh Baboo . . .	1 W. R., 5 . . .	2885
Huree Kishore Ghose <i>v.</i> Komodinee Kant Banerjee . . .	10 W. R., 41 . . .	1609
Huree Kishore Roy <i>v.</i> Hur Kishore Adhikaree . . .	28 W. R., 134 . . .	547
— <i>v.</i> Kaleekishore Sen . . .	8 W. R., 114 . . .	3337, 5616
— <i>v.</i> Muthoora Mohun Roy . . .	17 W. R., 445 . . .	207, 1450
Huree Madhub Lahiree <i>v.</i> Hem Chunder Gossamee . . .	22 W. R., 522 . . .	1454
Huree Mohun Ghosaul <i>v.</i> Government . . .	2 N. W., 226 . . .	1580
Huree Mohun Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Asgur Beharee . . .	23 W. R., 324 . . .	3277
Huree Mohun Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Gora Chand Mitter . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 25 . . .	5751
Huree Mohun Mullick <i>v.</i> Kalinath Roy . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 15 . . .	3000
Huree Mohun Poddar <i>v.</i> Gureeboollah Mullick . . .	22 W. R., 417 . . .	4729
Huree Narain Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Wooma Churn Mookerjee . . .	19 W. R., 169 . . .	4192
Hureenarain Gossain <i>v.</i> Shumbhoonath Mundul . . .	1 W. R., 6 . . .	5316
Huree Narain Roy <i>v.</i> Doorga Churn Deghooia . . .	17 W. R., 449 . . .	2058, 2059
Huree Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Beljeet Jha . . .	24 W. R., 125 . . .	4905
Hureenath Koondoo <i>v.</i> Modhoo Soodun Saha . . .	19 W. R., 122 . . .	4210
Huree Nath Shaha <i>v.</i> Issen Chunder Shaha . . .	24 W. R., 316 . . .	3808
Huree Pandey <i>v.</i> Bassoo . . .	11 W. R., 257 . . .	219
Huree Persad Malee <i>v.</i> Koonjo Behary Shaha . . .	W. R., F. B., 29: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 20: Marsh., 99: 1 Hay, 238. . .	4911
Huree Pershad Mundul <i>v.</i> Nundkishore Singh . . .	17 W. R., 479 . . .	307
Huree Ram <i>v.</i> Hur Pershad Singh . . .	20 W. R., 80 . . .	2792, 2915
Huree Ram <i>v.</i> Hur Pershad Singh . . .	20 W. R., 397 . . .	5682
Huree Sunkur Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Jogendro Coomar Mookerjee . . .	19 W. R., 66 . . .	5140
— <i>v.</i> — . . .	22 W. R., 220 . . .	5410
		5410
		3779
		3779

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurendro Narain Singh Chowdhry. In the matter of } the petition of.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 762 . . .	4492
Hurendur Kishore v. Kadernath Mitter . . .	10 W. R., 188 . . .	4210
Hur Gobind v. Hurkho . . .	1 Agra, 116 . . .	5773
Hurbur Singh v. Ooma Kooer . . .	16 W. R., 93 . . .	4167
Huridas Nandi v. Jadunath Dutt . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 14 W. R., 79 . . .	5282
Huri Moti Moddock v. Denonath Malo . . .	19 W. R., Cr., 47 . . .	5995
Huri Pershad. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 60 . . .	261, 5151
Huri Ram v. Bhikaree Roy . . .	25 W. R., 20 . . .	4199
Huris Chundra Das v. Bolai Audhicaree . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 75 . . .	4626, 5994
Hurish Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Nufur Chunder Kooer	9 W. R., 461 . . .	2352
Hurish Chunder Chowdhry v. Chunder Monee Debia . . .	24 W. R., 268 . . .	5504
_____ v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry . . .	18 W. R., 528 . . .	1586
Hurish Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Hurree Bewah . . .	20 W. R., 16 . . .	582
Hurish Chunder Dam v. Gungadthur Bhuddro . . .	25 W. R., 181 . . .	5180
Hurish Chunder Dass v. Goureepershad Chatterjee . . .	16 W. R., 163 . . .	2352
Hurish Chunder Koondoo v. Bamakalee Debia . . .	5 W. R., 194 . . .	1374
_____ v. Mohinee Mohun Mitter . . .	9 W. R., 582 . . .	2982
Hurish Chunder Mitter. In the matter of the petition } of.	10 B. L. R., 79: 18 W. R., 209 . . .	1574
Hurish Chunder Mookerjee v. Mokhoda Debia . . .	17 W. R., 565 . . .	2352
Hurish Chunder Mullick v. Prosuno Coomar Banerjee . . .	22 W. R., 303 . . .	135, 1786
Hurish Chunder Paul v. Radha Nath Sein . . .	11 W. R., 328 . . .	139
Hurish Chunder Roy v. Poorna Soonduree Debee . . .	18 W. R., 36 . . .	329, 4939
_____ v. Purna Soonduree Debia . . .	18 W. R., 125 . . .	4939
_____ v. Shonashee Dalal . . .	14 W. R., 466 . . .	540
Hurish Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Sree Kalee Mookerjee	22 W. R., 274 . . .	3008
Hurish Chunder Shaha v. Hurish Chunder Paul . . .	25 W. R., 325 . . .	4895
Hurish Chunder Sircar v. Azimooddeen Shaha . . .	W. R., F. B., 180 . . .	5276
Hurish Chunder Surma v. Brojonath Chuckerbutty . . .	13 W. R., 96 . . .	3340, 5245
Hurish Chunder Talaputtar v. O'Brien . . .	14 W. R., 248 . . .	5692, 5709
Hurivallab Das Kallian Das v. Uttam Chand Manik } Chand.	8 Bom., O. C., 236 . . .	2105
Hurjivan Virji v. Jamsetji Nowroji . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 63 . . .	4818
Hurkhoo Singh v. Ram Kishen . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 44 . . .	5977
Hurkishor Das Bhooya v. Joogul Kishor Saha Roy } }	12 B. L. R., 293, note: 16 W. } R., 281. }	1181
Hur Kishore Audhicary v. Sudoy Chunder Nundee . . .	17 W. R., 80 . . .	1908, 5922
Hurkishore Malo v. Bharoti Jelyani . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 61 . . .	3738
Hurkoo Singh v. Ram Kishen . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 44 . . .	3540
Hur Lall v. Manickipal . . .	3 N. W., 176 . . .	4012
Hurlal Roy v. Sooruj Narain Roy . . .	3 W. R., 7 . . .	5984
Hurnungal Singh v. Athul Singh . . .	4 N. W., 15 . . .	5690, 5745
Hurmutjan Bibi v. Podma Lochun Doss . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 33 . . .	2954
Hurmut-ool-nissa Begum v. Allahdia Khan . . .	17 W. R., P. C., 108 . . .	3694
Hurmuzi Begum v. Hirdaynarain . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 921: 6 C. } L. R., 133. }	3377
Hur Narain v. Shiam Soonder . . .	1 N. W., 211: Ed. 1873, 264: } Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 188 }	2918
	3 N. W., 112 . . .	2919
Hurnath Chatterjee v. Futtick Chunder Sumadar . . .	18 W. R., 512 . . .	167, 3458
Hurnath De Khashkil v. Joygopal De Sarkar . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 75 . . .	974, 4626
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v. Gobind Chunder Moitree . . .	15 W. R., 178 . . .	6103
_____ v. Gobind Chunder Moitree . . .	17 W. R., 255 . . .	5769
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v. Kishen Coomar Chowdhry . . .	5 W. R., 27 . . .	68
Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	2 W. R., 254 . . .	194
Huro Chunder Goho v. Dunn . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 55 . . .	5192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Huro Chunder Roy v. Monee Mohinee Dossee	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6	3200
_____ v. Surnamoyi	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 266	3155
Huro Churn Narain Singh v. Roochee Dobey	6 W. R., Act X, 30	555
Huro Doss v. Gobind Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 304	5194
Hurodoss Dostedar v. Huro Pria	21 W. R., 30	5020
Hurodoot Narain Singh v. Beer Narain Singh	11 W. R., 480	2388
Huro Gobind Bhukut v. Degumbree Debia	9 W. R., 217	2707
Huro Gobind Biswas v. Dumountee Dabee	13 W. R., 304	5319
Hurogobind Doss v. Kalachand Shaha	6 W. R., Act X, 26	5635
Hurogobind Pal v. Huro Soondaree Chowdhraim	18 W. R., 316	5129
Hurokrishno Doss v. Motee Chand Baboo	8 W. R., 260	763
Huro Lall Biswas v. Huro Chunder Roy	12 W. R., 89	1380
Huro Lall Doss v. Soojawut Ali	8 W. R., 197	825
Huro Lall Saha v. Tirthanund Thakoor	11 B. L. R., 437, note: 13 } W. R., 417. }	5077
Huro Mohun Mookerjee v. Goluck Chunder Sirkar	12 W. R., 255	1636
_____ v. Kadarnath Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 25	5925
_____ v. Lalun Monee Dassee	1 W. R., 5	6040
_____ v. Mohendronath Ghose	16 W. R., 135	5169
_____ v. Ram Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 225	3011
_____ v. Thakoor Doss Mundul	1 W. R., 112	1653
Huro Mohun Roy v. Khettromonee Dossee	12 W. R., 372	5672
Huro Monee v. Kristo Indro Shaha	17 W. R., 220	1224
Huromonee alias Huromonee Debia v. Ramkishore Acharjee. }	6 W. R., Mis., 124	1218
Huromonee Debia v. Tumeezooden Chowdhry	7 W. R., 181	3747, 5064
Huromonee Dossee. In the matter of	17 W. R., 48	5705
Huromonee Gooptia v. Gobind Coomar Chowdhry	5 W. R., 51	509, 3121
Huromoni Dasi v. Panchoo Ram Biswas	11 C. L. R., 514	2365
Huro Narain Giree v. Durga Churn Giree	15 W. R., 319	5319
Huro Nath Dass v. Roma Nath Surma	25 W. R., 321	1696
Huronath Pattur v. Nitto Paramanick	22 W. R., 517	1100
Huronath Rai v. Indoo Bhoosun Deb Rai	8 W. R., 135	3395
Huro Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 482	894
_____ v. Ameer Biswas	1 W. R., 231	4394
_____ v. Anund Chunder Roy	1 W. R., 329	5985
_____ v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia	3 W. R., Act X, 26	1596
_____ v. Chitttramoney Dossee	3 W. R., Act X, 122	4150
_____ v. Gooroodoss Roy	7 W. R., 423	5008
_____ v. Indro Bhoosun Deb Boy	6 W. R., Mis., 33	3822
_____ v. Jogendur Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 218	3394
_____ v. Kasheenath Roy	W. R., 1864, 338	353
_____ v. Kumola Kant Chuckerbutty	5 W. R., Act X, 56	1604
_____ v. Mirnomoyee Dabee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 56	1637
_____ v. Mothoora Nath Acharjee	7 W. R., 4	5382
_____ v. Nistarini Chowdhraim	13 C. L. R., 14	385
Huronath Roy Chowdhry v. Golucknath Chowdhry	19 W. R., 18	550, 551 2974
Huro Nath Sirkar v. Preo Nath Sirkar	7 W. R., 249	135
Huro Nauth Roy v. Indooboosun Deb Roy	2 Hay, 302	855
Huro Pershad Bhuttacharjee v. Bhyrub Chunder Mo-joomdar. }	8 W. R., 391	3061, 5632
Huopershad Chowdhry v. Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry }	6 W. R., Act X, 107	5632
Huro Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Sreedam Chunder Chowdhry. }	20 W. R., 16	582

Name of Case.

Volume and Page.

Huro Pershad Roy <i>v.</i> Gopal Das Dutt . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 255 : 12 C. }
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Lochun Mundul . . .	L. R., 129 : L. R., 9 I. A., 82. }
Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Fool Kishoree Dossee .	6 W. R., 148 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Manick Lushkur . . .	16 W. R., 308 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Baboo . . .	12 W. R., 343 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramessur Missry Malia . . .	7 W. R., 360 . . .
Huropershad Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry.	24 W. R., 461 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Shibo Shunkuree Chowdrain.	5 W. R., Mis., 52 . . .
Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Wooma Tara Debee .	13 W. R., 47 . . .
Huro Prasad Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Chundee Churn Boyragee.	15 W. R., 194 . . .
Huroprosad Roy <i>v.</i> Kaliprosad Roy . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 505 : 12 C. }
Huro Prosad Roy <i>v.</i> Womatarata Debee . . .	L. R., 251. }
Huro Prosunno Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Bhyrub Chunder Mojoondar.	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 290 . . .
Huro Soonduree Boistobee <i>v.</i> Joy Doorga Boistobee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 263 : 8 C. }
Hurosoonduree Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Anund Mohun Ghose Chowdhry.	L. R., 449. }
Huro Soonduree Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Anundnath Roy Chowdhry.	17 W. R., 257 . . .
Huro Soonduree Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Bungshee Mohun Doss	13 W. R., 112 . . .
Huro Soonduree Debee <i>v.</i> Sreedhur Bhuttacharjee .	7 W. R., 459 . . .
Huro Soonduree Debia <i>v.</i> Brojo Gobind Shaha . . .	3 W. R., 8 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Doorgadoss Bhuttacharjee . . .	8 W. R., 332 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Punchooram Mundul . . .	17 W. R., 352 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Bhuttacharjee . . .	4 W. R., Mis., 12 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Stevenson . . .	16 W. R., 215 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Unno Poorna Debia . . .	24 W. R., 225 . . .
Hurosoonduree Dossee <i>v.</i> Bungsecmohun Doss	7 W. R., 276 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Noorooddeen . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 13 . . .
Hurpaal Singh <i>v.</i> Zahoorun . . .	11 W. R., 550 . . .
Hurpershad. In the matter of the petition of	3 W. R., Mis., 28 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Khowanee . . .	11 W. R., 325 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Lalu . . .	2 Agra, 202 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Mata Buksh . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 60 . . .
_____ <i>v.</i> Oodit Narain . . .	5 N. W., 18 . . .
Hurpershad Roy <i>v.</i> Badree Narain Gir . . .	3 N. W., 60 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 246. . .
Hur Proshaud Roy <i>v.</i> Enayet Hossein . . .	3 Agra, 225 . . .
Hurpurshad <i>v.</i> Sheo Dyal . . .	1 Agra, Rev., 60 . . .
Hurradhun Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mothooranath Mookerjee	1 N. W., 14 . . .
Hurrak Singh <i>v.</i> Toolsee Ram Sahoo . . .	2 C. L. R., 471 . . .
Hurran Chunder Gangooly <i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Mitter	L. R., 3 I. A., 259 : 26 W. R., 55. . .
Hurree Dyal Chukee <i>v.</i> Birjessurree Dossee . . .	7 W. R., P. C., 71 : 4 Moore's I. A., 414. . .
Hurreehur Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Ooma Moyee Dossee . . .	11 W. R., 84 . . .
Hurree Mohun Thakoor <i>v.</i> Andrews . . .	2 Hyde, 237 . . .
	Marsh., 604 . . .
	12 W. R., 525 . . .
	W. R., 1864, 30 . . .

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurree Narain Mookerjee. In the matter of . . .	2 C. L. R., 518 . . .	6164
Hurreenath Doss v. Kalee Pershad Roy . . .	22 W. R., 474 . . .	2720
Hurrender Lal Sahoo v. Rajender Pertab Sahee . . .	1 W. R., 260 . . .	83
Hurri Mohun Acharjee v. Okhoy Kumar Bose . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 14 . . .	1635
Hurri Mohun Bagchi v. Grish Chunder Bandopadhyaya . . .	1 C. L. R., 152 . . .	1162
Hurri Mohun Mullick v. Goburdhun Dass . . .	3 C. L. R., 459 . . .	2814
Hurri Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Joy Durga Dassi . . .	2 C. L. R., 17 . . .	5736
Hurrinath Muzoomdar v. Moran & Co. . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 127 . . .	401
Hurri Prasad v. Jaumua Prasad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 453 : 7 . . .	495
Hurriprosad Dutta. In the matter of the petition of . . .	L. R., 491 . . .	
Hurris Chunder Nag v. Abbas Ali	25 W. R., Cr., 61 . . .	5151
Hurris Chunder Chowdhry v. Kalisunderi Debi . . .	5 W. R., 204 . . .	3157
Hurris Chunder Chowdhry v. Rajender Kishore Roy Chowdhry. . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 482 : 12 C. } L. R., 511 : L. R., 10 I. A., 4. }	241, 1871 1929, 3095
Hurris Chunder Chowdhry v. Rajender Kishore Roy Chowdhry. . . .	18 W. R., 293 . . .	2215
Hurris Chunder Koond v. Gopal Barooye	3 W. R., Act X, 158 . . .	2841
Hurris Chunder Koondoo v. Alexander	Marsh., 479 . . .	5176, 5185
Hurris Chunder Roy v. Collector of Jessore . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc. 712 . . .	1877
Hurri Sunkur Mookerjee v. Muktaram Patro . . .	15 B. L. R., 238 : 24 W. R., 154. . .	5075
Hurro Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ram Kissore Chuckerbutty. . . .	W. R., 1864, 142 . . .	5143
Hurro Chunder Mookerjee v. Hulodhur Mookerjee . . .	W. R., 1864, 166 . . .	51
Hurro Chunder Paul. In the matter of the petition of . . .	20 W. R., Cr., 76 . . .	2767, 6249
Hurro Chunder Roy v. Lallchund Banerjee	Marsh., 256 : 2 Hay, 48. . .	880
_____ v. Obhoy Churn Sircar	2 W. R., Act X, 72 . . .	4933
Hurro Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Sooradhoonee Dabea . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 985 : 9 } W. R., 402. . . }	3189, 3193
Hurro Coomaree Dossee v. Tarini Churn Bysack . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 766 . . .	3169
Hurro Durga Chowdhrair v. Sharrat Soondery Debia . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 674 : 3 C. L. } R., 417. . . }	2700, 3834
_____ v. Surut Sundari Debi	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 332 : L. R., 9 I. A., 1. . .	1200, 1480 3834
Hurro Gobind Bhukut v. Digumburee	9 W. R., 217 . . .	3844
Hurro Gobind Biswas. In the matter of	7 W. R., 246 . . .	3847
Hurro Gobind Raha v. Ramrutno Dey	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 67 . . .	5183, 5596
Hurro Kisto Doss v. Ramanundo Doss	22 W. R., 274 . . .	683
Hurro Lall Shaha. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 570 : 10 C. } L. R., 409. . . }	4668
Hurromohun Audhikaree v. Auluck Monee Dossee . . .	1 W. R., 252 . . .	2198
Hurro Mohun Gaen v. Bonomalee Mitter	11 W. R., 359 . . .	5259
Hurro Mohun Mookerjee v. Brojokishore Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 103 . . .	1662
_____ v. Chintamonee Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 19 . . .	3010
Hurromonee Dossee v. Onookool Chunder Mookerjee . . .	8 W. R., 461 . . .	4033, 6105
Hurro Narain Singh v. Bykunt Narain Singh . . .	14 W. R., 51 . . .	4471
Hurro Nath Bhunjo v. Chunni Lall Ghose	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 877 : 3 C. } L. R., 161. . . }	1940, 3520
Hurronath Bhuttacharjee v. Harvey	25 W. R., 23 . . .	4455, 6085
Hurronath Chowdhry v. Huree Lall Shaba	11 W. R., 477 . . .	3283, 3348
_____ v. Nistarini Chowdrani	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 74 . . .	176
_____ v. Rajender Chunder Roy	15 W. R., Cr., 1 . . .	5598
Hurronath Mullick v. Nittanund Mullick	10 B. L. R., 263 . . .	1835
Hurronath Roy v. Gobind Chunder Dutt	5 W. R., Act X, 11 . . .	1594
_____ v. _____	6 W. R., Act X, 2 . . .	1594, 1663
	15 B. L. R., 120 : 23 W. R., } 352 : L. R., 2 I. A., 193. }	1594

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.
Hurronath Roy <i>v.</i> Gooroo Doss Biswas .	3 W. R., Act X, 19 .
_____ <i>v.</i> Krishna Coomar Bukshee	L. R., 13 I. A., 123 : 1 L. R., } 14 Calc., 147. }
<i>v.</i> Maheroolah Mollah	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 618 : 7 } W. R., 21. }
Hurropersaud Roy <i>v.</i> Shamapersaud Roy .	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 654 : 1 C. L. } R., 499 : L. R., 5 I. A., 31. }
Hurro Pershad Ghosal <i>v.</i> Hurro Monee Debea	8 W. R., 210. .
Hurropershad Roy <i>v.</i> Bhupendro Narain Dutt .	1 L. R., 6 Calc., 201 : 7 C. } L. R., 79. }
_____ <i>v.</i> Gopal Dass Dutt . .	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 258 .
Hurro Proshad Roy <i>v.</i> _____ . .	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 817 : 2 C. L. } R., 450. }
Hurro Soondari Debia <i>v.</i> Keshub Chunder Acharjee	5 C. L. R., 257 .
Hurrosoondary Dassee <i>v.</i> Jugobundhoo Dutt .	1 L. R., 6 Calc., 203 : 7 C. } L. R., 61. }
Hurro Soonderee Dabea <i>v.</i> Kally Mohun . .	Marsh., 138 : W. R., F. B., } 46 : 1 Hay, 301. }
Hurro Soondery <i>v.</i> Muddun Mohun Dutt . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 34 .
Hurro Soondery Chowdhraïn. In the matter of .	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 20 : 3 C. L. } R., 93. }
Hurro Soondery Debea Chowdrance <i>v.</i> Rajessuree Debe	2 W. R., 321 .
Hurro Soondery Debia <i>v.</i> Ameena Begum . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188 : 5 W. } R., Act X, 72. }
Hurrosoondery Dossee <i>v.</i> Callypudo Dutt . .	14 B. L. R., Ap., 11 : 23 W. } R., 136. }
Hurrosoondree Debiah <i>v.</i> Pran Kishen Singh .	6 Moore's I. A., 491 .
Hurrosoondree Dibiah <i>v.</i> _____ . .	7 Moore's I. A., 16 .
Hurro Soonduree <i>v.</i> Sonaton Doss . . .	25 W. R., 464 .
Hurro Sunduree Dossee <i>v.</i> Chunder Mohinec Dossee	3 W. R., 200 .
Hurrosundari Dabi <i>v.</i> Brojohari Das Manji	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 86 .
Hurro Sundari Dabia. In the matter of the petition of.	1 L. R., 6 Calc., 17 : 6 C. L. } R., 303. }
Hurro Sundari Dabia <i>v.</i> Chunder Kant Bhuttacharje	1 L. R., 6 Calc., 17 : 6 C. L. } R., 303. }
Hurro Sunderi Dossee <i>v.</i> Gopee Sunderi Dossee .	10 C. L. R., 559 .
Hurruck Chund Golicha. In re . . .	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 605 : 6 C. L. } R., 382. }
Hurruck Singh. In the matter of . . .	11 W. R., 107 .
Hurry Charan Bose <i>v.</i> Subaydar Sheikh . .	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 161 .
Hurry Churn Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Empress . .	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 140 L. R., 358.
Hurry Churn Dass <i>v.</i> Nimai Chand Keyal . .	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 138 : 13 } C. L. R., 207. }
Hurry Doss Bonerjee. In the goods of . .	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 87 .
_____ " Hogg . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86 .
Hurrydoss Dutt <i>v.</i> Uppoornah Dossee . .	6 Moore's I. A., 433 .
Hurry Doyal Guho <i>v.</i> Din Doyal Guho . .	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 479 : 13 C. } L. R., 93. }
Hurryhur Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 623 : 7 } W. R., 176. }
Hurry Kissen Doss <i>v.</i> Lall Soonder Doss . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 32 .
Hurry Kisto Roy <i>v.</i> Motee Lall Nundee . .	14 W. R., 36 .
Hurrimohun Bagchi <i>v.</i> Giris Chunder Bundopadhya	1 C. L. R., 152 .
Hurry Mohun Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Dwarka Nath Sein .	18 W. R., 42 .

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Indromonee Burmonee v. Suroop Chunder Paul .	12 B. L. R., 291, note: 15 W. R., 395.	1180
Indromoni Chowdhurani v. Behari Lal Mullick .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 770: 6 C. L. R., 183: L. R., 7 I. A., 24.	2112, 2114
Indro Narain Misser v. Kristo Chunder Mahto .	14 W. R., 362	1920
Indubansi Kunwar v. Gribhirun Kunwar .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 289	3417
Indur Chandra Dugar v. Lachmi Bibi .	7 B. L. R., 682: 15 W. R., 501	1341, 2577
Indur Chunder Baboo v. Oozeer Ali Khan .	7 W. R., 338	5729
Indur Chunder Doogar v. Gopal Chand Satia .	11 W. R., 230	1880
_____ v. Official Assignee .	11 W. R., 100	239, 2630
Indur Chundra Doogar v. Ruttun Koomaree Bibee	7 W. R., 376	5314
Indurjeet v. Sewaram <i>alias</i> Muneeram .	5 N. W., 16	1926
Indurjeet Kooer v. Chundeemun Misser .	16 W. R., 99	2244
_____ v. Jumna Doss .	14 W. R., 164	45
_____ v. Luchmee Kooer .	14 W. R., 349	5286
_____ v. Luchmun Singh .	24 W. R., 56	439
Indurjeet Koonwar v. Brij Bilas Lall .	3 W. R., 130	1925
_____ v. Mazum Ali Khan .	6 W. R., Mis., 76	1923, 3536
Indurjeet Singh v. Radhey Singh .	21 W. R., 269	3817
Inglis v. Ram Singh .	W. R., F. B., 159	4399
Inhabitants of Mahalingpore v. Anderson .	7 B. L. R., 452, note	2784, 2853
Innes. In the goods of .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 43: 16 W. R., 253.	1237
Intizam Ali Khan v. Narain Singh .	I. L. R., 5 All., 316	5476
Iradat Khan v. Dabee Dyal .	1 Aggra, 180	3432
Irfan Biswas v. Jinnut Bibee .	25 W. R., Cr., 63	982
Irshad Ali v. Radhu Shah .	13 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 21 W. R., 188.	5751
Irtaza Hossein v. Hurry Pershad Singh .	7 W. R., 241	3142
Irvine v. Thacharakavil Mana Vikraven Tiramelpad of Nilambur.	7 Mad., 235	1386
Irvine v. Union Bank of Australia .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 280	943
Isac Mahomed v. Bai Fatna .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 487	1074
Isak Mahamad v. Bai Khatija .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 96	4799
Isan Chandra Singh v. Haran Sirdar .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 135: 11 W. R., 525.	1727, 5709
Isan Chunder Banerjee v. Luchun Gope .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 699: 5 C. L. R., 539.	5120, 5707
Ishana Dabia v. Grija Kant Lahiry Chowdhry .	3 C. L. R., 572	3216, 3490
Ishan Chandra v. Sujan Bibi .	7 B. L. R., 14: 15 W. R., 331	4788
Ishan Chandra Das v. Rukimuddin Sowdagar .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 326, note	4137
Ishan Chandra Ghose v. Harish Chandra Banerjee	9 B. L. R., 218, note: 12 W. R., 369.	777
Ishan Chandra Mookerjee v. Loke Nath Roy .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 14 W. R., 79.	168, 6089
Ishan Chandra Roy v. Ashanulla .	8 B. L. R., 537, note: 16 W. R., 79.	550
Ishan Chunder Bandopadhyaya v. Indronarain Gossami	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 788: 12 C. L. R., 391.	824
Ishan Chunder Banerjee v. Juggut Chunder Doss	13 W. R., 44	3983
Ishan Chunder Behara v. Ramlochan Behara .	9 W. R., 79	1765, 4454
Ishan Chunder Chattopadhyaya v. Shama Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 41: 12 C. L. R., 414.	3033
Ishan Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Chowdhry	5 W. R., 21	1783
_____ v. Chunder Kant Roy .	13 C. L. R., 55	3
_____ v. Jugodishuree .	8 W. R., 267	3541
_____ v. Sharoda Goopteah .	12 W. R., 487	6111

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ishan Chunder Ghose. In the matter of . . .	15 W. R., 352 . . .	5521
_____ v. Nobin Pal . . .	13 B. L. R., 377, note . . .	579
Ishan Chunder Mitter v. Buksh Ali Soudagur . . .	Marsh., 614 . . .	2515, 5403
Ishan Chunder Mojoomdar v. Sreekant Nath . . .	9 W. R., 110 . . .	4711
Ishan Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Ashanoollah Khan . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 707: 8 C. } L. R., 52. }	3463
_____ v. Busaruddin . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 817 . . .	1934
_____ v. Tarruck Chunder Banerjee . . .	5 C. L. R., 132 . . .	3801
Ishan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Doss	18 W. R., 238 . . .	2792
Ishan Chunder Sein v. Kenaram Ghose . . .	21 W. R., 25 . . .	1608, 5081
Ishaneswary Dasee v. Gopaldas Dutt . . .	12 W. R., 381 . . .	4933
Ishankishor Acharjee Chowdhry v. Haris Chandra Chowdhry. {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 723 . . .	5457, 5462
Ishar Das v. Masud Khan . . .	13 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 21 W. } R., 381. }	2126
Ishen Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 All., 70 . . .	4891, 5852
Ishen Chunder Kurmoker v. Hurrydoyal Kurmoker . . .	5 W. R., 21 . . .	2295
Ishen Chunder Sen v. Onath Nath Deb . . .	3 C. L. R., 263 . . .	1305, 5157
Ishen Chunder Shaba. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., 16 . . .	781, 6236
Ishen Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn . . .	19 W. R., Cr., 34 . . .	74
Ishera Yarn Mills Company v. Abdool Kurreem . . .	W. R., 1864, 3 . . .	4601
Ishore Ghose v. Hills . . .	Bourke, O. C., 276 . . .	4211
Ishree Dyal v. Banyadee Tewaree . . .	W. R., F. B., 148: 1 Ind. Jur., } O. S., 25: 1 Hay, 350. }	1648, 1650 5184, 5788
Ishree Pershad Rae v. Beharee Lal . . .	4 N. W., 7 . . .	2882
Ishri v. Gopal Saran . . .	2 N. W., 243 . . .	2987
Ishri Dat v. Harnarain Lal . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 351 . . .	5625
Ishri Prasad v. Sham Lal . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 334 . . .	4993
Ishri Singh v. Baldeo Singh . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 871 . . .	5518
Ishur Chunder Biswas v. Bissumbhur Biswas . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 792: L. R., } 11 I. A., 135. }	4225
Ishur Chunder Kurmoker v. Seetuldoss Mitter . . .	W. R., 1864, 107 . . .	4174
Ishur Chunder Mundul v. Sham Chunder Doss . . .	17 W. R., Cr., 47 . . .	1326
Ishur Chunder Surmah v. Doyamoye Debea . . .	W. R., 1864, 312 . . .	1438
Ishur Dutt Mundul v. Shib Pershad Thakoor . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 864: 11 C. } L. R., 135. }	3086
Ishurce Persad Narain Singh v. Lal Chutterput Singh . . .	15 W. R., 290 . . .	5581
Ishur Kant Bhadooree. In the matter of _____ v. Candasama Amarsang . . .	3 Moore's I. A., 100 . . .	5370
Ishwar Chunder Dutt v. Ramkrishna Dass . . .	24 W. R., 233 . . .	3881
Ishwardas Gulabchand v. G. I. P. Railway Company . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 548 . . .	268
Ishwardas Jagjivandas v. Dosibai . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 902: 6 C. L. } R., 421. }	1161
Iskri Ishree v. Bakhshi . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 120 . . .	4708
Ismail Beari v. Abdul Kader Beari . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 316 . . .	367, 1692 3459
Ismail Hadjee Hubbeeb v. Mahomed Hadjee Joosub . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 96 . . .	959
Ismail Haji Abdulla. In re . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 319 . . .	2269
Ismail Khan v. Bhondoo . . .	13 B. L. R., 91: 21 W. R., } 303. }	213, 2822 4713
Ismail Kooer. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Arumuga Chetti . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 452 . . .	2282, 3087 3632, 4663
Ismail Saheb v. Arumuga Chetti . . .	1 N. W., 26: Ed. 1873, 24 . . .	1644
Ismail valad Ahmed Barucha v. Kassam valad Azam Dupli. {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1007: 9 } W. R., 448. }	1933
Isreepershad Rae v. Toolsceram . . .	1 Mad., 427 . . .	3143, 4423
	9 Bom., 46 . . .	796
	3 Agra, 352 . . .	1188

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Imambundee v. Bhugwandass	1 N. W., 41 : Ed. 1873, 38	4583, 6177
Imambundee Begum v. Sheo Dyal Ram	14 W. R., 199	5279
Imami Begam v. Gobind Prasad	1 L. R., 4 All., 318	4888
Imamooddeen Sowdagur v. Abdool Sobhan	5 N. W., 170	3712
Imam Saheb v. Kasim Saheb	11 Bom., 104	3694
Imamuddin v. Shah Jan Bibi	6 B. L. R., 167, note	3726
Imamun v. Hurdial Singh	5 W. R., 277	5239
— v. Mahomed Majeedoollah	3 Agra, 88	5101
— v. Nunnoo	17 W. R., 193	683
Imam-un-nissa Bibi v. Liakat Husain	1 L. R., 3 All., 424	1879, 5460
Imandi Khan. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 399	4110
Imbichi Koya v. Kakkunnat Upakki	1 L. R., 1 Mad., 391	3245
Imbichi Patama. Ex parte	1 Mad., 129	218
Imdad Ali v. Koothy Begum	{ 6 W. R., P. C., 24 : 3 Moore's } I. A., 1.	2017, 3121
— v. Nijabat Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 457	3344
Imdad Ali Khan v. Collector of Farakhabad	I. L. R., 7 All., 817	2947
Imdad Hossein v. Alikoonnissa	W. R., F. B., 77	4213
— v. Hosseinee Buksh	2 N. W., 327	3657
— v. Mahomed Ali Khan	23 W. R., 150	108, 3671
— v. Stack	12 W. R., 454	2932
Imdad Husain v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 509	3994
— v. Tasadduk Husain	I. L. R., 6 All., 335	4786
Immedy Kaunga Ramaya Gaundan v. Ramaswami Ambalam.	7 Mad., 173	350
Imperatrix v. Abdulla	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240	3617
— v. Baban Khan	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 142	718
— v. Bhagwan Deoraj	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357	5511
— v. Bhawani	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 625	6161
— v. Dongaji Andaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 564	264
— v. Dossaji Gulam Husein	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 334	1838, 2105
— v. Gowdapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 534	5157
— v. Irbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 479	1966, 5510
— v. Kakde	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	1513
— v. Khimchand Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	2906
— v. Lakshman Sakharam	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481	{ 972, 1281 5522
— v. Padmananbh Pai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 384	5522
— v. Pitamber Jina	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61	1015
— v. Popat Nathu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287	1315, 1316
— v. Rama Prema	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 239	1297, 5576
— v. Sirsapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15	1277, 4355
Imperial Banking and Trading Company v. Atmaram } Madhavji. } — v. Pranjivan }	2 Bom., 260 : 2nd Ed., 246	1065
Das Harjivan Das.	2 Bom 272 : 2nd Ed., 258	1066, 2736
Imrit Konwur v. Roop Narain Singh	6 C. L. R., 76	2148, 2507
Imrit Koor v. Lalla Debee Pershad Singh	18 W. R., 200	143
Imrit Lal Thakoor v. Nuckshed Suhaye	10 W. R., 100	2774
Imrit Nath Jha v. Roy Dhunput Sing	{ 3 B. L. R., 241 : 18 W. R., } 288.	4035
Imrit Singh v. Koylashoo Koer	11 W. R., 558	2771, 4823
Imtiaz Begam v. Liakat-un-nissa Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 630	4393
— v.	I. L. R., 7 All., 886	4393
Ina Sheikh v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 161	5883
Inayat Khan v. Rahmat Bibi	I. L. R., 2 All., 97	5071, 5701
Inayut Ali v. Fuzund Ali	2 Agra, 21	4848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Indar Chandra Dugar v. Brindabun Bhara	8 B. L. R., 251: 15 W. R., } F. B., 21. }	180, 2927 4745
Indar Kuar v. Lalta Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 532	2202
Indar Sen v. Naubat Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 487	3041
_____ v. _____	I. L. R., 7 All., 553	4083
Inderan Valungypuly Taver v. Ramaswamy Pandia } Taver. }	3 B. L. R., P. C., 1: 12 W. } R., P. C., 41: 13 Moore's } I. A., 141. }	416, 2440
Inderchund Dogare v. Nundeeram Singh	Cor., 3	386, 3272
Inder Chunder Baboo v. Hurnauth Chowdhry	1 Hay, 257	5751
Inder Chunder Banerji v. Eshan Chunder Roy	1 Hay, 474	520
Inder Coomar Doss v. Doolal Chunder Doss	18 W. R., 258	2343
Inderjeet Kooar v. Jsmudh Kooar	5 W. R., P. C., 14: 1 Ind. } Jur., N. S., 141: 10 Moore's } I. A., 329. }	991, 2455
Inderjeet Kooer v. Pootee Begum	19 W. R., 197	3549
Inder Narain Chowdhry v. Mahomed Nazirooddeen	1 W. R., 234	3711
Inderpershad Singh v. Campbell	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 474: 8 C. L. } R., 501. }	1118, 5816
Indian Carrying Co. v. McCarthy	Cor., 116	2102
_____ v. _____	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 61	2819
Indian Companies Act, 1866. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	945
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335	943, 951
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	936
	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924
Indian Peninsular, London, and China Bank v. Scott	5 Bom., O. C., 167	2626
Indian Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 } W. R., O. C., 24. }	4757
	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. } R., 386, note. }	4800
	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Indrabati Kunwari v. Mahadeo Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., S. N., 6	2773, 4316
Indrabutty Koonwaree v. Holloway	9 W. R., 168	3044
Indra Chand Aswal v. Kaleedoss Mitter	24 W. R., 439	5840
Indra Chand Dugar v. Gopal Chandra Shetia	3 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 11 W. } R., 557. }	1893
Indra Chandra Babu v. Agra and Masterman's Bank	1 B. L. R., S. N., 2	5581
Indra Chandra Dogar v. Tarachand Dogar	2 B. L. R., A. C., 61: 10 W. } R., 353. }	2630
Indrajit Koonwari v. Chokowri Sahu	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1	891
Indrasa v. Sadu	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 505, note	1468
Indrobee Thaba v. Queen	1 W. R., Cr., 5	3629
Indro Bhoosun Deb v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty	12 W. R., 350	1613, 175
Indrobhoosun Deb Roy v. Kenny	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 9	3196
Indrobur v. Queen	1 W. R. Cr., 5	1531
Indro Chunder Baboo v. Dunlop	9 W. R., 530	6233
_____ v. _____	10 W. R., 264	5581
Indro Coomar Doss v. Mohima Mohun Roy	15 W. R., 159	1928
Indro Kooer v. Abdoal Burkut	14 W. R., 146	2507
Indro Lochun Ghose v. Grish Chunder Roy Chowdhry	10 W. R., 134	5783

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurry Mohun Paramanick. In the matter of the petition of.	14 B. L. R., 418, note: 15 } W. R., 486. }	4884
Hurry Mohun Rai v. Gonesh Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 823	2237
Hurry Mohun Roy v. Nyantara	25 W. R., 474	2412
Hurymohun Shaha v. Shonaton Shaha	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 275	2495
Hurry Sankur Patwari v. Radha Chowdhoory	25 W. R., 346	3809
Hurry Sunkur Mookerjee v. Kali Coomar Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 265	1712
Hur Sahai v. Jawala	2 Agra, 31	4547
Hursahai Singh v. Looft Ali Khan	14 B. L. R., 268: 23 W. R., } 8: L. R., 2 I. A., 28 }	44
Hur Sahal v. Mahomed Daim Khan	2 Agra, 64	4179
Hur Sahoy Lall v. Bhuttun Singh	20 W. R., 55	3563
Hur Sahoy Singh v. Gobind Sahoy	21 W. R., 244	3497
Hursarun Singh v. Purshun Singh	2 N. W., 415	1449, 4245
Hursee Mahapatro v. Dinobundo Patro	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 528: 9 C. L. } R., 93. }	2899
Hur Sookha v. Pooran	2 Agra, 115	2847, 5095
Hurst. Ex parte	1 Mad., 270	5644
— v. Mussoorie Bank	I. L. R., 1 All., 762	2587, 3081
— v. Watson	2 Bom., 423: 2nd Ed., 400	4597
Hur Suhaye Misser v. Deen Dyal Singh	7 W. R., 275	4158
Huruck Chand Golecha v. Tilock Chand Singh	18 W. R., 512	1474
Huruck Lall Shaha v. Sreenibash Kurmokar	15 W. R., 428	3836
Huruck Roy v. Zuhooree Mul	22 W. R., 468	3535, 3537
Huruck Singh v. Toolsee Ram Sahoo	12 W. R., 458	3096
Husain Ali v. Matukman	I. L. R., 6 All., 39	5238
Husain Ali Khan v. Hafiz Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 600	3345
Husain Bakhsh v. Madge	I. L. R., 1 All., 535	3517
Husain Begam v. Zia-ul-nisa Begam	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 467	3689
Husaini Begam v. Mulo	I. L. R., 5 All., 84	4774, 4798
Husain Shah v. Gopal Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 428	5085
Huseena v. Husmutconisa	7 W. R., 495	3619
Hushmut Ali v. Seetaram	1 Agra, 336	1104
Husmut Ali v. Ourree Thakoor	20 W. R., 232	1632
Husrutoollah v. Aboo Mahomed Abdool Kader	6 W. R., 39	3143
Hussain v. Sanjivi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 345	957
Hussain Bibee v. Hussain Sherif	4 Mad., 23	3669
Hussaini Bibi v. Mohsin Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 156	178, 386
Hussen Bhamjee v. Hicks	18 W. R., 124	421
Hussun Ali Chowdhry v. Manooar Ali	21 W. R., 413	4907
— v. Nasirooddeen	16 W. R., 134	5125
Hutton. In re	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 5	3851, 4742
Hutuman Sahib v. Husain Sahib	1 Mad., 152	5820
Hyder Ali v. Elahee Bux Maloom	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1011: 10 C. } L. R., 606. }	1132
— v. Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 183: 24 W. } R., 222. }	577
— v. Omrit Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 42	4931
Hyder Buksh v. Bhubindro Deb Cowar	13 B. L. R., 276, note: 17 W. } R., 179. }	5192
— v. Hossein Buksh	4 W. R., 103	4014
Hyder Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein	17 W. R., 185: 14 Moore's I. } A., 401. }	3696, 4651
Hyder Reza v. Collector of Purneah	22 W. R., 490	85
Hyes v. Moreerooddeen Ahung	24 W. R., 6	320, 5194

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ibhram v. Suleman	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 146 . . .	3680, 3685
Ibin Hossein v. Haidar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 109 . . .	2837, 5651
Ibrahim Azim v. Cruickshank	7 B. L. R., 653: 16 W. R., 203.	304, 466, 1527
Ibrahim bin Mahasin v. Abdur Rahiman bin Alli	12 Bom., 257	11, 3448
Ibrahim Fatte Ali v. Chandra Bhau valad Bapuji	7 Bom., A. C., 130	2777
Ibrahim Malla v. Mohesh Chunder Bagechi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	5315
Ibrahim Mulla v. Enayetur Ruhman	4 B. L. R., A. C., 13: 12 W. } R., 460.	3647 .
Ibrahim Saib v. Muni Mir Udin Saib	6 Mad., 26	3711
Ibrahim valad Ladli Miya v. Parvata valad Hari	8 Bom., A. C., 163	1776
Ibrai Byari v. Kaundinya	2 Mad., 363	5270
Ichamoyee Chowdhraee v. Prosunno Nath Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 557	387
Ichha Ram Kalidas v. Govind Ram Bhowanishankar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 653	4831
Ichhashankar v. Killa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 87	3138
Icvara Das v. Richardson	2 Mad., 84	3207, 3219
Idan v. Nund Kishore	25 W. R., 390	47
Idoo v. Besharoolla	2 W. R., Mis., 10	3496
Idu v. Amiran	I. L. R., 8 All., 322	3688
Iftikarunissa Begum v. Amjad Ali Khan	7 B. L. R., 643	3649
Ijjutoolah Khan v. Ram Churn Gangooly	12 W. R., 39	1765, 1767
Ikram Ali v. Baboo Lall	1 N. W., 178: Ed. 1873, 257	1658
Ikbal Begam v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 77	6123
_____ v. Sham Sundar	I. L. R., 4 All., 384	4846
Ikram Ali Khan v. Ludwa	2 Agra, 113	5626
Ikram Hossein v. Ramlochan Dutt	23 W. R., 29	771
Ikramoollah v. Sheo Pershad	2 Agra, 340	2895, 5221
Ikram Singh v. Intizam Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 260	3428
Ilahi Baksh v. Imam Bakhs	I. L. R., 1 All., 324	4894
_____ v. Sita	I. L. R., 5 All., 462	5664
Ilahi Bax. In the matter of the petition of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 75	172, 372 5931
Ilata Shavatri v. Ilata Narayanna Nambudri	1 Mad., 372	2415, 2435
Illoor Kristniah v. Great Indian Peninsular Railway Company.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310	4706
_____ v. Madras Railway Company	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310	4706
Imam Ali v. Dasaundhi Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 508	3482
_____ v. Oograh Singh	22 W. R., 262	3967
_____ v. Poresb Mundul	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 468: 10 C. L. R., 396.	3728
Imam Ali Khan v. Abdool Ali Khan	2 Agra, 28	4989
Imaman v. Fazul Karim	7 N. W., 251	1248, 3974
_____ v. Lalta Buksh	7 N. W., 343	43
Imam Bandi v. Hur Gobind Ghose	7 W. R., P. C., 67: 4 Moore's I. A., 403.	478, 3423 6009
Imambandi Begum v. Kumleswari Pershad	L. R., 13 I. A., 160: I. R., 14 Calc., 109.	901
_____ v. Mahomed Tukee Khan	8 W. R., 27	3688
Imam Buksh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 599	533
_____ v. Hoob Ali	3 Agra, Rev., 8	3688
_____ v. Thacko Bibee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 599	542
Imam Buksh Munul v. Mohim Mundul	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 280	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Isreepershad Singh v. Nasib Kooer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1017 .	2469
Isree Singh v. Shurufodeen	1 N. W., 142: Ed. 1873, 224	86, 1347
Isri Dut Koer v. Hansbutti Koerain	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 324: 13 C. L. R., 418: L. R., 10 I. A 150.	1416, 2191 2494
Isri Singh v. Ganga	I. L. R., 2 All., 876	1345
Issan Chunder Doss v. Chundro Bodinee	7 W. R., 361 .	828
Issen Meah v. Kalaram Chunder Naw	2 C. L. R., 476 .	4115
Isser Chunder Ghose v. Bhoobun Mohun Banerjee v. Peari Mohun Palit	Bourke, O. C., 313 16 W. R., Cr., 39 .	1094 981, 1255
Isser Chunder Sein v. D'Cruz	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 233	2819
Issur Chunder Ahiree v. Syam Khan Chowdhry	11 W. R., 95 .	159
Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Sutyio Dyal Banerjee	12 W. R., 270 .	2950
Issur Chunder Doss v. Juggut Chunder Shaha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 79	3287, 3361
Issur Chunder Gangooly v. Mohini Mohun Doss	17 W. R., 74 .	897
Issur Chunder Ghosal v. Mooktoram Panda	9 W. R., 606 .	1160
Issur Chunder Ghose v. Russeek Lal Mundul	11 W. R., 576 .	772
Issur Chunder Gupto v. Nobo Kristo Gupto	7 C. L. R., 407 .	2073
Issur Chunder Mookerjee v. Munmohun Chowdhry v. Puddo Lochun Goopto	12 W. R., 308 . 5 W. R., Mis., 18 .	1476, 5624 3791
Issur Chunder Mundle v. Rohim Sheikh	25 W. R., Cr., 65 .	1287, 3863
Issur Chunder Mundul v. Heirs of Golam Ali	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 249	5530
Issur Chunder Nath. In the matter of the petition of { v. Kali Churn Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 883: 11 C. L. R., 235	4111
Issur Chunder Poddar v. Shoshee Dhur Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 883: 11 C. L. R., 235.	4111
Issur Chunder Roy v. Juggessar Ghose	18 W. R., 289 .	5927
Issur Chunder Sein v. Aushotosh Chatterjee	17 W. R., 184 .	1430
v. Bipeen Beharee Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283 .	5914
v. Kalee Doss Hajrah	16 W. R., 132 .	4267
v. Kenaram Ghose	3 W. R., 95 .	40
v. Ranee Dossee	14 W. R., 463 .	3922
Issur Chunder Shaha v. Nisar Hossein	2 W. R., 125 .	2338
Issur Dutt Singh v. Alluck Misser	W. R., 1864, 351 .	3721
Issuree Dossee v. Lal Beharee Holdar	7 W. R., 429 .	3824
Issureenund Dutt Jha v. Parbutty Churn Jha	21 W. R., 421 .	4828
Issurepersaud v. Urjoonoll	3 W. R., 13 .	3192, 3334
Issuridutt Singh v. Ibrahim	2 Hyde, 248 .	3223, 3226
Issurree Dassee v. Abdool Khalak	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 653 .	3370
Isuhji v. Khatiza	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 415: 3 C. L. R., 46.	3475
Iswar Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Bistu Chandra Chucker- butty. {	2 Bom., 189: 2nd Ed., 181 3 B. L. R., Ap., 97: 12 W. R., 32.	4147 5317, 6104
Iswar Chandra Das v. Jugal Kishor Chuckerbutty v. Nittianand Das	4 B. L. R., Ap., 33: 17 W. R., 473, note: 21 W. R., 281 note. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 490: 6 W. R., Act X, 70.	156, 157 3557 1605
Iswar Chandra Dutt v. Iswar Chandra Ghose	9 B. L. R., Ap., 19 .	1194
Iswar Chandra Karmakar v. Sitaldas Mitter	8 B. L. R., Ap., 62 .	1326
Iswar Chandra Koer v. Umesh Chunder Pal	8 B. L. R., 19 .	970
Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note .	3348
Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy	8 B. L. R., 188, note: 16 W. R., 132.	584
Iswar Pershad Gurgo v. Jai Narain Giri	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 169 .	5250
Itcha Moyee Dossee v. Bama Soonduree Dossee	25 W. R., 73 .	5672

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Itcharam Dayaram <i>v.</i> Rajji Jaga	11 Bom., 41	2214, 3941
Ittiachan <i>v.</i> Velappen	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 484	3759
Ittuni Pannikkar <i>v.</i> Irani Nambudripad	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 401	110
Iyahvien <i>v.</i> Chithambarien	5 Mad., 312	5703
Izzatulla <i>v.</i> Bhikari Molla	6 B. L. R., 386 : 14 W. R., 469. }	3720
Izzuttoolnissa Beebee <i>v.</i> Husna Koor	1 N. W., 101 : Ed. 1873, 151	2006
Jaafar Mohindin Sahib <i>v.</i> Aji Mohindin Sahib	2 Mad., 19	3667
Jackammal <i>v.</i> Palneappa Chetty	5 Mad., 464	5129
Jada Ankamma <i>v.</i> Nadimpalle Rama	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 281	3221
Jadab Chundra Toi Poramanik <i>v.</i> Dinanath Das	4 B. L. R., A. C., 134 : 13 W. R., 154.	785
Jadav Rughnath <i>v.</i> Rajji Himmat	9 Bom., 249	4782
Jaddoo Nath Dutt <i>v.</i> Empress	2 C. L. R., 181	1962
Jado Lall <i>v.</i> Radhakissen Mitter	17 W. R., 99	3506
Jadoobur Singh <i>v.</i> Beharee Singh	2 N. W., 437	4924
Jadoomony Dabee <i>v.</i> Hafez Mahomed Ali Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 295	1868, 3844
Jadoonath Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Radhamonee Dassee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 643 : W. R., 256.	4959
Jadoo Nath Gossain <i>v.</i> Nobokissen Chatterjee	4 W. R., 66	819
Jadoonath Mookerji <i>v.</i> Tarachand Mookerji	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 102	1210
Jadoo Nath Roy <i>v.</i> Saleem Ahmed Khan	3 N. W., 188	4160
Jadoonundun Singh <i>v.</i> Faujdar Khan	5 N. W., Ap., 1	3048
Jadoo Rai <i>v.</i> Mahomed Tuquee	1 Agra, Rev., 24	2987
Jadoo Shat <i>v.</i> Kadumbinee Dassee	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 150 : 8 C. L. R., 445.	1183
Jado Singh <i>v.</i> Rane	5 N. W., 113	2152
Jadowji Gopal <i>v.</i> Jetha Shamji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 333	2580, 2644
Jadow Mulji <i>v.</i> Chhagan Raichand	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306	2074
Jadu <i>v.</i> Hifazat Hossein	5 B. L. R., Ap., 15	308, 4428
Jadubanund Roy <i>v.</i> Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry	7 W. R., 511	412
Jadubar Mookerjee. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 359	983
Jadub Chunder Chechky <i>v.</i> Heera Lall Saha	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 21 Bourke, O. C., 384.	5811
Jadub Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Bhubo Soonduree Dabee	20 W. R., 331	2789
Jadub Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Benodbehary Ghose	1 Hyde, 214	2298
_____ <i>v.</i> Motee Lall Ghose	1 Hyde, 214	2353
Jadub Chunder Haldar <i>v.</i> Etwaree Lushkur	Marsh., 498 : 2 Hay, 599	1638, 1656
_____ <i>v.</i> Etburry Lushkur	3 W. R., Act X, 160	3808
_____ <i>v.</i> Ishoree Lushkur	W. R., 1864, Act X, 74	1655
Jadub Chunder Sandyal <i>v.</i> Bhyrub Chunder Sandyal	19 W. R., 344	1657
Jadub Churn Thakoor <i>v.</i> Bholanath Singh Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 51	3367
Jadubindu Odhikaree <i>v.</i> Lokenauth Gere	Marsh., 303 : 2 Hay, 160	224
Jadub Mundul <i>v.</i> Bishoo Sirdar	15 W. R., 369	696
Jadub Nath <i>v.</i> Ram Sundur Surma	7 W. R., 174	4756
Jadub Ram Deb <i>v.</i> Ram Lochun Mudduck	5 W. R., 56	4193
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramlochan Mudduck	19 W. R., 189	5357, 6275
Jadu Dass <i>v.</i> Sutherland	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 556 : 3 C. L. R., 223.	5128
Jadu Lal <i>v.</i> Ram Gholam	I. L. R., 1 All., 316	1184
Jadulal Mullick <i>v.</i> Gopal Chandra Mukerji	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 136	5040
Jadumani Dasi <i>v.</i> Fudu Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 28	5281
		877

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Janokee Dossee v. Kisto Komul Singh	Marsh., 1: 1 Hay, 20	2357
Janokee Nath Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coomar Roy } Chowdhry.	25 W. R., 65	4229
Janokee Nath Dutt v. Mahomed Israil	22 W. R., 285	3060
Janokeenath Mookerjee v. Joykishen Mookerjee	15 W. R., 4	1454
_____ v. Radhamohun Chatterjee	20 W. R., 130	5338
_____ v. Raj Kisto Singh	15 W. R., 292	3822
Janokey Doss v. Brindabun Doss	3 Moore's I. A., 175	1475, 4262 5973
Janokey Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 466	254
Janoki Debi v. Gopal Acharjia Goswami	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 766: 13 C. } L. R., 30	2247
Janokinath Gupta. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 628: 8 C. } L. R., 56	4447
Janokinath Mookerjee v. Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 949	4045
Janokinath Mukhopadhyaya v. Mothuranath Mukhopadhyaya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 580: 12 C. } L. R., 215	2459, 2513
Janoki Singh Roy v. Kaloo Mundul	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 889: 9 } W. R., 178	430
Janoky Bullubh Sen v. Johiruddin Mahomed Abu Ali } Soher Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 67	5427
Janoo Mundur v. Brijoo Singh	22 W. R., 548	1584
_____ v. Hucha Mundur	12 W. R., 366	4763, 4825
Janssen v. Dundas	1 Hyde, 269	909
_____ v. Reuss	Cor., 13	2670
Jantoo v. Radha Canto Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 515	1248
Jarao v. Fakeera	3 Agra, 133	3307
Jardine v. Tariini Mohan Sen	8 B. L. R., Ap., 44	5206
Jardine, Skinner, & Co., v. Dhun Kishen Sein	13 W. R., 82	5144
_____ v. Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 412	5024
_____ v. Money	14 W. R., 312	1201, 5719
_____ v. Nathoram	Bourke, A. O. C., 43	4588
_____ v. Sarut Soondari Debi	L. R., 5 I. A., 161: 3 C. L } R., 140	5186
_____ v. Shama Soonduree Debia	10 W. R., 60	2707
_____ v. Shurnomoyee	13 W. R., 196	2752
_____ v. Shurnomoyee	24 W. R., 215	1433, 4407
Jarfan Khan v. Jabbar Meah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 383	3722
Jariutool Butool v. Hoseinee Begum	10 Moore's I. A., 196	280
_____ v. Hosseinee Begum	10 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 } Moore's I. A., 194	3703, 4649
Jasimuddin v. Mansur Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 149: 15 W. } R., 11	5301
"Jason." In the matter of the steam-ship	1 Mad., 270	5644
Jassim Binsaff v. Esau Ahmed	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 13	6126
Jassoda Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 916: 11 C. } L. R., 348	1193, 1882
Jaswant Singh v. Dip Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 432	816
Jateeram Surmah v. Mungloo Surmah	8 W. R., 60	2331
Jatha Naik v. Venktapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 14	5406
Jatinga Valley Tea Company v. Chera Tea Company	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 45	236, 3556
Jatiram Talookdar v. Dassram Kolita	3 C. L. R., 574	1114, 5020
Jaun v. Mahomed Hadee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	2824
Jaun Ali v. Jan Ali	9 W. R., 149	1604, 1647
Jaun Beebee v. Beparee	3 W. R., 93	3646
Jaundha Kuar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 159	3100
Javali Ramasami v. Sathambakam Theruven Gadasami	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 340	1131

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Javani Bhai v. Jiva Bhai	2 Mad., 462	2125, 2544
Java Ramji v. Jadavji Natha	1 Bom., 224	424, 2627
— v. Jadavji Nathu	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142	2627
Javermal Hirachand v. Umaji Hayabati	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 179	798
Javherbai v. Haribhai	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 575	5409, 5472
Jawahar Singh v. Mul Raj	1 L. R., 8 All., 449	176, 369
Jawahir Lal v. Naraindas	1 L. R., 1 All., 644	3456
Jawahir Singh v. Guyau Singh	3 Agra, 78	2156
— v. Jadu Nath	1 L. R., 7 All., 439	1942
Jawahra v. Akbar Husain	1 L. R., 7 All., 178	4272, 5226
Jayanti Lakshmaya v. Yerubandi Pedda Appadu	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 111	5354
Jaykali Debi v. Shibnath Chatterjee	2 B. L. R., O. C., 1	4672
Jay Mangal Singh. In the petition of	3 B. L. R., A. C., 82: 11 W. R., 433. }	379
— v. Lal Rung Pal Sing	4 B. L. R., Ap., 53	3229
— v. Mohan Ram Marwari	8 B. L. R., 130, note; and 319, note: 12 W. R., 397. }	170, 376
Jaynarayan Singh v. Matilal Jha	1 B. L. R., A. C., 21	3018
Jayram Gir v. Shiuraj Koer	2 B. L. R., P. C., 98: 11 W. R., P. C., 41. }	1401
Jeannissa Ladli Begam Saheb v. Manikji Kharsetji	7 Bom., O. C., 36	3305
Jechand Khusal v. Aba	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 132	423
Jeetoollah Paramanick v. Jugodindro Narain Roy	22 W. R., 12	692
Jeeawan v. Goolab Khan	1 N. W., 97: Ed. 1873, 147	772
Jeeb Nath Singh v. Kurcemun Bibee	7 W. R., 172	509
Jeebraj Singh v. Inderjeet Mahtoon	12 B. L. R., 115, note: 18 W. R., 109. }	6075
Jeebunissa v. Umul Chunder Chacklanuviss	18 W. R., 151	477, 4154
Jeebun Kissan Roy v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 363	236, 4895
Jeebunkisto Roy v. Shib Chunder Dass	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 1027	1310
Jeebun Kristo Gossamee v. Romanath Gossamee	23 W. R., 297	2472
Jeebun Mundul v. Nadyar Chand Roy	25 W. R., 461	54
Jeebun Singh Burmono v. Collector of Backergunge	2 W. R., 77	1719
Jeetnath Sahee Deo v. Lokenath Sahee Deo	19 W. R., 239	2224
Jeetoo v. Beetun	Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85: 1 Hay, 112. }	6109
Jeetoshur Dhurn Deb v. Foosee Singh	1 Hay, 515	4981
Jeetram Dutt v. Doorgadass Chatterjee	22 W. R., 430	1140
Jeetun Lal v. Sheo Churn	2 W. R., 214	588, 2211
Jeewanram v. Roonta	1 Agra, 240	1418
Jeewa Ram v. Isree	6 N. W., 153	908
Jeewun Punda v. Sona	1 W. R., Ed. 1873, 66	2529
Jehan Bebee v. Saivuk Ram	1 Agra, F. B., 109: Ed. 1874, 82. }	4880
Jehan Buksh. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 42	5567
— In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr., 87	4739
Jehandard Khan v. Munnoo	1 Agra, 248	3112
Jehangir Baksh. In the matter of the petition of	7 B. L. R., 24: 11 W. R., 480	3715
— v. Lala Bhikari Lall	7 B. L. R., 24, note	3717
Jehangir Gustadji v. Joosup Haji Ahmed	6 B. L. R., 42, note	3715
Jehangir Rastamji Modi v. Shamji Ladha	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 494	950
Jehan Kadr v. Afsar Bahu Begum	4 Bom., O. C., 185	935
— v. Assur Bahu	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 1: 1 L. R., 12: I. A., 124. }	1028
Jehan Khan v. Mandy	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 727	1027
	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. R., 185. }	3736

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaita bin Kalu v. Babu bin Raghu	3 Bom., A. C., 81	12
Jaitha Bhima v. Abdul Vyad Oosman	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 634	1529
Jakaria v. Kasim	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496	2678
Jaker Ali Chowdhry v. Rajchunder Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 831, note	3697
Jalaluddeen Mahomed v. Shohorullah	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. } R., 422. }	1242
Jalam Punja v. Khoda Javra	8 Bom., A. C., 29	5236
Jaleeram v. Chunder Coomaree Dossee	12 B. L. R., Ap., 7	4296
Jalha v. Koylash Chunder Dey	10 W. R., 407	2928
Jalil Munshi v. Farnam Hossein	6 W. R., Cr., 55	957
Jallaloodden v. Burne	15 B. L. R., 261, note: 18 W. } R., 99. }	4924
Jallidar Singh v. Ram Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 723	2397
Jalu Namdar v. Beicha Namdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 394	4763, 4825
Jamaitunnissa v. Lutfunnissa	I. L. R., 7 All., 606	235, 5023
Jamalooddeen Hossein v. Ramadhin Misser	24 W. R., 331	3804
— v. Ramadheen Misser	25 W. R., 136	3805
Jamal Saheb v. Murgaya Swami	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 34	2256, 3423
Jamal walad Ahmed v. Jamal walad Jallal	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 633	3638, 3698
Jameela v. Luchmun Panday	4 C. L. R., 74	5961
— v. Mahomed Hossein	Marsh., 251: 2 Hay, 111	4288
Jameelah Khatoon v. Pagul Ram	1 W. R., 251	3711
James Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of	1 C. L. R., 62	4624
Jamilan v. Latif Hossein	8 B. L. R., 160: 16 W. R., } F. B., 13. }	3726
Jamir Gazi v. Goneye Mundul	13 B. L. R., 278, note: 12 } W. R., 110. }	5193, 5198
Jamiyatram v. Bai Jamna	2 Bom., 10: 2nd Ed., 11	2303
Jamiyatram Himatram v. Gujarat Trading Company	6 Bom., A. C., 185	165
Jamiyatram Ramchandra v. Parbhudas Hathi	9 Bom., 116	2236
Jamna v. Machul Sahu	I. L. R., 2 All., 315	2427
Jamnabai v. Raychand Nahalchand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 225	1460, 2118
Jamnadar Savaklal's case. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 113	934
Jamnadas v. Bai Shivkor	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 572	5698
— v. Lalitaram	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 294	3499, 4388
Jamnadas Shankarlal v. Atmaram Harjivan	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 133	50, 2616
Jamoona. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620: 8 C. L. } R., 215. }	1954
Jamoti v. Gadalo Kamar	1 C. L. R., 89	3623
Jamsedji Kavasji v. Motibai	2 Bom., 397, 2nd Ed., 375	666
Jamsetji Jijibhai v. Sonabai	2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133	6049
Jamuna Bhai Anmal v. Sadagopa Edintara Maha Desika Swamiar	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 56	2194, 5447
Janab Ali v. Chandi Charan Dey	5 B. L. R., 334, note: 11 W. } R., 202. }	5139
Janadhana Embrandri v. Palakil Kesava Embrandri	3 Mad., 198	107
Janaki v. Kesavalu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 207	3459
Janaki Ammal v. Kamalathammal	7 Mad., 263	1702
Janakiammal v. Vithenadien	5 Mad., 191	5665
Janaki Ballav Sen v. Hafiz Mahomed Ali Khan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 47	670
Jan Ali v. Atawur Rahmun	9 C. L. R., 433	5231
— v. Gooroo Doss Roy	8 W. R., 338	2976
— v. Jan Ali Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., A. C., 56: 10 W. } R., 154. }	5336
— v. Khondkar Abdur Kuhma	6 B. L. R., 154: 14 W. R., } 420. }	338, 1423

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jan Ali <i>v.</i> Ram Nath Mundul . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 32 : 9 C. L. R., 433. }	112, 5231
Jan Ali Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ishan Chunder Sein	16 W. R., 149 . . .	557
_____ <i>v.</i> Nitty Nund Bose . . .	B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 972 : 10 W. R., F. B., 12. }	563
_____ <i>v.</i> Tarini Churn Rukteet . . .	21 W. R., 107 . . .	557
Janan Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Doolar Chowdhry . . .	18 W. R., 347 . . .	147
Janardan Vithal <i>v.</i> Anant Mahadev . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 373 . . .	3454, 4307
Janardhan Pandurang <i>v.</i> Gopal Pandurang . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 145 . . .	2338
Janee Khanum <i>v.</i> Amatool Fatima Khatoon . . .	8 W. R., 51 . . .	3332, 3382 3659, 5041
Janeswar Das <i>v.</i> Gulzari Lal . . .	5 B. L. R., 666, note : 11 W. R., 216. . .	5076
Janger Mahomed <i>v.</i> Mahomed Arjad . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 509 : 5 C. L. R., 370. . .	3724
Jankee <i>v.</i> Jhanjoo . . .	2 N. W., 407 . . .	6094
_____ <i>v.</i> Sreenath Roy Chowdhry . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 19. . .	842
Jankee Bullub Sen. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716 . . .	5944
Jankee Dass <i>v.</i> Mahomed . . .	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 16 : Ed. 1873, 76. . .	1182
Jankee Kooer <i>v.</i> Lekranee Kooer . . .	W. R., 1864, 285 . . .	3238, 5213
Jankee Pershad <i>v.</i> Ajoodhya Doss . . .	25 W. R., 257 . . .	3933
Jankeeram Doss <i>v.</i> Chundrabutty Debia . . .	7 W. R., 295 . . .	759
Jankeeram Mohunt <i>v.</i> Haradhun Banerjee . . .	W. R., 1864, 38 . . .	3278
Janki <i>v.</i> Dharam Chand . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 177 . . .	83
_____ <i>v.</i> Girjadat . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 482 . . .	4553
Jankibai <i>v.</i> Atnaram Baburav . . .	8 Bom., A. C., 241 . . .	1206, 1692 4528
Janki Das <i>v.</i> Badri Nath . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 698 . . .	4052, 5396
_____ <i>v.</i> East Indian Railway Company . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 634 . . .	407
Jankiprasad <i>v.</i> Baldeo Narain . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 216 . . .	1482
_____ <i>v.</i> Ghulam Ali . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 201 . . .	3217
_____ <i>v.</i> Mantangui Debia . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 577 . . .	3938, 4837
Janki Singh <i>v.</i> Ablak Singh . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 393 . . .	812
Janki Tewari <i>v.</i> Gayan Tewari . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 427 . . .	179
Jan Koer. In the matter of . . .	1 C. L. R., 402 . . .	197
Jan Koonwar <i>v.</i> Ram Ruttun Neoghy . . .	18 W. R., 500 . . .	50
Jan Mahomed <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584 . . .	2007
Janmajay Mazumdar <i>v.</i> Keshab Lall Ghose . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 134 . . .	2476
Jan Muhammad <i>v.</i> Ilahi Bakhsh . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 290 . . .	487
Jannoba <i>v.</i> Girish Chandra Chuckerbutty . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 44 : 15 W. R., 335. }	1628
Jannobee Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Collector of Mymensing . . .	8 W. R., 287 . . .	46, 1757
_____ <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry . . .	7 W. R., 455 . . .	
_____ <i>v.</i> Gendoo Turrufdar . . .	12 W. R., 203 . . .	
Jannokeo Doss <i>v.</i> King on the prosecution of Bindabun Doss. . .	1 Moore's I. A., 67 . . .	5973
Janoji <i>v.</i> Janoji . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 185 . . .	1519, 4028
Janoji Banaji <i>v.</i> Vyankatesh Shrinivas . . .	2 Bom., 393 : 2nd Ed., 371 . . .	803
Janokee Beebee <i>v.</i> Habeebul Hossein . . .	W. R., 1864, 272 . . .	769
Janokee Bibee <i>v.</i> Luchmun Pershad . . .	17 W. R., 137 . . .	4302
Janokee Bullub Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 33 . . .	1587
Janokee Bullub Sein <i>v.</i> Dukhina Mohun Chowdhry . . .	7 W. R., 519 . . .	5944
Janokee Chowdhranee <i>v.</i> Dwarkanauth Chowdhry . . .	1 Hay, 555 . . .	67, 403 4404
Janokee Debea <i>v.</i> Gopal Acharjea . . .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 365 . . .	2128, 224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaita bin Kalu v. Babu bin Raghu	3 Bom., A. C., 81	12
Jaitha Bhima v. Abdul Vyad Oosman	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 634	1529
Jakaria v. Kasim	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 496	2678
Jaker Ali Chowdhry v. Rajchunder Sen	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 831, note	3697
Jalaluddeen Mahomed v. Shohorullah	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. } R., 422. }	1242
Jalam Punja v. Khoda Javra	8 Bom., A. C., 29	5236
Jaleeram v. Chunder Coomaree Dossee	12 B. L. R., Ap., 7	4296
Jalha v. Koylash Chunder Dey	10 W. R., 407	2928
Jalil Munshi v. Farnam Hossein	6 W. R., Cr., 55	957
Jallaloodden v. Burne	15 B. L. R., 261, note: 18 W. } R., 99. }	4924
Jallidar Singh v. Ram Lal	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 723	2397
Jalu Namdar v. Beicha Namdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 394	4763, 4825
Jamaitunnissa v. Lut'unnissa	1. L. R., 7 All., 606	235, 5023
Jamalooddeen Hossein v. Ramadhin Misser	24 W. R., 331	3804
— v. Ramadheen Misser	25 W. R., 136	3805
Jamal Saheb v. Murgaya Swami	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 34	2256, 3423
Jamal walad Ahmed v. Jamal walad Jallal	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 633	3638, 3698
Jameela v. Luchmun Panday	4 C. L. R., 74	5961
— v. Mahomed Hossein	Marsh., 251: 2 Hay, 111	4288
Jameelah Khatoon v. Pagul Ram	1 W. R., 251	3711
James Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of	1 C. L. R., 62	4624
Jamilan v. Latif Hossein	8 B. L. R., 160: 16 W. R., } F. B., 13. }	3726
Jamir Gazi v. Goneye Mundul	13 B. L. R., 278, note: 12 } W. R., 110. }	5193, 5198
Jamiyatram v. Bai Jamna	2 Bom., 10: 2nd Ed., 11	2303
Jamiyatram Himatram v. Gujarat Trading Company	6 Bom., A. C., 185	165
Jamiyatram Ramchandra v. Parbhudas Hathi	9 Bom., 116	2236
Jamna v. Machul Sahu	1. L. R., 2 All., 315	2427
Jamnabai v. Raychand Nahalchand	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 225	1460, 2118 2129, 2141
Jamnadar Savaklal's case. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 113	934
Jamnadas v. Bai Shivkor	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 572	5698
— v. Lalitaram	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 294	3499, 4388
Jamnadas Shankarlal v. Atmaram Harjivan	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 133	50, 2616
Jamoona. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 620: 8 C. L. } R., 215. }	1954
Jamoti v. Gadalo Kamar	1 C. L. R., 89	3623
Jamsedji Kavasji v. Motibai	2 Bom., 397, 2nd Ed., 375	666
Jamsetji Jijibhai v. Sonabai	2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133	6049
Jamuna Bhai Ammal v. Sadagopa Edintara Maha Desika Swamiar	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 56	2194, 5447
Janab Ali v. Chandi Charan Dey	5 B. L. R., 334, note: 11 W. } R., 202. }	5139
Janadhana Embrandri v. Palakil Kesava Embrandri	3 Mad., 198	107
Janaki v. Kesavalu	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 207	3459
Janaki Ammal v. Kamalathammal	7 Mad., 263	1702
Janakiammal v. Vithenadien	5 Mad., 191	5665
Janaki Ballav Sen v. Hafiz Mahomed Ali Khan	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 47	670
Jan Ali v. Atawur Ruhmur	9 C. L. R., 433	5231
— v. Gooroo Doss Roy	8 W. R., 338	2976
— v. Jan Ali Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., A. C., 56: 10 W. } R., 154. }	5336
— v. Khondkar Abdur Kuhma	6 B. L. R., 154: 14 W. R., } 420. }	338, 1423

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jan Ali v. Ram Nath Mundul	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 32 : 9 C. L. R., 433. }	112, 5231
Jan Ali Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Sein	16 W. R., 149	557
— v. Nittya Nund Bose	{ B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 972 : 10 W. R., F. B., 12. }	563
— v. Tarini Churn Rukheet	21 W. R., 107	557
Janan Chowdhry v. Doolar Chowdhry	18 W. R., 347	147
Janardan Vithal v. Anant Mahadev	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 373	3454, 4307
Janardhan Pandurang v. Gopal Pandurang	5 Bom., A. C., 145	2338
Janee Khanum v. Amatool Fatima Khatoon	8 W. R., 51	{ 3332, 3382 3659, 5041 }
Janeswar Das v. Gulzari Lal	{ 5 B. L. R., 666, note : 11 W. R., 216. }	5076
Janger Mahomed v. Mahomed Arjad	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 509 : 5 C. L. R., 370. }	3724
Jankee v. Jhanjoo	2 N. W., 407	6094
— v. Sreenath Roy Chowdhry	5 W. R., Mis., 19	842
Jankee Bullub Sen. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716	5944
Jankee Dass v. Mahomed	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 16 : Ed. 1873, 76	1182
Jankee Kooer v. Lekrance Kooer	W. R., 1864, 285	3238, 5213
Jankee Pershad v. Ajoodhya Doss	25 W. R., 257	3933
Jankeeram Doss v. Chundrabutty Debia	7 W. R., 295	759
Jankeeram Mohunt v. Haradhun Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 38	3278
Janki v. Dharam Chand	I. L. R., 4 All., 177	83
— v. Girjadat	I. L. R., 7 All., 482	4553
Jankibai v. Atmaram Baburav	8 Bom., A. C., 241	{ 1206, 1692 4528 }
Janki Das v. Badri Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 698	4052, 5396
— v. East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 6 All., 634	407
Jankiprasad v. Baldeo Narain	I. L. R., 3 All., 216	1482
— v. Ghulam Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 201	3217
— v. Mantangui Debia	I. L. R., 7 All., 577	3938, 4837
Janki Singh v. Ablak Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 393	812
Janki Tewari v. Gayan Tewari	I. L. R., 3 All., 427	179
Jan Koer. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 402	197
Jan Koonwar v. Ram Ruttun Neoghy	18 W. R., 500	50
Jan Mahomed v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584	2007
Janmajay Mazumdar v. Keshab Lall Ghose	2 B. L. R., A. C., 134	2476
Jan Muhammad v. Ilahi Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 290	487
Jannoba v. Girish Chandra Chuckerbutty	{ 7 B. L. R., Ap., 44 : 15 W. R., 335. }	1628
Jannobee Chowdhrair v. Collector of Mymensing	8 W. R., 287	46, 1757
— v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 455	5212
— v. Gendoo Turrufdar	12 W. R., 203	4477
Jannoee Doss v. King on the prosecution of Bindabun } Doss.	1 Moore's I. A., 67	5973
Janoji v. Janoji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 185	1519, 4028
Janoji Banaji v. Vyankatesh Shrinivas	2 Bom., 393 : 2nd Ed., 371	803
Janokee Beebee v. Habeebul Hossein	W. R., 1864, 272	769
Janokee Bibee v. Luchmun Pershad	17 W. R., 137	4302
Janokee Bullub Chuckerbutty v. Nobin Chunder Roy } Chowdhry.	2 W. R., Act X, 33	1587
Janokee Bullub Sein v. Dukhina Mohun Chowdhry	7 W. R., 519	5944
Janokee Chowdhranee v. Dwarkanauth Chowdhry	1 Hay, 555	{ 67, 4033 4404 }
Janokee Debea v. Gopal Acharjea	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 365	2128, 2247

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jadu Nath Hazra v. Annoda Prosad Sircar. In the matter of.	11 C. L. R., 53 . . .	5516
Jadu Nath Kundu Chowdry v. Braja Nath Kundu . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 90 . . .	5446
Jadu Nath Mitter v. Bolychand Dutt . . .	7 B. L. R., 607 . . .	3749
Jadunath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of . . .	6 B. L. R., 333 . . .	5119
Jadunath Sing v. Kaliprasad . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 55 : 14 W. R., 358. . .	4956
Jadu Nath Sircar v. Sonamonee Dossee . . .	Cor., 70 . . .	4154
Jadu Nundun Singh v. Dulput Singh . . .	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 581 . . .	3725
Jadu Rai v. Kanizak Husain . . .	1. L. R., 8 All., 576 . . .	2765, 4746
Jadu Roy v. Farrell . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 66 . . .	1866
Jadu Singh v. Rajkumar . . .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 171 : 13 W. R., 177. . .	3721, 3724
Jafar Ali Nizam Ali v. Ahmad Ali Imam Haidarbaksh . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 37 . . .	1818, 3637
Jafer Hossein v. Mahomed Amir . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 103 : 13 W. R., 351. . .	883, 3146
Jaffree Begum v. Mahomed Zahoor Ahsun Khan . . .	2 N. W., 409 . . .	3290, 3291
— v. Ujbee Begum . . .	3 Agra, 153 . . .	4013
Jaffree Khanum v. Imdad Hossein . . .	2 N. W., 314 . . .	1785, 5100
Jaffree Begum v. Ahmed Hossein Khan . . .	1 Agra, 270 . . .	2715, 5770
— v. Gungaram . . .	3 Agra, 91 . . .	5773
— v. Hossein Zaman Khan . . .	2 N. W., 6 . . .	4015
Jafri Begam v. Amir Muhammad Khan . . .	1. L. R., 7 All., 822 . . .	3043
Jafur Hossein v. Hingun Jan . . .	8 W. R., 161 . . .	3642
Jagabandhu Das Gajendra Mahapatra v. Dinabandhu Das Gajendra Mahapatra. . .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 30 . . .	1920
Jagabandhu Myti v. Goberdhan Bera . . .	4 B. L. R., Cr., 1 . . .	4454
Jagabhai Lallubhai v. Rustamji Nasarwanji . . .	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 311 . . .	1534
Jagadamba Chaothrain v. Dakhina Mohun Roy Chaothri. . .	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 308 : L. R. 13 I. A., 84. . .	1666, 4255
Jagadamba Dasi v. Grob . . .	5 B. L. R., 639 . . .	3349
— v. Haran Chandra Dutt . . .	6 B. L. R., 526, note : 10 W. R., 108. . .	2066, 5607
— v. Padmamani Dasi . . .	6 B. L. R., 686 . . .	5879
Jagadindra Banwari Gobind v. Bhototarini Dasi . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 54 . . .	1180, 5779
Jaganadham v. Ragunadha . . .	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 276 . . .	2822
Jagannath v. Baldeo . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 305 . . .	302
Jagan Nath v. Lalman . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 260 . . .	2729
Jagannath Das Gurubaksh Das v. Ram Das Gurubaksh Das. . .	7 Bom., O. C., 79 . . .	5415
Jagannath Pal v. Bidyanand . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 114 : 10 W. R., 172. . .	877, 3143
Jagan Nath Panday v. Prag Singh . . .	1. L. R., 2 All., 545 . . .	456
Jagannath Vithal v. Apaji Vishnu . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 217 . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 114 : 10 W. R., 172. . .
Jagarnath Singh v. Shewratan Singh . . .	15 B. L. R., 272 : 24 W. R., 105. . .	2340, 3416
Jagatnarain v. Jagrup . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 452 . . .	2060, 2866
— v. Qutub Husain . . .	1. L. R., 2 All., 807 . . .	2193, 5819
— v. Sheo Das . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 311 . . .	6100
Jagat Narain Rai v. Dhundhey Rai . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 566 . . .	3176
Jagat Sunderi Dasi v. Sonatan Bysak . . .	5 B. L. R., 357 . . .	831
Jageshar Singh v. Jawahir Singh . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 311 . . .	1142
Jagesri Kuar v. Ram Nath Bhagat . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 371 . . .	2309
Jageswar Das. In re . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 128 . . .	5429
		357
		3240
		1418
		686

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaggabandhu Bose <i>v.</i> Sachi Bibi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 16 W. R., 22	3243
Jaggadanand Misser <i>v.</i> Hamid Rasul	8 B. L. R., 182, note	4163
Jaggamoni Dasi <i>v.</i> Nilmoni Ghosal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 75: 11 C. L. } R., 502	5231
Jagganath Baisak <i>v.</i> Proranno Coomar Chatterjee	9 C. L. R., 221	3004
Jagat Indar Narain Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nistarinee Dassee	24 W. R., 446	2070
Jagbhardar of Arnee <i>v.</i> Periyanna Mudely	5 Mad., 317	5700
Jagbhardar of Arni <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 226	4572
Jagbhardar of Viruthalabathi <i>v.</i> Nateri Srinivasa Charlu	6 Mad., 356	3679
Jagjivan <i>v.</i> Ismail Alli Khan	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 426	2098
Jagjivan Amirchand <i>v.</i> Hasan Abraham	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 179	3159
Jagjivandas Javerdas <i>v.</i> Imdad Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 211	2097
Jagjivandas Jawherdas <i>v.</i> Narayan Lakhman Patil	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 493	4789
Jagjivan Javherdas <i>v.</i> Gulam Jilani Chaudhri	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 17	3272
Jagjivan Javherdas Seth <i>v.</i> Magdum Ali	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 487	4425
Jagjivan Nanabhai. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 82	1889
Jagjivan Nanabhai <i>v.</i> Shridhar Balkrishna Nagarkar	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 252	3909
Jagmohandas Mangaldas <i>v.</i> Mangaldas Nathubhoy	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 528	2368, 2461
Jago Bundhoo Tewaree <i>v.</i> Kurum Singh	22 W. R., 341	51
Jagomohan Sahu <i>v.</i> Mani Lal Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., Ap., 77	2752
Jagram Das <i>v.</i> Narain Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 857	783
Jagrani Bibi <i>v.</i> Ganeshi	I. L. R., 3 All., 435	3234
Jagun Kooer <i>v.</i> Rughoonundun Lall Sahoo	10 W. R., 148	2357
Jagurdee <i>v.</i> Radhakishore	13 W. R., 259	4169
Jagut Chunder Roy <i>alias</i> Bashi Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Rup Chand Chango.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 48: 11 C. L. } R., 143.	3049
Jahangeer Buksh <i>v.</i> Bhickharee Lall	11 W. R., 71	3715, 6276
<i>v.</i> Lalla Bhikaree Lall	11 W. R., 480	3717
Jahanoby Deo Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Umbicapershad Narain Singh.	17 W. R., 74	1170
Jahu Bux <i>v.</i> Government	6 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 15 W. } R., Cr., 14.	4740
Jai Bansi Kunwar <i>v.</i> Chatter Dhari Singh	5 B. L. R., 181	2245
Jaibun <i>v.</i> Nujeeboonissa	12 W. R., 497	3633
Jaibun-nissa <i>v.</i> Jairam Gir	I. L. R., 1 All., 616	439
Jaichand <i>v.</i> Behari	7 N. W., 177	3492
Jaidial <i>v.</i> Sitaram	L. R., 8 I. A., 215	4225
Jaijit Rai <i>v.</i> Gobind Tiwari	I. L. R., 6 All., 303	4018
Jaikaran Rai <i>v.</i> Ganga Dhari Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 175	3238
Jaikison Das Gopal Das <i>v.</i> Harkison Das Hullodhar Das.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 9	1202, 2296
Jai Kuar <i>v.</i> Heera Lal	7 N. W., 1	2439
<i>v.</i>	7 N. W., 5	3709
Jainungal Koeri <i>v.</i> Mokhun Kori	10 C. L. R., 611	3141, 3237
Jainarayan Bose <i>v.</i> Kadumbini Dasi	7 B. L. R., 723, note	4652
Jainooddeen <i>v.</i> Purno Chunder Roy	8 W. R., 129	1681
Jai Prakash Lal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 26	1604
Jai Ram <i>v.</i> Dulari Chand	I. L. R., 5 All., 309	4720, 4725
<i>v.</i> Mahabir Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720	217
Jairam Das <i>v.</i> Beni Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 15	4545
Jairam Geer <i>v.</i> Sheo Sumput Doobey	5 N. W., 84	4538
Jairam Gir <i>v.</i> Miller	7 B. L. R., 74: 15 W. R., O. } C., 16.	4936
Jairam Narayan Raje <i>v.</i> Atnaram Narayan Raje	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 482	2663, 2674
Jairam Narronji <i>v.</i> Kuverbai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 491	2827
Jaishankar <i>v.</i> Tetley	I. L. R., 1 All., 586	2549
Jaishee Ram <i>v.</i> Bijai Kooer	5 N. W., 177	3458, 3467
		5469

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jekisan Bapuji v. Bhowsar Bhoga Jetha . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 89 . . .	3206
Jeldhari Singh v. Shunkur Doyal . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 9 . . .	981, 6254
Jellicoe v. British India Steam Navigation Co. . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 489 . . .	594
Jellor Ruhman v. Seetaram Dutt . . .	21 W. R., 224 . . .	2933
Jenkins v. Heycock . . .	5 Moore's I. A., 361 . . .	2685
Jeo Lal Singh v. Gunga Pershad . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 996 . . .	5309, 5385
— v. Surfun . . .	11 C. L. R., 483 . . .	4966
Jeonath Bhuggut v. Roopa Koonwur . . .	2 W. R., 273, note . . .	1413, 3397
Jeonee v. Dhurum Kooer . . .	3 N. W., 108 . . .	2447
Jeoni v. Bhagwan Sahai . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 541 . . .	3248
Jeora Khan Singh v. Thakooree Singh . . .	2 N. W., 303 . . .	1900
Jeorakhun Loll v. Muttra Pershad . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 252 . . .	360
Jeorakhun Singh v. Hookum Singh . . .	3 Agra, 358 . . .	3991
Jerabutoonissa Khanum v. Ram Chunder Doss . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 36 . . .	3010
Jeshta Ramji Shett v. Awaker Mullanadeagata Kunhi . . .	3 Mad., 299 . . .	5784
Jesinghbai v. Hataji . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 79 . . .	1140, 1683
Jesmanee Kooer v. Debee Dyal Rae . . .	3 N. W., 137 . . .	2972
Jesmut v. Shoojaut Ali . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 59 . . .	1422
Jeswunt Singjee Ubbay Singjee v. Jet Singjee Ubbay Singjee . . .	2 Moore's I. A., 424 . . .	3739
	3 Moore's I. A., 245: 6 W. } R., P. C., 46. }	6240
Jethabhai Bhaichand v. Bai Lakhu . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 27 . . .	3634, 3681
Jetha Madhavji v. Najeralli Abhramji . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 472 . . .	5665
Jetha Mulchand v. Gulraj Jasrup . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 577 . . .	5421
Jetoo Mahato v. Monuram Mahato . . .	17 W. R., 84 . . .	4342
Jetti v. Hossain . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 23, note . . .	1103
Jewajee v. Trimbukjee . . .	6 W. R., P. C., 38: 3 Moore's } I. A., 138. }	3244
Jewala Buksh v. Dharum Singh . . .	10 Moore's I. A., 511 . . .	3126
Jewan Lall Mahatab v. Doorga Dutt Singh . . .	20 W. R., 477 . . .	66
Jewa Ram v. Futteh Singh . . .	Agra, F. B., 125: Ed. 1874, 94 . . .	2708
Jewun Bibee v. Buddun Mundul . . .	9 W. R., 489 . . .	3028
Jewun Doss Sahoo v. Kubeerooddeen . . .	6 W. R., P. C., 3: 2 Moore's } I. A., 390. }	5770
Jeyangarulavaru v. Durma Dossji . . .	4 Mad., 2 . . .	3670
Jeyasankira Devi v. Nagaunada Devi . . .	1 Mad., 106: 1 Ind. Jur., O. } S., 136. }	108
Jeychund v. Kadhorce . . .	2 N. W., 244; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 222 . . .	352
Jey Mull v. Kesree . . .	Agra, F. B., 171: Ed. 1874, } 128. }	5636
Jey Narain v. Torabun . . .	3 Agra, 216 . . .	4548
Jey Singh v. Moorlee . . .	2 N. W., 98; Agra, F. B., Ed. } 1874, 194. }	1675, 3816
Jhabar Mahomed v. Modan Sonahar . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 671 . . .	541
Jhabbu Ram v. Girdhari Singh . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 289 . . .	851
Jhabbu Singh. In the matter of . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 642 . . .	3905
Jhaloo v. Furzund Ali . . .	5 W. R., 20 . . .	3177
Jhanjoo Kooer v. Dameenah Kooer . . .	17 W. R., 343 . . .	4142
Jharee Sahoo v. Bundhoo Sahoo . . .	15 W. R., 218 . . .	683
Jharoo v. Raj Chunder Dass . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 299 . . .	1768
Jharu v. Bahar Ally . . .	7 W. R., Cr., 11 . . .	3550
Jhatu Sahu v. Ram Charan Lal . . .	3 B. L. R., 413, note; 14 W. } R., 25. }	958
Jhinguri v. Bachu . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 134 . . .	448
		1310

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jhinguri Tewari v. Durga	I. L. R., 7 All., 878	1699, 2862-5202
Jhona Singh v. Neaz Begum	2 Agra, Pt. II, 183	2861, 3028
Jhoo Bhugguth v. Tara Hoom Hossein	W. R., 1864 132,	1139
Jhoomuck Chowdhry v. Anderson	6 W. R., Act X, 23	2990
_____ v. Radha Pershad Singh	25 W. R., 328	5471
Jhoomuck Singh v. Jeetun Lall	12 W. R., 359	780
Jhoonkee Paurey v. Ajoodhya Doss	19 W. R., 218	3819
Jhotee Sahoo v. Omesh Chunder Sircar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 1	3153
Jhotee Singh v. Gopal Singh	23 W. R., 457	5785
_____ v. Komul Roy	10 W. R., 119	3722
Jhoti Sahu v. Bhugun Gir	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 143	1496, 3529
Jhotun Bibee v. Ameer Chund	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 317 : 5 W. R., 105.	2847, 509
Jhowla v. Buhur	14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
Jhubboo Lall Sahoo v. Khoob Lall	22 W. R., 294	2453
Jhubboo Mahton. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739 : 12 C. L. R., 233.	723, 1267 1804, 6068
Jhubboo Mahton v. Empress	12 C. L. R., 233	6266
Jhubboo Sahoo v. Jusoda Koer	17 W. R., 230	6068, 6266 2435, 2571
Jhugro v. Lautoo Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 32	3557, 5130
Jhummun Pandey v. Dinonath Pandey	16 W. R., 171	5131
Jhummun Shah v. Debee Dass	1 N. W., 8 : Ed. 1873, 7	5180
Jhumuk Noniah v. Shadashib Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 26	5262
Jhundoo v. Himmut	3 N. W., 81	1602
Jhunna v. Ramsarup	I. L. R., 2 All., 777	1328
Jhunna Kuar v. Chain Sukh	I. L. R., 3 All., 400	997, 1867
Jhutoo Koer v. Lulita Koer	22 W. R., 423	2411
Jiat Sahu. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 339	4302
_____ v. Bheekon Roy	18 W. R., Cr., 39	4214
Jibhai Mahirpati v. Parbhu Bapu	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 59	1534
Jibnath Singh v. Court of Wards	5 B. L. R., 442 : 14 W. R., 117.	1534
Jibunti Nath Khan v. Shibnath Chuckerbutty	15 B. L. R., 190 : 23 W. R., 409 : L. R., 2 I. A., 163.	3500
Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba v. Kamakshi Bayi Saiba	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 819 : 10 C. L. R., 537.	2218, 2293
Jina Ranchod v. Jodha Ghella	3 Mad., 424	2294
Jitan Khan v. Durga Singh	1 Bom., 1	4876
Jitbahan v. Bansrup Dhobi	20 W. R., Cr., 59	2316, 2464
Jivandas Keshavji v. Framji Nanabhai	6 C. L. R., 193	2855
Jivanji Noorbhoy v. Coorji Lilladhar	7 Bom., O. C., 45	980
Jivaraj Megji v. Poulton	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 305	4497
Jivi v. Ramji	2 Bom., 267 : 2nd Ed., 253	1578, 2213
Jiwan Ali Beg v. Basa Mal	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207	3104, 4803
Jiwan Bakhsh v. Imtiaz Begam	I. L. R., 8 All., 203	2684
Jiwan Singh v. Sarnam Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 93	1066
Joakim. In re	I. L. R., 1 All., 97	2415, 3119
_____ v. Secretary of State for India	6 W. R., Mis., 120	5534
Joan Mull v. Munnoololl	I. L. R., 2 All., 93	3678
Jodha Singh v. Omaid Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 97	3181
Jodbraj v. Cantonment Magistrate of Morar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	3880
	2 Agra, Rev., 5	2649
	1 N. W., 174 : Ed. 1873, 253	2818

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jodoo Monee Dossee. In the matter of the petition of .	11 W. R., 494 . . .	3862, 5942
Jodoonath Chowdhry v. Radhomonee Dossee . . .	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 643 : 7 } W. R., 256. }	3255, 3402
Jodoonath Dey Sircar v. Brojonath Dey Sircar . . .	12 B. L. R., 385 . . .	2469
Jodoo Nath Ghose v. Sookhmoye Dossee . . .	1 W. R., 201 . . .	537
Jodoonath Mookerjee v. Panchanun Mookerjee . . .	14 W. R., 438 . . .	5119
Jodoonath Mundul v. Brojo Mohun Ghose . . .	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 174 . . .	222
Jodoo Nath Roy v. Ram Buksh Chulungee . . .	8 W. R., 202 . . .	796
Jodoo Nundun Pershad Singh v. Nundo Kooer . . .	1 W. R., 219 . . .	1413
Jogal Kishor v. Tale Singh . . .	1 L. R., 4 All., 320 . . .	1227, 6082
Jogal Kishore v. Mulchand . . .	7 N. W., 293 . . .	3231
Jogeen Pullee v. Nobo Pullee . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 49 . . .	5553
Jogekar v. Balajerav bin Anandray . . .	1 Bom., 184 . . .	178
Joge Narain Singh v. Bhugbans . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 13 . . .	805, 5451
Jogender Narain Deb Roykut v. Temple . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234 . . .	4943
Jogendro Bhuputi v. Nittyanund Man Sing . . .	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 702 . . .	2321
Jogendro Chunder Ghose v. Nobin Chunder Chottopadhyay . . .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 353 . . .	1189
— v. Shona Kalee . . .	24 W. R., 313 . . .	5310
Jogendro Deb Roykut v. Funindro Deb Roykut . . .	18 W. R., 102 . . .	5534
	11 B. L. R., 244 : 17 W. R., } 104 : 14 Moore's I. A., 367. }	2781
Jogendro Narain Coomar v. Surnomoyee . . .	12 B. L. R., 203, note : 14 W. R., } R., 39. }	811
Jogendro Narain Roy v. Hurrydoss Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, 145 . . .	5104
Jogendro Nath Mullick v. Bijoy Keshub Roy . . .	19 W. R., 161 . . .	1909
— v. Raj Narain Paloooye . . .	9 W. R., 489 . . .	4021
Jogendro Nath Sircar v. Gobind Chunder Addi . . .	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 252 . . .	5430
Jogendronundini Dossee v. Hurrydoss Ghose . . .	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 500 : 5 C. L. R., 65. . .	5098
Jogendur Deb Roy Kut v. Kishen Bundhoo Roy . . .	7 W. R., 272 . . .	3074
Jogesh Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Unatara Debya . . .	2 C. L. R., 577 . . .	3745
Jogesh Chunder Dutt. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., 285 . . .	5926
— v. Kali Churn Dutt . . .	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 30 : 1 C. L. R., 5. . .	3877
Jogesh Prokash Gangolee. In re . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 43 . . .	4503
Jogesh Prokash Gangooly v. Kalee Coomar Roy . . .	8 W. R., 274 . . .	3514, 3516
Jogeshur Rae v. Doolun Rae . . .	2 N. W., 183 . . .	4899
Jogessur Banerjee v. Kalyanee Churn Deo . . .	24 W. R., 41 . . .	351
Jogessur Sahai v. Muracho Kooer . . .	1 C. L. R., 354 . . .	268
Jogessur Sahoy v. Gopal Lall . . .	13 W. R., 173 . . .	434
Jogessur Singh v. Bycunt Nath Dutt . . .	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 822 : 6 C. L. R., 519. }	1844
Jogeswar Chakrabatti v. Panch Kauri Chakrabatti . . .	5 B. L. R., 395 : 14 W. R., } 154. }	2847
Jogeswar Dutt v. Nitai Chand Chuckerbutty . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 48 . . .	3887
Jogeswar Sirkar v. Nimai Karmakar . . .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7 . . .	2038
Jogi Singh v. Kunj Behari Singh . . .	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 509 . . .	3856
Jogmaya Chowdhraim v. Huree Mohun Roy . . .	24 W. R., 99 . . .	4481
Jogul Kishore v. Chutter Singh . . .	1 Agra, 27 . . .	5082
— v. Rampertab Singh . . .	4 N. W., 129 . . .	2883
— v. Shib Sahai . . .	1 L. R., 5 All., 430 . . .	413, 2458
Johan Buksh v. Meher Bibee alias Mohur . . .	7 W. R., 183 . . .	224
Joharmal v. Municipality of Ahmednagar . . .	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 580 . . .	618, 3276
Joheerooddeen Mahomed v. Dabee Pershad Singh . . .	13 W. R., 22 . . .	525, 5750
— v. — . . .	13 W. R., 391 . . .	6002
Johiroonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 59 . . .	1391
		3536

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
John v. Carter	4 B. L. R., O. C., 90 . . .	393, 1049
Johnson v. Crowe	6 N. W., 350	1110
—— v. Secretary of State	Cor., 71 : 2 Hyde, 153 . . .	1084, 4586
Johoory Lall v. Bullub Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 102 : 4 C. L. } R., 349. }	2692
Johur Ali v. Brindabun Chunder	14 W. R., 10	481
Johuri Mahton v. Thakoor Nath Lukee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 830 : 6 C. L. } R., 255. }	3299
Johur Mull Khoorba v. Tarankisto Deb	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 252 . . .	5275, 5413
Johurra Bibee v. Sreegopal Misser	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 470 . . .	2376
Jointee Chunder Sein v. Anundo Lall Doss	14 W. R., O. C., 1	1213
Joitaram Bechar v. Bai Ganga	8 Bom., A. C., 228	3189
Joja Pashan. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 131	1535
Jokee Lal v. Nursing Narain Singh	4 W. R., Act X, 5	1875, 5273
Jokhan Rai v. Bucho Rai	3 Agra, 353 : Agra, F. B., Ed. } 1874, 156. }	177
Jokhee Lall v. Huns Kooer	10 W. R., 167	484
Jokhuram v. Ram Din	I. L. R., 8 All., 419	789
Jokna v. Bhugwance	3 N. W., 320	671, 683
Jomeut Mundul v. Shoorender Nath Roy	25 W. R., 391	1653
Jonab Ali Mollah v. Golam Assad Chowdhry	21 W. R., 187	4404
Jonardhun Acharjee v. Haradhun Acharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1020 : 9 } W. R., 513. }	3044
Joneep v. Noboo	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691 : 7 } W. R., 386. }	5675
Jones v. Ledgard	I. L. R., 8 All., 340	386
Jonmenjoy Coondoo v. Watson	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 901 . . .	4514
Jonmenjoy Mullick v. Dassmoney Dassee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 700	5134
—— v. Dossmoney Dossee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 714 : 9 C. L. } R., 353. }	3925
Jonna Venkata Sawmy alias Venkatasetti v. Basireddy Kondareddy	5 Mad., 364	3378
Joobraj Chowkeedar v. Whelan	13 W. R., 399	6014
Joobraj Singh v. Buhooria Alumbasee Koer	7 C. L. R., 424	3461
—— v. Gour Buksh Lall	7 W. R., 110	220, 5409
—— v. Tookun Singh	14 W. R., 476	4536
Joogmaya Debia v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee	10 W. R., 378	299, 4905
Joogulkishore v. Jotendro Mohun Tagore	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 210	273
Joogul Kishore Roy v. Ahsanoollah	4 W. R., Act X, 6	1719
—— v. Rughoo Nath Seal	20 W. R., 4	5736
Joogulkishore Shaha v. Rajkishen Surmah	3 W. R., 129	5985
Joogulkishore Singh v. Kalee Churn Singh	25 W. R., 224	4947
—— v. Oogur Narain Singh	8 W. R., 483	5120, 5132
Joogul Lal v. Jasoda Bibee	3 N. W., 311	2854, 5222
Joomai v. Wahid Ali	W. R., 1864, Mis., 33 . . .	2234
Joomna Pershad Sookool v. Joyram Lal Mahto	2 C. L. R., 26	2018, 3901
Joosoop v. Vardon	1 Hyde, 198	2684
Jorawur Singh v. Khyrat Ali	10 W. R., 360	6113
Joseph v. Solano	9 B. L. R., 441 : 18 W. R., } 424. }	4071, 4414
—— v. Sreerker	Bourke, O. C., 359	4682
Joshi v. Joshi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650	363
Joshi Kalidas Sevakram v. Dakor Town Municipality	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 399	6146
Josoda Koonwar v. Gourie Byjonath Sohae Singh	6 W. R., 139	616, 617
Joteeram Doss v. Huruf	6 W. R., Mis., 115	618
Jotee Roy v. Bleechuk Meah	20 W. R., 288	675, 2444
		3204
		1170

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jotender Mohun Tagore <i>v.</i> Kishen Monee Dabee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 11.	5360
Jotendro Mohun Tagore <i>v.</i> Aymun Beebee . . .	1 C. L. R., 366 . . .	6283
— <i>v.</i> Brijosoondoor . . .	W. R., 1864, 50 . . .	1762
— <i>v.</i> Brojosoonduree Dabee . . .	1 W. R., 362 . . .	1395
— <i>v.</i> Debendro Monee . . .	2 C. L. R., 419 . . .	5333
— <i>v.</i> Jogul Kishore . . .	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 357 : 9 C. L. R., 57.	2521
Jotharam Davay. In the matter of . . .	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 30 . . .	5566, 6045
Joti Bhimrao <i>v.</i> Balu bin Bapuji . . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 208 . . .	4864
Joti bin Nimbaji <i>v.</i> Somaji bin Babaji Gurav . . .	1 Bom., 166 . . .	4908
Jotindra Mohan Tagore <i>v.</i> Ganendra Mohan Tagore	9 B. L. R., 377 : 18 W. R., 359 : 1. A., Sup. Vol., 47. }	2534, 2559
Jotiram Maniram <i>v.</i> Devba Ishwarapa . . .	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 189 . . .	5297
Jowad Ali. In the matter of the petition of . . .	8 W. R., 4 . . .	274
— <i>v.</i> Hossein Bibee . . .	8 W. R., 207 . . .	311
Jowad Hossein <i>v.</i> Mohabee Sahee . . .	23 W. R., 412 . . .	570
Jowadunnissa Satudai Khandan <i>v.</i> Jhaman Lal Misser	23 W. R., 158 . . .	2742
Jowahir Lal <i>v.</i> Pookurum Singh . . .	6 W. R., 252 . . .	1680, 4601
Jowahir Rahoot <i>v.</i> Kailassoo . . .	1 W. R., 74 . . .	2300
Jowahir Shah <i>v.</i> Gridharee Chowdhry . . .	10 W. R., Cr., 35 . . .	6281
Jowahir Lal <i>v.</i> Sultan Ali . . .	12 W. R., 214 . . .	4929
Jowala Buksh <i>v.</i> Dharum Singh . . .	10 Moore's 1. A., 511 . . .	1567, 4472
Jowher Ali <i>v.</i> Ram Chand . . .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 29 : 24 W. R., 419, note.	3392, 4464
Jowhurooz Zumma Khan <i>v.</i> Bauee Madhub Nundun	11 W. R., 226 . . .	5453
Joychunder Biswas <i>v.</i> Kali Kishore Dey Sircar . . .	8 C. L. R., 41 . . .	5476
Joychunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Poddar . . .	21 W. R., 43 . . .	5425
Joy Chunder Sirdar <i>v.</i> Ramchurn . . .	6 W. R., 323 . . .	2893
Joy Chunder Tuppadar <i>v.</i> Ram Churn Doss . . .	15 W. R., 117 . . .	4140
Joy Coomar <i>v.</i> Bundhoo Lal . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 363 : 12 C. L. R., 490. }	1759
Joy Coomar Dutta Jha <i>v.</i> Esharee Nund Dutta Jha	10 B. L. R., 155 : 18 W. R., 475. }	5116
Joy Deb Surmah <i>v.</i> Hurupatty Surmah . . .	16 W. R., 282 . . .	2247
Joydeo Singh <i>v.</i> Harihar Pershad Singh . . .	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 577 . . .	5527
Joydoorga Dassee <i>v.</i> Manick Chand Baboo . . .	16 W. R., 248 . . .	6079
Joydoorga Debia <i>v.</i> Gopal Chunder Bauerjee . . .	9 W. R., 538 . . .	2890
Joy Doorga Dossia <i>v.</i> Juggernath Roy . . .	15 W. R., 295 . . .	5279
Joy Dutt Jha <i>v.</i> Bayee Ram Singh . . .	7 W. R., 40 . . .	5318
Joy Gobind Doss <i>v.</i> Goureeproshad Shaha . . .	7 W. R., 201 . . .	4274, 4284
Joygobind Roy <i>alias</i> Bhojraj Roy <i>v.</i> Bundhoo Singh	17 W. R., 342 . . .	3980
Joygobind Sahoy <i>v.</i> Mahtab Koonwar . . .	7 W. R., 1 . . .	1695
Joygopal Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Thakomonee Dabee . . .	11 W. R., 381 . . .	327
Joykalee Dassee <i>v.</i> Chandmalla . . .	9 W. R., 133 . . .	1357, 1366
Joykally Dabee <i>v.</i> Shib Nath Chatterjee . . .	Bourke, Test., 5 . . .	4713
Joy Kishen Doss <i>v.</i> Turnbull . . .	24 W. R., 137 . . .	3836
Joykishen Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Ataoor Rohoman . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 22 : 6 C. L. R., 575.	225, 5131
— <i>v.</i> Collector of East Burdwan . . .	1 W. R., P. C., 26 : 10 Moore's 1. A., 16. }	5596
— <i>v.</i> Doorganarain Nag . . .	11 W. R., 349 . . .	1343
— <i>v.</i> Hureehur Mookerjee . . .	6 W. R., 289 . . .	2793
— <i>v.</i> Hurrybungso Burral . . .	9 W. R., 286 . . .	2892
— <i>v.</i> Jankee Nath Mookerjee . . .	Marsh, 95 : 1 Hay, 162 . . .	1197
— <i>v.</i> Jodoonath Ghose . . .	17 W. R., 471 . . .	2884, 3075
	3 W. R., 1 . . .	3829

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Joykishen Mookerjee v. Parbutty Churn Ghossal . . .	22 W. R., 183 . . .	5143
_____ v. Pearee Mohun Dutt . . .	8 W. R., 160 . . .	4209
_____ v. Raj Kishen Mookerjee . . .	5 W. R., 147 . . .	892, 3030
_____ v. _____ . . .	12 W. R., 815 . . .	327, 3460
_____ v. _____ . . .	16 W. R., 101 . . .	4283
_____ v. Reazonnissa Beebee . . .	4 W. R., 40 . . .	2951
Joykishen Shaha v. Bishoka Moyee Chowdrain . . .	17 W. R., 355 . . .	3513
Joy Kishore Chowdhraïn v. Nubee Buksh . . .	17 W. R., 178 . . .	5696
Joy Kishore Chowdhry v. Panchoo Baboo . . .	4 C. L. R., 538 . . .	2139, 2143, 2289
Joy Kishore Singh v. Thakoor Dass . . .	3 Agra, 75 . . .	1345
Joykishto Mookerjee v. Hurreehur Mookerjee . . .	12 W. R., 365 . . .	2745, 4192
Joykissen Bose v. Wise . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 37 . . .	2707
Joy Kissen Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 40 . . .	4505
_____ v. Collector of East Burdwan . . .	8 Moore's I. A., 265 . . .	4634
_____ v. Mudoosoodun Kulliah . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 90 . . .	540
_____ v. Queen . . .	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 61: 1 W. R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's I. A., 168. . .	285
Joykisto Cowar v. Nittyannund Nundy . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 738: 2 C. L. R., 440. . .	880, 2376
Joy Kooer v. Furlong . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 112 . . .	2988
_____ v. Suroop Narain Thakoor . . .	W. R., 1864, 259 . . .	3710
Joykristo Mookerjee. In the matter of . . .	1 B. L. R., A., Cr., 20: 10 W. R., Cr., 5. . .	4103
Joy Kurn Singh v. Man Patuck . . .	21 W. R., Cr., 41 . . .	1306, 2010
Joykurun Lall v. Asmudh Kooer . . .	5 W. R., 125 . . .	3335, 3336
Joy Lall Tewaree v. Gossain Bhoobun Geer . . .	21 W. R., 334 . . .	1457
Joyloll Sheikh v. Brojonath Pal Chowdry . . .	9 W. R., 162 . . .	544, 1539, 6279
Joymonee Debia v. Imam Buksh Talookdar . . .	13 W. R., 471 . . .	4309
Joymonee Dossee v. Hurro Nath Roy . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 51 . . .	546
Joymoney Dossee. In the goods of . . .	14 B. L. R., 184 . . .	1237
Joymocruth Kooer v. Baldeo Singh . . .	21 W. R., 444 . . .	1413, 2486
Joymungul Singh v. Lall Rung Pal Sing . . .	13 W. R., 183 . . .	3229
_____ v. Mohun Ram Marwaree . . .	15 W. R., 38 . . .	380
_____ v. Mohunram Marwari . . .	23 W. R., 429 . . .	170, 380
_____ v. Pokharun Singh . . .	7 W. R., 465 . . .	5628
_____ v. Saeedun . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 613: 6 W. R., 240. . .	3969
Joy Narain Bhuggut v. Kashee Chowdhry . . .	16 W. R., 148 . . .	2690
Joynarain Bose v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs . . .	Marsh., 56 . . .	6044
Joynarain Geeree v. Shippershad Geeree . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 1 . . .	2602, 4713
Joy Narain Giree v. Goluck Chunder Mytee . . .	20 W. R., 444 . . .	4523
_____ v. _____ . . .	22 W. R., 102 . . .	1871, 3543
_____ v. Greesh Chunder Mytee . . .	15 B. L. R., 172: 22 W. R., 438. . .	1247
Joy Narain Giri v. Girish Chunder Myti . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 434: L. R., 5 I. A., 228. . .	2451
_____ v. Goluck Chunder Mytee . . .	25 W. R., 183 . . .	5459
_____ v. _____ . . .	25 W. R., 355 . . .	2359
_____ v. Sheeb Proshad Giri . . .	19 W. R., 275 . . .	4653
Joynarain Manjee v. Muddosoodun Gorait . . .	2 W. R., 134 . . .	5744
Joynarain Pattur v. Russick Mohun Banerjee . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 744: 8 W. R., 144. . .	284
Joynarain Roy v. Panchanund . . .	W. R., 1864, 10 . . .	2349

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Joynath v. Soorjaram	8 W. R., Cr., 66	4359
Joynath Mundul v. Jamul Sheikh	6 W. R., Cr., 71	4112
Joynath Roy v. Lall Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 126 : 10 C. } L. R., 146. }	2879, 4299 5727, 6080
Joynub Bebee v. Ahamed Jan	Marsh., 31 : 1 Hay, 68	5337
Joypal Roy v. Mukhoond Roy	17 W. R., 280	1379
Joyprokash Bhuggut v. Bhugwan Doss	Marsh., 589.	2269
Joy Prokash Lall v. Sheo Golam Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 37	174, 374
Joy Prokash Narain v. Radha Kishen	W. R., 1864, 227	5109
Joyprokash Roy v. Oorjhan Jha	3 W. R., 174	3956
Joy Prokash Singh v. Ameer Ally	9 W. R., 91	1578, 1736, 4560
— v. Meghraj Singh	12 W. R., 207	764, 767
Joyram Gossain Bhuttacharjee v. Kalee Narain Roy	20 W. R., 291	4771
Joyram Gossance v. Nobin Chunder Doss	25 W. R., 318	2711
Joyram Lall Mahtoon v. Stewart	20 W. R., 453	4401, 4413
Joyram Loot v. Pani Ram Dhoba	8 C. L. R., 54	3130, 3133
Joy Ram Roy v. Omrao Roy	12 W. R., 431	5790
Joyram Singh v. Jugnarain Dobey	10 W. R., Cr., 16	4491
Joy Sunkur Roy v. Sidhi Moham	7 C. L. R., 373	5293
Joytara v. Ramhari Sirdar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 638	2422, 2497 1448, 1749
Joytara Dasse v. Mahomed Mobaruck	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975 : 11 C. } L. R., 399. }	4147, 6074 6094
Joy Tara Dossee v. Mahomed Hossein	2 W. R., Mis., 2	5482
Joy Tara Dossee Chowdrain v. Roy Chunder Ghose	1 W. R., 136	322
Joyudee Paramanick. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 66	345
Joyunti Dasi v. Mahomed Ally Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 423	542
Juala Prasad v. Khuman Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 617	2716
Juala Singh v. Narain Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 541	179, 388
Jubdur Kazi. In re	8 C. L. R., 390	5558
— In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	5558
Jubraj Roy v. Mackenzie	5 C. L. R., 231	3049
Judah v. Abdool Kurreem	22 W. R., 60	6170
— v. Addi Raja Queen Bibi	2 Mad., 177	4511
— v. Judah	5 B. L. R., 433	2538, 5207 6203, 6205
— v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 445	1330, 2674 5684, 5742
Judal Kom Ranchhod Mulji v. Hira Mulji	4 Bom., A. C., 75	3535
Judhistri Patro v. Nobin Chandra Khela	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 73	1074
Judobunsee Bhugtahe v. Mikkun Kowaree	1 W. R., Mis., 6	1690
Judoobunsee Koer v. Asman Koer	14 W. R., 370	3417
— v. Girbhurun Koer	12 W. R., 158	5281
Judoo Lall Mullick v. Gopaul Chunder Mookerjee	L. R., 13 I. A., 77	3330
Judoonath Bhuttacharjee v. Nobo Kristo Mookerjee	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2	470, 852 2834, 4161
Judoonath Bose v. Shumsoonnissa Begum	8 W. R., P. C., 3 : 11 Moore's } I. A., 551. }	4866, 5096 5099, 5100 5873
Judoo Nath Dey v. Girija Bhoosun Mitter	23 W. R., 446	474
Judoonath Dutt v. Rushad Ali	19 W. R., 105	4439
Judoonath Ghose v. Schoene, Kilburn, & Co.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 971 : 12 C. } L. R., 343. }	3041
Judoo Nath Mookerjee. In re	Bourke, O. C., 186	4450
Judoonath Mullick v. Kalee Kisto Tagore	22 W. R., 73	5224, 5788
— v.	25 W. R., 524	4127, 5224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Judoonath Paul v. Prosunnonath Dutt	9 W. R., 71	4937
Judoonath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 1	4736, 4740
— v. Buroda Kant Roy	22 W. R., 220	138
— v. Ram Buksh Chatterjee	12 W. R., 238	858
— v. Ram Buksh Chuttangee	7 W. R., 535	1927, 5618
Judoonath Sadhookhan. In the matter of	15 W. R., 40	1240
Judoonath Sein v. Ram Coomar Chatterjee	9 W. R., 359	5080
Judoonath Shaha v. Nobin Chunder Shaha	21 W. R., 289	1099
Judoo Nath Shahana v. Jadub Churn Thakoor	11 W. R., 294	4236
Judoonath Sircar v. Bonomalee Mitter	2 W. R., 295	2059
— v. Bussunt Coomar Roy Chowdhry {	11 B. L. R., 286 : 16 W. R., 105 : 19 W. R., 264. }	2491, 2497
Judoonath Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree	9 W. R., 61	1209, 2444
Judoonath Bore v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum	W. R., F. B., 60	2454, 2466
Judoo Nundon Lall. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 22	2467
Judooputtee Chatterjee v. Chunder Kant Bhuttacharjee	9 W. R., 3091	4161
Judow Mulji v. Chhagan Raichand	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306	4237
Jugal Kishore v. Hulasi Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 264	404, 4289
Jugatmoni Chowdrani v. Romjani Bibee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 533	5938, 6222
Jugdanund Gosamee v. Kessub Nund Gosamee	W. R., 1864, 146	5874
Jugdeep Narain Sahee v. Court of Wards	22 W. R., 469	2375, 4262
Jugdeep Narain Singh v. Deendial	12 B. L. R., 100 : 20 W. R., 174. }	3664
Jugdel Narain Suhaye v. Lalla Ram Prokash	2 W. R., 292	2332, 2841
Jugdeo Singh v. Molazim Hossein	13 W. R., 108	2736
Jugdes Chunder Biswas v. Abedollah Mundul	14 W. R., 68	2157
Jugdish Chunder Biswas v. Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq	24 W. R., 317	4154
Jugernath v. Ilahi	3 N. W., 207	6243
Juggendronath Banerjee v. Rajendronath Haldar	7 W. R., 357	4788
Juggernath. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 246	1747, 5292
Juggernath Doss v. Brijnath Doss	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322 : 3 C. L. R., 375. }	3916
Juggernath Khan v. Maclachlan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 681 : 8 C. L. R., 225. }	2486
Juggernath Khooria v. Doobo Misser	14 W. R., 80	3561
Jugger Nath Panee v. Chuttur Narain Deb	17 W. R., 410	2698, 2828
Juggernath Sahai. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 236	3108
Juggernath Sahaie v. Mukkum Koonwar	3 W. R., 24	1054
Juggernath Sahee v. Alhad Kowur	19 W. R., 140	2390
Juggernath Sahoo v. Judoo Roy Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 329 : 4 C. L. R., 387. }	1464
— v. Mahomed Hossein	15 W. R., 173	1966
Juggernath Sawunt v. Odhiranee Narain Koomaree	20 W. R., 126	2140
Juggernaugh Sew Bux v. Ram Dyal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791	4197
Juggesh Prakash Ganguli. In the matter of the petition of	3 B. L. R., A. C., 57	1870
Juggeshur Bunwaree Gobind v. Chunder Sircar	Marsh., 375 : 2 Hay, 344	770, 1221
Juggeshwar Dass. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55	2430
— v. Koylash Chunder Chatterjee {	12 W. R., 299	1064, 1821
Juggessur Buttobyal v. Roodro Narain Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 282	4502
Juggessur Coowar v. Prossono Coomar Ghose	12 B. L. R., 266 : 21 W. R., 210. }	1201
Juggessur Dey v. Kritarthomoyee Dossee	12 W. R., 299	3863
		1600, 2251
		2978
		1213
		291

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggessur Dhur <i>v.</i> Bhugobutty Dassee .	14 W. R., 464	686
Juggessur Doyal Singh <i>v.</i> Bissessur Pershad	12 C. L. R., 281	4316
Juggessur Nundee <i>v.</i> Chunder Gobind Singh	9 W. R., 524	5090
Juggessur Roy. In the matter of .	5 C. L. R., 170	394
Juggessur Sircar <i>v.</i> Nilambur Biswas .	3 W. R., 217	2088
Juggessur Suhaye <i>v.</i> Gopal Lall .	11 W. R., 260	5272
Juggobundhoo Bose <i>v.</i> Wise .	12 W. R., 409	33, 5128
Juggobundhoo Coondoo <i>v.</i> Nil Comul Surmah	W. R., 1864, 190	5216
Juggobundhoo Deb <i>v.</i> Goluck Chunder Haldar	10 W. R., 228	299
Juggobundhoo Ghose <i>v.</i> Chowdhry Mumtaz Hossein	W. R., 1864, 205	3874
Juggobundhoo Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Gooroo Persad Roy .	Marsh., 52: 1 Hay, 226	5778
<i>v.</i> Gooroopersaud Roy	Marsh., 54: 1 Hay, 228 .	2793, 4168
Juggobundhoo Shaha <i>v.</i> Promothonath Roy .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 767 .	5183
Juggobundhu Mukerjee <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Bysack .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 584: 5 C. L. R., 548.	4465
Juggobundo Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Watson & Co. .	Bourke, A. O. C., 162 .	6222
Juggobundoo Shaw <i>v.</i> Grant, Smith, & Co. .	2 Hyde, 129: Cor., 47 .	1576, 458G 4603
Juggodanund Misser <i>v.</i> Hamid Russool .	10 W. R., 52 .	4163
Juggodeshary Chowdhrair. In the matter of .	3 C. L. R., 94 .	4498
Juggodeshury Dossia <i>v.</i> Umachurn Roy .	7 W. R., 237	1583, 5346
Juggodishari Debi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 84: 8 C. L. R., 397.	4666
Juggodish Chunder Biswas <i>v.</i> Turrikoollah Sircar	24 W. R., 90	2589
Juggodumba Dossee. In re .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 22, note: 15 W. R., 75.	494
<i>v.</i> Puddomoney Dossee .	15 B. L. R., 318 .	2829
<i>v.</i> Tarakant Banerjee .	6 C. L. R., 121 .	5037
Juggo Lall Oopadhya <i>v.</i> Jankee Bibee .	17 W. R., 521	283
Juggomohun Bukshee <i>v.</i> Roy Mothooranath Chowdhry.	7 W. R., P. C., 18: 11 Moore's I. A., 223.	2001
Juggomohun Ghose <i>v.</i> Kaisreechund .	9 Moore's I. A., 256 .	2710
<i>v.</i> Manick Chund .	4 W. R., P. C., 8: 7 Moore's I. A., 263.	1342, 2705 2710
Juggud Indur Bunwaree <i>v.</i> Soorj Coomar Chowdhry	Marsh., 627 .	4296
Juggun Lall. In the matter of .	7 C. L. R., 356 .	1840, 2010
Juggunnath <i>v.</i> Beck .	2 N. W., 60 .	1117, 4245
<i>v.</i> Komal Singh .	3 N. W., 123 .	3920, 4758
Juggunnath Deb Nazir <i>v.</i> Moheboollah .	17 W. R., 164 .	6228
Juggunnath Pershad Dutt <i>v.</i> Hogg .	12 W. R., 117 .	334, 472 5047
Juggurnath Deb <i>v.</i> Mahomed Mokeem .	17 W. R., 161 .	5753, 5812
Juggur Nath Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Kishen Pershad Surma <i>alias</i> Raja Baboo.	7 W. R., 266 .	2250
Juggurnath Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Munorekha Dossee .	2 W. R., 156 .	4603
<i>v.</i> Raj Chunder Roy .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 120	3180
Juggurnath Sahoo <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein .	14 B. L. R., 386: L. R., 2 I. A., 49: 23 W. R., 99.	2942, 3386 3441
Juggurnath Singh <i>v.</i> Afzul Khan .	17 W. R., 130 .	5119
<i>v.</i> Ahmedoollah .	8 W. R., 132 .	1923
Juggur Nauth Shaw <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Roy .	Bourke, O. C., 146	425
Juggut Chunder <i>v.</i> Bane Madhub Banerjee .	23 W. R., 205 .	6006
Juggut Chunder Bhadooree <i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Bhadooree	16 W. R., 269 .	808
Juggut Chunder Bhadoory <i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Bhadoory .	22 W. R., 255 .	3334
Juggut Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bhugwan Chunder Futtehdu.	Marsh., 27: 1 Hay, 57: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 67.	4142

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggut Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhurut Chunder Chowdhry.	23 W. R., 237	1986
Juggut Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 110	5166
Juggut Chunder Dutt v. Panioty	6 W. R., Act X, 48	3016
	8 W. R., 427	1595, 4168
v. Radanath Dhur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 669	4330
Juggut Chunder Mozumdar v. Kasi Chunder Mozumdar.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 440 : 7 C. L. R., 330.	1969, 5521
Juggut Chunder Roy v. Chunder Monee Shaha .	17 W. R., 277	5524
v. Mohima Chunder Paul	11 W. R., 331	681
v. Ramnarain Bhattacharjee	1 W. R., 126	1427
— v. Roop Chand Shaw	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 811	5177
Juggut Indur Bunwaree v. Bhubo Tarinee Dassee .	14 W. R., 19	1205
Juggutjeebun Gooptoo v. Haro Coomar Pal . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 719	300
Juggut Lall Chowdhry v. Tasudduck Ali	25 W. R., 548	229
Juggut Misser v. Baboo Lall	5 W. R., Cr., 50	1359
Juggutmoheenee Dossee v. Sokheemonee Dossee .	10 B. L. R., 19 : 17 W. R., 41 : 14 Moore's I. A., 289. . . .	1674, 4987
Joggut Mohinee Bibee v. Ram Chund Ghose . .	9 W. R., 100	5089
Joggut Mohinee Dossee v. Dwarka Nath Bysack .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 582	4214
v. Madhub Chunder Kur	15 W. R., 66	3523
v. Monohur Koonwar	25 W. R., 278	3056
Joggut Narain v. Toolsee Ram	10 W. R., 99 : 1 B. L. R., A. C., 71.	841
Joggut Narain Singh v. Collector of Manbhoom .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 413, note . . .	3309
Jugjeebun Goopta v. Hurro Kumar Pal	6 C. L. R., 135	437, 442
Jugjeebun Goopto v. Golock Monee Debia . . .	22 W. R., 354	2290
Jugjeebun Das Keeka Shah v. Ramdas Brijbookun Das.	6 W. R., P. C., 10 : 2 Moore's I. A., 487.	229
Jugmohun Doss v. Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 133	1927
Jugmohun Lall v. Buddun Koer	9 W. R., 243	3913
Jug Mohun Mahto v. Luchmeshur Singh	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 748	1610
Jugmohun Tewaree v. Buldeo Naik	3 Agra, 162	401, 697
Jugmohun Tewari v. Finch	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 62 : 11 C. L. R., 100.	3136
Jugo Bundhoo Bose v. Collector of Dacca . . .	W. R., 1864, 273	4399, 4949
v. Koomoodinee Kant Banerjee Chowdhry.	19 W. R., 89	5294
Jugobundhoo Dutt v. Maseyk	W. R., 1864, 81	5107
Jugobundhoo Haldar v. Sree Narain Mitter . .	20 W. R., 188	46
Jugobundhoo Mookerjee v. Luckheesuree Debia .	W. R., 1864, 388	3870
Jugobundhoo Sein v. Bhugwan Chunder Doss .	17 W. R., 15	5780
Jugobundhoo Shah Poramanick v. Official Assignee	21 W. R., 194	1822
Jugobundhoo Tewaree v. Kurum Singh	22 W. R., 341	448
Jugobundoo Roy v. Fyez Buksh Chowdhry . . .	8 W. R., 166	210
Jugodumba Chowdhrair v. Ram Chunder Deo .	6 W. R., 327	1082, 2279
Jugodumba Debia v. Rohinee Debia	3 W. R., 522	1453
Jugodumba Koer v. Mircha Koer	17 W. R., 269	4173
Jugojeebun Lall Dhubal Deb v. Kartick Chunder Bon-dopadhyia.	25 W. R., 1	2343
Jugomohan Haldar v. Sarodamoyee Dossee . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 149	84, 86, 92
Jugomohur Bukshee v. Soorendronath Roy Chowdhry	13 W. R., 106	4650
Jugo Mohun Sahoo v. Monee Lall Chowdhry . .	11 W. R., 542	2468
Jugo Mohun Singh v. Dabee Pershad	W. R., 1864, Act X, 47 . . .	5624
Jugo Moyee Chowdhrair v. Government . . .	3 W. R., Mis., 17	2752
Jugoo Lall Oopadhyia v. Manoochur Lall Oopadhyia	19 W. R., 43	12
		219
		2456

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jugoolal Upadhyaya v. Ekbaloonaissa	7 W. R., 456	3241
Jugroopa Chowdhraia v. Bunwaree Tewarée	20 W. R., 351	2027
Jugtanund Misser v. Nerghan Singh	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 433 : 7 C. L. R., 347.	1821
Jugul Kishor Banerjee v. Abhaya Charan Sarma	1 B. L. R., A. C., 84	5336
Jugul Kishore v. Jotendro Mohun Tagore	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 985 : L. R., 11 I. A., 66.	2522
Jugun Nath v. Ghaseeram	1 N. W., 32 : Ed. 1873, 30	448
Jugunnath Sahee Deo v. Burra Lall Opendronath Sahee Deo.	5 W. R., Mis., 54	3561
Jugurnath v. Balgobind	1 N. W., 105 : Ed. 1873, 154	5243
Jugurnath Singh v. Ahmedoollah	8 W. R., 132	3840
Jugutbundhoo Chuckerbutty v. Jugut Chunder Chowdhry.	12 W. R., 519	5288
Jugut Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Eshan Chunder Banerjee.	24 W. R., 220	5198
Jugutendur Bunwaree v. Din Dyal Chatterjee	1 W. R., 310	1674, 3195
Jugut Mohini Dassee v. Madhu Sudhan Dutt	10 C. L. R., 4	17, 726 5508
Jugut Shobhun Chunder v. Binaud Chunder	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	2857, 2915
Jugut Shobhun Chunder alias Doolal Chunder Dehingur Gossamy v. Binaud Chunder alias Soda Shobhun Dehingur Gossamy.	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	2857, 2915
Juhooree Lall Sahoo v. Dear	23 W. R., 399	3061
Juhoorun v. Girdharee Ram	3 W. R., Cr., 70	957
Julebia Chitta Koer v. Bhagee Koer	6 N. W., 41	1451, 1459 1479
Julfikar Husain v. Munna Lal	1. L. R., 3 All., 148	3139
Jullessur Kooer v. Uggur Roy	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 725 : 12 C. L. R., 460.	2309, 2495
Jullow Surma Patwaree v. Madhub Ram Atoi Boorha Bhukut.	16 W. R., 202	524, 5632
Jumal Ali v. Wahed Ali	11 W. R., 97	5931
Jumaut Ali Shah v. Chowdry Chutturdharee Sahee	16 W. R., 185	2994
Jumeela v. Mulleeka	W. R., 1864, 252 : 5 W. R., 23 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26.	3648, 3654
Jumeelun v. Wallee Ahmed	10 W. R., 31	3330
Jummal Ali v. Tirbhu Lall Doss	12 W. R., 41	2234, 5271 5411
Jummalapudi Kalidas v. Attaluri Subhamma	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 29	2276
Jummeettunnissa v. Noor Mahomed	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	5176
Jumna Dass v. Eckford	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 1	4513
— v. Mehur Singh	1 Agra, 182	591
Jumna Doss v. Gawsee Meah	21 W. R., 124	4929
Jumna Pershad v. Bheem Sein	1 Agra, Mis., 8	3331
— v. Bhowanee	2 Agra, Rev., 1	1656
Jumna Singh v. Kamar-un-nisa	1. L. R., 3 All., 152	186, 5065
Jumoona Dassee Chowdhrance v. Bama Soonderee Dassee Chowdhrance.	2 W. R., 149	4883
Jumoona Dassya v. Bamasoondari Dassya	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 289 : 25 W. R., 235 : L. R., 3 I. A., 72.	1249, 1694 2116, 2437
Jumoona Doss v. Pookhur Singh	22 W. R., 133	4040
Jumoona Persad Singh v. Dig Narain Singh	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 1 : 13 C. L. R., 74.	2400
Jumoona Pershad v. Zaibunnissa	5 C. L. R., 268	2819
Jumulla v. Mulka	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26 : 5 W. R., 23.	3648, 3654

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jumunooddeen Ahmed v. Hossein Ali . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 49 . . .	3731
Junardun Doss Mitter v. Rooknee Bullub . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 48 . . .	3493, 3514
Junaruddeen Misser v. Nobin Chunder Perdhani . . .	Marsh., 232: 1 Hay, 534 . . .	2283
Junesur Doss v. Lalla Ramdhunee Lall . . .	17 W. R., 263 . . .	3080
Juneswar Dass v. Mahabeer Singh . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 163: 25 W. R., 84: L. R., 3 I. A., 1. . .	3379
Jung Bahadoor v. Jugdeo Roy . . .	25 W. R., 247 . . .	581
Jungee Lall v. Sham Lall Misser . . .	20 W. R., 120 . . .	2076, 5438
Jungee Lall Mahajun v. Brijoo Beharee Singh . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 21 . . .	210
Jungle Ram. In the matter of the petition of v. Ram Heet Sahoy . . .	19 W. R., 47 . . .	173, 376
Jungoo Lall v. Lala Alum Chand . . .	7 W. R., 279 . . .	3163
Junjla Venkatarayadu v. Junjla Kamammah . . .	6 Mad., 151 . . .	6075
Junmajoy Mojomdar v. Keshub Lall Ghose . . .	10 W. R., 484 . . .	2476
Junmajoy Mullick v. Dwarkanath Mytee . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 287: 4 C. L. R., 574. . .	1844
Junmejy Mookerjee. In the matter of . . .	14 W. R., 215 . . .	403
Junmejy Mullick v. Gunga Ram Dutt . . .	21 W. R., 26 . . .	510
Jurbundhun Singh v. Sheoraj Singh . . .	5 N. W., 184 . . .	403, 2861
Jusab Haji Jafar v. Gul Muhammad . . .	12 Bom., 175 . . .	4792
Jusagheri Gosamier v. Collector of Tanjore . . .	5 Mad., 334 . . .	109
Juseemooddeen Biswas v. Huro Soonduree Dossee . . .	19 W. R., 274 . . .	3902
Jushoda Koonwur v. Gowree Byjnath Pershad . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 365: 6 W. R., Mis., 53. . .	182, 664 4436
Jusoda Koer v. Lalla Nettya Lall . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 43 . . .	2275
Jussoda Dossee v. Matunginee Dossee . . .	12 W. R., 249 . . .	5359
Jussoondah v. Ajodhia Pershad . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 261 . . .	2359
Justices of the Peace for Bombay v. Great Indian Pen- insula Railway Company. . .	9 Bom., 217 . . .	4050
Justices of the Peace for Calcutta v. Hera Lall Seal v. Maharane of Burdwan. . .	Bourke, O. C., 412 . . . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 102 . . .	648 648
v. Oriental Gas Com- pany. . .	8 B. L. R., 433: 17 W. R., 364. . .	650, 3090 4518, 5296
Juswant Singh v. Doolee Chund . . .	25 W. R., 255 . . .	2151
Juswunt Singh. In re . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 301: 6 W. R., Cr., 18. . .	5168, 5542
Jutadhari Lal v. Rughobeer Persad . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 508: 12 C. L. R., 255. . .	2379
Juttadharce Singh v. Wuzcer Singh . . .	12 W. R., 357 . . .	3513
Jutto Moar v. Basmutteer Koore . . .	15 W. R., 479 . . .	5186
Juveerbhace v. Vurujbhace . . .	3 Moore's I. A., 324 . . .	4645
Jwala Nath v. Kullu . . .	3 Agra, 55: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 138. . .	1414, 2482
Jwalapershad v. Kedar Nath . . .	3 Agra, 175 . . .	3333
Jykishoon Sookul v. Shunkur Sookul . . .	3 Agra, 168 . . .	2522, 5375
Jyram Gir v. Krishan Kishore Chund . . .	3 Agra, 307 . . .	3962, 4009
Kabeel Saha v. Radha Kissen Mullick . . .	16 W. R., 146 . . .	2995
Kabil Nusyo v. Baharullah . . .	17 W. R., Cr., 37 . . .	5154
Kabir valad Ramjan v. Mahadu valad Shiwaji . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 360 . . .	1195
Kaboolun v. Shumsheir Ali . . .	11 W. R., 16 . . .	4814
Kabul Krishna Doss v. Mohesuree Debia . . .	16 W. R., 270 . . .	3405
Kachar Bhoj Vajja v. Bai Rathore . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 289 . . .	4044

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kachekalyana Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar Kachivigajaya Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar.	2 B. L. R., P. C., 72: 11 W. R., P. C., 33: 12 Moore's I. A., 495.	2749, 2773
Kachu Bayaji v. Kachoba Vithoba	10 Bom., 491	6127, 6142
Kachubhai Beir Gulabchand v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 635	316, 1774
Kachur Subraya v. Bengal Santappaia	7 Mad., 167	2043
Kadambinee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Dutt	Marsh., 4: 1 Hay, 25	5752
Kadar v. Ismail	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 119	4839
Kadarbacha Sahib v. Rangasvami Nayak	1 Mad., 150	402, 2211
Kadaressur Mookerjee v. Gooroo Churn Mookerjee		3124
Kadari bin Ranu v. Atmarambhat	2 C. L. R., 388	5671
Kadarsa Rautan v. Raviah Bibi	3 Bom., A. C., 11	2498
Kader Buksh Biswas v. Ram Nag Chowdhry	2 Mad., 108	3378, 4753
Kader Nath Dutt v. Okhoy Coomar Bhattacharjee	7 W. R., 448	1360
Kadir Ali Khan v. Nowsha Begum	9 W. R., 202	477
Kadir Buksh v. Ilahi Buksh	2 Agra, 154	3731
_____ v. Wise	I. L. R., 2 All., 283	1880
Kadir Buksh Khan v. Fusseeh-oon-nissa	Marsh., 165: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103: 1 Hay, 370.	6083
Kadir Bux v. Ram Tahul Bhagut	5 Moore's I. A., 413	4500
Kadir Gazee v. Mohadebee Dossia	3 N. W., 125	4535
Kadir Mahomed v. Mahomed Safir	6 W. R., Act X, 47	3025
Kadumbinee Dossee v. Bhugobutty Churn Ghose	1 W. R., 277	2843
_____ v. Koylash Kaminee Dossee	10 W. R., 7	554
	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 431	3088
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 19: 9 C. L. R., 25.	433, 4237
Kadumbinee Dossia v. Kasheenuath Biswas	13 W. R., 338	2985
_____ v. Unnopoorua Daye	14 W. R., 289	4138, 4430
Kadumbini Dabya v. Koylash Chunder Pal Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 554: 8 C. L. R., 19.	318, 575
Kaem Khan v. Saheba Jan	7 W. R., 362	4206
Kafaetoollah v. Ariza Bibee	23 W. R., 264	4480
Kafaetoollah Khan v. Futteh Ali	1 Agra, Rev., 28	532
Kabanarama v. Ranga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 8	5704
Kahandas Narandas v. Dahiabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 182	590, 3185
Kahandas Narrandas. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 154	4260
Kaihur Singh v. Roop Singh	3 N. W., 4	6063
Kailasanatha v. Tiruvengada	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 420	2200, 4155
Kailas Chandra Bose v. Bhuban Chandra Bose	8 B. L. R., Ap., 18	907
Kailas Chandra Ghose v. Fulchand Jaburri	8 B. L. R., 474	5298
Kailas Chandra Roy v. Hiralal Seal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 93: 10 W. R., 403.	3547
	5 B. L. R., Ap., 57: 14 W. R., 42.	1505, 1590
Kailas Chandra Sannel v. Dowlat Sheikh	3 B. L. R., A. C., 284, note	3066
Kailas Chandra Sirkar v. Durgadas Tarafdar	4 B. L. R., O. C., 41	5706
Kailasnath Ghose v. Biswanath Biswas	1 B. L. R., F. B., 23: 10 W. R., F. B., 32.	1590
Kaim Ali Jawardar v. Lakhikant Chuckerbutty	1 Mad., 359	48, 2190
Kaipreta Ramen v. Makhhayil Mutoren	1 Agra, 140	5622
Kaisho v. Jumna	2 Agra, Pt. II, 212	3758
Kaisrur v. Put Ram	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 308	2490
Kaji Ahmed v. Kaji Mahamad	8 Bom., A. C., 205	2916
Kakaji bin Ranoji v. Bapuji bin Madhavrav	4 Bom., A. C., 90	6234
Kakaji Sakhamam v. Govind Ganesh		3814, 4152
		4881
		5742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kakarlapudi Sitaramaraja v. Uppalapadi Janakayya	1 Mad., 5	2499
Kakarlapudi Suriyanarayana Razu v. Chellamkuri Chellamma.	5 Mad., 176	5092
Kakerlapoody Jagganadha Raz v. Vutsavoy Jagganadha Jagaputty Raz.	5 W. R., P. C., 117: 2 } Moore's I. A., 1. }	3901
Kala Bharub Chandra Karpar v. Lalit Mohun Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 185	520
Kala Bibee v. Collector of Chittagong	23 W. R., 362	495
Kalachand v. Gudadhur Biswas	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 304	960
Kalachand Ghose v. Shoolochuna Dossia	22 W. R., 33	3562, 3565
Kalachand Mundul v. Gopal Chunder Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 163	4808
Kala Chand Sen v. Anund Kristo Bose	22 W. R., 433	341
Kalachand Sirkar v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 53	6268
Kala Chara Tea Co. v. Sukul Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 280	496
Kaladdin Guru Bakus v. Raghooji	1 Bom., 62	340
Kalai Haldar v. Kyamuddi	23 W. R., 417	1354
Kalai Khan v. Madho Pershad	3 N. W., 129	3198, 4135
Kalam Sheikh v. Panchu Mandal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 252	2971
Kalandan v. Kunhunni	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 80	1784
— v. Pakrichi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 378	1898
Kalee Beparee. In re	1 C. L. R., 521	5289
Kalee Chunder Aich v. Ramguttu Kur	25 W. R., 95	2999
Kalee Chunder Chowdhry v. Ruttun Gopal Bhadooree	2 W. R., Mis., 1	3183
— v. Sheeb Chunder	2 W. R., 281	2132
— v. Surut Soonduree Debia	18 W. R., 45	6247
Kalee Chunder Paul v. Thakur Das Biswas	12 W. R., O. C., 7	429
Kalee Chunder Sein v. Adoo Shaikh	9 W. R., 602	4458, 5811
Kalee Chunder Surma v. Gobind Pershad Surma	12 W. R., 454	684
Kalee Churn Banerjee. In the matter of	18 W. R., 295	3880
— v. Mahomed Hashem	7 W. R., 8	1876
Kalee Churn Bawanee v. Bengal Coal Company	21 W. R., 405	3794
Kalee Churn Dutt v. Modhoo Soodun Ghose	6 W. R., 86	760
— v. Shoshee Dossee	1 W. R., 248	1618
Kalee Churn Giree Gossain v. Lalla Muddun Kishore	7 W. R., 317	6122
Kalee Churn Pattur v. Chundee Churn Mundul	9 W. R., 366	5764
Kalee Churn Sahoo v. Ram Lal Sahoo	21 W. R., 300	4327
Kalee Churn Singh v. Ameerooddeen	9 W. R., 579	5175
— v. Boodh Ram	5 N. W., 77	1901
— v. Solano	8 W. R., 200	1180
— v.	24 W. R., 267	1179
Kalee Coomar Chatterjee v. Kristo Kishore Poddar	14 W. R., 196	340
— v. Siddessur Mundul	11 B. L. R., 256: 19 W. R., } 335. }	4067
Kalee Coomar Chowdhry v. Ramdass Shaha	W. R., 1864, 153	2485
Kalee Coomar Doss v. Anees	3 W. R., Act X, 1	4539
Kalee Coomar Dutt Roy v. Pran Kishoree Chowdhrair	18 W. R., 29	5063
Kalee Coomar Nag v. Kashee Chunder Nag	6 W. R., 180	3399
Kalee Dabee v. Mudoo Soodun Chowdhry	16 W. R., 171	1490
Kaleedass Banerjee v. Bhoobun Mohun Doss	20 W. R., 185	4562
Kalee Dass Chuckerbutty v. Eshan Chunder Chatterjee.	13 W. R., 416	6237
Kaleedass Ghosal v. Muddooscodun Roy	10 W. R., 465	5081
Kaleedass Ghose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 356	3496
— v. Lal Mohun Ghose	16 W. R., 306	3911
— v. Puran Koomarce Bibee	16 W. R., 304	2701
Kaleedass Mitter v. Debarnain Deb	13 W. R., 412	1756, 3815
Kaleedass Neogee v. Hur Nath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 279	3557 5415

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalee Debee <i>v.</i> Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry . . .	16 W. R., 171 . . .	{ 1473, 1867
Kaleedhun Banerjee <i>v.</i> Romesh Chunder Dutt . . .	3 W. R., 172 . . .	3838
Kaleedoss Acharjee <i>v.</i> Khettro Pal Singh Roy . . .	17 W. R., 472 . . .	1594
Kalee Doss Banerjee <i>v.</i> Nubeen Chunder Chatterjee . . .	24 W. R., 91 . . .	{ 1755, 3557
Kalee Doss Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Beharee Lall Mookerjee . . .	2 W. R., 305 . . .	5752
Kalee Doss Ghose <i>v.</i> Lall Mohun Ghose . . .	19 W. R., 307 . . .	2983
Kalee Doss Mittra <i>v.</i> Tarachand Roy . . .	8 W. R., 316 . . .	3157
Kalee Doss Mojoondar <i>v.</i> Meheroonissa Khatoon . . .	8 W. R., 482 . . .	1884
Kaleedoss Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mothooranath Banerjee . . .	5 W. R., 154 . . .	1684
Kaleedoss Neogy <i>v.</i> Huronath Roy Chowdhry . . .	3 W. R., 4 . . .	1467
Kalee Doss Nundee <i>v.</i> Ramguttee Dutt . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 10 . . .	470, 5369
Kalee Doss Roy. In the matter of . . .	18 W. R., 466 . . .	4957
<i>v.</i> Khiroda Soonduree Debia . . .	16 W. R., 300 . . .	3799
Kaleedoss Sandyal <i>v.</i> Roy Luchmeeput Doogur . . .	14 W. R., 145 . . .	905
Kalee Haldar <i>v.</i> Sreeram Ghose . . .	W. R., 1864, 366 . . .	318, 2266
Kalee Hur Doss <i>v.</i> Roodressur Chuckerbutty . . .	15 W. R., 90 . . .	5928
Kalee Kant Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bhoobunnessuree Chowdhrair . . .	22 W. R., 416 . . .	3849
<i>v.</i> Romonee Kant Bhuttachar- } jee. } . . .	3 W. R., 217 . . .	5927
Kalee Kant Mojoondar <i>v.</i> Watson . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 75 . . .	1626
Kaleekanund Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Gircebala Debia . . .	10 W. R., 322 . . .	5315
Kaleeka Pershad Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Dhukhina Kalee } Dabee. } . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34 . . .	1728
Kalee Khan <i>v.</i> Jadee . . .	5 N. W., 62 . . .	4438
Kalee Kinkur Bachusputty <i>v.</i> Kristo Mungul Bhutta- } charjee. } . . .	11 W. R., 462 . . .	95
Kalee Kiukur Roy <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Goohe . . .	7 W. R., 50 . . .	3692
Kalee Kishen Biswas <i>v.</i> Jankee . . .	8 W. R., 250 . . .	211
Kalee Kishen Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Jugut Tara . . .	9 W. R., 334 . . .	5352
Kaleekishen Roy <i>v.</i> Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry . . .	24 W. R., 266 . . .	1593, 5179
Kalee Kishore Bose <i>v.</i> Prosono Chunder Roy . . .	10 W. R., 248 . . .	3322
Kalee Kishore Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Luckhee Debia Chowdhrair . . .	6 W. R., 172 . . .	4192
<i>v.</i> Ram Churn Shah . . .	9 W. R., 344 . . .	{ 3493, 3495
<i>v.</i> Tarapershad Roy . . .	4 W. R., 1 . . .	3508
Kalee Kishore Paul <i>v.</i> Monee Ram Singh . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 46 . . .	3223
Kalee Kishore Roy <i>v.</i> Deen Dyal Sein . . .	4 W. R., 83 . . .	5193
Kalee Kishore Sen <i>v.</i> Wise . . .	17 W. R., 477 . . .	4005
Kalee Koomar Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Huro Chunder Chucker- } butty. } . . .	17 W. R., 177 . . .	3152
Kalee Koomar Dutt <i>v.</i> Pran Kishoree Chowdhrair . . .	22 W. R., 168 . . .	5283
Kalee Koomary Dossee <i>v.</i> Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 23 . . .	5923
Kalee Krishna Deb <i>v.</i> Shashonee Dassee . . .	25 W. R., 42 . . .	5613
Kalee Kristo Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Haran Chunder Dey . . .	19 W. R., 277 . . .	4002
Kalee Kristo Thakoor <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kadar . . .	12 W. R., 428 . . .	1633
Kalee Mohun Deb Roy <i>v.</i> Dhununjoy Shaha . . .	6 W. R., 51 . . .	5177
Kalee Mohun Doss. In re . . .	17 W. R., 70 . . .	3333, 3342
Kalee Mohun Paul <i>v.</i> Bholanath Chakladar . . .	7 W. R., 138 . . .	756
Kalee Mohun Sen <i>v.</i> Ram Soonder Sen . . .	24 W. R., 243 . . .	2196
Kalee Mohun Sircar <i>v.</i> Humann Kader Mahomed Ali . . .	25 W. R., 91 . . .	5118
<i>v.</i> Humayoon Kader Mahomed } Ali Mirza Bahadoor alias Ameer Saheb. } . . .	24 W. R., 311 . . .	2019
Kalee Monee Debia <i>v.</i> Collector of Mymensingh . . .	5 W. R., 55 . . .	4309
Kalee Narain Bose <i>v.</i> Anund Moyee Goopta . . .	21 W. R., 79 . . .	4604
		{ 5940
		43
		{ 2845, 4174
		5983.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalee Narain Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Chand	W. R., 1864, 99	1946
Kalee Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Protap Chunder Burooah	12 W. R., 231	4955
Kalee Narain Singh Burooa <i>v.</i> Chunder Narain Bukshee	23 W. R., 228	1484
Kalee Nath <i>v.</i> Joy Doorga Dossee	11 W. R., 173	4179
Kalee Nath Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Reily	24 W. R., 272	3802
Kaleenath Doss <i>v.</i> Rajah Meah	22 W. R., 406	3829
Kaleenath Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 12	666
Kalee Nath Ghosal <i>v.</i> Chundee Churn Sircar	10 W. R., 51	554
Kaleenath Kur <i>v.</i> Doyal Kristo Deb	13 W. R., 87	2021
Kalee Nath Roy <i>v.</i> Nila Ram Puramanick	7 W. R., 32	5673
Kalee Persaud Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ram Soondur Sircar	12 W. R., 129	5930
Kalee Pershad <i>v.</i> Lutafut Hossein	12 W. R., 418	1159, 1182
Kalee Pershad Moitra <i>v.</i> Itcha Moyee	24 W. R., 337	4931
Kalee Pershad Shaha <i>v.</i> Ram Pershad Shaha	18 W. R., 14	1567
Kaleepershad Singh <i>v.</i> Jankee Deo Narain	7 W. R., 9	2854
_____ <i>v.</i> Poorno Debia	15 W. R., 398	3132
Kalee Pershad Tewaree <i>v.</i> Lalla Binda Lall	12 Moore's I. A., 343	85
Kalee Prosad <i>v.</i> Digambur Chatterjee	25 W. R., 72	4646
Kalee Prosunno Ghose <i>v.</i> Hurish Chunder Dutt	15 W. R., 57	759, 3448
Kalee Prosunno Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Koylash Monee Debia	8 W. R., 126	1633
Kalee Puddo Ghose <i>v.</i> Monohur Mookerjee	7 W. R., 295	3263
Kalee Soondur Roy <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Mojoomdar	18 W. R., 460	5354
Kalee Snukur Bhadooree <i>v.</i> Eshan Chunder Bhadooree	17 W. R., 529	1993
Kalee Sunkur Sannyal <i>v.</i> Denendronath Sannyal	23 W. R., 68	2468
Kaleetara Debia <i>v.</i> Nittianund Shaha	12 W. R., 90	2079
Kalee Tara Dossia <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Kur	21 W. R., 84	1769, 1771
Kaleshar Pershad <i>v.</i> Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 1 All., 650	5905
Kalian Bibi <i>v.</i> Safdar Husain Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 265	4668, 6196
Kaliandas <i>v.</i> Bhagirathi	I. L. R., 6 All., 47	4868
_____ <i>v.</i> Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 5 All., 500	2677
_____ <i>v.</i> Nawal Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 620	1343
Kalian Dayal <i>v.</i> Kalian Narer	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 259	1133, 4052
Kalian Mal <i>v.</i> Bhagwati	7 N. W., 119	231, 4053
Kalian Singh <i>v.</i> Chunnai Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 10	4426, 5681
_____ <i>v.</i> Gur Dayal	I. L. R., 4 All., 163	5735
_____ <i>v.</i> Lekhraj Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 211	4856
_____ <i>v.</i> Pankuar	7 N. W., 338	5739
_____ <i>v.</i> Sanwal Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 163	315, 4037
Kalica Prosad Misser <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Sein	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	239, 6227
Kali Chandra Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ratan Gopal Bhadhuri	4 B. L. R., Ap., 62, note	2294
_____ <i>v.</i> Shib Chandra Bhadhuri	6 B. L. R., 501 : 15 W. R., P. C., 12	1419
Kali Chandra Singh <i>v.</i> Rajkishore Bhuddro	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 615	2710
Kali Charan <i>v.</i> Sriram	2 B. L. R., A. C., 192 : 11 W. R., 60	1627
Kali Charan Chund. In re	B. L. R., Ap., 18 : 18 W. R., Cr., 27	1503, 2132
Kali Charan Gir Gossain <i>v.</i> Bangshi Mohan Das	6 B. L. R., 727 : 15 W. R., 163	4652
Kali Charan Rai <i>v.</i> Ajudhia Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 148	1190
Kali Churn Chunari. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 51	4615
_____ In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154	3881
Kali Churn Das <i>v.</i> Nobo Kristo Pal	9 C. L. R., 272	2250, 5932
Kali Churn Dutt <i>v.</i> Jogesh Chunder Dutt	2 C. L. R., 354	6081
Kali Churn Giri <i>v.</i> Golabi	2 C. L. R., 128	6266
		5837, 5851
		2702, 3355
		112, 1573

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kali Churn Lahiri <i>v.</i> Shoshee Bhoosun Sanyal	23 W. R. Cr., 17 . . .	957
Kali Churn Mitter <i>v.</i> Mahomed Soleem . . .	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 33 . . .	3237
Kali Churn Mookerjee. In the matter of . . .	11 C. L. R., 232 . . .	4624
Kali Coomar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ram Doss Shaha . . .	W. R., 1864, 153 . . .	4156
Kalicoomar Sircar <i>v.</i> Bromomoyee Dossee . . .	1 W. R., 23 . . .	338
Kalidas <i>v.</i> Municipality of Dhandhuka . . .	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 686 . . .	616
_____ <i>v.</i> Vallabhdas . . .	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 79 . . .	5699
Kalidas Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of _____ <i>v.</i> Mohendro Nath Chatterjee	5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note . . . 12 W. R., Cr., 40 . . .	4107, 4095 966, 4095
Kalidas Damodhar. <i>Ex parte</i> . . .	3 Bom., A. C., 175 . . .	3130, 3131
Kalidas Das <i>v.</i> Krishna Chandra Das . . .	2 B. L. R., F. B., 103: 11 } W. R., O. C., 11. } . . .	2333, 2334
Kalidas Kevaldas <i>v.</i> Nathu Bhagvani . . .	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 221 . . .	3227, 4277
Kalidas Mullick <i>v.</i> Kanhaya Lal Pundit . . .	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 121: L. R., } 11 I. A., 218. } . . .	2043, 2262 2270
Kali Dass Ghose <i>v.</i> Chandra Mohini Dasi . . .	8 W. R., 68 . . .	5073, 5345
Kalidhun Chuttapadhya <i>v.</i> Shiba Nath Chuttapadhya	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 483: 11 C. } L. R., 57. } . . .	4877
Kali Kamal Mazumdar <i>v.</i> Shib Suhai Sukul . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 47: 11 W. } R., 452. } . . .	533
Kalikant Roy Chowdry. <i>In re</i> . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 155: 12 W. } R., Cr., 54. } . . .	4738
Kalika Sahoy <i>v.</i> Gouree Sunkur . . .	12 W. R., 287 . . .	2392
Kali Kishen Tagore <i>v.</i> Golam Ali . . .	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 3 . . .	1426, 3034
_____ <i>v.</i> Jodoo Lal Mullick	L. R., 6 I. A., 190: 5 C. L. } R., 97. } . . .	5286
Kalikishore Roy <i>v.</i> Dhununjoy Roy . . .	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 228 . . .	3369, 4520
Kali Komul Mozumdar <i>v.</i> Uma Sunkur Moitro . . .	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 232: 13 } C. L. R., 379: L. R., 10 I. } A., 138. } . . .	2141, 2289
Kali Keomar Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Tara Piosono Mookerjee	5 C. L. R., 517 . . .	674
Kalikrishna Chandra <i>v.</i> Harihar Chuckerbutty . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 155: 10 } W. R., 160. } . . .	163, 291
Kalikrishna Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Jagattara . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 11 } W. R., 76. } . . .	3322
Kali Krishna Tagore <i>v.</i> Fuzle Ali Chowdhry . . .	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 843: 12 C. } L. R., 592. } . . .	3031
_____ <i>v.</i> Golam Ally . . .	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 248 . . .	3034
Kalikristo Paul <i>v.</i> Ramchunder Nag . . .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 147: 9 C. } L. R., 461. } . . .	3091
Kali Kristo Tagore <i>v.</i> Judoo Lall Mullick . . .	24 W. R., 20 . . .	4895, 4913
Kali Kristo Thakur <i>v.</i> Golam Ali Chowdhry . . .	1 L. R., 7 Calc., 46: 8 C. L. } R., 245. } . . .	4489, 4499
Kalikumar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ramdas Shaha . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 4E: W. } R., F. B., 165. } . . .	2207
Kali Kumar Mitter <i>v.</i> Ramgati Bhuttacharjee . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 16 W. } R., 84, note. } . . .	5650
Kali Kumar Roy <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty	1 L. R., 6 Calc., 585: 7 C. } L. R., 562. } . . .	3881
Kalimohan Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Kali Krishna Roy Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 11 W. } R., 183. } . . .	1590, 5788
Kali Mohun Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Anandamoni Dabee . . .	9 C. L. R., 18 . . .	3254
Kali Mundul <i>v.</i> Kader Nath Chuckerbutty . . .	6 C. L. R., 215 . . .	4984
Kali Narain Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Abdool Guffoor Khan	22 W. R., Cr., 24 . . .	4102, 5946
Kalinath Banerjee <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein . . .	6 B. L. R., 528, note: 13 W. } R., 469. } . . .	1179
Kalinath Chowdry <i>v.</i> Humi Bibi . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 47, note: 12 } W. R., 506. } . . .	1626

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kaliadri Dabia <i>v.</i> Komolokanto Surma	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 439, note	2857
Kaliparshad <i>v.</i> Ram Charan	I. L. R., 1 All., 159	2459
Kali Pershad Sirdar <i>v.</i> Futteh Chund Dass	9 W. R., Cr., 16	4728
Kalipershad Surma <i>v.</i> Bhoirabee Dabee	2 W. R., 180	2809
Kalipershad Tewaree <i>v.</i> Perhlad Sein	12 Moore's I. A., 282	1030, 6117
Kali Prasad Mazumdar <i>v.</i> Collector of Mymensi	6 B. L. R., 261, note : 13 W. R., 366.	45, 64
Kaliprasad Sing <i>v.</i> Jainarayan Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 23 : 11 W. R., 361.	1466, 4282
Kaliprasad Tewari <i>v.</i> Prahlad Sen	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6 : 12 Moore's I. A., 282.	1030, 6117
Kali Prasanna Rai <i>v.</i> Dhananjai Ghose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 625	3
Kali Prasanna Roy <i>v.</i> Ambica Charan Bose	9 B. L. R., 261 : 18 W. R., 416.	4618
Kaliprosad Banerji <i>v.</i> Gisborne and Co.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 61 : 13 C. L. R., 156.	1233
Kaliprosad Rai <i>v.</i> Meher Chandra Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 222 : 2 C. L. R., 478.	2832
Kali Prosonno Ghose. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 575	4363
<i>v.</i> Kamini Soonduri Chowdhrair	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 475 : 3 C. L. R., 184.	4000
Kaliprosunno Bagchee. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 39	1313
Kali Prosonno Roy. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 58	4509
Kali Pudo Banerjee <i>v.</i> Choitun Pandah	22 W. R., 214	412
Kali Shunker Dass <i>v.</i> Gopal Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 104	3178
Kalishuukur Doss <i>v.</i> —————	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 49 : 6 C. L. R., 543.	5093
Kaliyugam Chetti <i>v.</i> Chokalinga Pillai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 105	1998
Kalka Parshad <i>v.</i> Kanhaya Singh	7 N. W., 99	5070
Kalkapershad <i>v.</i> Budree Sah	3 N. W., 267	2334, 2456
Kalka Prasad <i>v.</i> Ram Din	I. L. R., 5 All., 272	5625
Kallachand Paul <i>v.</i> Dheeraj Mahatab Chand	18 W. R., 190	3509
Kallacolathuran <i>v.</i> Subbaroya Reddi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 73	4835
Kallapa bin Girmallappa <i>v.</i> Venkatesh Vinayak	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 676	1468, 1470 4959
Kallaram Dhur <i>v.</i> Joogul Kishore Surmah	23 W. R., 290	5280
Kallati Kunju Menon <i>v.</i> Palat Erracha Menon	2 Mad., 162	3756
Kallavetti Kuriyil Kumholen Kutty <i>v.</i> Nilambur Thackarakavil Mana Vikaramen <i>alias</i> Thirumulpad.	8 Mad., 17	1429
Kallee Churn Khettry. In re	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 18	2568
Kallee Coomar Roy <i>v.</i> Gunganarain Dutt Roy	10 W. R., 250	1072
Kallee Mundle. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 278	5290
Kalleepersaud Singh <i>v.</i> Kupoor Koowaree	4 W. R., 65	2412
Kallee Pershad Holdar <i>v.</i> Soobhogea Dabee	1 W. R., 218	5073
Kallee Singh <i>v.</i> Moorlee Ram	1 W. R., 135	2915
Kallee Sunkur Roy <i>v.</i> Kishto Doolal Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 296	4907
Kallian Banerji <i>v.</i> Modhusundun Banerji	8 C. L. R., 259	4315
Kalliandas Kirparam <i>v.</i> Trikamlal Gulabhai	9 Bom., 307	2674
Kalliappa Kaundan <i>v.</i> Vayapuri Kaundan	2 Mad., 442	1359
Kallida Pershad Dutt <i>v.</i> Ram Hari Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 317	540, 4528
Kalipershad Singh <i>v.</i> Grant	2 Hay, 329	1084
Kalliyani <i>v.</i> Narayana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 266	3757
Kallonas <i>v.</i> Collector of Backergunge	11 W. R., 109	3560
<i>v.</i> Gunga Gobind Roy Chowdhry	25 W. R., 121	2767
Kallooram Baboo <i>v.</i> Doorganath Talookdar	10 W. R., 175	2712
Kallu <i>v.</i> Muhammad Abdul Ghani	I. L. R., 7 All., 564	3503

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kallu Mal <i>v.</i> Brown	I. L. R., 3 All., 504	5246
Kallum Sheikh <i>v.</i> Panchoo Mundul		2971
Kallyan <i>v.</i> Doukata		1391
Kally Churn Banerjee <i>v.</i> Gopal Chunder Banerjee	26 W. R., 100	582
Kally Churn Dutt <i>v.</i> Protap Chunder Ghose	5 C. L. R., 484	215
_____ <i>v.</i> Protap Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 848 : 12 C. L. R., 407.	3808
Kally Churn Sahoo <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 725 : 8 C. L. R., 90.	
Kally Churn Shaw <i>v.</i> Dukhee Bibee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 692 : 5 C. L. R., 505.	2440, 3413
Kally Churn Singh <i>v.</i> Bunker Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 26	4735
Kally Doss Banerjee <i>v.</i> Monomales Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61	531
_____ <i>v.</i> Mutty Lal Chuckerbutty	Marsh., 483	907
Kally Doss Bhadury <i>v.</i> Golam Ali Chowdhry	3 C. L. R., 237	1919
Kally Doss Mitter <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Paul	Marsh., 569	1708
Kally Mohun Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Empress	13 C. L. R., 117	1269, 5528
Kally Mohun Pal <i>v.</i> Dino Nath Chuckerbutty	8 C. L. R., 34	1924
Kally Mohun Paul <i>v.</i> Bholanath Chakladar	7 W. R., 138	469, 3384
Kally Mohun Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ramjoy Mundul	2 Hay, 289	4588
Kally Nath Naugh Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Chunder Nath Naugh Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 878 : 10 C. L. R., 207.	2567
Kally Nath Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Lawrie	3 W. R., 122	38
Kally Nauth Shaw <i>v.</i> Rajeeb Lochun Mookerjee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122	1701
Kallynauth Shaw <i>v.</i> Rajeeblochun Mozoomdar	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 343	1704, 4398
Kally Persad Sein Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	1 Hay, 430	4987, 5064
Kallypersaud Singh <i>v.</i> Hoolas Chund	10 B. L. R., 448 : 20 W. R., 8	5715
_____ <i>v.</i> Jankee Deo Narain	7 W. R., 9	3509
Kally Prosad Roy <i>v.</i> Sarferaz Ali	1 C. L. R., 399	3914
Kally Prosonno Bose <i>v.</i> Dinonath Mullick	11 B. L. R., 56 : 19 W. R., 434.	858, 1893 4246
Kally Prosonno Ghose <i>v.</i> Gocool Chunder Mitter	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 295	2143
Kally Prosonno Hazra <i>v.</i> Heera Lal Mundle	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 468	3215
Kally Prosono Mitter <i>v.</i> Gopee Nath Kur	7 C. L. R., 241	2564
Kally Prosonno Sein <i>v.</i> Kisto Nund Dundee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 13	3180
Kallyprosunno Biswas <i>v.</i> Mungala Dassee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 631	242, 3119
Kally Prosunno Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 224	3847
Kally Soondery Dabia. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 594 : 7 C. L. R., 543.	3095
_____ <i>v.</i> Hurish Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 594 : 7 C. L. R., 543.	3095
Kally Sunkur Sundyal <i>v.</i> Huro Sunkur Sundyal	7 W. R., 29	3331
Kalo Nilkanth <i>v.</i> Lakshimbai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 637	3372
Kaloo <i>v.</i> Guribollah	13 B. L. R., 163, note : 10 W. R., 12.	3701
Kaloo Rai <i>v.</i> Muhunt Rai	1 Agra, 259	1698
Kalova kom Bhujangrav <i>v.</i> Padapa walad Bhujangrav	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 248	1413, 3347
Kalpagathachi <i>v.</i> Ganapathi Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 184	4781
Kalsumnissa <i>v.</i> Wahidunnissa	3 B. L. R., A. C., 176, note	3332
Kalu <i>v.</i> Kashibai <i>alias</i> Lakshimbai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 127	2421
Kalub Hossein <i>v.</i> Ali Hossein	4 N. W., 3	164
_____ <i>v.</i> Deen Ali	4 N. W., 2	206
_____ <i>v.</i> Mehrum Beebee	4 N. W., 155	3662
Kalu bin Bhiwaji <i>v.</i> Vishram Mawaji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 543	1125, 6075
Kalu bin Visaji <i>v.</i> Damodhar Govind	9 Bom., 92	1363, 5485

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalu Narayan Kulkarni v. Hanimapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 435	2097
Kalu Ram Maigraj v. Madras Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 240	3273, 4710
Kalu Shaikh Khansama v. Beatson	24 W. R., 446	421
Kalut Ram v. Lala Phanukdhari Sahai	11 C. R., 361	3346
Kalyanbhai v. Motiram Jamnadas	10 Bom., 378	5384
Kalyanbhai Dipchand v. Ghanashamlal Jadunathji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 29	1917, 3195 3465
Kalytara Chowdharin v. Ram Coomar Goopta	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 466 : 9 C. L. R., 114	5464, 5479
Kamakshi v. Nagarathnam	5 Mad., 161	2306
Kamakshi Achari v. Appavu Pillai	1 Mad., 448	1069
Kamakshi Ammal v. Chidambara Reddi	3 Mad., 94	2082, 5268
Kamala Kant Ghose v. Kalu Mahomed Mandal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 44 : 11 W. R., 395.	1104
Kalamam v. Sadagopa Sami	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 356	2221, 5264
Kamala Naiken v. Pitchacotty Chetty	10 Moore's I. A., 386	3895
Kamala Nayak v. Ranga Rau	1 Mad., 24	437, 3006
Kamal Chandra Bose v. Nobin Chandra Ghose	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12 : 10 W. R., 184.	3103
Kamalooddeen v. Anoo Mundul	1 C. L. R., 564	1185
Kamal Singh v. Batul Fatima	I. L. R., 2 All., 460	3167, 6053
Kamaluddin Husen Khan v. Bhika Manji	4 Bom., A. C., 49	3065
Kamaludin Husen Khan v. Partap Mota	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 244	1122, 1141
Kamaraja v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 22	6091
Kamar-un-nissa Bibi v. Husaini Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 266	3655, 3681
Kamat v. Kamat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 368	247, 5774
Kamatchi Ammal v. Agiland Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 334	2852
Kamavadhani Venkata Subhaya v. Joysa Narasingappa	3 Mad., 116	2502
Kamayya v. Leman	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 37	2848
Kambhai v. Himat Singji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 415	2895
Kambinayani Javaji Subbarajulu Nayani Varu v. Uddighiri Venkataraya Chetty.	2 Mad., 268	3112, 3129 3795
Kameekhapershad Mookerjee v. Larmour	W. R., F. B., 86	244
Kameence Dossee v. Bissonath Ghose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6	6200
Kameence Dossee v. Hurromoney Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 91 : Cor., 151	770, 4676
Kameshuree Dassee v. Court of Wards	12 W. R., 251	2058
Kameshwar Pershad v. Run Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 458	834
Kamessur Pershad v. Dowlut Ram	19 W. R., 83	3921
_____ v. Kadir Khan	20 W. R., 393	3250
Kameswar Pershad v. Run Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 843 : 8 C. L. R., 361 : L. R., 8 I. A., 8	2194, 4156
Kamikhaprasad Roy v. Jagadamba Dasi	5 B. L. R., 508	2194, 2210
Kaminee Debia v. Issur Chunder Roy Chowdhry	22 W. R., 39	3245
_____ v. Protap Chunder Sandyal	25 W. R., 103	2956
_____ v. Radha Sham Koondoo	18 W. R., 58	2946
Kaminee Dossee v. Bishonath Shaha	9 W. R., 214	5684
_____ v. Gourmonee Dossee	Ind. Jur., N. S., 359	5411
Kaminee Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Kant Sein	14 W. R., 275	
Kaminee Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Mudhoo Soodun Mookerjee.	21 W. R., 376	5708
Kamini Debi v. Ramlochan Sirkar	5 B. L. R., 450	1667, 3926
Kamini Sundari Chaudhrani v. Kali Prossunno Ghose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 225 : L. R., 12 I. A., 215.	1080, 2831
Kaminy Mohun Somoddar v. Gopal	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 479 : 10 C. L. R., 519.	1866
Kammana Kallacheri Illath Vassudavan Nambudri v. Chembrakandy Mussa Kutty.	6 Mad., 138	3212

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kamod Poory v. Sheo Poory	1 N. W., 167 : Ed. 1873, 246	4344
Kamona Soondury Dassee v. Hurro Lall Shaha	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 570 : 10 C. L. R., 409. }	4668
Kampilikaribasavappa v. Soma Samudhiram	1 Mad., 183	1811
Kamran v. Neitram	6 N. W., 185	3244
Kamruddin Dai v. Sonatun Mandal	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 449	2778
Kamul Kaminee Dassee v. Obhoy Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 151	2739
Kanahia v. Ram Kishen	1. L. R., 2 All., 429	2864
Kanahia Lal v. Kali Din	1. L. R., 2 All., 392	4775
— v. Muhammad Husain Khan	1. L. R., 5 All., 11	3886
Kanahi Lal v. Naubat Rai	1. L. R., 3 All., 519	194
Kanahi Ram v. Biddya Ram	1. L. R., 1 All., 549	2276, 2442
Kanailal Jowhari v. Kamini Debi	1 B. L. R., O. C., 31. note	1822, 4233
Kanai Lal Khan v. Sashi Bhuson Biswas	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 777 : 8 C. L. R., 117. }	4290, 5055
Kanai Prasad Bose v. Hira Chand Manu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 71 : 14 W. R., 120. }	1363, 5431
Kanakamma v. Venkataratnam	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 586	3878
Kanara v. Govindan	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 310	3764
Kanara Paniker v. Ryrappa Paniker	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 212	4473
Kanayalal v. Chagmal Battia	8 B. L. R., 412	5989
— v. Pyarabai	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 139	1784, 3962
Kanaye Mollah v. Debnath Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 161	536
Kanchan Singh v. Sheo Prasad	1. L. R., 2 All., 291	3531
Kan Chun Malla Dossia v. Rajendro Chunder Roy Chowdhry. }	18 W. R., 126	562
Kandan Chetti v. Coorjee Seit	2 Mad., 187	1102
Kandasami v. Doraisami Ayyar	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 317	2450
Kandasami Pillai v. Moidin Saib	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 337	1997
Kandiya Lal v. Chandar	1. L. R., 7 All., 313	628, 5254
Kandoth Mammi v. Neelan Cherayil Abdu Kalandan	8 Mad., 14	1701, 2800
Kandu v. Konda	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 62	4427
Kandunni v. Katiamma	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 251	4986
Kangal Chandra Ruj v. Kanye Lal Ruj	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 69	1449
Kangalee Churn Ghosal v. Bonomalee Mullick	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709 : 7 W. R., 515. }	3513
Kangalee Churn Josh v. Dursunee Dossee	18 W. R., 198	5123
Kangali Churn Sha v. Zomurrudonnissa Khatoon	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 709 : 8 C. L. R., 154. }	3284
Kanhaiya Lal v. Domingo	1. L. R., 1 All., 732	402
Kanhaya Lal v. Stowell	1. L. R., 3 All., 581	1772, 1773
Kanhya Lal v. Radha Churn	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 662 : 2 Ind Jur., N. S., 229 : 7 W. R., 338. }	5834
Kanhya Lal Pundit v. Dinonath Sircar	17 W. R., 23	2780
Kanhya Lal Pundit v. Collector of Cuttack	14 B. L. R., 291, note : 16 W. R., 275. }	4130
Kanhya Chand Gossamee v. Ram Chunder Gossamee	24 W. R., 81	842
Kanhya Roy v. Hyder Buksh	25 W. R., 29	381
Kanhya Singh v. Oomadhur Bhutt	21 W. R., 291	4270
Kanitkar v. Joshi	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 442	5447
Kanizak Sukina v. Monohur Das	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 204	4830
Kanji Ladhia v. Dhonde Kondaji	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 729	488
Kanji Mal v. Kanhia Lal	1. L. R., 7 All., 373	1522, 1730
— v. Sailo	1. L. R., 8 All., 116	794
Kankatala Chellamaiya v. Poleshetti Papaiya	6 Mad., 36	5462
		916

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kannan v. Nilakandan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 337 .	3427
Kanna Pisharodi v. Kombi Achen	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 381 .	3759, 3764
Kannoth Tuluva Paramban Kunhali v. Vannathan Vittil Kinathe.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 74 .	3766
Kannye Lall Sett v. Nistoriny Dossee	I. L. R., 10 Cal., 443 .	3011
Kanoo Mundle v. Rahumoolah Mundle	W. R., 1864, 269 .	5650
Kapooram Sriman v. Golap Chand Nowluckha	24 W. R., 178 .	1127
Kanoo Soudagur v. Alabundee Bewa	24 W. R., Cr., 72 .	3743
Kantee Chuander Dutt v. Gopeś Madhub Neogee	11 W. R., 3 .	6173
Kanteti Venkayya v. Palabhadrapatruni Kotayya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 153 .	4826
Kanthi Ram v. Bankey Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 396 .	221
Kanth Narain Singh v. Prem Lal Paurey	3 W. R., 102 .	1407, 4034
Kanti Chunder Mookerjee v. Bamun Doss Mookerjee	25 W. R., 434 .	3388
Kantiram v. Woli Sahu	2 B. L. R., A. C., 330: 11 W. R., 251.	3710
Kantoo Lall v. Girdharee Lall	9 W. R., 469 .	2164
Kanto Prosad Hazari v. Asad Ali Khan	5 C. L. R., 452 .	3280
Kanu Khandu v. Krishna Bhulaji Shet	5 Bom., A. C., 147 .	4828
Kanya Lall Pundit v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927: 9 W. R., 223.	211
Kanye Lall Khan v. Khettermoney Dossee	5 C. L. R., 105 .	5990
Kapahi Bewa v. Keshram Kuch	2 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 11 W. R., 93.	5745
Kapalavaya Saraya. Ex parte	2 Mad., 247 .	2007
Kapilnath Sahai v. Government	I. L. R., 1 Cal., 142 .	277
Kapilnauth Sahai Deo v. Government	10 B. L. R., 168 .	6020
	13 B. L. R., 445: 22 W. R., 17.	2003, 2223
Kappusvamiayyan v. Nannuvayyun	1 Mad., 74 .	3127
Kaptan v. Smith	7 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 16 W. R., Cr., 3.	289
Karakar Nachiar. Ex parte	3 Mad., 254 .	2582
Karakavalasa Appayya v. Karanam Papayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 256 .	1349
Karam Ali v. Halima	I. L. R., 1 All., 686 .	3532
Karanat Khan v. Sami-ud-din	I. L. R., 8 All., 409 .	669
Karam Khan v. Daryai Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 331 .	4085
Karan Singh v. Bakar Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 1: L. R., 9: I. A., 99.	1227
_____ v. Mohan Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 9 .	3414
_____ v. Muhammad Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 860 .	4001
_____ v. Ram Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 96 .	4419, 4545
Kareem Bee v. Beegam Bee	3 Mad., 368 .	4767
Kareemooddeen v. Moeizooddeen Khan	1 Agra, 184 .	6228
Karim v. Muhammad Kadar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 89 .	3727
Karim Baksh v. Misri Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 295 .	5259
Karim Baksh Khan v. Phula Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 102 .	2650
Karimbhai v. Conservator of Forests	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 222 .	4556
Karim Buksh v. Budma	I. L. R., 1 All., 249 .	416, 4327
_____ v. Kaur-ud-deen Ahmad	6 N. W., 377 .	4421
Karim Khatav v. Pardhan Manji	2 Bom., 292: 2nd Ed., 276 .	2856
Karimodin v. Alam Khan	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 119 .	3716
Karim Shah v. Nattan Bivi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 417 .	3695
Karim Sheikh v. Mukhoda Soondery Dassee	15 B. L. R., 111: 23 W. R., 268.	3664
Karman Bibi v. Misrilal	I. L. R., 2 All., 904 .	3168
Karoo Kahar v. Nauboo Singh	24 W. R., 380 .	582, 5921
		227
		5677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Karoolall Thakoor <i>v.</i> Dhoonal Mundul	8 W. R., 86	4754
Karoo Lal Thakoor <i>v.</i> Forbes	7 W. R., 140	1701, 3843
<i>v.</i> Luchmepoot Doogur	7 W. R., 15	2886
Karoo Singh <i>v.</i> Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 80: 13 C. } L. R., 261. }	5124
Karpakambal <i>v.</i> Subbayyan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 234	801
Kartick Chandra Pal <i>v.</i> Sridhar Mandal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 563	5063
Kartick Chunder Bal <i>v.</i> Chunder Nath Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., Cr., 56	4100
Kartick Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Gour Mohun Roy	1 W. R., 48	2198
Kartick Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mooktaram Sircar	10 W. R., 21	898
Kartick Churn Setty <i>v.</i> Gopalkisto Paulit	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 261	1129, 2816
Kartick Kurmoker <i>v.</i> Dhunnomonee Goopto	W. R., 1864, 268	2195
Kartick Napit <i>v.</i> Personomoyee Naptinee	2 W. R., 77	2772
Kartick Nath Panday <i>v.</i> Khakun Singh	1 C. L. R., 328	4795
<i>v.</i> Roy Nundepoot Mahatab	23 W. R., 263	4125
Kartick Nath Pandey <i>v.</i> Government	11 W. R., 58	1084
Kartick Nath Parray <i>v.</i> Chummun Roy	21 W. R., 51	4284
Kartick Paramanick <i>v.</i> Kisben Mohun Mitter	22 W. R., 329	1439
Kartick Surmah <i>v.</i> Bydonath Sacene	10 W. R., 205	5327
Kartick Nath Pandey <i>v.</i> Padmanund Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 496	4714
Kartik Chandra Sirkar <i>v.</i> Kartik Chandra Dey	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 166: 11 } W. R., 522. }	6071
Karunakar Mahati <i>v.</i> Niladhro Chowdhry	{ 5 B. L. R., 652: 14 W. R., } 107. }	3069
Karuppa <i>v.</i> Arumuga	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 383	1572
Karuppa Goundan <i>v.</i> Kalanthayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 91	5245
Karuppan <i>v.</i> Ayyathorai	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 445	199
<i>v.</i> Ramasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 482	999
Karuppanan <i>v.</i> Muthunnan Servey	5 Mad., 105	3481
Karuppana Nayak <i>v.</i> Nallamma Nayak	1 Mad., 209	632
Karuppan Chetti <i>v.</i> Veriyal	4 Mad., 1	2476, 3192
Karuppa Tevan <i>v.</i> Vasudeva Sastri	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 148	3260, 3598
Karuppa Thevan <i>v.</i> Alagu Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 152	2205
Karuthan <i>v.</i> Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 203	419
Karuthasami <i>v.</i> Jaganatha	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 478	4995
Karuthedatta <i>alias.</i> Pullakatt Neelakadan Namboodri } <i>v.</i> Mele Pullakatt Vassa Devan Namboodri. }	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 236	2339
Karyan <i>v.</i> Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Kasam Pirbhai. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 95	{ 3647, 3648 } 3741
Kasandas Laldas <i>v.</i> Pranjivan Asharam	7 Bom., A. C., 146	4394
Kasee Kant <i>v.</i> Gopal Kisto Moitro	W. R., 1864, 314	4190
Kaseenath Nusker <i>v.</i> Bama Soondery Dossia	10 W. R., 429	1619
Kaseenauth <i>v.</i> Bheekarce Loll	W. R., F. B., 79	3955
Kaseenauth Moor <i>v.</i> Reejeonissa	Marsh., 198: 1 Hay, 467	4399, 4898
Kashee Chunder Dey <i>v.</i> Bungshee Buddun Dey	23 W. R., 89	1451, 1455
Kashee Chunder Dutt <i>v.</i> Judoonath Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., 29	5745
Kashee Chunder Mojoondar <i>v.</i> Seetul Chunder Tulla- pattur. }	17 W. R., 151	1736
Kashee Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Doorga	11 W. R., 410	211
Kashee Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Gour Kishore Goo- ho	10 W. R., 139	2497
Kashee Chunder Turkobhoosun <i>v.</i> Kally Prosunno Chowdhry. }	9 W. R., 452	3114
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Alip Mundul }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 149: 7 C. L. } R., 107. }	1189, 1639
<i>v.</i> Bama Soondaree } Debia Chowdhraia. }	23 W. R., 27	135, 5985

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Chunder Sandyal.	15 W. R., 160	820
_____ v. Kristo Chunder Sandyal Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 464	5004
_____ v. Noor Khan	7 W. R., 45	60430
Kashee Lal v. Ameer Jan	2 N. W., 347	2314, 2325
Kashee Mohun Roy v. Raj Gobind Chuckerbutty	24 W. R., 229	329, 2342
Kasheenath Deb v. Shibessuree Debia	8 W. R., 503	314, 2779
Kasheenath Dhur v. Gudadhur Paul	11 W. R., 374	767
Kasheenath Doss v. Bhowanee Dossee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 18	454
Kashee Nath Kooer v. Debkristo Ramanooj Doss	16 W. R., 240	1355, 1 2792, 2802 3814, 4500
Kasheenath Koonwar v. Bunko Beharee Chowdhry	12 W. R., 440	3125, 5352
Kashee Nath Mookerjee v. Mohesh Chunder Goopto	25 W. R., 168	303, 4176
Kasheenath Pune v. Lukhmonce Pershad Patnaik	19 W. R., 99	3012
Kasheenath Roy v. Luckheenarain Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 91	5121, 5131
Kasheenath Roy Chowdhry v. Mynuddeen Chowdhry	1 W. R., 154	2691
_____ v. Shabtree Soonduree Dossee	10 W. R., 156	564, 5170
_____ v.	11 W. R., 42	5927
Kasheenath Sein v. Chundermonee Debia	9 W. R., 288	1204
Kasheenath Shaha v. Jogendro Nath Labod	22 W. R., 68	3174
Kashee Pershad v. Debi Das	7 N. W., 77	775
Kashee Pershad Roy v. Shib Chunder Deb	2 W. R., Mis., 3	3512
Kashee Pershad Sen Neogee v. Jamir Paikar	2 C. L. R., 265	546 1663
Kashee Ram v. Gunga Pershad	2 N. W., 304	528
Kashee Ram Doss v. Sham Mohince	23 W. R., 227	583
Kasheeshuree Debia v. Greesh Chunder Lahoree	W. R., 1864, 71	2318
Kashee Singh v. Onraet	5 W. R., Act X, 81	4863
Kasheeshuree Dassee v. Krishna Kamenee Debia	2 Hay, 557	2242, 4138
Kasheeshuree Debia v. Greesh-Chuuder Lahooree	6 W. R., Mis., 64	414
Kashi v. Mendee Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 8	2915
Kashibai v. Tatia	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 221	2128
Kashi Eashi Rauling Swamee v. Chilumbernath Kooma Swamee.	20 W. R., 217	2244
Kashi Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Yar Mahomed	21 W. R., Cr., 10	4104
Kashi Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Hurkishore Doss	10 B. L. R., 441 : 19 W. R., Cr., 47	4722
Kashi Chunder Sen. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Hurkishore Doss	10 B. L. R., 441 : 19 W. R., Cr., 47	4722
Kashidas Govindbhai v. B., B. and C. I. Railway Co. . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 266 : 10 C. L. R., 91	3852
Kashi Kishor Roy v. Tarini Kant Lahori	6 Bom., A. C., 114	3285
Kashi Nath v. Mukhta Prasad	3 B. L. R., A. C., 76 : 15 W. R., Cr., 42, note	4490
Kashinath Balal Ok. Ex parte	I. L. R., 6 All., 370	1473
Kashi Nath Chatterjee v. Chandi Charan Bauerjee	5 Bom., A. C., 84	5899
Kashi Nath Chuckerbutty v. Brindabun Chuckerbutty	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 383 : 5 W. R., 68	1816
Kashi Nath Dass v. Hurrihur Mookerjee	I. L. R. 10 Calc., 649	1821
Kashinath Ghose v. Dinobundhoo Mytee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 898 : 13 C. L. R., 11	1826
Kashinath Khargivala v. Collector of Poona	16 W. R., Cr., 72	3864
Kashinath Morsheth v. Ramchandra Gopinath	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 553	2948
Kashinath Roy v. Nowcowry Koondoo	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 408	5015
Kashinath Roy Chowdhry v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	1 W. R., 22	1823
	5 W. R., 297	3215

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kashinath Roy Chowdhry v. Roy Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty.	7 W. R., 61 . . .	
Kashinath Si' m Cze v. Dadki	6 Bom., A. C., 211 . . .	4156
Kashir v. Dajigovind	7 Bom., A. C., 102 . . .	1048
Kao Sing Mynooddeen Chowdhry	W. R., F. B., 146 . . .	5787
Miller	1. L. R., 7 All., 752 . . .	833
Karpakam Krishna v. Bhadu Bapuji	7 Bom., A. C., 17 . . .	2836, 5650
Kartick C. Narayan Dhulappa	3 Bom., A. C., 110 . . .	5481
Kartick Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Surbanand Shaha	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 317 . . .	451
Karti Chandra Dutt v. Jadunath Chuckerbutty	8 B. L. R., 512, note: 10 W. R., 29.	5690
Kasi Chunder Mozumdar. In the matter of the petition of.	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 440: 7 C. L. R., 334.	1969, 5521 5524
Kasi Kant Bhattacharji v. Rohini Kant Bhattacharji {	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 325: 7 C. L. R., 342.	548
Kasim Ajim Duplay v. Kasim Mohammed Barucha	2 B. L. R., A. C., 59 . . .	5584
Kasim Ali v. Shadee	3 N. W., 21	4930, 5667
Kasim Ali Khan v. Birj Kishore	2 N. W., 182	1360, 1423 4858, 5222
Kasimannissa Bibi v. Hurannissa Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 8	3912
Kasim Hussein v. Sharif-un-nissa	1. L. R., 5 All., 285	3678
Kasim Mian v. Banda Husain	1. L. R., 5 All., 616	3021, 5205
Kasimuddi Khandkar v. Nadir Ali Tarafdar	2. B. L. R., A. C., 265: 11 W. R., 164.	320, 1597
Kasimunnissa Bibi v. Hills	6 B. L. R., Ap., 125: 15 W. R., 127.	5622
Kasimunnissa Bibee v. Nilratna Bose	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 79: 9 C. L. R., 173: 10 C. L. R., 113.	3424, 3548 3957, 4258 5397
Kasimunnissa Bibi v. Hurannissa Bibi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 6	3912
Kasinath Koowar v. Bankubehari Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., A. C., 446	3125, 5352
Kasinath Roy Chowdhry. In re	7 B. L. R., 146, note	5927
Kasinath Shaha v. Dwarkanath Sirkar	9 B. L. R., 215: 17 W. R., 550.	777, 6246
Kasi Persad Narain v. Kawalbasu Kooer	5 Moore's I. A., 146	4649
Kassee Nauth Roy Chowdhry v. Hullodhur Roy	2 W. R., 60	1197, 5462
Kassim Biswas. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 335	4733
Kassim Hassim Soorty v. Abraham Soleman	25 W. R., Cr., 24	4485, 4499
Kassim Mundle v. Noor Bibee	1 W. R., 76	1817
Kassim Shaw v. Unnodapershad Chatterjee	1 Hyde, 160	3544
Kassirav R. Saheb Holkar v. Vithaldas Mangalji	10 Bom., 100	898
Kastalino v. Rustomji Dadabhoy	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 468	4409
Kasturbai v. Shivajiram Devkurna	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 372	2426
Kastur Bhavani v. Appa	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 621	303, 2179 5819
Kasturchand v. Ravji Sadashiv	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 65	4068, 5896 6181
Kasturshet Javershet v. Rama Kanhoji	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 65	1890
Kasublal Dey v. Tremearne	3 B. L. R., Ap., 12	6274
Kasum v. Shaista Bibi	7 N. W., 313	3682
Katakam Venkaiya v. Bhupalam Pedda Mulasappah	4 Mad., 142	779
Katchy Kullyana Rungappa Kalaka Thola Oodiar v. Balooosamy Chetty.	3 W. R., P. C., 50: 7 Moore's I. A., 224.	1506
Kateeram Dokanee v. Gendhenee	23 W. R., 178	2275
Kathaperumal v. Venkabai	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 194	2316, 5392
Katiyanee v. Mahomed Shurfooddeen	3 Agra, 189	1347
Kattama Nachiar v. Bothagurusami Tevar	6 Mad., 293	6062

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kattama Nachiar v. Subrarama Aiyar	4 Mad., 302	3334
Kattama Natchiar v. Dorasinga Taver	15 B. L. R., 83 : 23 W. R., 314 : L. R., 2 I. A., 169. }	1413
————— v. Muhammad Mira Ravutan	7 Mad., 150	6042
Kattama Nauchear v. Rajah of Shivagungha	2 W. R., P. C., 31 : 9 Moore's I. A., 539. }	2314, 2325 2329, 2342 2514, 2779
Kattamuri Jagappa v. Padalu Latchappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 119	4767
Kattaperumal Pillai v. Panchanadam Pillai	3 Mad., 339	4054
Kattasawmy v. Saudama Naik	5 Mad., 294	3594
Katte Monee Dossee v. Mon Mohinee Dabee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 353 : 3 W. R., 51. }	43
Kattyanee Chowdhrair v. Madhubnarain Roy Chowdhry	4 W. R., 68	879
Kattyanee Debia v. Girish Chunder Banerjee	23 W. R., 168	4267
Kattiyani Debea v. Soonduree Debea	2 W. R., Act X, 60	1618
Kauleshar Panday v. Girdhari Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 338	2862
Kauleshar Rai v. Dost Muhammad Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 274	237
Kaundan v. Muttammal	3 Mad., 92	3378
Kavai bai v. Alam Khan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 170	3674
Kavasji Bhunji v. Dhondiraj Vinayak	10 Bom., 411	1939
Kavasji Framji v. Wallace	1 Bom., 113	2802
Kavasji Sorabji v. Barjorji Sorabji	10 Bom., 224	3192
Kaviraja Sundara Murteya Pillai v. Nalla Naikan } Pillai.	3 Mad., 93	164
Kawa Manji v. Khowaz Nussio	5 C. L. R., 278	6003
Kay v. Poorunchand Poonalal	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 631	4629
Kazi Chundra Mozoomdar v. Juggut Chundra } Mozoomdar.	7 C. L. R., 330	5521, 5524
Keamuddin v. Allah Buksh	15 W. R., Cr., 51	4332
Kearnes v. Bhawani Charan Mitter	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54 : W. R., F. B., 167. }	6154
Kebul Kishen Doss v. Jaminee	5 W. R., Act X, 47	3800
Kebul Kishen Mozoomdar v. Ambala	7 W. R., 326	4845, 4910
Kebul Kristo Dass v. Ram Coomar Shah	9 W. R., 571	2090
Kebul Mahton v. Sunnoo	5 W. R., Act X, 80	5189
Kebul Ram v. Government	5 W. R., 47	3270
Kebul Ram Mundul v. Wells	24 W. R., 454	6092
Kedaetollah v. Karloo	7 W. R., 73	5748
Kedari bin Ranu v. Atmarambhat	3 Bom., A. C., 11	1081, 2213
Kedar Monee Dossee v. Chunder Coomar Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 75	531
Kedar Nath v. Debi Din	I. L. R., 4 All., 165	82
————— v. Rughonath	6 N. W., 104	2846
Kedarnath Acharjee v. Bhugwan Chunder Nundee	2 W. R., 153	4173
Kedarnath Bhuttacharjee v. Kripa Ram Bhuttacharjee	5 W. R., 270	196
Kedar Nath Biswas v. Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry	23 W. R., 207	578
Kedarnath Chuckerbutty v. Donzelle	20 W. R., 352	1686, 3636, 3703
————— v. Gopeenath Ghose	23 W. R., 426	1733, 5696
Kedar Nath Coondoo Chowdhry v. Hemangini Dassi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 336	2418
Kedar Nath Doss v. Protab Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 626 : 8 C. L. R., 238. }	294, 4153
Kedarnath Dutt v. Harachand Dutt	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 420	8464
————— v. Seeva Veyana Rana Luchman } Chetty.	1 C. L. R., 336	426
————— Khetry	11 B. L. R., 405 : 20 W. R., 150. }	1527, 4771
Kedarnath Ghose v. Kasim Mundul	8 W. R., 364	3113

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kedar Nath Joardar <i>v.</i> Surfoonnissa Bibee . . .	24 W. R., 425 . . .	1770
Kedarnath Mahata <i>v.</i> Kadumbinee Debea . . .	10 W. R., 239 . . .	4175
Kedar Nath Mitter <i>v.</i> Alisar Rohoman. In the matter of.	10 C. L. R., 197 . . .	5277
_____ <i>v.</i> Sookoomaree Debia . . .	22 W. R., 398 . . .	3060
_____ <i>v.</i> Surendro Deb Roy . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 865 : 13 C. L. R., 58.	4787
Kedarnath Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mathuranath Dutt . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 17 : 10 W. R., 59.	332, 2081
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Paulit . . .	1 W. R., 67 . . .	4174
_____ <i>v.</i> Parbutty Peishkar . . .	2 W. R., 267 . . .	5267
Kedarnath Nag <i>v.</i> Khetturpaul Sritirutno . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 34 : 6 C. L. R., 569.	3352
Kedar Nath Pakrasee <i>v.</i> Doya Moyee Debia . . .	20 W. R., 49 . . .	1219, 2696
Kedarnath Doss <i>v.</i> Protab Chunder Doss . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 626 : 8 C. L. R., 238.	294, 4153
Kedar Pooree <i>v.</i> Kullam Khan . . .	1 Agra, Rev., 56 . . .	1588
Keefe <i>v.</i> Christie . . .	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 21 . . .	5686
Keemee Baee <i>v.</i> Luchmun Das Narain Das . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 59 : 1 Moore's I. A., 470.	183, 4658
Keenoo Singh Roy <i>v.</i> Eshan Chunder Roy . . .	6 W. R., 213 . . .	4406
Keerut Singh <i>v.</i> Koolahul Singh . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 131 : 2 Moore's I. A., 331.	2509
_____ <i>v.</i> Narain Passee . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 14 . . .	5513
Kefaat Hossein <i>v.</i> Shumshare Ali . . .	13 W. R., 458 . . .	2887
Keisurya <i>v.</i> Chotoo . . .	1 N. W., 78 : Ed. 1873, 146 . . .	2928
Kellie <i>v.</i> Frazer . . .	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 445 . . .	360, 2823
Kellu Eradi <i>v.</i> Puapalli . . .	2 Mad., 161 . . .	3765
Kelly <i>v.</i> Hanlon . . .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 23 . . .	2633
_____ <i>v.</i> Kelly and Saunders . . .	3 B. L. R., O. C., 67 . . .	1545
_____ <i>v.</i> . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 4 . . .	1554
_____ <i>v.</i> . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 5 . . .	1553
_____ <i>v.</i> . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 6 . . .	1548, 1556
_____ <i>v.</i> Seth Gobind Dass . . .	5 B. L. R., 71 . . .	1555, 1556
Kelu <i>v.</i> Paidel . . .	6 N. W., 168 . . .	5412
Kemp <i>v.</i> Premnaryan Sing . . .	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 473 . . .	3760
Kena Mahomed <i>v.</i> Bohatoo Sircar . . .	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 699 : 5 C. L. R., 539.	5120, 5707
Kenaram Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Denonath Panda . . .	Marsh., 506 . . .	4574
Kenaram Mullick <i>v.</i> Ramkoomar Mookerjee . . .	9 W. R., 325 . . .	1422
Kenaram Shamunt <i>v.</i> Gopeenath Geeree . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 17 . . .	1615
Kenchawa <i>v.</i> Ningupa . . .	10 W. R., 130 . . .	311
Kendiga Madi Chetti <i>v.</i> Soobhamma . . .	10 Bom., 265, note . . .	2109, 2115
Kennelly <i>v.</i> Wyman . . .	5 Mad., 453 . . .	3500
Kenny <i>v.</i> Administrator General of Bengal . . .	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 178 . . .	2680
_____ <i>v.</i> . . .	3 B. L. R., O. C., 75 . . .	6133
_____ <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Poddar . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 50 . . .	5988
_____ <i>v.</i> Kolum Mundle . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 9 . . .	1590, 4865
_____ <i>v.</i> Mookta Soonderee Dabee . . .	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 . . .	1357
_____ <i>v.</i> Sumeeroonissa . . .	7 W. R., 419 . . .	4589
Kerakoose <i>v.</i> Brooks . . .	3 W. R., 68 . . .	43
_____ <i>v.</i> Serle . . .	8 Moore's I. A., 339 : 4 W. R., P. C., 61.	2626, 4634
Keramut Ali <i>v.</i> Abdool Wahab . . .	3 Moore's I. A., 329 . . .	5973
Keramut Hossein <i>v.</i> Golap Koonwar . . .	17 W. R., 131 . . .	4614, 5820
Keramutoollah <i>v.</i> Gholam Hossein . . .	3 W. R., 101 . . .	3192
	9 W. R., 77 . . .	1760

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kernot v. Walton	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 14	943
Kerr v. Nuzzar Mahomed	2 W. R., P. C., 29	1746
Kerry v. Madanlal Das	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11	1591
Kerteenarain Chowdhry v. Perthenarain Chowdhry	1 W. R., 32	4906
Kery Kolitany v. Moneram Kolita	13 B. L. R., 1 : 19 W. R., 367.	2525
Kesabram Mahapattar v. Nandkishor Mahapattar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 7 : 11 W. R., 308.	2299
Kesaree v. Samardhan	5 N. W. 94	2320, 2442
Kesava Pillai v. Peddu Reddi	1 Mad., 258	4573
Keshab Lal Mitter v. Masabdy Mundul	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 11	5694
Keshava v. Keshava	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 45	3765
Keshavan v. Vasudevan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 297	1728, 3753
Keshava Sanabhaga v. Lakshminarayana	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 192	2487, 2793
Keshava Tharagan v. Rudran Numbudri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 259	6077
Keshav Bapuji v. Narayan Shamrav	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 18	4970
Keshavbhat v. Bhagirthibai	3 Bom., A. C., 75	4511
Keshav Gopal Ginde v. Rayapa	12 Bom., 165	2246, 5670
Keshav Harkha v. Ganpat Hirachand	8 Bom., A. C., 87	1906, 4323
Keshavji Naik v. Nasarvanji Ardesir Wadia	10 Bom., 425	4328, 5384
Keshav Kasinath. In re	9 Bom., 43	2855
Keshav Lakshman. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 175	6274
Keshav Ramkrishna v. Govind Ganesh	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 94	5842
Keshavram valad Hirachand v. Ramchandra Trimbak	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 94	962
Keshavram valad Hirachand v. Ramchandra Trimbak	8 Bom., A. C., 44	2118, 2526
Keshavram Krishna Joshi v. Bhavanji bin Babaji	8 Bom., A. C., 142	196, 3448
Kesho Lal v. Mohabeer Pershad	25 W. R., 185	184, 289
Kesho Pershad v. Hirdoy Narain	6 C. L. R., 69	3910
Kesho Rai v. Binayak Rai	3 Agra, 179	2164
Keshoree Lal v. Gobindram	4 N. W., 70	765
Keshub Chunder Acharjee v. Hurro Soonduree Debea	25 W. R., 529	4536
Keshub Chunder Sein v. Vyasmonee Dossia	7 W. R., 118	1442, 4042
Keshub Lal Chowdhry v. Watson & Co.	W. R., 1864, 64	3094
Kesree v. Seth Roshun Lal	2 N. W., 4	2021
Kesri v. Ganga Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 168	44
Kesserbai v. Valab Raoji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 188	3964
Kesu Shivram v. Vithu Kanaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 320	6156
Ketabdi Mundul. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 507	2311, 2312
Ketal Gain v. Nadur Mistree	6 W. R., 168	1523
Kethaperumal v. Venkabi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194	956, 961
Ketiki Chutiany v. Lukheekant Bose	24 W. R., 410	5565
Keval Bhagvan v. Ganpati Narayan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 220	5176
Kevaldas Sakarchand v. Pestonji Nasservanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 467	2464
Keval Kuber v. Talukdari Settlement Officer	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 586	5943
Kewajah Chyemun Kajah v. Jan Ally Chowdhry	1 W. R., 46	2235
Kewal Kandoo v. Omrao Singh	25 W. R., 166	2680
Kewal Ram v. Khadim Husain	I. L. R., 5 All., 576	3375, 5595
Kewata Mug v. Nobocommar Doss	19 W. R., 207	3020
Kewul Sahoo v. Issur Dyal Roy	12 W. R., 334	5753
— v. Rash Narayan Singh	13 W. R., 445	3511
Keyake Ilata Kotel Kanni alias Grani v. Yadattil Vellayangot Achuda Pisharodi	3 Mad., 380	289, 1208
Khadar Bhi v. Rahiman Bhi	3 Mad., 167	4284
Khadar Saheb v. Chotibibi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 616	3080

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
<i>Khadar Saib v. Khadar Bibi</i>	3 Mad., 149	4849
<i>Khadejah Bibee v. Suffur Ali</i>	4 W. R., 36	3733
<i>Khadem Ali v. Tajmaunissa</i>	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 607	1764
<i>Khadem Hossein Khan v. Kalee Pershad Singh</i>	8 W. R., 49	448
<i>Khader Hussain v. Hussain Begum</i>	5 Mad., 114	3681
<i>Khadin Ali v. Nazir Begum</i>	3 N. W., 262	1422
<i>Khagendranath Malik v. Rakhaldas Sirkar</i>	2 B. L. R., S. N., 1	3282
<i>Khairuddin Ahmed v. Abdul Baki</i>	3 B. L. R., A. C., 65 : 11 W. R., 410.	1591, 1593 4927
<i>Khair-un-nissa v. Gauri Shankar</i>	1 L. R., 3 All., 484	8478
<i>Khait Singh v. Heera Dass</i>	1 Agra, 75	6158
<i>Khaja Patthanji, In re</i>	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 202	3460
<i>Khajaranissa v. Risannissa Begum</i>	5 B. L. R., 84 : 13 W. R., 371	3331, 3332 3653, 3654
<i>Khajooroonissa v. Rohimoonissa</i>	16 W. R., 152	1224, 5829 996, 3633
<i>— v. Roushan Jehan</i>	1 L. R., 2 Calc., 184 : 26 W. R., 36 : L. R., 3 I. A., 291.	3634, 3680 3732
<i>Khajooroonissa v. Saifoolla Khan</i>	15 B. L. R., 306 : 24 W. R., 163 : L. R., 2 I. A., 235.	3331, 3654
<i>Khajoorunnissa v. Roheemunnissa</i>	17 W. R., 190	3735
<i>Khajuranissa Begum v. Ahmed Reza</i>	8 B. L. R., 166, note : 11 W. R., 88.	5189
<i>Khajurunissa v. Ahmed Reza</i>	8 B. L. R., 93 : 16 W. R., P. C., 1.	1160
<i>Khalut Chunder Ghose v. Minto</i>	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 426	2828, 4936
<i>— v. Snrodasoondery Dossee</i>	Bourke, O. C., 244	5583, 6184
<i>Khan v. Bama Soonduree Dossee</i>	25 W. R., 62	3797
<i>Khan Chand v. Collector of Boolundshahur</i>	1 N. W., Pt. VII, p. 110 : Ed. 1873, 197.	4103
<i>Khando Narayan Kulkarni v. Afaji Sadashiv Kulkarni</i>	1 L. R., 2 Bom., 370	2850
<i>Khandu Dulabdas v. Tarachand Amarchand</i>	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 574	4825
<i>Khandu Moreshvar v. Shivji bin Gorkoji</i>	5 Bom., A. C., 212	2796, 4424
<i>Khandu valad Keru v. Tatia valad Vithoba</i>	8 Bom., A. C., 23.	5071, 5679 5748
<i>Kharito Bewah v. Nundram Nath</i>	15 W. R., 369	5662
<i>Khantomonee Debia v. Komodinee Debia</i>	25 W. R., 69	1674
<i>Khanum Jan v. Rutton Lal</i>	8 W. R., 95	1677
<i>Khanzadee v. Collector of Boolundshuhur</i>	1 Agra, 57	1492
<i>Kharag Singh v. Bholanath</i>	1 L. R., 4 All., 8	2726
<i>Kharak Chand Pal v. Tarack Chunder Gupta</i>	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 1030.	1264
<i>Kharshedji Nasarvanji Cama v. Secretary of State for India.</i>	5 Bom., O. C., 97	348, 5800
<i>Khasim v. Carlier</i>	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 272	4393
<i>Khaski Roy v. Farzand Ali Khan</i>	9 B. L. R., 125 : 18 W. R., 144.	1624
<i>Khasro Mandar v. Prem Lal</i>	9 B. L. R., Ap., 41 : 18 W. R., 403.	528
<i>Khatija Bibi. In the matter of</i>	5 B. L. R., 557	2094, 3638 3851
<i>— v. Taruk Chunder Dutt</i>	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 980 : 13 C. L. R., 182.	6022
<i>Khatoo Bibee v. Furukh Ali</i>	6 W. R., Mis., 108	1912
<i>Khatoon Koonwar v. Hurdoot Narain Singh</i>	20 W. R., 163	1139, 6224
<i>Khatun Bibi v. Abdullah</i>	1 L. R., 3 All., 9.	4616
<i>Khayali v. Husain Bakhsh</i>	1 L. R., 8 All., 198	4795
<i>Khedaroonissa Bibee v. Boodhee Bibee</i>	13 W. R., 317	4991

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khedoo Thakoor <i>v.</i> Bhugwat Lall	16 W. R., 9	2879
Kheeromonee Dossee <i>v.</i> Becjoy Gobind Bural	7 W. R., 533	1746, 1760
Kheeroodhur Lall <i>v.</i> Seetulram	2 Hay, 353	2362
Khelalchunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Roy	2 W. R., 258	3373, 4210
Khehram Doss Mistree <i>v.</i> Dhuree Doss	1 Hay, 4	5254
Khelat Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Bhuggobutty Churn Mookerjee	14 W. R., 144	897
_____ <i>v.</i> Prosunno Moyee Dassee	Marsh., 473	1933
Khelat Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Gourchurn Mojoomdar	18 W. R., 402	5934
_____ <i>v.</i> Keshub Chunder Paul Chowdry.	16 W. R., 46	5475
_____ <i>v.</i> Nusseebunnissa Bibee	16 W. R., 47	3141, 6077
Khellut Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Collector of Bhaugulpere	W. R., 1864, 73	45
_____ <i>v.</i> Prosunnomoyee Dossee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 24	230
Khelut Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Kishen Gobind Deb	16 W. R., 128	5064, 5339
_____ <i>v.</i> Kristo Gobind Deb	18 W. R., 276	6120
_____ <i>v.</i> Frankisto Dey	11 B. L. R., 428, note : 12 W. R., 461.	5130
_____ <i>v.</i> Tarachand Koondoo Chowdhry.	6 W. R., 269	888, 3389
_____ <i>v.</i> Kamolakant Bukshi	10 B. L. R., 259, note : 10 W. R., 10.	3997
_____ <i>v.</i> Radha Pearee Debia Chowdhrair.	8 W. R., 469	3540
_____ <i>v.</i> Shoshee Bhoosun Gangooly.	9 W. R., 95	2987
Khema Soondaree Dossia <i>v.</i> Nundkoomar Goopto	4 W. R., 75	2984
Khemji Bhagvaudass Gujar <i>v.</i> Ram	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 519	5355
Khem Karan <i>v.</i> Har Dayal	1. L. R., 4 All., 37	3382, 3886
Khemkor <i>v.</i> Umiashankar Ranchhor	10 Bom., 381	1691, 3142
Khem Kurun <i>v.</i> Seeta Ram	2 N. W., 257	2441
_____ <i>v.</i> Budoloo Khan	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 251 : 7 C. L. R., 92.	3714
Khemonkuree Debia <i>v.</i> Modhoomutty Debia	4 W. R., Mis., 23	349
Khemunkuree Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Gourchunder Mojoomdar	5 W. R., 268	3838
Khemunkuree Dabee <i>v.</i> Shurut Soonduree Dabee	14 W. R., 9	143
Khemunkuree Dossee <i>v.</i> Gooroo Prosad Mytee	11 W. R., 379	5935
_____ <i>v.</i> Nilambur Mundul	2 W. R., 227	1700
Khenunkuree Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Gour Chunder Mojoomdar	5 W. R., 268	878
Kheraj Mullah. In the matter of the petition of	11 B. L. R., 33 : 20 W. R., Cr., 13.	143
_____ <i>v.</i> Janab Mullah	11 B. L. R., 33 : 20 W. R., Cr., 13.	5600
Khermukuree Dossee <i>v.</i> Nilambur Mundul	2 W. R., 227	5600
Kheroda Mayi Dasi <i>v.</i> Golam Abardari	13 B. L. R., 114 : 21 W. R., 149.	248
Kherode Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Gordon	23 W. R., 237	5410
Kherodemoney Dossee <i>v.</i> Doorgamoney Dossee	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 455 : 2 C. L. R., 112 : 3 C. L. R., 315.	5191
Kherodhur Lall <i>v.</i> Doolee Chund	19 W. R., 424	2560, 3169
Kheta Mal <i>v.</i> Chuni Lal	1. L. R., 2 All., 173	3820
Khetermonee Dassee <i>v.</i> Kishenmohun Mitter	Marsh., 313	1402
Khetoosee Cherooria <i>v.</i> Bane Madhub Doss	12 W. R., 114	6155
Khetramani Dasi <i>v.</i> Kasi Nath Das	2 B. L. R., A. C., 15 : 10 W. R., F. B., 89.	2830, 3950
Khetter Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Khetter Paul Sreetrutno.	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 886 : 6 C. L. R., 199.	2420
		1848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khetter Mohun Chowrunghee. In the matter of the petition of.	22 W. R., Cr., 43 . . .	1270, 5911
Khetter Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Dinabashy Shaha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 265 . . .	3181
Khetter Mohun Doss v. Chunder Money Dabee .	Cor., 125 . . .	2830
Khetter Mohun Dutt v. Wells	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 719: 11 C. L. R., 13. . .	3779
Khettermohun Ghose v. Ramessur Ghose . . .	W. R., 1864, 304 . . .	3849
Khetter Monee Dabee v. Madhub Chunder Roy .	13 W. R., 160 . . .	686
Khettermonee Dossee v. Gopeemohun Roy . . .	1 Hay, 178 . . .	3814, 5258
_____ v. Sreenath Sircar	11 B. L. R., Ap., 5 . . .	4193
Khetternath Dutt v. Indro Jalia	16 W. R., Cr., 78 . . .	5994
Khetter Nath Seal v. Shib Nath Chatterjee . .	W. R., 1864, 284 . . .	4611
Khetter Paul Singh v. Luckhee Narain Mitter .	15 W. R., 125 . . .	3184, 5324
Khettra Mohan Baboo v. Rash Behari Baboo . .	5 B. L. R., 167: 13 W. R., 252 . . .	636
Khettramohan Chatterjee v. Kisorimohan Bose .	1 B. L. R., O. C., 27 . . .	1208
Khettrromony Dasi v. Sreenath Sircar	20 W. R., Cr., 14 . . .	5153
Khettro Nath Dey v. Gossain Doss Dey	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49: 4 W. R., Mis., 18. . .	3181
	7 W. R., 188 . . .	5051
	11 W. R., 513 . . .	12
Khettsay Das. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 14 . . .	2675
Khettur Balsee v. Gour Hurree	W. R., 1864, 387 . . .	4825
Khettur Gopal Chatterjee v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee	15 W. R., 483 . . .	2288
Khettur Mohun Banerjee v. Haradhun Chatterjee	19 W. R., 287 . . .	5327
Khettur Mohun Chuttopadhya v. Ishur Chunder Surma	11 W. R., 271 . . .	403, 4523
Khettur Mohun Gossain v. Bhyrub Chunder Sheet	3 W. R., 126 . . .	2772
Khettur Mohun Paul v. Ram Coomar Paul . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 2 . . .	541
Khetturnonee Dossee v. Gopeemohun Roy . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 83 . . .	3814, 5258
Khetturnath Doss v. Ramjadoo Bhuttacharjee .	24 W. R., 49 . . .	2077
Khetturnath Ghose v. Prosunno Ghose	7 W. R., 498 . . .	5288
Khetturnath Roy v. Durbesh Moonshee	9 W. R., 358 . . .	4464
Khevraj Jusrup v. Lingaya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 2 . . .	1719, 5401
Khidu v. Kali Sahu	3 B. L. R., Ap., 112: 12 W. R., 71. . .	
Khiluck Chunder Ghose v. Prosunomoyee Dassee	Marsh., 478 . . .	1933
Khilut Chunder Ghosh v. Koonjlall Dhur . . .	11 B. L. R., 194, note: 10 } W. R., 333. . .	2348, 2350
Khimji Chaturbhuj v. Forbes	8 Bom., O. C., 102 . . .	2806
Khimji Jivraj Shettu v. Purushotam Jutani . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 171 . . .	2754, 2811
Khoball Baboo v. Ramchunder Bose	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 434 . . .	4126
Khoda Baksh Mistri v. Beni Mandal	6 B. L. R., 719, note: 14 W. R., 156. . .	5241
Khodabhai Mahiji v. Bahdhar Dala	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 541 . . .	2293
Khoda Buksh v. Akool Gazeer	9 W. R., 595 . . .	5028
_____ v. Degunburee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 207 . . .	5318
_____ v. Hurree Ram	2 N. W., 399 . . .	1881
_____ v. Mowla Buksh	14 W. R., 255 . . .	183, 1215
_____ v. Purmanund Dutt	5 W. R., 214 . . .	6078
_____ v. Shukroollah	5 N. W., 220 . . .	3245
Khoda Buksh Khan v. Choa	19 W. R., 162 . . .	437
Khoda Bux. In re	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 42 . . .	6002
Khodabux v. Budree Narain Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 137: 8 C. L. R., 306 . . .	2664
Khodajamnissa v. Stevens	20 W. R., 433 . . .	3158
		436, 3266

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khoda Newaz <i>v.</i> Nubo Kishore Raj	5 W. R., Act X, 53	1607, 1618
Khodeja Bibee <i>v.</i> Johad Roheen	14 W. R., 320	1662
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Dan	12 W. R., 511	5477
Khodejounissa. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 486	5467
Khodejounissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Lutafut Hossein	W. R., 1864, 171	4345, 5209
		1759, 3166
		6059
Khodie Lal <i>v.</i> Biswasu Kunwar	4 B. L. R., A. C., 131 : 13 W. R., 122. . . .	3147, 3175
Khoffeh Jan Bebee <i>v.</i> Mahomed Mehdee	10 W. R., 211	3727
Khogendro Narain Chowdhury <i>v.</i> Gouri Kant Nath	11 C. L. R., 300	4427
Khomkar Abdool Guffoor <i>v.</i> Khoda Newaz	Marsh., 568	196
Khondkar Abdool Ruhman <i>v.</i> Woomachurn Roy	8 W. R., 330	1626, 1656
Khondkar Kefaatoollah <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kabel	9 W. R., 345	4896
Khondkar Nowazush Hossein <i>v.</i> Woosuloonissa Bibee	6 W. R., 249	3983
Khoobchund <i>v.</i> Hazaree Lall	1 Agra, 275	5743
_____ <i>v.</i> Leela Dhur	3 Agra, 103	3389
Khoobee <i>v.</i> Akbar	2 Agra, 322	4930
Khoob Koonwur <i>v.</i> Moodnarin Singh	1 W. R., P. C., 36 : 9 Moore's I. A., 1. . . .	1505
Khoob Lall <i>v.</i> Jungle Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 787 : 2 C. L. R., 439. . . .	225
Khoob Lall Sahoo <i>v.</i> Kadir Buksh	15 W. R., 431	766
Khoob Lall Singh <i>v.</i> Toolsee Singh	17 W. R., 219	756
Khoob Singh <i>v.</i> Bulwant Singh	2 Agra, 302	2918
Khoobun <i>v.</i> Wooma Churun Singh	3 C. L. R., 453	881, 3436
		430
Khoodee Koiburtnee <i>v.</i> Durgadass Bhuttacharjee	15 W. R., Cr., 82	4719
Khoodee Monee Dossee Ghossanee <i>v.</i> Koylash Chunder } Ghose. . . .	4 W. R., Mis., 22	84
Khoodee Ram Dutt <i>v.</i> Kishen Chand Golecha	25 W. R., 145	740, 3222
Khooderam Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Rookhinee Boistobee	15 W. R., 197	2340
Khood Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Toolsee Roy	15 W. R., 9	5145
Khoodoomoney Dabee <i>v.</i> Goluckmoney Dabee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	678
Khoonoo <i>v.</i> Aoodal Singh	8 W. R., 511	5273
Khoorshed Hossein <i>v.</i> Nubbee Fatima	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 551 : 2 C. L. R., 187. . . .	539, 4314
_____ <i>v.</i> Teknarin Sing	2 C. L. R., 141	4577
Khoorshed Kazi <i>v.</i> Empress	8 C. L. R., 542	26, 2012
Khooshal Chund <i>v.</i> Palmer	1 Agra, 280	796, 2821
_____ <i>v.</i> _____	2 Agra, Pt. II, 170	4424
Khooshalo <i>v.</i> Subookh	1 Agra, 175	322, 3301
Khooshal Rae <i>v.</i> Jankee Doss	2 N. W., 9	2076
Khoresh Mahomed <i>v.</i> Mahomed Takee	10 C. L. R., 106	3894
Khoroo Lall <i>v.</i> Kant Lall	5 W. R., Mis., 37	5144
Khorshed Ali <i>v.</i> Chowdhry Wahid Ali	15 W. R., 170	281
_____ <i>v.</i> Tayler	W. R., 1867, 15	5922
Khorshedjee Manikjee <i>v.</i> Mehrwanjee Khoorshedjee	5 W. R., P. C., 57 : 1 Moore's I. A., 431. . . .	662, 6015
		4653
Khorun Singh <i>v.</i> Beharee Lall	3 Agra, 95	3369
Khosal Chund <i>v.</i> Luchmee Chund	Bourke, O. C., 151	2573
Khosal Singh <i>v.</i> Toolsee Chowdhry	10 W. R., Cr., 40	972
Khoshalee <i>v.</i> Buljeet	2 Agra, 79	1569
_____ <i>v.</i> Nund Lall	6 N. W., 1	1144
Khoshal Mahomed <i>v.</i> Joynooddeen	12 W. R., 451	3008
Khoshelal Makton <i>v.</i> Gunesh Dutt alias Nanhoo Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 690	3149

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khowaz Ram Bux Singh v. Bishendharee Geer	23 W. R., 402 . . .	5934
Khub Chand v. Kalian Das . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 240 . . .	3892, 3922
v. Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 812 . . .	5375
Khub Lal v. Ghina Hazari	2 B. L. R., A. C., 339 . . .	5050
Khuda Bakhsh v. Sheo Din . . .	I. L. R. 8 All., 405 . . .	5629
Khudeeram Hiswas v. Koral Budonee Dossee . . .	21 W. R., 379 . . .	3062, 4790
Khudeerun Lall v. Chutterdharee Lall . . .	21 W. R., 242 . . .	5695
Khudiram Singh v. Sham Singh Poojoory . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 25 . . .	4214, 5584
*Khugendro Nath Mullick v. Kantee Ram Paul . . .	14 W. R., 368 . . .	164
Khuggender Narain Chowdhry v. Sharupgir Oghorenath	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 543 . . .	3803
Khugowlee Sng v. Hossein Bux Khan . . .	7 B. L. R., 673; 15 W. R., P. C., 30 . . .	2332
Khukroo Misain v. Jhoomuck Lall Dass . . .	15 W. R., 263 . . .	1691, 4962
Khulleel Mahomed v. Furzam Ally . . .	12 W. R., 269 . . .	2428, 4011
Khunni v. Nsir-ud-din Ahmad . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 255 . . .	5739
Khurram Singh v. Bhawani Baksh . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 440 . . .	3346
Khurrukdhree Singh v. Pershadde Mundul . . .	22 W. R., Cr., 44 . . .	2725
Khuruckdhaee Singh v. Rewat Lall Singh . . .	12 W. R., 167 . . .	6250, 6272
Khusalbhai . Kabhai . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 26 . . .	12, 4469
Khusal Chad v. Mahadev Giri . . .	12 Bom., 214 . . .	3119, 3454
Khusaldas v. Sakharam Ramchandra Diksbit . . .	12 Bom., 212 . . .	1579, 2255
Khushalghad Lalchand v. Ibrahim Fakir . . .	3 Bom., A. C. 23 . . .	1891
Khushali v. Rani . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 195 . . .	2498
Khushalo v. Behari Lal . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 523 . . .	2072
Khuttan Koer v. Poona Kooer . . .	24 W. R., 322 . . .	3321, 4811
Khwahish Ji v. Surju Prasad Singh . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 598 . . .	672
Khyrat Ali. Mahomed Yaseen Khan . . .	2 Agra, 207 . . .	3749
v. Syfullah Khan . . .	8 W. R., 130 . . .	1104
Khyratun : Amanee . . .	11 W. R., 212 . . .	483
Khyroonisa v. Salehoonissa Khatoon . . .	5 W. R., 238 . . .	3650, 3695
Khyrut Aly v. Khurruck Dharee Singh . . .	Marsh., 520 . . .	3364, 3384
Kiam Ali v. Kayamaddi . . .	6 C. L. R., 212 . . .	3265
Kifayat A. v. Ram Singh . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 359 . . .	1925
Kilaram Mji v. Narayan Das . . .	5 B. L. R., 215; 13 W. R., 278 . . .	3494
Kinhar Dasha v. Gokurun . . .	3 Agra, 316 . . .	5693
King v. King . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 416 . . .	1766, 3278
v.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 487 . . .	1551
Kinlock v. Collector of Etawah . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 433 . . .	1557, 3148
v. Court of Wards . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 433 . . .	6133
Kinmond. Jackson . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 17 . . .	6133
v.	1 C. L. R., 66 . . .	3277
v. Lawrie . . .	1 C. L. R., 66 . . .	4334
Kinnoo Mnee Debia v. Shohoram Sirkar . . .	3 W. R., 128 . . .	4334
Kinoo Do v. Rughoonath Doss . . .	4 W. R., 34 . . .	2752, 4042
Kinoo Sirh Roy v. Nusseeroodeen Mahomed Chowdhry	17 W. R., 97 . . .	3256
Kirani Ahedula v. Subabhat . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 28 . . .	3056
Kirath Cind v. Ganesh Prasad . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 358 . . .	289
Kirat Sing v. Ram Doss . . .	W. R. F. B., 8 . . .	3358
Kirkby v. Dillon . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 243 . . .	4197
Kirkland. Modas Pestonjee Khoorshedjee . . .	3 Moore's I. A., 220 . . .	324
Kirpa Shukur v. Pal Pandey . . .	1 Agra. Rev., 47 . . .	2699, 4633
Kirt Chunr Roy v. Government . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 41; 1 Moore's I. A., 383. . .	4457
Kirtebash Ltee v. Ramdhun Kharal . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 197; 7 W. R., 526. . .	5342
Kirtebash ayetee v. Ramdhun Khorla . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 658 . . .	1753

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kirtee Chunder Mitter <i>v.</i> Anath Nath Deb . . .	13 C. L. R., 253 . . .	4441, 6084
_____ <i>v.</i> Struthers . . .	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 336 : 3 C. L. R., 546. }	1117
Kirtee Narain Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Protap Chunder Burooah	W. R., F. B., 129 . . .	43, 4745
Kirte Mohaldar <i>v.</i> Ramjan Mohaldar . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 523 . . .	238
Kirti Ojha <i>v.</i> Rajkumar . . .	7 B. L. R., 29, note . . .	5528
Kirty Chunder Haldar <i>v.</i> Raj Chunder Haldar . . .	22 W. R., 273 . . .	4804
Kirty Chunder Mitter <i>v.</i> Anath Nath Dey . . .	{ I. L. R., 10 Calc., 97 : 13 C. L. R., 249. }	3554, 5001
Kirty Churn Mitter <i>v.</i> Aunath Nath Deb . . .	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 757 : 11 C. L. R., 95. }	6092
Kisandas Budhmal <i>v.</i> Halpin . . .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 218 . . .	5662
Kisandas Hajarimal <i>v.</i> Gulabchand . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 494 . . .	1134
Kisandram valad Hira Chand <i>v.</i> Jethiram valad Magniram.	5 Bom., A. C., 57 . . .	5748
Kisan Nandram <i>v.</i> Anandaram Bachaji . . .	10 Bom., 433 . . .	812
Kisansing Jivansing <i>v.</i> Moreswar Vishnu . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 91 . . .	2384
Kisara Rukkumina Rau <i>v.</i> Cripati Viyanna Dikshatulu .	1 Mad., 369 . . .	2701, 4441
Kishen Bullubh Mahta <i>v.</i> Belasoo Commur . . .	3 W. R., 230 . . .	4002
Kishen Buttee Misrain <i>v.</i> Hickey . . .	11 W. R., 406 . . .	4939
Kishenbutty Misrain <i>v.</i> Roberts . . .	16 W. R., 287 . . .	547, 3337
Kishen Chand <i>v.</i> Kour Askunder Gir . . .	1 N. W., 95 : Ed 1873, 185 . . .	3495
_____ <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 829 . . .	5219
_____ <i>v.</i> Sheo Baram Rai . . .	7 N. W., 121 . . .	4603
_____ <i>v.</i> Kishen Lall . . .	2 Agra, 123 . . .	2818
Kishen Chunder <i>v.</i> Chundrabolly Dossee . . .	2 Hay, 180 . . .	248
Kishen Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Buratee Sheikh . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 36 . . .	4163
Kishen Chuunder Gaen <i>v.</i> Sreeshtee Dhur Khattah . . .	8 W. R., 208 . . .	244
Kishen Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Ashoorun . . .	Marsh., 647 . . .	5383
_____ <i>v.</i> Muddun Mohun Mozoomdar . . .	7 W. R., 365 . . .	5361
_____ <i>v.</i> Nundkishore Singh . . .	Marsh., 651 . . .	3900
Kishen Chunder Putronovis <i>v.</i> Tara Monee Chowdhraim	3 W. R., 4 . . .	194
Kishen Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Ramkanaye Doss . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23 . . .	3113
Kishen Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Hookoom Chand Shaha . . .	W. R., 1864, 47 . . .	4164
Kishen Coomaree Bibee <i>v.</i> Golab Coomaree Bibee . . .	15 W. R., 477 . . .	3777
Kishendatt Ram <i>v.</i> Mumtaz Ali Khan . . .	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 198 : 5 C. L. R., 213 : L. R., 6 I. A., 145. }	3961
Kishen Dhun Audhicaree <i>v.</i> Campbell . . .	W. R., F. B., 22 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 79. . .	3015
Kishen Dhun Nundee <i>v.</i> Bhookto Polly . . .	9 W. R., 461 . . .	4996
Kishendhun Surmah <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Chatterjee . . .	6 W. R., 235 . . .	2019
Kishen Doss <i>v.</i> Hurry Jeebun Doss . . .	10 W. R., 324 . . .	2971
Kishen Dutt Ram Panday <i>v.</i> Narendar Bahadoor Singh	L. R., 3 I. A., 85 . . .	4186, 4221
Kishen Dyal Singh <i>v.</i> Monohur Lall . . .	2 Hay, 381 . . .	4143
_____ <i>v.</i> Sunkar Dutt . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 15 . . .	1490
Kishen Geor <i>v.</i> Busgeet Roy . . .	14 W. R., 379 . . .	1501, 2490
Kishen Gopal Mawar <i>v.</i> Barnes . . .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 374 . . .	571
Kishen Kaminee Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Mohima Chunder Roy	Marsh., 339 : 2 Hay, 459 . . .	1144
Kishen Kinkur Ghose <i>v.</i> Borodo Kanth Roy . . .	Marsh., 533 : 2 Hay, 656 . . .	2705, 2815
_____ <i>v.</i> Buroda Kant Roy . . .	8 W. R., 470 . . .	1450, 1883
Kishen Komul Singh <i>v.</i> Janokee Dassee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23 . . .	3509, 3543
_____ <i>v.</i> Janokee Dassee . . .	F. B., 3. . .	2357
Kishen Koomar Mitter <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 3 . . .	4936
Kishen Koomar Moitro <i>v.</i> Stevenson . . .	2 W. R., 141 . . .	1575
Kishen Lal <i>v.</i> Kinlock . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 712 . . .	3347
Kishen Lal Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Chunder Coomar Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, 152 . . .	4277

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kishen Mohun Ghose <i>v.</i> Eshan Chunder Mitter . . .	4 W. R., Act X, 36 . . .	1603
Kishen Mohun Jush <i>v.</i> Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 49 . . .	3514
Kishen Mohun Koond <i>v.</i> Muddun Mohun Tewaree . . .	5 W. R., 32 . . .	3157, 3192
Kishen Mohun Mitter <i>v.</i> Khettermonee Dassee . . .	2 Hay, 196 . . .	6155
Kishen Mohun Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Rajoo Dey . . .	{ 13 B. L. R., 245, note: 19 W. R., 234. }	6114
Kishen Mohun Shaha <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Dey . . .	3 W. R., 28 . . .	6149
Kishenmonee Dabee <i>v.</i> Anund Nath Roy . . .	W. R., F. B., 112 . . .	2266
Kishen Monee Debia <i>v.</i> Kashee Soonduree Debia . . .	W. R., F. B., 106 . . .	2146
Kishen Pershad Singh <i>v.</i> Crowdy . . .	23 W. R., 15 . . .	3843
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohun Singh . . .	15 W. R., 420 . . .	4169
Kishen Pertab Sahee Bahadoor <i>v.</i> Lalla Nund Coomar Singh Parray. }	25 W. R., 388 . . .	3952
Kishen Prosunno Mojomdar <i>v.</i> Nurduma Dossee . . .	17 W. R., 339 . . .	5467
Kishen Sahai <i>v.</i> Collector of Allahabad . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 137 . . .	1872, 3486
Kishen Sarup <i>v.</i> Desraj . . .	7 N. W., 179 . . .	1169
Kishen Singh <i>v.</i> Dabeer Singh . . .	2 Agra, 272 . . .	4301
Kishen Soonder Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of . . .	12 W. R., Cr., 47 . . .	5150, 5160
Kishen Soonder Roy <i>v.</i> Prosunno Nath Bhuttacharjee . . .	W. R., 1864, 208 . . .	5562
Kishen Soondur <i>v.</i> Fukeeroodeen Mahomed . . .	W. R., 1864, 61 . . .	811
Kishen Sunkur Dutt <i>v.</i> Mohamya Dossee . . .	W. R., 1864, 210 . . .	3253
Kishnanand <i>v.</i> Partab Narain Singh . . .	{ I. L. R., 10 Calc., 785: L. R., 11 I. A., 88. }	2111
Kishna Ram <i>v.</i> Hingu Lal . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 237 . . .	2699, 3336
_____ <i>v.</i> Narsing Sevak . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 855 . . .	3843
Kishnee <i>v.</i> Khealee Ram . . .	2 N. W., 424 . . .	216
Kishorbhai Gallabhai <i>v.</i> Jorabhai Daji . . .	7 Bom., A. C., 56 . . .	232
Kishorchand Champa Lal <i>v.</i> Madhowji Visram . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 407 . . .	2487
Kishore Bon Mohunt <i>v.</i> Kalee Churn Giree . . .	22 W. R., 364 . . .	4820
Kishore Bullubh Mitter <i>v.</i> Bistoo Chunder Ghose . . .	12 W. R., 188 . . .	1209, 5608
Kishore Chunder Sein <i>v.</i> Kally Kinkur Paul Chowdhry . . .	20 W. R., 333 . . .	108
Kishore Doss <i>v.</i> Pursun Mahtoon . . .	20 W. R., 171 . . .	2979
Kishoree <i>v.</i> Jey Kishore Dass . . .	3 Agra, 46 . . .	5334
Kishoree Debia <i>v.</i> Jugunnath Acharjee . . .	9 W. R., 269 . . .	1744
Kishoree Lal <i>v.</i> Luchmun Doss . . .	2 N. W., 147 . . .	5210
Kishoree Lall <i>v.</i> Enaeth Hossein Khan . . .	{ 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 11: Ed. 1873, 71. }	5799
Kishoree Lall Roy <i>v.</i> Gokool Monee Chowdhrair . . .	16 W. R., 281 . . .	6019
Kishoree Lall Sircar. In the matter of . . .	14 W. R., 217 . . .	3768
Kishoree Mohun Bose <i>v.</i> Gour Monee Dossee . . .	15 W. R., 198 . . .	1985
Kishoree Mohun Roy. In the matter of the petition of . . .	19 W. R., Cr., 10 . . .	4442
Kishore Sahai <i>v.</i> Mungeri Sahai . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 48 . . .	4338
Kishore Singh <i>v.</i> Gobind Singh . . .	24 W. R., 33 . . .	4488
_____ <i>v.</i> Gunnesh Mookerjee . . .	9 W. R., 252 . . .	980
_____ <i>v.</i> Pookhun Singh . . .	10 W. R., 432 . . .	4467
Kishori Lal Roy <i>v.</i> Sharut Chunder Mozoomdar . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 593: 10 C. L. R., 359. }	2767, 5700
Kishori Mohun Ghose <i>v.</i> Moni Mohun Ghose . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 165 . . .	295
Kishori Mohun Rai <i>v.</i> Hursook Dass . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 696 . . .	1233
Kishory Mohun Pramanick. In the matter of the petition of . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 6 . . .	2469, 2538
Kishto Coomer Singh <i>v.</i> Chowdree Beeraj Singh . . .	2 Hay, 159 . . .	902
Kishto Soondery Debea <i>v.</i> Kishtomotee . . .	Marsh., 367: 2 Hay, 405 . . .	5495
Kishub Chunder Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Khelat Chunder Ghose . . .	9 W. R., 361 . . .	3894
Kishub Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Brommo Moyee Dabca . . .	1 W. R., 35 . . .	2269
Kishun Mohun Singh <i>v.</i> Toolsee Singh . . .	2 N. W., 102 . . .	1932
		5748
		540

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6517

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kishun Pershad v. Bhawanee Deen	Agra, F. B., 47 : Ed. 1874, 35	6096
Kishun Sahai v. Raghooh Singh	2 N. W., 64	5079
Kissen Bullub Mahatab v. Roghoonundun Thakoor	6 W. R., 305	3252
Kissen Chand Golacha v. Megraj Kuturia Roy	12 B. L. R., 445, note: 12 } W. R., 450. }	4402
Kissen Kaminee Dossee v. Ram Chunder Mitter	12 W. R., 13	327
Kissen Lala v. Javalla Prasad Lala	3 Mad., 346	2294
Kissnonund Ashrom Dundy v. Nursingh Doss Byragee	Marsh., 485	3429, 4968
Kissoreemohun Chatterjee v. Konnoy Loll Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 247	2644
Kissorymohun Roy v. Rajnarain Sen	1 Hyde, 263	1150
Kissorynath Chowdhry v. Hurro Kant Chowdhry	2 W. R., 183	1169
Kissun Sing v. Sturt	5 Mad., 471	5659
Kissur Chund v. Bhoobunessur Chunder	Bourke, O. C., 25 : Cor., 151	5583
Kistnasamy Pillay v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.	4 Mad., 120	5607
Kisto Churn Chuckerbutty v. Muggun Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., 491	4912
Kisto Churn Mojoomdar v. Dwarka Nath Biswas	10 W. R., 32	£783, 6201
Kisto Coomar Chowdhry v. Anundmoyee Chowdhra	6 W. R., Mis., 128	5747
_____ v. Gobind Coomar	W. R., 1864, 133	5784
Kistodhone Dutt v. Nilmoney Singh	Cor., 3	751
Kisto Dhone Gangooly v. Rabutty Dassee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 324	5392
Kisto Kalee Moonshree v. Agemona Bewa	15 W. R., 170	4788
Kistokaminy Dossee v. Mirtoonjoy Dutt	11 B. L. R., Ap., 35	1205
Kisto Kant Bural v. Nistarinee Debia	8 W. R., 268	3496, 3526
Kistokinker Ghose Roy v. Burrodacaunt Singh Roy	10 B. L. R., 101 : 17 W. R., 292 : 14 Moore's I. A., 465. }	1450, 1883 3509, 3542
Kisto Kishore Ghose v. Soorjonath Sircar	10 W. R., 354	1934
Kisto Kishore Poddar v. Koylash Chunder Mookerjee	12 W. R., 128	1137
Kisto Kishore Roy v. Issur Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 166	94
Kisto Lall Gossain v. Joy Gopal Bysack	21 W. R., 185	2647
Kisto Mohun Kurmokar v. Noyan Tara Dossee	10 W. R., 389	331
Kistomohun Mookerjee v. Adarmoney Dabee	2 Hyde, 88	4236
_____ v. Juggurnath Roy Joogee	11 W. R., 236	1378, 6071
Kistomonee Chowdhry v. Sibchunder Chowdhry	Marsh., 196 : 1 Hay, 473	3417
Kistomonee Debia v. Anund Nath Roy	W. R., F. B., 112	2146
_____	12 W. R., 139	3556
Kisto Moyee Dossee v. Prosunno Narain Chowdhry	6 W. R., 304	2516
Kisto Persad Dutt v. Cowie	W. R., 1864, 315	5730
Kistoram Mohara v. Anis	20 W. R., Cr., 47	1535
Kittappa v. Somanna	6 Mad., 51	3202
Knowles v. National Bank of India	2 B. L. R., O. C., 158	5638
Kobeeroodeen Ahmed v. Nyan Bebee	8 W. R., 354	2735, 4192
Kodoo Mundul v. Shushee Shikhar Sircar	16 W. R., 227	5703
Kodrutoolah v. Mohuree Shaha	9 W. R., 537	3707
Koduthi v. Madu	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 321	2524
Koegler v. Coringa Oil Company	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 42	1113
_____ v. Prosunno Coomar Chatterjee	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 472	4275
_____ v. Yule	5 B. L. R., 401 : 14 W. R., O. C., 45.	2998
Koh Poh v. Moung Tay	10 W. R., 143	5125
Ko Htaw Ah v. Nan Karay Phaw	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 124 : L. R., 13 I. A., 48.	5617
Koibutoollah v. Motee Peshakur	13 W. R., 276	1363
Koji Ram v. Ishar Das	1. L. R., 8 All., 273	3296
Kojoyadu v. Lakshmi	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 149	2341, 2524
Kokilmoni Dassia v. Manick Chandra Joaddar	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 791	3401
Kokil Singh v. Duli Chund	5 C. L. R., 243	3923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kokya Dine. In the matter of . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 79 : 10 W. R., 417.	6199
Ko Kywetnee v. Ko Koung Bane . . .	5 W. R., 189 . . .	2316
Kola Aheer v. Sajna Ahimur . . .	3 N. W., 105 . . .	5680
Kolai Ram v. Pali Ram . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 755 . . .	1494
Kolandai Mudali v. Sankara Bharadhi . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 302 . . .	4392
Kolasherri Illath Naranian v. Kolasherri Illath Nilakandan Nambudri. }	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 131 . . .	292, 1437
Kolashun Bibee v. Didar Buksh . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 44 . . .	5954
Koleemooddeen Mundul v. Heerun Mandul . . .	24 W. R., 186 . . .	3699
Kollany Koer v. Luchmee Pershad . . .	24 W. R., 395 . . .	5782
Kolla Subramaniam Chetti v. Thellanayakulu Subramaniam Chetti. }	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 124 . . .	2266
Kolluri Nagabhashanam v. Ammanna . . .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 71 . . .	2547, 3400
Kollu Shettati v. Manjaya . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 454 . . .	4835
Koloo Misr v. Bhyro Kulwar . . .	2 N. W., 258 . . .	786
Komalooden Sheikh v. Collector of Midnapore . . .	11 W. R., 233 . . .	2976
Komathi v. Gurunada Pillai . . .	3 Mad., 114 . . .	4054
Komireddi Varaha Narasimham v. Chevala Ramasami Nayudu. }	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 136 . . .	2853
Komlakant Deghal. In the matter of the petition of . . .	11 W. R., 127 . . .	3590
Komlakant Doss v. Pogose . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 65 . . .	4445
Komola Kaminy Debia v. Lokenath Kur . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 825, note C. L. R., 183. }	8
Komolakan Biswas. In the matter of . . .	4 C. L. R., 401 . . .	4876
Komola Kant Chuckerbutty v. Nurro Singho Singh . . .	25 W. R., 536 . . .	4664
Komolapershad Narain Singh v. Nokh Lall Sahoo . . .	6 W. R., 30 . . .	5348
Komollochun Dutt v. Nilruttun Mundle . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 360 : 4 L. R., 175. }	1038
Komul Chunder Sen v. Surbessur Doss Goopto . . .	21 W. R., 298 . . .	4669
Komul Kishen Surkhul v. Bissonath Chuckerbutty . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap. 3 : W. R., F. B., 128. }	1477, 1899
Komul Kristo Bonick. In the matter of . . .	12 C. L. R., 231 . . .	66
Komul Lochun Bhadoory. In re . . .	2 Hay, 674 . . .	4105, 4360
Komul Lochun Dass v. Dwarkanath Chowdhry . . .	10 W. R., 254 . . .	3847
Komul Lochun Roy v. Zumeeroodeen Sirdar . . .	7 W. R., 417 . . .	5232
Komulmonee Dossee v. Alhadmonce Dossee . . .	1 W. R., 256 . . .	1614
Komul Sangath v. Romanath Gossamee . . .	21 W. R., 332 . . .	2207
Komuroodeen v. Monye Mundle . . .	16 W. R., 220 . . .	3045
Konapa bin Mahadapa v. Janardan Sukdev . . .	11 Bom., 193 . . .	136
Konaram Gaonburah v. Dhatoaram Thakoor . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 196 : 7 C. R., 47. }	5379
Kondadu v. Ramudu . . .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 294 . . .	5177, 5183
Kondaji Bagaji v. Anan . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 448 . . .	101
Kondappa Naik v. Annamalai Chetty . . .	4 Mad., 396 . . .	2797
Kondaraju Venkata Subbaiya v. Rama Krishnamma alias Urupkrishnaiya. }	4 Mad., 75 . . .	3579
Kondasawmy Pillay v. Kristna Swamy Pillay . . .	5 Mad., 189 . . .	3493
Kondayya v. Guruvappa . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 139 . . .	1897
Kondayya Gaundan v. Ramasvami Gaundan . . .	1 Mad., 130 . . .	4835
Kondi Menon v. Sranginreagatta Ahammada . . .	1 Mad., 248 . . .	4531
Kopdi Munisami Chetti v. Dakshanamurthi Pillai . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 371 . . .	3758
Kondoli Tea Company. In re . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 43 . . .	3702
Koner Manohar Mahajan Ambekar v. Naro Hari Dasputre. }	1 Bom., 167 . . .	5857
Konerav v. Gurrav . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 589 . . .	3976
		2471, 5001

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Koni Chetty v. Veriappa Chetti	4 Mad., 325	1083
Konnappalen Uthachadayan Haje v. Perotta Meloden Ramen Nambiar.	4 Mad., 422	998
Konoy Loll Doss. In re	Bourke, O. C., 51	5898
Koobir Sirdar v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty	3 W. R., Act X, 126	1635
Koob Lall Chowdhry v. Nittyanund Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 839: 12 C. L. R., 393.	4806, 6153
Koobur Khansama v. Jan Khansama	8 W. R., 467	5100
Koodee Monee Dabea v. Tarachand Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 134	2420
Koodrut Ali v. Gholam Ali	3 Agra, 71	5223
Koorya v. Doorga Pershad	2 N. W., 139	3009
Kookor Sing. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 130	5543
Kooladapershad Misree v. Goura Chand Misree	17 W. R., 353	877
Kool Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kumul Chunder Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 17	3492
Kool Chunder Surmah v. Ramjoy Surmona	10 W. R., 8	329, 2199 4156
Kooldebarnain Shahee v. Wooma Coomareo	Marsh., 357: 2 Hay, 370	2266, 2536
Kooldeb Singh v. Juggunath Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 19	220
Kooldeep Koore v. Runjeet Singh	24 W. R., 231	2178
Kooldeep Narain Singh v. Government of India	11 B. L. R., 71: 14 Moore's I. A., 247.	2036
- v. Luckhun Sing	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 917: 9 W. R., 218.	5730
- v. Mohadeo Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 559: 6 W. R., 199.	2036
Kooldeepnarain Singh v. Rummon Singh	22 W. R., 278	5756
Kooldeep Singh v. Ram Deen Singh	24 W. R., 198	3717
Koolsun Bibee v. Edoo	25 W. R., Cr., 35	1339
Koomaree Dabee v. Greesh Chunder Lahoory	Marsh., 200: 1 Hay, 583	414
Koomaree Dassee v. Bama Soonderee Dassee	10 W. R., 202	1381
Koomarnarain Roy v. Superintendent of Salt Chowkey, Jullessur.	1 Hay, 247	5494
Koomerunnissa Begum v. Hunooman Doss	Marsh., 122	1204, 2981 3835
Koomkurrun v. Michael	Bourke, O. C., 259	421
Koomodinee Debia v. Poornoo Chunder Mookerjee	10 W. R., 301	1743
Koomodinee Kant Banerjee Chowdhry v. Huree Churn Tupadar.	24 W. R., 190	1625
Koomud Chunder Dass v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 498: 5 C. L. R., 264.	5814
Koomud Chunder Roy v. Seetakanth Roy	W. R., F. B., 75	2284, 2302 2308
Koonari Bibi v. Dalim Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 14	3695
Koondan Lall v. Jahans	1 Agra, 17	4612
Koondo Nath Surma Gossamee v. Dheer Chunder Sur- ma Odhikar Gossamee.	20 W. R., 345	1441, 1740
Koondun Lal v. Himmud Singh	3 N. W., 86	4034
- v. Wazeer Ali	3 N. W., 205	3892
Koondun Lal v. Makhun Lal	1 N. W., 168: Ed. 1873, 247	329, 4797 4800, 4806
- v. Noor Ali	10 W. R., 3	5589
- v. Ram Rucha Sing	14 W. R., 465	1908
Koonj Beharee Dutt v. Khettunath Dutt	8 W. R., 270	2362
Koonj Beharee Ghur. In the matter of	11 W. R., 171	5508, 5943
Koonj Beharee Lall v. Girdharee Lall	22 W. R., 484	1879, 3526
Koonj Beharee Pattuck v. Gyadeen Pattuck	11 W. R., 361	2343
Koonj Beharee Rau v. Bukshee Luchmun Doss	19 W. R., 188	4192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Koonjbehari Dhur v. Premchand Dutt . . . {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 684: 5 C. } L. R., 561.	2505
Koonj Behary Awustee v. Tarinee Kant Lahoree . . .	8 W. R., 285 . . .	4913
Koonjbehary Chowdhry v. Eknath Gurain . . .	15 W. R., Cr., 43 . . .	4727
Koonj Behary Chowdhry v. Gocool Chunder Chowdhry .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616 . . .	682
Koonj Behary Lall v. Rajnarain . . .	2 Agra, 244 . . .	3380
Koonj Behary Patuck v. Shiva Baluk Singh . . .	Agra, F. B., 119: Ed. 1874, 89	3002
Koonjo Behary Banerjee v. Roy Mothooranath Chowdhry. }	1 W. R., 155 . . .	4169
Koonjobehary Lall v. Doomney . . .	14 W. R., Cr., 29 . . .	100
_____ v. Rugho Nath Dome . . .	14 W. R., Cr., 29 . . .	100
Koonjo Behary Roy v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 450: 12 C. } L. R., 55.	4245
Koonjo Behary Singh v. Nilmoney Singh Deo . . .	4 C. L. R., 296 . . .	5612
Koonjo Lall Mundul v. Dabee Pershad Tewaree . . .	22 W. R., 398 . . .	558
Koonjo Mohun Dass v. Nobo Coomar Shaha . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 216 . . .	4468
Koonjo Mohun Dass v. Krishna Chunder Shaha . . .	25 W. R., 361 . . .	5841
Koontee Debee v. Hirdoy Nath Durreepa . . .	16 W. R., 206 . . .	5313
Koonwer Singh v. Shib Dyal . . .	3 Agra, 297 . . .	5627
Koora v. Dick . . .	3 N. W., 322 . . .	3029
_____ v. Robinson . . .	2 Agra, Mis., 2 . . .	4583
Kooranee Bibee v. Bhulun Mohinee Dossee . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 52 . . .	436
Kooroonamoyee Chowdhrair v. Joy Sunker Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, 267 . . .	1993
Koosoom Kaminee Debee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee .	23 W. R., 346 . . .	77
Koosumba v. Tufuzzul Hossein . . .	13 W. R., 85 . . .	485
Kopasan v. Shamu . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 440 . . .	5820
Kopil Dolai v. Kanhai Jenna . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 71 . . .	4750
Kopilnath Sahi v. Koneeram . . .	14 W. R., Cr., 3 . . .	6027
Kopil Pooree v. Manick Sahoo . . .	20 W. R., 287 . . .	5286
Koraga v. Queen . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 374 . . .	4385
Koraj Koonwar v. Komal Koonwar . . .	6 W. R., 34 . . .	413
Korapulu v. Monappa . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 160 . . .	974
Korban Ali v. Ashan Ali . . .	4 W. R., 4 . . .	2772
Korban Ali Mirdha v. Pitumbari Dasi . . .	13 C. L. R., 256 . . .	{ 4767, 4769 5889
Korban Ally Mirdha v. Sharoda Prôshad Aich . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 82 . . .	{ 4767, 4769 5889
Koreem Bux v. Hoormut . . .	20 W. R., Cr., 60 . . .	972
_____ v. Nomeero . . .	20 W. R., 349 . . .	5702
Koromali v. Amir Ali . . .	3 C. L. R., 166 . . .	3718, 3725
Koroonamoyee Debia v. Nubokishen Mookerjee . . .	11 W. R., 18 . . .	763
_____ v. Ooma Churn Deb . . .	12 W. R., 465 . . .	5532
Koroonamoyee Dossee v. Nubo Kishore Sein . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 36 . . .	759
_____ v. Shib Chunder Dey . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 50 . . .	1603
Kosala Rama Pillai v. Salukai Tevar <i>alias</i> Oyya Tevar .	8 Mad., 189 . . .	1400, 2236
Ko Shoay Doon v. Shoay-Gan . . .	{ 6 B. L. R., 196: 14 W. R., } 331.	5722
Kossella Koer v. Beharee Patuck . . .	12 W. R., 70 . . .	{ 1202, 2753 6091
Kota. In re . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 134 . . .	1235
Kotamarti Sitarammayya v. Kotamarti Vardhanamma .	7 Mad., 351 . . .	1412
Kota Muthanna Chetti v. Alibeg Sahib . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 174 . . .	4836
Kotarbasapa v. Chanverova . . .	10 Bom., 403 . . .	2511
Kota Seetamma v. Kollipurla Soobbiah . . .	8 Mad., 81 . . .	384, 5220
Kotha Subba Chetti v. Queen . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 252 . . .	1268, 1965
Kotoo v. Ko Pay Yah . . .	6 W. R., 255 . . .	{ 1074, 2585 2710

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kottalanada v. Muthaya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 374	961
Kottala Uppi v. Shangara Varma	3 Mad., 161	4945
Kottale Uppi v. Kalliyatt Panoli Kunni Kutti	1 Mad., 7	5173
Kottal Uppi v. Edavalath Thathan Nambudiri	6 Mad., 258	3027
Kottam Zamindar v. Pittapur Zamindar	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 171	665
Kotta Ramasami Chetti v. Bangari Seshama Nayanivaru	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 145	2331, 2386
Kotun Ram Doss v. Collector of Sylhet	22 W. R., 523	3074
Koula Put v. Maharaj Doobey	1 Agra, 278	4540
Kowlbas Koer. In re	8 B. L. R., Ap., 50	3564
Kowsulliah Eundari Dasi v. Mukta Sundari Dasi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 588	137
Kowsullya Dossee v. Ram Jugurnath Dey Sircar	8 W. R., 162	2735
Koyilothputenpurayil Manoki Koran Nayar v. Puthen Purayil Manoki Chanda Nayar. }	3 Mad., 294	3758, 6134
Koylas Chunder Shaw v. Muddoosoodun Chowdhry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 12	355
Koylash Bashiny Dossee v. Gocoolmoni Dossee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 230 : 10 C. } L. R., 41. }	3375, 4205 5354
Koylash Chunder Banerjee v. Kaleeprosunno Chowdhry	16 W. R., 80	4601, 5334
Koylash Chunder Biswas v. Bissesuree Dossee	10 W. R., 408	3040
Koylash Chunder Bose v. Anarullah Sheikh	9 C. L. R., 467	2025, 5815
Koylash Chunder Dass. In the matter of the petition of. }	20 W. R., Cr., 78	6065
Koylash Chunder Dass v. Boykoonto Nath Chundra	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 619 : 2 C. L. } R., 167. }	3312
Koylash Chunder Dey v. Joy Narain Jalooah	7 W. R., 93	4925
Koylash Chunder Doss v. Tariney Churn Singhee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 588	5807
Koylash Chunder Dutt v. Jubur Ali	22 W. R., 29	5342
Koylash Chunder Ghose v. Ashruf Ali	22 W. R., 101	2801
_____ v. Khettermonee Dossee	2 W. R., Act X, 57	1702
_____ v. Raj Chunder Banerjee	12 W. R., 180	5985
_____ v. Sonatun Chung Barooie	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 132 : 8 C. L. } R., 281. }	3233
Koylash Chunder Koosari v. Ram Lall Nag.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 206	5734
Koylash Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Preonath Roy Chowdhry. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 610 : 3 C. L. } R., 25. }	3267
Koylash Chunder Roy v. Ellis	8 W. R., 45	4403
Koylash Chunder Sen v. Koylash Chunder Chakrabarti	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1057	900
Koylashessur Bose v. Narainee Dossee	10 W. R., 303	2379
Koylash Nath Haldar v. Oomanath Roy Chowdhry	11 W. R., 170	1753
Koylashnauth Chowdhry. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 41	3882
Koylashnauth Chund v. Monmohiney Dossee	Marsh., 276	336
Koylashnauth Roy v. Bodun Mouee Dabee	2 Hay, 386	4428
Koylashnath Doss v. Gyamonee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 314	2333
Koylas Nath Ghose v. Nitya Shama Dassee	15 W. R., 449	3537
Kripamoyee Debia v. Dropudee Chowdhraim	24 W. R., 213	583
_____ v. Romanath Chowdhry	2 W. R., P. C., 1	1724, 4650
Kripa Moyce Dossee v. Poorun Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 403	3496
Kripa Nath Chakee v. Dyal Chand Pal	22 W. R., 169	5197
Kripanath Mojoomdar v. Saroda Chowdhraim	1 W. R., 283	5789
Kripanath Surma v. Nritokalee Dabee	12 W. R., 137	1393
Kripanath Tullapattur v. Bhashaye Mollah	6 W. R., 105	1504
Kriparam v. Bhagwan Dass	1 B. L. R., A. C., 68 : 10 W. } R., 100. }	5027
Kripomoyee Debia v. Grish Chunder Lahoree	8 Moore's I. A., 467	1725, 4650
Krishendro Roy Chowdry v. Digumburee Debia } Chowdhraim. }	16 W. R., 15	2774
Krishen Kishore Chund v. Mahomed Zukahoollah	Agra, F. B., 148 : Ed. 1874, } 111. }	4812, 4848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishna v. Nanu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 484 .	3759
——— v. Rayappa Shanbhaga	4 Mad., 98	1577, 2215 4575
——— v. Reade	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 31 .	4053
——— v. Sami	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 64 .	2336
——— v. Subbanna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 564 .	2374, 2472
——— v. Venkata Sami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 164 .	3593
Krishna Aiyar v. Anantarama Aiyar	2 Mad., 330	5263
Krishnabai v. Sonubai	2 Bom., 327 : 2nd Ed., 310 .	4257
Krishna Behari Roy v. Brojeswari Chowdhranee	L. R., 2 I. A., 283	5027
——— v. Bunwari Lall Roy	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 144 : 25 W. R., 1 .	5027
Krishnabhat bin Hiragange v. Kapabhat bin Mahabhat	6 Bom., A. C., 137	3362
Krishna Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Krishna Chandra Banik	3 B. L. R., A. C., 211	5280
Krishna Chandra Das v. Mahomed Afzal	1 B. L. R., A. C., 11 : 10 W. R., 51 .	3280
Krishna Chandra Sandyal Chowdhry v. Harish Chandra Chowdhry	8 B. L. R., 524	3436, 4253 5629
Krishna Chandra Shaha v. Omed Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 31	3530
Krishna Chetty v. Rami Chetty	8 Mad., 99	3181, 3186 3469
Krishna Churn Baisack v. Protap Chunder Surma	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560	6006, 6234
Krishna Deb v. Woopendra Krishna Deb	12 W. R., O. C., 4	2263
Krishna Devu Garu v. Ramchandra Devu Maharajulu Garu	3 Mad., 153	3374, 3578
Krishna Dhone Dutt v. Troilokia Nath Biswas	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 537	4484
Krishnadhun Chowdhry v. Hur Coomary Chowdhrain	25 W. R., 37	3364
Krishna Ghose v. Ram Narain Mohapattur	25 W. R., 214	2974
Krishna Gobind Dhur v. Hari Churn Dhur	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 367 : 12 C. L. R., 19 .	3420
Krishna Gopal Ghose v. Hurry Nath Dutt	25 W. R., 60	4260
Krishnaji alias Babaji Keshav v. Ravji Sadashiv	9 Bom., 79	3442, 3975
Krishnaji Kesava Pundit v. Subbayara Takker	7 Mad., 387	847
Krishnaji Lakshman Rajvade v. Sitaram Murarrav Jakhi	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 496	1470, 3904 4417, 4974 1568, 2213
Krishnaji Narayan v. Govind Bhaskar	9 Bom., 275	5089
Krishnaji Nimkar v. Vishnu Nimkar	2 Bom., 360 : 2nd Ed., 340 .	781
Krishnaji Raghunath v. Anandray Ballal Kolhalkar	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 293	3478
Krishnaji Ravji v. Ganesh Bapuji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 139	6147
Krishnaji Ravji Godbole v. Ramchandra Sadashiv	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 70	2960
Krishnaji Vishvanath Joshi v. Mukund Chimanshet	2 Bom., 18 : 2nd Ed., 19 .	3256, 3403
Krishnaji Vithal v. Bhaskar Rangnath	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 611	3268
Krishnaji Vyanktesh v. Pandurang	12 Bom., 65	2279
Krishna Kamal Sing v. Hira Sirdar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 101	841, 3520
Krishnakanta Paramanik v. Bidya Sundaree Dasi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 25	355
Krishna Kinkur Roy v. Madhub Chunder Chuckerbutty	21 W. R., 283	5662
Krishna Kishore Poddar v. Kailas Chandra Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., 641, note	1137
Krishna Kishore Shaha v. Biresur Mozoomdar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 595 : 3 C. L. R., 177 .	5741
Krishna Kishore Poddar v. Woomesh Chunder Roy	13 B. L. R., 214 : 21 W. R., 448 .	494
Krishna Lall Dutt v. Radha Krishna Surkhel	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 402	3393
Krishnama v. Krishnasami	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 62	5264
——— v. Perumal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 388	2406

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishnamachari v. Gangaran Reddi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 229	3588
Krishnama Charyar v. Srinivasa Ayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 339	6079
— v. Toppai Gaundan	3 Mad., 381	3058
Krishna Mannadi v. Shankara Manavan	1 Mad., 113, note	3763
Krishnambhat bin Shivrambhat v. Lakshumanbhat bin Ganeshbat. }	1 Bom., 141	5094
Krishnamma v. Achayya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 306	3270
— v. Papa	4 Mad., 234	{ 2290, 2320 3580
Krishna Mohan Mookerjee v. Jagannath Roy Jugi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 323	4561
Krishna Mohan Shaha v. Aftabuddin Mahomed	{ 8 B. L. R., 134: 15 W. R., } 560. }	5335
Krishna Mohun Pasak v. Kunj Behary Basak	9 C. L. R., 1	3819, 5284
Krishna Mohun Bose v. Okhilmoni Dossee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 331	3134, 3235
Krishna Mohun Bysack. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 58	4096
Krishna Mongul Shaha v. Akbar Jumma Khan	9 C. L. R., 213	3857
Krishnan v. Nilakandan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 137	784, 3479
— v. Revi Varma	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 384	6082
— v. Sankara Varma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 441	1056
Krishnanand Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 50	{ 5150, 5160 5562
Krishna Nath Sircar v. Ram Kumar De	7 C. L. R., 144	4747
Krishnanund Das. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 58	5513
— v. Hari Bera	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 58	5513
Krishna Padhe. Ex parte	6 Bom., A. C., 50	3147
Krishnapa valad Santu v. Panchapa valad Gurupadapa	6 Bom., A. C., 258	5411, 5485
Krishnappa valad Mahadappa v. Bahiru Yadavray	8 Bom., A. C., 55	{ 3545, 3941 4827
Krishna Ram v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 20	195
Krishnaramani Dasi v. Ananda Krishna Bose	4 B. L. R., O. C., 231	2553
Krishnarav v. Antaji Virupuksha	12 Bom., 227	1230
— v. Govind	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 25, note	1188
Krishnarav Ganesh v. Rangray	4 Bom., A. C., 1	2054
Krishnarav Jahagirdar v. Govind Trimbak	12 Bom., 85	1178
Krishnarav Ramchandra v. Manaji bin Sayaji	11 Bom., 106	{ 2986, 4285 5746
Krishnarav Venkatesh v. Vasudev Anant	11 Bom., 15	181, 5451
Krishnarav Yashvant v. Vasudev Apaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 371	{ 2773, 4193 4459
Krishna Reddi v. Muttu Narayana Reddi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 127	3939
Krishnareddi Govinda Reddi v. Stuart	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 192	103
Krishnasami v. Engel	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 20	426
Krishnasami Pillai v. Rangasami Chetty	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 112	1772
— v. Varadaraja Ayyangar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 345	{ 4167, 5177 5186
— v. Venkatachella Aiyan	3 Mad., 89	4820
Krishnasami Tatacharyar v. Krishnama Charyar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 313	5263
Krishnashankar v. Chandrashankar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 198	5421
Krishnaya v. Chinnaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 597	2258
Krishnayyan v. Muttusami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 407	2321
Krishnayyar v. Venkayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 81	3518
Krishnendro Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee	21 W. R., 27	1994
Krishno Chunder Goopto v. Sufdar Ali	22 W. R., 326	2053, 3068
Krishno Churn. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., Cr., 2	5146
Krishno Kumar Baisak v. Bhim Lall Baisak	4 C. L. R., 38	2880
Krishno Lall Nag v. Bhyrub Chunder Deb	22 W. R., 52	4252
Krishno Monee v. Empress	6 C. L. R., 289	1013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishtendra Roy <i>v.</i> Aena Bewa	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 675 : 10 C. } L. R., 399.	1876
Krishto Bundhoo Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Rotish Shaikh	25 W. R., 307	4924
Krishto Coomar Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Anund Coomnar Dutt	19 W. R., 307	578
Krishto Kishore Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Ram Lochun Burdhun	2 W. R., Mis., 49	1924
Krishto Kishore Dutt <i>v.</i> Roop Lall Dass	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 687 : 10 L. R., 609.	1448, 1892
Krishto Kishori Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Radha Romun Munshi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 330	4614
Krishto Lall Ghose <i>v.</i> Bonomalee Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 611 : 5 C. L. R., 43.	4808
Krishto Mohun Doss Bukshee <i>v.</i> Joy Kishen Mookerjee	3 W. R., 33	3373
Krishto Motee Debia <i>v.</i> Ram Nidhee Sircar	9 W. R., 331	3800
Kristaya <i>v.</i> Kasipati	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 55	5239
Kristna Aiyangar <i>v.</i> Perumal Nadan	2 Mad., 436	4429
Kristna Ayyan <i>v.</i> Venkatachella Mudali	7 Mad., 60	2617, 4574
Kristna Chetti <i>v.</i> Balarama Chetti	1 Mad., 139	402
Kristna Mudali <i>v.</i> Shanmuga Mudaliar	6 Mad., 248	1056
Kristna Row <i>v.</i> Muttukistna	4 Mad., 244	468
Kristnappa Chetty <i>v.</i> Ramaswamy Iyer	8 Mad., 25	2349, 2358
Kristnappah. In the matter of the petition of	6 Mad., 38	4621
Kristna Rau <i>v.</i> Chinna Subbu Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
_____ <i>v.</i> Krishna Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahadeva Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
_____ <i>v.</i> Nyniappa Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
_____ <i>v.</i> Solayappa Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
Kristna Reddi <i>v.</i> Srinivasa Reddi	5 Mad., 174	2775
Kristna Reddy <i>v.</i> Srinivasa Reddy	4 Mad., Ap., 56, note	2771
Kristna Row <i>v.</i> Hachapa Sugapa	2 Mad., 307	3198, 3219 3378
Kristnasamy Pillay <i>v.</i> Municipal Commissioners for } the Town of Madras.	4 Mad., 120	5825
Kristnasamv Tatacharry <i>v.</i> Gomatum Rangacharry	4 Mad., 63	2249, 5791
Kristo Beharee Roy <i>v.</i> Bunwaree Lall Roy	19 W. R., 62	5027
Kristo Behary Dass <i>v.</i> Empress	12 C. L. R., 555	1291
Kristo Bhabinay Dossee <i>v.</i> Ashutosh Bosu Mullick	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 39	1470
Kristo Chunder Acharjee <i>v.</i> Kashee Thakooranee	23 W. R., 340	3851, 4053
Kristo Chunder Burmo Surmah <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder } Burmo Surmah.	23 W. R., 381	3368
Kristo Chunder Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Wise	14 W. R., 70	1146
Kristo Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Kristo Chunder Burnick } _____ <i>v.</i> Ram Brohmo Chucker- } butty.	12 W. R., 76 20 W. R., 403	5280 2775
Kristo Chunder Dass <i>v.</i> Steel	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 279	6188
Kristo Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Soudamonee Dossee	12 W. R., 174	5983
Kristo Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Raj Kristo Bandyopadhya	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 24	5269
Kristo Chunder Goopto <i>v.</i> Brojo Mohun Dey Chowdhry	22 W. R., 183	156
_____ <i>v.</i> Fuzul Ali Khan	17 W. R., 389	748
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramsondur Sein	17 W. R., 14	819
Kristo Chunder Kurmocar <i>v.</i> Rughoonath Kurmocar } _____ } _____ } _____ }	12 B. L. R., 352, note : 10 } W. R., 328. } 11 W. R., 532 } 23 W. R., 252 }	2351 5145 685
Kristo Chunder Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Chunder Pershad Banerjee	15 W. R., 424	2934, 5080
Kristo Chunder Sandel Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Shama Soonduree } Debea Chowdhrair.	22 W. R., 520	3377, 3436
Kristo Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Oomed Ali	14 W. R., 414	3530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kristo Chunder Sundyal <i>v.</i> Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry.	17 W. R., 145 . . .	3436, 4253 5629
Kristocomul Mitter <i>v.</i> Suresh Chunder Deb . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 556 : 12 C. } L. R., 253.	2633
Kristo Coomar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Anund Moyee Chowdhrair . . .	7 W. R., 300 . . .	1453
Kristo Coomar Nag <i>v.</i> Mahabat Khan . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 595 . . .	3487
Kristodass Koondoo <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Chowdhry . . .	11 W. R., 189 . . .	6016
Kristodass Kundoo <i>v.</i> Ramkant Roy Chowdhry . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 142 : 7 C. } L. R., 396.	3268, 3950 4885
Kristodhone Dutt <i>v.</i> Chairman of Municipal Commissioners of Suburbs of Calcutta.	25 W. R., Cr., 6 . . .	1153, 1288
Kristo Doss Koondoo <i>v.</i> Wilkinson . . .	17 W. R., 159 . . .	1914
Kristo Gobind Joardar <i>v.</i> Jugobundhoo Sircar . . .	12 W. R., 94 . . .	5132
Kristo Gobind Kur <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad Surma . . .	23 W. R., 266 . . .	5753
<i>v.</i> . . .	25 W. R., 372 . . .	813
Kristo Gopal Shaha <i>v.</i> Kasheenauth Shah . . .	6 W. R., 66 . . .	1701
Kristo Indro Saha <i>v.</i> Huromonee Dassee . . .	L. R., 1 I. A., 84 . . .	1701, 4634
Kristo Jeebun Bukshie <i>v.</i> Mackintosh . . .	W. R., 1864, 53 . . .	5322
Kristo Kinkur Puramanick <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Chettangia . . .	24 W. R., 226 . . .	3, 4892
Kristo Kishore Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Seetamonee Bhuttacharjee.	7 W. R., 320 . . .	1501
Kristo Kishore Ghose <i>v.</i> Brojonath Mozoomdar . . .	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 11 . . .	4758, 4760
Kristo Kissor Neoghy <i>v.</i> Kadermoyee Dossee . . .	2 C. L. R., 583 . . .	2276, 3092
Kristo Komul Singh <i>v.</i> Huree Sirdar . . .	13 W. R., F. B., 44 . . .	841, 3520
Kristo Lall Moitro <i>v.</i> Nobbo Coomar Roy . . .	5 W. R., 232 . . .	6125
Kristo Lall Nag. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 256 . . .	4443
Kristo Mohinee Dossee <i>v.</i> Kaliprosono Ghose . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 402 . . .	822, 825 1162, 5249
Kristomohiney Dossee <i>v.</i> Bama Churn Nag Chowdhry {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 733 : 9 C. } L. R., 344.	206, 5374
Kristo Mohiney Dossee <i>v.</i> Kally Prosonno Ghose {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 485 : 8 C. } L. R., 43.	1937, 4518
Kristo Mohun Bysack <i>v.</i> Collector of Dacca . . .	24 W. R., 91 . . .	47
Kristo Mohun Christian <i>v.</i> Anunda . . .	16 W. R., 249 . . .	3785
Kristo Mohun Pattur <i>v.</i> Huree Sunkur Mookerjee . . .	7 W. R., 235 . . .	1654
Kristo Moncey Debia <i>v.</i> Buroda Dossia Chowdhrair . . .	14 W. R., 143 . . .	1453
Kristomonee Debia <i>v.</i> Fakeer Chand Khan . . .	3 W. R., Act X, 140 . . .	1439
Kristomonee Thakoor <i>v.</i> Bishambhur Doss . . .	5 W. R., 215 . . .	208, 5739
Kristo Prea Dossee <i>v.</i> Puddo Lochun Mytee . . .	6 W. R., 288 . . .	1677
Kristo Prosad Mundle. In the matter of the petition of . . .	22 W. R., Cr., 74 . . .	4718
Kristo Protibar <i>v.</i> Alladinee Dassee . . .	15 W. R., 4 . . .	562
Kristo Ram Roy <i>v.</i> Janokee Nath Roy . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 748 : 9 C. } L. R., 324.	1876
Kristo Soondur Sandyal <i>v.</i> Chunder Nath Roy . . .	15 W. R., 230 . . .	2982
Kristo Sunkur Dutt Roy <i>v.</i> Koylash Nath Dutt Roy . . .	15 W. R., 6 . . .	4271
Kristo Surma Adhikaree <i>v.</i> Basoodeb Gossamee . . .	12 W. R., 424 . . .	2781
Kritarthomoyee Debia <i>v.</i> Khetter Nath Sircar . . .	9 W. R., 472 . . .	878
Krodesch Sen <i>v.</i> Kamini Mohun Sen . . .	10 C. L. R., 161 . . .	2299
Kubair Singh <i>v.</i> Atmaram . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 332 . . .	6086
Kubeer Chunder Bundo <i>v.</i> Ramkanye Doss Biswas . . .	17 W. R., 174 . . .	685
Kubeeroodeen <i>v.</i> Jogul Shaha . . .	15 W. R., 133 . . .	2018
Kubeerun <i>v.</i> Sufeehun . . .	24 W. R., 388 . . .	4212
Kudeerun <i>v.</i> Lallun . . .	14 W. R., 366 . . .	471
Kudhara <i>v.</i> Khuman Singh . . .	1 Agra, 265 . . .	4557
Kudomee Dossee <i>v.</i> Joteeram Kolita . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 305 . . .	2443, 5099
Kudomessuree Dossee <i>v.</i> Emam Ali . . .	20 W. R., 167 . . .	3147

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kudratulla v. Mahini Mohan Shaha	{ 4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 } W. R., F. B., 21. }	3708
Kudum Kooner v. Budla Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 163	95
Kulab Hossein v. Mehrum Beebee	4 N. W., 155	113
Kula Nagabushanam v. Kula Seshachalam	1 Mad., 178	379
Kuleemooddeen Darogah v. Ashruf Ali Khan	19 W. R., 276	690
Kulian Doss v. Gobind Kooer	24 W. R., 143	4527
Kullappa Naik v. Ramanuja Chariyar	4 Mad., 429	5629
Kuller Singh v. Jewan Singh	22 W. R., 79	3151
Kullianbutti Koer v. Tulapal Singh	11 C. L. R., 204	2505
Kullyan Dass v. Sheo Nundun Purshad Singh	18 W. R., 65	4025, 4028
Kullyanessuree Debee v. Dwarkanath Surma	6 W. R., 116	2434
Kullyanessuree Dossee v. Narain Kyburto	15 W. R., 241	4935
Kullyan Singh v. Bahadur Singh	{ Agra. F. B., 163: Ed. 1874, } 122. }	3493
Kulno Khond Kar. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 47	4052
Kulponath Doss v. Mewah Lall	8 W. R., 302	2445
Kulpo Singh v. Thakoor Singh	15 W. R., 429	5769
Kulree v. Jhoonoo	7 W. R., Cr., 52	3629
Kulsoon v. Ameerunnessa	1 Hyde, 150	3686
Kultoo Mahomed v. Hurdeb Doss	19 W. R., 107	1724
Kulum Mundul v. Bhowani Prosad	22 W. R., Cr., 32	1151
Kulumutee Kooer v. Jowahur Lall	11 W. R., 318	2774
Kulyan Churn Mookerjee v. Bipro Churn Purail	6 W. R., 321	3325
Kumar Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 383	3237
Kumaran v. Narayanan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 260	3756
Kumarasami v. Subbaraya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325	6217
Kumarasami Nadan v. Pala Nagappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 385	3208
Kumarasamy Reddi v. Panna Soona Moorogappa } Chetty. }	7 Mad., 359	6177
Kumaravelu v. Virana Goundan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 29	2311, 2312
Kumaradeva Mudali v. Nallatambi Reddi	1 Mad., 407	5631
Kumeezooddeen Holdar v. Rujjub Ali Shaha	9 W. R., 523	1776
Kumini Ama v. Parkam Kolusher	1 Mad., 261	3766
Kumla Sahoy v. Ram Ruttun Neogy	11 W. R., 201	566
Kummur-ool-nissa Begum v. Mahomed Hussun	1 Agra, 287	3660
Kumola Dassee v. Azimur Ali	7 W. R., 13	4173
Kumola Kant Mytee v. Naraince Dossee	9 W. R., 583	3981
Kumolanath Sein v. Beharee Kant Roy	11 W. R., 314	1103, 1560
Kumood Narain Bhoop v. Purna Chunder Roy	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 547: 3 C. L. } R., 258. }	2878
Kumrunnessa v. Sujan	10 C. L. R. 31	5679
Kumul Dutt v. Mohun Molla	15 W. R., 278	3235
Kumur Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 383	4201
Kumurooddeen v. Bhadoo	11 W. R., 134	2089, 6031
Kumeeroonissa Begum v. Syuffoolah	16 W. R., P. C., 32	4235
Kumuroonissa Begum v. Syfoollah Khan	5 W. R., 198	4235
Kunchun Deo Singh v. Tekait Sidh Nath Singh	15 W. R., 239	2932
Kunchun Singh v. Choonna	1 Agra, Rev., 44	164
_____ v. Sheoraj	1 Agra, Rev., 7	325, 1645
Kunda Misser v. Ganesh Singh	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 15 W. } R., 193. }	1604
Kundeme Naine Booshee Naidoo v. Ravoo Lutchmee- paty Naidoo. }	8 Mad., 36	5666
Kundhyee v. Zuman Khan	1 Agra, 9	5187
Kundu v. Impichi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 442	3766
Kundun Lal v. Bansi Dhar	I. L. R., 3 All., 170	3295

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kundun Lal v. Sasta Koer	8 W. R., 369	6100
Kuneez Fatima v. Saheba Jan	8 W. R., 313	108, 3661
Kunhamed v. Chathu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 437	5959
Kunhammatha v. Kunhi Kutti Ali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 233	3762
Kunhamu v. Keshavan Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 246	3765
Kunhi v. Seshagiri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 141	3525
Kunhi Bivi v. Abdul Aziz	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 103	1342
Kunhi Komapen Kurupu v. Changarachan Kandil } Chembata Ambu.	2 Mad., 313	3235
Kunhimmu v. Viryathamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 535	4850
Kunhi Moidin v. Tarayil Moidin	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 101	5488
Kunhi Moidin Kutti v. Ramen Unni	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 203	821, 848
Kunhussa v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 28	5574
Kunhya Lal v. Bunsee	Agra, F. B., 94 : Ed. 1874, 71	3201, 3300
Kunhya Singh v. Tooydun Singh	7 W. R., 20	2697
Kunigaratu v. Arrangaden	2 Mad., 12	3757, 3761
Kunjabehari Lal v. Giridhari Lal	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12 : 10 W. } R., 189.	4415
Kunjai Sahu v. Guru Baksh Koer	8 B. L. R., 184, note : 13 W. } R., 362.	4270
Kunj Behari Sing v. Neru Sing	6 B. L. R., 663, note : 15 W. } R., 291.	4309
Kunjebehari Lal v. Ilahi Baksh	I. L. R., 6 All., 64	2727
Kunjneri Nambiar v. Nilakunden	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 167	4251
Kunju Velan v. Manavikrama Zamorin	1 Mad., 113, note	3763
Kunnathurillath Vasudevan Nambudri v. Narayanan Nambudri.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 121	5048
Kunnoek Chunder Mookerjee v. Gurudass Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 919 : 12 C. } L. R., 599.	4892
Kunuk Singh v. Gholam Jeelance	2 Agra, 329	1645
Kunund Narain Bhoop. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 650 : 3 C. L. } R., 551.	4487, 4490
Kupil Rai v. Radha Prosad Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 260	4492
Kuppa v. Dorasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76	3017
— v. Singaravelu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 325	335
Kuppa Ayyar v. Venkataramana Ayyar	3 Mad., 421	2417
Kuppa Gurukul v. Dorasami Gurukul	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76	2707
Kuppayyan v. Ramasami Ayyan	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 197	2251
Kuppu Chetti v. Chidambara Mudali	3 Mad., 170	5477
Kuppusamiayyan v. Nannuvayyan	1 Mad., 74	5713
Kupu Rau v. Venkataramayyar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 311	184, 5770
Kurali Prasad Misser v. Anantaram Hajra	8 B. L. R., 490 : 16 W. R., } P. C., 16.	356, 379
Kuram Singh v. Mehtab Koonwer	3 Agra, 150	1702
Kuray Mal v. Puran Mal	I. L. R., 2 All., 565	1504, 1762
Kureem Buksh Khan v. Doolhin Khoord	15 W. R., 82	1751
Kureem Chand Gurain v. Oodung Gurain	6 W. R., 158	3964
Kureem Khan v. Muhfooz	W. R., F. B., 16 : 1 Ind. Jur., } O. S., 77 : 1 Hay, 226.	3067
Kureemooddeen Biswas v. Hurochunder Gooho	1 W. R., 305	2293
Kureemoonissa v. Attaoollah	2 Agra, 211	5778
Kureemun v. Mullick Enaet Hossein	W. R., 1864, 221	6108
Kuria bin Hanmia v. Gururav	9 Bom., 282	3702
Kurim Buksh v. Shib Shunkur Shuhaye	W. R., 1864, 384	3684
Kuriyali v. Mayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 255	5216
Kurmofool v. Bissessur Singh	Marsh., 337	4954
		815
		4002

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kuroonamoyee Debee v. Gungadhur Surmah	20 W. R., 50	5100
Kurrally Churn Banerjee v. Modhoosoodun Patter	3 W. R., Act X, 146	1643
Kuru Chowbey v. Jankee Persad	1 Agra, 164	1690
Kurufool Kooer v. Rajkalee Kooer	17 W. R., 439	4142
Kurum v. Rutton Bhuggut	W. R., 1864, 186	1783
Kurum Chand Koleeah v. Huree Mohun Ghose	2 W. R., Mis., 45	288
Kurumoonnissa Bibee v. Gooroo Pershad Shah	7 W. R., 331	236
Kurun Singh v. Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan	{ 10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's } I. A., 176, 187. }	2209, 2301 4155, 4385
Kuruppool Koer v. Collector of Shahabad	20 W. R., 432	84, 85
Kusemoonnissa Bibee v. Huroonnissa Bibee	15 W. R., 195	3912
Kushaba bin Sankroji v. Pitambardhari	12 Bom., 15	5375
Kussemoonnissa Beebee v. Hoorunnissa Beebee	10 W. R., 468	3912
Kussessur Roy v. Joggodishuri	7 C. L. R., 269	4455
Kustoora Koomaree v. Binoderam Sein	4 W. R., Mis., 4	1249, 2039
_____ v. Monohur Deo	W. R., 1864, 39	{ 1249, 1341 2034 }
Kustoor Mull v. Jokeeram	11 B. L. R., Ap., 26	5587
Kuta Bully Viraya v. Kuta Chudappa Vuthamulu	2 Mad., 235	2353
Kutti Ammal v. Radakristna Aiyan	8 Mad., 88	2311
Kutti Mannadiyar v. Payanu Muthan	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 288	4159
Kuttiyali v. Pari Makdi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 356	976
Kuttusher Pisharith Kanna Pisharody v. Villotil } Manakel Narayanan Somayajipad. }	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 234	1172
Kutty Subramaniya v. Chinna Muttee Pillai	3 Mad., 25	2743
Kuvarbai v. Alam Khan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 170	1689
Kuvarji v. Moti Haridas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 234	5398
Kuvarji Premchand v. Bai Javer	6 Bom., A. C., 143	2854
Kuverji Tulsidas v. G. I. P. Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 109	4710
Kyalee Ram v. Mahomed Ali Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 62	1716
Kyanpettee v. Nga Sha Law	14 W. R., 386	4742
Kylasa Goundan v. Ramasami Ayyan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 172	3459
Kylasanada Moodelly v. Armugum Moodelly	4 Mad., 366	3344
Kylash Chunder Chatterjee v. Gopal Chunder Chatterjee } Kylash Chunder Mitter v. Dhun Monee Dassia }	1 W. R., 78 15 W. R., 273	4804 2023
Kylash Chunder Shaha v. Shurnomoyee Dossee	7 W. R., 318	5301
Kylash Chunder Sircar v. Gooroo Churn Sircar	3 W. R., 43	3853
_____ v. Woomanund Roy	24 W. R., 412	1586, 2996
Kylash Kaminee Dossee v. Tarinee Churn Bose	20 W. R., 100	6144
Kylash Kaminee Dossia v. Judoo Bashinee Dossia	22 W. R., 391	6112
Kyte. In the matter of the petition of	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 223: 11 C. } L. R., 427. }	502
L.		
Labbi Beebee v. Bibbun Beebee	6 N. W., 159	3684
Lachcho v. Mayaram	{ I. L. R., 5 All., 158: L. R., 10 } I. A., 1. }	4550
Lachlan v. Abdulla	5 B. L. R., Ap., 89	4408
Lachiram Jaya Sangji v. Ramji bin Shivaji	6 Bom., A. C., 107	5826
Lachman v. Juala	I. L. R., 5 All., 161	{ 1533, 3621 5151 }
_____ v. Thondi Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 382	3518
Lachman Bibi v. Patniram	I. L. R., 1 All., 510	3504
Lachman Das v. Brijpal	I. L. R., 6 All., 174	173
_____ v. Dip Chand	I. L. R., 2 All., 851	4833

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lachman Joharimal <i>v.</i> Bapu Khandu	6 Bom., A. C., 241	4614
Lachman Kuar <i>v.</i> Murdan Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 143	2441
Lachman Prasad. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 All., 360	5863
— <i>v.</i> Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 884	339, 5213
— <i>v.</i> Bal Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 157	5190
— <i>v.</i> Chammi Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 6	5688, 5743
— <i>v.</i> Holas Mahtoon	2 B. L. R., Ap., 27 : 11 W. R., 151.	2987, 4924
Lachman Pundeh <i>v.</i> Maddan Mohun Shye	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 513 : 7 C. L. R., 521.	1866, 3518
Lachman Rai <i>v.</i> Akbar Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 440	1345
Lachman Singh <i>v.</i> Kesri	I. L. R., 4 All., 3	3304, 4811
— <i>v.</i> Mohan	I. L. R., 2 All., 497	188
— <i>v.</i> Pirbhu Lall	6 N. W., 358	2717
— <i>v.</i> Salig Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 384	1878
— <i>v.</i> Sanwal Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 543	4878
— <i>v.</i> Tansukh	I. L. R., 6 All., 395	141
Lachmin <i>v.</i> Ganga Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 485	669
Lachmi Narain <i>v.</i> Bhawani Din	I. L. R., 4 All., 379	2917
— <i>v.</i> Koteswar Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 826	3932
— <i>v.</i> Manog Dat	I. L. R., 7 All., 291	4538
— <i>v.</i> Partab Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 1	694
— <i>v.</i> Wilayti Begam	I. L. R., 2 All., 433	1097, 2042
Lachmi Narain Lal <i>v.</i> Sheoambar Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 409	3239
Lachmipat Singh <i>v.</i> Mahomed Mooneer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 32 : W. R., F. B., 32.	96
Lachmipat Singh Dugar <i>v.</i> Khairat Ali	5 B. L. R., 18 : 12 W. R., F. B., 11.	4807
Lachmipat Singh Dugar Roy. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151 : 11 W. R., O. C., 24.	4757
Lachmipat Singh Roy <i>v.</i> Wahid Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 194 : 11 W. R., 70.	3507
Lackersteen <i>v.</i> Rostan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 32	6063
— <i>v.</i> Tarucknath Poramanick	Cor., 91	2614
Ladd <i>v.</i> Parbutty Dossee	2 Hyde, 18	2822
Ladji Naik <i>v.</i> Musabi	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 665	3298
Ladkuvarbhai <i>v.</i> Sarsangji Pratabsangji	7 Bom., O. C., 150	1937, 2893
Ladlee Pershad <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad	4 N. W., 59	4972
Lado <i>v.</i> Oodey Koonwur	Agra, F. B., 22 : Ed. 1874, 17	4245
Lado Begum <i>v.</i> Achpul alias Ameeroolnissa	2 Agra, 153	4863
Lado Lakshuman <i>v.</i> Krishnaji Sadashiv	6 Bom., A. C., 41	1507
Ladooiah <i>v.</i> Sanvaley	3 Agra, 191	4563
Ladubhai <i>v.</i> Revichand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 143	2485
Ladu Chimaji <i>v.</i> Babaji Khanduji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 532	1228
Ladun <i>v.</i> Bhyro Ram	8 W. R., 255	1520
"Lady Jocelyn." In the matter of the	2 Mad., 355	3705
Laek Ram <i>v.</i> Mohesh Doss	12 W. R., 488	5501
Laidley <i>v.</i> Bishucharan Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 553	435, 858
— <i>v.</i> Gour Gobind Sarkar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 501	5482
— <i>v.</i> Gunness Chunder Sahoo	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 438	1601
Laidman <i>v.</i> Hearsey	I. L. R., 7 All., 906	5179
Laikhran <i>v.</i> Ghumnee	3 Agra, 298	5307
Laith Narain Deo <i>v.</i> Bolakee Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 24	1514
Lajja Prasad <i>v.</i> Debi Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 236	4317
Lakhessur Koer <i>v.</i> Sookha Ojha	1 C. L. R., 39	778
		4557
		579

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lakhi Kant Das Chowdry <i>v.</i> Ram Dyal Das . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61 . . .	3335
Lakhikant Dutt <i>v.</i> Jagabandhu Chuckerbutty . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 79 . . .	3748
Lakhi Kumar <i>v.</i> Ram Dutt Chowdhry . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 11 W. } R., 447. }	4460
Lakhimani Rar. In the case of . . .	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 70 . . .	4686
Lakhimoni Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Akroomoni Chowdhraim . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 851: 12 C. } L. R., 527. }	4850
Lakhi Prya Dasi <i>v.</i> Nabin Chandra Nag . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 37: 11 W. } R., 370. }	93
Lakhi Prya Debi <i>v.</i> Khyrulla Kazi . . .	7 B. L. R., 238, note . . .	3248
Lakhmi Chand <i>v.</i> Indra Mull . . .	4 B. L. R., P. C., 31: 13 W. } R., P. C., 36: 13 Moore's I. } A., 365. }	1728
_____ <i>v.</i> Gatto Bai . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 542 . . .	754, 5534
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 319 . . .	2135
_____ <i>v.</i> Torilal . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 618 . . .	1095
Lakhmi Chand Walchand <i>v.</i> Kastur Bechar . . .	9 Bom., 60 . . .	4825
Lakhmidas Hansraj. In re . . .	5 Bom., O. C., 63 . . .	2674
Lakhmidas Hirachand <i>v.</i> G. I. P. Railway Company . . .	4 Bom., O. C., 129 . . .	4704, 5719
Lakhpatty Thakoorani <i>v.</i> Leelanund Singh . . .	2 C. L. R., 322 . . .	1703
Lakhu Cowar <i>v.</i> Roy Hari Krishna Singh . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 226: 12 W. } R., 3. }	3068
Laknarain Singh <i>v.</i> Mankoer . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 633 . . .	3261
Lakoo Khan <i>v.</i> Wise . . .	18 W. R., 443 . . .	3118
Lakshmaji <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 289 . . .	1972
Lakshmakka <i>v.</i> Bali . . .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500 . . .	4052, 6014
Lakshamma <i>v.</i> Venkataragava Chariar . . .	4 Mad., 89 . . .	3500, 3525
Lakshman. In the matter of the application of . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 472 . . .	5242
Lakshmana <i>v.</i> Kullamma . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 99 . . .	4521
_____ <i>v.</i> Najimudin . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 145 . . .	433
_____ <i>v.</i> Sukiya Bai . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 400 . . .	5960
Lakshmana Chetti <i>v.</i> Narasimhasami . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 167 . . .	2709
Lakshmanaiyan <i>v.</i> Sivasamy Row . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 167 . . .	1915
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	4 Mad., 2.6 . . .	3344
Lakshmanammal <i>v.</i> Tiruvengada Mudali . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 241 . . .	2301
Lakshmanan Chetti <i>v.</i> Karuppan Chetti . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 273 . . .	1998, 4039
_____ <i>v.</i> Kolandaivelu Kudumban . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 311 . . .	3001, 3593
Lakshmana Rau <i>v.</i> Lakshmi Ammal . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 160 . . .	2137, 2138
Lakshman Bhatkar <i>v.</i> Babaji Bhatkar . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31 . . .	2205
Lakshman Bhiseji Sirsekar <i>v.</i> Hari Dinkar Desai . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 584 . . .	2797, 6084
Lakshman Dada Naik <i>v.</i> Ram Chandra Dada Naik . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561 . . .	4022, 6099
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561 . . .	1752, 2471
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 48: L. R., 7 } I. A., 181: 7 C. L. R., 320. }	2534
Lakshmandas <i>v.</i> Ganpatrav . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 365 . . .	2388, 2532
Lakshmandas Bhagatramji <i>v.</i> Manohar Ganesh Tambekar . . .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 149 . . .	3366, 5000
Lakshmandas Sarupchand <i>v.</i> Dasrat . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168 . . .	5225
Lakshman Mayaram <i>v.</i> Jamnabai . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 225 . . .	4564
Lakshman Ramchandra <i>v.</i> Sarasvatibai . . .	12 Bom., 69 . . .	3544, 3545
_____ <i>v.</i> Satyabhamabai . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 494 . . .	4841, 5402
Lakshman Shivaji <i>v.</i> Rama Esu . . .	8 Bom., A. C., 17 . . .	6140, 6142
		2371
		2430
		2432
		179, 1241

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lakshmappa v. Ramava	12 Bom., 364	2109, 2127 2129, 2131 2135, 2148
Lakshmi v. Ananta Shanbaga	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 230	3140, 3155
— v. Chendri	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 72	5596
— v. Dada Nanaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 210	2310
— v. Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 424	5478
— v. Sri Devi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 1	3455, 3466
Lakshmi Ammal v. Sashadry Aiyangar	4 Mad., 275	3530
— v. Tikaram Tovaji	1 Mad., 240	4429, 5011
Lakshmibai v. Balkrishna	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 654	4291
— v. Ganesh Antaji	4 Bom., A. C., 129	688
— v. Ganpat Moroba	4 Bom., O. C., 150	2539
— v.	5 Bom., O. C., 128	1700, 2303
— v. Hari bin Ravji	9 Bom., 1	4418, 6098
— v. Jayram Hari	6 Bom., A. C., 152	2314
— v. Shridhar Vasudev Takle	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 1	2275, 3859
— v. Vithal Ramchandra	9 Bom., 53	3390
Lakshmibai kom Sanshedappa v. Rudrappa bin Gangappa	2 Bom., 2nd Ed., 382	680
Lakshmichand v. Arjuna	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 77	1521
Lakshmi Chelliah Garu v. Srikrishna Bhupati Devu } Maharaz Garu (Zemindar of Madugula).	7 Mad., 6	3902, 3976
Lakshmi Narasimhalu v. Chartrazu Jagannadham } Pantalu alias Srinivasa Rau	3 Mad., 287	3825
Lakshmi Narayana v. Ramapa Chakkira	1 Mad., 70	2944
Lakshmi Narayana Aiyar v. Suppara Gaundan	2 Mad., 321	303
Lakshmi Shankar v. Vajjanath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 24	2544
Lakshuman Ramji v. Ramlal valad Mahipata	6 Bom., A. C., 66	3430
Lakshuman Sakharam v. Ranu bin Sidoji	6 Bom., A. C., 51	3173
Lala v. Hira Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 49	1341, 1345 2870
Lala Banshidhar v. Government of Bengal	9 B. L. R., 364 : 14 Moore's } I. A., 86 : 16 W. R., P. C., 11. }	630, 4651
Lala Biswambhar Lal v. Rajaram	3 B. L. R., Ap., 67 : 13 W. } R., 337, note : S. C. 16 W. } R., 140, note : 21 W. R., }	1165
Lala Chatranarayan v. Uba Kunwari	1 B. L. R., A. C., 201	2207
Lala Dilawar Sahai v. Dewan Bolakiram	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 258	3950
Lala Doul Narain v. Runjit Singh	1 C. L. R., 256	3893
Lala Gandar Lal v. Habibannissa	7 B. L. R., 235 : 15 W. R., 311	3243
Lala Gobind Prasad v. Doulat Batti	6 B. L. R., Ap., 85 : 14 W. R., 451	2434
Lala Gopee Chand v. Liakut Hossein	25 W. R., 211	54
Lala Himmat Sahai Singh v. Blewhellen	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 486	1829
Lala Huree Sunkur Sahoy v. Krishna Kant Dutt	25 W. R., 106	3494
Lala Iswari Prasad v. Bir Bhanjan Tewari	8 B. L. R., 315 : 15 W. R., F. } B., 9. }	169
Lala Jagat Narayan v. Tulsiram	1 B. L. R., A. C., 71	437, 758
Lala Joti Lal v. Durani Kower	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67 : W. } R., F. B., 173. }	2312
Lala Jugdeo Sahai v. Brij Behari Lal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505	6033, 6038
Lala Kali Prosad v. Buli Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 789 : 3 C. } L. R., 396. }	3551
Lala Manohur Dass v. Kishen Dyal	3 N. W., 175	4245
Lala Mobaruk Lal v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 200	5365
Lala Prag Dutt v. Bandi Hossein	7 B. L. R., 42	3713
Lala Prasadi Lal v. Lala Ambika Prasad	3 B. L. R., Ap., 25	5581

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lala Ramdhari Lal v. Janessar Das	6 B. L. R., Ap., 14	3887
Lala Ranglal v. Deonarayan Tewary	6 B. L. R., 69: 14 W. R., 201	1736, 2781
Lala Rudra Prasad v. Binode Ram Sen	3 B. L. R., A. C., 71, note : } 10 W. R., 321. . . . }	4131
Lala Sham Soondur Lal v. Sooraj Lal	26 W. R., 48	4646, 4653
Lala Tilakdhari Lal v. Furlong	2 B. L. R., A. C., 230	3918
Lal Bahadur Singh v. Durga Singh	1. L. R., 3 All., 437	2081, 3850
Lal Bahadoor Singh v. Solano	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 45: 12 C. } L. R., 539. . . . }	5191
Lalbehari Dutt v. Srinath Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 73, note	4131
Lalbhair Lakhmidas v. Kamaludin Husen Khan	12 Bom., 247	302, 2946 4677, 4774
Lalbhair Vallabhbhai v. Kavasji Nanabhai	8 Bom., O. C., 209	2017, 4323
Lalchand Ambai Das v. Sakharan	5 Bom., A. C., 139	3256, 3403
Laldas v. Nekunjo Bhaishiani	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 374	1340, 3743
Laldas Ramdas v. Kashiram	4 Bom., A. C., 60	1846, 4199
Lali Konwar v. Carter	25 W. R., 492	2983
Lalit Mohun Shaha v. Srinibas Sen	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 331	5325
Lalit Panday v. Sridhar Deo Narain	5 B. L. R., 176: 13 W. R., 457. . . .	2206
Laljee v. Sadit Hossein	4 N. W., 99	5456
Laljee Lall v. Hardey Narain	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 105: 11 C. L. R., 125. . . .	2820
Laljee Sahoo v. Roghoonundun Lall Sahoo	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 447	3199, 3319
Laljee Sahoy v. Fakeer Chand	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 135 7 C. L. R., 97. . . .	2174
Laljee Singh v. Bunwary Lall Roy	25 W. R., 448	6103
Laljeet Singh v. Rajcoomar Singh	12 B. L. R., 372: 20 W. R., 337. . . .	2468
Lalji v. Nuran	1. L. R., 5 All., 103	2862, 5199
Lalji Mal v. Hulasi	1. L. R., 3 All., 660	4875
Lalji Ratanji v. Gangaram Tuljaram	2 Bom., 184: 2nd Ed., 176	4858, 6113
Lalji Sahu v. Collector of Tirhoot	6 B. L. R., 648: 15 W. R., P. C., 23. . . .	990, 4654
Lalji Singh v. Akram Sen	3 B. L. R., A. C. 235: 12 W. R., 47. . . .	303, 5823
Lalji Ukheda v. Jowba Dowba	8 Bom., A. C., 94	5255
Lal Kower v. Jaikaran Lal	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67: W. R., F. B., 173. . . .	2312
Lalla Bancee Pershad v. Lalla Dabee Pershad	24 W. R., 435	324
Lalla Beharee Lall v. Lalla Modho Persaud	6 W. R., 69	2359, 3261
Lalla Bhagun Pershad v. Holloway	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 393	799
Lalla Bhoop Narain v. Abassee Begum	23 W. R., 458	4527
Lalla Bhooyo Lall v. Lalla Mokoond Lal	2 W. R., 275	4911
Lalla Bishen Dyal Singh v. Ram Sunkur Tewaree	6 W. R., Mis., 38	3493, 3514
Lalla Bissen Pershad v. Collector of Hazareebagh	13 W. R., 397	2856, 5270
Lalla Bissessur Dyal v. Doolar Chand Sahoo	22 W. R., 181	690
Lalla Boodhmul v. Lalla Gowree Sunkur	4 W. R., 71	79, 2080
Lalla Bunseedhur v. Bindeseree Dutt Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 454	2083, 2711 4659
Lalla Byjnath Pershad v. Bissen Beharee Sahoy Singh	19 W. R., 80	2201
Lalla Chedi Lal v. Ramdhuni Gope	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 57	3807
Lalla Chooneelal Nagindas v. Sawaechund Namedas	5 W. R., P. C., 111	6151
Lalla Chuttur Narain v. Wooma Koonwaree	8 W. R., 273	2207
Lalla Dabee Pershad v. Beharee Lall	3 Agra, 33	3969, 4400
_____ v. Santo Pershad	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 505	4524
Lalla Debeeden v. Sheo Ghoolam Singh	2 N. W., 206	328

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lalla Gobind Suhaye v. Munohur Misser	1 W. R., 65	3334
Lalla Gooman Singh v. Grant	11 W. R., 292	2040
Lalla Gooroo Sahaye Singh v. Bromo Deonarain	W. R., 1864, Act X, 105	1785
Lalla Gopee Chand v. Liakut Hossein	25 W. R., 211	54, 4815
Lalla Gopee Chund. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 128	277
Lalla Gunput Lal v. Toorun Koonwar	16 W. R., 52	2199
Lalla Heera Lal v. Gouree Byjnath Pershad	4 W. R., 43	5791
Lalla Heera Lal v. Monee Roy	11 W. R., 202	1869
Lallah Jha v. Tullebmatool Zuhra	21 W. R., 436	5762
Lallah Mahtab Roy v. Debee Dutt Singh	25 W. R., 204	6111
Lalla Huree Lal v. Kooldeep Singh	19 W. R., 144	2021
Lalla Imrit Lal v. Mahomed Lallzama	18 W. R., 447	5764
Lalla Indurjeet Lal alias Gujadhur Pershad v. Jumona	5 W. R., 248	6117
Lalla Isree Pershad v. Stuart	W. R., 1864, Act X, 28	4931
Lalla Johahir Lal Pandey v. Court of Wards	17 W. R., 214	5789
Lalla Joynath Sahee Deo v. Lutchun Christian	16 W. R., 158	4172
Lalla Jugdeo Sahoy v. Digambur Roy	22 W. R., 304, note	135
Lalla Juggeshur Sahoy v. Gopal Lal	15 W. R., 54	289, 2774
Lalla Jugseb Sahoy v. Kassee Nath Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 121	877
Lalla Joogul Kishore Lal v. Bhukha Chowdhry	9 W. R., 244	5394
Lalla Kalee Sahoy v. Lalla Kumla Sahoy	24 W. R., 351	2351
Lalla Kundee Lal v. Lalla Kalee Pershad	22 W. R., 307	2489
Lalla Mewa Lal v. Mahato	25 W. R., 152	2762
Lalla Mitterjeet Singh v. Rajcoomar Sircar	18 W. R., Cr., 22	4101
. v. Scott	17 W. R., 62	3910
Lalla Mohabeer Pershad v. Kundun Koowar	8 W. R., 116	2283, 2448
Lalla Mohadeo Dyal Singh v. Chundee Pershad	25 W. R., 57	1736
Lalla Nukched Lal v. Futteh Bahadoor Lal	24 W. R., 39	3036
Lalla Narain Doss v. Estate of Ex-King of Delhi	11 Moore's I. A., 277	2712, 3112
Lalla Nowbut Lal v. Lalla Jewan Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 831 : 2 C. I. R., 319.	3713
Lalla Ojhee Lal v. Lootf Ali Khan	2 W. R., Mis. 33	209
Lalla Oudh Beharee Lalla v. Mewa Koonwar	3 Agra, 82	1149, 1150
Lalla Poorohit Lal v. Sabeerun	7 W. R., 368	1677
Lalla Puriag Dutt v. Bunde Hossein	15 W. R., 225	3713
Lalla Ram Sahoy v. Dodraj Matho	20 W. R., 395	573
Lalla Ram Sahoy Lal v. Chowbain	22 W. R., 287	3412
Lalla Ram Suhay Singh v. Lalla Oojoodhya Pershad	5 W. R., 233	4136
Lalla Ram Surun Lal v. Lokebas Koor	18 W. R., 39	880, 5380
Lalla Roghoobuns Sahoy v. Asloo	20 W. R., 294	1628
Lalla Rooder Pershad v. Hurpershad Doss	23 W. R., 194	3107
Lalla Sabil Chand v. Goodur Khan	22 W. R., 187	5319, 6032
Lalla Seeta Ram v. Ram Buksh Thakoar	24 W. R., 383	5404
Lalla Seetul Pershad v. Chand Khan	2 N. W., 428	2077
Lalla Sham Soondur v. Sooraj Lal	13 W. R., 441	564
Lalla Sheebllal v. Ghulam Nubbee	Marsh., 255 : 2 Hay, 23	4209
Lalla Sheo Narain v. Oodhun Singh	1 N. W., 180 : Ed. 1873, 258	1658
Lalla Sheoparsad v. Juggernath	L. R., 10 I. A., 74	145
Lalla Shao Sahay Singh v. Kummorunissa Begum	2 W. R., Act X, 68	2691
Lalla Shoobh Narain v. Nursingh Narain	20 W. R., 148	4903
Lalla Singh v. Reazonnissa	8 W. R., 271	1634
Lalla Sooklall Sing v. Bussoodhun	W. R., 1864, 347	318
Lalla Sreedhur Narain v. Lalla Modho Pershad	8 W. R., 294	2356
Lalla Sreepershad v. Akoonjoo Koonwar	7 W. R., 488	2444, 2449
Lalla Teeluckdaree Lal v. Court of Wards	11 W. R., 149	3918
Lalla Thakoar Sahoy v. Mahomed Lootfoollah	18 W. R., 504	4852

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lallah Barroomul v. Official Liquidator	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 704: 3 C. L. } R., 581.	946
Lallah Bhugwan Doss v. Akbar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 390	1196, 1407
Lallah Bunseedhur v. Bindeseree Dutt Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 165	2085
Lallah Gopeenath v. Jeetun Koer	6 W. R., 57	3743
Lallah Gour Narain v. Karron Lall Thakoor	Marsh., 363: 2 Hay, 447	2985
Lallah Mohabeer Pershad v. Kundur Koonwar	{ 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 312: 8 W. } R., 116.	2283, 2448
Lallah Ramesshur Singh v. Lallah Bissen Doyal	{ I. L. R., 1 Calc., 406: 25 W. } R., 150.	1353
Lall Beharee Awustee v. Bholanath Dey Chakladar	23 W. R., 49	1082
Lall Beharee Lall v. Gopee Beebee	18 W. R., 285	6246
Lall Chand v. Kudmoo Koonwar	7 W. R., 532	248
Lall Chand Ramdayal v. Gumtibai	8 Bom., O. C., 140	132, 3087
Lall Chand Roy. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 37	1257
_____ v. Brindabun Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 226	6245
Lall Dharee Roy v. Brojo Lall Singh	10 W. R., 401	2034
Lall Dhur Rae v. Gunput Rae	{ 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 22: Ed. } 1873, 81.	3995
Lall Dokul Singh v. Lall Rooder Purtab Singh	5 W. R., P. C., 95	3125
Lall Doss v. Jamal Ali	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 901: 9 } W. R., 187.	3165, 3333
Lallee Singh v. Amrit Koorer	17 W. R., 490	3441
Lall Gobindyal v. Burzin	2 Hay, 111	4456
Lall Gopalnath Sahee Deo v. Pudum Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 44	220
Lalljee Sahoo v. Bhugwan Doss	8 W. R., 337	3175
_____ v. Rajender Pertab Sahee	14 W. R., 418	535, 3008
Lalljeet Singh v. Raj Coomar	25 W. R., 353	3014
Lall Jha v. Negroo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 717	3558
Lall Lutchmee Pershaud v. Bhloodhun Sing	Marsh., 474	4307
Lall Mahomed v. Buzlool Hossein	17 W. R., 562	4787, 4791
_____ v. Dhoolee Ram Dass	22 W. R., 377	3076
_____ v. Furrutoonissa	15 W. R., 312	674
_____ v. Gibbon	22 W. R., Cr., 74	6276
_____ v. Lall Brij Kishore	17 W. R., 430	2023
_____ v. Peer Nuzur	18 W. R., 112	4736
_____ v. Shona Jolla Ghazee	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3	3385, 3446
Lall Mahomed Biswas v. Watson	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 3: 4 W. } R., 62.	3667
Lall Miah v. Nazir Khalashi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 696	326, 333
Lall Mohun Mullick v. Peary Chand Mittra	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 383	1489
Lall Monee Dossee v. Joynarain Shaha	11 W. R., 508	521, 1375
Lallmun v. Manick Chund	1 Agra, 133	4106
Lall Munee v. Pyagdut Doobey	1 N. W., 137: Ed. 1873, 220	5283, 5639
Lall Nath Misser v. Sheoburn Pandey	20 W. R., 92	4579
Lall Singh v. Modhoosudun Roy	8 W. R., 426	5119
_____ v. Zahuria	6 N. W., 222	1098
Lall Soonder Doss v. Hurry Kissen Doss	{ Marsh., 113: 1 Hay, 339: 1 } Ind. Jur., O. S., 532	5100
Lallubhai v. Naran	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 719	1736, 4174
Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Cassibai	{ I. L. R., 5 Bom., 110: 7 C. } L. R., 445: L. R., 7 I. A., 212.	5729
		880, 2479
		3380
		2315

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Mankuvarbai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388	1944, 2315 2534, 2538
Lallu Ganesh v. Ranchhod Kahandas	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 641	3167
Lallu Haribhai v. Ranchhod Jamnadas	10 Bom., 95	5710
Lallunmonee Dossee v. Nobin Mohun Singh	25 W. R., 32	2615
Lal Mahomed v. Kallanus	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 519	1101, 2847
Lal Mahomed Bepari v. Shoila Bewa	11 C. L. R., 104	1682
Lal Mahomed Biswas v. Watson	4 W. R., 62 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 3.	287
Lalman v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 19	521, 1375
Lal Mohun Holdar v. Mahadeb Katee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 909 : 9 W. R., 193.	3022
Lalmoney Dossee v. Juddoonauth Shaw	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 319	3288.
Laloo v. Adam Sircar	17 W. R., Cr., 37	2799, 2827
Laloo Sirkar v. Jogut Kishore Acharjea	13 C. L. R., 203	4097, 4435
Lal Sahoo v. Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 781 : 2 C. L. R., 294.	5166
Lal Seetla Bux v. Jankee Koer	L. R., 5 I. A., 1 : 1 C. L. R., 318.	3808
Lal Shunkur Bux v. Jankee Koer	L. R., 5 I. A., 1 : 1 C. L. R., 318.	5179
Lal Singh v. Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 279	5504
— v. Kunjan	I. L. R., 4 All., 387	5504
Lalti Kuar v. Ganga Bishan	7 N. W., 261	2187
Lalubhai Surchand v. Bai Amrit	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299	198
Lalu Mulji Thakar v. Kashibai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 400	2422
Lalun v. Hemraj Singh	20 W. R., 76	6142
Lalun Mani v. Behari Lal Mookerjee	7 B. L. R., Ap., 30	1497, 1892
Lalunmonee v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	23 W. R., 61	3552
Lalun Monee v. Sona Monee Dabee	22 W. R., 334	4169
Lamb v. Bejoy Kishen Dass	8 Moore's I. A., 427	2709
— v. Dewan Puddum Lochun	Marsh., 96 : W. R., F. B., 28 : 1 Hay, 168.	1581, 1586
Lamoureux v. Eville	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 274	2996
Land Acquisition Act, 1870. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 230 : 17 W. R., 221.	2978, 3869
Land Mortgage Bank of India. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 103	5463
— v. Amedbhoy Hubibbhoy	6 B. L. R., Ap., 140	5008
— v. Bidyadhari Dasi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 35	1371
— v. Elmes	7 C. L. R., 460	2949
— v. Judoo Nath Ghuttuck	25 W. R., 332	2949
— v. Mehtus	25 W. R., 175	129
— v. Moti	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96	2614
— v. Munsur Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 64	3640
— v. Neeloo Bhutto	1 C. L. R., 239	469
— v. Radha Krishna Dutt	21 W. R., 125	545
— v. Ram Ruttun Neogy	25 W. R., 323	5863
— v. Roy Luchmiput Singh	21 W. R., 270	6187
— v. Vishnu Govind Patankar	8 C. L. R., 447	1763
Langlois v. Rattray	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 670	4859
Laraiti v. Ram Dial	3 C. L. R., 1	2715
	I. L. R., 5 All., 224	4347
		3640
		5381
		54
		3741, 4972

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Larlee v. Bunsee Ditchit	3 N. W., 70	3742
Larrymore v. Pernendoo Deo Rai	14 W. R., Cr., 32	4371
Lasmani Debia v. Mahommed Hafezulla	3 B. L. R., Ap., 96	5741
Latapie. In re	Cor., 4	2663
Latchmana Rau Saib v. Rogunatha Rau	6 Mad., 299	775
Latchman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shye	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 513 : 7 C. } L. R., 521. }	1836, 3518
Latham v. Hurruckchand Sooratram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 314	2683
Lati Kooer v. Sobadra Kooer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 720 : 2 C. L. R., 75.	3553, 3831 5437
Latoo Mundle v. Bhoobun Mohun Chatterjee	17 W. R., 361	2742
Latore Mistree v. Agamuddee Nushyo	14 W. R., 482	6245
Lauder v. Eastern Bengal Railway Co.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 320	1052
Lavergne v. Hooper	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149	1718, 6013
Lawless v. Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627	3196, 4598
Lazar v. Colla Ragava Chetty	6 W. R., P. C., 126 : 2 } Moore's I. A., 80. }	5377
Leake v. Daniel	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 970 : 10 W. R., F. B., 10.	1883
— v. —	10 W. R., 337	3517
Leckie. In the goods of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 8	3088
— v. Joygobindonath Roy	7 C. L. R., 114	1210
Ledgard v. Bull	L. R., 13 I. A., 134 : I. L. R., 9 All., 191.	294, 2799 4335, 6018
Ledlie v. Bhadoo Poramanick	11 W. R., 558	1376
— v. Doorga Monee Dossee	21 W. R., 410	5636
— v. Gopal Mundul	17 W. R., 91	1036
Lee Chengalroya Naicker. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	3093
Leeladhur v. Bhugwunt	3 N. W., 39	5997
Leelanund Singh. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 273	4502, 4503
— In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 168	4407
— v. Basheeroonissa	16 W. R., 102	3419, 4229
— v. Court of Wards	14 W. R., 387	1206, 1211
— v. Doorgabutty	W. R., 1864, 249	2039
— v. Government of Bengal	4 W., R. P. C., 77 : 6 } Moore's I. A., 101. }	2035
— v. Ishuree Nundun Dutt Jha	1 W. R., P. C., 20 : 9 Moore's I. A., 479.	5794
— v. Lakhputtee Thakoorani	8 W. R., 316	5108
— v. Lakchmissar Singh	22 W. R., 231	1758, 1761
— v. Luchmunur Singh	14 W. R., P. C., 23 : 13 } Moore's I. A., 490. }	274, 3826
— v. Luckimpur Singh Bahadur	10 C. L. R., 169	4128
— v. Mohendro Narain Singh	5 B. L. R., 605	274
— v. Moheshur Singh	13 W. R., P. C., 7 : 13 } Moore's I. A., 57. }	4128, 5985
— v. Monorunjun Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 19 : 10 } Moore's I. A., 81. }	647, 4128 4395
— v. Munrunjun Singh	5 W. R., 101	2033, 3068
— v. Nirput Mahtoon	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 251	2037
— v. Nusseeb Singh	17 W. R., 306	96
— v. Ram Narain Singh	6 W. R., 80	2034
— v. Sarwan Singh	15 W. R., 415	2694, 2707
Legal Remembrancer. In the matter of the petition of	5 W. R., 292	2034
— v. Radhoo Churn Ash	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491	6029
LeGeyt v. Harvey	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5564
	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 501	1525

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lein Tu v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 10	723
Lekhamani v. Ranga Krishna Mutta Vira Puchaya } Naikar.	6 Mad., 208	6071
Lekhraj v. Paleeram	1 N. W., 162 : Ed. 1873, 241	1047, 6244
	2 N. W., 210	911, 1811
		2679
Lekhraj Kooer v. Sirdar Dyal Singh	25 W. R., 497	2462
Lekhraj Roy v. Buckland	5 W. R., Act X, 65	196
_____ v. Court of Wards	14 W. R., 395	4473, 5984
_____ v. Kanhya Singh	14 W. R., 262	3077
_____ v. _____	17 W. R., 485	3066
_____ v. _____	18 W. R., 494	272, 5145
	L. R., 1 I. A., 317	272
_____ v. Kunhya Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 210 : L. R., } 4 I. A., 223.	2054
_____ v. Mahtab Chand	21 W. R., 147	2696
_____ v. Motee Madhub Sein	15 W. R., 333	1708
_____ v. Muttu Madhub Sen	14 W. R., 95	900, 4201
		4676
Lekhraj Singh v. Dulhma Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 302	363
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744 : 6 C. } L. R., 593 : L. R., 7 I. A., } 63.	1841
Lekraj Roy v. Becharam Misser	7 W. R., 52	1919
_____ v. Kanhya Singh	L. R., 1 I. A., 317	272
_____ v. Mahtab Chund	10 B. L. R., 35 : 14 Moore's } I. A., 393 : 17 W. R., 117. }	2090, 4654
Lelanund Singh v. Luchmunur Singh	10 C. L. R., 169	4128
Lelim Tewaree v. Govindgeer Gossain	Marsh., 64 : 1 Hay, 132	2802
Leman v. Damodaraya	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158	3601, 5877
Leslie. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 171	2826
_____ v. Allender	10 B. L. R., 68 : 18 W. R., 474	5926
_____ v. Inglis	17 W. R., 390	298
_____ v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	1 Hyde, 67	3084
_____ v. _____	17 W. R., 160	1934
	18 W. R., 269	2826
_____ v. Panchanan Mitter	6 B. L. R., 668 : 15 W. R., } O. C., 1.	3350, 4681
Lethbridge v. Prohlad Sen	19 W. R., 301	1870, 3519
Lewin v. Morrison	2 Agra, Pt. II, 151	1199, 1371
Lewis. In re	6 Bom., O. C., 42	3812
Likhun Pathuk v. Roop Lal	3 N. W., 48	4931
Lila Morji v. Vasudev Moreshvar Ganpule	11 Bom., 283	2409, 3978
Lilanand Singh v. Government	2 B. L. R., A. C., 114	2037
_____ v. Lukhimpur Sing	5 B. L. R., 605	274, 3826
_____ v. Munorunjun Singh	13 B. L. R., 124 : L. R., I. A., } Sup. Vol., 181.	2037, 3068
		4456, 4464
Lillu v. Annaji Parashram	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 387	4499
Linda Koya. In re. The petition of	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 225	604
Lindsay. In re	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 121	4507
Linga Gaundan v. Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Lingammal v. Chinna Venkatammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 227	4276, 5965
	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 239	4045, 4414
Liquidators of Indian Peninsular, London, and China } Bank v. Scott.	5 Bom., O. C., 167	2626
Llewellyn v. Chunni Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 423	2812

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lloyd v. Sogra	25 W. R., 313	1164
Loburi Domini v. Assam Railway and Trading Co.	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 915	2767
Lochun Chowdhry v. Anup Singh	8 C. L. R., 426	4170
Lochun Mundul v. Wuzeer Puramanick	13 W. R., 464	773
Lochun Singh v. Het Narain Singh	24 W. R., 232	325, 4898
_____ v. Nemdharee Singh	20 W. R., 170	2152, 2462
Lodai Mollah v. Kally Dass Roy	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 238 : 10 C. L. R., 581.	4268
Lodhi Singh v. Ishri Singh	1. L. R., 6 All., 295	5087, 5890
Logan v. Kunji	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 110	5692
Loganada Mudali v. Ramasvami	1 Mad., 384	2194
Login v. Princess Victoria Gourama of Coorg	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 109	2281
Lokenath Ghose v. Jugobundhoo Roy	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 297	6033
Lokenath Gossamee v. Monmohun Gossamee	20 W. R., 293	5282
Lokenath Kar. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 2	6066
Lokenath Mullick v. Odooy Churn Mullick	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 644	130
Lokenath Roy v. Collector of Beerbhoom	W. R., 1864, 338	353
Lokenath Sahoo v. Tukeer Singh	Marsh., 630	193
Loke Nath Sircar v. Queen-Empress	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 349	5557
Lokenath Surma v. Keshab Ram Doss	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 147	1425, 4038
_____ v. Ooma Moyee Debee	1 W. R., 107	2355
Lokessur Koer v. Purgun Roy	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 418	4466, 6122
Lokhee Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Kally Puddo Bando-padhya.	23 W. R., 365 : L. R., 2 I. A., 154.	486, 1713
Loki Mahto v. Aghoree Ajail Lall	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 144 : 4 C. L. R., 465.	2397, 4918
Loknarain Singh v. Myna Koer	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 191 : 7 W. R., 199.	3261
Lolit Coomar Bose v. Ishan Chunder Chuckerbutty	10 C. L. R., 258	813
Lolitta Dossia v. Ruttun Mollee Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 208	1752
Loll Jha v. Bhugmunt Narain Jha	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 104	1675
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Badee Beebee.	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 42	2816
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Bhanji Zutani.	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 116	6097
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Burjorji Sorabji Lywalla.	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 346	944, 2673 6225
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Dada-bhoy Cursetji Maju.	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 582	948
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Govind Ramchandra.	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 223	947
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Hormasji Pestanji Framji.	8 Bom., O. C., 200	944, 2673
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Mahomed Ibrahim Parkar.	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 619	1207, 5589 6235
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Pestanji Dhunjibhoy.	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Longbottom v. Satoor	1 Mad., 429	6206
Loolochuna Dayee v. Durp Narain Bose	12 W. R., 95	516
Looloo Singh v. Rajendur Laha	8 W. R., 364	2084, 4033 6239
Lootf Ali v. Abou Bibee	15 W. R., 203	3495, 5582
_____ v. Budrool Huq	21 W. R., 119	1501
_____ v. Shib Dyal Singh	8 W. R., 512	3005
Lootf Ali Meah v. Pearee Mohun Roy	16 W. R., 223	1718
Lootf Hossein v. Dursun Lall Sahoo	23 W. R., 424	2086

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6539

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lootfulhuck v. Gopee Chunder Mejoomdar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 941 : 6 C. L. R., 402	1185
Lootfur Ali Khan v. Sadda Brut Pershad	W. R., 1864, Mis., 35	187
Loot Narain Singh v. Showkee Lall	2 C. L. R., 382	6158
Looyee Dhur Atto v. Prosunno Moyee Dossee	20 W. R., 267	5753
Lopes v. Lopes	5 Bom., O. C., 172	1579, 6042
Lopez v. Driberg	W. R., 1864, Act X, 67	4677
—— v. Lopez	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 706	1552, 3786 5099
—— v. Maddan Thakoor	5 B. L. R., 521 : 13 Moore's I. A., 467 : 14 W. R., P. C., 11.	43, 5506
—— v. Muddun Mohun Thakoor	13 Moore's I. A., 467 : 14 W. R., P. C., 11 : 5 B. L. R., 521.	43, 5506
Lopotee Domnee v. Tikha Moodai	8 W. R., Cr., 67	3603, 3738
Lotf Ali Khan v. Afzuloonissa Begum	3 W. R., 113	3293, 5213
—— v. Court of Wards	6 W. R., Mis., 127	5115
—— v. Kashee Dyal	1 W. R., 6	5347
Lotf Aly v. Gujraj Thakoor	11 W. R., 408	3978
Lotfoollah v. Nus-eebun	10 W. R., 24	1460, 1777
Lotlikar v. Lotlikar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 643	427
—— v. Wagle	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 596	2255
Loughnan v. Joosub Bhulladina	5 Moore's I. A., 137	2832
Louis. In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 14	6026
Love. In re	9 B. L. R., Ap., 35 : 18 W. R., Cr., 44.	1152
—— v. Ainsworth	22 W. R., 336	956
Lowa Jha v. Bisseshur Singh	11 W. R., 6	300, 322
Lucas v. Stephen	9 W. R., 301	1487, 5119 5135
Lucheeram v. Mahaniram	1 Agra, 10	4902
Luchi Behara v. Nityanund Doss	19 W. R., Cr., 55	972
Luchman Bharti v. Dukharan Bharti	6 C. L. R., 138	4663
Luchman Lall v. Ram Lall	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 521 : 8 C. L. R., 115.	1131
Luchmee Buksh Roy v. Runjeet Ram Panday	13 B. L. R., 177 : 20 W. R., 375.	3118, 3213 4592
Luchmee Chund. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924, 4591
—— v. Bengal Coal Company	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924, 4591
—— v. Zorawur Mull	6 Moore's I. A., 204	4644, 4645
Luchmee Chund Radhakishen v. Zorawar Mull	1 W. R., P. C., 35 : 8 Moore's I. A., 291.	2820
Luchmeedhur Pattuck v. Rughoobur Singh	24 W. R., 284	333, 1742 6108
Luchmeedhur Singh v. Ekbal Ali	8 W. R., 75	2154
Luchmee Kant Ghose v. Bamun Dass Mookerjee	17 W. R., 472	1892, 3457
Luchmee Koer alias Bhngobutty Koer v. Futteh Singh	24 W. R., 400	476
Luchmee Koonwar v. Bhugwan Doss	6 W. R., Mis., 116	80
Luchmee Narain v. Bhairow Pershad	1 Agra, Mis., 4	2648
—— v. Bhairow Pershad	1 Agra, Mis., 5	209
—— v. Bheemul Doss	8 W. R., 500	3727
—— v. Bhyroo Pershad	1 Agra, Mis., 11	5468
—— v. Choomun Meah	14 W. R., 184	3318
· v. Pyle	2 N. W., 150	354, 357 368
· v. Shudasheo Singh	5 W. R., Mis., 12	2708, 3204

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luchmee Narain Sahee v. Kashukee Dutt Jha .	8 W. R., 107 . . .	4527
Luchmee Narain Shaha v. Jutadharee Holdar .	7 W. R., 89 . . .	3427
Luchmee Narain Shaha <i>alias</i> Gopeenath Shaha Koochil Kant Roy.	6 W. R., Act X, 46 . .	1604
Luchmee Narain Singh v. Gibbon . . .	14 W. R., 197 . . .	2326
Luchmeenath Singh v. Madho Dass Sahoo . .	2 N. W., 70 . . .	1496
Luchmee Persad v. Ramgolam Singh . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 30 . .	1604
Luchmee Pershad v. Fuzeelutoonissa Bibee .	7 W. R., 367 . . .	4574
Luchmee Pershad Doobey v. Kallasoo . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 620: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 89: 7 W. R., 175.	2751
Luchmee Pershad Narain Singh v. Tiluckdharee Singh .	24 W. R., 295 . . .	3130, 3135 3231
Luchmeeput v. Lekraj Roy	8 W. R., 415 . . .	450, 5453 5460
Luchmeeput Dokur v. Jugutinder Bunwary Lall .	Marsh., 195: 1 Hay, 459	1884
Luchmeeput Doogur v. Jugut Indur Tewaree .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 5 .	3775
Luchmeeput Doss v. Enact Ali	22 W. R., 346 . . .	2975, 6107
Luchmeeput Singh. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 17 . . .	4095
_____ v. Adoyto Churn Mullick	24 W. R., 452 . . .	805, 5451
_____ v. Jowahur Ali	18 W. R., 497 . . .	1912
_____ v. Jungulee Kullyan Doss	9 W. R., 147 . . .	1612, 1752
_____ v. Khoobunissa	14 W. R., 280 . . .	878
_____ v. Moshuruff Ali	25 W. R., 80 . . .	303
_____ v. Nund Coomar Goopto	22 W. R., 388 . . .	4943
_____ v. Raj Coomaree Dabea	23 W. R., 275 . . .	546
Luchmeeput Singh Doogur v. Humphrey . . .	14 W. R., 101 . . .	450
_____ v. Mooktakashee Debia	9 W. R., 388 . . .	5451, 5454
_____ v. Woomanath Mundul	10 W. R., 490 . . .	1753
Luchmenauth Doogur v. Haradhun Mookerjee .	2 Hay, 95	1494
Luchmeput Dogare v. Sibnarain Mundle . . .	1 Hyde, 97	5586
Luchmessur Singh v. Leelanund Singh . . .	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 599: 3 C. L. } R., 427.	5249
Luchmeswar Singh v. Lutf Ali Khan	8 B. L. R., 110	629, 2694
Luchmi Dai Koori v. Asman Sing	{ I. L. R., 2 Calc., 213: 25 W. } R., 421.	1461, 2172
Luchmi Dass v. Pallat Lall	23 W. R., Cr., 54 . . .	4509
Luchmi Narain Singh v. Assrup Koer	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 43 . .	3251, 3268
Luchmi Nath v. Maharaja of Vizianagram . .	7 N. W., 310	5416
Luchmiput Singh. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 3 . . .	4103, 4724
_____ In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 43 . . .	3611
_____ v. Amir Alum	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 176: 12 C. } L. R., 22.	3663
_____ v. Lekraj Roy	2 W. R., Mis., 56 . . .	209
_____ v. Secretary of State	11 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 20 W. } R., 11.	2604
_____ v. Sita Nath Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 477: 10 C. } L. R., 517.	204
Luchmissur Singh v. Dakho	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708: 10 C. } L. R., 127.	4787, 4791
_____ v. Runglal	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708: 10 C. } L. R., 127.	4787, 4791
Luchmon Sahai Chowdhry v. Kanchun Ojhain .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 525 . .	3270, 4860
Luchmun v. Saidho	4 N. W., 169	2882
Luchmun Chunder Geer Gossain v. Kalli Churn Singh	19 W. R., 292	1712, 2498
Luchmun Chunder Singh v. Kaleechurn Singh .	12 W. R., 293	276

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luchmun Dass v. Giridhur Chowdhry	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 855 : 6 C. L. R., 473.	2173
Luchmun Dass v. Narayan . . .	3 N. W., 191 . . .	2092, 3850
Luchmun Doss v. Heera Lal . . .	3 N. W., 187 . . .	809
_____ v. Priag Dutt . . .	2 Agra, 305 . . .	4867
Luchmun Koonwar v. Luchmun Bhukut	7 W. R., 79 . . .	3215
Luchmun Lal v. Mohun Lal Bhaya Gayal	16 W. R., 179 . . .	2111, 2223
Luchmun Lal Doobey v. Hursohoy Lal	W. R., 1864, 361 . . .	4905
Luchmun Persad v. Ameer Ali . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 15 . . .	209
Luchmun Persad Singh v. Kishun Persad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 218 : 10 C. L. R., 425.	3543
Luchmun Pershad v. Juggernath Doss . . .	W. R., 1864, 2 . . .	3121
_____ v. Maharanee of Burdwan . . .	17 W. R., 181 . . .	4198
_____ v. Moonnee Koonwer . . .	1 Agra, 220 . . .	2360
_____ v. Nimhoo Pershad . . .	17 W. R., 266 . . .	3191
_____ v. Rumzan Ali . . .	8 W. R., 513 . . .	3204
Luchmun Pershad Gorgo. In the matter of the pe- tition of. _____ v. Luchun Churn Kur . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 22 . . .	2599
Luchmun Ramonooj Doss v. Ramhuree Roy . . .	3 C. L. R., 74 . . .	4965
Luchmun Ram Unooj v. Watson . . .	22 W. R., 67 . . .	5308
Luchmun Sahae Chowdhry v. Seami Jha . . .	W. R., 1864, 146 . . .	184, 289
Luchmun Singh v. Kazim Ali Khan . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 93 . . .	1178
_____ v. Mahomed Hossein . . .	5 W. R., 219 . . .	3158
_____ v. Miriam . . .	1 Agra, Rev., 42 . . .	538
_____ v. Narain . . .	5 W. R., 219 . . .	3158, 3334
_____ v. Shumshere Singh . . .	2 N. W., 185 . . .	3497
_____ v. Tirbani Baksh . . .	L. R., 2 I. A., 58 . . .	5132
Luchmun Suhae Chowdry v. Gujraj Jha . . .	14 B. L. R., 373 . . .	5132
Luchmun Pershad v. Debee Pershad . . .	4 W. R., 45 . . .	3107
Luckeemonee Dossee v. Shunkurree Dossee . . .	1 W. R., 317 . . .	2293
Luckhee Debea Chowdhra v. Gooroodoss Sein . . .	2 W. R., 252 . . .	6242
Luckhee Debia Chowdhra v. Collector of Mymensingh . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 54 . . .	4502
_____ v. Malick . . .	7 W. R., 231 . . .	4471
Luckhee Kant Doss v. Deen Dyal Doss . . .	W. R., 1864, 237 . . .	5748
_____ v. Shib Chunder Chuckerbutty . . .	14 W. R., 82 . . .	3335
Luckhee Kant Dutt v. Jugobundhoo Chuckerbutty . . .	12 W. R., 416 . . .	1137
Luckhee Monee Dossee v. Bhoobun Mohun Bose . . .	11 W. R., 561 . . .	3748
Luckhee Monee Dossia v. Rajkishore Paul . . .	23 W. R., 147 . . .	197
Luckhee Narain Aung Bheem v. Sooruj Monee Pat Mohadaye.	4 W. R., 106 . . .	5767
Luckhee Narain Chuckerbutty v. Ramchand Sircar . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 6 . . .	92
_____ v. Taramonee Dossee . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 63 . . .	3497
Luckhee Narain Roy v. Ram Mohun Doss . . .	3 W. R., 92 . . .	2020
Luckhee Prea Dabea v. Juggodumba Dabea . . .	13 W. R., 151 . . .	2888
Luckhee Prea Debia v. Brindabun Dey . . .	3 W. R., Act X, 8 . . .	539
_____ v. Khyroollah Kazee . . .	12 W. R., 313 . . .	4412, 5326
Luckhee Ram Doss v. Joysunkur Goocho . . .	14 W. R., 367 . . .	3248
Luckhey Money Dossee v. Khetter Coomary Dossee . . .	7 W. R., 236 . . .	4975
Luckhi Narain Mitter v. Khettro Pal Singh Roy . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 117 . . .	4399, 4424
Luckhydass Mustoofee v. Jogeshur Mookerjee . . .	13 B. L. R., 146 : 20 W. R., 380 : 24 W. R., 407, note. } Marsh., 562 : 2 Hay, 667	3184, 3344 4971, 5324 1060
Luckhy Narain v. Kally Puddo Banerjee . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 882 : 4 C. L. R., 60.	3835
Lucky Narain Nagory. In the matter of the petition of Luckmee Ram v. Mahani Ram . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 24 . . .	5158
Luckmidas Khimji v. Mulji Canji . . .	1 Agra, 10 . . .	2814
	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 295 . . .	5717

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luckmidas Vithaldas v. Ebrahim Oosman . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 644 . . .	197, 198
Luckumsey Ookerda v. Fazulla Cassumbhoy . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 177 . . .	4248
Luckumsey Rowji v. Hurbun Nursey . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 580 . . .	1509
Lucky Churn Chowdhry v. Budurrunnissa . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 627 : 12 C. L. R., 484. }	240
Luddun Sahiba. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 736 : 11 C. L. R., 237. }	3647, 3700
_____ v. Kamar Kudar . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 736 : 11 C. L. R., 237. }	3702
Luddy v. Johnson . . .	6 B. L. R., 141 . . .	3647, 3700
Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry v. Sumeeruddi Lusker	13 B. L. R., 243 : 21 W. R., 208. }	3702
Lukhee Kant Sein v. Ramdeyal Doss . . .	Marsh., 281 : 2 Hay, 288 . . .	2816
Lukhee Monee Dossee v. Brojo Bullub Seal . . .	11 W. R., 132 . . .	6110, 6114
_____ v. Taramonee Gooptea . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22 : Marsh., 29 : Hay, 67. }	881
Lukheenarain Mitter v. Seetanath Ghose . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 317 : 6 W. R., Act X, 8. }	3365
Lukhimoni Dasi v. Koruna Kant Moitro . . .	3 C. L. R., 509 . . .	2304
Lukhi Moni Haldar v. Gunga Gobind Mundle . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 126 . . .	5324
Lukh Ram v. Bunsee Dhur . . .	5 N. W. 180 . . .	1783, 1994
Lukhun Chunder v. Modhoo Mookhee Dossee . . .	5 W. R., 278 . . .	4400
Lukhun Singh v. Nuffur Sing . . .	6 W. R., 311 . . .	1618
Lukhykant Bose. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 180 . . .	223
Lukhynarain Chuttopadhya v. Gorachand Gossamy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 116 : 12 C. L. R., 89. }	2342
Lukkea Debea v. Gungagobind Dobey . . .	W. R., 1864, 56 . . .	6106
Lukmidas Khimji v. Purshotam Haridas . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 700 . . .	5943
Luleeta Kooer v. Collector of Tirhoot . . .	19 W. R., 283 . . .	1766, 5749
Luleet Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Sowtra Beebee . . .	10 W. R., 42 . . .	2284
Luleet Narain Deo v. Juggurnath Misser . . .	8 W. R., 476 . . .	4263
Luleet Narain Gossamee v. Keshub Deb Gossamee	15 W. R., 209 . . .	5363
Luleet Narain Singh v. Gopal Singh . . .	9 W. R., 145 . . .	5125, 5132
_____ v. Narain Singh . . .	1 W. R., 333 . . .	1378
Luleet Pandey v. Byjnath Singh . . .	14 W. R., 285 . . .	4955
Luleet Singh v. Ali Reza . . .	8 W. R., 322 . . .	1655, 4317
Lulle v. Ram Pershad . . .	2 Agra, 34 . . .	5986
Lulleet Biswas v. Prosonnomoyee Dossee . . .	10 B. L. R., 353, note . . .	4918
Lullo Singh v. Thakoor Pershad . . .	2 N. W., 249 . . .	2700 3816
Lungessur Kooer v. Sookha Ojha . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 151 . . .	5830
Lungut Mahtoon v. Rameshur Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 54 . . .	313
Lutafut Hossein v. Chowdhry Mahomed Moonem	22 W. R., 269 . . .	1148
Lutchman Sahai Chaodhri v. Rai Jang Bahadur . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 224 : L. R., 8 I. A., 210. }	567
Lutcheemund Sett v. Komulmoney Dossee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 9 . . .	579
Lutchmeeput Dogaree v. Kenaram Sen . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 393 . . .	537
Lutchmeeput Singh v. Sadaulla Nushyo . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 698 : 12 C. L. R., 382. }	4028
Lutchmun v. Jogul Kishore . . .	3 Agra, 99 . . .	3407
Lutchmun Pershad v. Dabee Deen . . .	3 Agra, 264 . . .	129
Lutchoo Khan v. Foley . . .	24 W. R., 273 . . .	425
Lutchumanan Chetty v. Mutta Iburaki Marakkayer	5 Mad., 90 . . .	3232
Luteefoonissa v. Goor Surun Dass . . .	18 W. R., 485 . . .	1640, 4902
Luteefoonissa Beebee v. Poolin Behary Sen . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 10 : W. R., F. B., 31 & 91. }	1177
		4176
		3210
		146, 1846
		1606, 3044
		5750

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.
Luteefoonissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Luckeemonee Dossee	Marsh., 93 : 1 Hay, 161
Luteefoonissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Rajaoor Ruhman	8 W. R., 84
Luteefoonissa Beebee <i>v.</i> Poolin Behary Sein	W. R., F. B., 31 : 1 Ind. } Jur., O. S., 10 : 1 Hay, 242. }
Luteefun <i>v.</i> Bego Jan	5 W. R., 120
——— <i>v.</i> Rajroop Singh	10 B. L. R., 361 : 19 W. R., } 185. }
Lutifunnissa Bibi <i>v.</i> Nazirun Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 33 . .
Lutf Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Afzalunnissa Begum . . .	9 B. L. R., 348 : 16 W. R., } P. C., 20. }
——— <i>v.</i> Fikira Singh	6 C. L. R., 208
Lutful Huq <i>v.</i> Sumbhudin Pattuck	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 248 : 10 C. L. R., 143.
Lutfullah <i>v.</i> Kirat Chand	13 B. L. R., Ap., 30 : 21 W. } R., 330. }
Luthi Bowa. In re	11 W. R., Cr., 24 . . .
Lutifunnissa Bibi <i>v.</i> Nazirun Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 33 . .
Lutpotee Doomony <i>v.</i> Tikha Moodoi	13 W. R., Cr., 52 . . .
Lutteefunnissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Poolin Beharee Sein .	W. R., F. B., 91 . . .
Luvar Chunilal Ichharam <i>v.</i> Luvar Tribhoban Lal Das	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 688 . .
Luximon Row Sadasew <i>v.</i> Mullar Row Bajee .	5 W. R., P. C., 67 . . .
Lyakut Ali <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	10 W. R., 423
Lyall & Co. <i>v.</i> Amorabutty Dossee	20 W. R., 12
——— <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Bagdee	18 W. R., Cr., 53 . . .
——— <i>v.</i> Sam Mundle	W. R., 1864, Cr., 23 . .
Lyell <i>v.</i> Ganga Dai	I. L. R., 1 All., 60 . . .
Lyon <i>v.</i> Banessur Paul	2 Hay, 120
——— <i>v.</i> Gray	11 W. R., 189
Lyons <i>v.</i> Betts	13 W. R., 94
Lyster <i>v.</i> Ko Mihone	7 W. R., 354

M

Maadan <i>v.</i> Erlandi	5 Mad., 147
Macdonald <i>v.</i> Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey	21 W. R., 17
——— <i>v.</i> Munar Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358 : 3 W. R., Act X, 153.
——— <i>v.</i> Rajaram Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 28 : 11 W. } R., 371. }
——— <i>v.</i> Riddell	16 W. R., Cr., 79 . . .
Macfarlane. In re	11 W. R., 69
——— <i>v.</i> Carr	8 B. L. R., 459 : 17 W. R., } 244. }
——— <i>v.</i> Robert	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 . .
Macgiveron <i>v.</i> Wallace	5 N. W., 65
MacGillivray <i>v.</i> Jokai Assam Tea Company	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 . .
Machooram Sen <i>v.</i> Gaurhari Gui	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48 : W. R., F. B., 165.
Mackenzie <i>v.</i> Jowahir Mahtoon	25 W. R., 137
——— <i>v.</i> Thiruvengadathan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 271 . .
——— <i>v.</i> Shere Bahdoor Sahi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 378 . .
Mackenzie, Lyall, & Co. <i>v.</i> Moses	22 W. R., 156
——— <i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Seal	12 B. L. R., 360
Mackertich. In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 15 . .
——— <i>v.</i> Rebeiro	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 628 . .

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mackillican v. Compagnie des Messageries Maritimes de France.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 227 : 7 C. L. R., 49.	658
Mackinnon v. Dundas	Bourke, O. C., 228.	2687
— v. —	Bourke, A. O. C., 155.	335
— v. Gunnes Chunder Dey	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 370.	5017
— v. Shibchunder Seal	Bourke, O. C., 354.	1064
Mackinnon, Mackenzie & Co. v. Blugram Doss	Bourke, O. C., 242.	3556
— v. Lang, Moir, & Co.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 584.	4605
— v. Minchin	6 Mad., 353.	595
Mackintosh v. Crow	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689 : 13 C. L. R., 102.	2729
— v. Gill	12 B. L. R., 37 : 20 W. R., 358.	4890, 5720
— v. Gopee Mohun Mojoomdar	4 W. R., 24.	2996
— v. Gore	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689 : 13 C. L. R., 102.	2729
— v. Hunt	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 202.	2724
— v. Kally Doss Mullick	11 B. L. R., 1 : 19 W. R., 234.	5589
— v. Koylas Chunder Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 59.	3810
— v. Lall Chand Malee	23 W. R., 332.	2741
— v. Nobin Money Dossee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 160.	457, 1203
— v. Temple	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 333.	6241
— v. Watson	3 W. R., Act X, 123.	30, 2735
— v. Wilkinson	3 B. L. R., Ap., 3.	3809
— v. Wingrove	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 137 : 2 C. L. R., 433.	128
— v. Woornesh Chunder Bose	3 W. R., Act X, 121.	1080, 2724
Maclean. In the goods of	6 N. W., 214.	555
— In the matter of	1 Mad., 220, note.	1235
Macleod v. Kunhoje Sahoo	9 W. R., 271.	2668, 2671
— v. Macleod	6 B. L. R., 318.	1921
— v. Mah Mah Yet	7 W. R., 390.	1555
Macnaghten v. Bheekaree Singh	2 C. L. R., 323.	151
— v. Lalla Mewa Lall	3 C. L. R., 285.	2597
— v. Mahabir Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 656.	3012
MacNair v. Hogg	2 Hyde, 89.	5461
Macqueen v. Fuzzul Mahomed Essan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 303.	5988
Macrae v. Jhoomuck Misser	Marsh., 386 : 2 Hay, 391.	637
Madabhushi Seshacharlu v. Singara Seshaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 55.	1057, 1375
Madai Thalavay Kummarasamy Mudalyar v. Nallakannu Tevan.	5 Mad., 289.	3222
Madanmahan Sen v. Chandra Kumar Mookerjee	9 B. L. R., 328 : 18 W. R., 379.	2860
Madan Mohan v. Puran Mal	I. L. R., 6 All., 288.	2618
— v. Ramdial	I. L. R., 5 All., 195.	2196
Madan Mohan Biswas v. Stalkart	9 B. L. R., 97 : 17 W. R., 441.	679
Madan Mohan Bose v. Lawrence	1 B. L. R., O. C., 66.	1590
Madan Mohan Das v. Gaur Mohun Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 293, note.	1209
Madan Mohan Lal v. Holloway	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 555.	3174
— v. Lala Sheosanker Sahai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 482.	4042
Madan Mohan Mazumdar v. Baistab Churn Mandal	6 B. L. R., 617, note : 13 W. R., 67.	4872
Madan Singh v. Madanram Deb	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11.	2885
		1593, 4926

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Madan Thakur v. Lopez	9 B. L. R., Ap., 22 : 18 W. R., 253.	1206, 1219 2695
Madaree v. Bulloo Kooree	2 N. W., 450	4959
Madari v. Malki	1. L. R., 6 All., 428	2482
Madar Saheb v. Sabbarayalu Nayudu	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 88	4839
Madary v. Goburdhun Hulwai	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 694 : 9 C. L. R., 303.	2763, 5269
Madava Naikan v. Appayu Naikan	2 Mad., 394	2204
Madavji Dharramsi. Ex parte	12 Bom., 217	5886, 6025
Madda v. Sheo Baksh	1. L. R., 3 All., 385	3343
Madhab Chandra Bhadory v. Mahima Chandra Mazumdar.	8 B. L. R., Ap., 83, note : 12 W. R., 442.	2973
Madhab Chandra Guho v. Kamalakant Chuckerbutty	6 B. L. R., 643 : 15 W. R., 293.	1440
Madhab Chandra Roy v. Gangadhar Samant	3 B. L. R., A. C., 83 : 11 W. R., 450.	1817
Madhab Chandra Rudar v. Amrit Sing Naryan Sing	5 B. L. R., 111	1071
Madhava v. Narayana	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 244	3421
Madhavan v. Achuda	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 301	3235, 3308
Madhava Panikar v. Govinda Panikar	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 4	671
Madhavarayya v. Tirtha Sami	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 307	2512
Madhavbhai Shivbhai v. Fathesing Nathubhai	10 Bom., 487	3305, 3307
Madhav Morar. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 1	2028, 6183
Madhavrav Deshpande v. Ramrav Deshpande	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 151	367
Madhavrav Keshav Tilak v. Gungabai	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 639	2413
Madhavrav Panse v. Bapurav Panse	4 Bom., A. C., 62	4387
Madhavrav Raghavendra v. Ballurishna Raghavendra	4 Bom., A. C., 113	1342
Madhobee Dossee. In the matter of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 587 : 6 W. R., Mis., 102.	241
Madho Das v. Kamta Das	1. L. R., 1 All., 539	2332
— v. Rukman Sewak Singh	1. L. R., 2 All., 287	5137
Madho Doss v. Sitaram	3 N. W., 121	5657
Madho Kooery v. Ram Chunder Singh	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 411	3423
Madhoo Pershad v. Lalljee Shahoo	9 W. R., 557	5064
Madhoo Proshaud Singh v. Purshan Ram	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 520	5321
Madho Parshad v. Durga Parshad	7 N. W., 85	4133
Madho Pershad v. Lalla Jeetun Lall	17 W. R., 98	6105
Madhopersad v. Gajudhar	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 111 : 1. L. R., 11 I. A., 186.	4010
Madho Prakash Singh v. Murli Manohar	1. L. R., 5 All., 406	4080
Madho Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 3 All., 508	5969
— v. Ambar	1. L. R., 5 All., 503	2870
— v. Bhola Nath	1. L. R., 5 All., 268	2653
— v. Hansa Kuar	1. L. R., 5 All., 314	240
Madho Ram v. Dilbur Mahul	2 N. W., 449	4946
Madho Rao Apa v. Thakoor Pershad	3 Agra, 127	79, 4250
Madho Row Chinto Punt Golay v. Bhokun Das Boolaki Das.	5 W. R., P. C., 33 : 1 Moore's I. A., 351.	4659
Madho Singh v. Bindessery Roy	3 Agra, 101	2297
— v. Guneshee Lall	2 Agra, 275	4588
— v. Hurmat Ally	3 Agra, 432	2152
— v. Jehangeer	2 Agra, 229	3277
— v. Mahtab Singh	3 N. W., 325	4007
— v. Thakoor Pershad	5 N. W., 35	632, 3219
— v. —	5 N. W., 209	632
Madhub Ali Khan v. Hossain Reza Khan	4 C. L. R., 52	6097
Madhub Anund Moitro v. Joy Koomaree Bibee	5 W. R., 201	5303

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Madhub Chunder Adit Chowdhry v. Ram Kaloo Beparee	16 W. R., 151 . . .	565
Madhub Chunder Bera v. Ram Lochun Bera	14 W. R., 143 . . .	1207
Madhub Chunder Bidyarutton v. Tara Soonderee } Gooptance.	2 W. R., Act X, 92 . . .	529
Madhub Chunder Biswas v. Okhoy Chunder Biswas	13 W. R., 103 . . .	5707
Madhub Chunder Chowdhry v. Buktessuree Debea	12 W. R., 102 . . .	4281
_____ v. Promothonath Roy	20 W. R., 264 . . .	1754, 5345
Madhub Chunder Dey v. Law . . .	13 B. L. R., 394 . . .	594
_____ v. Ram Dyal Guho . . .	8 W. R., 303 . . .	541, 4909
Madhub Chunder Dhunput v. Madhub Lal Khan	14 B. L. R., 288, note: 15 W. } R., 542.	842
Madhub Chunder Dutt v. Haradhun Paul . . .	14 W. R., 294 . . .	3838
_____ v. Rajkisto Sett . . .	Cor., 148 . . .	1762
Madhub Chunder Ghose v. Radhika Chowdhraia . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 42 . . .	551
	7 W. R., 405 . . .	550, 5133
Madhub Chunder Giree. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Sham Chand Giree . . .	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 243 . . .	5 922, 5928
	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 243 . . .	5922, 5928
Madhub Chunder Hajrah v. Gobind Chunder Banerji . . .	9 W. R., 350 . . .	2197
Madhub Chunder Janah v. Rajkissen Mookerjee . . .	7 W. R., 86 . . .	1582
Madhub Chunder Mozoomdar v. Sidhee Nuzeer Ali Khan	8 W. R., 54 . . .	2985
Madhub Chunder Nath Biswas v. Tamee Bewah . . .	5 W. R., 279 . . .	3711
_____ v. Tomee Bewah . . .	7 W. R., 210 . . .	1343, 4554
Madhub Chunder Pal v. Hills . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 175: 10 W. } R., 197.	2857
Madhub Chunder Poramanick v. Raj Coomar Doss	14 B. L. R., 76: 22 W. R., 370	1111
Madhub Chunder Roy v. Lukhee Jellanee . . .	9 W. R., 212 . . .	1110, 1377
Madhub Chunder Sircar v. Bane Madhub Roy . . .	15 W. R., 385 . . .	1362
Madhub Doss v. Jogendro Nath Roy . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 673: 8 C. L. } R., 39.	3809
Madhub Ghose v. Bullye Metea . . .	7 W. R., Cr., 11 . . .	3629
Madhub Kissen Sett v. Gour Soonder Sett	Cor., 90 . . .	691
Madhub Kyburtho v. Keshub Singh . . .	9 W. R., Cr., 22 . . .	1270
Madhub Lal Khan v. Noyan Ghose . . .	6 C. L. R., 231 . . .	2710
Madhub Pershad v. Fool Coomaree Bibee	19 W. R., 121 . . .	4527
Madhub Thakoor v. Jhoonuck Lal Doss	12 W. R., 105 . . .	4002
Madhu Singh v. Achraj Singh . . .	9 C. L. R., 369 . . .	3924
Madhusudan Chowdhry v. Brajanath Chandra	6 B. L. R., 299 . . .	3203
Madhusudan Chuckerbutty v. Rymani Dasi	7 B. L. R., 664, note: 13 W. } R., 415.	169, 5831
Madhusudan Das v. Adoito Charan Das . . .	8 B. L. R., 316, note: 12 W. } R., 85.	170
Madhusudan Kundu v. Ramdhan Ganguli . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 431: 12 W. } R., 383.	5312
Madhusudan Manji v. Debigobinda Newgi . . .	1 B. L. R., F. B., 49 . . .	3748
Madhusudan Sagory v. Nipal Khan . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 87, note: 15 } W. R., 440.	2973
Madhusudan Sing v. Collector of Midnapore . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 199: 3 } W. R., 82.	1251
Madon Mohon Poddar v. Purno Chundra Purbot . . .	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 297 . . .	3456, 5705
Madras Railway Company v. Zemindar of Carvetinagara- ram.	14 B. L. R., 209: 22 W. R., } 279: L. R., 1 I. A., 364.	1570, 4706 6282
_____ v. Zemindar of Kavetinug- gur.	5 Mad., 139 . . .	6282
Madud Ali Khan v. Saleem Ahmed Khan <i>alias</i> Kummun Khan.	4 N. W., 142 . . .	4871
Madur Khan v. Wooma Moyee Dabee . . .	Marsh., 389: 2 Hay, 434 . . .	4480
Maduthan v. Subbier . . .	6 Mad., 34 . . .	5677

Name of Case.	Volume and Pa.	Column of Digest.
Madvala bin Ginapa v. Bhagvanta bin Devji . . .	9 Bom., 260 . . .	3234
Mafizuddin v. Karimunissa Bibee . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 14 W. } R., 381. }	6089
Magaluri Gurudiah v. Naryana Rungiah . . .	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 359 . . .	1949, 3346
Magam Timmaya v. Tangattur Kandappa . . .	2 Mad., 82 . . .	4053, 4054
Maganbhai Hemchand v. Manchabhai Kallianchand . . .	3 Bom., O. C., 79 . . .	1065, 4902
Maganbhai Purshotamdas v. Vithoba bin Narayan Shet . . .	7 Bom., A. C., 7 . . .	3859
Magandas Kheuchand v. Ram Chandra Airaji . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 137 . . .	5844
Maghan Misra v. Chamman Teli . . .	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7: 10 W. } R., Cr., 46. }	4732, 5543
Magistrate of Maldah v. Golebunnessa . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 630: 7 } W. R., 191. }	2842
Magju Pandaen v. Ramdyal Tewari . . .	8 B. L. R., 50: 15 W. R., 531 . . .	2842
Magni Ram v. Jiwa Lal . . .	1. L. R., 7 All., 336 . . .	5958
Magou Mallo v. Doola Gazee Koolan . . .	19 W. R., 198 . . .	4800
Magun Pollan v. Money . . .	7 W. R., 474 . . .	6188
Mahabalaya bin Parmaya v. Timaya bin Appaya . . .	12 Bom., 138 . . .	2409
Mahabeer Persad v. Pranputty Koer . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709: 7 } W. R., 515. }	3513
_____ v. Ram Surun . . .	3 Agra, 6 . . .	2290
_____ v. Ramyad Singh . . .	12 B. L. R., 90: 20 W. R., 192 } 1 Agra, 162 }	2383, 2468
Mahabeer Pershad v. Oomrao Singh . . .	W. R., 1864, 166 . . .	2085
Maha Beer Pershad Singh v. Dumreeram Opadhyia . . .	8 B. L. R., 38: 16 W. R., 221 . . .	2151
Mahabir Kower v. Jubna Singh . . .	1. L. R., 1 All., 291 . . .	1471, 4543
Mahabir Parshad v. Debi Dial . . .	1. L. R., 6 All., 234 . . .	2401
Mahabir Prasad v. Basdeo Singh . . .	1. L. R., 3 All., 527 . . .	5440
_____ v. Dhuman Das . . .	5 B. L. R., 327, note: 11 W. } R., 193. }	755
_____ v. Lalaram . . .	1. L. R., 7 All., 720 . . .	4545
Mahabir Rai v. Raghunandan Rai . . .	10 Bom., 430 . . .	3332
Mahabu Bibi v. Amnia . . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 197 . . .	321, 4784
Mahadaji v. Vyankaji Govind . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 78 . . .	1688
Mahadaji Gopal Baklekar v. Vithal Ballal . . .	9 Bom., 249 . . .	2105
Mahadaji Govind v. Sonu bin Davlata . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 332 . . .	906, 1885
Mahadaji Karandikar v. Hari D. Chikne . . .	1 Bom., 185 . . .	5121
Mahadaji Ram Chandra Mule v. Vithal Vishvanath . . .	1. L. R., 7 All., 528 . . .	310, 1230
Mahadei v. Ramkishen Das . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 86 . . .	5453
Mahadeo Dubey v. Bhola Nath Dichit . . .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 20 . . .	318, 2773
Mahadeo Ojha v. Parmeswar Panday . . .	1. L. R., 8 All., 189 . . .	3008
Mahadeo Prasad v. Mathura . . .	7 B. L. R., 45, note: 11 W. } R., 169. }	3712
Mahadeo Singh v. Ziatannissa . . .	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 417 . . .	444
Mahadevappa v. Srinivasa Rau . . .	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 396 . . .	5126
Mahadeva Rayar v. Sappani . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 292 . . .	237
Mahadev Narsingh v. Ragho Keshav . . .	15 W. R., 44 . . .	6148
Mahadoo Begum v. Hubeebool Hossein . . .	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 83 . . .	2429
Mahalakshamma v. Venkataratnamma . . .	8 Mad., 105 . . .	3181, 3469
Mahalakshmi Ammal v. Lakshmi Ammal . . .	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 71 . . .	131, 3056
Mahalakshmi Bai v. Firm of Nageshwar Purshotam . . .	6 Mad., 245 . . .	5521
Mahalatchmi Ammal v. Palani Chetti . . .	6 Mad., 191 . . .	112
Mahalingayan. Ex parte . . .	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 157 . . .	3634, 4392
Mahalinga Rau v. Venkoba Ghosami . . .	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 422: L. R., 9 } I. A., 8. }	2866
Mahammad Azmat Ali Khan v. Lalli Begum . . .	1. L. R., 7 All., 112 . . .	741
Maharaja of Benares v. Angan . . .	1. L. R., 3 All., 575 . . .	4226
_____ v. Debi Dayal Noma . . .	L. R., 5 I. A., 225 . . .	
Maharaja of Bulrampore v. Uman Pal Singh . . .		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Maharaja of Burdwan. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 475	3883
v. Chairman of the Darjeeling Municipality.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 194 : 4 C. L. R., 324.	4507
v. Heera Lall Seal	11 W. R., 54	5422, 5942
v. Kristo Kamini Dasi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 931 : 13 C. L. R., 427.	5331
v. Luckhee Monee Dabee	8 W. R., 359	3523
v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 351 : 1 C. L. R., 158.	2695
v. Sree Narain Mitter	9 W. R., 346	1884
v. Tarasundari Debi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 619 : 13 C. L. R., 34 : L. R., 10 I. A., 19.	5330
v. Wooma Soonduree Dossee	10 W. R., 12	6283
Maharaja of Calicut v. Mallichery Kristnan Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68	180, 386 5953
Maharaja of Durbhungah. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 21	5845
Maharaja of Vizianagram v. Lakshmi Challaya	12 B. L. R., 443 : 18 W. R., 301.	4402
v. Suryanarayana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 307 : I. L. R., 13 I. A., 32.	1679, 2056 3050
Maharaj Gir v. Anandrav	8 Bom., A. C., 25	5891
Maharaj Singh v. Beehook Lall	1 W. R., 233	4543
v. Beela Kooer	W. R., 1864, 320	4961
v. Lallah Bhuchook Lall	W. R., 1864, 294	3723
Mahar Ali v. Amani	2 B. L. R., A. C., 306	3332, 3650 3695
Maharam Sheikh v. Nakowri Das Mahaldar	7 B. L. R., Ap., 17	3118, 5754
Maharani v. Nundolal Misser	1 B. L. R., A. C., 27 : 10 W. R., 73.	2207
Maharani of Burdwan v. Baradasundari Debi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 31 : 10 W. R., F. B., 21.	4237
v. Krishna Kamini Dasi	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 365	5331
Maharval Mohansangji v. Government of Bombay	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 437	5810
Maharval Mohansinghji Joysinghji v. Government of Bombay.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408 : L. R., 8 I. A., 77.	4390
Mahashankar Harishankar v. Valibhai Umanji	6 Bom., A. C., 174	5922
Mahashur Singh Bahadur v. Hurruck Narain Singh	9 Moore's I. A., 268	5365
Mahasingavastha Aiya v. Gopaliyan	5 Mad., 425	3594
Maha Singavastha Ayyar v. Gopala Ayyan	6 Mad., 239	3593
Mahasookh v. Budree	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 153	2264
Mahatab Chand v. Judoo Mohun Mitter	5 W. R., Act X, 91	555, 556
v. Tarucknath Mookerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 94	3541
Mahatab Chand Bahadoor v. Bengal Coal Company	10 W. R., 391	2951
v. Brojonath Mitter	8 W. R., 111	5747
Mahatab Deo v. Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613 : 9 C. L. R., 398.	5466
Mahatab Singh v. Ramtahal Misser	6 B. L. R., 43, note : 10 W. R., 314.	3715
Mahatala Bibee v. Ahmed Haleemoozoman	10 C. L. R., 293	3704
Mahboob Ali v. Zur Banoo Bibee	9 W. R., 78	247
Mahbubani v. Raheemun	6 N. W., 217	443
Mahbub Hossein v. Patasu Kumari	1 B. L. R., A. C., 120 : 10 W. R., 179.	770, 2034
Mahcum Chund v. Mahtab	3 Agra, 318	2706, 4759
Maheeput Singh v. Lok Inder Singh	2 Agra, 179	1657

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahendra Nath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	7 B. L. R., Ap., 9 .	163
Mahendra Singh v. Jokha Singh	19 W. R., 211 . .	2053, 2224 5594
Maherban Singh v. Sheo Koonwar	1 Agra, 106 . . .	2285
Mahes Chandra Chattapadhyya v. Guruprasad Roy	5 B. L. R., 115 : 13 W. R., } 401.	1875
Mahes Chandra Mookerjee v. Ramutam Palit .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 68 .	4503
Mahesh Chandra Banerjee. In the matter of .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 13 W. R., Cr., 1.	968, 3603 6183, 6252 6259
v. Barada Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 274 : 11 W. R., 185. }	4195
Mahesh Chandra Das v. Madhab Chandra Sirdar	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13 : 10 W. R., 388. }	4903
Mahesh Chandra Sen v. Tarini	1 B. L. R., F. B., 15 . .	515
Mahesh Pandey v. Baldut Pandey	3 Agra, Rev., 10 . . .	5920
Mahesh Singh v. Chauharja Singh	1. L. R., 4 All., 245 . .	6101
Maheswar Bax Sing v. Bhikha Chowdhry .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 403 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122 : 5 W. R., 61. }	4820, 6144
Mahima Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Rajkumar Chucker- butty.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 1 : 10 W. R., 22. }	3281, 5036
Mahima Chandra Kundu v. Nuruddin	3 B. L. R., A. C., 70 : 11 W. R., 422. }	4131
Mahima Chandra Roy v. Pyari Mohan Chowdhry	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 43 : 11 W. R., 262. }	1925
Mahima Chandra Shah. In the matter of the petition of.	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 78 : 15 W. R., Cr., 15. }	6253
Mahin Bibi. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., 160 . . .	2094, 3638 3701
Mahipatray Chandrarav v. Nensuk Anandray Shet Marvadi.	{ 4 Bom., A. C., 199 . . .	3161
Mahip Singh v. Chotu	{ I. L. R., 5 All., 429 . . .	2866
Mahi Sahu v. Forbes	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 500 : 6 W. R., Act X, 61. }	3344
Mahmooda Bebee v. Hareedhun Khuleefa	5 W. R., Act X, 12 . . .	1608, 1610
Mahmood Ali Khan v. Gunga Ram	3 Agra, 304	3037
Mahmood Lushkur v. Pakar Khan	Marsh., 496	534
Mahomed Abdui Vakab Sahib v. Comandur Rama Samy Aiyengar.	{ 4 Mad., 277	406
Mahomed v. Abedoollah	12 C. L. R., 279	3501
Mahomed v. Ashrufoonnissa	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 759 . .	3320
Mahomed v. Kanizuk Fatima	6 N. W., 231	1441
Mahomed v. Oomdah Khanum	13 W. R., 184	2799
Mahomed Abdool Ruzzah v. Asif Ali Shah .	3 N. W., 119	3212
Mahomed Abdool Ruzzak v. Sadik Ali	3 Agra, 142	3980
Mahomed Abdul Hye v. Lunjeet Singh	13 B. L. R., Ap., 14 : 22 W. R., 200. }	5212
Mahomed Abdur Rahim v. Birju Sahu	5 B. L. R., 676 : 14 W. R., 103. }	2854
Mahomed Aboo v. Lalla Bissessur Dyal . . .	21 W. R., 154	1355
Mahomed Afak v. Ekram Ali	14 W. R., 374	3697
Mahomed Afsuruddin v. Beer Chunder Manikya	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 470 : 10 C. L. R., 416. }	5062
Mahomed Afzul v. Kanhya Lall	2 W. R., 263	3243, 3255

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Aga Ali Khan v. Widow of Balmakund	{ L. R., 3 I. A., 241 : 26 W. R., 82. }	5211, 5239
Mahomed Ahmed v. Alibur Gaze	10 W. R., 337	5070
Mahomed Ahsan v. Mahomed Yasin	9 W. R., 106	4908
Mahomed Aizaddi Shaha v. Shaffi Mulla	8 B. L. R., 26	5753
Mahomed Akil v. Asadunnissa Bibee	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 774 : 9 W. R., 1. }	2059, 2770
Mahomed Aktur v. Reily	24 W. R., 447	1733, 4204
Mahomed Akul Beg v. Mahomed Koyum Beg	25 W. R., 199	3693
Mahomed Ali v. Batook Dao Narain Singh	1 W. R., 52	534, 3079
_____ v. Bolakee Bhuggut	24 W. R., 330	3021
_____ v. Buttook Deo Narain Singh	1 W. R., 352	3021
_____ v. Gobar Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 88	3665
_____ v. Gokul Chund	1 N. W., 19 : Ed. 1873, 18	442
_____ v. Jugal Ram Chandra	{ 5 B. L. R., Ap., 84 : 14 W. R., 124. }	5752
_____ v. Shurum Ali	8 W. R., 422	1736, 6187
Mahomed Ali Khan v. Abdul Gunny	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 744 : 12 C. L. R., 257. }	4176
_____ v. Kalunder Ali Khan	4 N. W., 81	4951
_____ v. Oomrao Singh	2 N. W., 425	3280, 5224
Mahomed Ali Khan Chowdhry v. Jadub Chuckerbutty.	{ W. R., 1864, 60 }	66
Mahomed Altaf Ali Khan v. Ahmed Buksh	25 W. R., 121	3733
_____ v. Pertab Singh	5 N. W., 91	4806
Mahomed Alun v. Akil	16 W. R., Cr., 68	975
Mahomed Ameenooddeen Khan v. Moozuffur Hossein Khan.	{ 5 B. L. R., 570 : 14 W. R., P. C., 5. }	337, 1459
Mahomed Ameer v. Peryag Singh	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 566 : 9 C. L. R., 185. }	5059
Mahomed Amidoolla v. Durbesh Shaikh	24 W. R., 314	569, 3028
Mahomed Anjole v. Gouree Pershad Sha	6 W. R., 62	779
Mahomed Ansur v. Sefatoolah	22 W. R., 340	235
Mahomed Anwar Chowdhry v. Raj Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 522	5280
Mahomed Arsud Chowdhry v. Yakooob Ally	{ 15 B. L. R., 357 : 24 W. R., 181. }	1757
Mahomed Arshad Chowdhry v. Sajida Banoo	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702 : 2 C. L. R., 46. }	3160
Mahomed Arsud Chowdhry v. Oosun Bebee	2 W. R., 217	3695
Mahomed Ashruf v. Brojessuree Dossee	{ 11 B. L. R., 118 : 19 W. R., 426. }	3748
_____ v. Kureemoddeen	24 W. R., 468	2199
Mahomed Askur v. Mahomed Wasuck	22 W. R., 413	3939, 4825
Mahomed Assanoollah Chowdhry v. Shamshir Ali	4 C. L. R., 165	5316
Mahomed Assudoollah Khan v. Karamutoollah	4 N. W., 11	5343
Mahomed Assur v. Pogose	2 C. L. R., 8	3909
Mahomed Ata-ool-lah v. Mahomed Mohib-ool-lah	1 Agra, 231	2934
Mahomed Ausur v. Prokash Chunder Sha	8 W. R., 8	5632
Mahomed Ayenooddeen v. Kaledoss Chundo	15 W. R., 279	4199
Mahomed Azeemoollah v. Ali Buksh	5 N. W., 74	5337
Mahomed Azeemoollah Khan v. Suhoodra Bebee	W. R., 1864, 227	765, 5118
Mahomed Azim Bhooyah v. Mahomed Somee	21 W. R., 413	663
Mahomed Azmul v. Chadee Lall Pandey	12 W. R., 104	5745
_____ v. Chundee Lall Pandey	7 W. R., 250	3841
Mahomed Azssar Ali v. Nassir Mahomed	3 B. L. R., A. C., 304	2979, 3039
Mahomed Badsha v. Nicol, Fleming, & Co.	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 355 : 2 C. L. R., 330. }	4152
		4286

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Bahadur Khan <i>v.</i> Collector of Bareilly	13 B. L. R., 292: 21 W. R., 318: L. R., 1 I. A., 167.	3128
Mahomed Bahadur Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Raj Kishen Singh	10 B. L. R., 401, note: 15 W. R., 522.	3801, 3803
Mahomed Baker Khan <i>v.</i> Sham Dey Koer	12 W. R., 2	3497, 3526
Mahomed Banazeer <i>v.</i> Gungaram	3 Agra, 260	3239
Mahomed Basirulla <i>v.</i> Abdulla	4 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W. R., 196, note.	5485
Mahomed Bassir <i>v.</i> Kureem Buksh	11 W. R., 268	6187
Mahomed Bauker Hossein Khan <i>v.</i> Shurfoonissa Begum.	3 W. R., P. C., 37: 8 Moore's I. A., 136.	
Mahomed Buksh <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein	3 Agra, 171: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 145.	3256, 5376
Mahomed Busheeroollah Chowdhry Hedaet Ali Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 42	3829
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramkant Chowdhry.	9 W. R., 394	5918
Mahomed Busheerul Hossein. In the matter of the petition of.	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 263: 10 C. L. R., 1.	3565
Mahomed Busseerooddeen <i>v.</i> Mahomed Khan Knfulbash	4 W. R., Mis., 13	3132
Mahomed Busheeroollah Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Abemoonissa	7 W. R., 513	1393
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramkant Chowdhry.	16 W. R., 266	1454, 3479 3510
Mahomed Bux <i>v.</i> Abdool Kureem <i>alias</i> Aboo	20 W. R., 458	1736, 4191
Mahomed Chaman <i>v.</i> Ramprasad Bhagat	8 B. L. R., 338: 22 W. R., 52, note.	5193
Mahomed Conjee <i>v.</i> Dundas	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 228	1669
Mahomed Danish <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kaem	25 W. R., 111	1732
Mahomed Elahee Buksh <i>v.</i> Brojo Kishore Sen	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 497, 3 C. L. R., 398.	546
Mahomed Elahee Buksh Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brojo Kishore Sen	24 W. R., 14	1639
Mahomed Ennoos <i>v.</i> Lalla Jamarad Lall	13 W. R., 356	683
Mahomed Esa Khan <i>v.</i> Keshub Lal	14 W. R., 382	1373
Mahomed Faez <i>v.</i> Oomdah Begum	6 W. R., 111	3332
Mahomed Faez Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Shib Doolaree Tewaree	16 W. R., 103	1899, 3024
Mahomed Faiz Ahmed Khan <i>v.</i> Ghulam Ahmed Khan.	1. L. R., 3 All., 490: L. R., 8 I. A., 25.	3673
Mahomed Faizali Khan <i>v.</i> Gunga Ram	1 Agra, 112	1159, 6151
Mahomed Fayez Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Jamoo Gazee	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 730	1104
Mahomed Fazul <i>v.</i> Oomakant Sein	1 W. R., 159	2883
Mahomed Fedye Sirdar <i>v.</i> Ozeoodeen	10 W. R., 340	1767, 1846
Mahomed Foyez Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Goluck Dass	7 C. L. R., 191	5948
Mahomed Fyzooddeen <i>v.</i> Imrut	3 Agra, 285	3002
Mahomed Gazee Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Abdool Mahomed Ameer-oodeen.	5 W. R., Mis., 31	3981
_____ <i>v.</i> Lall Bebee	10 W. R., 103	5107
_____ <i>v.</i> Noor Mahomed	24 W. R., 324	5190
_____ <i>v.</i> Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	16 W. R., 136	5353
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Lall Sen	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 757	5473
_____ <i>v.</i> Shumbhoonath Misser	22 W. R., 389	5220
Mahomed Gazi Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Dullab Bibi	5 B. L. R., 318, note: 11 W. R., 22.	5116, 5131
_____ <i>v.</i> Leicester	7 B. L. R., Ap., 52	5353
Mahomed Ghasee <i>v.</i> Shunker Lall	11 W. R., 53	3040
Mahomed Gouhur Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Harratoonissa	2 W. R., 52	3633
Mahomed Gour Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Ahmed Khan	2 W. R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's I. A., 504.	4173

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Gour Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Ashruffoonissa .	2 W. R., P. C., 13 : 9 Moore's } I. A., 492.	4173
Mahomed Gowhur Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Azeemooddeen .		
_____ <i>v.</i> Gool Begum .	W. R., 1864, 93 . . .	6152
_____ <i>v.</i> Shurufunissa Begum		
Mahomed Gulshere Khan <i>v.</i> Mariam Begum .	I. L. R., 3 All., 731 . . .	3685
Mahomed Hadee <i>v.</i> Sheo Sevuk Doobey . . .	6 N. W., 95 . . .	420, 3341
Mahomed Hajra <i>v.</i> Tarasoonderoo Brahmince .	Marsh., 201 : 1 Hay, 577	3837
Mahomed Hamidoollah <i>v.</i> Modhoo Soodun Ghose	11 W. R., 298 . . .	1751
Mahomed Hamidulla Khan <i>v.</i> Lotful Huq . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 744 : 8 C. L. R., 164.	3661
Mahomed Haneef Meajee <i>v.</i> Mozhur Ali . . .	15 W. R., 280 . . .	145
Mahomed Haneff <i>v.</i> Mahomed Masoom . . .	21 W. R., 371 . . .	3694
Mahomed Hashim <i>v.</i> Hossein Ali . . .	19 W. R., 433 . . .	989
_____ <i>v.</i> Kaleechurn Banerjee . . .	13 W. R., 91 . . .	4914
Mahomed Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	5 W. R., Mis., 49 . . .	3880
_____ <i>v.</i> Afzul Ally . . .	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 1 : Marsh., 296 : W. R., F. B., 83 : 2 Hay, 293.	221, 5732
_____ <i>v.</i> Akaya Narayan Pal . . .	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 42 : 18 W. R., 37, note.	329
_____ <i>v.</i> Akbur Hossein . . .	17 W. R., 275 . . .	83
_____ <i>v.</i> Boodhun Singh <i>alias</i> Roop Narain Singh.	7 W. R., 374 . . .	564
_____ <i>v.</i> Forbes . . .	22 W. R., 316 : L. R., 2 I. A., 1	1618
_____ <i>v.</i> Hadzi Abdullah . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 727 . . .	163, 2033
_____ <i>v.</i> Inodeen . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 946 . . .	648
_____ <i>v.</i> Jafur Ali . . .	4 W. R., 23 . . .	1360, 4565
_____ <i>v.</i> Kokil Singh . . .	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 91 : 9 C. L. R., 53.	3450, 5450
_____ <i>v.</i> Krishno Churn Misser . . .	20 W. R., 147 . . .	4399
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohsin Ali . . .	{ 6 B. L. R., 41 : 14 W. R., F. B., 1.	3714
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohsun Ali . . .	7 W. R., 195 . . .	3237
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	14 W. R., 266 . . .	3714
_____ <i>v.</i> Muntozul Huq . . .	18 W. R., 400 . . .	765
_____ <i>v.</i> Okhoy Narain Paul . . .	4 W. R., 70 . . .	1076
_____ <i>v.</i> Peeroo Mullick . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 115 . . .	1675
_____ <i>v.</i> Potun . . .	20 W. R., 147 . . .	5778
_____ <i>v.</i> Purundur Mahto . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 287 . . .	860, 3259
_____ <i>v.</i> Surahtoonissa Khanum . . .	2 W. R., 89 . . .	4173
_____ <i>v.</i> Tuqueeroodeen . . .	15 W. R., 284 . . .	2715
Mahomed Hossein Khan <i>v.</i> Khusroo Fakeer . . .	1 N. W., 44 : Ed. 1873, 41 . . .	564
_____ <i>v.</i> Looft Ali Khan . . .	18 W. R., 463 . . .	3515
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Nehaluddin Khan	13 C. L. R., 330 . . .	4968
_____ <i>v.</i> Shib Dyal . . .	{ 5 N. W., 108 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 276.	497
Mahomed Hyder Hoosein <i>v.</i> Jeeawun . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 43 . . .	2984
Mahomed Ibrahim <i>v.</i> Lalla Jussodalal . . .	W. R., 1864, 247 . . .	811
_____ <i>v.</i> Lauder . . .	Cor., 42 . . .	1051
_____ <i>v.</i> Morrison . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 36 . . .	4179
Mahomed Imdadally <i>v.</i> Ameer Aly . . .	2 Agra, 47 . . .	3272
Mahomed Inayetoolla <i>v.</i> Akbar Ali . . .	2 Agra, 25 . . .	2991
Mahomed Isakhan <i>v.</i> Kisho Lal . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 44 . . .	1389
Mahomed Ismail <i>v.</i> Lalla Dhundur Kishore Narain	25 W. R., 39 . . .	4258, 4403
Mahomed Ismailjee Nada <i>v.</i> British India Steam Navigation Co.	9 W. R., 396 . . .	601

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Ismail Khan <i>v.</i> Mahomed Jabir <i>alias</i> Motee } Mean.	6 N. W., 38	3103
Mahomed Israile <i>v.</i> Wise	13 B. L. R., 118 : 21 W. R., 327	4252, 5623
Mahomed Issa Khan <i>v.</i> Onraet	8 W. R., 26	473
Mahomed Jakee <i>v.</i> Gopee Roy	10 W. R., 5	541
Mahomed Jan <i>v.</i> Ameerun	17 W. R., 180	193
_____ <i>v.</i> Khadi Sheikh	16 W. R., Cr., 75	4626
Mahomed Kalee Shikdar <i>v.</i> Ali Hossein Chowdhry	3 W. R., Act X, 5	3129
Mahomed Kaloo Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Fedaye Shikdar	8 W. R., 219	2927
Mahomed Kalun <i>v.</i> Dinomoyee Dashya	8 C. L. R., 112	886
Mahomed Kamil <i>v.</i> Abdool Luteef	23 W. R., 51	5791
Mahomed Kana Meah <i>v.</i> Run Mahomed	24 W. R., 254	1735
Mahomed Karamutoollah <i>v.</i> Abdool Majeed	1 N. W. 205 : Ed. 1873, 288	5692
Mahomed Kazem <i>v.</i> Forbes	8 W. R., 257	1376, 3351
Mahomed Kazem Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Forbes	5 W. R., 277	1376, 3350
Mahomed Kazem Jowhurry <i>v.</i> Khetoo Bebee	20 W. R., 150	848
Mahomed Khan <i>v.</i> Shaista Khan	2 N. W., 248	1136
Mahomed Khan Kuzulbash <i>v.</i> Thakoor Singh	3 W. R., Mis., 1	210
Mahomed Khuleel <i>v.</i> Sona Koor	23 W. R., 123	2826
Mahomed Kobeer <i>v.</i> Abdool Azeem	24 W. R., 315	4176
Mahomed Koya <i>v.</i> Kasmi	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 206	5666
Mahomed Kunroodeen <i>v.</i> Ujoooonissa	1 N. W., 113 : Ed. 1873, 199	1885
Mahomed Kuzulbash <i>v.</i> Mahomed Buseeroodeen	7 W. R., 169	406
Mahomed Kuzulbash Khan <i>v.</i> Mahomed Shah	12 W. R., 48	5134
Mahomed Mahmood <i>v.</i> Safar Ali	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 407	1760, 2735
Mahomed Mahmud Shah. In re	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 66	2662
Mahomed Manaff. In the matter of the petition of	10 W. R., 332	4442
Mahomed Manoo Bhooyah <i>v.</i> Mahomed Asanoollah } Chowdhry.	17 W. R., 349	5752
Mahomed Masik <i>v.</i> Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah } Mehal Saheba.	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 380	1227
Mahomed Mirza <i>v.</i> Abdool Kureem	25 W. R., 41	4041
Mahomed Morul Hossein <i>v.</i> Hyder Buksh	W. R., 1864, 304	4201
Mahomed Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ameerunnissa } Khatoon.	20 W. R., 280	799
Mahomed Mubson <i>v.</i> Sumputte Sagoon Chowdhrair	10 W. R., 305	4976
Mahomed Muddeen Mirdha <i>v.</i> Gopal Lall Tagore	2 Hay, 514	1619
Mahomed Mudsur <i>v.</i> Ram Lal Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 50	279
Mahomed Mudun <i>v.</i> Khodezunissa <i>alias</i> Khooke } Bebee.	2 W. R., 181	3731
Mahomed Munoor Mea <i>v.</i> Jybunee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 29 : 19 W. R., 200	578
Mahomed Munsoor <i>v.</i> Umbica Churn Roy	W. R., 1864, 132	5105
Mahomed Muse <i>v.</i> Jijibhai Bhagvan	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 524	3963
Mahomed Museh-ood-deen Khan <i>v.</i> Musehooddeen	2 N. W., 173	3178, 3699
Mahomed Myanobool Hek <i>v.</i> Mahomed Syud Khan	1 W. R., 15	1582
Mahomed Nasseer <i>v.</i> Kishen Mohun Goyee	W. R., F. B., 92	5303
Mahomed Noor Buksh <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hameedool Hug } _____ <i>v.</i> Mohun Chunder Poddar .	5 W. R., 23	3695
	6 W. R., Act X, 67	2886
Mahomed Noor Khan <i>v.</i> Hur Dyal	1 Agra, 67	3672
Mahomed Noor-oollah Khan <i>v.</i> Harcharan Rai	6 N. W., 98	1869
Mahomed Nukshund Khan <i>v.</i> Afzul Begum	3 N. W., 149	91
Mahomed Nuzzeroollah <i>v.</i> Fergusson	2 Agra, 139	1699
Mahomed Ohid <i>v.</i> Kalee Pershad Singh	24 W. R., 320	4812, 4851
Mahomed Rahamatulla <i>v.</i> Ward	5 Mad., 391	5824
Mahomed Rasid Khan Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Jadoo Mirdha	20 W. R., 401	2747, 3050
Mahomed Raza Khan <i>v.</i> Jawahir Singh	2 Agra, 1	4537

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Reezaoodeen v. Hossein Buksh Khan . . .	1 W. R., 300 . . .	6094
Mahomed Rezaooodeen v.	6 W. R., Mis., 24 . . .	955
Mahomed Rijah v. Collector of Chittagong . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 117: 15 W. R., 116. }	5832
Mahomed Roheemooddeen v. Indoor Chunder Jowhuree . . .	12 W. R., 192 . . .	2697, 4610
Mahomed Rohimooodeen v. Radha Mohun Muudul . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 96 . . .	1634
Mahomed Ruhwan Khan v. Khajah Buksh . . .	5 W. R., 221 . . .	3695
Mahomed Saefoolah v. Anwar Ali . . .	21 W. R., 112 . . .	880
Mahomed Said-ood-deen v. Mahomed Hossein . . .	2 N. W., 438 . . .	1187
Mahomed Salamut Hossein v. Sookh Dayee . . .	2 Agra, 116 . . .	4020
Mahomed Saleh v. Government . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 26 . . .	84
_____ v. Muriamoonissa . . .	10 W. R., 284 . . .	6242
Mahomed Salleh v. Nusseerooddeen Hossein . . .	21 W. R., 284 . . .	2773
Mahomed Samee Bhooya v. Alahee Buksh Chowdhry . . .	10 W. R., 346 . . .	3500
Mahomed Sayad Phaki v. Navroji Balabhai . . .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 214 . . .	3258, 3275
Mahomed Shah v. Usgur Hossein . . .	5 N. W., 151 . . .	3048, 5190
Mahomed Shaha v. Lull Mahomed . . .	15 W. R., 179 . . .	306
Mahomed Shahaboodeen v. Wedgeberry . . .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 31 . . .	1844, 4967
Mahomed Shamsooddeen v. Abdool Huq . . .	W. R., 1864, 379 . . .	1827
Mahomed Shamsool Hoda v. Shewakram . . .	14 B. L. R., 226: L. R., 2 I. A., 7: 22 W. R., 409. }	2268
Mahomed Share Khan v. Bahoo Begum . . .	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 26: Ed. 1873, 84. }	6127
Mahomed Sheerun Khan v. Misaer Koondun Lall . . .	Agra, F. B., 158: Ed. 1874, 119. }	6091
Mahomed Shuffli v. Laldin Abdula . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 227 . . .	5532
Mahomed Shuhuroolah Chowdhry v. Roomya Bibee . . .	7 W. R., 487 . . .	560
Mahomed Sidick v. Ahmed . . .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1 . . .	1149, 1342 2282, 2369 3632, 6200
Mahomed Soaduck Golestan v. Forbes . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 90 . . .	4893
Mahomed Toque (Court of Wards) v. Fouzdar Roy . . .	25 W. R., 14 . . .	582
Mahomed Tuckee v. Ahmudee Begum . . .	3 N. W., 22 . . .	5117
Mahomed Tukee v. Hujjee alias Khujjai . . .	5 N. W., 142 . . .	4549
Mahomed Tukee Chowdhry v. Luchmeput Singh } Doogur. }	7 W. R., 291 . . .	280
Mahomed Tumeezooddeen Mundul v. Lukkee Narain } Dey Sircar. }	25 W. R., 104 . . .	5194
Mahomed Tuque Beg v. Wallis . . .	2 Agra, Mis., 5 . . .	1934
Mahomed Tuque Chowdhry v. Judonath Jha . . .	16 W. R., P. C., 28 . . .	3558
Mahomed Ubdoolah v. Moteechund . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 34: 1 } Moore's I. A., 363. }	4639
Mahomed Ussudoollah Khan v. Ghasheea Beebee . . .	1 Agra, 150 . . .	3660
Mahomed Wajid v. Tayyuban . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 402: L. R., 5 I. A., 211. }	3640
Mahomed Waris v. Hazee Emamooddeen . . .	6 W. R., 173 . . .	3726
Mahomed Warris v. Pitambur Sen . . .	21 W. R., 435 . . .	450
Mahomed Wassil v. Zulekha Khatoon . . .	2 Hay, 515 . . .	3015
Mahomed Wayez v. Sugeeroonissa . . .	6 W. R., 38 . . .	481, 1679
Mahomed Yacoob v. Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq . . .	22 W. R., 534 . . .	2708
Mahomed Yacoob Hossein v. Chowdry Waheyd Ally . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 29: 4 W. R., Act X, 23. }	1615, 2930
Mahomed Yakub Sabeb v. Mahomed Jaffer Ali Sabeb . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 167 . . .	214
Mahomed Yusuf Ali Khan v. Government . . .	1 Agra, 191 . . .	3127
Mahomed Zahoor Ali Khan v. Rutta Kooer . . .	9 W. B., P. C., 9: 11 Moore's I. A., 468. }	1250, 3868 4421, 4857
_____ v. Rutta Kunwoor . . .	2 N. W., 481 . . .	1030, 6014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Zahur Alum v. Chunder Cumar	5 C. L. R., 449	1502
Mahomed Zakaoolia v. Banee Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 135	3891, 3958
Mahomed Zamir v. Abdool Hakim	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 67	5332
Mahomed Zuheerul Huq v. Butoolun	1 W. R., 79	3675
Mahomedan Association v. Bakshi Ram	1 L. R., 6 All., 284	4274
Mahomet Hossein v. Hadzi Abdullah	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 727	163, 2033
Mahommed Isaack Mushyack v. Azeezoon Nissa Begam. }	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 341	4391
Mahram Das v. Ajudhia	1 L. R., 8 All., 452	{ 5235, 5236 6119
Mahtab Chunder Bahadoor v. Ram Lall Mookerjee }	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 351 : 1 L. R., 158.	2695
Mahtab Kooer v. Buldeo Singh	4 N. W., 58	1620
Mahtab Koomaree. In the matter of	19 W. R., 62	4949
Mahtab Kuar v. Collector of Shahjahanpur	1 L. R., 5 All., 419	4014
Mahtab Rai v. Sant Lal	1 L. R., 5 All., 276	3965
Mahtab Singh v. Misree Lall	2 Agra, 88	3969
_____ v. Sadhooram Bhugut	25 W. R., 419	1116
Mahuddee Allee Khan v. Roheemooddeen	Bourke, O. C., 154	1193
Maidin Saiba v. Nagapa	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 96	3118, 3420
Maijha Singh v. Jhow Lal	6 N. W., 354	221, 5469
Mailandi Fakir v. Taripulla Pramanik	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 644	1303, 5565
Mailaraya v. Subbaraya Bhut	1 Mad., 81	2719
Maina Koer v. Luchmun Bhuggut	1 C. L. R., 250	5451
Mainath Kuari v. Debi Bakhsh Rai	1 L. R., 3 All., 757	3521
Mainath Singh v. Moorta Kooer	24 W. R., 375	4237
Mainooddeen v. Nubo Coomaree Debia	24 W. R., 247	5636
Majid Hossein v. Jecawon Khewat	3 Agra, 233	1781
Majoram Ojha v. Nilmoney Singh Deo	13 B. L. R., 198 : 21 W. R., } 326.	321, 4910 5313
Makandas Kalidas v. Shankardas Haribhai	12 Bom., 241	4824, 4829
Makath Unni Moyi v. Malabar Kasdapunni Nair	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 268	6195
Makbul Ahmad v. Itikharunnissa Begum	7 N. W., 124	5768, 5838
Makbul Ali v. Masnad Bibee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 54 : 11 W. } R., 396.	1786, 2080
Makhan Kuar v. Jasoda Kuar	1 L. R., 6 All., 399	3984
Makhan Lal v. Gulzari Lal	1 L. R., 6 All., 289	2645
Makhanlal v. Srikrishna Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 44 : 11 W. } R., P. C., 19 : 12 Moore's } I. A., 157.	510, 1577 4020, 4187
Makhan Lall Saha v. Makhan Chora Saha	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 271	4108
Makhun Chunder Shaha v. Chand Monee Dassee	8 W. R., 105	675
Makhun Kooer v. Tincowree Dutt	14 W. R., 22	4914
Makhun Naikin v. Manchand Ladhbbhai	5 Bom., A. C., 107	3151
Makoond Adit v. Suttoorghun Adit	17 W. R., 507	6232
Makoondonath Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory	19 W. R., 102	1880, 3526
Maksood Ali Khan v. Ghazeerooddeen Khan	3 Agra, 158	3369
Maktab Beg v. Hasan Ali	1 L. R., 8 All., 551	243
Maktum valad Mohidiu v. Imam valad Mohidin	10 Bom., 293	5041
Makud v. Bahori Lal	1 L. R., 3 All., 824	4144
Makudu Ravullan v. Mastan Sahib	1 Mad., 102	5788
Makund v. Bahori Lal	1 L. R., 3 All., 824	314
Makunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram	5 Bom., A. C., 102	3131, 3133
Makundi v. Sarabsukh	1 L. R., 6 All., 417	2086
Makundi Kuar v. Balkishen Das	1 L. R., 3 All., 328	1717, 3299
Makundi Lall v. Kaunsila	1 L. R., 1 All., 568	5492
Makund Lall v. Raghopot Doss	2 Agra, 83	1353

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Makund Lall Sookul v. Goluk Chunder Dutt	9 W. R., 572	4016
Makund Ram v. Debi Das	6 N. W., 324, note	3869
v. Makund Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 228	818
Malaguri Garudiah v. Narayana Rungiah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 359	333
Malan v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 11	261
Malavaraya Nayanar v. Oppayi Ammal	1 Mad., 349	2062
Malchus v. Broughton	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 591	728
v.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 193	6207
Malcolm v. Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	6026
Maleebun v. Raseeda	25 W. R., 401	690
Maleshappa bin Karvirappa v. Bassappa bin Ningappa } Shetavnekar.	1 Bom., 10	4820
Malhari v. Narso Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 174	5419, 5894
Malhari valad Raghoji v. Tukaram valad Darkoji	6 Bom., A. C., 86	3025
Malick Bapoo Meyan v. Hari Walub Nagurdas	5 W. R., P. C., 112	1401
Mallama v. Venkappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 277	848
Mallappah v. Nagannah	6 Mad., 131	668
Mallikarjunudu v. Mallikarjunudu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 185	3581, 3992
Mallik Jawad-ul-Huq v. Ramprasad Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 281	4561, 6072
Maloddee Noshyo v. Bullubbee Kant Dhur	13 W. R., 190	3062
Maloji Santaji v. Vithu Hari	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 520	1519
Malraja alias Krishnama Rajah v. Narayanasamy Rajah	4 Mad., 281	3267
Maluk Chand Surma v. Karlu Chandra Surma	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 399: 5 W. } R., 76.	1816
Mammali v. Pakki	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 428	4256
Mamoola Khanum v. Mahomed Easa Khan	13 W. R., 429	1783
Mamtazul Huq v. Nirbhai Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 711: 12 C. } L. R., 318.	574
Manally Chenna Kesavaraya v. Mangadu Vaidelinga	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 343	29, 3234 3303
Manappa Mudali v. McCarthy	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 192	5071, 5701 5735
Mana Vikrama v. Mallichevry Kristnan Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68	180, 388 5953
Mana Vikraman v. Sundaran Pattar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 148	3755
Man Bhari v. Naunidh	I. L. R., 4 All., 40	2262, 4844
Mancharam v. Pranshankar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 298	2251, 2454 4300
Mancharam Kallindas v. Ratilal Lalshankar	6 Bom., A. C., 39	3175, 3457
Mancharji Hirji Readymoney. In re	5 Bom., O. C., 55	2671
Mancharji Pestanji v. Narayan Lakshumanji	1 Bom., 77	2536, 6121
Mancharji Sorabji Chulla v. Kongseo	6 Bom., O. C., 59	6137
Mancharsha Ashpandiarji v. Kamrunisa Begam	5 Bom., A. C., 109	4022, 4239
Mancherji Kwasji Davur v. Mithibai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 506	4242
Manchharam Kallindas v. Bakshe Saheb Mir Mainudin } Khan.	6 Bom., A. C., 231	5681
Maneckji Limji Mancherji v. Goolbai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 241	4345, 4530
Maneerun v. Luteefun	3 W. R., 46	3146
Manekji Framji. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 167	2664
Maneklal Amratlal v. Shivalal Bhogilal	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426	5594
Manessur Doss v. Collector and Municipal Commis- } sioners of Chapra.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 409	2848
Mangala Debi v. Dinanath Bose	4 B. L. R., O. C., 72: 12 W. } R., O. C., 35.	2259
Mangaldas Nathubhoy v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 38	2538
Mangal Khan v. Mumtaz Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 239	4090
Mangamma v. Timmapaiya	3 Mad., 134	4252

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mangina Khatun <i>v.</i> Collector of Jessore . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 144: 12 W. R., 311.	5367
Mangniram Marwari <i>v.</i> Dhowtal Roy . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 569 . . .	2712
Man Gobind Doss Mohapatter <i>v.</i> Jankee Ram . . .	W. R., 1864, 211 . . .	1703
Man Gobind Sircar <i>v.</i> Umbika Monee Dossia . . .	16 W. R., 218 . . .	2735
Mangu <i>v.</i> Lal Kandhai Lal . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 475 . . .	3188
Man Gurobinee Dassee <i>v.</i> Khettur Chunder Ghose . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 47 . . .	8, 530
Mani Chandra Dass. In the matter of . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 188: 11 W. R., 62.	1040
Manickavelu Mudali <i>v.</i> Arbutnot & Co. . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404 . . .	3172, 6056
Manickbai <i>v.</i> Hormasji Bomanji . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547 . . .	6197
Manick Chand <i>v.</i> Bishaishur Bukhsh Singh . . .	2 Agra, 99 . . .	4536
Manick Chund <i>v.</i> Jomona Dass . . .	7 C. L. R., 88 . . .	4680, 5851
<i>v.</i> Jomona Dass . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 645 . . .	5855
Manick Chunder <i>alias</i> Protap Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Raj Luckhee Dossee. }	19 W. R., 252 . . .	687
Manick Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Dwarkanauth Doss . . .	2 Hay, 502 . . .	5301
Manick Chunder Dutt <i>v.</i> Bhuggobutty Dossee . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 443 . . .	2130
Manick Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Lallmon Sheikh . . .	8 W. R., 214 . . .	5827, 5830
Manick Moyee Chowdrain <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Roy . . .	17 W. R., 510 . . .	669
Manickram <i>v.</i> Ramyad Ram . . .	2 W. R., 63 . . .	6247
<i>v.</i> Roopnarain Singh . . .	Marsh., 5: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36 . . .	884
Manick Sett <i>v.</i> Khetternohan Gossamee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101 . . .	4911
Manick Singh <i>v.</i> Pirthee Singh . . .	5 N. W., 163 . . .	4997
Manickya Moyee <i>v.</i> Boroda Prosad Mookerjee . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 355: 11 C. L. R., 430. }	3155, 4288
Manikam Mudali <i>v.</i> Queen . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 63 . . .	4613
Manik Chand <i>v.</i> Madhoram . . .	3 B. L. R., P. C., 5: 11 W. R., P. C., 42: 13 Moore's I. A., 1. }	463
Manikji Mehervanji <i>v.</i> Rahimtulla Alubhai . . .	1 Bom., Ap., 1 . . .	
Manikji Shapurji Kaka. In re . . .	5 Bom., O. C., 61 . . .	
Manikji Sorabji <i>v.</i> Cama . . .	3 Bom., O. C., 159 . . .	
Maniklal Atmaram <i>v.</i> Manchershhi Dinsha . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269 . . .	
Maniklal Baboo <i>v.</i> Ramdas Mazumdar . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 92: 10 W. R., 132. }	
Maniklal Venilal <i>v.</i> Lakha . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 429 . . .	
Manikya Row Lakshmayyah <i>v.</i> Manikya Row Gopal Row . . .	7 Mad., 400 . . .	
Mani Lal Sahu <i>v.</i> Collector of Sarun . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 93: 14 W. R., 424. }	40
Maniram Deb <i>v.</i> Debi Charan Deb . . .	4 B. L. R., F. B., 97: 13 W. R., F. B., 42. }	
Maniram <i>v.</i> Mashihan . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 66 . . .	
Maniruddin <i>v.</i> Gaur Chandra Shamadar . . .	7 B. L. R., 165: 15 W. R., Cr., 89. }	
Maniruddin Ahmed <i>v.</i> Ram Chand . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 341 . . .	
Manirullah <i>v.</i> Ramzan Ali . . .	1 C. L. R., 293 . . .	
Mani Shankar Hargovan <i>v.</i> Trikam Narsi . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 42 . . .	
Maniswar Das <i>v.</i> Bir Pertab Sahu . . .	6 B. L. R., 646: 15 W. R., 188. }	414
Maniyam Narasinma Gaundan <i>v.</i> Ayya Krishnama Chariyar. }	7 Mad., 37 . . .	4578
Manjanatha <i>v.</i> Narayana . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 362 . . .	
Manjunath Badrabhat <i>v.</i> Venkatesh Govind Shanbhog . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 54 . . .	
Mankee Koer <i>v.</i> Khedoo Lal . . .	2 Hay, 623 . . .	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mankee Kooer v. Munnoo	{ 14 B. L. R., 315 : 22 W. R., } 543. }	3390
Mankoonwer v. Dilawur Hossein Khan	{ 1 Agra, Rev., 36 }	4969
Mankuar v. Jasodha Kuar	{ I. L. R., 1 All., 478 }	1097
— v. Ram Kishore	{ I. L. R., 5 All., 314 }	240
— v. Tara Singh	{ I. L. R., 7 All., 583 }	5275, 5451
Manly v. Manly	{ 14 W. R., 136 }	2022, 5615
— v. Patterson	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 339 : 9 C. L. } R., 166. }	3095
— v. ———	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 394 }	1462, 3440
Manmahini Dasi v. Balak Chandra Pandit	{ 8 B. L. R., 22 : 15 W. R., } 498. }	2421
Manmal valad Surat Mal v. Dashrath valad Narayan	{ 9 Bom., 147 }	4826
Manmohini Chowdhraim v. Premchand Roy	{ 6 B. L. R., 1 : 14 W. R., F. } B., 4. }	215, 3810
Mannalal v. Bank of Bengal	{ I. L. R., 1 All., 309 }	1105
Mauna Singh v. Ramadhin Singh	{ I. L. R., 4 All., 252 }	4542
Manni Kasaundhan v. Crooke	{ I. L. R., 2 All., 296 }	3228, 4078
Mannoo Dossee v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	{ 15 W. R., 245 }	747
Mannu Lal v. Harsukh Das	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 233 }	3246
Mannu Lal v. Pegue	{ 9 B. L. R., 175, note : 10 W. } R., 379. }	3380
Manockji Petit Manufacturing Company v. Mahalaxmi Spinning and Weaving Company.	{ I. L. R., 10 Bom., 617 }	1384
Manohar v. Gebiapa	{ I. L. R., 6 Bom., 31 }	1522, 3541
Manohar Bhivrav Potanis. Ex parte.	{ 2 Bom., 396 : 2nd Ed., 374 }	2800
Manohar Das v. Bhagabati Dasi	{ 1 B. L. R., O. C., 28 }	1823, 4233
— v. Manzur Ali	{ I. L. R., 5 All., 40 }	4249
Manohar Ganesh v. Bawa Ram Charan Das	{ I. L. R., 2 Bom., 219 }	165, 1246
Manohar Ganesh Tambekar v. Chutabhai Mithabhai	{ I. L. R., 8 Bom., 347 }	5890
Manohar G. Tambekar. In re	{ I. L. R., 4 Bom., 26 }	4064
Manohar Lal v. Gauri Shankar	{ I. L. R., 1 All., 476 }	1235
Manohar Ram Chandra v. Lakshman Mahadev	{ I. L. R., 9 Bom., 371 }	3564
Mano Mohun Ghose v. Mothura Mohun Roy	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 225 : 8 C. L. } R., 126. }	4342
Manoollah v. Gunes	{ 3 Agra, 390 }	4183
Mapooram Shaw v. Hurry Persaud Roy	{ Bourke, O. C., 162 }	5278
Manraj Kuari v. Radha Prasad Singh	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 466 }	4676
Mansang v. Government of Bombay	{ I. L. R., 4 Bom., 443 }	1244
Mansha Devi v. Jiwan Mal	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 617 }	4389
Man Singh v. Narayan Das	{ I. L. R., 1 All., 480 }	2436
Mansing Lalung v. Theram Doloye	{ 22 W. R., 395 }	5025
Man Singh Mahtoon v. Bhaik Narain Mahtoon	{ 19 W. R., 210 }	5676
Manson v. Golam Kebria Moonsee	{ 15 W. R., 490 }	1770
Mansuk Das v. Rangayya Chetti	{ 1 Mad., 162 }	689, 1724
Mansukhram Purshotam v. Javarevohu	{ 7 Bom., A. C., 222 }	1115, 1367
Mansur Ali Khan v. Sarju Pershad	{ L. R., 13 I. A., 113 : I. L. } R., 9 All., 20. }	1939
Mantappa Nadgowda v. Baswantrao Nadgowda	{ 15 W. R., P. C., 33 : 14 } Moore's I. A., 24. }	3987
Mantena Rayaparaj v. Chekuri Venkataraj	{ 1 Mad., 100 }	5824
Manthareswara Atyar v. Kamala Naiker	{ 3 Mad., 88 }	2215
Man Turunginee Dabee v. Boistub Churn Bhudder	{ 1 W. R., 110 }	636
Manual Fruval v. Sanagapalli Latchmidevamma	{ 7 Mad., 104 }	480
		3549

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Manu Miya v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 371 : 11 C. } L. R., 52. }	2756
Manwar Ali v. Annodapersad Rai	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 644 : 6 C. L. } R., 71 : L. R., 7 I. A., 1. }	3427
Maracho Kooer v. Chutoorbhooj Sahoy	24 W. R., 459	3501
Marakar v. Parameswaran	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 140	3764, 6088
Marakar Akath Kondarakayil Mamu v. Punjapatath Kuttu.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 61	3964
Marakkal v. Kandappa Goundan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373	3739
Marana Ammanna v. Pendyala Perubotulu	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 230	3956, 3965
Maratib Ali v. Abdul Hakim	I. L. R., 1 All., 567	4416
Marcar v. Sigg	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 239	1052
Mari v. Chinnammal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 107	2312
Mariam Begum v. Rye Churn Dutt	13 W. R., 269	6102
Markundi Dial v. Ram Baran Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 735	5201
Marshall. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 421 ; and on } appeal, 10 C. L. R., 591. }	2640
Marthamma v. Kittu Sheregara	6 Mad., 91	5663
Martin v. Baker	15 B. L. R., 372	4325
—— v. Lawrence	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 655	1042
—— v. Pursram	2 Agra, 124	3886
—— v. Sheoram Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 232	4812
Maruti Narayan v. Lilachand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 564	1468, 2410
Marwady Beejarajoo v. Haynes	6 Mad., 83	5686
"Mary Stuart," The, v. The "Nevada"	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 866	126
Masarat-un-nissa v. Adit Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 568	4774
Maseyk. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 1	4503
—— v. Fergusson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304	5901, 6219
—— v. Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670	6220
—— v. Hodger	24 W. R., 34	4593
Mashishuree Dossee v. Ram Sagur Singh	W. R., 1864, 306	36
Mashook Ali Khan v. Jowala Buksh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 69	546
Mashook Ameen Suzzada v. Marem Reddy	2 N. W., 290	3335
Mashook Ameen Suzzada v. Marem Reddy	8 Mad., 31	3889
Mashoolah Khan v. Ram Lal Agurwallah	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 6	5890
Masooma Bebee v. Nazur Fatma	1 N. W., 117 : Ed. 1873, 203	497
Masseyk v. Jugobundhoo Dutt	1 W. R., Mis., 12	5863
Massim Meah v. Sham Doss	22 W. R., 189	6137
Massim Mollah v. Panjoo Ghoramee	21 W. R., 373	1167
Masuma Bibi v. Collector of Ballia	I. L. R., 7 All., 687	1252
Mata Deen v. Chundee Deen	2 N. W., 54	2918
Matadeen Doobey v. Chundee Deen Doobey	6 N. W., 118	2918, 2919
Matadeen Roy v. Mussoodun Singh	10 W. R., 293	1500
Matangini Debi v. Jaykali Debi	5 B. L. R., 466 : 14 W. R., } O. C., 23. }	2525
Mata Parshad v. Janki	7 N. W., 226	2864
Mata Pershad v. Bhageeruthee	2 N. W., 78	2208
—— v. Bhugmanee	1 N. W., 75 : Ed. 1873, 128	4033
Mata Prasad v. Gauri	I. L. R., 3 All., 59	5699
Matapulut Singh v. Mata Dyal	3 Agra, 275	3043
Mathappa v. Chellappa	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 196	1996
Mathews v. Giridharilal Fatechand	7 Bom., O. C., 1	2048
Mathoo Sahoo v. Lalal Ameer Chand	15 B. L. R., 503 : 24 W. } R., 24. }	3969
Mathra Parshad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 296	5123, 5936
Mathura Das v. Babu Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 683	3208
—— v. Mitchell	I. L. R., 4 All., 206	4191, 4845

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mathura Das v. Nathuni Lall Mahta	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 731 .	5473
Mathuradas Govardhundas v. Fatma Ulka Begam	5 Bom., A. C., 63 .	1901, 5923
Mathuradas Jagjivandas v. Natha Baja	6 Bom., A. C., 131 .	5660
Mathuradas Lowji v. Goculdas Madhowji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 468 .	6060
Mathuradas Nandvalabh v. Bai Amthi	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 522 .	3231
Mathuradas Ranchoddas v. Kalla Khushal	7 Bom., A. C., 24 .	4828
Mathura Mohun Saha v. Gyaram Haldar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 128 .	1599
Mathura Naikin v. Esu Naikin	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 545 .	2116, 2220 2458
Mathuranath Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the pe- tition of.	9 B. L. R., 354: 17 W. R. Cr., 55.	5946
Mathuranath Dutt v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 128 .	2081
Mathuranath Kundu v. Campbell	9 B. L. R., 115, note: 15 W R., 463.	4925
——— v. Steel	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 533 .	530, 3330
Mathura Pandey v. Ram Rucha Tewari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 108: 11 W. R., 482.	902, 1722 2017, 5761
Mathura Persad Singh v. Luggun Koer	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 615 .	2728
Mathura Prasad v. Durjan Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 639 .	2724
Mati Singh v. Lilanand Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 173 .	3416
Matonginy Dassee v. Chowdhry Junmunjoy Mullick	25 W. R., 513 .	438, 3266
Mattongenev Dossee v. Ramnarain Sadkhan	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 83: 2 C. L. R., 428.	4808
Matuki Misser v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 619 .	2600, 4366
Matungee Churn Mitter v. Moorrury Mohun Ghose	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 175: 21 W. } R., 453.	5333
Matunginee Debea v. Gugun Chunder Bhooy	2 W. R., Mis., 41 .	4215
Matunginee Dossee v. Haradhun Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 60 .	531
——— v. Hureepershad Mundul	24 W. R., 25 .	1216
Matungunee Dabea v. Kalee Dabea	2 W. R., 4 .	6231
Mauji Ram v. Tara Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 852 .	3850
Mavulali Amirudin Sharif v. Gundu Sobhanadri	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 339 .	3903
Mayabhai Prembhai v. Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 258 .	786, 3544
Mayadeb Gossami. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 762: 8 } L. R., 292.	1799
Mayandi v. McQuhae	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 124 .	3602
Mayandy Tevan v. Naranaiyan	4 Mad., 108 .	1173
Mayaram v. Lachho	I. L. R., 2 All., 631 .	4550
——— v. Prag Dat	I. L. R., 5 All., 44 .	5806
Mayaram Bhairam v. Motiram Govindram	2 Bom., 331: 2nd Ed., 313 .	2510
Mayashankar v. Harishankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 661 .	5224
Mayavanjari Chumaren v. Nimini Mayuran	2 Mad., 109 .	3763
Mayer v. Sowlatoonissa	2 W. R., Act X, 96 .	3129
Mayhew v. Tulloch	4 N. W., 25 .	2804, 2806 5658
Mayho v. Williams	2 N. W., 268 .	4668
Mayna Bai v. Uttaram	2 Mad., 196 .	2322
Mayor of Lyons v. Advocate General of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 303: 26 W. } R., 1: L. R., 3 I. A., 32.	728
——— v. East India Company	1 Moore's I. A., 175 .	1576
Mazedoonissa Beebee v. Fuzzen Beebeo	4 W. R., Mis., 6 .	3457, 3526
Mazha Ali v. Mahomed Sahib Khan	7 N. W., 336 .	1120
Mazhar Ali v. Budh Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 297 .	3728
Mazhar Ali Khan v. Sardar Mal	I. L. R., 2 All., 769 .	2725
Mazzum Ally Khan v. Pirthee Singh	3 Agra, 263 .	1715
McConnell v. Mayer	2 N. W., 315 .	1706
McGavin v. Wilson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 405 .	4603

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.
McGiveran <i>v.</i> Hurkhoo Singh	18 W. R., 203
McGiveron <i>v.</i> Duriaw Chowdhry	20 W. R., 479
McIvor <i>v.</i> Stainbank	5 Mad., 70
McKellar <i>v.</i> Wallace	5 Moore's I. A., 372
McCorkindale. In the matter of <i>v.</i> Young	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 1 : 6 C. L. R., 406. 18 W. R., 466
Meah Jan Munshi <i>v.</i> Kurruna Mayi Debi	8 B. L. R., 1
Meah Khan <i>v.</i> Narain Chunder Chowdhry	18 W. R., 197
Meajan Khan <i>v.</i> Akally	Marsh., 384 : 2 Hay, 426
Mean Jan. In re	5 W. R., Mis., 20
Meanooddeen <i>v.</i> Greesh Chunder Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 230
Meekjee Khetsee <i>v.</i> Kasowjee Devachund	4 C. L. R., 282
Meda Bibi <i>v.</i> Imaman Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 207
Medhi Ullee Khan <i>v.</i> Mahomed Wajid Ullee	1 N. W., Pt. II, 10 : Ed. } 1873, 70. 11 B. L. R., 9 : 19 W. R. 345.
Meechoo Chunder Sircar <i>v.</i> Ravenshaw	1 W. R., Cr., 7
Meelan Khalifa <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Goopto	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315
Meer Nawaub. In the matter of	2 Agra, 335
Meerun <i>v.</i> Najeebun	7 W. R., P. C., 27 : 11 } Moore's I. A., 213. }
Meethun Bibee <i>v.</i> Busheer Khan	15 W. R., 351
Mee Tacc. In the matter of	5 W. R., 211'
Meghbarun Singh <i>v.</i> Mohessur Buksh Singh	8 C. L. R., 369
Megh Lal Pooree <i>v.</i> Mohammed Dutt Jha	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 34
Megh Lal Pooree <i>v.</i> Shib Pershad Madi	4 B. L. R., A. C., 200 : 13 } W. R., 224.
Megh Narayan Sing <i>v.</i> Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., i Calc., 197 : 23 W R., 438.
Meghraj <i>v.</i> Beejoy Gobind Burrall	I. L. R., 1 All., 280
<i>v.</i> Zakir Hussain	17 W. R., 281
Meghraj Singh <i>v.</i> Rashdharee Singh	8 Bom., O. C., 169
Megji Hansraj <i>v.</i> Ramji Joita	7 Bom., O. C., 137
Megraj Jagannath <i>v.</i> Gokaldas Mathuradas	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 78
Megraj Singh <i>v.</i> Joy Mungul Singh	7 W. R., 462
Meharban Khan <i>v.</i> Muhboob Khan	1 W. R., Mis., 28
Mehdee Ally Khan <i>v.</i> Luchmeeput Baboo	15 W. R., 530
Mehdee Beg <i>v.</i> Zellal Thakoor	6 N. W., 259
Mehdee Hossein Khan <i>v.</i> Anjud Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 889
Mehdi Husain <i>v.</i> Madar Bakhsh	11 W. R., 333
Meher Ali <i>v.</i> Golam Nuzuff	8 W. R., 39
<i>v.</i> Showkut Ali	22 W. R., 402
Meher Banoo <i>v.</i> Keramut Ali	1 Agra, 106
Meherban Singh <i>v.</i> Sheo Koonwer	14 W. R., O. C., 7
Meher Chand Sahoo <i>v.</i> Moroolyram	25 W. R., 270
Meher Jan <i>v.</i> Gerda	2 W. R., Act X, 29
Meheroonissa <i>v.</i> Bhashaye Merdha	17 W. R., 396
<i>v.</i> Roushan Jehan	10 W. R., 220
Meheroonissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Hur Churn Bose	17 W. R., 509
Meheroonissa <i>v.</i> Abdool Gunee	5 Bom., O. C., 147
Mehervanji Mancharji <i>v.</i> Punja Velji	1 N. W., 60 : Ed. 1873, 114
Mehpal Roy <i>v.</i> Hidayutoollah	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mehran <i>v.</i> Kubiran	6 B. L. R., 60, note: 13 W. R., 49. }	3332, 3650
Mehtab Singh <i>v.</i> Purma	3 Agra, 241	3416
Mehur Ali <i>v.</i> Kunhyee	1 Agra, Rev., 13	1680
Mehuroonissa Khatoun <i>v.</i> Wise	15 W. R., F. B., 2, note	5139
Meislebach. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., Cr., 49.	3741
Melaram Nudial <i>v.</i> Thanooram Bamun	9 W. R., 552	2439, 5095
Melgirappa bin Solbappa Teli <i>v.</i> Shivappa bin Erappa	6 Bom., A. C., 270	5100
Mellus <i>v.</i> Vicar Apostolic of Malabar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 295	2193, 2200
Meltoon Bibee <i>v.</i> Gibbon	12 W. R., 101	1780, 4412
Memon Hajeer Haroon Mahomed <i>v.</i> Abdul Karim	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 91	6052
Menadee Mahomed Cazuun Serazee <i>v.</i> Ally Mahomed } Shoosbey. }	6 Moore's I. A., 27	84, 94
Menglas Tea Estate. In re	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 383	4628
Mengur Mundur <i>v.</i> Huree Mohun Thakoor	23 W. R., 447	2768
Merbai <i>v.</i> Perozebai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268	5857
Mercantile Credit and Financial Association. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 125	2589, 3143
_____	9 Bom., 27	2045, 2265
(Damaskar's case). }	8 Bom., O. C., 117	6051
Mercer <i>v.</i> Narpal Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 730	2626
Merjah Janand <i>v.</i> Krishto Chunder <i>alias</i> Kinoo Lahary	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 507	2669
Merwanji Hormusji <i>v.</i> Rustomji Burjorji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 628	422
Merwanji Nowroji <i>v.</i> Ashabai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 1	5080
Mether Ali <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 530	4330
Mewa. In the matter of	6 N. W., 254	5242, 5714
Mewa Koonwer <i>v.</i> Lalla Oudh Beharee Lall	2 Agra, 311	5159, 5577
Mewa Kuwar <i>v.</i> Hulas Kuwar	13 B. L. R., 312: L. R., 1 I. A., 157. }	5913
Mewa Lall <i>v.</i> Beharee Lall	14 W. R., 195	2339, 2366
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahato	25 W. R., 152	1684, 3412
Mewa Lall Thakur <i>v.</i> Bhujhun Lall	13 B. L. R., Ap., 11	307, 4896
Mewa Sing <i>v.</i> Azeezooddeen Khan	13 W. R., 311	2762
Mhalsabai <i>v.</i> Vithoba Khandappa Gulve	7 Bom., Ap., 26	1488
Mia Khan <i>v.</i> Bibijan	5 B. L. R., 500: 14 W. R., 308	1914
Mian Jan <i>v.</i> Man Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 686	682, 2117
Michael <i>v.</i> Ameena Bibi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 733: 13 C. } L. R., 161. }	2131
_____ <i>v.</i> Gordon	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 271	3730
Micharaya Guruvu <i>v.</i> Sadasiva Parama Guruvu	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 319	5470
Michell. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 339	5585
Miller. In re	4 B. L. R., A. C., 72, note: } 12 W. R., 103. }	3168
_____ <i>v.</i> Administrator General of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 412	180
_____ <i>v.</i> Akhoree Ram	15 W. R., 412	1126, 1322
_____ <i>v.</i> Barlow	14 Moore's I. A., 209	5922
_____ <i>v.</i> Beer	6 C. L. R., 294	5901
_____ <i>v.</i> Brindabun	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 946: 4 C. } L. R., 460. }	1245
_____ <i>v.</i> Chartered Mercantile Bank of India, London, and China. }	6 B. L. R., 701	2638, 2699
_____ <i>v.</i> Gouripore Company	8 B. L. R., 285	3099
		5611
		5715
		1527, 2642
		1085, 1219

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Miller v. Mon Mohun Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 213 : 8 C. } L. R., 213. }	2628
— v. Nasmyth's Patent Press Company .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 312	3105
— v. Ram Ranjan Chakravarti	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1014	4714
— v. Runga Nath Moulick	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 389	2382, 3353 3380
— v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 84 : L. R., 10 I. A., 98. }	2643
Mills v. Mitchell	Bourke, O. C., 18	3101
— v. Modee Pestonjee Khoorshedjee	2 Moore's I. A., 37	59, 4648
Mina Konwari v. Juggat Setani	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 196 : 13 C. } L. R., 385 : L. R., 10 I. A., } 119. }	1705, 3458
Minakshi v. Velu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 373	4677
— v. Virappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 89	2154, 2533
Mina Kumari Bibee v. Jagat Sattani Bibee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 220	5449
Minnu Sirkar v. Rhedoy Nath Roy	6 C. L. R., 135	1845
Minto v. Kalee Churn Doss	8 W. R., 327	1352
Mirabivi v. Vellayanna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 464	3639
Miritherjeet Singh v. Choker Narain Singh	2 W. R., 58	2989
Mirtoonjoy Chuckerbutty v. Buroda Kant Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 18	4169
— v. Cochran	8 W. R., 202	1934
Mirtunjoy Bose v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum	W. R., F. B., 60	4161
Mirtunjoy Chuckerbutty v. Cochran	4 W. R., P. C., 1 : 10 Moore's } I. A., 229. }	2706, 4585
Mirtunjoy Dutt v. Kameence Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95	1208
Mirtunjoy Sircar v. Gopal Chuunder Sircar	10 W. R., 466	3013
Mirza Nawab v. Bahadoor Ali	7 W. R., 156	1471
Misajoolnissa v. Bunseedhur	1 N. W., 193 : Ed. 1873, 277	4596
Misir Ragho Bardial v. Sheo Baksh Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 439 : 12 C. L. } R., 520 : L. R., 9 I. A., 197. }	5066
Misrain v. Gopal Lall Doss	10 W. R., 376	4586
Misri Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13 : Marsh. } 527 : W. R., F. B., 116. }	210
Misri Lal v. Mozhar Hossein	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 262	3889
Misser v. Naser Ali	21 W. R., 33	1733
Misser Debeperashad v. Buldeopershad	5 N. W., 116	1216
Misser Deo Kishun v. Misser Bhugwan Doss	3 Agra, 199	171
Missleback v. Luchmee Narain	17 W. R., 504	3010
Missree Loll v. Ramnaraïn	Cor., 63	4320
Mitchell v. Gladstone	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 406	2686
— v. Mathura Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 6 : L. R., 12 I. A., 150. }	4816, 4845
— v. Oriental Gas Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 323	2677
Mithibai v. Limji Nowroji Banaji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 45	217
Mitho Lall v. Seeta Ram	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 506 ; and on } appeal, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 151. }	4240
Mitna v. Fuzlrb	1 Agra, Rev., 40	1645
Mitrajit Singh v. Tundan Singh	6 B. L. R., 148 : 15 W. R., P. C., 15 : 13 Moore's I. A., 573. }	2748
Mitta Kunth Audhicarry v. Neerunjun Audhicarry	3 B. L. R., Ap., 88 : 12 W. } R., 14. }	1611
Mitter Sein v. Narain Singh	14 B. L. R., 166 : 22 W. R., } 437. }	2454, 4300
Mitterjeet Singh v. Duli Chund	1 N. W., 206 : Ed. 1873, 289	4853
	5 C. L. R., 243	3923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mitterjeet Singh v. Heirs of the widow of Jusuwant Singh.	6 W. R., P. C., 15 : 3 Moore's I. A., 42.	
— v. Mookh Lall Singh	25 W. R., 139	4003
— v. Radhapershad Singh	23 W. R., 368	6187
Mitthoo Lall v. Gholam Nuseer-ood-deen	3 Agra, 276	4306
Mitto Khan v. Ruhman Khan	8 W. R., 361	194, 3452
Mittoo Lall v. Mahtab Kooeree	19 W. R., 98	226
Mittrajit Singh v. Raghubunsi Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 5	2154
Mittun Bibee v. Buzloor Khan	8 W. R., 392	5623
Mittunlal Sahoo v. Nadur	1 W. R., 53	4931
Mitturjeet Singh v. Fitzpatrick	11 W. R., 206	1609
Miya Gulam Nabi v. Kharanbibi	6 Bom., A. C., 177	312
Mizajool Nissa v. Bunshee Dhur	1 N. W., 193 : Ed. 1873, 277	249
Mobaruck Ali v. Ameer Ali	21 W. R., 252	5337, 5340
— v. Soomee Kunja Charce	3 N. W., 168	203, 1880
Mobaruck Shaw v. Toofany	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 206 : 2 C. L. R., 446. }	5292
Mobaruk Ali v. Boistub Churn Chowdhry	11 W. R., 25	2700, 3835
— v. Imdad Ali	16 W. R., 200	4191
Mobarukoonissa Begum v. Ozeer Jemadar	8 W. R., 107	5734
Mobkoonissa v. Dewan Ali	4 W. R., Mis., 22	411, 5375
Modalatha. Ex parte	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 75	198, 5733
Modee Huddin Jowardar v. Sandes	12 W. R., 439	1582, 3018
Modee Kaikhooscrow Hormusjee v. Cooveerbhaee	4 W. R., P. C., 94 : 6 Moore's I. A., 448. }	265, 622
Modhe v. Dongre	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 609	2739, 4295
Modhoo Bibee v. Ram Manicko Dey	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 31	4411
Modhoo Dossia v. Nobin Chunder Roy	16 W. R., 25	5071
Modhoo Dyal Singh v. Golbur Singh	9 W. R., 511	4523
— v. Kolbur Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1018	2189
Modhoomutty Debia v. Dhunput Singh	13 W. R., 167	2189
Modhoo Ram Dey v. Boydonath Doss	9 W. R., 592	3515, 5725
Modhoo Soodun v. Kaemoolah Biswas	9 W. R., 327	5728
Modhoosoodun Chatterjee v. Juddooputty Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 115	2934, 5025
Modhoosoodun Chowdhry v. Ram Mohun Ghur	8 W. R., 473	2092
Modhoosoodun Chuckerbutty v. Nufur Bawal	1 W. R., 196	3054, 4298
Modhoosoodun Dey v. Bissonath Dey	15 B. L. R., 361	2929, 2930
— v. Mohendronath Mookerjee	9 W. R., 206	531, 540
Modhoosoodun Ghose v. Romanath Ghose	12 W. R., 65	4568
Modhoosoodun Ghose alias Madhub Chunder Ghose v. Joyram Hazrah	13 W. R., Cr., 39	4414, 4609
Modhoosoodun Koondoo v. Madhubram Sewloll	15 W. R., 161	1490, 1884
Modhoo Soodun Manjee v. Dabee Gobind Newgee	10 W. R., F. B., 36	959
Modhoo Soodun Mojoomdar v. Brojonath Koond Chowdhry	5 W. R., Act X, 44	5719
Modhoosoodun Mookerjee v. Jadub Chunder Banerjee	3 W. R., 194	3748
— v. Kirtee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 7	3152, 3180
Modhoo Soodun Mulick v. Panch Cowree Mulick	7 W. R., 302	2275, 2437
Modhoo Soodun Roy Chowdhry v. Bhikaree Roy Chowdhry	5 W. R., Mis., 11	3480, 3508
Modhoosoodun Sandial v. Soroop Chunder Sircar Chowdhry	7 W. R., P. C., 73 : 4 Moore's I. A., 431. }	6221
Modhoosoodun Singh v. Collector of Midnapore	Marsh., 244	2943
— v. Moran & Co.	11 W. R., 43	3334, 4650
— v. Prithee Bullab Paul	16 W. R., 231	93
		4245, 4588
		81, 4257
		5122

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Modhoosoodun Singh v. Purtee Bullub Paul	W. R., 1864, 140	67
Modhu Soodun Chowdhry v. Cochraue	6 C. L. R., 417	2805
Modnarain Puri. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 26	389
Modoosoodun Mozoomdar v. Bindobashiny Dossee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 15	5674
Modoosoodun Paul v. Doyal Chand Mullick	10 B. L. R., Ap., 35	5241
Modun Mohun. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 376	4693
Modun Mohun Chowdhry v. Ashad Ally Beparee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 68 : 13 C. L. R., 51. {	3431
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269 : 5 W. R., P. C., 91 : 10 Moore's I. A., 563. {	1366
Modun Mohun Doss v. Gokul Doss	8 C. L. R., 261	2800
Modun Mohun Ghose Hazra v. Boroda Sondori Dasia	23 W. R., 58	4980
Mofizooddeen v. Amooddeen	2 Hay, 123	2704
Mofukhurl Moolk Musseerud Dowla Syed Sufdar Ally Khan v. Mackintosh. }	10 B. L. R., 381, note : 10 W. R., 196. }	4823
Mofuzel Hossein v. Golam Ambiah	W. R., 1864, Act X, 89	536
Mofuzzel Hossein v. Tussooduk Ali Khan	3 Agra, 288	3734
Mogul Begum v. Fukeerun Beebee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 80 : 12 W. R., 1	3161
Mohabat Ali v. Ali Mahomed Kulal	1 W. R., 12	303, 4676
Mohabeer Doss v. Lalla Roy	13 W. R., 423	97, 98
Mohabeer Pershad Singh v. Collector of Tirhoot	15 W. R., 137	3778
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 591 : 9 C. L. R., 164. }	5363
_____ v. Mohabeer Singh	15 W. R., Cr., 48	4178
Mohabeer Singh. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., 172	5161
_____ v. Dhujjoo Singh	9 C. L. R., 124	352
Mohabir Pershad Singh v. Olpherts	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 150	5461
Mohabir Singh v. Ram Baghowan Chowbey	Marsh., 361	213
Mohabuth Ally v. Mymowissa	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 244	3645
Mohadeay Kooer v. Haruk Narain	15 W. R., 445	4303
Mohadeb Mundul v. Cowell	16 W. R., 59	4336, 5313
_____ v. Modhoo Mundul	9 W. R., 359	897
Mohadeb Shaha v. Sheo Suhoy Geer	12 W. R., 22	6236
Mohadeo Lall v. Nundun Lall	24 W. R., 250	3340
Mohadeo Misser v. Lahoree Misser	9 C. L. R., 332	1453, 4133
Mohamaya Chowdhraiz v. Durga Churn Shaha	19 W. R., 35	6222
Mohamaya Dossee v. Bindoo Bashinee Dossee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 533	3166
Mohamaya Goopta v. Nilmadhab Rai	3 B. L. R., A. C., 304	3052
Mohamed Azsarr Ali v. Nassir Mohamed	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	4152
Mohamed Beg v. Juggar Nauth Dass ; claim of Omerchand. }	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 589 : 5 C. L. R., 519. }	896
Mohamed Elahae Buksh v. Kally Mohun Mookhopadhya. }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476	3877
Mohamed Eshak. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Rev., 52	3609
Mohamed Ghous v. Kurreemoonissa	23 W. R., Cr., 34	2918
Mohamed Golab v. Mohabeer Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380	1302
Mohamed Masik v. Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah Mehal Saheba. }	3 Agra, 70	1227
Mohamed Villayet-ool-lah Khan v. Ahmed Hussun Khan	1 W. R., 253	4535
Mohammed Singh v. Mughy Chowdhraiz	7 W. R., 63	1181
Mohamoya Dossee v. Doyamoye Chowdhraiz	10 W. R., 112	1595
Moha Moyee Dossee v. Joodhister Deb	15 W. R., 271	1736
Mohamudee Begum v. Oomdutoonissa	7 Bom., A. C., 69	95
Mohana v. Sadodin	3 B. L. R., A. C., 233 : 12 W. R., 45. }	3077
Mohan Chand Kandu v. Azim Kazi Chowkidar		3196

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohandas v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 597 . . .	2301
Mohan Ishwar v. Haku Rupa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 638 . . .	616, 2074 2796, 3859
Mohan Kooer v. Ajoodhya Doss	20 W. R., 119	4066 4592
Mohan Lal v. Ram Dial	I. L. R., 2 All., 843	5030
Mohanlal Jechand v. Amrat Lal Becherdas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 174	1342, 4579
Mohan Lal v. Nathu Ram	1 B. L. R., O. C., 144	355
Mohan Lal Mittra v. Bishun Chandra Chatterjee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 100	4408
Mohan Lal Sùkul v. Ulfutunnisa	5 B. L. R., 164, note: 11 W. R., 98. }	3457
Mohan Manor v. Togu Uka	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 224	3948
Mohan Ram Jha v. Shib Dutt Sing	8 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 21. }	5366
Mohansing Chawan v. Conder	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 478	3273
Mohanund Banerjee v. Shushee Shekhur Chatterjee	20 W. R., 132	5197
Mohanund Chowdhry v. Eglinton	1 W. R., 343	1390
Mohanund Holdar v. Akial Mehaldar	9 W. R., 118	5457
Moharaj Singh v. Lalla Bheechuck Lall	3 W. R., 71	3712
Mohatab Chand v. Chittro Coomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 201	6
Mohedeem Ahmed Khan v. Mahomed	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 132	1811, 3694
Mohee Lall v. Khetaram Marwary	25 W. R., 76	6076
Moheem v. Raheemotoollah	Marsh., 341: 2 Hay, 433	5246
Moheecooddeen v. Ahmed' Hossein	14 W. R., 384	2603
Moheecooddeen Ahmed v. Elahee Buksh	6 W. R., 277	3669
_____ v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry	3 W. R., Act X, 142	5817
Moheeput Singh v. Etbaree Chowdhry	19 W. R., 226	422
Mohendra Lal Khan v. Rosomoyi Dasi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 207	1741
Mohendro Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Surbo Rokhya Dabia. }	11 W. R., 534	1515
Mohendro Coomar Dutt v. Heera Mohun Coondoo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 723	5457, 5462
Mohendro Lall Bose v. Jadub Kissen Singh	14 W. R., O. C., 5	3305
Mohendro Narain Singh v. Lalla Ruttun	Marsh., 289: 2 Hay, 278	553
Mohendronath Ash v. Beedobudun Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 220	5241
Mohendronath Bose v. Nussee Mangee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224	370
Mohendro Nath Dawn v. Ishun Chunder Dawn	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 56	2676
Mohendronath Dutt v. Koylash Chunder Dutt	6 W. R., 245	1509
Mohendro Nath Mojoondar v. Futtick Parooe	24 W. R., 319	5771
Mohendro Nath Mookerjee v. Bama Soonduree Dabea	15 W. R., 493	163
Mohendro Nath Mukerjea v. Naffur Chunder Pal Chowdhry. }	1 C. L. R., 537	3426
Mohendro Nath Mullick v. Rakhal Doss Sircar	10 W. R., 344	1676
Mohesh Chunder. In the matter of	20 W. R., Cr., 55	4113, 5155
Mohesh Chunder Bagchee v. Dwarkanath Moitro	24 W. R., 260	5336
Mohesh Chunder Banerjee v. Chunder Monee Dabee	9 W. R., 139	6090
_____ v. Chunder Monee Debee	10 B. L. R., 150, note: 15 W. R., 237. }	5314
_____ v. Chundra Monee Debia	9 W. R., 486	4952
_____ v. Ramprosonno Chowdhry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 539: 6 C. L. R., 280. }	1120, 1176 5323
Mohesh Chunder Biswas v. Goorooopersad Bose	Marsh., 377: 2 Hay, 473	1681
_____ v. Taramouee Dossee	9 W. R., 240	3539
Mohesh Chunder Bose v. Thakoor Doss Gossamee	20 W. R., 425	885
_____ v. Ugrakant Banerjee	24 W. R., 127	2490
Mohesh Chunder Brohmocharee v. Dino Bundhoo Bose	24 W. R., 265	1732
Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya v. Joykishen Mookerjee. }	15 B. L. R., 248, note: 22 W. R., 362. }	5072

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.
Mohesh Chunder Chakladar <i>v.</i> Gungamonee Dossee	18 W. R., 59
Mohesh Chunder Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Chatterjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266
_____ <i>v.</i> Kamini Kumari Dabia	1, L. R., 12 Calc., 313
Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Mohun Lall Sircar	8 W. R., 80
Mohesh Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Koylash Chunder Chuckerbutty.	11 W. R., 443
Mohesh Chunder Doss <i>v.</i> Madhub Chunder Sirdar	13 W. R., 85
Mohesh Chunder Gungopadhyya <i>v.</i> Bishonath Doss	24 W. R., 402
Mohesh Chunder Khan. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 417
Mohesh Chunder Kopali <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Dass	10 C. L. R., 553
Mohesh Chunder Lahoory <i>v.</i> Sumbhoo Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	2 Hay, 303
Mohesh Chunder Moiter <i>v.</i> Buloram Moiter	6 W. R., 94
Mohesh Chunder Mookerjee. In re	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Pal	13 W. R., 248
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramoottum Palit	14 W. R., 163
Mohesh Chunder Roy. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 571
_____ <i>v.</i> Chunder Mohun Roy	14 B. L. R., 273 : 23 W. 78.
Mohesh Chunder Sein <i>v.</i> Collector of Dinagepore	16 W. R., 312
Mohesh Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Juggut Chunder Sen	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 212
Mohesh Chunder Shah <i>v.</i> Shoshee Mookhee Debia	6 W. R., 196
Mohesh Chunder Singh Surma <i>v.</i> Bhoobun Moyee Debia.	13 B. L. R., 217, note : W. R., 252.
Mohesh Dutt <i>v.</i> Begnarain Singh	16 W. R., 83
Moheshes' Lall <i>v.</i> Christian	6 W. R., 250
Mohesh Lal <i>v.</i> Bawan Das	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 961 : 13 C. L. R., 221 : L. R., 10 I. A., 62.
_____ <i>v.</i> Busunt Kumaree	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 340 : 7 C. L. R., 121.
Mohesh Lal <i>v.</i> Christian	8 W. R., 446
Mohesh Mahto <i>v.</i> Piru	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470 : 1 C. L. R., 33.
Mohesh Mistree. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 282 : 25 W. R., Cr., 30, 67.
Mohesh Mundul <i>v.</i> Bhola Nath Mundul	3 C. L. R., 404
_____ <i>v.</i> Bholanath Biswas	3 C. L. R., 405, note
Mohesh Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Kishnanuud Misser	Marsh., 592 : 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 1 : 5 W. R., P. C., 7 : 9 Moore's I. A., 324.
Mohesh Nath <i>v.</i> Hurro Mohun Ghosal	16 W. R., Cr., 12
Mohesh Roy <i>v.</i> Boodhun Mahtoon	18 W. R., 315
Moheshur Buksh Singh <i>v.</i> Collector of Ghazeepore	2 Agra, 214
_____ <i>v.</i> Gunoon Koonwar	6 W. R., 245
Moheshur Mondul <i>v.</i> Koilash Nath Mondul	7 C. L. R., 71
Moheshur Singh <i>v.</i> Government of India	3 W. R., P. C., 45 : 7 Moore's I. A., 283.
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramaput Singh	W. R., F. B., 7 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 35.
Mohessur Buksh Singh <i>v.</i> Jhubboo Chowdhry	2 Hay, 68
_____ <i>v.</i> Muthoora Pershad	8 W. R., 515
_____ <i>v.</i> Sheo Narain Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 59
Mohessur Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Kalee Dass Mookerjee	11 W. R., 573
Mohessur Roy <i>v.</i> Collector of Rajshahye	16 W. R., 263

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Moheswar Das v. Carter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 210 : 12 C. L. R., 122.	658
Moheyodin v. Chhotibibi	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 578 . . .	2851
Mohi Chowdhry v. Dhiro Missrain	6 C. L. R., 139	1840
Mohidin v. Muhammad Ibrahim	1 Mad., 245	5044
Mohidin Ahmid Khan v. Muhammad	1 Mad., 92	1811, 3693
Mohidin Hussien Saheb. In the matter of the petition of	8 Mad., 44	3597
Mohidin Sahib v. Khader Sahib	2 Mad., 42	3130
Mohima Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Poorno Chunder } Banerjee.	11 W. R., 165	1745, 1763
Mohima Chunder Chund v. Mothooranath Ghose	9 W. R., 151	1742
Mohima Chunder Dey v. Gooroodoss Sein	7 W. R., 285	1596, 5314
Mohima Chunder Dey Sircar v. Hurro Lall Sircar {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 768 : 2 C. L. R., 364.	4459
Mohima Chunder Dhur v. Jugul Kishore Bhuttacharji	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 736 : 9 C. L. R., 471.	1410, 4137
Mohima Chunder Ghose v. Madhub Chunder Nag	24 W. R., 80	1167
_____ v. Nobin Chunder Adhikaree	8 W. R., 449	849
Mohima Chunder Mookerjee v. Ram Churn Roy	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 16 . . .	5702
Mohima Chunder Mozoomdar v. Asradha Dassia	15 B. L. R., 251, note : 21 W. R., 207.	5075
Mohima Chunder Mullick v. Buroda Soonduree Dossee	12 W. R., 147	4140
Mohima Chunder Roy v. Durga Monee	23 W. R., 184	2503
_____ v. Hurnath Chungo	18 W. R., 446	5706
Mohima Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Kishore { Acharjee Chowdhry.	15 B. L. R., 142 : 23 W. R., 174.	1700, 2517
_____ v. Wise	25 W. R., 277	1749
Mohima Chunder Sein v. Pitambur Shaha	9 W. R., 147	3039, 3077
Mohima Runjun Roy Chowdhry v. Nobo Coomar Misser	18 W. R., 339	5607
Mohim Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Chunder Churn Gooho	10 W. R., 452	5279
Mohim Mundul v. Kala Chand Naek	13 W. R., 147	5678
Mohindro Bhoosun Biswas v. Shoshee Bhoosun Biswas	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 882 . . .	4276, 4286
Mohindro Lall Mookerjee v. Rookiney Dabee	Cor., 42	2110
Mohindro Nath Mitter v. Koylas Nath Banerjee	Cor., 1 : 2 Hyde, 121 . . .	1064
Mohinee Dossee v. Juggodumba Dossee	W. R., 1864, 382	5328
_____ v. Pokhur Doss Paul	4 W. R., 2	1676
_____ v. Ram Coomar Kurmoker	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77 . . .	1539
Mohinee Mohun Doss v. Assanoollah	17 W. R., 73	40, 739
_____ v. Juggobundoo Bose	7 W. R., 103	41
_____ v. Ram Kant Chowdry	15 W. R., 322	3776
Mohini Chunder Mozumdar v. Jotirmoy Ghose	4 C. L. R., 422	5318
Mohini Mohun Doss v. Juggobundoo Bose	9 W. R., 312	39
Mohinudin v. Manchershah	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 650	3675, 6144
Mohiny Mohun Das v. Krishno Kishore Dutt	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 802 : 12 C. L. R., 337.	4182
Mohiny Mohun Dass Chowdhry v. Bhoobun Joy Shaha	6 C. L. R., 237	5471
Mohiny Mohun Roy v. Ichamoyee Dassen	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 612	1583
Mohiooddeen Mahomed v. Ram Kishore Koondoo	22 W. R., 311	5316
Mohip Narain v. Shaw	25 W. R., 250	5974
Mohno Bibee v. Juggurnath Chowdhry	2 W. R., 78	3705
Mohomuddy Begum v. Oomdutoonissa	13 W. R., 454	77, 93
Mohroo Kooree v. Gunsoo Kooree	8 W. R., 385	2447
Mohsum Ally v. Balasoo Koer	2 Hay, 576	1816, 6155
Mohubut Mundul v. Shoorendronath Roy	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20 . .	4872
Mohumdee Begum v. Bairam Khan	1 Agra, 130	3701
Mohun Bagchi v. Girish Chunder Bundopadhyia	1 C. L. R., 152	3917
Mohun Chunder Dhur v. Kidge	Marsh., 381 : 2 Hay, 419 . .	5763

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohun Chunder Kûrmokar <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Kur- mokar.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 568 . . .	3539
Mohun Dass. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 11 : 6 C. L. } R., 265. }	4671
<i>v.</i> Lutchmun Dass.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 11 : C. R., 265.	4671
Mohun Geer <i>v.</i> Tota	4 N. W., 153	2258
Mohun Gobind Sein <i>v.</i> Nittaye Haldar	6 W. R., Act X, 101	2927
Mohun Kishen <i>v.</i> Bhoobun Shyam	7 W. R., 406	357
Mohun Koowur <i>v.</i> Zoramun Singh	Marsh., 166 : 1 Hay, 372	2192
Mohun Lal <i>v.</i> Ali Afzul	W. R., 1864, 219	3955
<i>v.</i> Beharee Lal	3 N. W., 336	5809, 6148
Mohun Lal Bhaya Gyal <i>v.</i> Lachman Lal	5 B. L. R., 663 : 14 W. R., 73	5028
Mohun Lal <i>v.</i> Baroo Mull	6 N. W., 69	1891
<i>v.</i> Government	2 Agra, Mis., 6	3822
<i>v.</i> Jhummun Lal	6 N. W., 246	4042
<i>v.</i> Noor Ahmud	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 202	4562
<i>v.</i> Noor Khan	3 Agra, 218	4400
Mohun Lal Mitter <i>v.</i> Buhoo Soonduree Debia	10 W. R., 145	4408
<i>v.</i> Choolun Singh	1 Hay, 438	5485
Mohun Lal Roy <i>v.</i> Urnopoorua Dassee	9 W. R., 566	156, 1813
Mohun Lal Shaha <i>v.</i> Joy Narain Shaha Chowdhry	23 W. R., 105	368, 2693
Mohun Lal Sookool <i>v.</i> Goluck Chunder Dutt	1 W. R., P. C., 19 : 10 Moore's } I. A., 1. }	3962 4005 4017
Mohun Lal Sookul <i>v.</i> Bebee Doss	7 Moore's I. A., 428	4639
	8 Moore's I. A., 193	4632, 4638
	2 W. R., P. C., 9 : 8 Moore's } I. A., 492. }	4632
Mohun Loll Sookul <i>v.</i> Debee Doss Dutt	1 W. R., 350	2888
Mohun Lal Tagore <i>v.</i> Collector of Tirhoot	9 W. R., 128	297, 6235
Mohun Mundur <i>v.</i> Brij Bhokun Singh	11 W. R., 245	5708
Mohun Sahoo. In the matter of	21 W. R., 34	142
<i>v.</i> Chutoo Mowar	6 B. L. R., 495 : 15 W. R. P. C., 8	4651
Mohun Singh <i>v.</i> Ghuriba	24 W. R., 297	5760
<i>v.</i> Jugbutty Kooer	8 Mad., 57	1539, 5719
<i>v.</i> Kareem Oonissa Begum	1 Agra, 85	6125
<i>v.</i> Shib Koonwer	13 W. R., Cr., 72	4097
Mohun Sirdar <i>v.</i> Obhoy Churn Mookopadhya	3 W. R., Mis., 3	676
Mohun Soondur Koonwar <i>v.</i> Ramanooagro Narain	21 W. R., 400	5180, 5187
Mohur Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Ram Ruttun Sein	8 C. L. R., 431	4108
Mohur Mandar. In the matter of	18 W. R., 499	5756
Mohur Matoon <i>v.</i> Umatum	18 W. R., 312	5660
Mohur Ram Moodee <i>v.</i> Karbaree Sirdar	14 W. R., 357	3118
Mohurum Shaikh <i>v.</i> Nowkurree Dass Mohuldar	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 273	4720
Moidin <i>v.</i> Chandu	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 9	2645
<i>v.</i> Sundaramurthia	15 W. R., 270	5588
Moinoolah <i>v.</i> Goluck Monee Chowdrain	14 W. R., 450	2706
Moizoddy Shaik. In the matter of	22 W. R., 314	248
Moizzunnissa <i>v.</i> Mooraree Dhur Dey	12 B. L. R., 492, note	5411
Mojon Mollo <i>v.</i> Dula Ghazi Kulun	5 B. L. R., Ap., 11 : 13 W. R., 344.	747
Mokha Harakraj Joshi <i>v.</i> Biseswar Doss	16 W. R., 259	1247
Mokhoda Dossee <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Mitter	23 W. R., 11	582, 5921
Mokhoda Soonduree Dassee <i>v.</i> Kureem Shaikh	W. R., 1864, 287	2982
Mokoond Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Prankissen Paul Chowdhry	15 W. R., 208	4141, 4973
Mokoond Narain Deo <i>v.</i> Jonardun Dey Burnick	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104	2475
Mokoondo Lal Shaw <i>v.</i> Gonesh Chunder Shaw		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mokoondonath Bhadoory <i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Bhadoory	22 W. R., 302	5280
Mokoondy Lall Doobey <i>v.</i> Crowdy	17 W. R., 274	5189
Mokuddims of Mouza Kunkunwady <i>v.</i> Enamdar (Brahmins of Mouza Soorpal	7 W. R., P. C., 8: 3 Moore's } I. A., 383. }	1684, 4397 4646
Mokund Lal <i>v.</i> Hurbullubh Narain Singh	12 C. L. R., 136. . . .	4901
Mokund Lal <i>v.</i> Chotay Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1061	316, 339 3872, 4248
Mokund Mooraree Biswas <i>v.</i> Wooma Churn Mookerjee	23 W. R., 173	5797 5984
Mokundo Lall Roy <i>v.</i> Bykunt Nath Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 289: 7 C. } L. R., 478. }	2289
Mokut Singh. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 16	4101
Molakatalla Naganna <i>v.</i> Pedda Narappa	7 Mad., 288	3134, 3306
Molka <i>v.</i> Sumput Koonwar	6 W. R., Mis., 62	281
Molla Abdoor Rub <i>v.</i> Hurryhur Mookerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 50	4165
Mollwo, March, & Co. <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	10 B. L. R., 312: 18 W. R., } 384: L. R., I. A., Sup. }	4320
<i>v.</i> Pertab Chunder Singh	Vol., 86.	
Moltan Allee <i>v.</i> Shew Buksh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	4518
Mona Bibee <i>v.</i> Oomed Ali	Marsh., 603	5781
Monajan <i>v.</i> Kashi Nath Panday	16 W. R., 276	4970, 6223
Mona Sheikh <i>v.</i> Ishan Bardhan	5 C. L. R., 305	1868
Mona Singh <i>v.</i> Mosrad Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 581	961
Moneekurnika Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Collector of Mymensingh	5 W. R., 203	3727
Monee Lall <i>v.</i> Fuzul Hossein	16 W. R., 159	5117
Moneemotho Nath Day <i>v.</i> Aushootosh Day	14 W. R., 337	4293
Moneerooddeen <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Bajeekur	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 24	2075, 2438
Moneerooddeen Merdha <i>v.</i> Kennie	18 W. R., Cr., 28	3700
Moneerooddeen Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Parbutty Churn Ghose	4 W. R., Act X, 45	2994
Monemothonauth Dey <i>v.</i> Ononthnauth Dey	15 W. R., 121	4475
Monessur Doss <i>v.</i> Kishen Protap Shahee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24	2136, 2543
Money Mohun Dey <i>v.</i> Dinonath Mullick	23 W. R., 427	414
Mongola Dossee <i>v.</i> Saroda Dossee	22 W. R., Cr., 11	5525
Mongola Koiborto <i>v.</i> Annoda Ram, In the matter of	12 B. L. R., Ap., 2	3854
Mongula Dossee <i>v.</i> Sharoda Dossee	12 C. L. R., 277	3219
Monindrobhoosun Biswas <i>v.</i> Shosheebhoosun Biswas	20 W. R., 48	3854
Monindro Chunder Sirkar <i>v.</i> Moneeruddeen Biswas	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 866	911
Moniram Kolita <i>v.</i> Keri Kolitani	11 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 20 W. R., 230. . . .	3003
Moni Roy <i>v.</i> Rajbunsee Kooer	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 776: 6 C. L. R., 322: L. R., 7 I. A., 115. . . .	2502, 2525
Monji Premji Sett <i>v.</i> Maliyakel Koyassan Koya Haji	25 W. R., 393	5040
Monkee Dumber Sahee <i>v.</i> Monkee Bhullunder Sahee	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 59	180, 356
Monmohinee Dabee <i>v.</i> Sreedhama Churn Ranna	15 W. R., 423	293
Monmohinee Debee <i>v.</i> Binode Beharee Shaha	14 W. R., 302	770
Monmohinee Debi <i>v.</i> Secretary of State	25 W. R., 10	1732
Monmohinee Dossee <i>v.</i> Bishen Moyee Dossee	13 B. L. R., 359: 22 W. R., 106	2049
<i>v.</i> Khetter Gopaul Dey	7 W. R., 112	4796
Monmohinee Joginee <i>v.</i> Jugobundhoo Sadookha	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 127: 24 W. R., 362. . . .	183
Monmohiney Dossee <i>v.</i> Koylashnath Chund	19 W. R., 233	1697
Mon Mohun Buksee <i>v.</i> Gunga Soondery Dabee	2 Hay, 154	336
Monohur Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nursingh Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 181: 11 C. L. R., 34. . . .	3159
Monohur Doss <i>v.</i> Gungapershad	11 W. R., 272	4129
<i>v.</i> Seetul Pershad	2 N. W., 264	4144
	23 W. R., 418	4883

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Monohur Doss <i>v.</i> Kally Dhun Dobey	8 W. R., 116	3106
_____ <i>v.</i> Khodrum Begum	Bource, O. C., 110	5533
_____ <i>v.</i> Khoolsun Begum	Cor., 121	4236
_____ <i>v.</i> Macnaghten	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 231	2597
_____ <i>v.</i> Romanauth Law	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 473	457
_____ <i>v.</i> Situl Pershad	23 W. R., 325	2702
Monohur Khan <i>v.</i> Troyluckho Nath Ghose	4 W. R., 35	3247
Monohur Lall <i>v.</i> Gouri Sunkur	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 283 : 12 C. } L. R., 434. }	4873
Monohur Mookerjee. In the matter of	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 756 : 7 C. L. } R., 228. }	4664, 4747
_____ <i>v.</i> Huromohun Mookerjee	1 W. R., 27	5344
_____ <i>v.</i> Joy Kishen Mookerjee	5 W. R., 1	4211, 5354
Monohur Pal <i>v.</i> Wise	15 W. R., 246	3107
Monomothonath Day <i>v.</i> Aushootosh Day	Cor., 8	4524
_____ <i>v.</i> Greender Chunder Ghose	24 W. R., 366	2102, 4019
_____ <i>v.</i> Sreenath Ghose	20 W. R., 107	1372, 4807
Monomothonath Dey <i>v.</i> Glascott	20 W. R., 275	5313
Monoor Hossein <i>v.</i> Kanhya Lall	3 W. R., 218	5285
Monoshee <i>v.</i> Abdool Hossein	7 W. R., 297	5300
Monowar Khan <i>v.</i> Abdollah Khan	3 N. W., 177	3702
Monsoor Ali <i>v.</i> Ramdial	3 W. R., 50	3748
Montoor <i>v.</i> Ablak Roy	11 W. R., 197	5125
Mood Mullick <i>v.</i> Belat Mullick	9 W. R., 385	6008
Moochee Ram Majhee <i>v.</i> Bissambhur Roy Chowdhry	24 W. R., 410	1769, 3419
Mookhesur Rai <i>v.</i> Ramphul Sahoo	5 N. W., 70	4229
Mookhya Huruckraj Joshee <i>v.</i> Ram Lall Gomashta	14 W. R., 435	450
Mookond Narain Deb <i>v.</i> Mooralee Mohun	6 W. R., 91	5764
Mookoondonath Bhadoory <i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Bhadoory	19 W. R., 102	4134
Mookta Kashée Dabee <i>v.</i> Gungadass Roy	14 W. R., 483	5582
Mooktakashy <i>v.</i> Luckee	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 4	3497
Mooktakeshee Deben <i>v.</i> Collector of Burdwan	12 W. R., 204	4469
Mookta Keshee Debee <i>v.</i> Kunuck Monee Debee	7 W. R., 267	5211, 6094
_____ <i>v.</i> Oomabutty	14 W. R., 31 : 8 B. L. R. } 396, note. }	434, 5454
Mooktakeshee Debia <i>v.</i> Luchmepoot Singh Doogur	10 W. R., 137	1172
_____ <i>v.</i> Wooma Churn Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 233	5451, 5454
Mooktakeshee Debia Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Collector of Moorshedabad. }	4 W. R., 30	2234
Mooktakeshee Dossee <i>v.</i> Koylash Chunder Mitter	7 W. R., 493	5590
_____ <i>v.</i> Pearee Chowdhrair	7 W. R., 158	1765, 5186
Mooktaram Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Hurro Chunder Roy	7 W. R., 1	4481
Mookta Soonduree Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Muthooranath Ghose	22 W. R., 209	6071
Mooktokashee Dassia <i>v.</i> Brojunder Coomar Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 156	5378
Mookto Keshee Debee <i>v.</i> Anundo Chunder Chattopadhyay	2 C. L. R., 48	2888
Mookundee Misrain <i>v.</i> Sheo Leehun Pattuck	21 W. R., 39	480
Moola <i>v.</i> Nundy	4 N. W., 109	231
Moolchand <i>v.</i> Cheetree	1 N. W., 175 : Ed. 1873, 254	5097
_____ <i>v.</i> Robinson	1 B. L. R., O. C., 68	5182
Mool Chund <i>v.</i> Ajoodhya Pershad	3 N. W., 162	465
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajnarain Ghose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	3874
Moolji Lilla <i>v.</i> Gokuldas Vulla	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 154	5623
Moolook Chand Mundul <i>v.</i> Moodhoosoodun Bachasputty. }	10 B. L. R., 398, note : 16 } W. R., 526. }	2348, 2354
Mooltan Mull <i>v.</i> Gunsam Doss	3 N. W., 75	3801
Moona <i>v.</i> Chandmonee Gossain	7 W. R., 206	166, 3845
		1403, 3106

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Moona v. Jey Mungul Singh	4 N. W., 164	4814
Moondur Bibee v. Honooman Pershad	11 W. R., 277	4162
Mooneappah v. Vencatarayadoo	6 Mad., 32	342
Mooneappa Oodian v. Queen	5 Mad., 326	1967
Moonee Rangappen. Ex parte	3 Mad., 352	6076
Moonee Ummah v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.)	8 Mad., 151	3574, 3769
Mooney v. Mussooree Savings Bank	6 N. W., 319	4604
Moonye Surmah v. Lomun Surmah	2 W. R., 288	2342
Moonzoorad Dowla v. Mehidi Begum	7 C. L. R., 206	367, 1483
Mooradce Bebee v. Syefoollah	W. R., 1864, 318	2697
Moorajee Poonja v. Visranjee Visenjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 658	4587
Mooraree Doss v. Bucha Singh	4 N. W., 122	278
Mooraree Moobajim v. Mahomed Akmal	22 W. R., 161	4589
Mooraree Singh v. Puryag Singh	2 C. L. R., 189	5129
"Moorhill." In re the	15 B. L. R., Ap., 3.	4947
Moorobbee Sahoo v. Latoo Coomar	W. R., F. B., 70	5642
Moorooly Ram v. Huree Ram	8 W. R., 106	5075
Moorul Singh v. Mohun Kooer	9 W. R., 167	4536
Moorut Koonwar v. Dhurm Narain Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 7	1503
Moosa v. Essa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 241	3561
Moosey Khulerey v. Mahomed Tuquee	1 Agra, Rev., 3	4673, 5873
Mootee v. Rooria	3 Agra, 159	1587, 2987
Moothora Kant Shaw v. India General Steam Naviga- tion Company.)	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 166: 13 C.) L. R., 342.)	2986
Moozdeen Gazeer v. Dino Bundhoo Gossamee	13 W. R., 99	659
Moparti Pitchi Naidu v. Vuppala Kondamma	6 Mad., 136	5663
Morad Ali v. Jeebun Bux	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354	3596
Moran v. Bindubasinee Debia	W. R., 1864, Act X, 5	6026
— v. Dewan Ali Sirang	8 B. L. R., 418	546
— v. Mittu Bibee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 58	3089, 3874
— v. ———	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 228	1820, 3109
— v. River Steam Navigation Co.	14 B. L. R., 352	3778, 5833
Moran & Co. v. Anund Chunder Mozoomdar	6 W. R., Act X, 35	271
Mora Rabha v. Dhew Rabha	17 W. R., 158	2607
Morbhat Purohit v. Gangadhar Karkare	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 234	1615
Moreishwar Bapuji Phatak v. Kushaba Shankroji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 248	5196
Morgan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 633: 7 C.) L. R., 29: 9 C. L. R., 385.)	3299
— v. Abdool Hye	23 W. R., 393	404, 5173
— v. Kirby	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 46	2640
— v. Leech	2 Moore's I. A., 428	5458, 5493
— v. Morgan	I. L. R., 4 All., 306	4576
Morison v. Administrator General of Madras	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515	4632, 5972
Mor Joshi v. Muhammad Ibrahim	10 Bom., 344	1546, 1557
Morno Moyee Debee v. Bheem Koomar Chowdhry	6 W. R., 231	6201
Moro Balkrishna Mule v. Shek Saheb valad Badrud- din Kamble.)	5 Bom., A. C., 199	483
Moro Desai v. Ramchandra Desai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 508	6238
Moro Vishvanath v. Ganesh Vithal	10 Bom., 444	5013
Moro Vithal v. Tukraram valad Malharji	5 Bom., A. C., 92	4177
Morris v. Atmakuru Lutchmana Row	6 Mad., 43	2350, 2458
— v. Baumgarten	Bourke, O. C., 127: Cor., 152	2472, 6077
— v. Muthusami Pillai	6 Mad., 363	3064, 4789
		2808
		2804, 2806
		5256

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Morris v. Pauchanada Pillay	5 Mad., 135	1818
—— v. Sambamurthi Rayar	6 Mad., 122	3182, 5256 5874
—— v. Sapamtheetha Pillay	6 Mad., 45	3183, 4814
—— v. Sivaramayyan	7 Mad., 242	3191
Moru bin Fattaji v. Gopal bin Satu	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 120	3116, 3351
Morun Moyee Dabca v. Beejoy Kishen Gossameo	W. R., F. B., 121	2129
Mosaheb Ali v. Nund Kishore	20 W. R., 316	3283
Moseehun v. Munoorun	24 W. R., 69	1211
Moshahel Singh v. Konomutty Bewa	15 W. R., 172	355
Moshaollah Khan. In the matter of	14 W. R., 445	4345
Moshaullah v. Ahmedullah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 78	200, 3153 3155
Moshingan v. Mozari Sajad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 271	185
Mosoodun Lall v. Bekaree Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 602: 6 W. R., Mis., 109. . . .	2707
Mosson. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 139	1236
Mosuruf Ali Chowdhry v. Janokenath Odhicaree	W. R., 1864, Mis., 40	3147
Motee Chand v. Radhamadhub Chand	2 W. R., Mis., 34	5118
Motee Chund Doss v. Moorulee Dhur Doss	15 W. R., 432	4281
Motee Doss v. Modhoosoodun Chowdhry	1 W. R., 4	2254
Motee Dossee v. Deeta Hurukmun Singh	11 W. R., 64	2808
Motee Lall v. Bhoop Singh	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245: 8 W. R., 64. . . .	1432, 1749 2781, 4032
—— v. Janki Roy	3 Agra, 364	4207
—— v. Ram Doss	W. R., 1864, Mis., 38	238, 838
—— v. Rane	8 W. R.; 64: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245. . . .	1432, 1749 2781, 4032
Motee Lall Aduck v. Judooputhee Doss	2 W. R., Act X, 44	4169
Motee Lall Bose v. Howrah Municipality	23 W. R., 222	5260
Motee Lall Mookerjee v. Kandhai Lall	1 N. W., 155: Ed. 1873, 237: Agra, F. B.: Ed. 1874, 185. . . .	849
Motee Lall Opadhiya v. Juggurnath Gurg	5 W. R., P. C., 25: 1 Moore's I. A., 1. . . .	4137
Motee Ram v. Kaisree	2 Agra, 52	4826
Motee Ram Sahoo v. Khuleeloolah	2 Agra, 338	2076
Motee Roy v. Meajan	20 W. R., 443	3010
Motee Sahoo v. Forbes	6 W. R., 278	1374, 3344
Motee Singh v. Leelanund Singh	11 W. R., 49	3416
Motee Soonderee v. Indrajit Kowaree	Marsh., 112	202
Motendro Chandra Ghose v. Mohendro Nath Ghose	10 C. L. R., 330	3523
Mothoora Lall Paul v. Chrinebush Dutt	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 24	3288
Mothoora Mohun Roy v. Huree Kishore Roy	18 W. R., 286	1454
—— v. Peary Mohun Shaw	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 259: 2 C. L. R., 409. . . .	1774, 5836
Mothoora Nath Bhoomick v. Kenaram Kurmocar	7 W. R., 33	986, 1103
Mothoornath Chatterjee v. Khetturnath Biswas	2 W. R., Act X, 92	1639
Mothoora Nath Chuttopadhyaya v. Kristo Kumar Ghose. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 369	1119, 1143 1161
Mothoornath Dey v. Gopal Roy	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16	1101
Mothoora Nath Gungopadhyaya v. Sheeta Monee	9 W. R., 354	5108
Mothoornath Mozoomdar v. Eusuff Ali Khan	14 W. R., 356	2311
Mothoornath Sircar v. Nil Monee Deo	13 W. R., 297	1632
Mothoornath Tewaree v. Brindabun Tewaree	14 W. R., 327	180
Mothoora Pershad Singh v. Shumbhoo Geer	19 W. R., 413	820
Mothoor Chunder Doss. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 509	4111

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mothoor Chunder Kurmoker v. Manick Chunder Bungo	6 W. R., 192	4302
Mothoor Ghose. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 10	959
Mothoor Mohun Mundul v. Khemunkuree	5 W. R., P. C., 182	4867
Mothoor Mohun Pal Chowdhry v. Ram Lal Bose	4 C. L. R., 469	569
Mothoormohun Roy v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 392 : 1 C. } L. R., 507. }	466
_____ v. Jadoomoney Dossee	10 B. L. R., 122	2820
_____ v. Soorendro Narain Deb	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 108 : 24 W. } R., 464. }	1079, 3746
Mothoornath Chuckerbutty v. Kissen Mohun Ghose	W. R., 1864, Mis., 9	2777
Mothura Nath Dutt v. Borkant Nath Dutt	11 C. L. R., 312	3370
Moti Bhagvan v. Harjivan Girdhurdas	2 Bom., 34 : 2nd Ed., 32	4903
Moti Bibi v. Bikanu	I. L. R., 2 All., 772	290
Moti Chand v. Mahomed Hossein Khan	7 N. W., 147	500, 3707
Motichand Jaichand v. Dadabhai Pestanji	11 Bom., 186	182, 1437
Motigavri v. Pranjivandas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 302	1679
Moti Kahanji v. Dip Chand Virchand	5 Bom., A. C., 81	309
Moti Lal v. Moti Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 78	4144
_____ v. Munshook Kuramchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 328	2576
Motilal Ramdas v. Jannadas Javerdas	2 Bom., 42 : 2nd Ed., 40	5842
Motiram Rupa Chand. In re	11 Bom., 21	2794, 6015
Motoji bin Ratnaji v. Husen	6 Bom., A. C., 8	3860
Moula Buksh v. Jodoonath Sadoo Khan	21 W. R., 267	2719
_____ v. Kuruck Lall	7 W. R., 245	1618
Moung Hmoon Htaw v. Mah Hpwah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 777 : L. R., } 11 I. A., 109. }	689
Moung Htoon Oung. In the matter of	21 W. R., 297	647
Moung Khine v. Burn	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 2	151
Moung Shoay Att v. Ko Byaw	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 330 : L. R. } 3 I. A., 61. }	12
Mouzzum Ali Khan v. Sheoruttun Singh	3 Agra, 277	1560
Mowla Buksh v. Ali Khan	9 W. R., 101	1624
_____ v. Hodgkinson	24 W. R., 150	4441
_____ v. Kishen Pertab Sahi	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 102	3094
Mowla Koomaree v. Mutty Singh	25 W. R., 129	3094
Mowlas Koonwar v. Shiva Sahai	1 Agra, Rev., 65	4180
Mowri Bewa v. Surendra Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 184 : 10 W. } R., 178. }	1744
Mozaffur Ally v. Girish Chandra Das	1 B. L. R., A. C., 25 : 10 W. } R., 71. }	3151, 5793
Mozhuruddin v. Gobind Chunder Nundi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 436	290, 3280
Mozuffur Ali v. Kumeerunissa Bibee	W. R., 1864, 32	3032
Mozuffer Wahid v. Abdus Samad	6 C. L. R., 539	3648
Mrinamoyi Dabia v. Jogodishuri Dabia	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 450 : 5 C. L. } R., 361. }	4465
Mrinmoyee Dabea v. Bhoobun Moyee Dabea	15 B. L. R., 1 : 23 W. R., 43 } 3347 }	82, 3855
Mrityunjaya Sirkar v. Gopal Chandra Sirkar	2 B. L. R., A. C., 131	1837, 3162
Muazzim Ali Khan v. Sheo Pershad	7 N. W., 259	3347
Mubarik Ali v. Kadir Buksh	7 N. W., 351	3013
Muchoo v. Arzoon Sahoo	5 W. R., 235	2865
Muchul Koor v. Laljee	2 N. W., 112	372
Mudaree Lall v. Gilmore	3 Agra, 196	1339, 2276
		5096
		3147
		4595

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Muddomutty Guptee <i>v.</i> Bamasoondery Dossee . . .	14 B. L. R., 21 . . .	88, 1945
Muddosoodun Dey <i>v.</i> Bamachurn Mookerjee . . .	1 Hyde, 100 . . .	2234, 2382
Muddosoodun Acharj <i>v.</i> Kishore Hazrah . . .	W. R., F. B., 36 . . .	3209, 4134
Muddosoodun Chowdry <i>v.</i> Koylas Chunder Shaw . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 12 . . .	5873
Muddun Gopal Lall <i>v.</i> Gowrunbutty . . .	15 B. L. R., 264: 23 W. R., } 365.	2886
— <i>v.</i> Gowurbutty . . .	21 W. R., 190 . . .	355
Muddun Gopal Thakoor <i>v.</i> Ram Buksh Pandey . . .	6 W. R., 71, 74 . . .	2164
Muddun Lall <i>v.</i> Komul Bibee . . .	8 W. R., 43 . . .	2393, 4261
Muddun Mohun <i>v.</i> Kartick Nath Pandey . . .	14 W. R., 335 . . .	2155
Muddun Mohun Biswas. In re . . .	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 29 . . .	2240
— <i>v.</i> Puddo Monee Dossee . . .	17 W. R., 139 . . .	4310
Muddun Mohun Ghosal <i>v.</i> Nuboram Chuckerbutty . . .	2 W. R., 69 . . .	3880
Muddun Mohun Mitter <i>v.</i> Peer Bukshun . . .	7 W. R., 485 . . .	493
Muddun Mohun Roy <i>v.</i> Gopee Mohun Roy . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 8 . . .	2841
Muddun Mohun Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Chundee Sekhur } Bhuttacharjee. }	25 W. R., 115 . . .	841
— <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder } Goopto. }	2 W. R., Mis., 41 . . .	555
Muddun Mohun Shaha <i>v.</i> Anundmoyi . . .	5 C. L. R., 49 . . .	2986
— <i>v.</i> Bharut Chunder Roy . . .	11 W. R., 249 . . .	220
Muddun Mohun Tewaree <i>v.</i> Nand Kishore Doss . . .	5 W. R., 295 . . .	2487
Muddun Ram Doss <i>v.</i> Israil Ali Chowdhry . . .	21 W. R., 291 . . .	478
Muddun Thakoor <i>v.</i> Kantoo Lall . . .	14 B. L. R., 187: 22 W. R., } 56: L. R., 1 I. A., 321.	3156
— <i>v.</i> Morrison . . .	18 W. R., 253 . . .	6223
Mudhoo Manjee <i>v.</i> Nilmonnee Singh Deo . . .	18 W. R., 533 . . .	2164
Mudhoo Mokce Dabee <i>v.</i> Gunga Govind Mundle . . .	W. R., 1864, 299 . . .	1219, 2695
Mudhoo Soodun Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Juddooputty Chuckerbutty . . .	9 W. R., 115 . . .	381
Mudhoosoodun Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the } petition of. }	23 W. R., Cr., 60 . . .	243, 5064
Mudhoosoodun Gossamee <i>v.</i> Hills . . .	10 W. R., 242 . . .	3054, 4298
Mudhoo Soodun Roy <i>v.</i> Sreeputty Bhuttacharjee . . .	25 W. R., 488 . . .	1272
Mudhoosoodun Shaha <i>v.</i> Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry . . .	21 W. R., Cr., 55 . . .	6095
— <i>v.</i> Gopal Shaikh . . .	22 W. R., 508 . . .	4932
Mudhun Mohun Doss <i>v.</i> Gokul Doss . . .	10 Moore's I. A., 563: 5 W. } R., P. C., 91. }	4496
Mudhun Mohun Singh <i>v.</i> Kanyee Doss Chuckerbutty . . .	12 B. L. R., 201 . . .	3806
Mudoosoodun Sha <i>v.</i> Haridass Dass . . .	22 W. R., Cr., 40 . . .	4661
Mudun Bhukut <i>v.</i> Dooar Bharatee . . .	8 W. R., 320 . . .	810
Mudun Mohan Roy <i>v.</i> Gourmonee Goopto . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 31: W. } R., F. B., 126. }	974
Mudun Mohun Dut <i>v.</i> Futtarunnissa . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297 . . .	3506
Mudun Mohun Poddar <i>v.</i> Bhoggomanto Poddar . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 923 . . .	5078
Mudun Mohun Singh <i>v.</i> Ram Dass Chuckerbutty . . .	6 C. L. R., 357 . . .	6039
Mudun Singh <i>v.</i> Nurput Singh . . .	2 W. R., 290 . . .	4199, 4895
Mudvirapa Kulkarni <i>v.</i> Fakirapa Kenardi . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 427 . . .	2700, 3819
Mufasa <i>v.</i> Mahomed Akbar Gaze . . .	2 W. R., 74 . . .	2178, 3042
Mufeezooddeen <i>alias</i> Bhaloo Mean <i>v.</i> Woolfutoonissa } Bibee. }	7 W. R., 194 . . .	3274
Mufti Jalaluddeen Mahomed <i>v.</i> Shohorullah . . .	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. R., } 422. }	5459
Mugneeram Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Gonesh Dutt Singh . . .	5 W. R., 134 . . .	1735
— <i>v.</i> Gunesh Dutt Singh . . .	W. R., 1864, 275 . . .	1242
Mugnee Roy <i>v.</i> Lall Khoonee Lal . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 19 . . .	3769
		2609, 4481
		541

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mugno Moya Debia v. Hur Chunder Raoot	3 W. R., Act X, 146	336
Mugun Chunder Chutturaj v. Surbessur Chuckerbutty	8 W. R., 479	3817
Muhamdee v. Nazirun	I. L. R., 6 Cal., 19 : 6 C. L. R., 210. }	77, 163
Muhamdi Begam v. Abbas Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 531	5687
Muhammad v. Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 203	5951, 5954
— v. Imamuddin	2 Bom., 53 : 2nd Ed., 50	3731
— v. Kashi Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 199	5238
— v. Tirumala Chariar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205	3059
Muhammad Abdul Kadar v. E. I. Railway Co.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 375	2813
Muhammad Abdul Majid v. Fatima Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 39 : L. R., 12 I. A., 159. }	3734
Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan v. Muhammad Qutab-ud-din. }	I. L. R., 6 All., 446	4088
Muhammad Abid v. Muhammad Asghar	I. L. R., 8 All., 64	175, 378 3447
Muhammad Abu Jafar v. Wali Muhammad	I. L. R., 3 All., 81	2860, 2916 5084
Muhammad Ali v. Debi Din Rai	I. L. R., 4 All., 420	806
— v. Kaliar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 659	4085
Muhammad Ali Bava Labbi v. Mohiadin Nainar	1 Mad., 107	3816
Muhammad Alladad Khan v. Muhammad Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 234	3636
Muhammadan Association of Meerut v. Bakshshai Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 284	4274
Muhammad Ashgar Ali v. Muhammad Zain-ul-abdin	I. L. R., 5 All., 573	3258
Muhammad Awais v. Har Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 716	3643
Muhammadbhai Dharamsi v. Bhanji Topan	3 Bom., O. C., 64	4530
Muhammad Buksh v. Muhammad Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 294	3327
Muhammad Fwaz v. Birj Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 465 : L. R., 4 I. A., 166. }	4801
Muhammad Faiz Ahmad Khan v. Ghulam Ahmad Khan }	I. L. R., 3 All., 490 : L. R., 8 I. A., 25. }	3673
Muhammad Habibullah Khan v. Safdar Husain Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 25	3171, 3358
Muhammad Hamin Khan. In re	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178	6044
Muhammad Hasan v. Munna Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 434	1346
Muhammad Ibrahim v. Gulam Ahmed	1 Bom., 236	1357, 3701
Muhammad Ismail v. Chattar Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 69	336
Muhammad Ismail Khan v. Fidayat-un-nissa	I. L. R., 3 All., 723	3634, 3692
— v. —	I. L. R., 8 All., 516	1347, 4656
Muhammad Janula v. Venkatanayar	2 Mad., 79	3205, 3219
Muhammad Latif v. Gobind Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 382	4555
Muhammad Malik Khan v. Nirhai Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 761	6091
Muhammad Mohidin v. Ottayil Ummache	1 Mad., 390	1063, 6119
Muhammad Salim v. Nabian Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 282	4979
Muhammad Sileman v. Satu valad Harji	5 Bom., A. C., 90	5691
Muhammad Sulaiman v. Muhammad Yar	I. L. R., 6 All., 30	1493
Muhammad Umar v. Kamila Bibi	I. L. R., 4 All., 34	3502
Muhammad valad Abdul Mulna v. Ibrahim valad Hasan	3 Bom., A. C., 160	1770, 3975 4902
Muhammad Yakoub v. Muhammad Ismail	9 Bom., 278	4165
Muhammad Yussub v. Ahmed	1 Bom., Ap., 18	3699, 4216 5265
Muhammad Yusuf v. Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company. }	6 Bom., O. C., 98	5646
Muhammad Zaki v. Chatku	I. L. R., 7 All., 120	3383
Muhammed Esuf Sahib v. George	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 385	5716
Muhbool Buksh v. Suheedun	14 W. R., 378	4711
Muhesh Doobey v. Kishun Doobey	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 42	2444

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Muhesh Dutt Pandey v. Seetul Sonar	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 146	2928
Muhessur Buksh Singh. In the matter of the petition of - v. Meghburn Singh	5 W. R., Mis., 33 9 B. L. R., 150: 16 W. R., P. C., 5.	4406 35, 4870
Mubi-ud-din Ahmad Khan v. Majlis Rai	1. L. R., 6 All., 231	3339
Muhkun v. Jusram	4 N. W., 165	5271
Muhrooa v. Heeraram Misser	1 Hay, 277	1209, 3842
Muhtab v. Ram Dyal	3 Agra, 319	3147, 3174
Muhumdee Beg v. Hossein Ali	2 N. W., 26	4298
Mujavar Ibrambibi v. Mujavar Hussain Sheriff	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 95	3670
Mujeedoonissa v. Dildar Hossein	14 W. R., 216	3963, 4260
Mujeedunnissa v. ———	20 W. R., 178	4189
Mujlis v. Moher	3 Agra, 223	1658
Mukandi Lal Dubei v. Crowdy	8 B. L. R., Ap., 95	5189
Mukant Lal v. Nasiruddin	1. L. R., 4 All., 416	5664
Mukbool Ali v. Wajid Hossein	25 W. R., 249	4463
Mukhdoomun v. Bhugwan Doss	24 W. R., 33	1933
Mukdoomunnissa v. Nokhy Singh	24 W. R., 296	327, 5767
Mukhi v. Fakir	1. L. R., 3 All., 382	195
Mukhoda Dossee v. Queen	18 W. R., Cr., 4	4490
Mukhoda Soondury Dasi v. Ram Churn Karmokar	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 871: 11 C. J. L. R., 194.	4417, 6225
Mukhtar Bahadur v. Brojraj Singh Chowdhry	9 C. L. R., 143	5188
Mukhun Lall v. Sreekishen Singh	8 W. R., 92	4433
Mukhun Lall Panday v. Koondun Lall	15 B. L. R., 228: 24 W. J.: 5: L. R., 2 I. A., 210.	3245, 4763 4797, 4800 4806
Mukim Mullick v. Ramjan Sirdar	9 C. L. R., 64	2023
Mukkanni v. Manan Bhatta	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 182	3212, 3215
Mukkum Lall v. Imtiaz-ood Dowlah	5 W. R., P. C., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 142: 10 Moore's I. A., 362.	3200, 3219
Mukoond Narain Deo v. Joy Coomaree Debia	1 W. R., 16	2852
Mukootnath v. Jugwunt Lall	3 Agra, 389	3160
Mukrumunnissa v. Abdool Jubbar	17 W. R., 171	91
Muksood Ali v. Gowhur Ali	W. R., 1864, 364	3196
Mukta Bibee. In re	17 W. R., Cr., 11	253
Muktakasi Debi v. Ubabati	8 B. L. R., 396, note: 14 W. J. R., 31.	2446
Muktakeshi Debee Chowdhraim v. Sajed Sheik	2 B. L. R., Ap., 5	1587
Mukun Mullick v. Ramjan Sirdar	9 C. L. R., 64	1680
Mukurbhanoo Deo v. Kostoora Koonwaree	5 W. R., 215	2039
Mukurdhoj Singh v. Ram Churn	1 N. W., 14: Ed. 1873, 12	2974
Mula Raj v. Debi Dihal	1. L. R., 7 All., 371	3463
Mulbai. In the goods of	2 Bom., 292: 2nd Ed., 276	3695
Mulchand v. Balgobind	1. L. R., 1 All., 610	3892
——— v. Bhikari Das	1. L. R., 7 All., 624	4087, 4894
——— v. Motichand Hargovandas	9 Pom., 37	668
——— v. Shib Charan Lal	1. L. R., 2 All., 676	1232
Mulchand Badharsha v. Bai Mancha	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 491	2511
Mulchand Chutumal v. Sundarji Naranji	7 Bom., O. C., 39	4584
Mulchand Gulabchand v. Girdhar Madhav	8 Bom., A. C., 6	342, 3206 3301
Mulchand Joharimal v. Suganchand Shivdas	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 23	1035, 2576 2819
Mulchand Kuber v. Lallu Trikam	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 404	3940
Mulchand Ranchoddas v. Chhagan Naran	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 74	1923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mulji Bechar v. Anupram Bechar	7 Bom., A. C., 136	139, 4773
_____ v. Jetha Jeshankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 239	5819
Mul'kah Do Alum Nawab Tajdar Bohoo v. Jehan Kudr	2 W. R., P. C., 55 : 10 Moore's } I. A., 252. }	3656
Mulka Jehan Sahiba v. Deputy Commissioner of Lucknow.	L. R., 6 I. A., 63	1027
_____ v. Mahomed Ushkurree Khan }	L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 192 : 26 W. R., 26. }	3692, 3701
Mulka Mukhdra, Begum of ex-King of Oudh v. Te- kaeth Roy. }	14 W. R., P. C., 24	1729
Mulla Jaffarji Tyeb Ali v. Yacali Kadar Bi	7 Mad., 128	699
Mulleeka v. Jumeela	5 W. R., 23	3648
	11 B. L. R., 375 : L. R., I. } A., Sup. Vol., 135. }	3331, 3332 3648, 3654
Mullick Abdool Guffoor v. Muleka	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1112	3676
Mullick Ahmed Zumma alias Tetur v. Mahomed Syed }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 194 : 6 C. L. R., 573. }	3483
Mullick Amanut Ali v. Ukloo Pasee	25 W. R., 140	4901, 4924
Mullick Enaet Ali v. Wahed Ali	13 W. R., 315	3523
Mullick Fukeerun. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 6	4726
Mullick Karim Baksh v. Harrihar Mandar	5 B. L. R., 174 : 13 W. R., } 440. }	6071
Mullick Mahomed Yakoob v. Chowdhry Shaikh Zuhoo- rul Huq. }	25 W. R., 309	3481
Mullins v. Beddy	6 N. W., 150	1034, 3203
Mulluk Ali Shah v. Meher Banoo	8 W. R., 448	910
Mulo. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 All., 299	5488
Mulraz Lachmia v. Chalakany Vencata Rama Jagana- dha Row.	2 Moore's I. A., 54	2531
Muluk Fuqueer Buksh v. Laller Manohur Doss . .	2 N. W., 29	4866
Mumford v. Peal	I. L. R., 2 All., 857	3311
Mumtaz Begum v. Fateh Husain	I. L. R., 6 All., 391	4916
Mumtaz Bibee v. Grish Chunder Chowdhry . . .	22 W. R., 376	570
Mumtaz Hossein v. Brij Mohun Thakoor	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 888	229, 2649
Munbasse Kooer v. Nowrungee Lall	15 W. R., 135	673
Munbasi Kooer v. Nowruttun Kooer	8 C. L. R., 428	2164, 3435 3917
Munchershaw Bezonji v. New Dhurumsey Spinning and Weaving Co.	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 576	726, 3794 4628, 6276
Munda Chetti v. Timmaju Hensu	1 Mad., 380	2282, 2458
Mundakinee Debee v. Collector of Beerbhoom . .	1 W. R., Mis., 27	2840
Mundy Chinna Comarappa Setti v. Ramasamy Setti .	4 Mad., 409	3173
Munee Dutt Singh v. Campbell	11 W. R., 278 ; affirmed on re- view, 12 W. R., 149. . . .	2981, 5761
Muneekurnicka Chowdharain v. Anund Moyee Chow- dharin.	8 W. R., 6	1608
Munceram Acharjee v. Turungo	7 W. R., 173	2699, 3334
Muneerooddeen Merdha v. Kennie	4 W. R., Act X, 45	1635
Muneerooddeen Mojoondar v. Parbutty Churn Ghose .	15 W. R., 121	474
Muneerooddeen v. Kadir Buksh	24 W. R., 410	5123
Muneerudddeen v. Mahomed Ali	6 W. R., 67	3035
Muneerun v. Musehun	13 W. R., 139	1450, 1900
Mungamuru Ananta Lakshminarasu Pantalu v. Yarla- gedda Aukinid.	7 Mad., 22	3198
Mungazee Chaprassee v. Shibo Soonduree	21 W. R., 369	331, 5339
Munger Bhooyan. In re	10 W. R., Cr., 56	1003
Munghroo Manjhee v. Gyaram Nundee	14 W. R., 253	4871

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munghur v. Mahomed Busheerul Hossein	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 263 : 10 C. L. R., 1. }	3565
Mungle Pershad v. Gudooree Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 17	1867
Munglo v. Durganarain Nag	25 W. R., Cr., 74.	4491, 4497
— v. Jumna Dass	2 N. W., 454	5150
Mungol Prashad Dichit v. Shama Kanto Lahory Chowdhry. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 708	3742
Mungroo Sahoo v. Jeydar Singh	2 Agra, 231	3216, 3470
Mungul Pershad Dichit v. Grijia Kant Lahiri	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 51 : L. R., 8 I. A., 123 : 11 C. L. R., 113. }	3490
Munia v. Balak Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 513	3256
— v. Puran	I. L. R., 5 All., 310	449, 3130
Muniappah Naidu v. Iyasamy Mudoly	5 Mad., 313	3133, 3470
Muni Chundra v. Hariram Ahom	8 C. L. R., 254	3490, 4982
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta. In the matter of the petition of. }	6 B. L. R., Ap., 28 : 14 W. R., Cr., 67. }	
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Amanat Ali. }	7 B. L. R., 516	5651
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Mahomed Ali. }	7 B. L. R., 499 : 16 W. R., Cr., 6. }	4109
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Zamir Shaikh. }	16 W. R., Cr., 4	4109, 4112
Municipal Committee of Dacca. In the matter of Municipal Commissioners of Madras v. Branson	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 38	505, 5652
Municipal Commissioners of Mangalore v. Davies	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 201	507
Municipal Commissioners of Mannargudi v. Nallapa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 65	2620, 3575
Municipal Commissioners of Negapatam v. Sadaya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 327	3600
Municipal Committee of Moradabad v. Chatri Singh	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 74	3600
Municipality of Poona v. Mohanlal	I. L. R., 1 All., 269	334, 4077
Munirakhun Singh v. Bhodoy Singh	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 51	617
Muni Reddi v. Venkata Reddi	12 W. R., 133	699
Munir-ud-din Khan v. Abdul Rahim Khan	3 Mad., 241	3916
Munisami v. Dakshanamurthi	I. L. R., 3 All., 674	222
Muniyan v. Periya Kulandai Ammal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 371	3545
Mun Mohinee Dabee v. Soodamonee Dabee	1 Mad., 184	1900
Mun Mohinee Dossee v. Ichamoye Dossee	3 W. R., 31	2359
— v. ———— v. Joykissen Mookerjee	13 W. R., 60	2602
Mun Mohun Dey v. Sreeram Roy	15 W. R., 352	1466
Munmohun Ghose v. Husrut Sirdar	W. R., F. B., 174	4208
Mun Mobun Ghossal v. Pureshnath Roy	14 W. R., 285	4151
Munmohun Singh v. Amritnath Chowdhry	2 W. R., Act X, 39	1610
— v. Watson & Co.	22 W. R., 174	4672
— v. ————	W. R., 1864, 167	5093
Munna Jhunna Koonwar v. Laljee Roy	W. R., F. B., 22 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 78	1605
Munna Singh v. Gajadhar Singh	2 Hay, 398	5328
Munnee Dutt Sing v. Campbell	1 W. R., 121	80, 3192
Munni Ram Awasty v. Sheo Churn Awasty	I. L. R., 5 All., 577	3308, 4962
Munnoo Bebee v. Jehandar Khan	12 W. R., 149 : affirming on review, 11 W. R., 278. }	5488
— v. Jhandar Khan	7 W. R., P. C., 29 : 4 Moore's I. A., 114. }	2981, 5796
Munnoo Lal v. Reet Bhooabun Singh	1 Agra, 250	275, 988
— v. ————	3 Agra, 389	3683
— v. ————	6 W. R., 283	3286
— v. ————		2019, 4013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munnoo Lall v. Choonee Shahoo	7 W. R., 116	5480
_____ v. Lalla Choonee Lall	21 W. R., 21 : L. R., 1 I. A., 144. }	1706
Munnoo Lall v. Reethbhungun Singh	9 W. R., 544	441
Munnoo Singh. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Amrut Lall	19 W. R., 306	5942
_____ v. Amrut Lall	5 W. R., 234	6242
Munohur Doss v. Deen Dyal	3 N. W., 179	2978, 4583
Munohur Paul v. Wise	15 W. R., 246	5935
Munoo Dossee v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	15 W. R., 245	747
Munoranjun Singh v. Leelanund Singh	13 B. L. R., 124 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 181. }	2037
Mun Peary v. Shiva Deen	1 Agra, 91	3977
Munraj Muthon v. Hudson	12 W. R., 309	522
Munrakhun Lall v. Raheem Buksh	4 N. W., 72	4915
Munrakhun Roy v. Juggut Doss	10 W. R., 124	326
Munro v. Baluck Singh	1 N. W., 153 : Ed. 1873, 235	5346
Munrunjun Singh v. Leela Nund Singh	11 W. R., 5	401
_____ v. Lelanund Singh	3 W. R., 84	2033, 3068
Munsaram Doss Kurmoker v. Gridbaree Ram Doss	10 W. R., 278	4209
Munshad Bibee v. Luchmeeput Singh	9 W. R., 129	5127
Munshi Sheikh. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Azmut Ali	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 616	1014
_____ v. Bunoo Singh	9 W. R., 282	4822
_____ v. Harvey	7 W. R., 282	1617, 2930
_____ v. Harvey	11 W. R., 291	1
Munsoor Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan	8 W. R., 399	482, 3929
Munsoor Bibee v. Ali Meah	17 W. R., 358	3962, 4685
Munsoorooddeen v. Mahomed Sudfar	23 W. R., 259	6138
Muusuk Mosundas v. Shivrarn Devising	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 532	2773
Munsurunnissa Bibi v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	2447
Mupanagari Narayanan Mayar v. Virupatchan Nam- budripad. }	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 287	5703
Muppidi Papaya v. Ramana	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 85	5315
Murar Daya v. Nagria Ganeshia	6 Pom., A. C., 17	3764
Murari v. Suba	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 725	2257
Murari Singh v. Pryag Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 362	2838
Murari Gokuldas v. Parvatibai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 177	2839
Murch. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 725	5438
Murdan Ali v. Tufuzzul Hossein	16 W. R., 78	2335
Murder Koor v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13 : Marsh., 527 : W. R., F. B., 116. }	1239
Muringa Mangalath Gopalan Nayar v. Valia Tambu- ratti. }	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 87	1135, 1453
Murlidhar v. Ishri Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 576	5144
_____ v. Parsotam Dass	I. L. R., 2 All., 91	210
_____ v. Supdu	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 149	1186
Murli Rai v. Ledri	I. L. R., 7 All., 851	188, 5619
Murray. In re	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 58	3861
Murriam Bibee v. Mahomed Jamal	12 W. R., 380	4081, 5205
Murugayi v. Viramakali	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 226	2639
Musa Asmal. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Shaunuddin Hismuddin	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 164	2885
Musabhi v. Shaunuddin Hismuddin	4 Bom., A. C., 35	2524
Musadee Mahomed Cazum Sherazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoostry. }	6 Moore's I. A., 27	5606
		230
		4650, 6132

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Musa Miya Saheb v. Gulam Husein	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 100	5744
Muse Ali Adam. In re	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 653	964
Musharraf Begum v. Ghalib Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 189	787
Musnud Ali Chowdhry <i>alias</i> Moochee Mean. In the } matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., Cr., 38	5605
Mussa v. Atharaman	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 512	3254, 5480
Mussahur Daoudh. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 92	5553
Musserooddeen v. Khoda Bux	10 W. R., 386	5686
Mussitee Khanum v. Hookoom Bibee	15 W. R., 85	6235
Mussoorie Bank v. Raynor	I. L. R., 4 All., 500 : L. R., } 9 I. A., 70. }	4660, 6218
Mustan Saib v. Brooks	7 Mad., 347	424
Musutti v. Shekharan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 41	822
Musyatulla v. Noorzahan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 808	569, 3026
Mutha v. Sami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 200	3917
Muthaya v. Muthaya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 334	5647
Muthaya Chetti v. Allan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 209	2807
Muthayammal v. Tirunala Gaundan	4 Mad., 22	227
Muthialu Chetti v. Bapun Saib	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 140	4102
Muthoora Doss v. Kanoo Beharee Singh	21 W. R., 287	2078, 2084
— v. Shumbhoo Dutt	20 W. R., 53	2089
Muthoora Koonwaree v. Bootun Singh	13 W. R., 31	3159
Muthoora Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Pearee Mohun } Shaha.	23 W. R., 344	2390
Muthoora Nath Chuckerbutty v. Heera Lall Doss	17 W. R., Cr., 55	4347 5018
Muthoora Nath Doss v. Raickomul Dossee	24 W. R., 278	4920, 6182
Muthoora Nath Koond v. Sameeruddee Mollah	1 W. R., 42	485
Muthooranath Mojoomdar v. Mohobuttoonnissa Bibee	20 W. R., 206	537
Muthoora Nath Mozoomdar v. Tarinee Churn Singh	8 W. R., 164	1215
Muthoora Pershad Singh v. Shumboo Geer	22 W. R., 211	45
Muthooroollah v. Torabooddeen	15 W. R., 305	3468
Muthora Nath Pal v. Chundermoney Dabia	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 817	475, 1504
Muthra v. Jawahir	I. L. R., 1 All., 527	3945
Muthra Chowdry v. Sheoruttun Mull	6 N. W., 126	1328, 4360
Muthra Doss v. Magh Singh	2 N. W., 207	821
Muthra Pershad v. Bunde Roy	5 N. W., 20	142, 5755
Muthumadeva Naik v. Sevattamuthumadeva Naik	7 Mad., 160	1202, 4907
Muthur Ali v. Sheo Sahoy Singh	W. R., 1864, 281	4991
Muthusami Pillai v. Muthu Chidambara Chetti	7 Mad., 356	5799
Mutirakal Kovilagatha Rama Varma Raja v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 351	6090
Mutloob Ali v. Kiria	1 Agra, 276	5599
Muttalagiri v. Muttayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 357	6095
Muttammal v. Bank of Madras	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 115	5420
— v. Chinnana Gounden	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220	665
— v. Kamakshy Ammal	2 Mad., 337	4952, 6085
— v. Venga Laksmiammal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 32	6090
Muttasvami Gaundan v. Subbiramanyya Gaundan	10 Mad., 309	2435
Muttaya v. Sivaraman	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 229	2312
Muttayan Chetti v. Sangili Vira Pandia Chinna } Tambiar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 370	2378
— v. Sangili Vira Pandia (Chinnatam- } biar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 1 : L. R., 9 } I. A., 128 : 12 C. L. R., }	2614
Muttealaummam v. Chellayammal	5 Mad., 98	2158, 2370
		2497, 5506
		2159
		285

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mutteeram Kowar <i>v.</i> Gopaul Sahoo	{ 11 B. L. R., 416 : 20 W. R., 187. }	2198
Muttirangot Manakal Bhavathradan Bhatta Thiripad <i>v.</i> Eraugot Trikovil Pishareth Rama Pisharoti.	{ 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 99 }	3236
Muttiya Pillai <i>v.</i> Western	1 Mad., 27	5879
Mutto <i>a.</i> Ilahi Begam	1. L. R., 6 All., 65	5118
Muttra Pershad <i>v.</i> Rampershad	6 N. W., 39	862
Muttu <i>v.</i> Kudalalaga	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 97	4305
Muttu Chetti <i>v.</i> Muttan Chetti	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 296	4993, 5837
Muttu Chidambara <i>v.</i> Karuppa	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 382	4305
Muttukaruppa Kaundan <i>v.</i> Rama Pillai	3 Mad., 158	134, 5624
Muttukaruppan Chetti <i>v.</i> Mutturamalinga Chetti	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 47	5443
Muttukumarappa Reddi <i>v.</i> Arumuga Pillai	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 145	5034
Muttumira <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 590	4113
Muttu Ramalinga Setupati <i>v.</i> Perianayagum Pillai	L. R., 1 I. A., 209 {	1758, 2219 2242
Muttusamy Jagavira Yettapa Naikar <i>v.</i> Venkatasubha Yettia.	{ 2 Mad., 293 }	2417
Muttusamy Mudaly <i>v.</i> Sadagopa Gramany	4 Mad., 398	3588
Muttu Vaduganadha Tevar <i>v.</i> Dorasingha Tevar	{ 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 290 : L. R., 8 I. A., 99. }	2496, 5506
Muttuvelu Pillai <i>v.</i> Vythilinga Pillai	5 Mad., 185	810
Muttuvelu Pillay <i>v.</i> Samu Pillay	5 Mad., Ap., 2	4528
Muttu Viran Chetti <i>v.</i> Kattama Natchiyar	4 Mad., 463	3579
Muttu Vizia Ragunada Rani Kolundapuri Nachiar <i>alias</i> Kattama Nachiar <i>v.</i> Dorasinga Tevar	{ 6 Mad., 310 }	2286, 2291 2303
Muttyjan <i>v.</i> Ahmed Ally	{ 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 370 : 10 C. L. R., 346. }	3641
Mutty Khan <i>v.</i> Mungloo	5 W. R., Cr., 50	4384
Mutty Lall <i>v.</i> Chogemull	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 344	2574
Mutty Lall Chuttopadhyia. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 74	2590, 5546
Mutty Lall Ghose. In the matter of	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 308	1315
Mutty Loll Seal <i>v.</i> Annundo Chunder Sandle	5 Moore's I. A., 72	1823
_____ <i>v.</i> Dent	5 Moore's I. A., 328	
_____ <i>v.</i> O'Dowda	4 Moore's I. A., 382	
Muttylall Sen Gwyal <i>v.</i> Deshkar Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 774 : 9 W. R., 1. }	2059
Mutty Ram Sahoo <i>v.</i> Mohi Lall Roy	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 291 : 7 C. L. R., 433. }	2846
Mutty Soonur <i>v.</i> Gundur Soonur	20 W. R., 129	3037
Mutu <i>v.</i> Veerapah Chetty	8 B. L. R., Ap., 91 : 17 W. R., 243. }	4743
Mutukdbaree Lall <i>v.</i> Fuzul Hossein	6 W. R., Mis., 131	4799, 4848
Mutura Kant Shaha <i>v.</i> Meajan Mundul	5 C. L. R., 192	3017
Mutuswamy Jagavera Yettappa Naiken <i>v.</i> Vencataswara Yettappa.	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 15 : 11 W. R., P. C., 6 : 12 Moore's I. A., 203. }	2417
Mutusawmy Jagavera Yettapa Naiker <i>v.</i> Venkataswara Yettia.	{ 10 Moore's I. A., 313 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 205. }	4633
Muzaffar Husain <i>v.</i> Ali Husain	1. L. R., 5 All., 297	5415
Muzboot Singh <i>v.</i> Chunder Mashee Kooer	16 W. R., 44	2746
Muzhur Ali <i>v.</i> Basoo	8 W. R., 47	4428
_____ <i>v.</i> Ganesh Kooer	3 N. W., 46	5220
_____ <i>v.</i> Gundowree Sahoo	25 W. R., Cr., 72	4104, 4109 4111
Muzhur Ali <i>alias</i> Sat Cowree Meah <i>v.</i> Nawab Nazim of Bengal.	{ 7 W. R., 308 }	3820

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.
Muzhur Hossain <i>v.</i> Denobundoo Sen . . .	Bourke, O. C., 119 .
————— <i>v.</i> Dinobundo Sen . . .	Bourke, C. O., 8 : Cor., 94
Muzhur Hossein <i>v.</i> Dinobundoo Sein . . .	Bourke, A. O. C., 40
————— <i>v.</i> Hur Pershad Roy . . .	15 W. R., 353 .
Muzhurool Huq <i>v.</i> Pubraj Ditarey Mohapattur . . .	13 W. R., 235 .
Myenooddeen <i>v.</i> Rammonee Chowdhraim . . .	7 W. R., 182 .
Mymonissa <i>v.</i> Mohabuth Ally . . .	2 Hay, 404 .
Myna Boyee <i>v.</i> Oottoram . . .	2 W. R., P. C., 4 : 8 Moore's } I. A., 400.

N

Nabadwip Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of.	1 B. L. R., O. C., 47
Nabadwip Chandra Sirkar <i>v.</i> Kali Nath Pal . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 130
Nabakrishna Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Collector of Hooghly . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 276 .
————— <i>v.</i> Kailas Chandra Butta- charjee. {	8 B. L. R., 566 : 20 W. R., } 459 : 14 Moore's I. A., 152. }
Naba Kumar Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	5 B. L. R., Ap., 45 .
Nabakumar Halder <i>v.</i> Bhabasundari Debi . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 375 .
Nabi Bax <i>v.</i> Didar Bax Shah . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 10 : 11 } W. R., 326.
Nabin Chandra Mazumdar <i>v.</i> Mukta Sundari Debi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 38 : 15 W. R. 309.
Nabin Chandra Mitter <i>v.</i> Mahes Chandra Mitter .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 111 : 12 W. R., 69.
Nabira Rai <i>v.</i> Achampat Rai . . .	1 L. R., 3 All., 422 .
Nabjan Bibi <i>v.</i> Chand Bibi . . .	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 238 : 13 C. L. R., 401 : L. R., 10 I. A., } 133.
Nabu Kristo Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Parbutty Churn Bhutta- charjee. }	13 W. R., 23 . . .
Nachiyappa Mudali <i>v.</i> Ayyasami Ayyar . . .	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 189 .
Nader Ali <i>v.</i> Majoo . . .	21 W. R., 377 .
Nadiar Chand Roy <i>v.</i> Baikant Nath Misser . . .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 33, note .
Nadir Beg <i>v.</i> Muddurram . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 2 .
Nadir Hossein <i>v.</i> Bissen Chand Bassarat . . .	3 C. L. R., 437 .
————— <i>v.</i> Pearoo Thovildarinee	14 B. L. R., 425, note : 19 W. R., 255.
Nadirjan Bibee <i>v.</i> Kureemoneissa Chowdhraim . . .	12 W. R., 121 . . .
Nadirjuma Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Surma . . .	W. R., 1864, 362 . . .
Naduroonissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Aghur Ali Chowdhry . . .	7 W. R., 103 . . .
Naduvath Mannakel Subramanyan Nambudripad	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 187 . . .
Nafar Chand Pal Chowdhry. In the matter of the pe- tition of.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 126 . . .
Nafer Chunder Mundul <i>v.</i> Baikanto Nath Roy . . .	4 C. L. R., 156 . . .
Naffar Chand Pal Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Sandes . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 35, note : 10 W. R., 432.
Nagabhushanam <i>v.</i> Seshamma Garu . . .	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 180 . . .
Nagalinga Mudali <i>v.</i> Subbiramanya Mudali . . .	1 Mad., 77 . . .
Nagalutchmee Ummal <i>v.</i> Gopoo Nadaraja Chetty	6 Moore's I. A., 309 .
Nagappa bin Hulgappa. In the matter of the peti- tion of. }	5 Bom., A. C., 215

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nagappa Udapa v. Subba Sastry	2 Mad., 367	2116
Nagar v. Shurintoollah	20 W. R., 77	880
Nagardas v. Conservator of Forests, Bombay	{ I. L. R., 4 Bom., 264 : L. R., } 7 I. A., 55. }	2935
Nagar Mal v. Macpherson	I. L. R., 3 All., 766	4427
Nagasawmy Naik v. Rungasamy Naik	8 Mad., 46	360, 361 364
Nagayasami Kemaya Naik (Zemindar of Saptur) v. Pandya Tevar.	7 Mad., 53	2914
Nagendra Lal Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of.	13 B. L. R., 307 : 21 W. R., Cr., 58. }	4332
Nagesh Bhat v. Balvantrav	9 Bom., 151	4826
Naginbhai v. Abdulla	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 717	479
Nagindas. In the goods of	3 Bom., O. C., 135	4844
Nagindas Devchand v. Natha Pitamber	10 Bom., 297	812, 5437
Nagindas Jeychand v. Halalkhore Nathwa Gheesla	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 470	5848
Nagireddi v. Ramanna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 592	5704
Nago Kanaturia v. Babaji Katari	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 610	4765
Nago Mahadev v. Narayan Ramchandra	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 465	4068, 5259
Nagova kom Jakan Gauda	3 Bom., A. C., 108	2858
Nag Thakur v. Madnaji Sadashiv	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 239	3861
Nagu v. Yeknath	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 400	6274
Nagubai v. Motigir Guru	1 Bom., 5	6143
Nagurechand v. Doorga Doss Chowdhry	11 W. R., 137	4249, 6007
Nagur Singh v. Mushunund Khan Sirdar	11 W. R., 309	1731
Nahal Chand v. Bai Shiva	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 470	2212
Nahalchand Harakchand v. Hemchand	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 31	2298, 2312
Nahana v. Dya Ram	5 N. W., 170	6054
Nahanibai v. Nathu Bhau	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 414	3302
Naharmul Marwari v. Sadut Ali	8 C. L. R., 468	5441
Nahor Habi Tea Company. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 11	952
Naigar Timapa v. Bhaskar Parmaya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 444	411, 5414
Naik Ram Singh v. Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 4 All., 371	4081
Naimudda Jowardar v. Moucrieff	3 B. L. R., A. C., 283	322, 1590 2794, 4898
Nainsukh Rai v. Umadai	I. L. R., 7 All., 273	361, 371
Naipal Singh v. Ram Narain	2 Agra, 93	5002, 5190
Nait Ram v. Shib Dat	I. L. R., 5 All., 238	1378
Najhan v. Mahomed Taki Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 872 : 12 C. } L. R., 571. }	806
Najibulla Mulla v. Nusir Mistri	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 196 : 8 C. L. R., 454.	3893
Najibunnissa. In the matter of the petition of	4 B. L. R., A. C., 55	3633
Naki Hazi. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 72	4741
Nakur Chunder Saha v. Judoo Nath Chuckerbutty	24 W. R., 389	879
Nalit Mohan Das v. Ganga Dhye	7 B. L. R., 333	4410
Nallana Gaundan v. Palani Gaundan	2 Mad., 420	3976
Nallappa Goundan v. Ibram Sahib	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 73	4835
Nallapa Reddi v. Bilammal	2 Mad., 182	2082
Nallatambi Mudaliar v. Ponnusami Pillai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 400	1999
Nallatambi Pattar v. Chinnadeyvanayagam Pillai	1 Mad., 109	3046, 3584
Nallathambi Battar v. Nellakumara Pillai	7 Mad., 306	1573, 1739
Namasevayam Pillay v. Annammi Ummal	4 Mad., 339	2437
Namasivaya Chetti v. Sivagami	1 Mad., 374	2509
Namasivaya Gaundan v. Kylasa Gaundan	7 Mad., 200	1100
Nambiathan Nambudiri v. Nambiathan Nambudiri	2 Mad., 110	3757

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Namboory Seetapaty v. Kanoo Colanoo Pullia	7 W. R., P. C., 7 : 3 Moore's I. A., 359.	2828
Nana Bayaji v. Pandurang Vasudev . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 97 . . .	
Nanabhai Narotomdas v. Ramshet Govindshet	6 Bom., A. C., 156 . . .	
Nanabhai Rustamji v. Pestanji Jamsetji . .	6 Bom., A. C., 31 . . .	
Nanabhai Sorabji Mestri. In the goods of .	11 Bom., 87 . . .	
Nanabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai	7 Bom., A. C., 46 . . .	
	9 Bom., 89 . . .	
Nana bin Lakshman v. Anant Babaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 353 . . .	
Nanack Chand v. Teluckdye Koer . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 265 : 4 C. L. R., 358.	
Nanah <i>alias</i> Narain Rao v. Jumna Bacc	2 Agra, 192 . . .	
Nanakchand v. Ram Narayan . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 181 . . .	5749
Nanakram v. Mehin Lal . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 487 . . .	
Nana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao	6 Moore's I. A., 464 . . .	
_____ v. Hurree Punth Bhao	Marsh., 436 : 9 Moore's I. A., 96.	
_____ v. Rama Nund . . .	2 Agra, 171 . . .	
Nanchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 . . .	
Nancy <i>alias</i> Zuhoorun v. Burgess . . .	1 W. R., 272 . . .	
Nanda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 91 : 10 W. R., 130.	
Nanda Kumar Shaha v. Gaur Sankar . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 4 : 13 W. R., 305.	
Nanda Lal Rai v. Bonomali Lahiri . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 544 . . .	
Nandan Misser v. Chatter Bati . . .	13 B. L. R., Ap., 33 . . .	5617
Nanda Rai v. Raghunandan Singh . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 282 . . .	
Nandipat Mahata v. Urquhart . . .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 181 : 13 W. R., 209.	
Nandkishor Balgovan v. Bhagubai Pranvalubhdas	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 95 . . .	
Nand Kishor Das v. Ram Kalp Roy . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 49 : 15 W. R., 8.	
Nand Kumar v. Radha Kuari . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 282 . . .	
Nand Lal v. Naitram . . .	7 N. W., 105 . . .	
Nand Ram v. Fakir Chand . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 523 . . .	
_____ v. Muhammad Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 616 . . .	
_____ v. Ramprasad . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 641 . . .	
Nandram v. Sitaram	I. L. R., 8 All., 545 . . .	5617
_____ v. Sitla Prasad . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 484 . . .	
Nandram Motiram v. Kacha Bhan . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 526 . . .	
Nandram Sardarmal v. Bhavani Huibati	6 Bom., A. C., 241 . . .	
Nandram Sundarji Naik v. Balaji Vithal	5 Bom., A. C., 153 . . .	
Nandvallabh v. Allibhai Isyagani . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 254 . . .	
Nanerbi Kunwar v. Kasturi Kunwar . . .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 581 . . .	
Nangali v. Raman . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 226 . . .	
Nanhak Joti v. Jaimangal Chaubey . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 294 . . .	
Nanha Malla v. Empress . . .	13 C. L. R., 326 . . .	
Nanhoon Singh v. Tofanee Singh . . .	12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R., 33	5617
Nanhu Koer v. Somirun Thakur . . .	8 C. L. R., 287 . . .	
Nani Bibee v. Hafizullah . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1073 . . .	
Nanjundepa v. Hemapa . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 16 . . .	
Nan Karay Phaw v. Ko Htaw Ah . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 124 : L. R., 13 I. A., 48.	
Nankoo Koeree v. Nund Coomar Paurey	22 W. R., 326 . . .	
Nanku v. Board of Revenue . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 444 . . .	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nanku Roy <i>v.</i> Mahabir Prasad	8 B. L. R., Ap., 35 : 11 W. R., 405. }	2883
Nannee Bibee <i>v.</i> Surwar Hossein	7 W. R., 522	91
Nanomi Babuasin <i>v.</i> Modhun Mohun	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 21 : L. R., 13 I. A., 1. }	2185
Nanoo Roy <i>v.</i> Jhoomuck Lall Doss	12 B. L. R., 292, note : 18 W. R., 376. }	322, 1181
Nanuk Pershad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 40 : 6 C. L. R., 388. }	182
_____ <i>v.</i> Lalla Nitya Lall	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 40 : 6 C. L. R., 388. }	182
Naoraji Beramji <i>v.</i> Rogers	4 Bom., O. C., 1	1578, 2962 4239, 5800 6042
Naraganti Achammagaru <i>v.</i> Venkatachalapati Nayanivaru	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 250	2327
Naraguntv Luchmedavamah <i>v.</i> Vengama Naidoo	1 W. R., P. C., 30 : 9 Moore's } I. A., 66. }	1785, 3124 4649
Narain Acharjee <i>v.</i> Gregory	8 W. R., 304	206
Narain Acharjee Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Mohamoya Dabee Chowdhrain.	10 W. R., 240	3506
Naraina Putter <i>v.</i> Aya Putter	7 Mad., 372	6081
Narainasami Pillai. In the matter of the petition of	4 Mad., 91	4796, 4802
Narain Babu <i>v.</i> Gouri Pershad Bias	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 21	3305
Narain Chunder <i>v.</i> Tayler	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 103 : 3 C. L. R., 151. }	64, 5215
Narain Chunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Dataram Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597 : 10 C. L. R., 241. }	4834, 6037 6143
Narain Chunder Dhur <i>v.</i> Cohen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 565	1763
Narain Coomary <i>v.</i> Ramkrishna Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 364 : 6 C. L. R., 286. }	4795
Narain Das. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 610	1269
_____ <i>v.</i> Chait Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 179	2728
_____ <i>v.</i> Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 135	1486
_____ <i>v.</i> Lajja Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 693	14
Narain Dass <i>v.</i> Newton	6 N. W., 43	2808
_____ <i>v.</i> Nownit Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 809 : 4 C. L. R., 67. }	2396
Narain Dat <i>v.</i> Bhairo Bakhshpal	I. L. R., 3 All., 189	5043
Narain Deen Tewaree <i>v.</i> Ram Dass	8 W. R., 425	334, 4449
Narain Dhara <i>v.</i> Rakhal Gain	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 1 : 23 W. R., 334. }	
Narain Doss <i>v.</i> Estate of the ex-King of Delhi	10 W. R., P. C., 55	2712, 3112
_____ <i>v.</i> Maharajah of Burdwan	10 W. R., 174	5783
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahatab Chund Bahadoor	7 W. R., 137	2419
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahtab Chunder	10 W. R., 174	5783
_____ <i>v.</i> Niddha Lall		3434
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Mytee	15 W. R., 513	5669
Narain Doss Bepary <i>v.</i> Soobul Bepary	1 W. R., 113	3014
Narainee Dabee <i>v.</i> Chundee Churn Chowdhry	11 W. R., 512	5929
Narainee Dossee <i>v.</i> Nurrohurry Mohonto	Marsh., 70	6108
Narainee Koonwaree <i>v.</i> Joogul Kishore Roy	6 W. R., 309	4587
Narain Geer <i>v.</i> Gour Suran Doss	23 W. R., 368	6177
Narain Giree <i>v.</i> Goluck Chunder Mytee	22 W. R., 102	1450
Naraini Kuar <i>v.</i> Durjan Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 738	4275
_____ <i>v.</i> Piarey Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 738	4275
Narain Khootia <i>v.</i> Lokenath Khootia	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 461 : 9 C. L. R., 243. }	2149, 3139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narain Lall v. Lalla Nund Kishore Lall	19 W. R., 78	3212
Narain Mal v. Kooer Narain Mytee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 251	676
Narain Mundul v. Bhookto Mahato	25 W. R., 56	3045
Narain Pattro v. Aukhoy Narain Manna	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 152	5807
Narainpersad Mytee v. Roodur Narain Mungle	2 Hay, 490	2240
Narain Roy v. Opnit Misser	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 304: 11 C. } L. R., 417. }	5184
— v. Sreenath Mitter	9 W. R., 485	3504, 4436
Narain Sahai v. Posoo	2 Agra, 78	6053
Narain Saboo v. Ochoot Sahoo	14 W. R., 233	5400
Narain Singh v. Bheurab Churn Panda	8 C. L. R., 350	885
— v. Madho Parshad	7 N. W., 153	1118
— v. Muhammad Faruk	I. L. R., 1 All., 277	4539
— v. Munsur Rao	25 W. R., 155	5189
— v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 370	4525
— v. Simbhoo Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 325: L. R., 4 } I. A., 15. }	5215
Narain Singh Roy v. Nurendro Narain Roy	22 W. R., 296	1748, 1985
Narain Sooboddhee. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 37	5542
Narain Sooboodhi. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 6	5537
Narakant Mazumdar v. Baradakant Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 31	1438
Naran Appa Aiyan v. Nanna Ammal <i>alias</i> Parvathy } Ammal. }	8 Mad., 97	3181, 3469
Naranbhai Virjbhukandas v. Naroshankar Chandro } Shankar. }	4 Bom., A. C., 98	2771, 5768
Narandas v. Bai Manchha	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 217	1864
Naranji Bhikabhai v. Dipa Urred	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 3	4963
Naranjoli Chirakal Kunhi Raman v. Naranjoli Chirakal } Puttalathu Kunhunni Nambiar. }	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 314	6083
Naran Purshotam v. Dalatram Virchand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 538	3945, 6148
Narappa bin Appa Hegdi v. Gapaya bin Kapaya	2 Bom., 361: 2nd Ed., 341	769, 1765
Narasamal v. Balaramacharlu	1 Mad., 420	2132, 2138
Narasanna v. Gavappa	3 Mad., 270	2216
— v. Gurappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 424	4753, 4822
Narasayya v. Jungam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 418	2186
— v. Narasimma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 510	4834
— v. Venkatakrishnayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 397	2645
Narasayya Chetti v. Guruvappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 378	1888
Narasidavur v. Marana Kaundan	2 Mad., 440	4766
Narasimah v. Venkatadri	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 290	5656
Narasimha. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 201	2192, 2511
Narasimha Rau v. Lakshmiapati Rau	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 263	4106
Narasimharav Krishnarav v. Antaji Virupaksh	2 Bom., 64: 2nd Ed., 61	1200
Narasimma v. Kistnama	1 Mad., 456	296, 2234
Narasimma Chariar v. Kristna Tata Chariar	6 Mad., 449	6243
Narasimma Charya v. Raghupathyacharya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 176	2838
Narasimma Thatha Acharya v. Anantha Bhatta	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 391	1440, 3276
Narasimulu v. Somanna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 167	2251
Narasu Reddi v. Krishna Padayache	1 Mad., 358	4840
Narattam Das Chowdhry v. Rosopyari Chowdhraim	3 B. L. R., A. C., 271	3114
Narayan v. Chintaman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 393	320
— v. Satvaji	9 Bom., 83	1574
Narayana. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 49	2500
— v. Krishna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 214	4509
— v. Narayana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 284	249, 339
		2361, 434
		3765

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narayana Aiyan v. Srinivasa Aiyan	2 Mad., 435	3832
Narayana Charya v. Narso Krishna	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 262	2178
Narayana Chetti v. Champion	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 1	3316
Narayana Malva v. Govind Shetty	6 Mad., 18	6016
Narayanan v. Kannan	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 315	3755
— v. Sridharan	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 165	3753
Narayanan Chetti v. Karuppathan	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 251	5849
Narayanan Chetty v. Jensen	2 Mad., 383	2586
Narayana Pillay v. Ramasawmy Thavutharan	8 Mad., 100	3413
Narayanappa v. Bhaskar Parmaya	7 Bom., A. C., 125	3204, 3309
Narayanasami v. Samidas	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 293	2235
Narayanasami Ayyar. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 182	3621
Narayanasami Mudali v. Kumarasami Gurukkal	7 Mad., 267	4479
Narayanasami Naikar v. Velu Pillay	4 Mad., 188	2859
Narayana Sanabhaga v. Narayana Nayak	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 327	3028
Narayana Sawmy Naick v. Saravana Mudaly	6 Mad., 58	5493
Narayanasvami Chetti v. Arunachala Chetti	1 Mad., 487, note	2529
Narayan Ayyar v. Lakshmi Ammal	3 Mad., 216	241, 5787
— v. —	3 Mad., 289	2449
Narayan Babaji v. Nana Manohar	7 Bom., A. C., 153	2119, 2225
Narayan Babaji Dabholkar v. Pandurang Ramchandra } Dabholkar.	12 Bom., 148	2303, 2355
Narayanbhai Lalbhai v. Gangakrishna Balkrishna	4 Bom., A. C., 87	4473, 4882
Narayan Bharthi v. Laving Bharthi	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 140	5115, 5924
Narayan Bhivrav v. Kashi	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 67	2221, 2321
Narayan bin Babaji v. Gungaram bin Krishnaji	5 Bom., A. C., 157	4256
Narayan bin Raghoji v. Bholagir Guru Manjir	6 Bom., A. C., 80	3050
Narayan bin Sidoji v. Davudbhai valad Fatebhai	9 Bom., 238	2500
Narayan Das v. Maharaja of Burdwan	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11 : 10 W. } R., 174.	52
Narayan Deshpande v. Anaji Deshpande	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 130	5119
— v. Rangubai	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 127	3165
Narayan Dev v. Gajanan Dikshit	10 Bom., 1	2347, 5474
Narayanga Devu v. Harischandana Devu	1 Mad., 455	2713, 5819
Narayan Gop Habbu v. Pandurang Ganu	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 685	5975
Narayan Govind Ok v. Ganesh Atmaram Fadke	7 Bom., A. C., 118	2062
Narayani v. Govinda	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 352	5049
Narayani Dayi Debi v. Chandi Charan Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., Ap., 65	4615
Narayan Krishna Laud v. Collector of Bombay	5 Bom., O. C., 1	3762
— v. Norman	5 Bom., O. C., 1	5929
Narayan Madhavrao Naik v. Collector of Thana	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 145	2913, 5718
Narayan Mandal v. Beni Madhab Sircar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 32 : 12 W. } R., F. B., 21.	165
Narayan M. Pendshe. In re	11 Bom., 102	3147
Narayan Nagarkar v. Vithu Jakhoji	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 539	1222
Narayan Naik. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 34	1569, 4304
Narayan Raghunath v. Bhagvant Anant	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 238	967
— v. Kashinath	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 299	1234
Narayan Ramchandra v. Dhondur Raghun	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 173	5828
Narayaurav Damodar v. Balkrishna Mahadev	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 529	5858
Narayanrav Ram Chandra Pant v. Ramabai	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 415 : L. R., } 6 I. A., 114 : 6 C. L. R., } 162.	1438
Narayan Sadanand Bava v. Balkrisna Shideshvar	9 Bom., 413	2425, 3373
Narayan Sadashiv v. Bapuji Balal	7 Bom., A. C., 140	1358
		5834

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narayan Shamji v. Gujerat Trading Company . . .	3 Bom., O. C., 20 . . .	946
Narayan Succaram v. Bhawoo Dajee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 154 . . .	6121
Narayan Undir Patil v. Motilal Ramdas . . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 45 . . .	630, 1684
Narayan Venkoba v. Pandurang Kamat . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 526 . . .	3954
Narayan Venku Kalgutkar v. Sakharan Nagu Koregaumkar. }	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 462 . . .	604, 625 2877
Narayan Visaji v. Lakshuman Bapuji . . .	10 Bom., 324 . . .	3057
Narayan Vithe Parab v. Krishnaji Sadashiv . . .	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 233 . . .	5244
Narayan Vyankatesh v. Dhandu Damodhar . . .	4 Bom., A. C., 167 . . .	238
Narbadabai v. Mahadeo Narayan . . .	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 99 . . .	2415, 2428
Narbadashankar v. Rugh-nath Ishvarji . . .	2 Bom., 349 . . .	3200
Narbhas Singh v. Luchmee Narain Pooree . . .	11 W. R., 307 . . .	3723
Narbheram Kisandas v. Navnidram Kushiram . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 46 . . .	239
Narendra Narain Roy v. Bishun Chundra Das . . .	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 182 . . .	4205
Narendra Narayan Roy Chowdhry v. Ishan Chandra Sen. }	13 B. L. R., 274: 22 W. R., 22. }	5194, 5201
Narendronarain Singh. In re . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 48 . . .	6010
Narhar Govind Kulkarni v. Narayan Vithal . . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 607 . . .	2109
Narhar Singh v. Dirgnath Kuar . . .	1. L. R., 2 All., 407 . . .	2413
Narisi. In re . . .	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 504 . . .	4344
Narmada Bai. In the matter of the petition of . . .	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 14 . . .	28
Naro Damodar Ghugri v. Collector of Poona . . .	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 209 . . .	4390
Naro Ganesh Datar v. Muhammad Khan . . .	9 Bom., 280 . . .	3340
Naro Hari Bhawe v. Vithalbhat . . .	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 648 . . .	3972, 4257
Narotam v. Nanka . . .	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 473 . . .	2212
Narotam Babu v. Ganpatrav Pandurang . . .	8 Bom., O. C., 69 . . .	4561
Narotamdas Bhagtandas v. Dayabhai Ichhachand . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 34 . . .	3322
Narotam Dass v. Sheo Pargash Singh . . .	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 740: L. R., 11 I. A., 83. }	4227
Narottam Jagjivan v. Narsandas Harikisandas . . .	3 Bom., A. C., 6 . . .	2532
Narpat Singh v. Mahomed Ali Hussain Khan . . .	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 1 . . .	2062
Narraina Tantri v. Ukkoma . . .	6 Mad., 267 . . .	3212
Narrandas Hemraj v. Vissandas Hemraj . . .	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 134 . . .	3321
Narronji Bhimji v. Mugniram Chandaji . . .	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 103 . . .	3179, 3221
Narsappa Lingappa v. Sakharan Krishna . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 215 . . .	2309
Narsidas Jitram v. Joglekar . . .	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 57 . . .	3924
Narsinbhat bin Bapubhat v. Chenapa bin Ningapa . . .	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 479 . . .	2374
Narsingdas Multan Chand v. Nahanubai . . .	7 Bom., O. C., 183 . . .	424
Narsingh Das v. Mangal Dubey . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 163 . . .	4039
— v. Narain Das . . .	1. L. R., 2 All., 763 . . .	3485
Narsingh Dass v. Najmooddin Hossein . . .	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 20: 10 C. }	3641
Narsingh Sewak Singh v. Madho Das . . .	L. R., 225. }	
Narsingh Sewak Singh v. Madho Das . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 274 . . .	3482
Narsing Porkaet v. Bewah . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 14 W. }	4804
Narsing Rao Rama Chandra v. Luxuman Rao . . .	R., 250. }	
Narsingrav Ramchandra v. Venkaji Krishna . . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 318 . . .	905
Narsinwacharya v. Svami Raya Charya . . .	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 395 . . .	3861
Naru v. Gulabsing . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 55 . . .	6077
Naru Pira v. Naro Shidheshvar . . .	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 83 . . .	6146
Nasarvanji Aspandiarji v. Shivji Manikbhai . . .	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 28 . . .	5691
Nasarvanji Hormasji v. Narayan Trimbak Patil . . .	9 Bom., 438 . . .	3782
Nasarvanji Pestanji v. Deputy Commissioner of Customs. }	4 Bom., A. C., 125 . . .	2973, 4586
— v. Nasarvanji Darasha . . .	2 Bom., 2nd Ed., 75 . . .	1561
Naseerooddeen Ahmed v. Oomeedeh . . .	2 Bom., 366: 2nd Ed., 345 . . .	35
Nasibun. In the matter of the petition of . . .	3 Agra, 1 . . .	1347
— v. Preosunker Ghose . . .	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 534 . . .	5832
	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 534 . . .	5832

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nasir Ali <i>v.</i> Government	3 Agra, 394	5799
Nasir bin Abdul Habib Fazal <i>v.</i> Dayabhai Itchachand	10 Bom., 300	3290, 3291
Nasir Husain <i>v.</i> Mataprasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 891	1107
— <i>v.</i> Sughra Begum	I. L. R., 5 All., 505	3673
Nasir Khan <i>v.</i> Karamat Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 168	5691
Nasiruddin <i>v.</i> Khodabaksh	2 B. L. R., S. N., 7	5686
Nasiruddin Khan <i>v.</i> Indronarayan Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 367 : 5 W. } R., 93 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 147. }	5121
Nasoo <i>v.</i> Mahatal Beebee	4 W. R., 7	3660
Nasrat Husain <i>v.</i> Hamidan	I. L. R., 4 All., 205	3651
Nasrudin <i>v.</i> Venkatesh Prabhu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 382	813
Nassib Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nannoo Chowdhry	15 W. R., Cr., 47	5994
Nassir <i>v.</i> Chunder	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951 : 9 } W. R., Cr., 41. }	6191
Nassir Jan. In the matter of the petition of	7 B. L. R., 144 : 15 W. R., } 418. }	5935
Natabar Nandi <i>v.</i> Kalidass Pali	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 608 : 9 C. } L. R., 8. }	5246, 5431 5666, 5681
Nattal <i>v.</i> Nattall	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 12	1553
Natcharammal <i>v.</i> Gopalakrishna	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 126	2436
Natesa Ayyar <i>v.</i> Venkataramayyan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 135	487
Natha Hari <i>v.</i> Jamni	8 Bom., A. C., 37	1920, 4944 5403
Natha Hira <i>v.</i> Janardan Ram Chandra	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 503	3307
Nathaji Krishnaji <i>v.</i> Hari Jagoji	8 Bom., A. C., 67	2127, 2138 141, 3328
Natha Singh <i>v.</i> Jodha Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 406	4213, 4397 4437
Nathi <i>v.</i> Daud	2 Bom., 309 : 2nd Ed., 293	3331
Nathibai. In the goods of	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 9	2296, 2439
Nathmal Das <i>v.</i> Tajammul Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 36	830
Nathooram <i>v.</i> Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	—, 118	6095
Nathooram Shaha <i>v.</i> Doorga Manjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 92	1588
Nath Prasad <i>v.</i> Baijnath	I. L. R., 3 All., 66	2863, 5671
— <i>v.</i> Ram Paltan Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 218	3354
Nathu <i>v.</i> Badri Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 614	3257
Nathu Achalai Ayyangar <i>v.</i> Parthasaradi Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 114	3596
Nathubhai Bhailal <i>v.</i> Javher Raiji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 121	2212
Nathubhai Panachand <i>v.</i> Mulchand Hirachand	5 Bom., A. C., 196	2500
Nathud Bi <i>v.</i> Jafar Husain	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 365	392
Nathu Ganesh <i>v.</i> Kalidas Umed	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 365	5664
Nathu Lal Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Chadi Sahi	B. L. R., A. C., 15 : 12 W. } R., 446. }	2189
Nathuni Mahton <i>v.</i> Manraj Mahton	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 149	4254, 4261
Nathuram <i>v.</i> Phulchand	I. L. R., 6 All., 581	4805
Nathu Sadashiv <i>v.</i> Ramchandra Annaji	5 Bom., A. C., 76	4132
Nathu Sheikh <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 405	1966, 1977 1981
Nattam Venkataratnum <i>alias</i> Balakonda Venkata Na- rayana Row <i>v.</i> Nattam Ramaiya <i>alias</i> Balakonda Rama Row	— Mad., 470	2055, 2748
Nattu Miah <i>v.</i> Nandrani	B. L. R., 508 : 17 W. R., } 309. }	5690
Natukhee Koeri <i>v.</i> Chowdhry Chintamun Singh	10 W. R., 247	2222, 2232
Naubat Singh <i>v.</i> Kishan Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 753	1472
Naunhee Koonwar <i>v.</i> Kustooree Koonwar	3 W. R., 141	3524
Naunhoo Singh <i>v.</i> Tofan Singh	4 W. R., 228	2799, 6085

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Naun Singh v. Rash Behari Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255	6086
Nauth Sahoy v. Jugdum Sahoy	2 Hay, 499	2023
Navalmal Gambhirmal v. Dhondiba bin Bhagvantram	11 Bom., 155	3310
Navalram Atmaram v. Nandkishor Shivnarayan	1 Bom., 209	2493
Navivahoo v. Narotamdas Candas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 5	1042, 3093
Navlu v. Raghu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 303	5726
Navroji Pestanji v. Mansukh Jayachand	5 Bom., A. C., 70	4021
Navea bin Biba v. Raba bin Bahiru	1 Bom., 163	5706
Nawab Ali v. Uzir Mahomed	23 W. R., 233	306
Nawab Nazim of Bengal v. Amrao Begum	21 W. R., 59	1894
_____ v. Heera Lall Seal	10 B. L. R., 444	2741, 4065
_____ v. Prosono Narain Deb	2 Hyde, 236	4397
_____ v. Raj Coomaree Debee	6 W. R., 113	458
_____ v. Ram Lall Ghose <i>alias</i> Jogo- bundhoo Ghose.	6 W. R., Act X, 5	6236
Nawab of Surat. In re	5 Moore's I. A., 499	3334, 3819
Nawabun v. Jumeerun	Marsh., 428	1582
Nawabunnissa v. Fuzooloonissa	I. L. R., 4 All., 427	266
Nawal Singh v. Bhagwan Singh	2 Agra, 23	3702
Nawazish Aly Beg v. Vilaytee Khanum	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 293	2297
Nayanchandra v. Narayan	1 Mad., 252	809
Nayan Manni v. Goda Shangara	5 W. R., 282	317
Nazimooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry v. Wise	6 B. L. R., Ap., 130: 15 W. R., 232.	1427
Nazimudin Hossein v. Lloyd	14 W. R., 461	1731
Nazina Bibee v. Juggomohun Dutt	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 659	3118, 3395
Nazir Khan v. Proladh Dutta	5 W. R., 237	3885
Nazirootdeen Khan v. Inder Narain Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 19: 6 C. L. R., 210.	2029
Nazirun. In the matter of the petition of	1 W. R., Mis., 13	3711
Nazur Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan	22 W. R., 365	77, 163
Neamat Ali v. Gooroo Doss	1 B. L. R., O. C., 19	266
Nechterlein. In the goods of	2 W. R., 61, 62	1739
Needoo Monee Dossee v. Saroda Monee Dossee	6 W. R., 80	3084
Neelanund Singh v. Nusseeb Singh	12 B. L. R., Ap., 18	5121
Neel Kanto Pundit v. Juggobundoo Ghose	3 N. W., 67	1787
Neel Kunth Sahee v. Asmun Matho	3 W. R., 205	1842
Neel Madhub Dutt v. Radha Mohun	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. R., 152.	5485
Neelmonee Singh Deo v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co.	Marsh., 308: 2 Hay, 226	4954
Neelmoney Singh Deo v. Chutterdhun Singh	Marsh., 600	530, 5738
_____ v. Government	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 12	6121
_____ v. Shobhan Bibee	24 W. R., 424	2003
Neeloo Bebee v. Misser Biswas	7 W. R., 147	235, 5002
Neemchand Sahoo v. Ram Golam Singh	18 W. R., 261	5683
Neem Chund Dey v. Anund Coomar Roy Chowdhry	10 B. L. R., 57	214, 4804
Neerbhoy Singh v. Ram Pershad Singh	11 W. R., 420	228, 6231
Neerunjun Mookerjee v. Oopendro Narain Deb	8 W. R., 183	497
Neet Lall v. Miller	I. L. R., 2 All., 150	1462, 4518
Nehal Chunder Mistree v. Huree Pershad Mundul	I. L. R., 1 All., 428	239
Nehalo v. Kishen Lal	13 W. R., 281	4151
_____ v. Nawal	15 W. R., 110	2526
Nehaloonissa v. Dhunnoo Lall Chowdhry	2 N. W., 222	2071
Nehal Singh v. Ali Ahmed		3040
Nehchul v. Than Singh		291
		4559

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nehora Roy <i>v.</i> Radha Pershad Singh . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 64 : 4 C. L. R., 353.	2739, 2740 2741, 4411
Nekram Jemadar <i>v.</i> Iswariprasad Pachuri . . .	5 B. L. R., 643 : 14 W. R., 305.	5879
Nellaikumar Chetti <i>v.</i> Marakathammal . . .	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 166 . . .	2512
Nellaya Variyath Silapani <i>v.</i> Vadikapat Munakel Ashtamurti Nambudri.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 382 . . .	1459, 3763
Nellikel Edatthil Itti Pungy Achen. Ex parte . . .	2 Mad., 240 . . .	4726
Nemai Charan Dhabal <i>v.</i> Kokil Bag . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 534 : 7 C. L. R., 487.	4805, 5801 6140
Nemchand <i>v.</i> Savaichand . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 84, note . . .	2839
Nem Roy <i>v.</i> Bharut Roy . . .	22 W. R., 129 . . .	380
— <i>v.</i> Lalnun Roy . . .	25 W. R., 376 . . .	303, 4779 4815
Neomutoolah <i>v.</i> Govind Chunder Dutt . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 2 : 4 W. R., Act X, 25.	1662
Nepal Singh <i>v.</i> Ram Surun Singh . . .	W. R., 1864, 5 . . .	3127, 5591
Nepoor Aurut <i>v.</i> Jurai . . .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 33 : 19 W. R., Cr., 73.	3740
Nesbitt. In the goods of . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 49 . . .	3084
Netai Luskar <i>v.</i> Queen-Empress . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 410 . . .	4432
Nettietom Perengaryprom <i>alias</i> Panisherry Damodhen Nambudry <i>v.</i> Tayanbarry Parameshwareen Nambudry.	4 Mad., 472 . . .	3246
Netye Roy <i>v.</i> Odeet Roy . . .	10 W. R., 241 . . .	5386
New Beerbhoom Coal Co. <i>v.</i> Buloram Mahata . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 175 : 2 C. L. R., 268 . . .	3338
— <i>v.</i> Bularam Mahata . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 175, 932 : L. R., 7 I. A., 107. . .	3338, 5803
New Fleming Spinning and Weaving Co. In the matter of . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 439 . . .	939
— <i>v.</i> Kessowji Naik . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 275 . . .	939
Newaj <i>v.</i> Maksud Ali . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 373 . . .	932, 3172 5614
Newaj Bundopadhya <i>v.</i> Kaliprosonno Ghose . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 131 . . .	82, 3855
Newajee <i>v.</i> Lloyd . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 543 : 8 C. L. R., 6. . .	1633, 4151
Newar. In the matter of . . .	8 W. R., 464 . . .	1743
Newton. In re . . .	7 N. W., 230 . . .	1533
— In re the goods of . . .	10 B. L. R., 88 : 17 W. R., 35 : 14 Moore's I. A., 267. . .	468
— <i>v.</i> Kurneedhone . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 76 . . .	5903
Neynum <i>v.</i> Muzuffer Wahid . . .	9 B. L. R., Ap., 15 . . .	755
Neypal Singh <i>v.</i> Guyadut . . .	11 W. R., 265 . . .	1752, 5358
Nga Bike <i>v.</i> Snadden . . .	3 Agra, 311 . . .	1761, 5074
Nga Hoong <i>v.</i> Queen . . .	9 W. R., 276 . . .	1875
Nga Tha Yah <i>v.</i> Burn . . .	4 W. R., P. C., 109 : 7 Moore's I. A., 72. . .	2905
— <i>v.</i> Mi Khan Mhaw . . .	2 B. L. R., F. B., 91 : 11 W. R., F. B., 8. . .	4130
Niamat Ali <i>v.</i> Asmat Bibi . . .	5 B. L. R., 371 : 13 W. R., 443. . .	683, 4274 4283
Niamut Khan <i>v.</i> Bhadu Buldia . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 626 . . .	4551
— <i>v.</i> Phadu Buldia . . .	7 C. L. R., 227 . . .	5022
Niamutoollah Khadim <i>v.</i> Himmuto Ali Khadim . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 319 . . .	5022
	22 W. R., 519 . . .	137, 1844 5754, 5765

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Niamutulla v. Gopal Saha	11 B. L. R., Ap., 6: 14 W. R., Cr., 63.	977
Nibarun Chunder Dass v. Bhuggobutty Churn Chatterjee	20 W. R., Cr., 40	4748
Nichhabhai Pragji v. Issekhan Haji Abdula Khan	2 Bom., 313: 2nd Ed., 297	3731, 4902
Nicholls v. Wilson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 560: 3 C. L. R., 361.	2066
Nicol & Co. v. Castle	9 Bom., 321	598
Nidha Chowdhry v. Bundah Lall Thakoor	6 W. R., 289	1675
Nidhee Singh v. Bissonath Doss	24 W. R., 79	471
Nidhi Krishna Bose v. Nistarini Dasi	13 B. L. R., 416: 21 W. R., 386.	3042
_____ v. Ramdoss Sein	20 W. R., 341	5182
Nidhi Lal v. Mazhar Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 230	316, 2793
_____ v. _____	I. L. R., 7 All., 436	5896
Nidhoo Monee Joginee v. Kishen Nath Banerjee	20 W. R., 442	3908
Nidhoomoni Debya v. Saroda Pershad Mookerjee	L. R., 3 I. A., 253: 26 W. R., 91.	1629, 2747
Nidhoo Sircar v. Phillippe	10 W. R., 153	2114, 2271
Nidra Dossee v. Abdool Wahed	25 W. R., 532	1758
Nidree Telhinee. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 7	475
Nightingale v. Faiz-ulla	I. L. R., 4 All., 334	3618
Nihal Chand v. Azmat Ali Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 362	2944
Nihal Chand <i>alias</i> Chutto Lall Dass v. Rameshari Dassee.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 214: 12 C. L. R., 53.	4230, 4689
Nihal Khan v. Hur Churn Lall	1 Agra, 219	228
Nihal Singh v. Kokale Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 29	2190
Nijabutoolla v. Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 910: 10 C. L. R., 333.	4555
Nijamudin v. Mahamudali	4 Mad., 385	3149
Nikka Mal v. Sulaiman Shikoh Gardner	I. L. R., 2 All., 193	3201, 3202
Nilakandan v. Thandamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 460	3904
Nilakant Banerjee v. Suresh Chandra Mullick	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 414: L. R., 12 I. A., 171.	3257, 4188
Nilambar Sen v. Kali Kishor Sen	3 B. L. R., Ap., 94: 12 W. R., 28.	3972
Nilambar Sein v. Pitambur Sein	5 W. R., Mis., 28	1497
Nilatatchi v. Venkatachala Mudali	1 Mad., 131	2708
Nilava kom Rachappa v. Rudraya bin Rachappa	12 Bom., 141	50, 5754
Nilayatatchi v. Venkatachalam Mudali	1 Mad., 250	4765
Nil Comul Banerjee v. Mudoosoodun Chowdhry	14 W. R., 478	5788
Nil Comul Lahuri v. Jotendro Mohun Lahuri	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 178: 8 C. L. R., 401.	5717
Niljaree v. Mujeboollah	19 W. R., 209	2143
Nil Kamal Banerjee v. Madhusudan Chowdry	6 B. L. R., 177	3114
Nilkamal Lahuri v. Gunomani Debi	7 B. L. R., 113: 15 W. R., P. C., 38.	5717
Nilkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of	W. R., 1864, Mis., 9	3335, 3821
Nil Kant Biswas. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 29	6157
Nilkant Chatterjee v. Peari Mohan Das	3 B. L. R., O. C., 7: 11 W. R., O. C., 21.	5588
Nilkanth v. Dattatraya	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 103	3882
Nilkanth Anaji Kargupi v. Baslinga	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 104	1946, 2234
Nilkanthapa v. Magistrate of Sholapur	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 670	2737, 4259
Nilkanth Surmah v. Bishen Bashee	6 W. R., 322	3230
_____ v. Soosela Debia	6 W. R., 324	2097
		4421
		5746
		1198, 6239

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nil Kant Sahoo <i>v.</i> Jugoo Sahoo	20 W. R., 328	51
Nilkant Sein <i>v.</i> Jaenooddeen	7 W. R., 30	4012
Nilkissen Thakoor <i>v.</i> Beer Chunder Thakoor Gossain	2 W. R., Mis., 23	281
Nil Komul Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Shama Soonduree .	6 W. R., Mis., 46	5453
Nilkomul Ghose <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Bose . .	9 W. R., 463	1887
Nilkomul Mookhopadhya <i>v.</i> Anund Chunder Lushkur	19 W. R., Cr., 6	4097
Nilkomul Roy <i>v.</i> Rohinee Dossia	13 W. R., 330	1488, 1869
Nil Komul Sein <i>v.</i> Danesh Shaikh	15 W. R., 469	5176
Nilkomul Shaw <i>v.</i> Reed	12 B. L. R., 287 : 17 W. R., 513. }	5904
Nilkristo Deb Barmono <i>v.</i> Bir Chandra Thakur .	3 B. L. R., P. C., 13 : 12 W. R., P. C., 21 : 12 Moore's I. A., 523. }	2227
Nilkund Anantapa <i>v.</i> Menshi Apuraya . . .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 346 . . .	2574
Nilkunt Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Sheo Narain Koonwar .	8 W. R., 276	1762, 4904
Nilkunth Sahee <i>v.</i> Hunooman Pershad . . .	3 N. W., 136	1124
Nilkunto Dey <i>v.</i> Hurro Soonderee Dossee . .	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 414 : 1 C. L. R., 412. }	418
Nullumbhur Mastophy <i>v.</i> Doorga Churn Biswas .	2 W. R., Act X, 94	2989
Nilmadhab Das <i>v.</i> Biswambhar Das	3 B. L. R., P. C., 27 : 12 W. R., P. C., 29 : 13 Moore's I. A., 85. }	2129, 2130 6076
Nilmadhab Karmokar <i>v.</i> Shibu Pal	5 B. L. R., Ap., 18 : 13 W. R., 410. }	5316
Nilmadhab Singh Das <i>v.</i> Fatteh Chand Saha . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 310 : 12 W. R., 222. }	4781
Nilmadhub Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Ramsodoy Ghose . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 857 . . .	3312
Nilmadhub Doss <i>v.</i> Bissumbhur Doss	21 W. R., 411	1207, 2696
Nilmadhub Ghosal. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 1	4736, 4740 4741
Nilmadhub Gossamee <i>v.</i> Chunder Mookhee Gossamee	22 W. R., 397	1341
Nilmadhub Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Dookeram Khottah . .	15 B. L. R., 161	2837, 5649
Nilmadhub Mundul. Ex parte	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 169 . . .	3545
Nilmadhub Shaha <i>v.</i> Srinibash Kurmokar . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 442 : 9 C. L. R., 137. }	535
Nilmadhub Surnokar <i>v.</i> Kristo Doss Surnokar . .	5 W. R., 281	3189
Nilmani Burnick <i>v.</i> Puddo Lochan Chuckerbutty .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 379 : 5 W. R., Act X, 20. }	2889
Nilmani Singh <i>v.</i> Annadaprasad Mookerjee	1 B. L. R., F. B., 93 : 10 W R., F. B., 41. }	8, 4873
<i>v.</i> Madhab Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 195	2038
Nilmonee Banerjee <i>v.</i> Shurbo Mungola Debee	6 W. R., 14	6231
----- <i>v.</i> Shurbo Mungola Debee	7 W. R., 193	4527
Nilmonee Bose <i>v.</i> Mohima Chunder Dutt . . .	17 W. R., 516	363, 6230
Nilmonee Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Zuheerunissa Khanum	8 W. R., 371	1752, 4185
Nilmonee Roy <i>v.</i> Hills	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5325
Nilmonee Shaha <i>v.</i> Ram Churn Deb	6 W. R., Mis., 45	5482
Nilmonee Singh <i>v.</i> Bukronath Singh	10 W. R., 255	2038
----- <i>v.</i> Chunder Kant Banerjee	14 W. R., 251	1582, 1620
----- <i>v.</i> Gordon, Stuart, & Co. . . .	9 W. R., 371	6120
Nilmonee Singh Deo <i>v.</i> Chunderkant Banerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 125 : 25 W. R., 200. }	1620
----- <i>v.</i> Heera Lall Chowdhry	23 W. R., 442	1439
----- <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 92	1076
	9 W. R., 121	1382
- <i>v.</i> Kashee Mahtoon	25 W. R., 206	5592
- <i>v.</i> Ooma Churn Roy	19 W. R., Cr., 49	1532

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nilmones Singh Deo <i>v.</i> Rambundhoo Roy . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 757 : 3 C. L. R., 211.	2950
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Huree Misser . . .	2 W. R., 161 . . .	768
Nilmoney Bhooya <i>v.</i> Gunga Narain Shahur . . .	1 W. R., 334 . . .	2342
Nilmoney Sing. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429 . . .	4670
Nilmoney Singh <i>v.</i> Chinibas Mahanti . . .	12 B. L. R., Ap., 8 : 20 W. R., 405.	2778
_____ <i>v.</i> Heera Lall Dass . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 23 : 8 C. L. R., 257.	1735, 4963
_____ <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Ghosal . . .	9 W. R., 92 . . .	5
_____ <i>v.</i> Kam Chuckerbutty . . .	21 W. R., 439 . . .	1625
_____ <i>v.</i> Sagurmonnee Debia . . .	12 W. R., 441 . . .	1627
Nilmoney Singh Deo <i>v.</i> Anunt Ram Putnaik . . .	15 W. R., 393 . . .	1605
_____ <i>v.</i> Baneshur . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 91 . . .	2416
_____ <i>v.</i> Bhobany Churn Panda . . .	Marsh., 327 : 2 Hay, 305 . . .	310
_____ <i>v.</i> Government . . .	6 W. R., 121 . . .	2058
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	18 W. R., 321 . . .	5596
_____ <i>v.</i> Hingu Lall Singh Deo . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 256 . . .	2416
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramanooagrah Roy . . .	7 W. R., 29 . . .	137
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramgopal Singh Chowdhry . . .	Marsh., 518 . . .	1582
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramjeebun Surkel . . .	8 C. L. R., 335 . . .	3491
_____ <i>v.</i> Sharoda Pershad Mookerjee . . .	16 W. R., 173 . . .	529
_____ <i>v.</i> _____ . . .	18 W. R., 434 . . .	9
_____ <i>v.</i> Sheo Tewary . . .	W. R., 1864, 324 . . .	5593
Nilmoni Singh Deo <i>v.</i> Bhocharini Debi . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 19 : 13 C. L. R., 314 : L. R., 10 I. A., 80.	4670
_____ <i>v.</i> Bukronath Singh . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 187 : L. R., 9 I. A., 104.	2041
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Bandhu Rai . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 388 : 10 C. L. R., 393 : L. R., 8 I. A., 90.	2954
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Golam Bondopadhyia . . .	21 W. R., 154 . . .	558
_____ <i>v.</i> Taranath Mukerjee . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 295 : 12 C. L. R., 361 : L. R., 9 I. A., 174.	1886, 5930
_____ <i>v.</i> Umanath Mookerjee . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 19 : 13 C. L. R., 314 : L. R., 10 I. A., 80.	4670
Nilmony Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 68 . . .	978
Nilmony Mookhopadhyia <i>v.</i> Aimunissa Bibee . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 156 . . .	2024
Nilmony Singh Deo <i>v.</i> Kalee Churn Bhuttacharjee . . .	14 B. L. R., 382 : 23 W. R., 150 : L. R., 2 I. A., 83.	1423
_____ <i>v.</i> Nilcomul Tuppadar . . .	25 W. R., 546 . . .	3497, 3527
Nilo Pandurang <i>v.</i> Rama Patloji . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 35 . . .	5247
Nilo Ramchandra <i>v.</i> Govind Ballal . . .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 24 . . .	3371, 5410
Nilowa <i>v.</i> Fakirappa . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 75 . . .	6726
Nilrutton Sein. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 45 . . .	5552
Nilumber Sen <i>v.</i> Haranund Sooree . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 10 . . .	539
Nilvaru <i>v.</i> Nilvaru . . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 110 . . .	5092
Nimaye Churn Poojaree <i>v.</i> Mooroollee Chowdhry . . .	1 W. R., 108 . . .	2242
Nimaye Churn Puteetundee <i>v.</i> Jogendro Nath Banerjee . . .	21 W. R., 365 . . .	901, 2240
Nimaye Churn Sein <i>v.</i> Rammonee Beebee . . .	10 W. R., 152 . . .	6283
Nimba Harishet <i>v.</i> Sitaram Parji . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 458 . . .	814
Nim Chand Borooah <i>v.</i> Mooraree Mundul . . .	8 W. R., 127 . . .	5191

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nimdhari Sing v. Kachun Sing	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 44 : 13 W. } R., 162. }	512
Ningangavda Patil v. Satyangavda Patil	11 Bom., 232	2850
Ningappa v. Gangawa	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 433	223
Nirban Singh v. Kumla Sahoy	17 W. R., 345	2812
Nirman Singh v. Phulman Singh	1. L. R., 4 All., 65	5032
Nirmul Chandra Mookerjee v. Doyal Nath Bhuttacharjee	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 130	4337
Nirod Krishno Roy v. Woomanath Mookerjee	{ 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 718 : 3 C. } L. R., 234. }	3558
Nirput Muhtoon v. Deep Koonwar	8 W. R., 2	3714
Nirunjun Acharjee v. Kuralee Churn Banerjee	1 W. R., 197	3374
Nirunjun Rai v. Rujjoo Rai	5 N. W., 166	5379
Nirvanaya v. Nirvanaya	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 365	2277
Nishadiney Dossee v. Cally Kristo Ghose	Cor., 24	2804
Nishan Singh v. Jugdeo Singh	4 B. L. R., Ap., 97	4317
Nissa Bibee v. Abdoor Ruhman	18 W. R., 413	5130
Nissar Hossein v. Ramgolam Sing	25 W. R., Cr, 10	980, 1958
Nissar Murtojah v. Dhunwunt Roy	Marsh., 609	2320
Nistarinee v. Kalee Pershad Doss Chowdhry	21 W. R., 53	535
Nistarinee Debee v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	23 W. R., 330	87
Nistarinee Debia. In the matter of	7 W. R., Cr., 114	4238
Nistarinee Dossee v. Debnath Bose	20 W. R., 286	763
Nistarini Dasi v. Bonomali Chatterjee	{ 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 941 : 4 C. L. } R., 278. }	1622
v. Makhan Lal Dutt	{ 9 B. L. R., 11 : 17 W. R., } 432. }	1442, 2430 4858
Nistarini Debi v. Brojo Nath Mookhopadhya	10 C. L. R., 229	3909, 4970
Nistariny Dossee v. Anundmoye Dossee	2 C. L. R., 561	3325
Nitai Charan Pyne v. Ganga Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C., 265, note	2149
Nitai Shaha v. Shubal Shaha	{ 2 B. L. R., S. N. : 10 W. R., } 349. }	1094
Nitambini Chowdhraïn v. Shashi Mukhi Chowdhraïn	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 24 : 12 W. } R., 518. }	3564
Nitaram v. Nanuck Dass	{ 1 N. W., Pt. III, 47 : Ed. } 1873, 103. }	6282
Nitia Nund v. Mya Run	3 N. W., 80	3981
Nitrasur Singh v. Nund Lall Singh	{ 8 Moore's I. A., 199 : 1 W. } R., P. C., 51. }	4173, 4657
Nitressur Singh v. Jhottee Tely	23 W. R., 343	580
Nittai Chandra Pal v. Thakur Das Biswas	8 B. L. R., 258, note	429
Nitta Kolita v. Bishunram Kolita	2 B. L. R., Ap., 49	3246
Nittanund Murdiraj v. Sreekurun Jugger Nath Bewar- tah Patnaick.	{ 3 W. R., 116 } W. R., 1864, 350	5079
Nittanund Roy v. Bydonath Mohapathur	7 B. L. R., 1 : 15 W. R., 300	2112
Nittianand Ghose v. Krishna Dyal Ghose	6 W. R., Ci. Ref., 11	3237, 3331
Nitto Gopal Ghose v. Mackintosh	5 W. R., Mis., 10	677
Nitto Kallee Debee v. Obboy Gobind Chowdhry	L. R., 5 I. A., 55	2413
Nitto Kissoree Dossee v. Jogendro Nauth Mullick	23 W. R., 163	6031
Nittyannund Gossain v. Shama Churn Chatterjee	16 W. R., 299	3509
Nittyannund Koondoo v. Nugendro Chunder Ghose	25 W. R., 267	1504
Nittyannund Kur v. Rajbullubh Adya	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 76	1843
Nittyannund Roy v. Abdar Raheem	5 W. R., 26	5089
Nittyannund Surmah v. Kasbeenath Nyalunkar	5 C. L. R., 368	680, 1240
Nittyo Kali Debia v. Kedar Nath Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 82	2930, 2971
Nityanund Ghose v. Kissen Kishore	24 W. R., Cal., 75	982
Nityanundo Bur v. Kalachand Bur	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 429	6199
Nitye Gopal Sircar v. Nagendra Nath Mitter		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nitye Laha v. Soondaree Dossee	9 W. R., 475	2434
Nivath Singh v. Bhikki Singh	1. L. R., 7 All., 649	5758
Nixon v. Chartered Mercantile Bank	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 97	2671
Nizam of Hyderabad. In re	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 256	5954
Nizam-ud-din v. Zaheda Bibi	6 N. W., 338	3677
Nizamut Ali v. Ramesh Chandra Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 78 : 11 W. R., 430. }	330
Noban Nusya v. Dhon Mahomed	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 820 : 6 C. L. R., 136. }	4797
Nobbo Doorga Debee v. Kistareenee Dossee	1 W. R., 48	536
Nobbo Kissen Singh v. Kaminee Dassee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 349 : 2 W. R., Mis., 35 : Bourke, A. O. C., 38. }	3150
Nobeen Chunder Dutt v. Madhub Chunder Mundul	5 W. R., 225	5238
Nobeen Chunder Ghose v. Ram Gogenath Gope	W. R., 1864, 63	696
Nobeen Chunder Sil v. Bhobosoonduri Dabee	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 460	4669
Nobeen Kishen Bose v. Shofatoollah	1 W. R., 24	4148
Nobeen Kishen Mookerjee v. Shib Pershad Pattack	7 W. R., 490	2794, 2796
Nobeen Kishen Mookerjee v. Shib Pershad Pattack	8 W. R., 96	536
_____ v. _____	8 W. R., 96 ; upheld on review, 9 W. R., 161. }	3010, 5311
_____ v. _____	9 W. R., 161	5128
Nobeen Kishore Roy v. Jogesh Prokash Gangooly	10 W. R., 272	41
Nobin Chand Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 32	6270
Nobin Chandra Roy v. Magantara Dassya	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 924	5016
Nobin Chunder v. Buroda Kant Shaha	19 W. R., 341	5659
Nobin Chunder Banikya v. Empress	10 C. L. R., 369	5165, 5945
Nobin Chunder Chowdhry v. Dokhobala Dasi	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 686	2361
_____ v. Gridharee Lall	11 W. R., 264	5141
Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gurupersad Doss	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1008	3397
_____ v. Issur Chunder Chuckerbutty.	9 W. R., 505	3397
Nobin Chunder Dey v. Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 11 : 24 W. R., 309. }	5218
Nobin Chunder Doss v. Nim Chand Doss	17 W. R., 191	5064
Nobin Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 50	5163
_____ v. Modun Mohun Pal	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 677 : 9 C. L. R., 233. }	4463
Nobin Chunder Gossamee v. Dribo Moyee Debee	17 W. R., 520	5688
Nobin Chunder Koondoo v. Jogendronath Bhuttarcharjee	25 W. R., Cr., 18	4496, 4501
_____ v. Sreedhur Bhuttarcharjee	15 W. R., 24	6096
Nobin Chunder Kurr v. Rojomoye Dossee	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 264	3194
Nobin Chunder Mookerjee v. Prosunno Coomar Banerjee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 126	1069
Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar v. Jutadharee Holdar	2 W. R., 289	4953
_____ v. Kenny	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3	3199, 3236
Nobin Chunder Mudduck. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 46	4381
Nobin Chunder Perdhan v. Janardhun Misser	W. R., F. B., 67	2283
Nobin Chunder Pooshalee v. Rungo Chunder Chatterjee	22 W. R., 363	288
Nobin Chunder Roy v. Brojendro Coomer Roy	12 C. L. R., 541	3176
_____ v. Goora Gobind Surmah	14 W. R., 447	1105
_____ v. Rup Lall Das	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 377	1162
Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bhowanee Pershad Doss.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 52	532, 2792
_____ v. Pearee Khanum	3 W. R., 143	5104
_____ v. Radha Pearee Debia	6 W. R., 17	1993

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nobin Chunder Sen Chowdhry v. Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty.	22 W. R., 46 . . .	576, 3014
Nobin Chunder Shaha v. Raj Narain Shaha . . .	9 W. R., 582 . . .	77
Nobin Chunder Shahoo v. Suroop Chunder Doss . . .	6 W. R., 328 . . .	3317
Nobin Chunder Sircar v. Gour Chunder Shaha . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 759 : 8 C. L. } R., 161. }	1602
Nobin Chunder Vodro v. Kedar Nath Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., 228 . . .	5669
Nobin Chundra Banikya. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 560 : 10 C. } L. R., 369. }	346, 1154 5165, 5945
Nobin Kalee Debea v. Bindobashinee Debea . . .	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5 . . .	5684
Nobin Kishor Roy v. Jages Prasad Gangopadya . . .	6 B. L. R., 343 : 14 W. R., 352	40
Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 573 : 9 C. L. } R., 182. }	1124
Nobin Krishna Chakravati v. Ram Kumar Chakravati	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 605 : 9 C. L. } R., 90. }	5674
Nobin Krishna Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 194 . . .	489
_____ v. Chairman, Suburban Municipality.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 194 . . .	489
_____ v. Rassick Lall Laha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1047 . . .	1802, 5150
Nobin Kristo Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R. 10 Calc., 268 . . .	1302, 1309
_____ v. Russick Lall Laha . . .		
Nobin Mohun Ghossal v. Gopal Chunder Mookerjee . . .	11 W. R., 538 . . .	1453
Nobo Chunder Banerjee v. Ishur Chunder Mitter . . .	12 W. R., 254 . . .	2776
Nobocoomar Biswas v. Oman . . .	7 W. R., 148 . . .	1642
Nobo Coomar Bose. In the matter of . . .	12 B. L. R., 457, note : 17 W. } R., 431. }	2721
Nobo Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Koylashchunder Barooce . . .	17 W. R., 518 . . .	3182, 5709
Nobo Coomar Doss v. Gobind Chunder Roy . . .	9 C. L. R., 305 . . .	1748, 4495
_____ v. Kewata Mug . . .	10 B. L. R., 358 . . .	289, 1208
Nobo Coomar Ghose v. Kishen Chunder Banerjee . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 112 . . .	1636
Nobocoomar Mookhopadhy v. Siru Mullick . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 94 . . .	3345
Nobodeep Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of.	1 B. L. R., O. C., 47 . . .	4801
Nobodeep Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojendro Lall Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 406 : 9 C. L. } R., 117. }	2955, 4970
Nobodeep Chunder Shaha v. Sonaram Dass . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 592 : 3 C. L. } R., 359. }	1639
Nobodeep Chunder Shaw. In the matter of . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68 . . .	2661
Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Seeb Loll . . .	Marsh., 325 . . .	8
Nobodeep Chunder Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of.	11 W. R., Cr., 2 . . .	1953
Nobodip Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 868 . . .	665
Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of . . .	7 C. L. R., 387 . . .	4665
Nobo Doorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 200 : 24 W. } R., 40. }	4966
Nobo Gopal Roy v. Amrit Moyee Dossee . . .	24 W. R., 428 . . .	2411
Nobo Gopal Sircar v. Srinath Bundopadhy v . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 877 : 11 C. } L. R., 37. }	5324
Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy . . .	1 W. R., 100 . . .	549, 1390 5874
Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry	19 W. R., 338 . . .	1625
Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of . . .	7 C. L. R., 291 . . .	4361, 4492
Nobokishore Dass v. Protap Chunder Banerjea . . .	1 C. L. R., 534 . . .	1541
Nobokishore Dey v. Ramkishen . . .	9 W. R., 131 . . .	1424, 4173

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nobokishore Sarma Roy v. Harinath Sarma Roy .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1102	2490
Nobo Kissen Singh v. Kaminee Dassee . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 349: 2 W. R., Mis., 35: Bourke, A. O. C., 38.	3150
Nobo Kisto Koondoo v. Mahomed Sheikh . . .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 19 W. R., 202.	579
Nobo Kristo Bhunj v. Rajbullub Bhunj . . .	3 W. R., 134	3330
Nobokristo Mojoomdar v. Tara Monee . . .	12 W. R., 320	1625, 4149
Nobo Kristo Mookerjee v. Parbutty Churn Bhuttacharjee	13 W. R., 23	1454
Nobokristo Mukerjee v. Secretary of State for India .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 632	495
Nobokristo Sircar v. Kalachand Doss . . .	12 W. R., 470	322
Nobo Lal Khan v. Adheerane Narain Koonwaree .	5 W. R., 191	4206
Nocoor Chunder Bose v. Kally Coomar Ghose . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 328	3133, 3235
Nocoordass Mullick v. Jewraj Baboo . . .	12 B. L. R., 263	3306
Nocury Lall Chuckerbutty v. Bindabun Chunder } Chuckerbutty.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 708	2993
Noferdoss Roy v. Modhu Soondari Burmonia . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 732: 5 C. L. R., 551.	1166
Nogender Chunder Ghose v. Mahomed Esuf . .	10 B. L. R., 406: 18 W. R., 113	2489
_____ v. Mahomed Eusuff . . .	12 Moore's I. A., 107	38
Nogender Chundro Ghose v. Dossee . . .	8 W. R., P. C., 17	4633
Noggendro Chundro Mittro v. Kishen Soondory Dassee	19 W. R., 133	2516, 5360
Nohur v. Jaffer Hossein . . .	2 N. W., 195	1411, 2112
Noimollah Pramanick v. Grish Narain Moonshee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 674	4439
Nojabut Ali Chowdhry v. Moha Busseeroollah Chowdhry	11 B. L. R., 42: 20 W. R., 5	5791
Nolita Mohan Roy Chowdhry v. Dinonath Mookerjee .	13 B. L. R., 427, note	815, 5448
Nolitmohun Doss. In the matter of . . .	11 B. L. R., Ap., 33	5130
Nomaz Mollah v. Lali Mohun Tagadgeer . . .	15 W. R., 279	2664
Nomullu Akond. In the matter of . . .	11 C. L. R., 416	1356
Nona v. Dhoomun Dass . . .	5 N. W., 30	502
Nonidh Singh v. Sohun Kooer . . .	4 N. W., 135	3180
Nonkoo Ram v. Woojogur Roy . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 68	5469
Nonoo Singh Monda v. Anand Singh Monda . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 291	6230
Noor Ahmed. In the matter of . . .	17 W. R., 338	4875
_____ v. Lulta Pershad . . .	2 N. W., 189	4443
Nooral Hossein v. Ram Coomar Sahee . . .	25 W. R., 326	3749
Noor Ali v. Imteazodeen Khan . . .	3 Agra, Rev., 2	5479
Noor Ali Chowdhuri v. Koni Meah . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 13	2976
Noor Ali Mian Khondkar v. Ashanullah . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 608	571
Noor Ally v. Lalla Sooklall Sing . . .	W. R., 1864, 347	1638
Noor Bibee v. Naivas Khan . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221	318
_____ v. Rumzan . . .	24 W. R., 198	3645
Noor Buksh v. Mean Jan . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 60	5835, 5837
Noor Bux Kazi v. Empress . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 279: 7 C. L. R., 385.	5851
Noor Jehan Begum v. Mashitty Khanum . . .	8 C. L. R., 7	2889
Noor Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 31	1023, 6267
_____ v. Nilrutun Bagchee . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 2	419
_____ v. Zuhoor Ally . . .	11 W. R., 34	1535
Noor Mahomed Mundul v. Hurriprosonno Roy . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 75	4733
Noor-ool-Hossein v. Mooneeram . . .	4 N. W., 103	2773
Noorul Hossein v. Omatoool Fatima . . .	25 W. R., 34	1657
Noorul Hossein alias Waheed Jan v. Fabre-Tonnerre	24 W. R., Cr., 26	3730
Noorun v. Khoda Buksh . . .	1 Agra, 50	5470
		15, 5289
		1500, 4915

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Norender Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Dwarkalal Mundur . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 397: 1 C. L. R., 369: L. R., 5 I. A., 18.	4009
Noronarain Roy <i>v.</i> Neemaeechand Neogy . . .	6 W. R., 303 . . .	3696
Norrotom Sikdar <i>v.</i> Juggernath Shaw . . .	Bourke, O. C., 371 . . .	5265
Northern Assam Tea Company. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 39: 12 W. R., Cr., 29.	492
Noshai Mistri <i>v.</i> Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 958: 6 C. L. R., 353.	1012
Nosheeram Roy <i>v.</i> Shushee Bhooshun Roy . . .	5 W. R., 169 . . .	3160
Noubat Rai <i>v.</i> Bajrang Lal	6 N. W., 412 . . .	161
Noujan <i>v.</i> Queen	7 Mad., 375 . . .	5551
Noushabah Sooltan Begum <i>v.</i> Nubeerah Sooltan Begum	3 Agra, 44 . . .	4387
Nousha Begum <i>v.</i> Umrao Begum	7 N. W., 60 . . .	3656, 5010
Nowab Begum <i>v.</i> Rustum Khan	2 Agra, 149 . . .	4314
Nowab Khan <i>v.</i> Rughoonath Doss	20 W. R., 474 . . .	5764
Nowab Singh <i>v.</i> Kokil Singh	24 W. R., Cr., 70 . . .	1306
Nowa Kooar <i>v.</i> Abdool Ruheem	W. R., 1864, 374 . . .	3949
Nowaraja Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ram Kanaye Doss . . .	7 W. R., 330 . . .	3131
Nowa Tewaree. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 80 . . .	1255
Nowbat Roy <i>v.</i> Lala Kedar Nath	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 219 . . .	79
Nowbut Rai <i>v.</i> Bhagmanee	2 Agra, 5	2205
Nowbut Ram <i>v.</i> Durbaree Singh	2 Agra, 145	1678, 2387 3364
Nowbut Singh <i>v.</i> Chutter Dharee Singh . . .	19 W. R., 223	5764
——— <i>v.</i> Lad Kooer	5 N. W., 102	2438, 5805 6111
Nowcouree Chunder Surmah <i>v.</i> Birmomoyee Dabea	3 W. R., 169	3770
Nowcowree Mundul <i>v.</i> Mookta Bibee	2 W. R., 181	5780
Nowindh Singh <i>v.</i> Sohun Kooer	3 N. W., 12	4160
Nowlakhu Kunwari. In re	8 B. L. R., 389, note . . .	2446
Nowla Ooma <i>v.</i> Bala Dhurmaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 91 . . .	5716
Nowlas Koonwer <i>v.</i> Shiva Suhai	1 Agra, Rev., 65	1603
Nowlao Kooeree <i>v.</i> Lalljee Modi	2 W. R., 202	2373
Nowrjee Nusserwanjee <i>v.</i> Johannes	1 Hyde, 293	5880
Nowrutton Koer <i>v.</i> Gouree Dutt Singh . . .	6 W. R., 193	2154
Noyna Misser <i>v.</i> Rupikun	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 609: 12 C. L. R., 300.	54, 3024
Nozumuddy <i>v.</i> Hasmi Khan	21 W. R., Cr., 54	2925
Nubbee Buksh <i>v.</i> Hingon	8 W. R., 412	1103
Nubbi Buksh <i>v.</i> Chasni	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 168: 7 C. L. R., 282.	229
Nubee Buksh. In the matter of	7 W. R., 481	4432
——— <i>v.</i> Ram Suhai	1 Agra, Rev., 57	1645
Nubee Buksh <i>alias</i> Golam Nubee <i>v.</i> Kaloo Lushker	22 W. R., 4	3728
Nubeen Chunder Bullub <i>v.</i> Bhoobun Chunder Mundul	15 W. R., 526	5282
Nubeen Chunder Paul <i>v.</i> Stephenson	15 W. R., 534	313, 4245 4246, 4283 4403
Nubo Coomar Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Chatterjee .	15 W. R., 266	6173
Nubo Coomar Ghose <i>v.</i> Oozir Shikdar	23 W. R., 238	4171
Nubo Doorga <i>v.</i> Sestamonee	23 W. R., 407	5242
Nubo Doorga Dossia <i>v.</i> Dwarka Nath Roy . . .	24 W. R., 301	3067
Nubo Kant Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Mahatab Bibee . .	20 W. R., 164	3635
Nubo Kishen Mookerjee. In the matter of	11 W. R., 188	3457
——— <i>v.</i> Debnath Roy Chowdhry	22 W. R., 194	847, 1911
——— <i>v.</i> Hurish Chunder Banerjee	7 W. R., 142	564
——— <i>v.</i> Kalachand Mookerjee	15 W. R., 438	1600, 2978

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6601

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nubo Kishen Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Sreeram Roy	15 W. R., 255	3000
Nubo Kishen Roy <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Sein	6 W. R., 317	5983
Nubo Kishore Biswas <i>v.</i> Jadub Chunder Sircar	20 W. R., 426	5130, 5141
Nubo Kishore Bose <i>v.</i> Pandul Sircar	8 W. R., 312	1596
Nubo Kishore Doss <i>v.</i> Joy Doorga Dossee	22 W. R., 189	6199
Nubo Kishore Mojomondar <i>v.</i> Anund Mohun Mojomondar	17 W. R., 19	1473, 1927
Nubo Kishore Mundul <i>v.</i> Fukeer Paramanick	17 W. R., 558	2707
Nubokisto Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Ramessur Goopto	18 W. R., 412, note	1660
Nubo Kristo Mojomondar <i>v.</i> Tara Monee	12 W. R., 320	568
Nubo Kristo Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Nadiar Chund Hattee	12 W. R., 374	1625, 4149
Nubo Lall Khan <i>v.</i> Maharanee of Burdwan	9 W. R., 590	767
Nubo Tarinee Dossee <i>v.</i> Gray	11 W. R., 7	5621
Nubudeep Chunder Sircar <i>v.</i> Lalla Sheeb Loll	Marsh., 325	4923, 4925
Nubungo Moonjuree Dabea <i>v.</i> Goluckmonee Dabea	Marsh., 45 : 1 Hay, 76	4937
Nudar Chand Bhooya <i>v.</i> Reedoy Mundul	11 B. L. R., 424, note : 17 W. R., 458	525
Nuddea Kishore Doss <i>v.</i> Bungshee Mohun Doss	17 W. R., 210	3984
Nuddear Chand Poddar <i>v.</i> Modhoosoodun Dey Poddar	7 W. R., 153	5130
Nuddear Chand Sein <i>v.</i> Kishoree Lall Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 463	5468, 5477
Nuddyabashee Doss <i>v.</i> Reza Chowdhry	15 W. R., 337	3036
Nuddyar Chand Shaha <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Guha	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 1092	4590, 4792
_____ <i>v.</i> Meajan	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 820	4821
Nudiar Chand Shaha <i>v.</i> Praunath Shaha	21 W. R., 8	1896
Nudyar Chand Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Roop Doss Banerjee	22 W. R., 475	1473, 1867
Nuffar Ali Biswas <i>v.</i> Rameshar Bhanick	3 C. L. R., 28	3019
Nuffar Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Gossain Jysingh Bharuttee	3 W. R., Act X, 144	1358, 4858
Nuffar Chunder Banerjee <i>v.</i> Guddadhur Mundle	3 W. R., 122	4004
Nuffar Chunder Bhutto <i>v.</i> Jotendro Mohun Tagore	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 505 : 8 C. L. R., 553	3840
Nuffar Chunder Paul <i>v.</i> Nuduroonissa Beebee	9 W. R., 387	1592, 1597
Nuffar Chunder Paul Chowdry <i>v.</i> Poulson	12 B. L. R., 53 : 19 W. R., 175	5361
_____ <i>v.</i> Soorendronath Roy	14 W. R., 410	1571
Nuffar Chunder Shah <i>v.</i> Gunja Dutt Bharutty	11 W. R., 190	1198, 1881
Nuffar Mytee <i>v.</i> Monohur Sirdar	13 W. R., 334	4997
Nuffar Chunder Chunder <i>v.</i> Khoodeeram Poramanick	24 W. R., 434	5980
Nuffar Merdha <i>v.</i> Ram Lall Adhicary	15 W. R., 308	5980
Nufsa Banoo <i>v.</i> Mahomed Sufdar	24 W. R., 336	541
Nufur Chunder Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Luckheemonee Dabea	9 W. R., 300	5808
Nufusa <i>v.</i> Mahomed Akbar Gazeer	2 W. R., 74	5808
Nugender Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Dossee	8 W. R., P. C., 17	3551
_____ <i>v.</i> Kaminee Dossee	11 Moore's I. A., 241	1406
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Comul Mundul	3 W. R., 213	5018
Nugendro Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Musruff Bibee	15 W. R., 17	4212
Nugendur Narain <i>v.</i> Rughoonath Narain Dey	W. R., 1864, 20	2516, 5360
Nugur Mull <i>v.</i> Azeemollah	1 N. W., 146 : Ed. 1873, 228	2516, 5360
Nujeeboonissa <i>v.</i> Zumeerun	11 W. R., 426	4956
Nujeemooddeen Ahmed <i>v.</i> Hosseinee	4 W. R., 110	2883, 5331
Nujeeni Mullick <i>v.</i> Erfan Mollah	22 W. R., 298	1341, 1342
Nujmooddeen Ahmed <i>v.</i> Abdool Azeez	15 W. R., 95	2318, 5020
_____ <i>v.</i> Zuhoorun	10 W. R., 45	1812
Nujmooddeen Hossein <i>v.</i> Lloyd	15 W. R., 232	3633
Nujuf Ali <i>v.</i> Patterson	2 N. W., 103	3649
		849
		5482
		333, 3633
		3395
		5253

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nujuf Ali v. Patterson	2 N. W., 104	4126
Nukanna v. Ramasami	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 218	3521
Nukchad Singh v. Hunooman Dutt Singh	10 W. R., 69	5800
Nullit Biswas v. Prosunno Moyee Dossee	17 W. R., 179	2704
Nullit Chunder Gohoo v. Bagola Soonduree Dossee	21 W. R., 249	2338
Number v. Ambu	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 381	962
Nund Coomar Bannerjee v. Barry	Marsh., 121 : 1 Hay, 260	4898
Nund Coomar Foutehdar v. Bunso Gopal Sahoy	23 W. R., 342	1895, 1927
Nund Coomar Lall v. Razeeooddeen Hossein	10 B. L. R., 183 : 18 W. 1 477.	2152
Nund Coomar Singh v. Gunga Pershad	3 W. R., 207	1145
_____ v. Gunga Pershad Narain Singh	10 W. R., 94	4154, 5173
Nund Doolal Sircar v. Dwarkanath Biswas	2 W. R., 9	3183
Nund Duntpat v. Tara Chund Pritheebaree	2 W. R., Act X, 13	1760
Nundeeput Mahatah v. Urquhart	9 W. R., 355	4324
Nundeeput Mohatoon v. Stewart	23 W. R., 20	5612
Nundeeram Singh v. Inderchund Dogare	Cor., 89	1386
Nunde Lall v. Taylor	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 55 : 5 R., 37.	472
Nund Kishore v. Nuthoo Ram	1 Agra, 223	144
Nund Kishore Doss v. Maharaja of Burdwan	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947 : W. R., 236.	3447
Nund Kishore Lal v. Kureem Buksh Khan	5 W. R., Act X, 62	1582
Nund Kishore Lall v. Mohun Lall	22 W. R., 164	5808
_____ v. Sheo Dyal Oopadhya	11 W. R., 168	4193
Nund Kishore Singh v. Huree Pershad Mundul	13 W. R., 64	5044, 5216
_____ v. Ismed Kooer	20 W. R., 189	3012
_____ v. Ram Kishore Singh Deb	17 W. R., 359	2746
Nund Koomar Lall v. Shumboo Singh	8 W. R., 34	3425
Nund Lall v. Baluk	2 Agra, 122	3079, 3982
_____ v. Kullianabuttee	Marsh., 209 : 1 Hay, 532	3894
Nund Lall Bose v. Aboo Mahomed	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 597 : 5 C. L. R., 45.	3352, 4888
_____ v. Abu Syed	5 C. L. R., 45	4888
Nund Lall Doss v. Delawur Ali	11 W. R., 244	5434
Nund Lall Roy v. Gooroo Churn Bose	15 W. R., 6	5308
Nund Lall Sootrodhor v. Bhagirutty Sootran	10 W. R., Cr., 31	975
Nund Mohun Chowdhry v. Goluck Nath Neogee	11 W. R., 99	6236
_____ v. Manikarnika Chowdhrair	W. R., 1864, 119	4610
Nund Mohun Chutturaj v. Rohinee Debia	22 W. R., 293	2027
Nundo Coomar Bukshee v. Koonjo Kishore Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 73	5622
Nundo Coomar Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Bhutta-charji	12 B. L. R., Ap., 9	3469
Nundo Gopal Roy v. Jankeeram Chuckerbutty	W. R., 1864, 316	3223
Nundo Kishore Halder v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjee	23 W. R., Cr., 64	5151
Nundo Kishore Lall v. Ramsookhee Kooer	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 215 : 4 C. L. R., 361.	3211
Nundo Kishore Singh v. Lalla Burjun Lall	15 W. R., 154	1448
Nundo Kumaree Peshagar v. Anund Mohun Goocho	24 W. R., Cr., 68	4104
Nundo Lal Bose v. Corporation for the Town of Calcutta.	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 275	652, 691
Nundo Lall Bhuttacharjee v. Bidhoo Mookhy Debee	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 17	5039
Nundolall Mitter v. Prosunno Moyee Debia	19 W. R., 333	1823, 2747
Nundo Lall Mookerjee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 356	383
Nundo Pershad Thakur v. Gopal Thakur	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 1008	3712, 3726
Nund Pandah v. Gyadhur	10 W. R., 89	3727 1743

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nund Ram <i>v.</i> Chootoo	1 Agra, 255	2342, 6102
Nundram Dyaram <i>v.</i> Dula Bhaee Kurparam	1 Moore's I. A., 414	3123
Nundram Ghose <i>v.</i> Mannoo Bibee	10 W. R., 177	4787
Nundun Lall <i>v.</i> Lloyd	22 W. R., 74	1163, 1179
— <i>v.</i> Smith	7 W. R., 188	3799
Nundun Misser <i>v.</i> Chittur Buttee	21 W. R., 446	5837
Nundun Singh <i>v.</i> Koolsom	W. R., 1864, 377	3127, 3128
Nunhoo Mahtoon <i>v.</i> Teeloco Kooer	18 W. R., 313	5779
Nunhoo Sahoo <i>v.</i> Boodhoo Jummadur	13 W. R., 2	1689
Nunhoo Shahee <i>v.</i> Rampershad Narain Singh	21 W. R., 38	1172
Nunhuk Sahoo <i>v.</i> Juggessur Oopadhya	20 W. R., 408	5399
Nunkee <i>alias</i> Parbuttee <i>v.</i> Besessurnath	3 Agra, 428	1709
Nunkoo Lall <i>v.</i> Dhunesh Kooer	17 W. R., 497	1923
Nunkoo Singh <i>v.</i> Purm Dhun Singh	12 W. R., 356	675, 2126
Nura Bibi <i>v.</i> Jagatnarain	1. L. R., 8 All., 295	3444, 4188
Nuraddin Mahomed <i>v.</i> Asgar Ali	12 C. L. R., 312	3726
Nur Ahmad <i>v.</i> Altaf Ali	1. L. R., 2 All., 58	439, 5581
Nurbibi <i>v.</i> Husen Lal	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 537	5685
Nureem Mundle <i>v.</i> Boramdy Sirdar	25 W. R., 208	5093
Nurendro Narain Roy <i>v.</i> Narain Singh Roy	22 W. R., 296	1748, 1985
Nurjahan <i>v.</i> Marfan Mundul	11 C. L. R., 91	6081
Nurjoon Sahoo <i>v.</i> Moojeerooddeen	3 W. R., 6	3106
Nur Kadir <i>v.</i> Zuleikha Bibi	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 649	3686
Nurkoo Ram <i>v.</i> Ramjoorawun Singh	9 W. R., 481	5370
Nur Mohammed <i>v.</i> Thakoor Bibi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 18	3162
Nur Muhammad <i>v.</i> Abubakar Ibrahim Meman	8 Bom., O. C., 29	425
Nuronarain Doss <i>v.</i> Brojo Mohun Doss	W. R., 1864, 70	1137
Nuronath Das Roy <i>v.</i> Goda Kolita	20 W. R., 342	2347
Nurrender Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Sreenarain Doss	18 W. R., 262	497
Nurrohurry Mohonto <i>v.</i> Narainee Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., O., S. 9: W. R., F. B., 23: 1 Hay, 234.	145, 6108
Nursey Kessowji. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 270	2664
Nursey Spinning and Weaving Co. In the matter of	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 92	937
Nursingdas Rughunathdas <i>v.</i> Tulsiram bin Doulatram	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 558	5693
Nursingh Churn Sein <i>v.</i> Bidya Dhuree Dossee	2 W. R., 275	819
Nursingh Deb <i>v.</i> Ram Mohun Mookerjee	Marsh., 176: 1 Hay, 379	4406, 6246
— <i>v.</i> Roy Koylasbnath	9 Moore's I. A., 55	5503
Nursingh Doss <i>v.</i> Kumrooddeen	20 W. R., 412	5242
— <i>v.</i> Moosharoo Bhandaree	25 W. R., 282	3234
— <i>v.</i> Narain Doss	3 N. W., 217	916, 2364
	26 W. R., 17	2387, 3333
	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 897: 6 C. L. } R., 489.	2372
Nursingh Doyal <i>v.</i> Hurryhur Saha	20 W. R., 420	3140, 3235
Nursingh Gariwan <i>v.</i> Puttoo Ostagur	W. R., 1864, 194.	384
Nursingh Narain <i>v.</i> Bhuttun Lall	22 W. R., 551	2132, 2286
Nursingh Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Ameerun	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 609	2309
— <i>v.</i> Roghoobur Singh	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 333	3377
Nursingh Narayan Singh <i>v.</i> Lukputty Singh	16 W. R., 21	5400
Nur Singh Roy <i>v.</i> Anderson	19 W. R., 125	3080
Nursingh Roy <i>v.</i> Anderson	24 W. R., 49	3840
Nurubdessur Pershad Roy <i>v.</i> Jungole	1. L. R., 8 All., 573	3839, 5792
Nur-ul-hasan <i>v.</i> Muhammad Hasan	2 B. L. R., Ap., 1	581
Nurunnessa <i>v.</i> Roushan Jan	3 W. R., 133	3488
Nuseeboonissa <i>v.</i> Danush Ali	4 C. L. R., 413	4250
Nusibunnissa Bibee <i>v.</i> Erad Ali		3649
		5527

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nusrun v. Ram Debul Singh	17 W. R., 273	4788
Nusrun Beebee v. Watson & Co.	3 W. R., 215	2792, 2915
Nusrut Ali v. Zeinunnissa	15 W. R., 146	3732
Nusrut Banoo v. Mahomed Sayem	18 W. R., 230	4237
Nusrut Reza v. Umbul Khyr Bibee	8 W. R., 309	3707
Nusseebun Bibee v. Ashruff Ally	Marsh., 315 : 2 Hay, 163	3684
Nusseerooddeen Biswas v. Ujjul Biswas	17 W. R., 68	4345
Nusseerun v. Gouree Sunker Singh	22 W. R., 192	1766
Nuseutoollah v. Roop Sona Bibee	7 W. R., 499	3272
Nusserwanjee v. Pursotum Dass	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 298	5720
Nusserwanjee Pestonjee v. Mynooddeen Khan	6 Moore's I. A., 134	350
Nusserwanji v. Gordon	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 266	926, 2621
Nussur Ali v. Hart	6 W. R., Cr., 42	4238
Nussurooddeen Hossein Chowdhry v. Lall Mahomed } Puramanick.	13 W. R., 234	5781
Nussurut Ali v. Abdool Kareem Chowdhry	11 W. R., 373	1183
Nussurut Ali Chowdhry v. Mahomed Kanoo Sikdar	11 W. R., 541	308, 2928
Nusur Mahomed v. Kazbai	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 202	5588
Nuthoo v. Dan Suhai	2 Agra, 279	533
— v. Ghunessam Singh	8 W. R., 267	1756
Nuthoo Lall v. Budree Pershad	1 N. W., 1	456, 699
— v. —	3 Agra, 286	1106, 5841
Nuthoo Lall Chowdhry v. Saadat Lall	W. R., 1864, 271	4315
— v. Shoukee Lall	10 B. L. R., 200 : 18 W. R., } 458.	5016
Nuthoo Singh v. Ram Buksh Singh	18 W. R., 34	1449
Nutha Ram v. Sookh Ram	3 Agra, 90	1716
Nuzeerooddeen Ahmed v. Railway Commissioners	Marsh., 91 : 1 Hay, 157	1199
Nuzeerun v. Ameerooddeen	24 W. R., 3	2515
Nuzmooddeen Ahmed v. Abdool Azeez	13 W. R., 242	214
Nuzmooddeen v. Kanye Jha	Marsh., 555 : 2 Hay, 651	3705
Nuzur Ali v. Emdad Ali	1 W. R., 206	4820
Nuzur Banoo v. Hossein Ali Khan	W. R., 1864, 378	5240
Nyan v. Sher Ali	22 W. R., Cr., 86	4112
Nyan Suk Mether. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 49	5572
Nymooddee Joardar v. Moncrieff	12 W. R., 140	1590, 2794
Nynakka Routhen v. Vavana Mahomed Naina Routhen	5 Mad., 123	4898
Nyna Kocer v. Doolee Chund	22 W. R., 77	4819, 5245 1928
O		
Oahad Buksh v. Bindoo Bashinee Dossee	7 W. R., 298	2386
Oakes v. Jackson	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 134	1112, 5832
Obedul Hossein v. Goluck Chunder	8 W. R., 443	3271
Obedur Reza v. Mahomed Muneer	16 W. R., 88	3679
Obhoya Churn Dey v. Rajendro Coomar Ghose	22 W. R., 406	4463
Obhoya Churn Simlye v. Mohesh Chunder Doss	23 W. R., 22	1433
Obhoy Chandra Mookerjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 78 : 13 C. } L. R., 410.	4487
— v. Mohamed Sabir	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 78 : 13 C. } L. R., 410.	4487
Obhoy Chunder Bundopadhyia v. Nilambur Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 73	5319
Obhoy Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Pearae Mohun Gooch	13 W. R., F. B., 75	2373, 4321
Obhoy Chunder Sirdar v. Radha Bullubh Sen	1 C. L. R., 549	1659

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Obhoy Churn Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Collector of Dacca . . .	4 W. R., 59 . . .	65
Obhoy Churn Coondoo <i>v.</i> Golam Ali <i>alias</i> Nocowry Meah {	1 L. R., 7 Calc., 410 : 9 C. L. } R., 361. }	5444
Obhoy Churn Dey <i>v.</i> Lukhy Monee Bewa . . .	2 C. L. R., 555 . . .	4146
— <i>v.</i> Rajendro Coomar Ghose . . .	16 W. R., 288 . . .	4955
Obhoy Churn Doss <i>v.</i> Meer Saheb Ali . . .	5 W. R., 244 . . .	1750
Obhoy Churn Dutt <i>v.</i> Huro Chunder Doss Buxee . . .	13 W. R., 150 . . .	3237, 3293
— <i>v.</i> Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry . . .	9 W. R., 330 . . .	3526
— <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Dutt . . .	10 W. R., 298 . . .	5280
Obhoy Churn Ghose <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Dey . . .	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 237 . . .	2353, 3370
Obhoy Churn Mohapattur <i>v.</i> Kanye Rawot . . .	1 C. L. R., 394 . . .	5180
— <i>v.</i> Shunkur Dobey . . .	12 W. R., 500 . . .	4852
Obhoy Churn Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Pearee Dossia . . .	22 W. R., 270 . . .	838
— <i>v.</i> Panchanun Bose . . .	Marsh., 564 : 2 Hay, 630 . . .	472
Obhoy Churn Mullick <i>v.</i> Woomes Chunder Paul . . .	2 Hyde, 263 . . .	6094
Obhoy Churn Mustafi <i>v.</i> Uma Churn Mustafi . . .	1 C. L. R., 362 . . .	4666
Obhoy Churn Newgee <i>v.</i> Sristidhur Bagdee . . .	1 W. R., 101 . . .	531
Obhoy Churn Nundee <i>v.</i> Bhoobun Mojeomdar . . .	12 W. R., 524 . . .	5064
Obhoy Churn Nundi <i>v.</i> Kritarthamoyi Dossee . . .	1 L. R., 7 Calc., 284 . . .	3187, 3227
Obhoy Churn Pal <i>v.</i> Kali Prosad Chatterjee . . .	6 C. L. R., 260 . . .	1431, 4204
Obhoy Churn Roy <i>v.</i> Asanoollah . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 81 . . .	6174
Obhoy Churn Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Roy } Chowdhry. }	23 W. R., 95 . . .	1144
Obhoy Churn Sen <i>v.</i> Dabendro Nath Mullick . . .	8 C. L. R., 437 . . .	1195
Obhoy Churn Sirkar <i>v.</i> Huri Nath Roy . . .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 72 : 10 C. L. } R., 81. }	4190
Obhoy Gobind Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry . . .	9 W. R., 162 . . .	135
— <i>v.</i> Hury Churn Chowdhry . . .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 277 . . .	4249, 4420
— <i>v.</i> Kenny . . .	8 W. R., 518 . . .	5
— <i>v.</i> Surnomoyee . . .	W. R., F. B., 163 . . .	3192
Obhoykant Lahoree <i>v.</i> Ramsoonduree Dabee Chowdhrani . . .	20 W. R., 209 . . .	1136
Obilashery Debia. In the matter of the petition of . . .	9 W. R., Cr., 18 . . .	683
Oddit Chunder Paul <i>v.</i> Comolokanto Paul . . .	Marsh., 401 : 2 Hay, 529 . . .	4935
Oddoyto Chunder Koondoo <i>v.</i> Prosunno Koomar Bhut- tacharjee. }	2 W. R., 325 . . .	2080
Odhesh Coomar Singh <i>v.</i> Ram Gobind Singh . . .	9 W. R., 145 . . .	2887
Odhiranee Narain Koomaree <i>v.</i> Nawab Nazim of Ben- gal. }	4 W. R., 41 . . .	36
Odhoya Pershad <i>v.</i> Mohadeo Dutt Bhandaree . . .	17 W. R., 415 . . .	200
Odit Narain <i>v.</i> Maheshur Bux Singh . . .	Agra, F. B., 52 : Ed. 1874, 39 {	1815, 3068
Odit Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Dhurm Mahtoon . . .	W. R., 1864, 263 . . .	5764
Odiutzuman <i>v.</i> Mohiooddeen Ahmed <i>alias</i> Moguljan . . .	9 W. R., 241 . . .	2205
Odoit Roy <i>v.</i> Radha Pandey . . .	7 W. R., 72 . . .	1752
Odoy Churn Rana <i>v.</i> Bhujohury Janah . . .	11 W. R., 310 . . .	1353
Odoytoo Churn Roy. In re . . .	Bourke, Ins., 3 . . .	3953
Odye Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Ubhurun Roy . . .	4 W. R., Act X, 1 . . .	2664
Official Assignee <i>v.</i> Frazer . . .	14 W. R., O. C., 51 . . .	4171
— <i>v.</i> Kamalinga . . .	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 79 . . .	3350, 4681
Official Trustee of Bengal <i>v.</i> Krishna Chandra Mo- zumdar. }	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 239 : L. } R., 12 I. A., 166. }	2662
Oghra Singh <i>v.</i> Ablakh Koer . . .	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 536 : 3 C. } L R., 434. }	320
Ogle <i>v.</i> Thomas . . .	2 Hyde, 279 . . .	2032, 4763
— <i>v.</i> Nashholm . . .	Bourke, O. C., 171 . . .	4825, 4831
Ogneer Coomar Roy <i>v.</i> Kumolakant Roy . . .	11 W. R., 38 . . .	2676
Ograkant Chowdhree <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Sickdar . . .	4 C. L. R., 40 . . .	600
		5633
		4176

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oheed Khan v. Collector of Shahabad	9 W. R., 502	671, 3693
Oh Ling Tee v. Awkinifee	10 W. R., 86	128, 4274
Ojheonissa Begum v. Rusutm Ali	W. R., 1864, 219	3706, 3722
Ojooderam Khan v. Nobinmoney Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396	6019
Ojoodhya Persad v. Bhugwantajah	Marsh., 12	4964
Ojoodhyapershad Singh v. Ramsurn	6 W. R., 77	2151
Okhetoonissa v. Koochil Sirdar	2 W. R., 45	3121
Okhil Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 48	4490, 4731
Okhorah Soot v. Bheden Barianee	10 W. R., 34	2524, 4280
Okhoy Chunder Dutt v. Erskine	3 W. R., Mis., 11	5470, 5588
Okhoy Coomar Chatterjee v. Dhiraj Mahtab Chund	22 W. R., 299	2740, 5324
Okhoy Coomar Chuttopadhya v. Mahatapchunder Ba- door.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 24	4892
Okhoy Kumar. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 393	1296, 5547
Okhoy Teli v. Modhoo Sheikh	19 W. R., Cr., 55	4750
Okoor Persaud Bustoree v. Fool Coomaree Dabee	10 B. L. R., 15 : 16 W. R., P. C., 35 : 14 Moore's I. A., 134.	3317
Olaga Sundaram Pillay v. Muttien Chetty	4 Mad., 227	213
Oleo-unissa v. Buldeo Narain Singh	7 W. R., 151	3261
Olner v. Lavezzo	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 878	5722
Olongo Moonjoree Dossee v. Gobind Nath Sen	W. R., 1864, Mis., 13	669
Olpherts v. Mahabir Pershad Singh	11 C. L. R., 494 : L. R., 10 I. A., 25.	5461
Oma Churn Banerjee v. Collector of Hooghly	15 W. R., 525	6041
Oman v. Pramathanath Roy	1 B. L. R., S. N., 25 : 10 W. R., 256.	1725
— v. Ram Gopal Mozoomdar	18 W. R., 251	3836
Omanath Chowdhry v. Nujeeb Chowdhry	8 Moore's I. A., 498	4637
Omanath Roy Chowdhry v. Suroop Chunder Bose	10 W. R., 485	4347
Omar v. Abdul Guffoor	9 W. R., 425	3009, 4786
Omarchand Mahata v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal	11 W. R., 229	5812
Omar Salmia Bibi v. Lakhi Prya Debi	7 B. L. R., 617, note : 10 W. R., 47.	1408
Omda Bebee v. Acowrie Singh	7 W. R., 425	758
Omda Khanum v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 451 : 20 W. R., 317 : 21 W. R., 352.	1079, 1091 2718
Omed Ali v. Nidbee Ram	22 W. R., 367	1091, 6157
— v. Nittyand Roy	24 W. R., 171	3806
Omerchand. Claim of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	896
Omer Dutt Jha v. Burn	24 W. R., 470	1739
Omer Kurim v. Lala Shewan Lall	4 C. L. R., 291	5690
Omertolall Daw. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 2	2667
Omesh Chunder Mundul v. Thakoordoss Mookerjee	23 W. R., 345	6223
Omesh Chunder Roy v. Dukhina Soondery Debia	W. R., F. B., 95	4203
Omesh Chunder Sen v. Ichanath Mozumdar	21 W. R., Cr., 64	2925
Omirta Lall Chowdhry v. Kalee Pershad Shaha	25 W. R., 179	1845
Omirta Lall Bose v. Arbach Cazi	4 W. R., Act X, 47	1642
Omirta Lall Dey v. Howell	2 C. L. R., 426	3137
Omirta Moyee Dossee v. Gooroo Doss Roy	17 W. R., 395	224
Omoola Koowur v. Gohun Patuck	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	5267
— v. Sohun Patuck	1 Hay, 29	5267
Omra Nushyo v. Gugun Sootur	11 W. R., 130	5924
Omrao Begum. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 310	3092, 5295
— v. Commissioners under Act XVII of 1873	24 W. R., 394	4065, 5925
— v. Government of India	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 704 : 12 C. L. R., 595 : L. R., 10 I. A., 39.	4065, 4260

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Omrao Khan v. Imdad Allee Khan	1 N. W., 9: Ed. 1874, 8	3240
Omrao Mirza v. Jones	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 599	1247
— v. —	12 C. L. R., 148	5971, 6075
Omrao Singh v. Man Koonwer	2 Agra, 136	2416
— v. Pertab	3 Agra, 143	2285, 2297
— v. Premnarrain Singh	24 W. R., 264	1899
Omrit Koomaree Dabee v. Luckheenarain Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., F. B., 76	2300
Omrito Lall Dey. In the matter of	1 L. R., 1 Calc., 78	{ 392, 395 2095
Omrito Lall Sircar v. Ramdhun Chakee	18 W. R., 503	1461, 6150
Omrit Ram v. Nonao Ram	6 W. R., Cr., 90	3628
Omrinissa Bibee v. Dilawar Ally Khan	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 350	2857, 2957
Omur Ali v. Weylayet Ali	4 C. L. R., 455	4038
Omur Sirdar v. Pertab Chunder Banerjee	25 W. R., 212	3
Omuthoonnissa v. Puresmun Narain Singh	25 W. R., 202	2233
Onookool Chunder Mookerjee v. Burodakant Roy	13 W. R., 467	4957
Onoop Chunder Paul v. Ekkowree Singh	6 W. R., 167	5134
Onooram v. Lamessor	9 W. R., Cr., 70	4112
Onooroop Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of the } petition of. }	6 W. R., Mis., 45	282
— v. Heera Monee Dossee	11 W. R., 418	320, 6231
— v. Pertab Chunder Paul	6 W. R., Mis. 4	271
Onraet v. Kishen Soonduree Dossee	15 W. R., 83	4146
— v. Sankar Dutt Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 60: 14 W. R., 26	1487
Onungo Moonjuree Dossia v. Panchanun Bose	12 W. R., 72	5445
Oodai v. Bhownee Pershad	1 Agra, 264	5210
Oodeychand Boodaji v. Bhaskar Jagonnath	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 371	1073
Oodey Kurrin v. Chait Ram	2 Agra, 125	5375
Oodey Ram v. Sirajool Hussun	2 Agra, 241	2916
Oodey Singh v. Phool Chund	5 N. W., 197	2504
Oodeyta v. Gopal	2 Agra, 234	5741
Oodhoy Chunder Mullick v. Petamber Pyne	6 W. R., Mis., 75	1902
Oodhun Misser v. Hoobdar Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271	2409
Oodia v. Bhopal	3 Agra, 137	4999
Oodit Doss v. Government	Marsh., 259: 2 Hay, 117	2002
Oodit Narain Sing v. Hudson	2 W. R., Act X, 15	2989
Oodit Purkash Singh v. Martindell	4 Moore's I. A., 444	3905
Oodit Rai v. Ramgobind Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 206	3015
Oodiut Zuman. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 109	221, 5939
Oodia v. Barkat	18 W. R., Cr., 7	4750
Oodoy Chand Jha v. Dhunmonee Debia	3 W. R., 183	80, 1415
Oodoychand Luskur v. Nobocomar Poramanick	10 W. R., 428	3498
Oodoychurn Mitter. In the matter of	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 411	674, 2290
Oodoyessurree v. Hurokishore Dutt	4 W. R., 107	3411
Oodoy Monee Dabee v. Bishonath Dutt	7 W. R., 14	1785
— v. —	9 W. R., 455	3190
Oodoynarain Sircar v. Kristo Chunder Roy Chowdhry	13 W. R., 433	553
Oodoy Singh v. Paluck Singh	16 W. R., 271	{ 878, 2878 3278
Oodoy Tara Chowdhrair v. Jonab Ali Chowdhry	17 W. R., 358	2945
Oodoy Tara Chowdhranee v. Khaja Asanoollah	22 W. R., 180	1169
Oodwunt Mahtoon v. Biddhi Chand Chowdhry	{ 13 B. L. R., 216, note: 18 W. } R., 207. }	494
Oognee Chowdrain v. Keramutoollah	17 W. R., 219	4269
Oojagur Roy v. Ram Khelawan Singh	10 W. R., 384	5393
Oojan Dewan v. Prannath Mundul	8 W. R., 220	6279
Oojulmoye Dossee v. Chunder Koomar Neogee	12 W. R., F. B., 18	2844

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oojul Mundul v. Herasutoollah Mundul . . .	7 W. R., 150 . . .	4849
Ookerda Poonsey v. Steam-ship "Savitri" . . .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 408 . . .	5616
Oolagappa Chetty v. Arbuthnot . . .	14 B. L. R., 115 : 21 W. R., } 358 : L. R., '1 I. A., 268, 282. }	2236, 2442 3578, 6071
Oolfut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 197 . . .	3846
Oomabuttee v. Parushnath Pandey . . .	15 W. R., 135 . . .	143
Oomabutty v. Pureshnath Pandey . . .	12 W. R., 521 . . .	5231
Ooma Churn alias Gopal Chunder Roy Mozoomdar v. Girish Chunder Banerjee.	25 W. R., 22 . . .	1380, 5771
Ooma Churn Banerjee v. Rajluckhee Debia . . .	25 W. R., 19 . . .	3000
Ooma Churn Biswas v. Shibnath Bagchee . . .	8 W. R., 14 . . .	3798, 6090
Ooma Churn Dutt v. Beckwith . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 3 . . .	5072
Ooma Churn Koondoo v. Bhoobun Mohun Pal . . .	10 W. R., 98 . . .	6178
Oomadhur Bhut v. Mahomed Lutef . . .	1 W. R., 229 . . .	536
Ooma Dutt Chowdhry v. Hunooman Chowdhry . . .	22 W. R., 453 . . .	4312
Ooma Lochun Mozoomdar v. Nittye Chund Poddar	14 W. R., 467 . . .	2994
Oomanath Roy Chowdhry v. Raghoonath Mitter . . .	Marsh., 43 : W. R., F. B., 10 : 1 Hay, 75.	1674, 4988 5089
Oomanund Roy v. Suttish Chunder Roy . . .	9 W. R., 471 . . .	5119
Ooma Soonduree Dossee v. Bipin Beharee Roy . . .	13 W. R., 292 . . .	2801
Oomatara Debia v. Peena Bibee . . .	2 W. R., 155 . . .	4589
Oomatool Fatima v. Azhur Ali . . .	15 W. R., 356 . . .	2696
_____ v. Ghunnoo Singh . . .	19 W. R., 22 . . .	327
Oomda Bibee v. Jonab Ali . . .	5 W. R., 132 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 143.	3632
Oomed Ali v. Mehdee Hossain . . .	2 N. W., 2 . . .	1743
Oomedee v. Cheda Lall . . .	2 Agra, 264 . . .	6149
Oomesh Chunder Shaha v. Manick Chunder Bonick	8 W. R., 128 . . .	4302
Oommut Fatima v. Bhujo Gopal Doss . . .	13 W. R., 50 . . .	1748
Oomrao Begum v. Hamid Jan . . .	3 Agra, 279 . . .	3418
_____ v. Khooseram . . .	1 N. W., 181 : Ed. 1873, 260	3378
_____ v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal . . .	24 W. R., 28 . . .	4862
_____ v. Nizamoonnissa . . .	1 Agra, 224 . . .	3962
Oomrao Doolhaen v. Aga Meer . . .	12 W. R., 119 . . .	676
Oomrao Singh v. Methab Koonwer . . .	3 Agra, 103a . . .	1710, 2111 2119, 5821
_____ v. Sutun Lall . . .	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77 : Ed. } 1873, 168. }	1488
_____ v. Shimboo Nath . . .	2 N. W., 38 . . .	5379
Oomur Shah v. Rumzan Ali . . .	10 W. R., 363 . . .	5280
Oomutoonnissa Beebee v. Ooreefoonissa Beebee . . .	4 W. R., 66 . . .	3734
Onkur Dass v. Heera Singh . . .	1 Agra, 141 . . .	3832
Oo Nong v. Moung Htoon Oo . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 322 . . .	1528, 4777
Oopendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose . . .	17 W. R., 370, note . . .	312
Oopendro Mohun Moostafee v. Poorno Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	19 W. R., 85 . . .	1782, 5622
Oopendronath Roy v. Kalee Churn Roy . . .	8 W. R., 394 . . .	4468
Oopendur Mohun Mustafee v. Tripp . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 40 . . .	3516
Oorhya Koor v. Rujoo Nye Sookool . . .	14 W. R., 208 . . .	2293
Oosman Khan v. Chowdhry Sheoraj Singh . . .	5 N. W., 42 . . .	2878, 3000 4923
Ootacamund Municipality v. O'Shaughnessy . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 38 . . .	3600
Ootshub Narain Chowdhry v. Chittra Recka Goopta . . .	17 W. R., 154 . . .	4759, 5018
Oottum Singh v. Ram Surun Lall . . .	23 W. R., 287 . . .	3775
Ootum v. Mullick Gholam Hossein . . .	2 Hay, 359 . . .	288
Ootum Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Obhoy Churn Misser . . .	W. R., F. B., 67 . . .	2283
Opender Narain Mookerjee v. Gudadhur Dey . . .	25 W. R., 472 . . .	26

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Opender Narain Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Gudadhur Dey	25 W. R., 476	26, 3327
Opendro Nath Ghose <i>v.</i> Dukhini Bewa	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 473	1303
Opendur Lall Roy <i>v.</i> Bromo Moyee	10 W. R., 347	2129
Oram. In the goods of	12 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 21 W. R., 245.	1238
Ord <i>v.</i> Ord	4 B. L. R., O. C., 53	6204
— <i>v.</i> —	5 B. L. R., Ap., 34	1554
Orde <i>v.</i> Skinner	I. L. R., 3 All., 91: 7 C. L. R., 295: L. R., 7 I. A., 197.	2803, 6202
Oriental Bank <i>v.</i> Baree Tea Company	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 880: 13 C. L. R., 412.	4595
— <i>v.</i> Brown and Co.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 265	2679, 4629
— <i>v.</i> Charriol	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 642	3113, 4288
— <i>v.</i> Fleming	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 242	1078
— <i>v.</i> Gobind Lall Seal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 604: 13 C. L. R., 142.	4526
— <i>v.</i> Mani Madhab Sen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 713	129
Oriental Financial Association <i>v.</i> Mercantile Credit and Financial Association.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 14	2650
Oriental Finance Corporation <i>v.</i> Mercantile Credit and Finance Corporation.	3 Bom., O. C., 1	933
Orjoon Sahoo <i>v.</i> Anund Singh	2 Bom., 282: 2nd Ed., 267	760
Oroon Chunder Mundul <i>v.</i> Romanath Rukheet	10 W. R., 257	1105, 1734
Oseemunnissa Khatoon <i>v.</i> Ameerounnissa Khatoon	10 W. R., 260	4930
Osman Singh <i>v.</i> Chummun Mahtoo	20 W. R., 162	3236
Osseemooddeen <i>v.</i> Futteh Mahomed	15 W. R., 87	827
Ossuff <i>v.</i> Shama	7 W. R., 259	328
Ostoche <i>v.</i> Haridas	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. R., 21.	5650
Otiocolla <i>v.</i> Aseerun	I. L. R., 2 All., 869	1226
Oudh Beharee Singh <i>v.</i> Dost Mahomed	7 W. R., 194	1453
Oudh Behari Lal <i>v.</i> Brajamohan Lal	22 W. R., 185	1632
Oudh Behari Narain Singh. In re	4 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 13 W. R., 128.	1923, 3535
Ounda <i>v.</i> Raheem Shere Khan	1 C. L. R., 143	400
Outar Singh <i>v.</i> Ablakhee Koonwer	3 N. W., 138	1657
Overend, Gurney, and Co. <i>v.</i> Steele	2 Agra, 328	4536
— <i>v.</i> —	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39	4409
Owners of the ship "Brenhilda" <i>v.</i> British Steam Navigation Company.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	6275
Ozeer Mahomed <i>v.</i> Bydnath Doss Chowdhry	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 547	276
Ozeeroonissa Bibec <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Roy	5 W. R., Aet X, 6	6231
Ozeerun <i>v.</i> Heeranund Sahoo	11 W. R., 17	3731
	7 W. R., 336	3377
P. <i>v.</i> P.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 6	1553
Paba Khoji. In re. The petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 272	616, 617
Pabitra Dasi <i>v.</i> Damudar Jana	7 B. L. R., 697: 24 W. R., 397, note.	318, 2267
Pachaiperumal Chetti <i>v.</i> Savayyar Audoni Kurusu Ravvel	6 Mad., 351	4762
Pachaoni Awasthi <i>v.</i> Iahai Baksh	I. L. R., 4 All., 478	233, 2796
Pachoo Raree <i>v.</i> Gooroo Churn Dass	15 W. R., 556	5701, 5747
Padagaligum Pillai <i>v.</i> Shanmugham Pillai	2 Mad., 333	1424

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Padamani Dasi v. Jagadamba Dasi . . .	3 B. L. R., O. C., 56 .	2988
Padarath Singh v. Raja Ram . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 235 .	11
Paddo Sundari Dasi. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 3 All., 304 .	183
Padmakar Vinayak Joshi v. Mahadev Krishna Joshi	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 21 .	3854
Padmakumari Debi Chowdhurani v. Court of Wards	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 302: L. R., 8 I. A., 229.	2140
Padma Lochan v. Sirdar Khan . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 91 .	773
Padmalochan Sen v. Lalchand Gupta . . .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 W. R., 283.	4281
Padmamani Dasi v. Jagadamba Dasi . . .	6 B. L. R., 134 . . .	2455, 2464 2826, 4306
Padmanabha. In re . . .	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 18 .	1302
v. Thanakoti . . .	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 119 .	1895
Padmavati. Ex parte . . .	5 Mad., 415 .	4376
——— v. Doolar Singh . . .	7 W. R., P. C., 41: 4 Moore's I. A., 259.	2280
Padu Malhari v. Rakhmai . . .	10 Bom., 435 .	4773, 4774
Padsha v. Tiruvembala . . .	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 479 .	3596
Pagi Partap Hamir v. Varajlal Mulchand . . .	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 259 .	5666
Pahaladh Singh v. Luchmunbutty . . .	12 W. R., 256 .	4254, 4261
Pahalwan Singh v. Muhessur Buksh Singh . . .	9 B. L. R., 150: 16 W. R., P. C., 5.	35, 4870
——— v. Muheshur Buksh Singh . . .	12 B. L. R., 391: 18 W. R., 182.	5071
Pahlud Singh v. Ahlud Singh . . .	6 N. W., 91 .	5685
Pahlwan Singh v. Risal Singh . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 55 .	5032
Paidal Kidavu v. Parakal Imbichuni Kidavu . . .	1 Mad., 13 .	3763
Paigi v. Sheonarain . . .	1. L. R., 8 All., 78 .	5098
Pakaktar v. Jakriram Bhakat . . .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 12 .	760, 6243
Pakatur v. Jakriram Bhokath . . .	11 W. R., 5 .	760, 6243
Pakhandu v. Manki . . .	1. L. R., 3 All., 506 .	3852
Pakir Razu v. Jaganadha Narayana Ramachandra Razu	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 268 .	5595
——— v. Zamindar of Salur . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 268 .	5595
Palak Dhari Rai v. Radha Prasad Singh . . .	1. L. R., 2 All., 65 .	268
Palamcottah Municipality v. Annasami . . .	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 100 .	3602
Palani v. Selambara . . .	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 267 .	4805
——— v. Sivalinga . . .	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 6 .	5338
Palaniappa v. Raya . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 325 .	3594
Palaniappa Chetty v. Rayappa Chetty . . .	4 Mad., 119 .	384, 5220
Palaniyellappa Kaundan v. Mannaru Naikan . . .	2 Mad., 416 .	2387
Palaniyaudi Kaundan v. Muttusami Kaundan . . .	2 Mad., 441 .	5776
Palaniyappa Chetti v. Arumugam Chetti . . .	2 Mad., 26 .	477, 1812 2215
Palany Andy Pillay. Ex parte . . .	4 Mad., 330 .	3174
Palavarapu Mutanna v. Chauduri Narappa . . .	2 Mad., 349 .	5144
Palkonda Zamindar v. Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 91 .	3166
Palliagatha Ummer Kutti v. Abdul Kadar . . .	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 57 .	3221
Pallikunath Ramen Menon v. Mullankaji Sri Kumaran } Nambudri.	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 31 .	10
Palmer v. Balgobind Doss . . .	7 W. R., 230 .	3837
——— v. Cohen . . .	1 Hyde, 123 .	1060
——— v. Madhoo Persaud . . .	2 Agra, 131 .	2698
——— v. Secretary of State for India	2 Agra, 194 .	1376
Palmer's Estate. In re . . .	Cor., 68 .	3167
Paltu v. Janki Prasad . . .	6 B. L. R., 119 .	2665
Palut Bhugut v. Monohur Bhugut . . .	13 C. L. R., 171 .	177, 1234
Pana Ali v. Sa'ik Hossein . . .	7 N. W., 201 .	2088
Panamchand valad Surajmal v. Bhivraj valad Dashrat	6 Bom., A. C., 38 .	3530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Panaollah Nushyo v. Nubodeep Chunder Shaha . . .	15 W. R., 270 . . .	2998
Panappa Mudali v. Srinivasa Mudali . . .	3 Mad., 86 . . .	4054
Panaul Huq v. Kishen Mun Dabee . . .	9 C. L. R., 297 . . .	790
Pancham v. Jhinguri . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 278 . . .	5124
Pancham Singh v. Ali Ahmad . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 58 . . .	1142
Panchanada Tambiran. In the matter of the petition of . . .	4 Mad., 229 . . .	1048, 1989
Panchanadayyan v. Nilakandayyan . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 191 . . .	1430, 4391
Panchanan Bose v. Gurudas Roy . . .	9 B. L. R., 187; 18 W. R., 317 . . .	5135
Panchanan Mookerjee v. Radhanath Mookerjee . . .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 213 . . .	5129
Panch Cowree Mahtoon v. Kalee Churn . . .	9 W. R., 490 . . .	697, 2502
Pancheowrie Mull v. Chumroolall . . .	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 563; 2 C. L. R., 121. }	4032
Panchi Dassi v. Ahmedulla . . .	12 C. L. R., 444 . . .	1573
Panchudas v. Shudhamayi . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 19; 16 W. R., Cr., 72. }	4769
Pandah Gazi v. Jennuddi . . .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 665; 2 C. L. R., 526. }	3742
Pandaiya Telaver v. Puli Telaver . . .	1 Mad., 478 . . .	3285
Pandey Bishonath Roy v. Bhyrub Singh . . .	7 W. R., 145 . . .	2319, 2416
Pandit Hait Ram v. Mulu . . .	7 N. W., 110 . . .	2440
Pandoorung Buhai Pundit v. Balkrishen Hurbajee Mahajan. . .	5 W. R., P. C., 124; 2 Moore's I. A., 60. . .	4859
Pandu v. Devji . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 287 . . .	5388
— v. Surbomongola Dossee . . .	1 W. R., 22 . . .	1666
Pandurang v. Krishnaji Vyanktesh . . .	12 Pom., 65 . . .	4532
Pandurang Anandrav v. Bhaskar Shadashiv . . .	11 Bom., 72 . . .	1578
Pandurang Anpai v. Keshavji Jadhavji . . .	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 742 . . .	2279
Pandurang Govind v. Balkrishna Hari . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 125 . . .	2396, 5385
Pandurang Kamti v. Venkatesh Pai . . .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 95, note . . .	6232
Pandurang Ramchandra v. Narayan . . .	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 300 . . .	4173, 4177
Pandurang Sadashiv v. Moro Vasudev . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 68 . . .	2384
Pandurang Sakharan v. Yedneshwar . . .	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 70 . . .	1037
Pandurungy Annachariyar v. Iyathory Mudaly . . .	4 Mad., 443 . . .	5129
Pandya Nayak. In re . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 436 . . .	3050
Panioty v. Dwarka Mohun Dass . . .	4 C. L. R., 145 . . .	110
— v. Juggut Chunder Dutt . . .	9 W. R., 379 . . .	21, 2599
— v. Parbutty Chowdhraim . . .	8 W. R., 492 . . .	4614
Panjab Singh. In the matter of the petition of . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 579 . . .	1634, 3016
Pan Kooer v. Bhugwunt Kooer . . .	6 N. W., 19; Agra, F. B., 1874, 298. . .	4168
Panna Lall v. Gopiram Buzuriah . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 2 . . .	1695
Pannalal Seal v. Bama Sundari Dasi . . .	6 B. L. R., 732 . . .	1287
Panye Chunder Sircar v. Hurchunder Chowdhry . . .	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 496 . . .	161
Papammal v. Ramaswami Chetti . . .	2 Mad., 365 . . .	1761
Papasastrial v. Anuntarama Sastrial . . .	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 98 . . .	48, 2202
Parabdi Sahani v. Mahomed Hossein . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 37 . . .	5272
Parabhudas Hirachand v. Dhondur . . .	2 Bom., 233; 2nd Ed., 222 . . .	5211
Paradutt Lall v. Ruttun Singh . . .	5 N. W., 242 . . .	1864
Paraga Kuar v. Bhagwan Din . . .	1. L. R., 8 All., 301 . . .	1575, 4815
Parakh Govardhanbhai Haribhai v. Ransardas Dulabhai . . .	12 Bom., 51 . . .	4821
Parakut Assen Cutty v. Edapally Chennu . . .	2 Mad., 266 . . .	5447
Param v. Achal . . .	1. L. R., 4 All., 289 . . .	792
Paramma v. Ramachandra . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 302 . . .	1068
Param Shook Doss v. Rasheed-ood-Dowlah . . .	7 Mad., 285 . . .	3191
		315, 1172
		1072, 6199
		395, 3559

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Param Singh v. Lalji Mal	I. L. R., 1 All., 403	1687, 3429
Param Sukh v. Ram Dayal	I. L. R., 8 All., 650	1872
Param Chandra Pal v. Karunamayi Dasi	7 B. L. R., 90: 15 W. R., 268	2088
Param Chunder Ghose v. Chukkun Lal Roy	20 W. R., 402	5727
Param Kusam Narasaya Pantulu v. Stuart	2 Mad., 396	464, 2784
Paranjpe v. Kanade	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 148	6277
Paran Singh v. Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 366	818
Parasara Bhattar v. Rangaraja Bhattar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 202	3515
Parasoorama Pillay v. Ramasawmy alias Coolla Ramasawmy.	5 Mad., 45	2122, 2288
Parasram v. Gardner	I. L. R., 1 All., 355	5656
Parasurama Chedumbraiyar v. Kristnaiyan	5 Mad., 462	3475
Paravartani. Ex parte	1 Mad., 197	5674
Parbati v. Sundar	I. L. R., 8 All., 1	4280
Parbati Charan v. Panchanand	I. L. R., 6 All 243	2133, 4305
Parbati Charan Mookerjee v. Rajkrishna Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 162	1889, 5704
Parbati Charan Mookerjee v. Ramnarayan Mati Lal	5 B. L. R., 396: 16 W. R., 164, note.	4203
Parbati Charan Mukhopadhyaya v. Kali Nath Mukhopadhyaya.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 73	1577, 2213
Parbati Churn Deb v. Ain-ud-deen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 577: 9 C. L. R., 170.	3290, 3291
Parbatti v. Bhikun	8 B. L. R., Ap., 98	3438
Parbhudas Pranjivandas v. Ramlal Bhagirath	3 Bom., O. C., 69	338, 2855
Parbhudas Rayaji v. Motiram Kalyandas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 203	4262, 4306
Parbhu Narayan Singh. In re	3 B. L. R., A. C., 370: 12 W. R., 323.	11, 2770
Parbuttee v. Krishan Purtab Bahadur Sahie	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 44	4290
Parbutti v. Misser Chimmun Lal	1 Agra, 82	923, 1065
Parbutti Churn Bose. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 406	4389
Parbutti Churn Mozoomdar v. Nobin Chunder Sen	13 C. L. R., 195	224
Parbutti Dassi v. Ram Chand Bhuttacharjee	3 C. L. R., 576	4294
Parbuttinath Roy v. Tejomoy Banerji	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 303	1373
Parbutty v. Girdaree Lal	6 W. R., Mis., 125	4740
Parbutty v. Greedharee Lal	4 W. R., Mis., 15	871
Parbutty v. Higgin	17 W. R., 475	4474
Parbutty Bewa v. Woomatara Dahee	14 B. L. R., 201	558, 3210
Parbutty Churn Doss v. Protap Chunder Sen	23 W. R., 275	4247
Parbutty Churn Sen v. Moudari	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 594: 5 C. L. R., 513.	5452
Parbutty Churn Soor v. Promothonath Ghose	W. R., 1864, 174	6091
Parbutty Coomar v. Sudabut Persad	2 Hay, 315	11, 2770
Parbutty Dassi v. Purno Chunder Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 586	4290
Parbutty Moflessa v. Rajoo	W. R., 1864, 88	3054
Parbutty Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Mudho Paroe	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 276: 1 C. L. R., 592.	1173, 5782
Parcechut v. Rugho Goordeo	2 N. W., 48	579
Parrell Mill Company. In re	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 211	5254
Parrell Spinning and Weaving Company v. Manek Haji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 483	2358
Parashmani Dasi v. Dinanath Das	1 B. L. R., A. C., 117: 11 W. R., O. C., 19, note.	1841
		3397
		3232, 3411
		847
		954
		3357
		2335

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Paresh Narain Roy <i>v.</i> Gaur Sunker Bhumick	6 B. L. R., Ap., 154: 15 W. R., 39.	1627
Paresh Nath Dey <i>v.</i> Nabin Chandra Dutt	5 B. L. R., Ap., 77, note: 12 W. R., 93.	170
Paresnath Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Binadiram Sen	4 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 13 W. R., 29.	4346
Pares Nath Mukhopadhyaya <i>v.</i> Kistomohan Saha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 105: 12 W. R., 50.	2701, 5773
Parayasami <i>alias</i> Kottai Tevar <i>v.</i> Saluckai Tevar <i>alias</i> Oyya Tevar.	8 Mad., 157	336, 1400 2325, 2394 2518
Pariaq Dutt Roy <i>v.</i> Fekoo Roy	9 W. R., 160	539
Parichat <i>v.</i> Zalim Singh	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 214: L. R., 4 I. A., 159.	2153, 2417
Parimi Bapurazu <i>v.</i> Bellamkonda Chinna Venkayya	3 Mad., 238	3771
Parkash Ojha <i>v.</i> Dusruth Ojha	25 W. R., 74	4340
Parke Pittar. In the matter of	8 B. L. R., 118	2666
Parke Pittar & Co. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 144	2668
Parmanandas <i>v.</i> Venayekrao	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 19: 12 C. L. R., 92: L. R., 9 I. A., 86.	2545
Parmaya <i>v.</i> Sonde Shrimivasapa	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 459	4826
Parneshar Dat <i>v.</i> Hari Naik	7 W. R., 357	364, 375 1452
Parneshari Proshad Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Mahomed Syud	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 608: 7 C. L. R., 504.	1984, 4571
Parneshar Rai <i>v.</i> Bisheshar Singh	1. L. R., 1 All., 53	2476
Parrakel Kondi Menon <i>v.</i> Vadakentil Kunni Penna	2 Mad., 41	3757
Parrott <i>v.</i> Ram Suhay Singh	W. R., 1864, 35	4941
Parry & Co. <i>v.</i> Appasami Pillai	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 407	1999, 3195
Parshadi Lal <i>v.</i> Chunni Lal	1. L. R., 6 All., 142	2653, 3460
— <i>v.</i> Muhammad Zain-ul-abdin	1. L. R., 5 All., 573	3258
— <i>v.</i> Ram Dial	1. L. R., 2 All., 744	1472
Parshotam Ranchod <i>v.</i> Jagjivan Mayaram	1 Bom., 60	4820
Parshram <i>v.</i> Hiranman	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 413	4440
Parsidh Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Honoman Sahai	11 C. L. R., 263	2398
Parsotam Keshavdas <i>v.</i> Kalyan Rayji	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 348	1584
Parsotam Lal <i>v.</i> Bijai	1. L. R., 6 All., 101	5515
Partab Singh <i>v.</i> Beni Ram	1. L. R., 2 All., 61	819
Parthasaradi. In re	1. L. R., 8 Mal., 14	5861
Parthasaradi Ayyangar <i>v.</i> Chinna Krishna Ayyangar	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 304	5033, 5262 5269
Parum Soohh <i>v.</i> Seeta Ram	2 Agra, 119	2895
Parush Nath Misser <i>v.</i> Bundah Ali	6 W. R., 132	3378
Parushullah Mundul <i>v.</i> Kheroo Mundul	23 W. R., Cr., 4	1223
Parusnath Shaha <i>v.</i> Brojolal Gossain	8 W. R., 44	1378
Parvathi <i>v.</i> Manjayakarantha	5 Mad., 193	2082, 5217 1362, 2837
— <i>v.</i> Mannar	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 175	5651
Parvati <i>v.</i> Bhiku	4 Bom., A. C., 25	2525, 4902
— <i>v.</i> Kisan Sing	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 567	2260, 3553
Passamma Row Garu <i>v.</i> Toleti Venkiya	5 Mad., 198	634
Passmore <i>v.</i> Calcutta Docking Company	Bourke, A. O. C., 74	2646
Pasupati Latchmia <i>v.</i> Pasupati Muthambhatla	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 52	1888
Patankar <i>v.</i> Devji	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 146	821
Patashee Dobain <i>v.</i> Hurdeo Narain Sahoo	24 W. R., 457	2704
Pater <i>v.</i> Gordon	7 Mad., 82	4608

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pathoo Lal v. Radhika Doss	3 N. W., 106	6119
Pathuma v. Salimamma	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 83	5069
Patil Hari Premji v. Hakamchand	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 363	2406
Patinharipat Krishnan Unni Nambiar v. Chekur Manakkal Nilakandan Bhattathiripad. }	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 141	4251
Pattabhiramier v. Venkatarow Naicken	7 B. L. R., 136: 15 W. R., } P. C., 35: 13 Moore's 1. } A., 560. }	3956, 6009
Pattakara Annamalai Goundan v. Rangasami Chetti	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 365	795
Pattaravy Mudali v. Audimula Mudali	5 Mad., 419	4880
Pattarey Sircar v. Surno Moyee	24 W. R., 185	563
Patterson v. Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 3 All., 669	653
Patthanji. In re	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 202	3460
Patton v. Huree Ram	3 Agra., 409	2785
Pattu Lal v. Luchman Parshad	7 N. W., 155	3876
Paul v. Douohoy	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 27	1946
Paul Doss. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 51	4110
Pauliem Valoo Chetty v. Pauliem Sooryah Chetty }	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 252; L. R., } 4 I. A., 109. }	2370
Paul Rai v. Ram Hit Panday	1 Agra., 139	1777
Paupamma v. Chinna Reddy	5 Mad., 432	5685
Paupayya v. Narasanniah	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 216	1865, 1913
Pavaday Chetti. Ex parte	2 Mad., 319	1044
Pava Nagaji v. Govind Ramji	10 Bom., 382	2717
Payappa bin Sheshappa Nadni v. Dhondo Narayan Damle. }	5 Bom., A. C., 26	1542
Payn v. Administrator General of Bengal	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 766: 6 C. L. } R., 221. }	6020
Payne .. Constable	1 B. L. R., O. C., 49	3113
Payyayinda Avidatha Peringadi Ibrayi v. Pudiya Madathumal Peringadi Amanatha. }	5 Mad., 283	5266
Peacock v. Byjuath	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 58	1038
Peal v. Campbell	3 C. L. R., 515	6195
Pearee Bewah v. Nokoar Kurmoker	19 W. R., 308	5696
Pearee Daye v. Hurbuns Koer	14 W. R., 299	266
Pearee Dayee v. Hurbunsee Kooer	19 W. R., 127	5266
Pearee Debea v. Boydonauth Baugh	Marsh., 413: 2 Hay, 537	3776
Pearee Lall v. Bukhoree Lall	12 W. R., 124	2343
Pearee Lall Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 130	5917
Pearee Lall Shaha v. Woornesh Chunder Mozoomdar	9 W. R., 140	2069, 3199
Pearee Mohun Bera v. Shama Churn Mytee	19 W. R., 34	775
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Gobind Chunder Addy	10 W. R., 56	3317, 3382
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Hurish Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 141	756, 768
Pearee Mohun Dutt v. Gooroodoss Dutt	20 W. R., 401	1487
_____ v. Radha Madhub Mookerjee	10 W. R., 427	1611
Pearee Mohun Ghose v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co	22 W. R., 338	555, 558
Pearee Mohun Mitter v. Mohendro Narain Singh	23 W. R., 465	3204
Pearee Mohun Mookerjee v. Annund Moyee Debia	9 W. R., 158	1607
_____ v. Brojo Mohun Bose	21 W. R., 36	6175
_____ v. _____	22 W. R., 423	6175
_____ v. Gosto Behary Dey	26 W. R., 104	690, 5382
_____ v. Koylash Chunder Byragree	23 W. R., 58	1603
_____ v. Madhub Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 489	6233
_____ v. Raj Kristo Mookerjee	21 W. R., 259	3067
_____ v. _____	20 W. R., 385	3798, 3801
Pearee Mohun Poddar v. Jugobundhoo Sen	24 W. R., 418	4467
Pearee Mohun Roy v. Chunder Nath Roy	18 W. R., 408	4264

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pearcemohun Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Assadkhan	18 W. R., 444	5697
Pearcemohun Soor <i>v.</i> Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry	7 W. R., 258	6127
Pearce Monee Bibee <i>v.</i> Madhub Singh	15 W. R., 93	2350
Pearce Monee Dossee <i>v.</i> Collector of Beerbhoom	8 W. R., 300	5436
— <i>v.</i> Thakoor Doss Dutt	21 W. R., 443	628
Pearreenath Brohmo <i>v.</i> Juggessuree <i>alias</i> Rakhalee Dossee. }	15 W. R., 128	1903
Pearce Soonduree Dossee <i>v.</i> Eshan Chunder Bose	16 W. R., 302	3843
Pearce Soonduri Debia <i>v.</i> Bhubo Soonduree Debia	23 W. R., 32	1879
Peari Mohun Dutt <i>v.</i> Borkant Nath Dutt	11 C. L. R., 312	3370
Peari Mohun Mookhopadhya <i>v.</i> Madhub Chunder Baboo	23 W. R., 385	580
Peari Mohun Mukerjee <i>v.</i> Audhiraj Aftab Chand	10 C. L. R., 526	7
Peari Mohun Mukherji <i>v.</i> Banishi Maghi	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 757	1613
— <i>v.</i> Drobomoyi Dabia	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 745	1740
Pearson <i>v.</i> Madhub Chunder Ghose	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 387, note	5641
Pearun <i>v.</i> Ahmed Ali Khan	4 W. R., Mis., 7	3816
— <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Singh <i>alias</i> Runnoo Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 213	3022
Peary Lall <i>v.</i> Bhawoot Koer	W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., } O. S., 100. }	2360
Pearce Lall Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Komal Kishore Dassia	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 30	5017
Peary Mohun Doss <i>v.</i> McArthur	Marsh., 637	546
— <i>v.</i> Parbutty Churn Mookerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	752
Peary Mohun Ghosaul <i>v.</i> Harra Chunder Gangooly	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 261	5717, 5970
Peary Mohun Goocho. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 312	4443
Peary Mohun Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of. }	24 W. R., Cr., 40	4505
— <i>v.</i> Kena Bewah	11 W. R., 90	5831
Peary Mohun Roy <i>v.</i> Chundee Kanth Roy	Marsh., 33: 1 Hay, 69	3396
Peary Mohun Sircar. In the matter of	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 639	6067
— <i>v.</i> Empress	13. C. L. R., 80	6067
Pearymohun Sirkar. In the matter of	14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., } 358: L. R., 1 I. A., 268, } 282. }	2442, 3578 6071
Pedda Amani <i>v.</i> Zemindar of Marungapuri	2 Mad., 270	50, 1577 2942
Peddammuthulaty <i>v.</i> Timma Reddy	2 Mad., 117	2313
Peddammuttu Viramani <i>v.</i> Appu Rau	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 286: 8 C: } L. R., 315: L. R., 8 I. }	2327
Pedda Ramappa <i>v.</i> Bangari Seshamma	A., 1.	
Pedda Siva Reddi. In re	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 238	5538
Pedda Vencatapa Naidoo <i>v.</i> Arovala Roodrap Naidoo.	6 W. R., P. C., 13: 2 Moore's I. A., 504.	4458
Peeloo Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	7 W. R., 219	5621
Peera <i>v.</i> Shimbhoo	2 Agra, 348	145, 4557
Peer Bux <i>v.</i> Meahjan	W. R., F. B., 146	5189
Peerbux <i>v.</i> Mowzah Ally	W. R., F. B., 10: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7: Marsh., 25: 1 Hay, 89.	2979
Peer Mahomed <i>v.</i> Nekjan Bibee	25 W. R., 49	4330
Peerun <i>alias</i> Kureemun Ayah <i>v.</i> Field	21 W. R., Cr., 68	2912
Peetambur Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Kaleechurn Roy	11 B. L. R., 137, note: 14 W. R., 436.	2721
Peet Koonwar <i>v.</i> Chutter Dharee Singh	13 W. R., 396	2244
Pegoo Jan <i>v.</i> Mullick Waizooddeen	18 W. R., 464	4032, 5141
Peitsch <i>v.</i> Commercial Banking Corporation	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363	945
— <i>v.</i> Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278	5638

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pelaram Kotal v. Nund Coomar Chutturam . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 45 . . .	1642
Peli v. Valetta	5 C. L. R., 464	4523
Pem Kooer v. Upper Balee Sing	2 N. W., 86	538, 2331
Pemraj Bhavaniram v. Narayan Shivaram Khisti . . .	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 215	4200, 4459
Pendse v. Malse	3 Bom., A. C., 94	289, 5822
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. } Konnylall Dutt.	2 Hyde, 21	3072
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. } Manikji Nasarvanji Padsha.	4 Bom., O. C., 169	593, 1764
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. } Secretary of State for India.	Bourke, A. O. C., 166 : 5 Bom., } Ap., 1. }	3791
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Small	Bourke, O. C., 309	601
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. } Somaji Vishram.	5 Bom., O. C., 113	593
Penuballi Subharamareddi v. Bhimaraju Ramaya . . .	2 Mad., 21	3341
People's Bank v. Obbard	2 Hyde, 57	467
Pepin v. Chunder Seekur Mookerjee	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 811 : 6 C. L. } R., 167. }	1201, 3314
Perammal v. Venkatammal	1 Mad., 223	2313
Pereira. In the matter of	1 Mad., 217	2671
Pereira v. Pereira	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 118	1548
Pergash Koer v. Mahabir Pershad Narain Singh . . .	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 582	4011, 6034
Periandi v. Angappa	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 423	3956
Periasami v. Periasami	1. L. R., 5 I. A., 61 : 1. L. R., } 1 Mad., 312. }	2325, 2394
Peria Sidden v. Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Periya Gaundan v. Tirumala Gaundan	1 Mad., 206	1415, 1469
Periyanayagam Pillai v. Virappa Naikan	7 Mad., 51	3589
Periyanna Chetty v. Govinda Gaundan	5 Mad., 104	6237
Periyasami v. Seshadri Ayyangar	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 11	3746
Perlath Sein v. Bhoodoo Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 78	4640
_____ v. Gunness Lall Tewary	25 W. R., 540	3183
Perlath Sen v. Runjeet Roy	W. R., 1864, 68	3289
Perlath Singh Bahadoor v. Broughton	24 W. R., 275	2748, 4027
Perlathail Subba Rau v. Mankude Narayana	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 113	3581
Permeshree Doss v. Raikoondun Singh	3 Agra, 3	4549
Permessur Dutt Sahee v. Audh Sahajee	5 W. R., 40	4299
Permessuree Pershad Narain Singh v. Nubee Buksh . .	2 W. R., Act X, 101	3800
Perrin v. Ledlie	15 W. R., 364	4770
Perroux v. Luchmeeput Singh	12 W. R., 113	6095
Pershad v. Shivaram	2 N. W., 59	1488
Pershad Doss v. Denonath Dey	2 Hyde, 14	3200
Pershad Lal v. Irshad Ali	2 N. W., 100	3715
Pershad Sein v. Rajendra Kishore	7 W. R., 338	274
Pershad Singh v. Chedee Lall	15 W. R., 1	3363, 3396
"Persia." In re the	6 Bom., O. C., 138	5647
Pertab Chunder Banerjee v. Omur Sirdar	25 W. R., 89	3
_____ v. Philippe	2 W. R., Act X, 56	2931
Pertab Narain Singh v. Subhao Kooer	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 626 : 1 C. L. } R., 113 : L. R., 4 I. A., 228. }	2535, 4226
_____ v. _____	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 184 : L. R., } 5 I. A., 171. }	4657
_____ v. Trilokinath Singh	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 186 : L. R., } 11 I. A., 197. }	2515, 4228
Pertab Singh v. Khoosial Singh	2 Agra, 90	5058
Peru Bepari v. Ronno Maifarash	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 164	2267
		417

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Peru Nayar <i>v.</i> Ayyaappan Nayar . . .	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 282 . . .	3761
Pestanji Cursetji. In re . . .	3 Bom., O. C., 42 . . .	408
Pestanji Mancharji Wadia <i>v.</i> Matchett . . .	7 Bom., A. C., 10 . . .	1033, 4280 5823
Pestanji Shapurji Kaka. In re . . .	8 Bom., O. C., 37 . . .	2073
Pestonjee Eduljee Gurdur <i>v.</i> Mahomed Ali . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 541 . . .	4260
Pestonjee Nesserwanjee <i>v.</i> Gool Mahomed Sahib . . .	7 Mad., 369 . . .	4594, 4595
Pestonjee Nuserwanjee <i>v.</i> Manockjee & Co. . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 69 . . .	352
Pestonjee Nusserwanjee <i>v.</i> Maneckjee . . .	3 Mad., 183 . . .	181, 362
<i>v.</i> Maneckjee & Co. . .	12 Moore's I. A., 112 : 10 W. R., P. C., 51. } . . .	181, 361 362
Pestonji Bezonji <i>v.</i> Abdool Rahiman . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 463 . . .	3380, 4886
Petambar Baboo <i>v.</i> Nilmony Singh Deo . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 793 . . .	5102
Petamber Manikjee <i>v.</i> Motee Chund Manikjee . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 53 : 1 Moore's I. A., 420. } . . .	1073, 1724 4653
Petambur Dutt <i>v.</i> Hurrish Chunder Dutt . . .	15 W. R., 200 . . .	2353
Petambur Jugi <i>v.</i> Nasaruddy . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 4 . . .	4105, 6160
Petambur Shaha <i>v.</i> Kuroona Moyee Debea . . .	W. R., 1864, 321 . . .	3174
Peter <i>v.</i> Dufour . . .	6 W. R., 92 . . .	1509
<i>v.</i> Vardon . . .	23 W. R., 62 . . .	1036
Peters <i>v.</i> Manuk . . .	13 B. L. R., 383 : 22 W. R., 175 . . .	1215, 5900
Petman <i>v.</i> Bull . . .	I. L. R., 5 Ali., 371 . . .	4335, 6017
Petumber Bhugutt <i>v.</i> Muthooras Dass . . .	1 Agra, 121 . . .	4595
Petumber Dey <i>v.</i> Juggunnath Roy . . .	18 W. R., 130 . . .	3445
Petumber Mundle <i>v.</i> Cochrane . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 11 : Bourke, O. C., 339. } . . .	2627
<i>v.</i> Gocool Doss Soonderjee . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327 : Bourke, O. C., 240. } . . .	424, 2627
Petumber Shadoo Khan <i>v.</i> Doyamoyee Dossee . . .	12 W. R., 17 . . .	5706
Phate Saheb Bibi <i>v.</i> Damodar Premji . . .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 84 . . .	3662
Pheloo Monee Dossia <i>v.</i> Greesh Chunder Bhuttacharjee . . .	8 W. R., 515 . . .	1815
Phillips <i>v.</i> Nund Coomar Banerjee . . .	8 W. R., 385 . . .	6103
Phillott <i>v.</i> Morris . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375 . . .	6215
Phiran <i>v.</i> Baboran . . .	7 N. W., 367 . . .	173, 375 1702
Phodee Bibee <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Roy . . .	22 W. R., 272 . . .	5763
Phookun Pandey <i>v.</i> Sookkia . . .	10 W. R., 436 . . .	2355
Phoolbasee Koer <i>v.</i> Parjun Singh . . .	12 W. R., 369 . . .	1362, 2837 5650
Phoolbas Koer <i>v.</i> Lalla Juggessur Sahoy . . .	18 W. R., 48 . . .	2391, 4254 4261
<i>v.</i> Lall Juggessur Sahi . . .	14 W. R., 340 . . .	902, 2346 2445, 2515 3160, 4254 4261
Phoolbas Koonwur <i>v.</i> Lalla Jogeshur Sahoy . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 226 : I. R., 3 I. A., 7 : 25 W. R., 285. } . . .	2392, 3169 3160, 4649
Phool Bibee <i>v.</i> Goor Surun Doss . . .	18 W. R., 485 . . .	146, 1846
Phool Chund <i>v.</i> Shiva Pershad . . .	2 Agra, Mis., 4 . . .	4245
Phool Chund Brojobassee. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 35 . . .	2599
Phool Chunder Lall <i>v.</i> Sheorane Koonwar . . .	9 W. R., 104 . . .	1408
Phool Chund Lall <i>v.</i> Rughoobuns Suhaye . . .	9 W. R., 107 . . .	2206
Phool Coomaree Beebee <i>v.</i> Ooukurpershad Boistobee . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 50 : 7 W. R., 67 . . .	3114, 3317
Phoolharee <i>v.</i> Bishessur Pershad . . .	3 Agra, 301 . . .	5728
Phool Koer <i>v.</i> Sheoburun Singh . . .	12 W. R., 489 . . .	1496

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Phool Koer <i>alias</i> Kunhya Koer <i>v.</i> Dabeespershad	12 W. R., 187	282, 2203
Phool Koomaree Bibee <i>v.</i> Woonkar Pershad Rus-toby.	7 W. R., 67 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 50.	3114
Phool Koonwar <i>v.</i> Chardon	6 W. R., Act X, 89	6154
Phukar Singh <i>v.</i> Ranjit Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 661	2495
Phulahra <i>v.</i> Jeolal Sing	I. L. R., 6 All., 52	2916, 2975
Phul Chand <i>v.</i> Iachmi Chand	I. L. R., 4 All., 486	2162
— <i>v.</i> Man Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 309	2184
— <i>v.</i> Miller	I. L. R., 7 All., 340	2644
Phuljhari Kooer. In the matter of the petition of	8 B. L. R., 385 : 17 W. R., 102.	2447
Phul Koeri <i>alias</i> Ghina Koeri. In re	8 B. L. R., 388, note	2446
Phul Kuar <i>v.</i> Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 2 All., 527	3888
— <i>v.</i> Surjan Pandey	I. L. R., 4 All., 249	6241
Phulman Rai <i>v.</i> Dani Kuari	I. L. R., 1 All., 452	4545
Piarey Lal <i>v.</i> Saliga	I. L. R., 2 All., 394	3167, 6054
Piari <i>v.</i> Khiali Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 857	4887
Pichandi <i>v.</i> Kandasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 539	3222
Picharkutty Mudali <i>v.</i> Narayanappa Aiyar	2 Mad., 243	1095
Pierce <i>v.</i> Opendra Shetti Ganapathy	7 Mad., 364	4405, 4414
Pietsch <i>v.</i> Commercial Banking Corporation	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363	945
— <i>v.</i> Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278	5638
Piffard. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 79	1040
Pigou <i>v.</i> Mohamed Aboo Syed	3 C. L. R., 253	5005
— <i>v.</i> Ram Kishen	2 W. R., 301	591
Pigue <i>v.</i> Golabram	1 W. R., 75	588, 2211
Pillans <i>v.</i> Peninsular and Oriental Steam-ship Company.	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 68	690
Pinsent. Ex parte	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 276	432
Piran <i>v.</i> Ram Buksh	2 Agra, 346	1656
Pirathi Singh <i>v.</i> Vencatramanayyan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 419	5787
Pirbhai Khimji <i>v.</i> Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	8 Bom., O. C., 59	690
Pirbhai Ravji <i>v.</i> Nenbai	3 Bom., O. C., 164	3115
Pirjada Nasarudin <i>v.</i> Venkat Prabhu	9 Bom., 113	1891
Pirjade <i>v.</i> Pirjade	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681	3195, 3493
Pirthee Singh <i>v.</i> Dya Kishun	5 N. W., 226 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 278.	5233
— <i>v.</i> Raj Kooer	12 B. L. R., 238 : 20 W. R., 21 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 203.	2414, 2426
— <i>v.</i> Sheo Soonduree	8 W. R., 261	2280, 2284
Pirthipal Singh <i>v.</i> Husaini Jan	I. L. R., 4 All., 361	3642
Pirthiram Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., Cr., 51	4495
Pirthi Singh <i>v.</i> Sobhan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 1	82, 3856
Pirtum Koormy <i>v.</i> Bhikaree	2 N. W., 364	5182
Pitambar Dhari <i>v.</i> Sambhajirav	8 Bom., A. C., 185	609
Pitambar Sadhu Khan. In re	6 B. L. R., 390, note	5706
Pitamber Dey Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Ishan Chunder Dutt Biswas.	18 W. R., 169	93
Pitamber Girdhar. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 638	4531, 4670
Pitamber Narayandas <i>v.</i> Varmali Shamji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 1	5117
Pitamber Panda <i>v.</i> Damoodur Doss	24 W. R., 129	3909
		5329, 5338
		5339

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pitamburee Chowdhraïn v. Nobin Kristo Mookerjee	18 W. R., 205	5308
Pitambur Chuckerbutty v. Bhyrubnath Paleet	15 W. R., 52	1135, 1137
Pitambur Dey. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 57	1453, 5675
Pitambur Kurmoker v. Ramtunoo Roy	10 W. R., 123	4359
Pitambur Misser v. Ram Surun Sookool	25 W. R., 7	1640
Pitambur Mookerjee v. Huree Narain Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 50	3906
Pitambur Pyne v. Toolsee Dossee	7 W. R., 39	4399
Pitambur Sen v. Debnath Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 132	4294, 4446
Pitambur Shaha v. Ramjoy Ghose	7 W. R., 92	3337
Pitam Singh v. Ujagar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 651	4860, 5076
Pitaye Ahung v. Girghee Koer Ajooah	11 W. R., 365	993
Pitchakutti Chetti v. Kamalla Nayakkan	1 Mad., 153	5822
— v. Ponnamma Natchiyar	1 Mad., 148	697, 3061
Pitchayya v. Narasayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 246	5804
Pitchi v. Ankappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 102	3579
Pittapur Raja v. Buchi Sitayya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 219	1134
— v. Suriya Rau	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 520	961
Pittumber Doss v. Rutton Bullub Doss	W. R., 1864, 213	4661, 5028
Pitumber Doss v. Dwarkapershad	2 N. W., 435	4878
Pitum Koonwar alias Munar Bibee v. Joy Kishen } Doss. }	6 W. R., 101	1356
Piziruddin v. Madhusudan Pal Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 75 : 2 } W. R., 15. }	1363
Pleaders of the High Court, Bombay. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 105	2301, 2329
Pogha Mahton v. Gooroo Baboo	14 W. R., 114	2528
Pogose v. Anund Chunder Gohoo	1 W. R., 81	2058
— v. Aozan Bibee	18 W. R., 274	4435
— v. Ashanoollah	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 710, note	753
— v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 174	4618
— v. Boistub Lall	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1 : 6 W. } R., Mis., 104. }	5627
— v. Catchick	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 708 : 2 C. } L. R., 278. }	5938
— v. Delhi and London Banking Co.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 951	590
— v. Ekram Hossein	15 W. R., 483	3469
— v. Fukurooddeen Mahomed Absan alias Ali- } mooddeen Chowdhry. }	25 W. R., 343	186, 5938
— v. Mokoond Chunder Surma	25 W. R., 36	2585
— v. Rajoo Dhopee	22 W. R., 511	5106
Pohkar Pershad v. Punchum Rae	2 N. W., 235	1922
Poholi Mullick v. Fukeer Chunder Patnaik	22 W. R., 349	1750
Pokhraj Singh v. Gossain Munraj Pooree	12 W. R., 281	4926
Pole v. Gordon	2 Hyde, 289 : Cor., 83 : } Bourke, O. C., 1. }	358
Poli v. Narotum Bapu	6 Bom., A. C., 183	5072
Pollard v. Mothial	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 234	5467
Polokdhari Roy v. Radha Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 50 : 4 C. } L. R., 342. }	4603
Polokdhari Rai v. Radha Persad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 28 : L. R., } 8 I. A., 165. }	2304
Ponambilath Parapravan Kunhamod Haji v. Ponam- } bilath Parapravan Kuttiah Haji. }	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 169	102
Ponampilath Parapravan Kuthath Haji v. Ponampilath } Parapravan Bavotti Haji. }	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 79	204
Ponaoollah v. Tumeezooddeen	2 W. R., 32	204
		3759, 3761
		3538
		379

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ponnambala Mudaliyar v. Varaguna Rama Pandia } Chiunnatambiar.	7 Mad., 117 . . .	2841
Ponnappa Pillai v. Pappuvayyengar . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 1 . . .	2169, 5393
_____ v. _____ . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 343 . . .	2186
Ponnusami v. Thatha . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 273 . . .	2265
Ponnusami Chetti v. Krishna Aiyar . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 222 . . .	1541, 5890
_____ v. Queen . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 69 . . .	463
	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203 . . .	4099, 5262
Ponnusami Nadan v. Dorasami Ayyan . . .	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 209 . . .	5267
Ponnusami Pillai v. Pachai . . .	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 336 . . .	1148, 5905
		1541
Ponnusamy Tevar v. Collector of Madura . . .	3 Mad., 35 . . .	906, 2856
		5876
Ponnusawmi Taver v. _____ . . .	5 Mad., 6 . . .	2617, 4572
Poolin Beharee Sein v. Neemaye Chand . . .	7 W. R., 472 . . .	1612
_____ v. Watson & Co. . . .	9 W. R., 190 . . .	138, 4148
		4401
Poolin Behary Sein v. Luteefoonnissa Bibee . . .	Marsh., 107 : 1 Hay, 242 . . .	1606, 5750
Poolunder Singh v. Rampershad . . .	2 Agra, 147 . . .	2084
Poona Churn Pal. In the matter of . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 447 . . .	5945
Poona Kooer. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 101 : 24 W. R., 376 . . .	5115
Poonen. Ex parte . . .	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174 . . .	3598
Poonheet Kooer v. Kishen Kishore Narain Singh . . .	23 W. R., 419 . . .	3364
Poonit Singh v. Madho Bhot . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 270 . . .	4358
Poonoo Bibee v. Fyez Buksh . . .	15 B. L. R., Ap., 5 : 23 W. R., 66 . . .	1107
Poorna Chunder Sen v. Prosunno Coomar Dass . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 253 : 8 C. L. R., 443 . . .	4513
Poornanund Surkhel v. Huro Soonduree Debia . . .	13 W. R., 208 . . .	3513
Poornimah Chowdhrair v. Dropodee Dossee . . .	W. R., 1864, 103 . . .	2349
Poorno Chunder Banerjee v. Ram Kanaye Ghose . . .	24 W. R., 243 . . .	5345
Poorno Chunder Bose v. Nobin Chunder Ghose . . .	14 W. R., 77 . . .	5399
Poorno Chunder Chatterjee v. Chunder Coomar Roy . . .	24 W. R., 171 . . .	5754
Poorno Chunder Coondoo v. Prosonno Coomar Sikdar . . .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 123 . . .	3449
Poorno Chunder Doss Chowdhry v. Sreenath Goopto . . .	6 W. R., 173 . . .	5325
Poorno Chunder Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Doss . . .	17 W. R., 87 . . .	3290
Poorno Chunder Gangooly v. Kishen Chunder Ghose . . .	5 W. R., 112 . . .	1140
Poorno Chunder Ghose v. Gobind Chunder Mookerjee . . .	22 W. R., 28 . . .	4758
Poorno Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of . . .	Bourke, O. C., 377 . . .	455
Poorno Chunder Roy v. Balfour . . .	9 W. R., 535 . . .	506
_____ v. Beharee Lall Mookerjee . . .	14 W. R., 473 . . .	5607
_____ v. Kristo Chunder Singh . . .	23 W. R., 171 . . .	578
_____ v. Nund Lall Ghose . . .	7 W. R., 25 . . .	4934
_____ v. Stalkartt . . .	10 W. R., 362 . . .	2926, 4413
Poorno Doss v. Oojoodhiprosad . . .	3 W. R., Act X, 16 . . .	2915
Poorno Persad Roy v. Chunder Nath Chatterjee . . .	1 W. R., 249 . . .	5776
Poorno Singh v. Hurry Churn Surmah . . .	10 B. L. R., 117 : 18 W. R., 440 . . .	3711
Poorsuttum Chunder v. Gour Soonder Pandey . . .	18 W. R., 283 . . .	5738
Poorun Chand Galeecha v. Pareesh Nath Singh . . .	12 W. R., 82 . . .	5264
Poorunmull v. Toolsee Ram . . .	3 Agra, 350 . . .	2439
Poorun Narain Dutt v. Kasheesuree Dossee . . .	3 W. R., 180 . . .	2247
Poorun Singh v. Kasheenath Singh . . .	6 W. R., 20 . . .	3158
Poosun Lall Sahoo v. Gowrunbutty . . .	15 B. L. R., 264 : 23 W. R., 365 . . .	2164
Pootee Begum v. Indurjeet Kooer . . .	12 W. R., 201 . . .	827

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Poran Bebee v. Ally Khan	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61	4394
Poran Chunder Ghose v. Gopeenath Singh	8 W. R., 505	6237, 6239
Poran Misra v. Harsaran Misra	8 B. L. R., Ap., 55	6151
Poran Mundul Mollah v. Sham Chand Ghose	1 W. R., 228	4274, 5778
Poran Sookh Chunder v. Parbutty Dossee	1 L. R., 3 Calc., 612: 1 C. } L. R., 404. }	1431, 4520 5072
Poresh Narain Mondul v. Khettro Monce Debia	20 W. R., 284	1487
Poresh Narain Roy. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 45	4732
_____ v. Kassi Chunder Talukdar	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 661	2991
_____ v. Surut Soondures Debee	16 W. R., 100	6224
_____ v. Watson	5 W. R., 283	38, 4469
_____ v. _____	17 W. R., Cr., 3	4500
_____ v. Watson & Co.	23 W. R., 451	4653
Poresh Narain Roy v. _____	3 C. L. R., 543	1592
Poreshnath Mukerji v. Anathnath Deb	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 265 . L. R., } 9 I. A., 147. }	1707
Poreshnath Mundul v. Khettramonce Debia	20 W. R., 284	5118
Poreshnath Roy v. Bishroop Dutt	W. R., 1864, Act X, 16	5381
_____ v. Gopal Kristo Deb	15 W. R., 61	3151
Porgash Paray v. Ilachim	7 W. R., 417	5658
Poromanand Khasnabish v. Khepoo Paramanick	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 354	824
Port Canning Company. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 278	926
_____ v. _____	7 B. L. R., 583	940
Port Canning Land Company. In the matter of	16 W. R., 208	5840, 5873
_____ v. Smith	21 W. R., 315: L. R., 1 I. } A., 124. }	4050, 4788
"Portugal." In the matter of the ship	5 B. L. R., 258	637
_____ v. _____	6 B. L. R., 323	638, 2834
Postmaster of Bareilly v. Earle	3 N. W., 195	657
Potitpabun Sen v. Chunder Caunt Mookerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 329	3219
Potter v. Gentle	Bourke, O. C., 41	4452
Poulson v. Chowdhry	2 W. R., 21	3337
_____ v. Duun	18 W. R., 11	5450
_____ v. Madhusudan Pal Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 101: 2 W. } R., Act X, 21. }	545, 3129
Powell. In the goods of	6 N. W., 54	6063
_____ v. Wahid Khan	1 N. W., 133: Ed. 1873, 217	1590
Poynor Bibee v. Nujjoo Khan	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 437: 5 C. } L. R., 524. }	4530
Prabhacara Row v. Potannah	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 1	3499, 3528
Prabhakarbhat v. Vishwambhar	1 L. R., 8 Bom., 313	4425, 5873
Prabhakar Chintaman Dikshit v. Pandurang Vinayak } Dikshit. }	12 Bom., 88	4023
Prabhulanadha Pillay v. Ponnuswamy Chetty	6 Mad., Ap., 1	2706
Prabhuram Hazra v. Robinson	3 B. L. R., Ap., 49: 11 W. } R., 398. }	2753, 4853 5801, 6150
Prag Chaubey v. Bhajan Chaudhri	1 L. R., 4 All., 291	3239
Prag Das v. Hari Kishen	1 L. R., 1 All., 503	2514
Pragdutt Raoot v. Luchmun Pershad	3 N. W., 111	36
Pragi Lal v. Futeh Chaud	1 L. R., 5 All., 207	403
_____ v. Maxwell	1 L. R., 7 All., 284	3228, 3289 3315, 5609
Pragji Rudarji v. Endarji Bhimbhai	9 Bom., 257	4879
Prahlad Maharudra v. Watt	10 Bom., 346	3286, 5255
Prahlad Misser v. Udit Narain Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 197: 10 W. } R., 291. }	4820

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prahlad Sen v. Budhu Sing	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I. A., 275. }	1030, 6117
_____ v. Durgaprasad Tewari	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I. A., 286. }	1030, 4172 6283
_____ v. Gopee Bebee	{ 4 N. W., 40. }	2752, 2753
_____ v. Rajendra Kishore Singh	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I. A., 292. }	66, 280 1030, 4455
_____ v. Run Bahadur Singh	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 Moore's I. A., 289: 12 W. R., P. C., 6. }	142, 1030 3430
Praim Sookh v. Abbas Aly	{ 2 Agra, Rev., 4 }	2917
Pramada Dasi v. Lakhi Narain Mitter	{ 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 60 }	4882
Pramatan Tupen Nambudripad v. Madatil Ramen	{ 1 Mad., 296 }	3763
Pramatha Bhushana Deb Roy v. Doorga Churn Bhat-tacharji.	{ 1 L. R., 11 Calc., 413 }	4484
Pran Bandhu Chatterjee v. Madusudan Patra	{ 13 B. L. R., Ap., 12 }	1433
Pran Bandhu Sirkar v. Sarbasundari Debi	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 52, note: 10 W. R., 434. }	5411
Pran Chunder Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee	{ 11 W. R., 417 }	752
Prangour Mozoomdar v. Himanta Kumari Debye	{ 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 597 }	5321, 5482
Pran Huree Doss v. Parbutty Churn Mojomdar	{ 13 W. R., 227 }	1640
Pranjivandas Harjivandas v. Mayaram Samaldas	{ 1 Bom., 148 }	2616, 4565
Pranjivandas Tulsidas v. Devkuvarbhai	{ 1 Bom., 130 }	2305
Pranjivan Dayaram v. Bai Reva	{ 1 L. R., 5 Bom., 482 }	1344
Pranjivan Gavan v. Jai Slankar Bhagvan	{ 4 Bom., A. C., 46 }	605
Pranjivan Govardhondas v. Baji	{ 1 L. R., 4 Bom., 34 }	3552, 3943
Pran Khan. In the matter of the petition of	{ 17 W. R., 238 }	678, 679
Prankishen Banerjee v. Juggobundoo Dutt	{ 7 W. R., 207 }	4129
Pran Kishen Bhuttacharjee v. Bukshee Cazee	{ 10 W. R., 26 }	5133
Prankishen Deb v. Lokenath Singh Mojomdar	{ 10 W. R., 445 }	4137
Prankishen Paul Chowdhry v. Mothoora Mohun Paul Chowdhry.	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 73: 5 W. R., P. C., 11: 10 Moore's I. A., 403. }	2359
Prankishen Surma v. Ramrooder Surma	{ Marsh., 214 }	5267
Prankishore Deb v. Kishore Chunder Chowdhry	{ 16 W. R., 267 }	3537
_____ v. Mahomed Ameer	{ 21 W. R., 338 }	248, 316
Prankishore v. Chundee Churn Biswas	{ 19 W. R., 429 }	4188
Pran Kishore Gossami v. Dinobundhoo Chatterjee	{ 9 W. R., 291 }	1163
Prankissen Bagchee v. Monmohinee Dassee	{ 17 W. R., 33 }	1623
Prankissen Laha v. Noyanmoncy Dassee	{ 1 L. R., 5 Calc., 222 }	2492
Prankisto Banerjee v. Nuzimooddeen	{ 9 W. R., 397 }	3480
Prankisto Biswas v. Nobodip Chunder Biswas	{ 1 L. R., 8 Calc., 868 }	665
Prankisto Chunder v. Bamasoondery Dossee	{ 9 W. R., P. C., 1 }	2551
Prankisto Chunder v. Pissonath Chunder	{ 15 W. R., Cr., 6 }	1326
Prankrishna Banerjee v. Nadiar Chand Chatterjee	{ 4 B. L. R., A. C., 35, note: 10 W. R., 115. }	5740
Prankrishna Chandra. In the matter of the petition of	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 80 }	1326
_____ v. Biswanath Chandra	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 101 }	910
Prankrishna Dey v. Biswambhar Sein	{ 2 B. L. R., A. C., 207: 11 W. R., 81. }	4256, 6031
Pran Krishna Surma. In the matter of the petition of	{ 1 L. R., 8 Calc., 969: 11 C. L. R., 6. }	1339, 2938
Prankristo Deo v. Kalee Doss Deo	{ 7 W. R., 460 }	5784
Prankristo Mozoomdar v. Bhageerutee Gooptia	{ 20 W. R., 158 }	2343

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prankristo Roy v. Collector of Moorshedabad . . .	15 W. R., 205 . . .	4343
— v. Huro Chunder Roy . . .	10 W. R., 435 . . .	2617
Prankumari Dasi v. Abinash Chandra Mookerjee . . .	9 B. L. R., 210 . . .	1214
Prannath Bhadoory v. Sreekant Lahoori . . .	2 C. L. R., 257 . . .	313, 5143
Prannath Chowdhry v. Ganendro Mohun Tagore . . .	3 W. R., 108 . . .	3089
— v. Kashinath Roy Chowdhry . . .	W. R., 1864, 169 . . .	2342
— v. Mirnomoyee Chowdhry . . .	W. R., F. B., 39 . . .	1757
— v. Ramrutton Roy . . .	4 W. R., P. C., 37 . . .	3983
— v. Surnomoyee Dossee . . .	9 Moore's I. A., 431 . . .	3072
Prannath Mitter v. Mothoornauth Chuckerbutty . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 65 . . .	1926
— v. Sumbhoo Chunder Nath . . .	7 W. R., 430 . . .	441
Prannath Roy v. Troyluckonauth Roy . . .	14 W. R., 284 . . .	3260
Pran Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Kasheenath Chowdhry . . .	2 W. R., Mis., 26 . . .	179
— v. Mohehooden Ahmed . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 39 . . .	4149
— v. Preonath Roy Chowdhry . . .	8 W. R., 398 . . .	4949
— v. Rookea Begum . . .	7 Moore's I. A., 323 . . .	3983
— v. Surnomoyee . . .	7 Moore's I. A., 553 . . .	4634
Prannath Sandyal v. Ram Coomar Sandyal . . .	2 C. L. R., 33 . . .	5060, 5769
Prannath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 69 . . .	3866, 5911
— v. Madhu Khulu . . .	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 96 . . .	3033
Pran Nath Surma Jowardar v. Surut Chunder Bhutta-charjee. . .	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 460: 10 C. } L. R., 484. }	2286
Pranputtee Kooer v. Lalla Futteh Bahadur Singh . . .	2 Hay, 608 . . .	1414
Pranshankar v. Prannath Mahanand . . .	1 Bom., 12 . . .	5262
Prasanna Chandra Bose v. Prasanna Chandra Roy . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W. } R., 343. }	2811
Prasanna Kumar Sandyal v. Mathuranath Bauerjee . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 15 W. } R., 487. }	1409
Prasannamayi Dasi v. Kadambini Dasi . . .	3 B. L. R., O. C., 85 . . .	2541, 2822
Prasannamayi Debi v. Chandranath Chowdhry . . .	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5: 10 W. } R., 361. }	3801
Prasidha Narayan Koer v. Man Koch . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 330: 11 C. } L. R., 554. }	5177, 5183
Pratab Daji v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company. . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 25 . . .	4703
Pratabnarayan Das v. Court of Wards . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 21: 11 W. } R., 343. }	2154
Pratap Chandra Binwa v. Swarnamayi . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 81: 12 W. } R. 5. }	3334, 3336
Pratab Chandra Burua v. — . . .	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113: 13 W. } R., F. B., 15. }	3825, 4873
Pratap Chandra Singh v. Mollwo, March, & Co. . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 238 . . .	4319
Pratapnarayan Mookerjee v. Madhu Sudan Mookerjee . . .	8 B. L. R., 197: 16 W. R., } 35. }	5109, 5632
Pratapray Gujar v. Bayaji Namaji . . .	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 141 . . .	1584
Prayag Singh. In the matter of the petition of . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 103 . . .	4096
— v. Fuzool Hossein . . .	6 C. L. R., 206 . . .	4501
Preeonath Chowdhry v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry . . .	8 W. R., 100 . . .	3535
Premabhai Hemabhai v. Brown . . .	10 Bom., 319 . . .	4593
Premamund Ghose v. Shoorendronath Roy . . .	20 W. R., 329 . . .	5191
Premamund Gossamee v. Ram Churn Deb . . .	20 W. R., 482 . . .	4867
Prem Chand Barik v. Brojonath Koondoo Chowdhry . . .	10 W. R., 205 . . .	4152
Premchand Burrall v. Collector of Calcutta . . .	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 103 . . .	2949
Prem Chand Kybutta v. Huree Doss Kybutta . . .	22 W. R., 259 . . .	3403
Prem Chand Laha v. Addoito Doss . . .	20 W. R., 445 . . .	6280
Premchand Peparah v. Hulaschand Peparah . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 12 W. } R., 494. }	2419

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prem Chund Dan v. Darimba Debia	15 W. R., 238	2355
Premji Ludha v. Dossa Doongersey	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 358	3223, 4295
Premji Trikamdas v. Madhowji Munji	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 447	4601, 5530
Prem Lall Chowdhry v. Brown	6 W. R., Act X, 92	1591
Prem Lall Gossamee v. Hosseenuodeen	13 W. R., 36	1920
Prem Moyee Bewah v. Nobin Chunder Chowdhry	4 W. R., Mis., 8	6041
Prem Narain Singh v. Parasram Singh	L. R., 4 I. A., 101	1080
v. Rooder Narain Singh		
Premoo Beebee v. Dassoo Debia	10 W. R., 93	809
Prem Sahoo v. Nyamat Ali	6 W. R., Act X, 89	1614
Premshankar Raghunathji v. Government of Bombay	8 Bom., A. C., 195	2051, 5594
Prem Shewuk Doss v. Ishree Pershad	2 W. R., 303	4209
Prem Shook v. Bheekoo	3 Agra, 212: Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 149. }	2811
Prem Sook v. Pirthee Ram	2 Agra, 222	4438
Premsookh Chunder v. Rajkisto Mitter	1 Hyde, 145	770, 4676
Prem Soonduree Dossia v. Grish Chunder Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 194	6155
Prem Sukh Das v. Bhupia	1. L. R., 2 All., 517	2992
Preo Lall Gossain v. Gyan Turunginee Dossia	13 W. R., 161	5339
Preo Madhub Sircar v. Bissumbhur Sircar	15 W. R., 514	1911, 3519
Preonath Chowdhry v. Beepin Beharee Chuckerbutty	11 W. R., 120	4272, 4938
v. Ramdhun	11 W. R., 104	177, 1195
Preonath Sircar. In the matter of the petition of President of the Municipal Commission, Guntur, v. Sri- kakulapu Padmarazu.	13 W. R., 325	672
} I. L. R., 3 Mad., 124		3355
Prestomjee Dhunjeebhoy v. Gregory	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 412	2069
Priag Lall v. Brockman	13 W. R., 346	1646
Price v. Khilat Chandra Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 13 W. } R., 461. }	506, 4976
Pringle v. Jafar Khan	1. L. R., 5 All., 443	1070
Prithee Bullubh Pal Sree Chundum Mari Sultan v. } Haradhun Shome.	22 W. R., 331	912
Prithee Ram Chowdhry v. Chidam Chundee Shaha	8 W. R., 8	4152
Prithee Singh v. Court of Wards	23 W. R., 272	1843, 2280
Pritun Koormee v. Edil Singh	18 W. R., 464	2295
Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty	2 B. L. R., A. C., 237: 11 W. } R., 120. }	417
v. Prasanna Chandra Roy Chow- } dhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 249	4272, 4938
} 177, 1195		
Probhoo Doss v. Sheo Nath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 27	138
Proby v. Bell	20 W. R., 6	4421
v. Proby	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 357: 5 C. } L. R., 1. }	1553
Proceeds of the "Asia." In re	5 Bom., O. C., 64	637
Prodhan Gopaul Singh v. Bhoop Roy Ojha	9 W. R., 570	3190
Prodhan Ram v. Jeria Kooer	17 W. R., 189	675
Prohibitory notice under Bombay Regulation XII of } 1827. In the matter of.	8 Bom., Cr., 23	4094
Prohlad Tewar Manjee v. Debnarain Ghose	18 W. R., 247	1354
Prokash Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition } of.	12 W. R., Cr., 64	1047
Prokash Chunder Dass v. Tarachand Dass	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 82: 12 C. } L. R., 1. }	4774
Prokash Chunder Lahory v. Poorno Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 410	3541
Prokas Singh v. Jogeswar Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 12	3721, 3724

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Promotho Dossee <i>v.</i> Radhika Pershad Dutt . . .	14 B. L. R., 175 . . .	2239
Promotho Nath Banerjee <i>v.</i> Jogendro Nath Roy . . .	12 C. L. R., 15 . . .	2987
Promotho Nath Ghose <i>v.</i> Jodoo Nath Sen . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 293 . . .	1405
Promothonath Roy <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Panerjee . . .	11 W. R., 543 . . .	1433
_____ <i>v.</i> Tripoora Soonduree Dabee . . .	10 W. R., 463 . . .	3338
_____ <i>v.</i> Watson & Co. . . .	24 W. R., 303 . . .	3181
Pronnoda Debia <i>v.</i> Prankishen Singh . . .	2 W. R., 80 . . .	296, 1783
Prosad Doss Dutt <i>v.</i> Ramdhone Mullick . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 255 . . .	3986
Prosad Doss Mullick <i>v.</i> Kedar Nath Mullick . . .	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 157 : 8 C. L. R., 329. }	497, 1132
_____ <i>v.</i> Russick Lall Mullick . . .	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 157 : 8 C. L. R., 329. }	497, 1132
Prosonna Nath Roy Chowdry <i>v.</i> Afzolonnessa Begum }	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 523 : 3 C. L. R., 391. }	3163
Prosonno Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Land Mortgage Bank of India. }	25 W. R., 453 . . .	1749
Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdry <i>v.</i> Koylash Chunder Pal Chowdhry. }	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 759 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327 : 8 W. R., 428. }	4745, 4939 5058
Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. }	11 B. L. R., Ap., 31, note : 13 W. R., 390. }	545, 3184 4939
Prosonno Narain Deb <i>v.</i> Romonee Dossee . . .	10 W. R., 236 . . .	6272
Prosonomoyi Devi <i>v.</i> Beni Madhab Rai . . .	1. L. R., 5 All., 556 . . .	4713
Prosunno Chunder Banerjee <i>v.</i> Gourree Dass Bhuttacharjee. }	7 W. R., 478 . . .	4411
_____ <i>v.</i> Kally Persaud Ghose . . .	W. R., 1864, 134 . . .	4683
Prosunno Chunder Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Kristo Chytunno Pal. }	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 342 : 3 C. L. R., 154. }	4943
Prosunno Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Mookoond Pershad Roy Sreemanee . . .	11 W. R., 210 . . .	3511
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry. In re . . .	7 W. R., 422 . . .	5661
_____ <i>v.</i> Gyan Chunder Bose. }	11 B. L. R., 372, note . . .	5863
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nubokristo Chatterjee. }	13 W. R., 354 . . .	3361
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Nubokristo Chatterjee. }	18 W. R., 434 . . .	5863
Prosunno Coomar Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Empress . . .	8 C. L. R., 231 . . .	4102, 4360
_____ <i>v.</i> Jagun Nath Baisak . . .	10 C. L. R., 25 . . .	3004
Prosunno Coomar Dutt <i>v.</i> Chytunno Churn Bidyalunkar. }	25 W. R., 74 . . .	5777
Prosunno Coomaree Debea <i>v.</i> Rutton Bepary . . .	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 696 : 1 C. L. R., 577. }	3004, 3046
Prosunno Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of. }	19 W. R., Cr., 56 . . .	5157
_____ <i>v.</i> Sham Lal Gungopadhya . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 8 . . .	5620
_____ <i>v.</i> Tarrucknath Sircar . . .	10 B. L. R., 267 . . .	2533
Prosunno Coomar Ghuttuck <i>v.</i> Wooma Churn Mookerjee. }	20 W. R., 274 . . .	2099
Prosunno Coomar Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Buldeo Narain Singh . . .	18 W. R., 62 . . .	136
_____ <i>v.</i> Shama Churn Mookerjee. }	17 W. R., 451 . . .	3369
Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Radha Nath Dey Chowdhry. }	7 W. R., 97 . . .	1659
Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Chatterjee. }	18 W. R., 8 . . .	549
Prosunno Coomar Roy <i>v.</i> Haran Chunder Chatterjee . . .	5 C. L. R., 599 . . .	4000
Prosunno Coomar Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Gooroochurn Sein . . .	3 W. R., 159 . . .	4246

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prosunno Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Kashee Kant Bhuttacharjee.	5 W. R., Mis., 31 . . .	3204
Prosunno Coomar Shaha v. Gooroo Pershad Roy .	1 W. R., 25 . . .	777
Prosunno Coomar Sircar v. Ram Coomar Parooey .	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 53 . . .	1994
Prosunno Kumari Debya v. Golab Chand Baboo .	14 B. L. R., 450: 23 W. R., } 253: L. R., 2 I. A., 145. }	2253
Prosunno Moyee Dossee v. Bhubo Tarinee Dossee .	10 W. R., 494 . . .	565, 4266
_____ v. Doya Moyee Dossee .	22 W. R., 275 . . .	3342
_____ v. Koonjo Beharee Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, 157 . . .	2252
_____ v. Wooma Moyee Dossee .	14 W. R., 409 . . .	2603
Prosunnomoyi Dasi v. Kalidas Roy .	9 C. L. R., 347 . . .	2984, 4473
Prosunnonath Dutt v. Judoonath Paul .	9 W. R., 589 . . .	5127
Prosunnonath Lahiree v. Tripoora Soonduree Dabee .	24 W. R., 88 . . .	1771, 5836
Prosunno Nath Roy v. Jogut Chunder Pundit .	3 C. L. R., 159 . . .	3813
Prosunno Pandey v. Gungaram .	W. R., 1864, 2 . . .	3127
Prosuno Moyee Dossee v. Soondur Coomaree Debia .	2 W. R., Act X, 30 . . .	7, 529, 530
Protap Chunder Burooah v. Kantaeswurree Dabee .	2 W. R., 250 . . .	3235
Protap Chunder Burooah v. Surnomoyee .	19 W. R., 361 . . .	1755
Protap Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojolal Shaha .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 638: 7 } W. R., 253. }	3403, 4959
Protap Chunder Dutt v. Koorbanissa Bibee .	14 W. R., 130 . . .	879
Protap Chunder Mukerjee v. Empress .	11 C. L. R., 25 . . .	263
Protap Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Joymonee Dabee Chowdhra.	1 W. R., 98 . . .	2489
Protap Chunder Banerjee v. Krishto Kishore Shaha .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 885 . . .	4407
Protap Chunder Borooh v. Collector of Gawalpara .	22 W. R., 216 . . .	327, 6094
_____ v. Surno Moyee .	14 W. R., 151 . . .	2699
Protap Chunder Chowdhry v. Shukhee Soondaree Dassee .	2 C. L. R., 569 . . .	3374
Protap Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Panioty .	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 506: 12 C. } L. R., 488. }	5440
Protap Chunder Dass v. Arathoon .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 455: 10 C. } L. R., 413. }	1114, 1717
_____ v. Gour Chunder Roy .	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 132: 2 C. L. } R., 455. }	4619
Protap Chunder Doss v. Peary Chowdhra .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 174: 9 C. } L. R., 453. }	1910, 5793
Protap Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition of.	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 848: 12 C. } L. R., 407. }	3808
Protap Chunder Roodro v. Huro Monee Dossia .	24 W. R., 188 . . .	374
Protap Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Jogendro Chunder Ghose.	4 C. L. R., 168 . . .	4267
Protap Chunder Singh v. Chunder Coomar Roy .	W. R., 1864, 76 . . .	5797
_____ v. Gooroodoss Roy .	W. R., 1864, 76 . . .	5797
Protap Narain Doss v. Poorno Mashee Daye .	14 W. R., 415 . . .	678
Protap Narain Mookerjee v. Kartick Chunder Mookerjee .	10 W. R., 192 . . .	4184
Protap Narain Singh v. Monohur Doss .	W. R., 1864, 96 . . .	2187
Protima Aurat v. Dukhia Sirkar .	9 B. L. R., Ap., 38: 18 W. } R., 450. }	1094
Protona Kolita v. Mottea Kolita .	11 W. R., 331 . . .	4764
Provabutty Dabee v. Mohendro Lall Bose .	1 L. R., 7 Calc., 453 . . .	4568
Puchai Khan v. Abed Sirdar .	21 W. R., 140 . . .	4146
Puchooa v. Mahomed Tala Assudoolah .	2 Agra, 217 . . .	537, 1345
Pudar Bindoo Mahantee v. Mohesh Chunder Sen .	20 W. R., 183 . . .	4455
Puddo Koomaree Debee v. Juggut Kishore Acharjee .	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 615 . . .	2140
Puddolabh Deo v. Obhoyram Singh .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 30 . . .	535
Puddolabh Roy v. Ram Gopal Chatterjee .	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 133: 11 C. } L. R., 333. }	112

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Puddo Lochun v. Sirdar Khan	12 W. R., 23	773
Puddolochun Bhadoori v. Chunder Nath Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171: 5 } W. R., Act X, 51. }	1663
Peddomokey Dossee v. Shama Churn Chuckerbutty	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	4407
Puddomonee Dassee v. Juggodumba Dassee	25 W. R., Cr., 2	4484, 4489
Puddomonee Dossee v. Bancekant Ghose	9 W. R., 344	1176
_____ v. Bisseshur Dutt Chowdhry	5 W. R., 34	1747
_____ v. Dwarkanath Biswas	25 W. R., 335	1503, 1711 2056, 2190 4311
_____ v. Roy Muthooranath Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 411: 20 W. R., } 133. }	438
Puddomonee Dossia v. Jholla Pally	7 W. R., 283	4172
_____ v. Puromanund Sein	7 W. R., 158	1598
Puddum Mookhee Dossee v. Rayec Monee Dossee	12 W. R., 409	2415, 2466
Pudishary Krishnen v. Karampally Kunhunni Kurup	7 Mad., 378	1100, 1560
Pudiyakovilagalla v. Allunannalutta Kadinni	1 Mad., 146	3442
Pudiyaporayil Mamy v. Madakarath Aruman Kutti	3 Mad., 199	4757
Pudiyar Vasudavan Nambudripad v. Keyaka Kovilagaatha Valia Rany.	4 Mad., 231	779
Pugardin Ravutan v. Moidinsa Ravutan	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 414	172, 377 5953
Puhlwan Thakoor v. Godoorce Koonwar	W. R., F. B., 142	1585
Puhooy Singh v. Khooman	3 Agra, 313	2321
Puju bin Kadan v. Malhari bin Rama	1 Bom., 171	5631
Pulash Monee Dossee v. Anund Moyec Dossee	8 W. R., 398	672
Pulin Behari Sen v. Watson	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904: 9 } W. R., 190. }	138, 4148 4401
Pulisauki Reddi v. Queen	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 20	1267
Pullen Chetty v. Ramalinga Chetty	5 Mad., 368	1393
Pultoo Roy v. Greedharee Singh	W. R., F. B., 12: 1 Ind. } Jur., O. S., 5. }	67
Pulton Singh v. Reshal Singh	1 W. R., 7	3079, 3982
Puna Bibee v. Khoda Buksh Beparee	22 W. R., 396	4915
Puna Karuppana Pillai v. Virabadra Pillai	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 277	5668
Punchanada Chetti v. Raman Chetti	1 Mad., 446	3481
Punchanun Bose. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr., 41	4103
Punchanun Bose v. Oomanath Roy Chowdry	14 W. R., 160	292
Punchanun Bose v. Peary Mohun Deb	2 W. R., 225	1599, 1589
Punchanund Dass Chowdhry v. Taramoni Chowdrain	1 L. R., 12 Cal., 64	5852
Punchanund Ojhab v. Lalshan Misser	3 W. R., 140	2492
Punchanun Ghose v. Brojendronarain Deb	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 38	1201
Punchanun Ghose v. Daly	15 B. L. R., 331	4618
Punchanun Mullick v. Heera Lal Seal	1 W. R., 173	34
Punchanun Muzoomdar v. Doorga Nath Roy	W. R., 1864, 300	696
Punchanun Roy v. Modoosoodun Roy	W. R., 1864, 245	4980
_____ v. Troyluckhomohinee Dossee	14 W. R., 466	6101
Punchanun Sircar v. Gunesh Mundul	8 W. R., 214	5827, 5830
Panchoomoney Dossee v. Troylucko Mohiney Dossee	1 L. R., 10 Cal., 342	2540
Punchum Singh v. Hooruutoonnissa	5 N. W., 64	5637
_____ v. Mungle Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 207	4011, 4257 4596
Punjab v. Petum Singh	6 N. W., 192	1145
Punja Kuvarji v. Bai Kuvar	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 20	4575
Panju v. Oodoy	18 W. R., 337	1386, 4872 5663
Punjum Singh v. Ameena Khatoom	6 W. R., 6	3977, 4014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Punna v. Juggur Nath	1 Agra, 236	3706
Punnett v. Vivayak Pandurang	9 Bom., 27	2626
Punnoo Singh v. Nirghin Singh	{ 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 298: 8 C. L. R., 310.	1601, 6115
Punnun Singh v. Meher Ali	W. R., 1864, 365	1380
Puran Chunder Chatterjee v. Greesh Chunder Chatterjee	9 W. R., 450	5766
Puran Chunder Mookerjee v. Protap Narain Paul	19 W. R., 120	4457
Puran Chunder Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee	17 W. R., 298	3822
Puran Dai v. Jai Narain	1. L. R., 4 All., 482	2198
Puran Mal v. Ali Khan	1. L. R., 1 All., 235	487
_____ v. Padma	1. L. R., 2 All., 732	2060, 2872
Puran Mudduck v. Ooday Chand Mullick	3 W. R., 29	1360, 4565
Puran Santra v. Tajooddeen	5 W. R., Act X, 20	4925
Puran Telee v. Bhutto Dome	9 W. R., Cr., 5	3629
Porapparanalingam Chetti v. Nullasivan Chetti	1 Mad., 415	1449, 2233
Purasara Bhattar v. Ranga Bhattar	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 202	1406
Purbhoo Doss v. Goma Bhujun Singh	5 W. R., Mis., 4	447
Purbhoo Narain Singh v. Lelanund Singh	2 W. R., 256	3121
Purbhoo Tewaree v. Ranjeawun Patuck	1 N. W., 65: Ed. 1873, 119	4868, 5062
Purbhudas Velji v. Chugun Raichand	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 196	2646
Purbhoodeen Mullick v. Molaem Bibco	14 W. R., 149	2746
Purdimun Kishen v. Roushun Singh	1 Agra, 111	3253
Puredag Singh v. Purtab Narayan Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 11 W. R., 253.	5316
Pureeng Lall v. Ram Jewan Lal	1 W. R., 264	4169
Pureeag Singh v. Jogessur Suhaye	8 W. R., 111	1355
_____ v. Kheer Singh	8 W. R., 280	5796
_____ v. Shib Ram Chunder Mundul	3 W. R., 165	66
Pureejan Khatoon v. Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 96	1423, 3351
		3803
	9 W. R., 380	1432, 1724
Puree Soonduree Dabee v. Chunder Shekhar Ghossal	15 W. R., 252	4914
Puree Soonduree Debia v. Bholanath Roodro	8 W. R., 159	4618
Puresh Narain Roy v. Watson	23 W. R., 229	556
Puresh Nath Ghose Mundul v. Krishto Lall Dutt	23 W. R., 50	242
Purgas Rai v. Juggun Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 201	571
Puriag Bhuggut v. Donzelle	14 W. R., 21	6130
Puriag Dutt v. Brojo Koonwar	9 W. R., 503	1234
Puriket Saho v. Radhakishen Sahoo	3 W. R., 221	323
Puri Sundari Debi v. Drobomayi Debi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 10	2020
Purmanundas v. Cormack	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 326	4618
Purmanandas Jeewandas. In re	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 109	942
Purmanand Das Jiwandas v. Dharsey Virji	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 101	3073
_____ v. Jannabai	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 49	4786, 4791
Purmanund v. Orumbah Koer	W. R., 1864, 143	3432, 3910
Purmanund Sein v. Puddo Monee Dossee	9 W. R., 349	2188
Purnaya v. Sonde Shiniwasapa	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 459	1643
Purmeshur Rai v. Hidayutoollah	1 N. W., 60: Ed. 1873, 114	4804
Purmessuree Dossee v. Nobin Chunder Tarun	24 W. R., 305	442
Purmessuree Dutt Jha v. Joynauth Thakoor	15 W. R., 326	5425
Purmessuree Narain Singh v. Romeezooddeen Ahmed	5 W. R., 226	1454
Purmessuree Pershad Narain Singh v. Jankee Koer	19 W. R., 90	5116, 5123
		339
Purmessur Ojha v. Goolbee	11 W. R., 446	2088, 2089
		3746
Purmessur Singh v. Soroop Audhi Karee	13 W. R., Cr., 40	5155, 6265

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Purna Chandra Ganguli <i>v.</i> Madan Mohan Mazumdar	{ 6 B. L. R., 617, note : 13 W. } R., 67.	2885
Purna Chandra Mookorjee <i>v.</i> Sarada Charan Roy	{ 3 B. L. R., Ap., 21 : 11 W. } R., 241.	1879, 1927
Purna Chandra Roy <i>v.</i> Abhaya Chandra Roy	4 B. L. R., Ap., 40	403, 473
Purnamal Deka Kohta <i>v.</i> Mayaram Deka Kohta	10 C. L. R., 201	2853
Purnamasi Dayi. In re	8 B. L. R., 395, note	2447
Purna Narain Audhikar <i>v.</i> Hemokant Audhikar	6 C. L. R., 46	3348
Purnanund Asrum <i>v.</i> Rookinee Gooptani	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 793	1607
Purnima Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Nittanand Shah	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 3 : W. } R., F. B., 82.	27
Purno Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Sadut Ali	2 C. L. R., 31	1592
Puroma Soonduree Dossee <i>v.</i> Prollad Chunder Doss	12 W. R., 289	2996, 4786
<i>v.</i> Tara Soonduree Dossee	9 W. R., 343	94
Purran Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Mutty Lall Ghose Jahira	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 50 : 2 C. L. } R., 543.	552
Purrunjote <i>v.</i> Deon Panday	2 C. L. R., 352	6022
Purseedh Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Bissessur Dyal Singh	7 W. R., 148	4200
Pursewalkum Hindu Janobacara Nidhi <i>v.</i> Narayana Acharry.	8 Mad., 198	921
Purshadee Lall <i>v.</i> Umbika Pershad Lall	11 W. R., 290	5581
Purshotam Bapu <i>v.</i> Dattatraya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 669	3034
Purshotam Tribhovandass <i>v.</i> Mahanant Suraj-bharthi Haribharthi.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 588	5418
Purshotam Lalbhai <i>v.</i> Bhavanji Partab	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 360	1517
Purshotam Mancharam <i>v.</i> Abdul Lalif	6 Bom., O. C., 67	3206
Purshotam Mansukh <i>v.</i> Ramchhod Purshotam	8 Bom., A. C., 152	663, 4948
Purshotam Shama Shenvi <i>v.</i> Vasudev Krishna Shenvi	8 Bom., O. C., 196	2139, 2528
Purshotam Sidheshvar <i>v.</i> Dhondu Amrit	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 582	5892
Purshottam Talvar <i>v.</i> Mudkangagavda Shidanyavda	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 420	2098
Purshottam Vithal <i>v.</i> Purshottam Iswar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 532	5374, 5451
Pursidh Narain Rai <i>v.</i> Mahomed Shookool Huq	1 N. W., 38 : Ed. 1873, 35	4753
Pursid Narain Sing <i>v.</i> Honooman Sahay	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 845 : 5 C. L. } R., 576.	2161, 2471
Purson Chund Golacha <i>v.</i> Kajooram	{ 10 B. L. R., 355 : 19 W. R., } 203.	5719
Pursoo Ram <i>v.</i> Byjnath Lall	10 W. R., 475	3106
Pursooram Barooa. In the matter of.	{ I. L. R., 2 Calc., 117 : 25 W. } R., Cr., 52.	3608
Pursotum Doss <i>v.</i> Oodey Narain Mull	1 Agra, Mis., 3	436
Pursun Gopal Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Poornanund Mullick	21 W. R., 272	4892, 4977
Pursun Lal <i>v.</i> Government	W. R., 1864, 102	1672
Pursut Koer <i>v.</i> Palut Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 442	3400
Purtab Chunder Borooah <i>v.</i> Bhuggobutty Dabea	Marsh., 59 : 1 Hay, 131	1912
Purumsookh <i>v.</i> Soobhan	2 Agra, 323	4891
Purus Ram <i>v.</i> Deo Koer	4 N. W., 29	167
<i>v.</i> Juyntee Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 154.	762
Purvatha Vurdhay Nauchiar <i>v.</i> Jayareva Ramakomara ETTYAPA Naicker.	4 W. R., P. C., 31	4127, 4647
Pusai <i>v.</i> Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 12	805
Pushong <i>v.</i> Munia Halwani	{ 1 B. L. R., A. C., 95 : 10 W. } R., 128.	6128
Pusi <i>v.</i> Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 122	2200, 2213
Putali Meheti <i>v.</i> Tulja	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 223	3183, 4976
Putanvitil Teyan Nair <i>v.</i> Putanvitil Ragavan Nair	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 171	3762
Putbulwant Rao <i>v.</i> Futehhooddeen	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 143	5827
Puthuram Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Kuthe Narain Chowdhry	1 W. R., 124	34

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Putona Kolita v. Mutia Kolita	2 B. L. R., Ap., 46	4764
Putocaram v. Sham Lall Sahoo	7 W. R., 206	4557
Putsabee Kooer v. Sheopershad Ram opadhya	24 W. R., 61	5753
Puyikuth Ithayi Umah v. Kairhirapokil Mamod	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 248	3744
Pyari Chand Mitter. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 558	2660
— v. Frazer	6 B. L. R., Ap., 40	3350, 4681
Pyari Lal v. Rooke	3 B. L. R., A. C., 305 : 12 W. R., 199. }	2844
Pyari Lall. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 504	1836
Pyari Mohan Mookerjee v. Kina Bewa	2 B. L. R., A. C., 226	5831
Pyari Mohan Singh v. Gazi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 337 : 11 W. R., 270. }	1183, 1196
Pyaroo Tuhovildarinee v. Nazir Hossein	23 W. R., 183	3473
Pylwan Jurkan Sahib Vasthath v. Jenaka Raja Tevar	8 Mad., 87	3236
Q		
Quarme. In re	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 503	432
Quarry. In the matter of	1 L. R., 2 All., 511 : L. R., 7 I. A., 6. }	4635
Queen v. Abbas	2 Hyde, 247	5207
— v. Abbas Ali Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 74	4100
— v. Abdool	W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	6189
— v. —	10 W. R., 23	1260
— v. Abdool Azeez	7 W. R., Cr., 59	5553
— v. Abdool Azeez Khan	14 W. R., Cr., 36	963, 3631
— v. Abdool Huq	20 W. R., Cr., 57	4729
— v. Abdool Jaleel	W. R., 1864, Cr., 5	721, 4369
— v. Abdool Kurreem	14 W. R., Cr., 32	2923
— v. Abdoolah	2 N. W., 401	3615
— v. Abdul Ali	25 W. R., Cr., 45	2912
— v. Abdul Biswas	7 B. L. R., 8, note	975
— v. Abheon Parrida	20 W. R., Cr., 17	5910
— v. Abool Hossein	1 W. R., Cr., 48	5552
— v. Aboo Sheikh	23 W. R., Cr., 19	5912
— v. Acheet Lall	17 W. R., Cr., 46	1155
— v. Adapa Venkanna	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 327	3616
— v. Adhya Thakoor	17 W. R., Cr., 33	711
— v. Adjoodhya Pershad	2 N. W., 188	5821
— v. Affazuddeen	W. R., 1864, Cr., 13	6265
— v. Ahmed Ali	22 W. R., Cr., 42	1272
— v. Ahmed Ally	11 W. R., Cr., 25	1805, 1961
— v. Ahmedoollah	2 W. R., Cr., 60	6273
— v. Aidrus Sahib	1 Mad., 38	2906
— v. Aimona	1 W. R., Cr., 43	1963
— v. Akbar Kazee	1 W. R., Cr., 21	4350
— v. Akulputtee Gossain	5 W. R., Cr., 58	4710
— v. Ala Buksh	7 B. L. R., 482, note : 12 W. R., Cr., 24. }	2733
— v. Allah Buksh	6 B. L. R., Ap., 133 : 13 B. L. R., 310, note. }	4109
— v. Aman	5 N. W., 130	4332
— v. Amanoolah Mollah	6 W. R., Cr., 5	1333
		1804

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Amanulla . . .	12 B. L. R., Ap., 15 : 21 W. } R., Cr., 49. }	1290
— v. Amarut . . .	4 W. R., Cr., 20 . . .	6189
— v. Ambigara Hulagu . . .	1 L. R., 1 Mad., 163 . . .	1022
— v. Ameer . . .	2 N. W., 5 . . .	4449
— v. Ameer Ali Khan . . .	3 N. W., 133 . . .	1962
— v. Ameer Chand Nobatta . . .	13 W. R., Cr., 63 . . .	6251, 6262
— v. Ameer Khan . . .	7 B. L. R., 240 : 15 W. R., } Cr., 69. }	6028
v. ——— . . .	7 B. L. R., 244, note . . .	
v. ——— . . .	7 B. L. R., 250, note : 15 W. } R., Cr., 60. }	5947
v. ——— . . .	2 N. W., 113 . . .	6258
v. Ameerooddeen . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 307 . . .	714
v. Ameeruddeen . . .	12 W. R., Cr., 36 . . .	4100, 4361
v. Amgad Bugeah . . .	2 W. R., Cr., 61 . . .	2938
v. Amir Jan . . .	7 N. W., 302 . . .	4353
v. Amir Khan . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 87, note : 12 } W. R., Cr., 35. }	725
v. ——— . . .	9 B. L. R., 36 : 17 W. R., Cr., } 15. }	519, 1803
v. Amirtam . . .	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 335 . . .	2906
v. Amiruddin . . .	7 B. L. R., 63 : 15 W. R., Cr., } 25 }	5569
v. Amiruddin Fakeer . . .	21 W. R., Cr., 29 . . .	399, 1801
v. Anoo . . .	W. R., 1864, Cr., 16 . . .	6172
v. Anthony . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 280 . . .	6270
v. Anunto Chuckerbutty . . .	14 B. L. R., 295, note : 22 W. } R., Cr., 1. }	5564
v. Anunto Rurnagat . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 57 . . .	4112
v. Arayi . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 17 . . .	4115
v. Araz Sircar . . .	10 W. R., Cr., 29 . . .	4055
v. Arumnga Nadan . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 200, note . . .	4691
v. Arunja Bewa . . .	19 W. R., Cr., 32 . . .	3864
v. Ashruff Sheik . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 91 . . .	4353
v. Assanoollah . . .	13 W. R., Cr., 15 . . .	3862
v. Asanulla . . .	6 B. L. R., 693, note : 10 W. } R., Cr., 21. }	6249
v. Askur . . .	W. R., 1864, Cr., 12 . . .	5154, 6262
v. Assam Shureeff . . .	13 W. R., Cr., 75 . . .	4855
v. Assuf Ali Khan . . .	3 N. W., 126 . . .	17, 2937
v. Audhun Roy . . .	14 W. R., Cr., 24 . . .	5291
v. Azimooddeen . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 15 . . .	1671
v. Baboolun Hijrah . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 7 . . .	3614
v. Bachu . . .	7 N. W., 328 . . .	1967
v. Bachun Kadir . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 6 . . .	2007
v. Bahadur Singh . . .	4 N. W., 128 . . .	706, 1335
v. Baijoo Chowdhry . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 43 . . .	4724
v. Baijoo Koormee . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 76 . . .	490
v. Baijoo Lall . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 450 . . .	2441, 3739
v. Baikantha Nath Banerjee . . .	3 B. L. R., F. B., 2, note . . .	24, 1021
v. Bajo Huri . . .	19 W. R., Cr., 37 . . .	955
v. Bakhoree Chowbey . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 98 . . .	1314
v. Baktear Maifaraz . . .	6 B. L. R., 698 : 15 W. R., } Cr., 64. }	23
v. Bakur Khan . . .	5 N. W., 213 . . .	5883

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen <i>v.</i> Balkishen	2 N. W., 447	959
— <i>v.</i> ———	3 N. W., 166: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 252. }	1102
— <i>v.</i> Ballabh Kant Bhattacharjee	7 B. L. R., 324, note: 11 W. R., Cr., 36. }	4493
— <i>v.</i> Banda Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 95: 15 W. R., Cr., 7. }	5564
— <i>v.</i> Banee Madhub Doss	23 W. R., Cr., 3	5913
— <i>v.</i> Banee Madhub Ghose	8 W. R., Cr., 1	1258
— <i>v.</i> Banessur Biswas	18 W. R., Cr., 46	2011
— <i>v.</i> Bani Doss	14 W. R., Cr., 2	5548
— <i>v.</i> Bani Madhub Banerjee	14 W. R., Cr., 23	503
— <i>v.</i> Basooram Dass	19 W. R., Cr., 36	6278
— <i>v.</i> Bassoo Rannah	2 W. R., Cr., 29	5555
— <i>v.</i> Bawool Manjee	8 W. R., Cr., 32	5996
— <i>v.</i> Bawul Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306	3614
— <i>v.</i> Bazu	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 750: 8 W. R., Cr., 47. }	713, 1267
— <i>v.</i> Bebheki Pathak	21 W. R., Cr., 12	489
— <i>v.</i> Bechoo Saout	19 W. R., Cr., 35	1331
— <i>v.</i> Beegun Mahtoon	12 W. R., Cr., 37	4368
— <i>v.</i> Begarayi Krishna Saranu	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 373	5882
— <i>v.</i> Begum	2 N. W., 349	4112
— <i>v.</i> Beharee Dosadh	7 W. R., Cr., 7	1788, 5152
— <i>v.</i> Behary Lall Bose	7 W. R., Cr., 44	25
— <i>v.</i> Behary Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 3	4451
— <i>v.</i> Belat Ali	10 B. L. R., 453: 19 W. R., Cr., 67. }	1022
— <i>v.</i> Belilios	20 W. R., Cr., 61	4628
— <i>v.</i> Beshor Bewa	18 W. R., Cr., 29	2582
— <i>v.</i> Bhadoo Poramanick	4 W. R., Cr., 23	2584
— <i>v.</i> Bhagubati Sathran	7 B. L. R., 9, note	975
— <i>v.</i> Bhagai Dafadar	2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W. R., Cr., 43. }	1040, 2896
— <i>v.</i> Bhagidhone Katchari	8 W. R., Cr., 53	2901
— <i>v.</i> Bhairo Misser	7 W. R., Cr., 51	709
— <i>v.</i> Bhakoas Tutum	7 W. R., Cr., 13	1962
— <i>v.</i> Bhamour Doosadh	7 W. R., Cr., 41	5573
— <i>v.</i> Bharut Chunder	1 W. R., Cr., 2	721, 5992
— <i>v.</i> Bheebeckee	4 N. W., 16	1009, 1800
— <i>v.</i> Bheekoo Kalwar	10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. R., Cr., 15. }	1855 2624
— <i>v.</i> Bheekun	8 W. R., Cr., 30	4533
— <i>v.</i> Bheekun Doss	7 W. R., Cr., 114	1791
— <i>v.</i> Bhekoo Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 108	721, 1796
— <i>v.</i> Bhikaree	4 N. W., 88	971
— <i>v.</i> ———	15 W. R., Cr., 63	1800
— <i>v.</i> Bhist bin Madanna	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 308	389
— <i>v.</i> Bhitto Kahar	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123	1953, 1982
— <i>v.</i> Bhoisan Mahatoon	W. R., 1864, Cr., 3	5605
— <i>v.</i> Bhol Nath Banerjee	7 W. R., Cr., 31	975
— <i>v.</i> Bholanath Mookerjee	7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28. }	6253
— <i>v.</i> Bholanath Sen	1. L. R., 2 Cal., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57. }	1262, 2766
— <i>v.</i> Bholay Pramanick	17 W. R., Cr., 32	2011

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Bhoobun Mohun	11 W. R., Cr., 30	5561
— v. Bhoobun Singh	9 W. R., Cr., 36	5598
— v. Bhoodeea	8 W. R., Cr., 3	5546
— v. Bhootoo Mullick	6 W. R., Cr., 85	5572
— v. Bhugner Putwa	11 W. R., Cr., 9	6267
— v. Bhugwan Ahir	8 W. R., Cr., 65	1955
— v. Bhugwan Chunder Koondoo	19 W. R., Cr., 4	5888
— v. Bhugwan Lall	15 W. R., Cr., 3	398
— v. Bhungee Aheer	2 W. R., Cr., 5	2938
— v. Bhutia	7 N. W., 295	4378
— v. Bhuttun Rujwan	12 W. R., Cr., 49	1008
— v. Bhyro Dayal Sing	{ 3 E. L. R., A. Cr., 4: 11 W. R., Cr., 46. }	1806, 4096
— v. Bhyrub Seal	{ W. R., 1864, Cr., 27 }	4489
— v. Bidu Noshyo	{ 13 B. L. R., 325, note: 11 W. R., Cr., 37: 12 W. R., Cr., 11. }	5552
— v. Bidur Ghose	{ 7 B. L. R., 9, note: 12 W. R., Cr., 27. }	1977
— v. Bilash Mosulmany	{ 14 W. R., Cr., 46 }	976
— v. Bindu	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 60 }	4533
— v. Binod	{ 24 W. R., Cr., 66 }	4749
— v. Bipro Dass	{ 19 W. R., Cr., 43 }	6069
— v. Bipro Doss	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 45 }	5599
— v. Bishendharee Kahar	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 100 }	1532, 2791
— v. Bisheshur Pershad	{ 2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 236. }	4060
— v. Bishnooram Surma	{ 1 W. R., Cr., 9 }	20, 6184
— v. Bishonath Bunneea	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 53 }	2580, 2582
— v. Bishonath Pal	{ 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 20: 12 W. R., Cr., 3. }	4060
— v. Bishoo Manjee	{ 9 W. R., Cr., 16 }	1790
— v. Bissen Nath	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 31 }	1807, 5882
— v. Bissessur Roy	{ 11 W. R., Cr., 51 }	1805
— v. Bissessur Sein	{ 9 W. R., Cr., 62 }	1260
— v. Bissonath Mitter	{ 6 W. R., Cr., 6 }	1988
— v. Bissorunjun Mookerjee	{ 6 W. R., Cr., 75 }	5578
— v. Bistoo Churn Chuckerbutty	{ 10 W. R., Cr., 27 }	1796
— v. Biswambhar Das	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 122: 15 W. R., Cr., 49. }	4108
— v. Bocha Chowkeedar	{ 22 W. R., Cr., 33 }	1789
— v. Bodhee Khan	{ 5 W. R., Cr., 79 }	6263
— v. Boidnath Singh	{ 3 W. R., Cr., 29 }	2733
— v. Boidonath	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 48 }	2766, 5996
— v. Bojjigan	{ I. L. R., 5 Mad., 22 }	5496
— v. Bokoo Sheikh	{ W. R., 1864, Cr., 21 }	1669
— v. Bolaki Jolahad	{ 1 B. L. R., S. N., 8: 10 W. R., Cr., 9. }	5291
— v. Bolaki Lall	{ 19 W. R., Cr., 7 }	4625
— v. Bommaya	{ I. L. R., 5 Mad., 26 }	4447
— v. Bonomally Ghose	{ W. R., 1864, Cr., 8 }	391
— v. Bonomally Sohail	{ 5 L. R., Cr., 32 }	1349
— v. Boodhoo	{ 8 W. R., 38 }	1954
— v. Boodhooa	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 869. 9 W. R., Cr., 6. }	1003, 1332
— v. Boodhun Ahir	{ 17 W. R., Cr., 32 }	5575
— v. Boodhun Mooshur	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 78 }	711
		18

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Boolakee	14 W. R., Cr., 81	6254
— v. Borodakant Mookhopadhy	15 W. R., Cr., 17	4447
— v. Boron Dhoom	16 W. R., Cr., 1	1530
— v. Bowka	22 W. R., Cr., 72	1293
— v. Bowka Hari	22 W. R., Cr., 35	1293
— v. Boya Linga	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 268	3628
— v. Boydonath Mookerjee	17 W. R., Cr., 11	253
— v. Boystum Mochee	17 W. R., Cr., 11	1261
— v. Brij Mohan Lal	7 N. W., 134	1973
— v. Brojendro Lal	21 W. R., Cr., 86	4107
— v. Brojokishore Dutt	8 W. R., Cr., 17	1989, 4381
— v. Brojo Lal Mitter	8 W. R., Cr., 45	504
— v. Broond Shahoo alias Chundra Chatterjee	7 W. R., Cr., 112	4533
— v. Budderoodeen	11 W. R., Cr., 20	4061
— v. Budhu Nanku	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 475	1022
— v. Budla	2 N. W., 455	5538
— v. Budri Roy	23 W. R., Cr., 65	2583, 2779
— v. Buldeo	2 N. W., 286	2936
— v. ———	2 N. W., 453	5832
— v. Buloram	7 W. R., Cr., 104	3619
— v. Buloram Doss	4 W. R., Cr., 20	5576
— v. Bulsingh	4 N. W., 8	5547
— v. Bundhoo Banerjee	21 W. R., Cr., 37	1316
— v. Runwaree Lal	21 W. R., Cr., 53	1021
— v. Bushmo Anent	3 W. R., Cr., 21	399, 1806
— v. Hussiruddi	8 W. R., Cr., 35	1796, 1805
— v. Bustee Khan	1 W. R., Cr., 17	722
— v. Busti Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 76	5600
— v. Buzleh Ali	22 W. R., Cr., 65	5910
— v. Bykunt Nath Banerjee	5 W. R., Cr., 72	1964
— v. ———	10 W. R., Cr., 17	344, 1788
— v. Bysagoo Noshyo	8 W. R., Cr., 29	1797, 981
— v. Callachand	7 W. R., Cr., 60	555
— v. Cassy Mul	3 W. R., Cr., 10	1349
— v. Chakor Huree	6 W. R., Cr., 16	2583
— v. Chakrasahu	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 185	3569
— v. Chand Bagdee	7 W. R., Cr., 6	1151, 5600
— v. Chand Manal	19 W. R., Cr., 1	4070
— v. Chando Chandaline	24 W. R., Cr., 55	25
— v. Chandra Jugi	9 B. L. R., 6: 17 W. R., Cr., 47	262
— v. Chandrakant Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 8: 10 W. R., Cr., 14	4628
— v. Chandra Sekhar Roy	5 B. L. R., 100: 13 W. R., Cr., 66	1048
— v. Chappu Menon	4 Mad., 146	259
— v. Chedee Koonjra	14 W. R., Cr., 76	6250
— v. Cheit Ram	5 N. W., 110	400
— v. ———	2 N. W., 113	2595
— v. Cheyt Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 70	4728
— v. Chidda	3 N. W., 327	1953
— v. Chinna Vedagiri Chetti	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 227	6260
— v. Chirag Ali	12 W. R., Cr., 5	343
— v. Chocha Rai	6 N. W., 366	4718
— v. Choda Atchenah	3 Mad., 318	1004
— v. Choonee	5 W. R., Cr., 13	723
— v. Chooramoni Sant	14 W. R., Cr., 25	1326, 4748

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Chotey Lal	3 N. W., 271	707, 6261
— v. Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 28	4722
— v. Chrestien	2 N. W., 473	1511
— v. Chubboa	5 N. W., 189	2939
— v. Chullundee Poramanick	3 W. R., Cr., 55	4056
— v. Chumroo Roy	7 W. R., Cr., 35	21
— v. Chundee Churn Banerjee	6 W. R., Cr., 94	4238
— v. Chundee Churn Dass	22 W. R., Cr., 71	5495
— v. Chunder Bhuttacharjee	24 W. R., Cr., 42	1009, 1011 1021, 1268
— v. Chunder Coomar Sen	{ I Ind. Jur., N. S., 97: 5 W. R., Cr., 4. }	3604
— v. Chunder Kant Lahoree	12 W. R., Cr., 2	5549
— v. Chunder Koomar Mozoomdar	24 W. R., Cr., 77	724
— v. Chunder Kumar Muzoomdar	25 W. R., Cr., 54	719
— v. Chunder Prosaud Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 30	3625
— v. Chunder Seekur Roy	12 W. R., Cr., 18	{ 464, 1046 3623, 4360
— v. Chundi Churn Nauth	8 W. R., Cr., 5	5565
— v. Chundro Mohun Bhooya	22 W. R., Cr., 82	1970
— v. Chupput Khyrwar	15 W. R., Cr., 83	5495
— v. Chutterdharee Singh	5 W. R., Cr., 59	1799
— v. Chytun Bowra	5 W. R., Cr., 49	{ 23, 400 2762
— v. Clarke	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 137	5553
— v. Dabee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	3780
— v. Dabee Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 55	5573, 5578
— v. Dalapati Rau	1 Mad., 83	737
— v. Damodur Dass	2 N. W., 452	4509
— v. Damoo Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 36	4104
— v. Damu Haree	21 W. R., Cr., 35	4451
— v. Daria Khan	2 N. W., 128	5570
— v. Dassee Mosulmany	14 W. R., Cr., 46	4356
— v. Dasser Bhooyan	8 W. R., Cr., 71	727
— v. Dassoo Manjee	11 W. R., Cr., 39	4058
— v. Dayal Bawri	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 55	975, 4719
— v. Dedar Nushyo	14 W. R., Cr., 81	452
— v. Deeyanutoollah	7 W. R., Cr., 32	4532, 5649
— v. Degumber Ahir	21 W. R., Cr., 22	1985
— v. Degumber Thakoor	19 W. R., Cr., 44	1671
— v. Denonath Bujjur	9 W. R., Cr., 52	1794
— v. Denoo Bundhoo Biswas	12 W. R., Cr., 1	1975
— v. Deo Nundun Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 16	3865
— v. Desilva	5 N. W., 120	4730
— v. Dhaumun Teli	20 W. R., Cr., 36	5884
— v. Dhona Bhooya	{ 5 B. L. R., 658: 14 W. R., Cr., 33. }	4625
— v. Dhõonda Bhooya	8 W. R., Cr., 85	256
— v. Dhununjai Poly	14 W. R., Cr., 68	5545
— v. Dhunput Ojhab	7 W. R., Cr., 51	4623
— v. Dhurrani Dutt Rai	8 W. R., Cr., 7	737
— v. Dhurum Dutt Ojua	8 W. R., Cr., 13	5545
— v. Digambur Shaha	24 W. R., Cr., 3	1807
— v. Dina Bundhoo Roy	24 W. R., Cr., 4	1268
— v. Dinanath Gangooly	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 58: 17 W. R., Cr., 12. }	1790
		4448

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Dina Sheikh	{ 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15, note : } 10 W. R., Cr., 63. }	5552
— v. Dinobundo Rai	9 W. R., Cr., 19	6065
— v. Dinoo Roy	16 W. R., Cr., 21	1853, 6255
— v. Doma Ram	24 W. R., Cr., 66	5910
— v. Domun Kahar	12 W. R., Cr., 82	1008
— v. Doorga Churn Shome	24 W. R., Cr., 30	2923
— v. Doorga Doss	7 W. R., Cr., 104	2940, 5556
— v. Doorgagutty	11 W. R., Cr., 55	6251
— v. Doorga Nath Roy	8 W. R., Cr., 9	967
— v. Doorgessur Surmah	7 W. R., Cr., 97	17
— v. Doorjodhun Shamonto <i>alias</i> Deejobor	19 W. R., Cr., 26	727
— v.	19 W. R., Cr., 45	5548, 6167
— v. Dowlut	8 W. R., Cr., 95	710
— v. Doyal Shilydar	6 W. R., Cr., 87	5884
— v. Dudraj Dosadh	22 W. R., Cr., 83	972, 1533
— v. Dukaran	7 N. W., 196	252
— v. Duleelooddeen Sheikh	5 W. R., Cr., 19	1950
— v. Dullee	6 N. W., 307	6045
— v. Durbaroo Dass Sirdar	13 W. R., Cr., 14	1020
— v. Durbarro Polie	8 W. R., Cr., 30	712
— v. Durgadas Bhuttacharjee	7 B. L. R., Ap., 37	4719
— v. Durzoolla	9 W. R., Cr., 33	706, 713
— v. Dushrutto Roy	7 W. R., Cr., 58	5556
— v. Dussorut Dass	18 W. R., Cr., 63	6068
— v. Dwarka	{ 5 W. R., Cr., 18 : 1 Ind. Jur., } N. S., 100. }	5883
— v. Dwarka Aheer	2 W. R., Cr., 49	23
— v. Dwarkanath Bose	2 W. R., Cr., 31	4379
— v. Dwarkanath Ghose	20 W. R., Cr., 49	5511
— v. Dwarka Nath Hazra	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 9 : 16 W. R., } Cr., 70. }	2009, 4691
— v. Dwarka Nath Mullick	21 W. R., Cr., 45	504
— v. Dwarkanath Sen	13 W. R., Cr., 34	490
— v. East Indian Railway Company	{ Bourke, O. C., 395 : 1 Ind. } Jur., N. S. 258. }	721
— v. Eduljee Byramjee	3 Moore's I. A., 468	3782
— v. Elahi Bax	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 459 : 5 } W. R., Cr., 80. }	3782
— v. Emoo	11 W. R., Cr., 20	285, 5971
— v. Enayet Hossein	5 W. R., Cr., 43	23, 343
— v. Esan Chunder Dey	21 W. R., Cr., 40	5164, 5578
— v. Eshan Meah	12 W. R., Cr., 52	396
— v. Etwaree Dharee	21 W. R., Cr., 12	4119
— v. Fata Adaji	11 Bom., 247	708, 727
— v. Fatik Biswas	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 13	6191
— v. Feojdar Roy	9 W. R., Cr., 14	17
— v. Firman Ali	16 W. R., Cr., 73	1838, 6264
— v. Flood	8 W. R., Cr., 43	1794
— v. Foktu Shah	{ 2 B. L. R., S. N., 6 : 10 W. } R., Cr., 49. }	709, 1801
— v. Fukeera Chamar	6 W. R., Cr., 50	1964
— v. Futteali Biswas	10 W. R., Cr., 37	711, 713
		5653
		4702
		978
		4058
		709, 1802
		1964

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Fyzo	Bourke, O. C., 269	5575
— v. Gabind Chandra Ghose	7 B. L. R., 28, note: 10 W. } R., Cr., 41. }	5515 .
— v. Gagal	6 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 12 W. } R., Cr., 80. }	1792, 4239 6249
— v. Gajraj	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 43	725
— v. Ganga	7 N. W., 131	1292
— v. Ganga Singh	5 N. W., 44	4057
— v. Ganni	7 N. W., 249	5541
— v. Gaub Gorah	8 W. R., Cr., 6	99
— v. Gendoo Khan	7 W. R., Cr., 14	4722
— v. Ghasee	4 N. W., 50	5604
— v. Ghasseeram	3 N. W., 90	5605
— v. Ghasoo Khan	5 W. R., Cr., 49	2910
— v. Ghisa	7 N. W., 375	4740
— v. Gholam Ismail	1. L. R., 1 All., 1	5156
— v. Gholam Mahomed	22 W. R., Cr., 17	5289
— v. Gholam Mustuffa	3 W. R., Cr., 29	5545
— v. Ghurbhurnee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 1	5548
— v. Girdharee Singh	6 N. W., 26	1335
— v. Girish Chandra Ghose	7 B. L. R., 513: 16 W. R., } Cr., 40. }	970, 3612
— v. Gobardhan Bhuyan	4 B. L. R., Ap., 101: 13 W. } R., Cr., 55. }	713, 4623
— v. Gobind Dobey	21 W. R., Cr., 35	16
— v. Gobin Tewari	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 281	253
— v. Goburdhun Bera	6 W. R., Cr., 80	4367
— v. ———	12 W. R., Cr., 65	1534
— v. Goburdhun Pari	14 W. R., Cr., 74	4624
— v. Godai Raout	5 W. R., Cr., 11	23
— v. ———	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 436: 5 } W. R., 61. }	5145
— v. Gogun Sein	2 W. R., Cr., 57	957
— v. Gokool Bowree	5 W. R., Cr., 33	4058
— v. Gokool Kahar	25 W. R., Cr., 36	6165
— v. Golab Singh	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 10	5512
— v. Golam Arabee	25 W. R., Cr., 20	4449
— v. Golam Darbesh	1 B. L. R., S. N., 27: 10 W. } R., Cr., 36. }	4099
— v. Golam Hossein Chowdhry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 88: 7 W. } R., Cr., 10. }	258
— v. Goluck Chunder	13 W. R., Cr., 16	2015
— v. Goluck Chunder Goocho	12 W. R., Cr., 38	4097
— v. Goluck Doss	1 Hyde, 282	1989
— v. Gonowri	22 W. R., Cr., 2	1799, 1977
— v. Goojree Panday	11 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 20 W. } R., Cr., 21. }	5577
— v. Gooroodoss Rajbunsee	4 W. R., Cr., 7	2937
— v. Gopai	2 N. W., 430	958
— v. Gopal Doss	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 271	1851
— v. Gopal Hajjam	15 W. R., Cr., 16	4533
— v. Gopal Prosaud Sein	8 W. R., Cr., 16	5545
— v. Gopal Thakoore	6 W. R., Cr., 72	1788
— v. Gopce Mohun Mitter	22 W. R., Cr., 26	986
— v. Gopenath Kellu	13 W. R., Cr., 69	1796
— v. Gopi Noshyo	21 W. R., Cr., 47	1154, 2763

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Gorachand Gope	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 443 : 5 } W. R., Cr., 45 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 177. }	4056, 5148 5159
— v. Goreeboollah	{ 5 W. R., Cr., 42 }	4056
— v. Goshto Lal Dutt	{ 7 B. L. R., Ap., 62 : 15 W. R., Cr., 68. }	1855
— v. Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree	{ 24 W. R., Cr., 23 }	4733
— v. Gossain Munraj Pooree	{ 13 B. L. R., 308, note : 21 } W. R., Cr., 10. }	4333
— v. Gour Benode Dutt	{ 24 W. R., Cr., 5 }	4059
— v. Gour Chunder Das	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 2 }	5574
— v. Gour Chunder Roy	{ 1 W. R., Cr., 17 }	1332
— v. Gour Chund Polie	{ 11 W. R., Cr., 53 }	956
— v. Gour Churn Doss	{ 19 W. R., Cr., 38, note }	5883
— v. Gour Mohan Sen	{ 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6 : 11 W. R., Cr., 48. }	5826
— v. Gour Mohun Singh	{ 16 W. R., Cr., 44 }	1958, 1960
— v. Gowree Singh	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 28 }	396
— v. Greedhary Manjee	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 39 }	720
— v. Grish Chunder Sirkar	{ 19 W. R., Cr., 33 }	5520
— v. Gugun Misser	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 61 }	2006, 3628
— v. Gulam Hussain	{ I. L. R., 7 Mad., 71 }	5853
— v. Gullie Mullick	{ W. R., 1864, Cr., 10 }	1980
— v. Gumbheer	{ 2 N. W., 234 }	984
— v. Gunesh Lushkar	{ 9 W. R., Cr., 72 }	725
— v. Gunesh Mana	{ 20 W. R., Cr., 54 }	4217
— v. Gunesh Sircar	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 38 }	4622
— v. Gunga Bishen	{ 1 W. R., Cr., 26 }	721
— v. Gunga Govind Palit	{ 23 W. R., Cr., 21 }	6165
— v. Gungaram Potdar	{ 24 W. R., Cr., 10 }	5541
— v. Gunga Singh	{ 20 W. R., Cr., 36 }	4726
— v. Guusha Moonda	{ 20 W. R., Cr., 38 }	2048
— v. Gur Baksh	{ I. L. R., 1 All., 193 }	1315
— v. Gurib Shek	{ 23 W. R., Cr., 4 }	1223
— v. Gurjoon Abeer	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 37 }	5545
— v. Guru Charan Chang	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 9 : 14 W. R., Cr., 69. }	4625
— v. Gyanee Ram	{ 6 W. R., Cr., 78 }	2008
— v. Hakim Sirdar	{ 2 B. L. R., S. N., 2 : 10 W. R., Cr., 35. }	1304
— v. Hanmanta	{ I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610 }	1726, 1797
— v. Hardewa	{ 5 N. W., 217 }	2757
— v. Hardyal	{ 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 35 }	1797
— v. Hargobind Datta Sirkar	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 12 }	1970, 5604
— v. Hargobind Pal	{ 7 B. L. R., Ap., 57 }	2571
— v. Haridas Kundu	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 69 : 13 W. R., Cr., 21. }	2924, 2925
— v. Hari Giri	{ 1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11 : 10 W. R., Cr., 26. }	4855
— v. Hariprosad Gangooly	{ 8 B. L. R., 557 : 14 W. R., Cr., 59. }	1330
— v. Haroo Rujwar	{ 13 W. R., Cr., 27 }	6162
— v. Harrakchand Nowlaka	{ 8 W. R., Cr., 12 }	5563
— v. Haru	{ 9 B. L. R., 146 }	977, 4450
— v. Harvey	{ 20 W. R., Cr., 68 }	971 4730

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Hazar Mir Khan	7 N. W., 237	4449
— v. Hearn	3 N. W., 128	4447
— v. Heera Lall Ghose	13 W. R., Cr., 37	980
— v. Heeramun Hulwye	{ 5 W. R., Cr., 6: 1 Ind. Jur., } N. S., 97. }	735
— v. Heeramun Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 30	5603
— v. Hekmut Ali	24 W. R., Cr., 72	5577
— v. Hicks	10 B. L. R., Ap., 1	1005
— v. Hiddun Khan	2 N. W., 285	5603
— v. Hiralal Das	8 B. L. R., 422	3604, 4854
— v. Hiralal Sing	{ 5 B. L. R., Ap., 48: 14 W. R., } Cr., 8. }	3620
— v. Hoolas	23 W. R., Cr., 32	1961
— v. Hossain Ali Chowdhry	8 W. R., Cr., 74	{ 1151, 6178 6263
— v. Hossein Ali	5 N. W., 49	1152
— v. Hossein Ally	8 B. L. R., Ap., 25	1976
— v. Hosseinee	7 W. R., Cr., 47	5546
— v. Hossein Manjee	8 W. R., Cr., 60	727
— v. Hossein Sirdar	9 W. R., Cr., 70	969, 4353
— v. Hulkoree Singh	13 W. R., Cr., 17	6259
— v. Hullodhur Ghose	21 W. R., Cr., 61	6251, 6264
— v. Hunooman Lall	5 W. R., Cr., 40	260
— v. Hurdeep Sahoy	19 W. R., Cr., 5	1955
— v. Hurdut Surma	23 W. R., Cr., 27	1728
— v. Hurgobind	8 W. R., Cr., 68	1805, 4364
— v.	2 N. W., 336	1796
— v. Hurish Chunder Bose	3 N. W., 174	5291
— v. Hurkumari Dassia	W. R., 1864, Cr., 22	2011
— v. Hurnath Roy	24 W. R., Cr., 10	4729
— v.	2 W. R., Cr., 60	1853, 4622
— v. Hurnath Singh	3 W. R., Cr., 54	5289
— v. Huroo Saha	20 W. R., Cr., 22	5160
— v. Hurpaul	16 W. R., Cr., 20	2922
— v. Hursershah	4 W. R., Cr., 9	5570
— v. Hurree Ram	4 N. W., 23	983
— v. Hurribole Chunder Ghose	{ 3 N. W., 194 1. L. R., 1 Calc., 207: 25 W. } R., Cr., 36. }	4357 1015, 1855
— v. Hurri Kishen Doss	15 W. R., Cr., 62	1047
— v. Hurro Manji	{ 14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note: 21 } W. R., Cr., 4. }	6164
— v. Hurry Paramanick Tanteo	15 W. R., Cr., 5	1047
— v. Hursookh	2 N. W., 479	5604
— v. Hurynath Chowdhry	7 W. R., Cr., 58	6237
— v. Hushrut	6 W. R., Cr., 85	5294
— v. Hussun Ali	5 N. W., 49	5547
— v. Huttooa	3 N. W., 2	5538
— v. Ichabur Dobey	4 W. R., Cr., 11	5602
— v. Imambandee	7 W. R., Cr., 26	4494, 4500
— v. Inamdi Bhooyah	21 W. R., Cr., 8	16
— v. Imamooddeen Bhina	13 W. R., Cr., 75	4737
— v. Ishan Dutt	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 15 W. } R., Cr., 34. }	6252, 626
— v. Ishree Pershad	24 W. R., Cr., 49	5996
— v. Ishwar Chandra Jogee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 21	5551
— v. Isree Panday	7 W. R., Cr., 56	2939

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Isree Pershad Singh	{ 9 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 18 W. } R., Cr., 61.	4737
— v. ———	20 W. R., Cr., 18	4728, 4731
— v. Issen Mundle	3 W. R., Cr., 8	343
— v. Issur Chunder Ghose	14 W. R., Cr., 53	1980
— v. Issur Raut	8 W. R., Cr., 63	5153
— v. Itwaree Dome	6 W. R., Cr., 83	2756
— v. Itwarya	14 B. L. R., Ap., 1	6164
— v. ———	{ 14 B. L. R., 54: 22 W. R. } Cr., 14.	1267, 4116
— v. Jackson	{ 13 B. L. R., 474: 22 W. R. } 20.	2903
— v. Jadub Chunder Biswas	W. R., 1864, Cr., 15	1965
— v. Jaffit Ali	19 W. R., Cr., 57	1020, 1297
— v. Jaganath	7 N. W., 133	1317
— v. Jaga Poly	11 W. R., Cr., 39	399, 1801
— v. Jagatmal	1. L. R., 1 All., 162	1315, 1318
— v. Jageshur Pershad	6 N. W., 56	2009
— v. Jaha Bux	8 W. R., Cr., 81	2011
— v. Jaichand Mundle	7 W. R., Cr., 60	4055
— v. Jaipal Koiree	11 W. R., Cr., 6	4431
— v. Jakir	22 W. R., Cr., 39	708
— v. Jaloo Sirdar	W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	464
— v. Jamal	16 W. R., Cr., 75	5548
— v. Jamurha	7 N. W., 137	{ 710, 1154 1284
— v. Jan Mahomed	{ 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 47: 12 W. } R., Cr., 41.	3619
— v. Jeebun Sheikh	9 W. R., Cr., 4	4720
— v. Jeenuat Bebee	1 W. R., Cr., 46	1327
— v. Jeetoo Chowdry	4 W. R., Cr., 23	5545
— v. Jeetun Khan	11 W. R., Cr., 45	5603
— v. Jema	8 W. R., Cr., 40	1007
— v. Jeolall	20 W. R., Cr., 1	4626
— v. Jetoo	1. L. R., 1 All., 1	1011
— v. Jhantah Noshyo	54	5546
— v. Jhapoo	3	4723
— v. Jhurree	3	1003
— v. Jhurrap	3 W., 133	2938
— v. Jodoonath Shaha	23 W. R., Cr., 33	1270, 5911
— v. Joge Poly	{ 7 B. L. R., 67, note: 11 W. } R., Cr., 39.	399, 1801
— v. Jogeshur Bagdee	7 W. R., Cr., 109	5882
— v. Jogo Mohun Mala	24 W. R., Cr., 5	2623
— v. Johrie Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 28	5909
— v. Joomnee	8 W. R., Cr., 16	1809
— v. Jotee Ghorace	1 W. R., Cr., 7	2581
— v. Joyah Mohun Chunder	14 W. R., Cr., 19	5551
— v. Joygopal	4 W. R., Cr., 4	2581
— v. Joykishen Lall	12 W. R., Cr., 46	4750
— v. Joynarain Patro	20 W. R., Cr., 66	2600
— v. Judoo	6 W. R., Cr., 6	587
— v. Juggurnath Singh	2 W. R., Cr., 55	5996
— v. Juggut Chunder Dutt	6 W. R., Cr., 81	1968
— v. Jugroop Ugrabee	3 N. W., 341	972
— v. Jundem Singh	12 W. R., Cr., 73	1793, 6260
— v. Jumiruddin	23 W. R., Cr., 58	1290

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Junghoo Khan	3 W. R., Cr., 36	6191
— v. Jungle Lall	19 W. R., Cr., 40	1973
— v. Jungli Beldar	8 B. L. R., Ap., 47	73
— v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 41 : 18 W. R., Cr., 44, note. }	1152
— v. —————	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 182	3781
— v. Kabil Cazece	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1	6069
— v. Kabil Manji	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 23	4117
— v. Kabul Pattur	15 W. R., Cr., 4	3862
— v. Kadar Khan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 380	4723
— v. Kadar Ravuttan	4 Mad., 18	1982
— v. Kadir Bux	3 N. W., 16	736
— v. Kadir Bux <i>alias</i> Kadir Mahomed	11 W. R., Cr., 17	5514
— v. Kalachand Boidyo	8 W. R., Cr., 18	5564
— v. Kala Chand Pal	24 W. R., Cr., 29	1009
— v. Kalai	3 W. R., Cr., 57	2623
— v. Kala Khan	12 W. R., Cr., 23	709
— v. Kalarain Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 8	706, 714
— v. Kaleechurn	3 W. R., Cr., 10	2590
— v. Kali Chandra Shah	7 B. L. R., 322 : 16 W. R., Cr., 13. }	4492
— v. Kali Charan Das	6 B. L. R., Ap., 86 : 15 W. R., Cr., 17. }	725
— v. Kali Charan Misser	7 B. L. R., Ap., 55	980, 5994
— v. Kali Churn Gangooly	7 W. R., Cr., 2	1802, 5165
— v. —————	21 W. R., Cr., 11	6265
— v. Kalichurn Lahoree	9 W. R., Cr., 54	19
— v. Kalikaprasad	5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note : 11 W. R., Cr., 5. }	711, 1264
— v. Kalil	11 W. R., Cr., 18	4101
— v. Kalinath Biswas	6 B. L. R., Ap., 116 : 15 W. R., Cr., 18. }	4117
— v. Kalinath Nag Chowdhry	9 W. R., Cr., 1	4724
— v. Kalipuddo Poramanick	23 W. R., Cr., 43	1326
— v. Kalisankar Saudyal	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 14	736
— v. Kali Sirkar	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 13 W. R., Cr., 1. }	5552
— v. Kalla Chand Doss	11 W. R., Cr., 21	968, 3603
— v. Kallachand Moitree	7 W. R., Cr., 99	6183, 6252
— v. Kally Bhyrub Sandyal	11 W. R., Cr., 52	6259
— v. Kally Churn Gangooly	6 W. R., Cr., 92	344
— v. Kalu Mal	7 W. R., Cr., 103	4693
— v. Kalundar Doss	2 N. W., 100	4738
— v. Kaly Kishore Roy	25 W. R., Cr., 68	1792
— v. Kamal Fukeer	17 W. R., Cr., 50	6273
— v. Kaminee Dossee	12 W. R., Cr., 25	6265
— v. Kanhai Shahu	23 W. R., Cr., 40	4504
— v. Kanjamalai Padayachi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 372	1809
— v. Kantiram	1 W. R., Cr., 24	1804, 2582
— v. Kanye Sheikh	W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	460, 4626
— v. Kareem Bux	3 N. W., 30	6044
— v. Karoo	6 W. R., Cr., 44	1307
— v. Karri Papayamma	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 230	6189
		6265
		1260
		724
		3743

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Kartick Chunder Holdar	9 W. R., Cr., 58	1792, 1969
— v. Kashee Nath Chungo	8 W. R., Cr., 84	1989, 5514
— v. Kasim Ali	15 W. R., Cr., 67	5551
— v. Kasim Shaikh	23 W. R., Cr., 32	4534
— v. Kasseemoddeen	4 W. R., Cr., 38	2779
— v. Kassim Aily	11 W. R., Cr., 10	1331
— v. Kassimuddin	8 W. R., Cr., 55	5575
— v. Kassy Singh	21 W. R., Cr., 61	1154
— v. Kazim Mundle	17 W. R., Cr., 49	6251, 6264
— v. Kazim Thakoor	2 B. L. R., F. B., 26 : 10 W. R., Cr., 45. }	143, 4436
— v. Keifa Singh	3 W. R., Cr., 16	264
— v. Keilasum Putter	5 Mad., 373	5573
— v. Kendra Kamar	7 W. R., Cr., 97	1969
— v. Keshub Bhoonia	25 W. R., Cr., 8	1349
— v. Kewal Dosad	W. R., 1864, Cr., 36	1021
— v. Khader Moidin	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 235	4058
— v. Khadim Sheik	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7	3576, 4371
— v. Khan Mahomed	24 W. R., Cr., 53	14
— v. Khedun Misser	7 W. R., Cr., 54	3622
— v. Khemee Singh	1 W. R., Cr., 19	1335
— v. Kheraj Mullah	11 B. L. R., 33	6065
— v. Khetter Nath Ghose	11 W. R., Cr., 56	5913
— v. Khetter Nath Shaha	22 W. R., Cr., 31	118
— v. Khoab Lall	9 W. R., Cr., 66	73
— v. Khoaz Sheikh	5 W. R., Cr., 20	709, 1980
— v. Khodabux Fakeer	10 W. R., Cr., 52	5573
— v. Khooderam	8 W. R., Cr., 39	4057
— v. Khotub Sheikh	6 W. R., Cr., 17	2901
— v. Khoyrat Ally Beg	3 W. R., Cr., 60	724
— v. Khukree Ooram	21 W. R., Cr., 48	1348
— v. Khyroo	2 N. W., 289	1021
— v. Khyroolla	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11 : 6 } W. R., Cr., 21. }	2028
— v. Kidar Nath	7 N. W., 233	1802
— v. Kishen Pershad	2 N. W., 202	4730
— v. Kishto Doba	14 W. R., Cr., 16	2008, 2897
— v. Kishtoram Doss	13 W. R., Cr., 59	3603
— v. Kissoree Pater	7 W. R., Cr., 35	2923
— v. Kisto Chunder Ghose	2 W. R., Cr., 58	1349
— v. Kisto Mundle	7 W. R., Cr., 8	6029
— v. Kisto Soonder Deb	2 W. R., Cr., 5	1004, 1790
— v. Koa	19 W. R., Cr., 48	2015
— v. Kodai Kahar	5 W. R., Cr., 6	24
— v. Kola	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 4 : 12 W. R., Cr., 66. }	1004
— v. Komul Kishen	11 W. R., Cr., 35	1976
— v. Komul Manjee	7 W. R., Cr., 13	5539
— v. Komurooddee Sikhdar	13 W. R., Cr., 17	3627
— v. Koonee	7 W. R., Cr., 48	5602
— v. Koonjo Leth	11 B. L. R., 14 : 20 W. R., Cr., 1. }	453
— v. Koordan Singh	3 W. R., Cr., 15	6166
— v. Koylas Boona	22 W. R., Cr., 8	2938
— v. Kripamoyee Chassanee	8 W. R., Cr., 35	74
— v. Krishnama	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 230	2005
		3607

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Krisno Churn	16 W. R., Cr., 66	2922
— v. Kristendro Roy	7 W. R., Cr., 30	4725, 5541
— v. Kristna Rau	7 Mad., 58	5513, 5520
— v. Kudum	W. R., 1864, Cr., 13	5527
— v. Kulum Sheikh	10 W. R., Cr., 39	16, 4384
— v. Kullian Singh	2 N. W., 468	1788
— v. Kullimooddeen	7 W. R., Cr., 53	6027
— v. Kultaran Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 129	19
— v. Kumarasami	2 Mad., 331	1314, 1318
— v. Kumodinikant Banerjee Chowdhry	9 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 18 W. R., Cr., 44. }	4385
— v. Kune Sonar	7 W. R., Cr., 57	4724
— v. Kunhiya	4 N. W., 154	5537
— v. Kunj Beharee	5 N. W., 187	4722
— v. Kurcem	11 W. R., Cr., 16	1222
— v. Kyamut	W. R., 1864, Cr., 1	709
— v. Lachmipat Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 81: 14 W. R., Cr., 17. }	6265
— v. Lahai Mundul	7 W. R., Cr., 29	4095, 4107
— v. Lakkun Santhal	21 W. R., Cr., 56	2599
— v. Lalchand Kowrah	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 147: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 83: 5 W. R., Cr. 23. }	1839
— v. Lal Gumul	2 N. W., 11	1980
— v. Lalla Chowbey	2 N. W., 49	2010
— v. Lall Beharee Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 50	1151, 6263
— v. Lall Mahomed	6 N. W., 284	4730
— v. Lalloo Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 54	6271
— v. Lall Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 39	957, 958
— v. —	6 N. W., 270	956
— v. Lal Mahomed	22 W. R., Cr., 82	6270
— v. Lekhrnj	2 N. W., 132: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 206. }	736
— v. Lokenath Shaha	W. R., 1864, Cr., 12	3620, 5512
— v. Luchmee Pershad	19 W. R., Cr., 43	5514, 5518
— v. Luchoo	5 N. W., 86	4383
— v. Luckhee Singh	12 W. R., Cr., 23	24
— v. Luckhinarain Agoori	23 W. R., Cr., 61	1014
— v. Luckhy Narain Nagory	24 W. R., Cr., 18	4354
— v. Lucky Narain Dutt	20 W. R., Cr., 50	6163
— v. Lukhini Agradanini	22 W. R., Cr., 27	148, 2923
— v. Lukhun Doss	2 W. R., Cr., 52	1854
— v. Luntro Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 18	4350
— v. Luteef Hossein	10 W. R., Cr., 1	2583
— v. Luthi Bewa	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25	256
— v. Macdonald	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2	4094
— v. —	22 W. R., Cr., 6	1982
— v. Madan Mohun	6 N. W., 302	1832
— v. Madan Mundul	16 W. R., Cr., 71	6030
— v. Madaree	3 W. R., Cr., 2	984
— v. Madhoo Churn	13 W. R., Cr., 51	2732
— v. Madhoosurun	7 W. R., Cr., 40	5992
— v. Madhub Chunder Giri	21 W. R., Cr., 13	4104, 4505
— v. Madhub Chunder Misser	13 W. R., Cr., 45	20
— v. Magenee Behara	11 W. R., Cr., 3	149, 263

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Mahadeo	W. R., 1864, Cr., 15	722
— v. Maharaj Misser	{ 7 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 16 W. R., Cr., 47. }	709, 1962
— v. Maha Singh	{ 3 N. W., 27 }	3603
— v. Mahbub Khan	{ 1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 39 }	4450
— v. Mahendra Narayan Bangathushan	{ 1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7 }	463
— v. Mahendranath Chatterjee	{ 5 B. L. R., Ap., 39 }	5579
— v. Mahima Chandra Chuckerbutty	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 77: 12 W. R., Cr., 77. }	6262
— v. ———	{ 7 B. L. R., 26: 15 W. R., Cr., 45. }	5512, 5516
— v. Mahima Chandra Dass	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 108: 15 W. R., Cr., 37. }	263, 343 1788
— v. Mahim Chandra Chuckerbutty	{ 5 B. L. R., A. Cr., 67 }	970, 1270
— v. Mahmood Hossein	{ 2 N. W., 298 }	1258
— v. Mahomed Afzul	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 59 }	4733
— v. Mahomed Akhir <i>alias</i> Totah Meeah	{ 12 W. R., Cr., 17 }	2005
— v. Mahomed Ali	{ 13 W. R., Cr., 50 }	2778
— v. Mahomed Elim	{ 3 W. R., Cr., 40 }	4055
— v. Mahomed Hoomayoon Shaw	{ 13 B. L. R., 324: 21 W. R., Cr., 72. }	1977
— v. Mahomed Hossain	{ 16 W. R., Cr., 37 }	1963, 5517
— v. Mahomed Hossein	{ W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 }	4055
— v. ———	{ 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 293 }	5291
— v. Maithya Gazeer	{ 6 W. R., Cr., 42 }	1331
— v. Majohur Roy	{ 24 W. R., Cr., 11 }	1289
— v. Malapa bin Kapana	{ 11 Bom., 196 }	24
— v. Marimuttu	{ 1 L. R., 4 Mad., 243 }	6046
— v. Man	{ 5 N. W., 235 }	1335
— v. Mana Singh	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 103 }	6068
— v. Manphool	{ 5 N. W., 240 }	4703
— v. Mata Dyal	{ 4 N. W., 6 }	1953, 1964 5602
— v. Matam Mal	{ 22 W. R., Cr., 34 }	398
— v. Mati Khowa	{ 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 36: 12 W. R., Cr., 31. }	1789, 1976 2781
— v. Meajan	{ 4 W. R., Cr., 5 }	1950
— v. Meajan Sheikh	{ 20 W. R., Cr., 50 }	6161
— v. Meares	{ 14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., Cr., 54. }	2902
— v. Megha Meeah	{ 2 W. R., Cr., 39 }	2583
— v. Mehar Dowalia	{ 16 W. R., Cr., 63 }	711, 5994
— v. Menazoodin	{ 2 W. R., Cr., 33 }	5564
— v. Meriam	{ 1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 10 W. R., Cr., 10. }	5575
— v. Methi Mullah	{ 13 W. R., Cr., 49 }	397
— v. Mewa Lall	{ 13 W. R., Cr., 53 }	4718
— v. Mina Nuggerbhatin	{ 3 W. R., Cr., 6 }	399
— v. ———	{ 3 W. R., Cr., 19 }	5555
— v. Misree Lall	{ 4 N. W., 117 }	5540
— v. Misser Sheikh	{ 14 W. R., Cr., 9 }	1801
— v. Mitto Singh	{ 3 W. R., Cr., 41 }	4626
— v. Mittun Chowdhry	{ 11 W. R., Cr., 53 }	4432
— v. Mobarick	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 28 }	1951
— v. Modhoo Paul	{ 3 W. R., Cr., 9 }	2938
— v. Modhoosoodun Shaw	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 23 }	2008
— v. Modhoosoodun Day	{ 3 W. R., Cr., 61 }	1990

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6846

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Modun Mohun Pal Chowdhry	23 W. R., Cr., 7	5496
— v. Mohamed Asger	23 W. R., Cr., 11	4059
— v. Mohanundo Bhundary	5 W. R., Cr., 16	5574
— v. Mohesh Biswas	{ 10 B. L. R., 455, note: 19 } W. R., Cr., 16. }	1022
— v. Mohesh Chunder Acharjee	6 W. R., Cr., 20	711
— v. Mohesh Chunder Chuttopadhia	2 W. R., Cr., 13	257
— v. Mohesh Chunder Roy	24 W. R., Cr., 67	4488
— v. Mohesh Chunder Sircar	3 W. R., Cr., 13	5546
— v. Mohima Chunder Chuckerbutty	5 W. R., Cr., 77	1980
— v. Mohim Chunder Sil	16 W. R., Cr., 42	737, 2937
— v. Mohit Pandey	3 N. W., 316	18
— v. Mohun Banfor	22 W. R., Cr., 38	6265
— v. Mohuree	2 N. W., 393	6254
— v. Moizudin	11 W. R., Cr., 41	4624
— v. Mokee	12 W. R., Cr., 15	1333, 4624
— v. Moneeah	11 W. R., Cr., 38	5560
— v. Mon Mohun Roy	24 W. R., Cr., 33	1807, 5881
— v. Mookhtaram Mundle	17 W. R., Cr., 45	727
— v. Mooktaram Sirdar	23 W. R., Cr., 18	1350
— v. Mookun	12 W. R., Cr., 22	6262
— v. Moondee	13 W. R., Cr., 23	2582
— v. Moonee Doobey	2 N. W., 189	4728
— v. Moonsai Bibee	24 W. R., Cr., 54	1798
— v. Moorut Lall	6 W. R., Cr., 93	1989
— v. Moorut Mahton	8 W. R., Cr., 3	5516
— v. Moosubroo	8 W. R., Cr., 37	712
— v. Mootkee Kora	2 W. R., Cr., 1	5574
— v. Morly Sheikh	6 W. R., Cr., 51	259, 460
— v. Motee Jolaha	5 W. R., Cr., 66	1349
— v. Mothoora Nath Roy	22 W. R., Cr., 72	4385
— v. Mowjan <i>alias</i> Nane Khan	20 W. R., Cr., 69	1838
— v. Mozafar Khalifa	9 B. L. R., Ap., 36	4100
— v. Muddun Ally	1 W. R., Cr., 27	5560
— v. Muddun Mohun Bose	7 W. R., Cr., 22	4366
— v. Muddun Mohun Pedar	3 W. R., Cr., 34	20
— v. Mudhoo Dutt	14 W. R., Cr., 71	254
— v. Mudhoosoodun Roy	16 W. R., Cr., 49	6249
— v. Mudsooddeen	2 N. W., 148	2590, 6253
— v. Mukta Singh	{ 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15: 13 } W. R., Cr., 60. }	2768, 6248
— v. Mullick Jeechoo	23 W. R., Cr., 12	345
— v. Mungroo	6 N. W., 293	2939
— v. Mungul Dass	23 W. R., Cr., 28	{ 710, 1799 1961
— v. Muppen	7 Mad., 233	5884
— v. Mustafa	1 W. R., Cr., 15	2623
— v. Muthoorapershad Panday	2 W. R., Cr., 10	460
— v. Muthoora Singh	18 W. R., Cr., 66	5165
— v. Muttee Nushvo	W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	1789
— v. Mutturaman Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 325	895
— v. Mutu Reddi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 199	3569
— v. Muzhur Hossein	5 N. W., 208	5290
— v. Nabadwip Goswami	{ 1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 15: 15 W. } R., Cr., 71, note. }	{ 396, 1807 2782, 2896
— v. Nabi Saheb	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 247	{ 6028 3583

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen <i>v.</i> Nachimuttu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 18	4691 .
— <i>v.</i> Nadi Chand Poddar	24 W. R., Cr., 1	1264, 3604
— <i>v.</i> Naga	23 W. R., Cr., 24	4854, 5837
— <i>v.</i> Nagardi Paramanik	{ 1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 3: 10 W. } R., Cr., 3. }	1021
— <i>v.</i> Naiada	I. L. R., 1 All., 43	259
— <i>v.</i> Naimuddi Sheikh <i>alias</i> Abbas Sheikh	14 W. R., Cr., 7	5574
— <i>v.</i> Nakori Parooe	25 W. R., Cr., 31	5570
— <i>v.</i> Nakur Sircar	6 B. L. R., 729	2926
— <i>v.</i> Nandkumar Bose	3 B. L. R., Ap., 149	1767
— <i>v.</i> Narain	7 N. W., 209	4361
— <i>v.</i> Naraina	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241	6067
— <i>v.</i> Narain Acharj	8 W. R., Cr., 80	3569
— <i>v.</i> Narain Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 20	1983
— <i>v.</i> Narapureddy	4 Mad., 242	{ 1046, 1295
— <i>v.</i> Narayan Naik	5 B. L. R., 660	6258
— <i>v.</i> Narsing Narayan	{ 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note: } 10 W. R., Cr., 1. }	5160
— <i>v.</i> Nawab Jan	8 W. R., 19	967
— <i>v.</i> Nawab Khan	7 W. R., Cr., 25	4732
— <i>v.</i> Nawab Singh	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 9	343, 724
— <i>v.</i> Neela Bibee	10 W. R., Cr., 33	721
— <i>v.</i> Neetie Dulal	8 W. R., Cr., 41	5523
— <i>v.</i> Nehal Mahtee	9 W. R., Cr., 13	2937
— <i>v.</i> Nga Cho	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 98: 15 W. } R., Cr., 2. }	1534, 5605
— <i>v.</i> Nga Shoay-De	19 W. R., Cr., 68	905
— <i>v.</i> Niaz Ali	5 N. W., 80	120
— <i>v.</i> Nidamarti Nagabhushanam	7 Mad., 119	5548
— <i>v.</i> Nidheeram Bagdee	18 W. R., Cr., 45	4731
— <i>v.</i> Nijabut Hossein	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 304	1336
— <i>v.</i> Nijanund	3 W. R., Cr., 60	6165
— <i>v.</i> Nim Chand Mookerjee	20 W. R., Cr., 41	4727
— <i>v.</i> Niruni	7 W. R., Cr., 49	{ 958, 1987
— <i>v.</i> Nirunjun Singh	2 N. W., 431	17, 1966
— <i>v.</i> Nittar Mundle	3 W. R., Cr., 38	{ 2582, 5164
— <i>v.</i> Nitto Gopal Paulit	13 W. R., Cr., 69	15, 1800
— <i>v.</i> Nityo Gopal Dass Byragee	24 W. R., Cr., 80	{ 1855, 1960
— <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Banerjee	{ 13 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 20 W. } R., Cr., 70. }	4730
— <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Holdar	6 W. R., Cr., 79	4121
— <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Sirkar	12 W. R., Cr., 39	4621
— <i>v.</i> Nobocomar Banerjee	14 W. R., Cr., 12	1003
— <i>v.</i> Nobokisto Ghose	8 W. R., Cr., 87	{ 2623, 6164
— <i>v.</i> Nodiar Chand Koondoo	14 W. R., Cr., 70	5995
— <i>v.</i> Nokul Nushyo	7 W. R., Cr., 27	1260, 1261
— <i>v.</i> Nomal	{ 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 9: 12 W. } R., Cr., 69. }	6030
— <i>v.</i> Noorkhan Chowdhry	1 W. R., Cr., 11	{ 720, 1790
— <i>v.</i> Notobur Bera	15 W. R., Cr., 87	{ 1805, 1956
— <i>v.</i> Notabur Nundy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 43	5567
— <i>v.</i> Noujan	7 Mad., 375	1331

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen <i>v. Nourjan</i>	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 14 W. } R., Cr., 39. }	4377
— <i>v. Nowabdec</i>	W. R., 1864, Cr., 11	4623
— <i>v. Nubas Muhton</i>	8 W. R., Cr., 65	972
— <i>v. Nujeebutoolah</i>	9 W. R., Cr., 20	2009
— <i>v. Nujnah</i>	22 W. R., 68	258
— <i>v. Nujum Ali</i>	6 W. R., Cr., 41	1791, 2007
— <i>v. Nunda</i>	4 N. W., 133	453
— <i>v. Nundmohun Sirkar</i>	12 W. R., Cr., 33	6045
— <i>v. Nunhoo</i>	9 W. R., Cr., 28	23
— <i>v. Nunkoo Doss</i>	2 W. R., Cr., 48	2583
— <i>v. Nurput Singh</i>	4 N. W., 86	1049
— <i>v. Nursingh Narain</i>	{ 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note: } 10 W. R., Cr., 1. }	5543
— <i>v. Nusseer-ood-deen</i>	2 N. W., 461	4733
— <i>v. Nussurooddeen Shazwal</i>	11 W. R., Cr., 24	1967, 5601
— <i>v. Nussuruddin</i>	21 W. R., Cr., 5	1289
— <i>v. Nuzee Nushyo</i>	15 W. R., Cr., 52	1806, 6192
— <i>v. Nuzur Ali</i>	6 N. W., 39	1152
— <i>v. Nyaz Ali</i>	25 W. R., Cr., 47	461
— <i>v. Nyn Singh</i>	{ 2 N. W., 117: Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 196. }	3100, 5146
— <i>v. Nytaram Mytee</i>	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171	5148
— <i>v. Obhoy Churn Chuckerbutty</i>	3 W. R., Cr., 19	343
— <i>v. Ofatulla</i>	6 B. L. R., 381	1802, 2590
— <i>v. Okhoy Coomar Shaw</i>	{ 13 B. L. R., 307: 21 W. R., } Cr., 58. }	5494
— <i>v. Oman</i>	5 N. W., 130	4332
— <i>v. Omerto Lall</i>	19 W. R., Cr., 32	1297
— <i>v. Oojeer</i>	6 W. R., Cr., 17	4729
— <i>v. Ooma Moyee Debea</i>	13 W. R., Cr., 25	2938
— <i>v. Oomrao Singh</i>	3 N. W., 317	5514
— <i>v. Ooram Sungra</i>	6 W. R., Cr., 82	965
— <i>v. Oottum Dhoba</i>	19 W. R., Cr., 38	5573
— <i>v. Oottur Narain Singh</i>	8 W. R., Cr., 79	6167
— <i>v. Ootum Chund</i>	2 N. W., 287	1975
— <i>v. Oozeerun</i>	7 W. R., Cr., 98	5520
— <i>v. Pagaree Shaha</i>	19 W. R., Cr., 51	2937
— <i>v. Palany Chetty</i>	4 Mad., 51	1808
— <i>v. Panhee Aurut</i>	15 W. R., Cr., 66	1976
— <i>v. Panna</i>	7 N. W., 371	5548
— <i>v. Panna Lall Mookerjee</i>	19 W. R., Cr., 4	461
— <i>v. Parasurama Naikar</i>	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329	965, 4510
— <i>v. Parbutty Churn Chuckerbutty</i>	14 W. R., Cr., 13	{ 981, 6254 6259 }
— <i>v. Parbutty Churn Sircar</i>	3 W. R., Cr., 57	1261, 1789
— <i>v. Parks</i>	10 W. R., Cr., 6	504
— <i>v. Pattachi</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 161	2904
— <i>v. Paun Pandah</i>	18 W. R., Cr., 28	3569
— <i>v. Peari Raur</i>	8 W. R., Cr., 40	17
— <i>v. Pelkoo Nushyo</i>	2 W. R., Cr., 42	5158
— <i>v. Pemkoer</i>	5 N. W., 38	4367, 4625
— <i>v. Periannau</i>	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241	1336
— <i>v. Peterson</i>	I. L. R., 1 All., 316	3569, 4356
— <i>v. Petumbur Dhoobee</i>	14 W. R., Cr., 10	586
— <i>v. Phomonee Ahum</i>	8 W. R., Cr., 78	1798, 1800
		4057

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen <i>v.</i> Phoolchand <i>alias</i> Pholeel Ahir	8 W. R., Cr., 11	1788, 1806
— <i>v.</i> Phoollee Misser	12 W. R., Cr., 72	6263
— <i>v.</i> Piran	13 B. L. R., Ap., 4	5546
— <i>v.</i> Pittambur Sirdar	7 W. R., Cr., 25	2912
— <i>v.</i> Pitti Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 37	1802, 6265
— <i>v.</i> Pochun Churg	2 W. R., Cr., 35	4106
— <i>v.</i> Poholee Mullick	12 W. R., Cr., 28	149
— <i>v.</i> Pooran Jolaha	11 W. R., Cr., 47	2925
— <i>v.</i> Poorno Chunder Doss	8 W. R., Cr., 59	4717
— <i>v.</i> Poorun	5 N. W., 219	256, 1151
— <i>v.</i> Poorusoolah Sikhdar	7 W. R., Cr., 14	1154
— <i>v.</i> Poosaram	6 W. R., Cr., 11	1530
— <i>v.</i> Pooshoo	4 W. R., Cr., 33	4060
— <i>v.</i> Poran Mal	23 W. R., Cr., 49	5524
— <i>v.</i> Poromeshur Aheer	23 W. R., Cr., 16	4059
— <i>v.</i> Powell	3 N. W., 96	1296
— <i>v.</i> Frankissen Bid	6 W. R., Cr., 15	5882
— <i>v.</i> Frankisto Pal	14 W. R., Cr., 41	4727, 4735
— <i>v.</i> Prem Chund Ousowal	W. R., 1864, Cr., 35	1955
— <i>v.</i> Prosonno Bose	5 W. R., Cr., 96	3629, 5166
— <i>v.</i> Prosonno Chunder Gossami	22 W. R., Cr., 36	5574
— <i>v.</i> Prosunno Coomar Moitro	23 W. R., Cr., 56	2011
— <i>v.</i> Protap Chandra Barooah	21 W. R., Cr., 2	4735
— <i>v.</i> Puban	7 W. R., Cr., 1	6253
— <i>v.</i> Pubon	5 W. R., Cr., 66	4494
— <i>v.</i> Punai Fattama	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25: 12 W. R., Cr., 7	5562, 5576
— <i>v.</i> Punamalai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 199	5571
— <i>v.</i> Panchanun Tantee	5 W. R., Cr., 97	4060
— <i>v.</i> Puriag Singh	13 W. R., Cr., 20	4353
— <i>v.</i> Purna Chandra Banerjee	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1	2581
— <i>v.</i> Purnanund Barick	11 W. R., Cr., 13	4734
— <i>v.</i> Pursoram Doss	2 W. R., Cr., 36	968, 3603
— <i>v.</i> ———	7 W. R., Cr., 42	6183, 6251
— <i>v.</i> Radhakanth Paul	9 W. R., Cr., 12	6259
— <i>v.</i> Radhakishen Sein	W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	1804
— <i>v.</i> Radhanath Dosadh	8 W. R., Cr., 53	1509
— <i>v.</i> Radhu Jana	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 59: 12 W. R., Cr., 44	2624
— <i>v.</i> Radhu Sing	8 B. L. R., Ap., 60: 17 W. R., Cr., 34	5549
— <i>v.</i> Raj Coomar Banerjee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 105	2103
— <i>v.</i> ———	W. R., 1864, Cr., 25	1797
— <i>v.</i> Rajcoomar Bose	10 B. L. R., Ap., 36: 19 W. R., Cr., 71	1800, 5154
— <i>v.</i> ———	19 W. R., Cr., 41	4448
— <i>v.</i> Rajcoomar Mookerjee	16 W. R., Cr., 14	15, 1971
— <i>v.</i> Rajcoomar Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 17	735
— <i>v.</i> Rajkishore Roy	15 W. R., Cr., 55	720
— <i>v.</i> Rajkisto Doss	12 W. R., Cr., 43	708
— <i>v.</i> Rajkrishna Biswas	8 B. L. R., Ap., 1	6254
— <i>v.</i> Rajkrishna Mitter	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 37	1151, 6263
— <i>v.</i> Rajoo Ghose	7 W. R., Cr., 106	5529
		6068
		1256
		1790
		1335

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Rakhma	10 Bom., 373	707
— v. Ramachandrappa	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 249	1046
— v. Ramaotar Panre	25 W. R., Cr., 5	5509, 5911
— v. Ramasamy	4 Mad., 152	1670
— v. Ramayya	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 131	3568
— v. Ram Chandra Mookerjee	5 B. L. R., 131	4100
— v. Ram Charan Kairi	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 488: 6 } W. R., Cr., 39.	5161
— v. Ram Chunder Roy	21 W. R., Cr., 28	4725
— v. Ramchunder Sircar	13 W. R., Cr., 18	6268
— v. Ramchurn	3 N. W., 272	978
— v. Ramchurn Chung	24 W. R., Cr., 36	1017
— v. Ram Churn Ghose	20 W. R., Cr., 33	5284, 6166
— v. Ram Churn Kurnokar	24 W. R., Cr., 28	2762, 5573
— v. Ram Churn Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 57	5993
— v. Ramcozul Mitter	1 Hyde, 195	1792
— v. Ram Coomar Chung	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108	4056
— v. Ram Dass	16 W. R., Cr., 69	74
— v. Ramdass Boistub	11 W. R., Cr., 35	6263
— v. Ramdharry Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 5	4855, 5511
— v. Ramdhone Mundul	4 W. R., Cr., 15	5578
— v. Ramdhun Dey	13 W. R., Cr., 77	1261
— v. Ramdhun Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 22	6263
— v. Ramdoyal Mahara	21 W. R., Cr., 47	1154
— v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry	23 W. R., Cr., 35	1836, 2922
— v. Ramdyal Mundle	7 W. R., Cr., 28	1326
— v. Ramdyal Singh	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., } Cr., 65.	117
— v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. } R., Cr., 13.	118
— v. Ramgolam Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 22	4356, 5519
— v. Ramgopal Dhur	10 W. R., Cr., 7	722, 2007
— v. Ramjai Mazumdar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 46	4435, 4627
— v. Ramkishan Halwai	25 W. R., Cr., 48	6272
— v. Ramkishan	8 W. R., Cr., 4	74
— v. Ramkisto Doss	16 W. R., Cr., 27	4692
— v. Ramkrishna Das	7 B. L. R., 446	4692
— v. Ram Lal Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 51	4625
— v. Ram Lochun Singh	18 W. R., Cr., 15	4854
— v. Ram Lugun Lall	7 W. R., Cr., 54	19
— v. Rammoni Sein	7 W. R., Cr., 105	726
— v. Ramnarain	3 C. L. R., 32	1260
— v. Ram Narain Ghose	8 W. R., Cr., 5	5166
— v. Ramnarain Josh	4 W. R., Cr., 37	5545
— v. Ramnath	{ 7 W. R., Cr., 45	977, 1151
— v. Ramnath Surma Biswas	{ 21 W. R., Cr., 9	4505, 6263
— v. Ram Panda	9 B. L. R., Ap., 16	17
— v. Ram Rutton Doss	9 W. R., Cr., 23	2624
— v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee	24 W. R., Cr., 8	464
— v. Ram Sagor	8 W. R., Cr., 57	343
— v. Ram Sahoy Bhar	W. R., 1864, Cr., 24	2733
— v. Ram Saran Tewary	24 W. R., Cr., 45	1670
— v. Ramsarun Chowbey	4 N. W., 46	453
— v. Ramsodoy Chuckerbutty	{ 20 W. R., Cr., 19	25, 1535
— v. Ramtahal Kahar	{ 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 33	2922, 4116
		1332

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Ramtohl Singh	5 W. R., Cr., 12 . . .	2583, 5606
— v. Ramtonee Singh	12 W. R., Cr., 49 . . .	4359
— v. Ramzan . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., Cr., 53.	1806
Rasookoollah . . .	12 W. R., Cr., 51 . . .	16, 1801
Rassul Nushy . . .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 9 . . .	4506
Reaz Ali <i>alias</i> Dulloo Khan	6 W. R., Cr., 77 . . .	343
Reazooden . . .	3 W. R., Cr., 53 . . .	1039
Reddon . . .	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 286 . . .	956
Revu Pothadu . . .	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 390 . . .	5995
Rewat Rajwar . . .	W. R., 1864, Cr., 39 . . .	1349
Rezza Hossein . . .	8 W. R., Cr., 55 . . .	1855
Rhiday Patro . . .	26 W. R., Cr., 1 . . .	253
Roghoo Dome . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 12 . . .	257
Roheem . . .	21 W. R., Cr., 39 . . .	5577
Rohimkant Chose . . .	1 W. R., Cr., 15 . . .	4446
Roopa Gowalla . . .	15 W. R., Cr., 42 . . .	4431
Ross . . .	6 Mad., 342 . . .	1975
Rubbeeoolah . . .	7 W. R., Cr., 13 . . .	5552
Ruchee Ahen . . .	2 W. R., Cr., 39 . . .	4056
Rughoo . . .	W. R., 1864, Cr., 30 . . .	5552, 5575
Rughoo Barick . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 44 . . .	2011
Rughoobur Singh . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 1 . . .	5160
Rughoonundun Puttronuvees	15 W. R., Cr., 19 . . .	4383
Rugonath Pershad . . .	3 N. W., 275 . . .	4450
Rugoonath Dass . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 59 . . .	2763, 5600
Rungatoonee . . .	22 W. R., Cr., 52 . . .	1316
Runjeet Molla . . .	2 W. R., Cr., 31 . . .	4490
— v. Rupan Rai . . .	6 B. L. R., 296: 15 W. R., Cr., 9.	961
— v. Russeek Monee . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 54 . . .	973
— v. Russick Doss . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 76 . . .	6263
— v. Ruthee Ram . . .	2 N. W., 21 . . .	709, 3614
— v. Rutton Dass . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 19 . . .	5164
— v. Rutton Meah . . .	8 W. R., Cr. 57 . . .	4533
— v. Rutton Sahoo . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 49 . . .	1048
— v. Sabed Ali . . .	11 B. L. R., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5.	6069
— v. Sachee <i>alias</i> Sachee Boler	7 W. R., Cr., 112 . . .	4624
— .. Sadhu Mundul . . .	21 W. R., Cr., 69 . . .	24, 1021
— v. Sageena . . .	7 W. R., Cr. 56 . . .	1809
— v. Sagur Bewah . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 20 . . .	396
— v. Saha Mahomed . . .	3 W. R., Cr., 70 . . .	2623
— v. Sahrae . . .	8 W. R., Cr., 31 . . .	5560
— v. Sajowpa . . .	7 W. R., Cr., 100 . . .	4238
— v. Sakiya . . .	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 137 . . .	3569
— v. Salamut Ali . . .	23 W. R., Cr., 59 . . .	719
— v. Salim Sheik . . .	2 W. R., Cr., 57 . . .	986
— v. Sankara . . .	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 381 . . .	1510
— v. Sarob Roy . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 28 . . .	19
— v. Seebchurn Haree . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 12 . . .	5560
— v. Seetanath Ghosal . . .	2 W. R., Cr., 60 . . .	726
— v. Seetul Pershad . . .	5 N. W., 168 . . .	5604
— v. Sellam . . .	6 Mad., 25 . . .	5569
— v. Setul Chunder Bagchee	3 W. R., Cr., 69 . . .	712
— v. Sewa Bhogta . . .	14 B. L. R., 294: 23 W. R., Cr., 12.	4115

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Shadhuchurn Ghose	23 W. R., Cr., 63	1988
— v. Shadry	1 W. R., Cr., 34	3629
— v. Shahabut Sheikh	13 W. R., Cr., 42	2756
— v. Shahon	11 W. R., Cr., 19	2785
— v. Shama Churn Roy	8 W. R., Cr., 27	1967, 1973
— v. Shama Khankee	7 W. R., Cr., 22	726
— v. Shama Sheikh	8 W. R., Cr., 35	5556
— v. Shama Sunkur Biswas	10 W. R., Cr., 25	917, 5156
— v. Sham Bagdi	13 B. L. R., Ap., 19 : 20 W. R., Cr., 73. }	6271
— v. Sham Chand Chowdhry	1 W. R., Cr., 34	6164
— v. Sham Kishore Holdar	13 W. R., Cr., 36	3882
— v. Shamshere Beg	9 W. R., Cr., 51	5154, 6261
— v. Sham Soonder Dass	25 W. R., Cr., 18	720, 727
— v. Sham Soonder Shootar	7 W. R., Cr., 52	255
— v. Shamsuondur	2 N. W., 475	4364
— v. Shamsunder	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 310	1260
— v. Sham Sunder Chowdry	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11	3790
— v. Sharoda Peshagur	2 W. R., Cr., 32	4740
— v. Sheetaram Chowdhry	2 W. R., Cr., 44	5564
— v. Sheo Buksh	2 N. W., 295	918, 5156
— v. Sheobun <i>alias</i> Sheopershad	3 N. W., 150	5605
— v. Sheo Churun	3 N. W., 314	5541
— v. Sheodurshun Dass	3 N. W., 17	1222
— v. Sheogolam Das	6 B. L. R., 692 : 15 W. R., Cr., 58. }	710
— v. Sheopershun Roy	2 N. W., 57	736
— v. Sheosunkur Singh	3 N. W., 1	4855, 5597
— v. Sheoumber Lal	4 N. W., 4	389
— v. Sheppard	13 W. R., Cr., 23	2028
— v. Sheriff	6 W. R., Cr., 13	4622
— v. Shib Chandra Das	6 B. L. R., 730, note	740
— v. Shib Chunder Mundle	8 W. R., Cr., 59	1532, 1720
— v. ———	18 W. R., Cr., 46	5993
— v. Shib Chunder Rai	9 W. R., Cr., 57	6165
— v. Shib Dyal	5 N. W., 188	5603
— v. Shibprosad Giri	19 W. R., Cr., 69	1971
— v. Shifait Ali	2 B. L. R., A., Cr., 12 : 10 W. R., Cr., 61. }	1963
— v. Shona Dagee	24 W. R., Cr., 13	2006
— v. Shonaullah	5 W. R., Cr., 44	5547
— v. Shukur Mahomed	22 W. R., Cr., 68	5574
— v. Shumeeruddeen	2 W. R., Cr., 40	4728
— v. Shunkur	2 N. W., 312	18
— v. ———	2 N. W., 406	5881
— v. Shurroop Chunder Doss	2 W. R., Cr., 65	5543
— v. Shurruffooddeen	13 W. R., Cr., 26	1223
— v. Sib Narain Palodhee	7 W. R., Cr., 33	5883
— v. Siddappa	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 60	5548
— v. Sidham Sircar	20 W. R., Cr., 16	390
— v. Sikundur Bhukut	3 N. W., 146	6166
— v. Sitwa <i>alias</i> Sitaram	14 W. R., Cr., 66	2939, 5663
— v. Smith	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 8 : 4 W. R., Cr., 31. }	721
— v. Sobeel Mahee	5 W. R., Cr., 32	148, 149
		4058

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Sobrai Gowalah	20 W. R., Cr., 70	7755, 5550
— v. Soffiruddi Palwar	{ 13 B. L. R., Ap., 23 : 22 W. R., Cr., 5. }	5577
— v. Sohraie	13 W. R., Cr., 33	5164
— v. Soleemooddeen	7 W. R., Cr., 99	1982
— v. Solim	5 W. R., Cr., 41	4057
— v. Somiruddin	24 W. R., Cr., 48	1331
— v. Sonaoollah	2 W. R., Cr., 44	4186, 4493
— v. ———	25 W. R., Cr., 23	1003, 4432
— v. Sonoo	10 W. R., Cr., 48	4121
— v. Soobhoi	12 W. R., Cr., 26	99
— v. Soobjan	10 B. L. R., 332	1003, 1792
— v. Sookee	7 W. R., Cr., 36	2938
— v. Sookmoy Ghose	10 W. R., Cr., 23	1791, 2009
— v. Soonder Mohoree	9 W. R., Cr., 25	711, 1975
— v. Soondur Putnaick	3 W. R., Cr., 59	5563
— v. Soorut	4 N. W., 62	1222
— v. Soumber Ahir	20 W. R., Cr., 57	4489
— v. Soyumber Singh	9 W. R., Cr., 2	1796
— v. Sreemunt Adup	2 W. R., Cr., 63	5560
— v. Sreenath Dey	15 W. R., Cr., 61	1533
— v. Sreenath Mookhopadhyia	7 W. R., Cr., 45	6260
— v. Sreeputt Giri Gossain	11 W. R., Cr., 23	683, 4499
— v. Srikant Charal	{ 2 B. L. R., F. B., 22 : 10 W. R., Cr., 43. }	1151
— v. Srimonto Kotal	7 W. R., Cr., 31	5566
— v. Sristidhur Shaha	25 W. R., 42	74
— v. Stephenson	3 Moore's I. A., 488	5971
— v. Subal Chunder Gangooly	22 W. R., Cr., 16	5520
— v. Subbanna Gaundan	1 Mad., 30	1954
— v. Subbayya Gaundan	1 Mad., 138	5148
— v. Subbramanya Pillai	3 Mad., 251	4366
— v. Subdar Meeah	3 W. R., Cr., 44	1256
— v. Subramanyam	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 377	1045
— v. Subsookh	2 N. W., 476	2028
— v. Subun Singh	23 W. R., Cr., 57	4359
— v. Suffurudee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 122	1970
— v. Sujjad Ali	3 N. W., 134	2028
— v. Sukhimonee Raur	12 W. R., Cr., 55	4686
— v. Suleem	1 W. R., Cr., 23	1331
— v. Sundara Dass Tevan	4 Mad., 20	4385
— v. Suppi	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 159	390
— v. Surendranath Roy	{ 5 B. L. R., 274 : 13 W. R., Cr., 27. }	968, 6182
— v. Suroop Chunder Dutt	7 W. R., Cr., 29	73, 1987
— v. Surroop Chunder Paul	12 W. R., Cr., 75	6066, 6268
— v. Surwan Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 11	1326
— v. Sustiram Mandal	21 W. R., Cr., 1	6162
— v. Sutherland	14 W. R., Cr., 20	1295, 6258
— v. Tajumaddi Lahory	{ 1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1 : 10 W. R., Cr., 4. }	1044, 3611
— v. Talukanam	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 131	101
— v. Tamma Gbantaya	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 228	5996
— v. Tarinee Churn Bose	21 W. R., Cr., 31	1153, 2767
— v. Tarinee Churn Chuttopadhyia	7 W. R., Cr., 3	4049, 4114
— v. Tarinee Mytee	7 W. R., Cr., 13	19
		5546

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen <i>v. Tarinicharan Dey</i> .	9 B. L. R., Ap., 42 . . .	1834
— <i>v. Tarucknath Mookerjee</i> .	10 B. L. R., 285 : 19 W. R., } Cr., 30. }	1304
— <i>v. Tasuduk Hossein</i> .	6 N. W., 301 . . .	6045
— <i>v. Teekai Bheer</i> . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 95 . . .	5294
— <i>v. Tepoo</i> . . .	3 W. R., Cr., 15 . . .	5548
— <i>v. Tepra Fakeer</i> . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 78 . . .	1330
— <i>v. Thakoor Chund Surma</i> .	13 W. R., Cr., 22 . . .	1805
— <i>v. Thakoor Doss</i> . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 22 . . .	254
— <i>v. Thakoordoss Chootur</i> .	7 W. R., Cr., 7 . . .	1806
— <i>v. Thakoor Ram</i> . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 35 . . .	1533
— <i>v. Thakur Dass</i> . . .	6 N. W., 86 . . .	1511
— <i>v. Thakur Pershad</i> . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 151 . . .	462
— <i>v. Thompson</i> . . .	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 1 . . .	396, 4120
— <i>v. Thunji</i> . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 163 . . .	5496
— <i>v. Tillkoo Goala</i> . . .	8 W. R., Cr., 61 . . .	1534, 3621
— <i>v. Tincouree</i> . . .	W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 . . .	5554
— <i>v. Tofuzzal Ali</i> . . .	7 W. R., Cr., 109 . . .	4067
— <i>v. Tonaokoch</i> . . .	2 W. R., Cr., 63 . . .	5553, 6189
— <i>v. Tonoo</i> . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 46 . . .	5573
— <i>v. Tonooram</i> . . .	3 W. R., Cr., 44 . . .	5574
— <i>v. Toobana Gaundan</i> . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 . . .	1954
— <i>v. Toolshee Rai</i> . . .	5 N. W., 186 . . .	4366
— <i>v. Toral Gujar</i> . . .	3 N. W., 126 . . .	5569
— <i>v. Totaram</i> . . .	11 W. R., Cr., 15 . . .	5154, 6239
— <i>v. Toti Chengan</i> . . .	6 Mad., 349 . . .	261
— <i>v. Toyluckonath Sircar</i> .	2 W. R., Cr., 64 . . .	4506
— <i>v. Tulsi Dosad</i> . . .	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66 . . .	343
— <i>v. Tulsi Dosadh</i> . . .	18 W. R., Cr., 57 . . .	6269
— <i>v. Tulsi Singh</i> . . .	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16 : 10 W. R., Cr., 64. . .	4624
— <i>v. Turnbull</i> . . .	6 Mad., 7 . . .	2904
— <i>v. Uckoor Ghose</i> . . .	1 W. R., Cr., 50 . . .	6163
— <i>v. Udai Patnaik</i> . . .	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5 : 12 W. R., Cr., 68. . .	6190
— <i>v. Udhan Bind</i> . . .	19 W. R., Cr., 68 . . .	24
— <i>v. Udit Singh</i> . . .	25 W. R., Cr., 46 . . .	707
— <i>v. Udyia Changa</i> . . .	20 W. R., Cr., 73 . . .	6168
— <i>v. Ujrail</i> . . .	3 N. W., 212 . . .	1793
— <i>v. Umbica Tantinee</i> . . .	4 W. R., Cr., 21 . . .	2584
— <i>v. Umeschandra Chowdry</i> .	5 B. L. R., 160 : 14 W. R., Cr., 1. . .	970
— <i>v. Ungun Lall</i> . . .	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303 . . .	1045
— <i>v. Upendronath Doss</i> . . .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356 . . .	6025
— <i>v. Vaiyapuri Gaundan</i> . .	1 Mad., 4 . . .	5600
— <i>v. Varthappa</i> . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 382 . . .	1326
— <i>v. Vasantappa</i> . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 . . .	3569
— <i>v. Vaughan</i> . . .	5 B. L. R., 418 . . .	2094, 3851
— <i>v. Vedamuttu</i> . . .	4 Mad., 185 . . .	1963
— <i>v. Velayudam</i> . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 . . .	3617
— <i>v. Velayudam Pillai</i> . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146 . . .	5522
— <i>v. Vencanna</i> . . .	3 Mad., 354 . . .	2901
— <i>v. Venguvayyanganar</i> . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 . . .	1538
— <i>v. Venkatachalam Pillai</i> .	2 Mad., 43 . . .	1963
— <i>v. Vitti Chokkan</i> . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 . . .	4371
— <i>v. Vyapuri</i> . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 . . .	3867
— <i>v. Vyapuri Kangani</i> . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 70 . . .	390

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen <i>v.</i> Wahed Ali	13 W. R., Cr., 42	461
— <i>v.</i> Waris Ali	3 N. W., 337	715
— <i>v.</i> Wazira	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 63: 17 W. } R., Cr., 5. }	263, 4384
— <i>v.</i> Willans	{ 1 Mad., 31: 1 Ind. Jur., O. } S., 94. }	708, 713
— <i>v.</i> Womesh Chunder Ghose	5 W. R., Cr., 71	714
— <i>v.</i> Woodurnul Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 24	4353
— <i>v.</i> Wuzir Mundul	25 W. R., Cr., 25	5529
— <i>v.</i> Yar Ali	13 W. R., Cr., 70	6160
— <i>v.</i> Yasin Sheikh	{ 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6: 12 W. } R., Cr., 68. }	5881
— <i>v.</i> Yellamandu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 157	1331, 4056
— <i>v.</i> Yendava Chandramma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 189	5568
— <i>v.</i> Zahir	10 W. R., Cr., 11	5525
— <i>v.</i> Zahoor Ali Khan	4 N. W., 97	4055
— <i>v.</i> Zakir Ally	8 W. R., Cr., 4	4353
— <i>v.</i> Zalim Rai	1 W. R., Cr., 33	6252
— <i>v.</i> Zamiran	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 521: 6 } W. R., Cr., 65. }	1333
— <i>v.</i> Zemindar of Colgong	1 W. R., Cr., 12	1976
— <i>v.</i> Zuhir	10 W. R., Cr., 11	3627
— <i>v.</i> Zuhiruddin	{ I. L. R., 1 Calc., 219: 25 W. } R., Cr., 27. }	1794
— <i>v.</i> Zuhoorul Huq	25 W. R., Cr., 64	6027
— <i>v.</i> Zulfukar Khan	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 16 W. } R., Cr., 36. }	1535
Queen on the information of Narain Koer <i>v.</i> Roshun Lal.	4 N. W., 123	1790, 2733
Queen on the prosecution of Dowlath Bee <i>v.</i> Ali	5 Mad., 473	3742
Queen on the prosecution of Gocul Chand <i>v.</i> Seith Roshun Lal.	2 N. W., 82	4377
Queen on the prosecution of Madras Railway Co. <i>v.</i> Jones.	1 Mad., 193	1325
Queen on the prosecution of Madras Railway Co. <i>v.</i> Malony.		2912
Queen on the prosecution of Rookia Banu <i>v.</i> Roberts	1 B. L. R., S. N., 5	4236
Queen on the prosecution of Ward <i>v.</i> Turner	4 N. W., 94	959
Queen-Empress <i>v.</i> Abdulla	I. L. R., 7 All., 385	1796
— <i>v.</i> Abdul Latib valad Abdul Rahiman	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 186	2911
— <i>v.</i> Abhran Umar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 575	5887
— <i>v.</i> Adam valad Shaik Farid	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 193	5995
— <i>v.</i> Ademma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 369	3862
— <i>v.</i> Ahmed	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 448	262, 5888
— <i>v.</i> Ala Bakhsh	I. L. R., 6 All., 484	5148, 5149
— <i>v.</i> Amarsang Jetha	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 506	395
— <i>v.</i> Amir Khan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 836	1310
— <i>v.</i> Appa Subhana Mendre	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 200	718, 1269
— <i>v.</i> Appathorai	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 167	4749, 5285
— <i>v.</i> Appava	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 141	3577
— <i>v.</i> Atar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 79	3567
— <i>v.</i> Autal Muchi	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1026	1954
— <i>v.</i> Bai Rukshmoni	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 340	1789
— <i>v.</i> Baldeo	I. L. R., 8 All., 509	964
— <i>v.</i> Bandhu	I. L. R., 8 All., 51	344
		5882

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen-Empress v. Bapuji Dayaram	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 288	{ 802, 850 1970, 4368
— v. Batesar Mandal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 604	{ 1968 344, 721
— v. Bepin Biswas	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 970	{ 1004, 1853 914, 1839
— v. Burke	I. L. R., 6 All., 224	{ 5884 4070
— v. Chenchugadu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 421	{ 1798, 1964 5511, 5513
— v. Dala Jiva	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 190	{ 6265 6258
— v. Dan Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 802	{ 1511 454
— v. Dhananjai Chaudhuri	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 931	{ 1311 707
— v. Dhum Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 220	{ 1025 4364
— v. Dhundi	I. L. R., 8 All., 304	{ 5559 5147
— v. Dorabji Hormasji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 131	{ 1798 1952, 2107
— v. Dorasami	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 284	{ 6024 1219, 1308
— v. Dosa Jiva	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 231	{ 5147 1960
— v. Dungar	I. L. R., 8 All., 252	{ 5992 1258
— v. Dungar Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 29	{ 1980 1974, 2014
— v. Durga Charan	I. L. R., 7 All., 672	{ 655 3867
— v. Durga Sonar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 580	{ 1726, 5207 618
— v. Edwards	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 333	{ 462, 2897 1309
— v. Erramreddi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 296	{ 3614 619
— v. Fox	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 176	{ 2014 1269, 1298
— v. Gangaram	I. L. R., 8 All., 38	{ 262, 1258 6288
— v. Gangaram Santram	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135	{ 1793, 3604 5538
— v. Ganpat Tapidas	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 256	{ 2584 5163, 6169
— v. Ghulet	I. L. R., 7 All., 44	{ 3866 1003
— v. Girdhari Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 653	{ 4626, 6180 5854
— v. Goundadu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 350	{ 4113 5886
— v. Govinda Punja	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 295	{ 2758 1954, 4357
— v. Grees Chunder Banerjee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1024	{ 5510 5861
— v. Gujria	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 568	{ 346, 4534 5861
— v. Gustadji Barjorji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 181	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Hasnu	I. L. R., 6 All., 367	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Havia Tellapa	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 196	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Honkerapa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 534	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 403	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Husein Gaibu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 307	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Imdad Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 120	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Iskri Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 672	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Ishwar Chandra Sur	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 13	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 649	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Jacquiet	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 85	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Jagannath Bhikaji Bhawe	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Jagrup	I. L. R., 7 All., 646	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Janki Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 293	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Jethmal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 27	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Jokhu	I. L. R., 8 All., 99	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Joti Rajnak	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 333	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Juala Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 174	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Jugal Kishore	I. L. R., 8 All., 382	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Juggernath	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 267	{ 5861 346, 4534
— v. Kallu	I. L. R., 7 All., 160	{ 5861 346, 4534

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen-Empress <i>v. Kamalia</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 595 . . .	1019
<i>v. Kandhaia</i>	I. L. R., 7 All., 67 . . .	1670
<i>v. Kethigadu</i>	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 373 . . .	3573
<i>v. Khairati</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 204 . . .	6070
<i>v. Kharga</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 665 . . .	718, 5598
<i>v. Khasim</i>	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 202 . . .	390
<i>v. Kishan Bapu</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 93 . . .	1045
<i>v. Krishna</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 347 . . .	3631
<i>v. Krishnabbat</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 319 . . .	{ 919, 1025 1324, 6287
<i>v. Krishnappa</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 276 . . .	4689
<i>v. Kuppu</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 560 . . .	5523
<i>v. Lakshmana</i>	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 42 . . .	6169
<i>v. Lakshman Dagdu</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 512 . . .	2623
<i>v. Lalla</i>	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 428 . . .	655
<i>v. Lalli</i>	I. L. R., 7 All., 749 . . .	4365
<i>v. Laskari</i>	I. L. R., 7 All., 853 . . .	1307
<i>v. Lingaya</i>	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 258 . . .	3146, 3178
<i>v. Lochan</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 635 . . .	4057
<i>v. Madho</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 291 . . .	4688
<i>v. Maheshri Bakhsh Singh</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 380 . . .	4363
<i>v. Mancherji Kavasji Shapurji</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 97 . . .	3560.
<i>v. Mangal Tekchand</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 259 . . .	253, 2909
<i>v. _____</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 263 . . .	2909
<i>v. _____</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 274 . . .	3555, 6024
<i>v. Mania Dayal</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 497 . . .	4750
<i>v. Mathews</i>	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1022 . . .	1017
<i>v. Mathura Das</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 491 . . .	18
<i>v. Mehrban Sing</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 626 . . .	1969
<i>v. Mitthu Lal</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 18 . . .	6287
<i>v. Mohan</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 622 . . .	4056
<i>v. Morton</i>	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 288 . . .	{ 2106, 2789 5528
<i>v. Nandkishore</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 248 . . .	1338
<i>v. Nanjappa</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 432 . . .	3568
<i>v. Narayanasami</i>	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 36 . . .	258
<i>v. Nathu</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 214 . . .	4734
<i>v. Nga Tha Moung</i>	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643 . . .	6023
<i>v. Nowab Jan</i>	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 551 . . .	985, 1310
<i>v. Nur Mahomed</i>	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 223 . . .	1025, 1323
<i>v. O'Shaughnessy</i>	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 429 . . .	3575
<i>v. Palani</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 537 . . .	5854
<i>v. Pandu valad Gopala</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 199 . . .	961
<i>v. Papadu</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 454 . . .	1309
<i>v. Parmeshar Dat</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 201 . . .	4691
<i>v. Parsbham Raysing</i>	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 216 . . .	1966
<i>v. Pershad</i>	I. L. R., 7 All., 414 . . .	{ 716, 3611 5560
<i>v. Pestanji Barjorji</i>	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 456 . . .	604
<i>v. Pillala</i>	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 101 . . .	850
<i>v. Pir Mahomed</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 254 . . .	5553
<i>v. Pirya Gopal</i>	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 100 . . .	1307
<i>v. Podiathal</i>	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 342 . . .	5497
<i>v. Polavarapu</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 563 . . .	963
<i>v. Purshotam Kala</i>	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 269 . . .	1514
<i>v. Ramakka</i>	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 5 . . .	5906
<i>v. Ramji Sajabarao</i>	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 124 . . .	1979, 4357

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radha Ballab Ghose <i>v.</i> Beharilal Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 155 : 12 W. R., 537.	1627
Radhabenode Misser <i>v.</i> Kripamoyee Dabee	10 B. L. R., 386 : 17 W. R., 262 : 14 Moore's I. A., 443.	4026
Radhabhai <i>v.</i> Shama	4 Bom., A. C., 155	3395, 3441 4474
Radha Bibee <i>v.</i> Government	2 Hay, 562	2002
_____ <i>v.</i> Mucksoodun Doss	21 W. R., 204	213, 1718 2825
Radha Binode Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Digumburee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947 : 9 } W. R., 236.	759, 3447
_____ <i>v.</i> Juggut Surnokar	6 W. R., 300	196
_____ <i>v.</i> Mudhoo Soodun Sircar	7 W. R., 198	3447
Radha Binode Dutt <i>v.</i> Kootabode Mundul	15 W. R., 363	6096
Radha Binode Misser. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 730	279
_____ <i>v.</i> Kripa Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 531	279
Radha Bullub Gossain <i>v.</i> Kishen Gobind Gossain	9 W. R., 71	4192
Radha Bullubh Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Banee Madhub Chuckerbutty.	23 W. R., 230	341
Radhabullubh Sil. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 300 : 11 C. } L. R., 274.	4580
Radha Bullubh Singh <i>v.</i> Dheraj Mahtab Chand	2 W. R., Mis., 51	2879
Radhabullub Surma <i>v.</i> Anundmoyee Debea	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39	235, 4910
Radha Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Gireedharee Sahoo	20 W. R., 243	1749
Radha Charan Ghatak <i>v.</i> Zamirunissa Khanum	2 B. L. R., A. C., 67 : 11 W. R., 83.	1731, 5813
Radha Churn Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Chunder Monee Shikdar	9 W. R., 290	140
Radha Churn Dass <i>v.</i> Kripa Sindhu Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 474 : 4 C. } L. R., 428.	2355, 2455
Radha Churn Dass Gossamee <i>v.</i> Akran Khootea	20 W. R., 420	5985
Radha Churn Gangooly <i>v.</i> Anund Sein	15 W. R., 444	1748, 1768
_____ <i>v.</i> Gudadhur Bahadoor	15 W. R., 166	5701
Radha Churn Roy <i>v.</i> Moran & Co.	13 W. R., 342	6274
Radha Churn Shaha <i>v.</i> Parbuttee Churn Dutt	25 W. R., 52	3907
Radhagobind Dass <i>v.</i> Prokash Chunder Dass	14 W. R., 108	4463
Radha Gobind Gossami <i>v.</i> Ooma Soonduree Dossia	24 W. R., 363	2800
Radha Gobind Koer <i>v.</i> Rakhaldas Mukerji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 82	1742, 3049 5195
Radha Gobind Kur <i>v.</i> Ram Kishore Dutt	8 W. R., 340	2774
Radha Gobind Roy <i>v.</i> Inglis	7 C. L. R., 364	4174
_____ <i>v.</i> Kyamutoollah	21 W. R., 401	1616
_____ <i>v.</i> Shama Soonduree Dabee	21 W. R., 403	1613
Radha Gobind Shah <i>v.</i> Oozeer	15 W. R., 219	5419
Radha Gobind Shaha <i>v.</i> Bank of Bengal	2 C. L. R., 565	631
_____ <i>v.</i> Brijendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 527	1906
_____ <i>v.</i> Brojender Coomar Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 372	805, 3830
_____ <i>v.</i> Brojendro Coomar Chowdhry	15 W. R., 207	3512
_____ <i>v.</i> Chunder Nath Dass Shaha	6 W. R., 301	2574
_____ <i>v.</i> Inam Buksh Ostagur	15 W. R., 217	1369
Radhagobind Surmah <i>v.</i> Umber Ali	15 W. R., 27	3918, 3919
Radhajeibun Moostafee. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 8 : 1 Ind. Jur., } N. S., 97.	4119
Radha Jeebun Mostoofee <i>v.</i> Bissessur Mostoofee	2 Hay, 178	1500
Radhajeibun Mustafee <i>v.</i> Denonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 49	4931
Radha Jeebun Mustofee <i>v.</i> Tara Monee Dossee	3 W. R., Mis., 25	1919
Radhajiban Mustafi <i>v.</i> Taramani Dasi	2 B. L. R., P. C., 79 : 11 W. R., P. C., 31 : 12 Moore's } I. A., 380.	990, 1914

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhajibon Mustofee v. Forlong . . .	2 Hay, 122 . . .	1196
Radha Kant Deb v. Khema Dossee . . .	7 W. R., 105 . . .	1615, 6245
Radha Kant Doss v. Ayesb Ali Shaha . . .	8 W. R., 109 . . .	997
Radha Kant Roy v. Sadafut Mahomed Khan . . .	21 W. R., 86 . . .	5426
Radhakant Shaha v. Abhoy Churn Mitter . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 721 . . .	5856
Radhakant Shuba v.	11 C. L. R., 310 . . .	5856
Radhakisan Hakumji v. Balvant Ramji . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 530 . . .	409
Radha Kishen v. Ameerodeen . . .	11 W. R., 204 . . .	226
_____ v. Bukhtawur Lall . . .	1 Agra, 1 . . .	337, 2484
_____ v. Chotey Lall . . .	3 N. W., 155 . . .	5665
_____ v. Shureefunnissa . . .	W. R., 1864, 11 . . .	1697
Radha Kishen Chowdhry v. Komul Shaw . . .	25 W. R., 128 . . .	1696
Radhakishen Man v. Bachhaman . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 118 . . .	2183, 2466
Radhakishen Manjee v. Ram Mundul . . .	6 W. R., 147 . . .	4292
Radhakishore v. Giridharee Sahee . . .	13 W. R., Cr., 19 . . .	2305
Radhakishore Debia v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry . . .	12 W. R., 79 . . .	4098
Radha Kishore Mookerjee v. Mirtoonjoy Gow . . .	7 W. R., 23 . . .	4883
Radha Kishore Roy v. Ameer Chunder Mookhoty . . .	20 W. R., 386 . . .	2085, 4156
_____ v. Sitto Sirdar . . .	24 W. R., 172 . . .	557
Radhakishore Talookdar v. Goluck Chunder Roy . . .	11 W. R., 366 . . .	3064
Radhakissen Mahapatter v. Sreekissen Mahapatter . . .	1 W. R., 62 . . .	2744, 4162
Radhakissen Rowra Dakna v. Chooneeloll Dutt . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 445 : 5 C. L. R., 172 . . .	3348, 3796
Radhakissore Bose v. Aftab Chandra Mahatab . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 61 . . .	4266, 4849
Radhakissoree Dossee v. Guthee Kissen Sircar . . .	W. R., 1864, 272 . . .	1915, 1940
Radha Kisto Mytee v. Bhugwan Chunder Bose . . .	1 W. R., 248 . . .	3520
Radha Kisto Singh Deo v. Gudadhur Banerjee . . .	8 W. R., 453 . . .	3348
Radha Koonwar v. Jankee Koonwar . . .	9 W. R., 199 . . .	3373
Radha Krishna v. O'Flaherty . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 277 : 12 W. R., 145 . . .	4214, 6247
Radhakrishna Sett v. Harakrishna Das . . .	1 B. L. R., O. C., 1 . . .	3256
Radha Kristo Balo v. Rup Chunder Nundy . . .	3 C. L. R., 480 . . .	2998
Radhakristo Chaklanuviv v. Kaleprossono Roy . . .	15 W. R., 268 . . .	1466
Radha Kristo Dutt v. Gunga Narain Chatterjee . . .	23 W. R., 328 . . .	3331
Radha Kristo Panjah v. Bamasoonduree Dossee . . .	13 W. R., 9 . . .	1212
Radhamadhub Dutt v. Ramrunjun Chuckerbutty . . .	17 W. R., 461 . . .	167
Radhamadhub Ghose v. Khirudnauth Roy . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 84 . . .	1908
Radhamadhub Gossain v. Radhaballub Gossain . . .	1 Hay, 311 : 2 Ind. Jur., O. S. 5 . . .	1139
Radha Madhub Pal v. Kalee Churn Pal . . .	18 W. R., 41 . . .	907
Radha Madhub Panda v. Juggernath Doob . . .	14 W. R., 183 . . .	1506, 2110
Radha Malakar v. Srishtee Narain Shaha . . .	21 W. R., 88 . . .	2114
Radhamohan Dhar v. Ramdas Dey . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 362 : 24 W. R., 86, note . . .	3036
Radha Mohan Naskar v. Jadunath Das . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 261 : 12 W. R., 68 . . .	1426, 3042
Radhamohun Deb v. Nund Lal Dey . . .	7 W. R., 363 . . .	4267
Radha Mohun Dutt v. Bissessur Bundopadhya . . .	6 W. R., 90 . . .	2208, 2488
Radhamohun Gowie v. Mobesh Chunder Kotwal . . .	7 W. R., 3 . . .	544, 6278
Radha Mohun Mundul v. Buckshee Begum . . .	Marsh, 471 : 2 Hay, 595 . . .	6124
_____ v. Jadoomonee Dossee . . .	23 W. R., 369 . . .	1394
_____ v. Neel Madhab Mundul . . .	24 W. R., 200 . . .	3161
_____ v. Shoshi Bhoosun Biswas . . .	3 C. L. R., 530 . . .	566
Radhamohun Roy v. Raj Chunder Dass . . .	2 C. L. R., 377 . . .	2019, 6113
_____ v. Raj Chunder Shah . . .	22 W. R., 522 . . .	1991
		2520
		4229
		5922

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhamonee v. Ram Narain Dey	22 W. R., 440	4267
Radhamonee Chowdhraïn v. Gray	12 W. R., 295	2989
Radhamonee Dossia v. Shibessurree Debia	6 W. R., Act X, 25	1439
Radha Monee v. Kishna	2 Agra, Pt. II, 188	539
Radhamoye Dey Chowdhry v. Aghore Nath Biswas	25 W. R., 384	1612
Radhanath Banerjee v. Jodoonath Singh	7 W. R., 441	1030, 2770
_____ v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	1 W. R., 288	3243, 4141
_____ v. Kangalee Mollah	Marsh., 407 : 2 Hay, 538	51
Radha Nath Bose v. Bama Churn Mookerjee	25 W. R., 415	5623
_____ v. Suttoprosono Ghose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269	3294
Radhanath Chowdhry. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 289	2092
_____ v. Joy Soonder Moitra	2 C. L. R., 302	5289
Radhanath Das v. Elliott	6 B. L. R., 530	2931
Radhanath Dhoopce v. Luckhee Kant Pal	12 W. R., 224, note	3326, 3364
Radhanath Dhubi v. Ram Gobind Pal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 218	3385, 6125
Radhanath Doss v. Gisborne & Co.	14 Moore's I. A., 1: 15 W. R., P. C., 24.	6145
_____ v. Khellut Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 558	3326, 3364
Radhanath Dutt v. Gobind Chunder Chatterjee	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 19	3386, 6125
Radhanath Kundu v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 559: 8 C. L. R., 10.	6145
Radha Nath Mozoomdar v. Purikhit Bodrik	W. R., 1864, Act X, 60.	1737
Radhanath Panja v. Wooma Churn Chowdhry	22 W. R., Cr., 12	3322
Radhanath Sein v. Bungo Chunder Sein	W. R., 1864, 157	5014
Radhanath Shaha v. Kameenee Soonderee Dossee	2 W. R., 37	531
Radhanath Sugracharji v. Baidonath Seal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 118	962
Radhaparshad Singh v. Balmukand Ojha	7 N. W., 318	3985
Radhapearee Dossee v. Doorga Monee Dossia	5 W. R., 131	5235, 5745
Radhapershad Misser v. Monohur Das	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 317: 7 C. L. R., 293.	5282
Radha Pershad Singh v. Bajhawun Oopadhya	24 W. R., 116	2865, 5188
_____ v. Phuljuri Koer	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 402	2302
_____ v. Ramjeewun Singh	11 W. R., 389	3895
_____ v. Ramparmeswar Singh	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 797: 13 C. L. R., 22.	2990
_____ v. Sansar Roy	14 W. R., 27	5980
_____ v. Talook Raj Kooer	20 W. R., 38	5983
Radha Prasad Singh v. Bhagwan Rai	1. L. R., 5 All., 289	1211
_____ v. Bhajan Rai	1. L. R., 7 All., 677	5117
_____ v. Rajendra Kishore Singh	1. L. R., 5 All., 209	2277
_____ v. Salik Rai	1. L. R., 5 All., 245	3310, 3312
Radha Prasad Singh v. Sundur Lall	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 644	3533
Radha Proshad Singh v. Ram Coomar Singh	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 796: 1 C. L. R., 259.	636, 4185
Radha Proshad Wasti v. Esuf	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 414: 9 C. L. R., 76.	998
Radha Pyari Debi v. Nabin Chandra Chowdhry	5 B. L. R., 708: 13 W. R., F. B., 80.	5086
Radha Ram Deb v. James	20 W. R., 410	3135, 3471
Radha Rumon Koondoo v. Phool Koomaree Bibee	10 W. R., 28	44
Radhay Kishan v. Kali Misser	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 151	1178, 1471
Radheeka Chowdrain v. Ram Mohun Ghose	1 W. R., 367	4956
		5613
		2342
		579
		1593

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhey Koonwer <i>v.</i> Ajoodhya Pandey	3 Agra, 69	5129
Radhey Lal <i>v.</i> Mahesh Prasad	1 L. R., 7 All., 864	1713
Radhey Pandey <i>v.</i> Munsaram	5 Agra, 85	4548
Radhey Pandey <i>v.</i> Nund Koomar Pandey	2 Agra, Pt. II, 164	3288
Radhey Tewari <i>v.</i> Bujha Misr	1 L. R., 3 All., 413	4003
Radhia <i>v.</i> Beni	1 L. R., 1 All., 560	5011
Radhika Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Bama Sundari Dasi	4 B. L. R., P. C., 8: 13 } Moore's I. A., 248. }	1595, 4150
Radhika Churn Sen <i>v.</i> Lukhee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 513	3472
Radhika Churn Sen <i>v.</i> Judoonath Gossamee	20 W. R., 412	687
Radhika Mohan Sett. In the goods of	7 B. L. R., 563	4665
Radhika Mohun Roy <i>v.</i> Gunga Narain Chowdhry	21 W. R., 115	1747
Radhika Pershad Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Pooroheet	22 W. R., 23	47
Radhika Pershad Shachoo <i>v.</i> Gooroo Prosunno Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 27	556
Radhikaprasad Chunder <i>v.</i> Ramsunder Kur	20 W. R., 125	3434
Radhika Prashad Dey <i>v.</i> Dharma Dasi Debi	1 B. L. R., A. C., 7	1253
Radhika Prosunno Chunder <i>v.</i> Nehalee Churn Dey	3 B. L. R., A. C., 124: 11 W. } R., 499. }	2350
Radhika Prosunno Chunder <i>v.</i> Urjoon Majhee	15 W. R., 410	3071
Radhoo Chowdhrair <i>v.</i> Heet Lal Roy	20 W. R., 128	2691
Radhoo Nissee <i>v.</i> Ram Junoo Nissee	11 W. R., 209	3493
Radho Panday <i>v.</i> Rup Kuar	2 Hay, 83	2893
Radoinath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	7 N. W., 223	3379
Rae Damodhur Doss <i>v.</i> Bholanath	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 195	715, 1287
Rae Manick Chund <i>v.</i> Beharee Lall	2 N. W., 413	1926
Raesoonissa Begun <i>v.</i> Sharoda Soonduree Chowdhrair	2 N. W., 263	3888
Rafautollah Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Shushee Shikhar Banerjee	16 W. R., 25	3823
Raffee Mahomed <i>v.</i> Abbas Khan	14 W. R., 57	4228
Raffin <i>v.</i> S. S. "Chilka"	8 W. R., Cr., 67	1955
Ragava <i>v.</i> Rajagopal	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 196	5500
Ragava Chariar <i>v.</i> Vedanta Chariar	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 39	2886
Ragava Pishardi <i>v.</i> Ayumanjiri Mankal Thupan <i>alias</i> Valia Thumbrakle.	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 259	915
Ragavendra Rau <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kanitaraganar	4 Mad., 261	3472
Raghab Chandra Banerjee <i>v.</i> Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry.	1 Mad., 230	3584
Raghapa bin Hanmapa <i>v.</i> Parapa bin Shivapa	9 B. L. R., 91, note: 14 W. } R., 489. }	5330
Raghia <i>v.</i> Dharma Jhatu	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 217	760
Ragho Bagaji <i>v.</i> Anaji Manaji Patil	1 Bom., 52	5819
Raghoobar Misser <i>v.</i> Sital	5 Bom., A. C., 116	4022
Raghobir Singh <i>v.</i> Dharam Kuar	7 N. W., 228	2865
Ragho Govind <i>v.</i> Balvant Amrit	1 L. R., 3 All., 108	1233
Ragho Govind Paranjpe <i>v.</i> Dipchand	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 101	1400
Raghoji Bhikaji <i>v.</i> Abdul Karim	1 L. R., 4 Bom., 96	635, 1074
Raghoobur Dyal <i>v.</i> Dwarka Dass	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 590	2714
Raghoonadha Perya Oodya Taver <i>v.</i> Katama Nauchear	3 N. W., 343	3209
Raghoonath <i>v.</i> Luchmun Singh	10 W. R., P. C., 1: 11 Moore's } I. A., 50. }	2818
Raghoonath Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Ram Churn Sahoo	1 Agra, 132	4988
Raghoonath Pershad <i>v.</i> Surjoo Pershad Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 48	4026
Raghoonath Pershad <i>v.</i> Surjoo Pershad Singh	22 W. R., 162	209
Raghoonath Pershad <i>v.</i> Surjoo Pershad Singh	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 73: 13 C. } L. R., 263. }	3193
Raghoonath Pershad <i>v.</i> Surjoo Pershad Singh	10 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 19 W. } R., Cr., 28. }	3443
Raghoonath Pershad <i>v.</i> Surjoo Pershad Singh	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 128	978
Raghoonath Pershad <i>v.</i> Surjoo Pershad Singh		1174, 3967

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raghubai Ram Chandra. In the matter of	6 Bom., O. C., 86	2661
Raghubans Gir v. Sheosaran Gir	I. L. R., 5 All., 243	3462
Raghubans Kuar v. Mahpal Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744: 6 C. L. R., 593: L. R., 7 I. A., 63	1841
Raghubanund Doss v. Sadhu Churn Doss	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 425: 3 C. L. R., 534	2451, 2466
Raghubar Chowdry v. Bhaikdhari Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 11 W. R., 455	1408
Raghubar Dayal v. Bank of Upper India	I. L. R., 5 All., 364	5494
— v. Budhu Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 95	3979
— v. Ilahi Buksh	I. L. R., 7 All., 460	5459
— v. Lachmin Shankar	I. L. R., 5 All., 461	3381
Raghubar Dyal Sahu v. Bhikya Lal Misser	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 69	2076, 3429
Raghumoni Audhicary v. Nilmoni Singh Deo	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 393	3293
Raghunandan Rai v. Mahabir Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720	4545
Raghunandan Singh v. Majbuth Singh	6 B. L. R., 387, note: 10 W. R., 379	3720
Raghu Nandan Thakur v. Ramcharan Kapali	1 B. L. R., F. B., 55: 10 W. R., F. B., 39	5828
Raghu Nath v. Thakuri	I. L. R., 4 All., 16	2481
Raghu Nath Bali v. Maharaj Bali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 777: L. R., 12 I. A., 112	3371
Raghunath Das. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952
— v. Ashraf Husain Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 252	5615
— v. Badri Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952
— v. Kakkam Mal	I. L. R., 3 All., 568	5435, 6017
— v. Raj Kumar	I. L. R., 7 All., 276	233, 5951
— v. —	I. L. R., 7 All., 876	5951
Raghunath Ganesh v. Gangadhar Bhikaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 60	1227
Raghunath Gopal v. Nilu Nathaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 452	190, 2774
Raghunath Prasad v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 76	2186
— v. Jurawan Rai	I. L. R., 8 All., 105	3939
Raghunath Shaw v. Rajkrishna Deb	1 B. L. R., S. N., 2	158
Raghunath Singh v. Ram Kumar Mandal	5 B. L. R., Ap., 64: 14 W. R., 81	4974
Raghu Ram v. Danna Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 285	3508
Raghu Ram Biswas v. Ram Chandra Dobay	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34: W. R., F. B., 127	5701
Ragunada Rau v. Nathamuni Thathamayyanga	6 Mad., 423	2786
Rahi v. Govinda valad Teja	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 97	2319, 2440
Rahiman Khan Samoji Sahib v. Patcha Miyah	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 285	810
Rahimatbai v. Hirbai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 34	2226, 2312
Rahim Bibi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 59	4133
Rahmani Bibi v. Hulasa Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 642	4236
Rahmatulla v. Sariutulla Kagehi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 58: 10 W. R., F. B., 51	3213, 3214 1429, 4812 4829, 4851 5800
Rahoman v. Fuzuloonissa	W. R., 1864, 326	5789
Rai Balkishen v. Rai Sitaram	I. L. R., 7 All., 731	437, 2402
Rai Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer	I. L. R., 6 All., 560: L. R., 11 I. A., 164	2272, 2560
Rai Chand v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 292	193
Raichand Mangal v. Abdulla Amruddin Kotval	5 Bom., A. C., 99	5655
Raicharan Pal v. Pyari Mani Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 70	2486
Rai Dhanpat Singh Bahadur v. Madhumati Debi	9 B. L. R., 197, note: 18 W. R., 316	498

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raie Churn Paul v. Suroop Chunder Mytee	9 W. R., 598	2514
Rai Gobind Singh v. Soonder Pal	2 Agra, Pt. II, 177	5617
Raiji Manor v. Desai Kallianrai Hukmatrai	6 Bom., A. C., 56	3377
Raiji Narottam v. Purushottam Girdhar	2 Bom., 244 : 2nd Ed., 233	5630
Rai Kishen Chand v. Sheo Baram Rai	7 N. W., 121	4603
Raikisori Dasi v. Bonomali Charan Maiti	1 B. L. R., S. N., 14 : 10 W. R., 209. }	2693, 4924
Rai Komul Dossee v. Laidley	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 957	5178
Railoo Mull v. Nanuk	1 N. W., 171 : Ed. 1873, 250	4111
Rai Manik Chand v. Madhoram	3 B. L. R., P. C., 5 : 11 W. R., P. C., 42 : 13 Moore's I. A., 1. }	36, 1343
Rai Mohun Roy v. Wise	16 W. R., Cr., 24	4501
Rai Mooraree Doss v. Bucha Singh	4 N. W., 122	4589
Rai Narain Dass v. Newton	6 N. W., 43	2808
_____ v. Nownit Lal	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 809 : 4 C. L. R., 67. }	2396
Rainey. In re	6 B. L. R., 725, note	901
_____ v. Ishur Chunder Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 333	901
_____ v. Nobo Coomar Mookerjee	5 C. L. R., 543	3157
Rai Purdimun Kishen v. Roushun Singh	1 Agra, 111	3253
Rai Seeta Ram v. Kishun Dass alias Kishnaram	3 Agra, 402	1714
Rai Sri Kishen v. Rai Huri Kishen	5 Moore's I. A., 432	1729
Raisuddin Chowdhry v. Khoda Newaz Chowdhry	12 C. L. R., 479	3007, 3992
Raisunnissa Begum. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 129 : 10 W. R., 62. }	677
_____ v. Khujjunnissa	4 B. L. R., A. C., 149 : 13 W. R., 143. }	679
Raja v. Subbaraya	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 253	2466
Rajabai v. Ismail Ahmed	7 Bom., O. C., 27	3674
Rajagopal. In re	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 447	3091
Rajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput	7 Mad., 98	3035
Rajagopalashari v. Subbaraya Mudali	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 31	5302
Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 103	997
Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagam v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah. }	7 Mad., 210	3753
Rajah of Kantit. In the matter of the petition of }	1 L. R., 8 All., 668	6257
Rajah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu	L. R., 12 I. A., 16	4661, 5028
_____ v. Venkata Mahipati Surya	L. R., 12 I. A., 116	4878
Rajah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of }	6 Mad., 92	5508
Rajah of Venkatagiri v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 13	223
_____ v. Pitchana	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 27	3592
Rajah Ram v. Baine Madho	5 N. W., 81	5400
Rajan v. Basuva Chetti	2 Mad., 428	55
Rajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji	1 L. R., 4 Bom., 70	1096, 1403
Rajanikanth Biswas v. Ram Nath Neogy	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 244	6131
Rajanikanth Nag Rai Chowdhuri v. Hari Mohan Guha	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 470	1902, 5385
Rajaram v. Bansi	1 L. R., 1 All., 207	6039
Rajaram Banerjee v. Sonatam Roy	23 W. R., 404	3163, 3718
Rajaram Bhagvat v. Jibai	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 151	2518, 6096
Rajaram Chowdhry v. Seetola Buksh Misser	7 W. R., 113	4293
Rajaram Govindram v. Brown	7 Bom., O. C., 97	239
Rajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita	13 W. R., 113	5644, 5586
Rajaram Lala v. Kaliappen	5 Mad., 129	1758
Rajaram Tewari v. Lachman Prasad	4 B. L. R., A. C., 118 : 12 W. R., 478. }	906
		1455, 1750
		4255

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajaram Tewary <i>v.</i> Luchmun Persad	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 731 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 216 : 8 W. R., 15.	2188, 4032
Raja Singh <i>v.</i> Sulka	I. L. R., 6 All., 398	216
Raja valad Hussein. In re	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 174	5540
Raja valad Shivapa <i>v.</i> Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 232	
Raj Bahadur <i>v.</i> Birmha Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 85	2863, 5082
_____ <i>v.</i> Bishen Dayal	I. L. R., 4 All., 343	499
Raj Bahadur Singh <i>v.</i> Achumbit Lal	L. R., 6 I. A., 110 : 6 C. L. R., 12.	3348
Raj Begum <i>v.</i> Reza Hossein	2 W. R., 76	1353, 3686
Raj Beharee Roy <i>v.</i> Tarapershad Roy	20 W. R., 188	5283
Rajballab Saha <i>v.</i> Ramsaday Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 65 : 14 W. R., 155.	837
Raj Bullub Addhya <i>v.</i> Gobindo Chunder Moitro	12 W. R., Cr., 66	4097
Rajbullub Buye <i>v.</i> Taranath Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 2	3496
Raj Bullub Gope <i>v.</i> Issan Chunder Hajrah	7 W. R., 355	1366, 5431
Raj Bullubh Mitter <i>v.</i> Sreeram Sircar	25 W. R., 109	6315
Rajbullubh Sen <i>v.</i> Oomesh Chunder Roop	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 44 : 3 C. L. R., 384.	2196
Raj Bullub Shaha <i>v.</i> Gossain Dass Shaha	13 W. R., 400	1878
Rajbullub Shamme <i>v.</i> Waris Mahomed	8 W. R., 450	4479
Rajbunsee Lal <i>v.</i> Judoobuns Suhaye	9 W. R., 285	1417
Raj Chandra Bose <i>v.</i> Dharma Chandra Bose	2 B. L. R., A. C., 77 : 8 B. L. R., 510, note : 10 W. R., 416	5690
Raj Chandra Poddar <i>v.</i> Manorama	3 B. L. R., Ap., 148 : 12 W. R., 353.	3999
Raj Chookun Duswandi <i>v.</i> Busjeet Tewaree	20 W. R., 165	782
Raj Chunder Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Meertunjoy Sheeromoney	2 Hay, 299	666
Raj Chunder Bundoo <i>v.</i> Rajessory Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 240	4799
Raj Chunder Burmun <i>v.</i> Roma Kant Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 324	2772
Raj Chunder Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Modhoosoodun Mookerjee	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 395 : 10 C. L. R., 435.	3140
Raj Chunder Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Busheer Mahomed	24 W. R., 476	98
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajnath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 222	6117
Rajchunder Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Kinoo Khan	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 329	3260
_____ <i>v.</i> Panchanun Surmah Chowdhry	4 W. R., 7	5738
Raj Chunder Deb Biswas <i>v.</i> Sheeshoo Ram Deb	7 W. R., 146	2199
Raj Chunder Ghose <i>v.</i> Joy Chunder Dutt	12 W. R., 197	4162
_____ <i>v.</i> Joy Kishen Mookerjee	4 W. R., 76	3286
_____ Chunder Banerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 86	778
Raj Chunder Gope <i>v.</i> Joogal Gope	18 W. R., 172	4068
Raj Chunder Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Rajaram Gope	22 W. R., 385	1187
Raj Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Chundee Churn Naik	8 W. R., 437	5828
_____ <i>v.</i> Isser Chunder Roy	Bourke, O. C., 139	425
_____ <i>v.</i> Kishen Chunder	4 W. R., Act X, 16	3799
_____ <i>v.</i> Shama Soondari Debi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 583	393, 430 4237
Raj Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 182	178
_____ <i>v.</i> Greesh Chunder Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 45	201, 5921
_____ <i>v.</i> Unnoda Pershad Mookerjee	17 W. R., 221	4336
Raj Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Koolal	13 W. R., 102	5824
_____ <i>v.</i> Hur Mohun Roy	22 W. R., 98	3919, 5417

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raj Chunder Surma Gossain <i>v.</i> Ali Newaz Khan . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 133 . . .	538
Raj Coomar <i>v.</i> Kirthu Ojha	13 W. R., Cr., 67	5510
Raj Coomar Baboo <i>v.</i> Judoo Bungshee	14 W. R., 112	3496
Raj Coomar Baboo Deo Nund Singh. In the matter of the petition of. }	7 W. R., 90	280
Raj Coomaree Dassee <i>v.</i> Gopal Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 514	4312
Raj Coomar Goopto. In the matter of	11 W. R., 354	3846
Raj Coomar Lall <i>v.</i> Bissessur Dyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 688	2134
Raj Coomar Roy <i>v.</i> Alfuzuddin Ahmed	8 C. L. R., 419	3745, 6065
_____ <i>v.</i> Assa Bebee	3 W. R., Act X, 170	1610
_____ <i>v.</i> Kadumbiny Debi	13 W. R., F. B., 63	899
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Wais	7 W. R., 337	3152
Raj Coomar Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Debendronarain Roy	15 W. R., 41	1352
Raj Coomar Singh. In the matter of the petition of _____ <i>v.</i> Choto Raj Coomar Singh	2 C. L. R., 62	6088, 6251
_____ <i>v.</i> Dinonath Ghuttuck	W. R., 1864, Act X, 12	6114
_____ <i>v.</i> Rajbunsee Kooer	1 C. L. R., 352	5945
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Suhaye Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 108	539
Raj Coomar Singh <i>alias</i> Nanboo Lall <i>v.</i> Lalljee Sahoo	11 W. R., 151	4145, 5822
Raj Dharee Lall <i>v.</i> Mohadeo Singh	18 W. R., 333	5451
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohadeo Singh	11 W. R., 611	5119
Rajdhur Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Kalikristna Bhattacharjya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 963: 11 C. } L. R., 330. }	5813
Raj Doollab <i>v.</i> Mohessur Bhutt	10 W. R., 364	1611
Raj Doollub Sircar <i>v.</i> Ooma Churn Biswas	21 W. R., 109	4962
Rajeeb Lochun Saha Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Masseyk	18 W. R., 193	3497, 3526
Rajeeb Lochun Sawunt <i>v.</i> Mohessuree Dossee	10 W. R., 365	5485
Rajeeb Ram Doss <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hossein	6 W. R., Mis., 51	1887
Rajender Chunder Newgee <i>v.</i> Bhoobun Kalee Debea	W. R., 1864, 65	6129
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Aynooddeen	W. R., 1864, 143	4591
Rajender Dutt <i>v.</i> Sham Chund Mitter	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 106	2475
Rajender Kishore Singh <i>v.</i> Perihad Sein	22 W. R., 165	3125
Rajender Nath Roy <i>v.</i> Putto Soondery Dassee	5 C. L. R., 18	2414
Rajender Pertab Saha <i>v.</i> Beer Pertab Saha	W. R., 1864, 111	2345
Rajendra Kishore Singh <i>v.</i> Pershad Sen	2 C. L. R., 183	2702
_____ <i>v.</i> Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 854	233
Rajendra Matilal <i>v.</i> Ramnarain Matilal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	912
Rajendra Nath Halder <i>v.</i> Jagendra Nath Halder	7 B. L. R., 216: 15 W. R., } P. C., 41: 14 Moore's I. }	2537
Rajendra Rau <i>v.</i> Sama Rau	A., 67. }	
Rajendro Chuunder Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of. }	1 Mad., 436	2820
Rajendro Kishore Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Doorga Koonwar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 737	4721
Rajendro Kishore Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of _____ <i>v.</i> Bulaky Mahton	7 W. R., 154	5344
_____ <i>v.</i> Hyabul Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 55	4732
Rajendro Lall Gossami <i>v.</i> Shama Churn Lahori	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 367	3194
Rajendro Narain Biswas <i>v.</i> Mohendro Lall Mitter	17 W. R., 379	641
Rajendro Narain Lahoree <i>v.</i> Saroda Soonduree Debia	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 188: 4 C. L. } R., 417. }	1166, 6087
Rajendro Narain Neogi <i>v.</i> Kumud Narain Bhup	3 C. L. R., 21	1188
Rajendro Nath Dutt <i>v.</i> Mahomed Lal	15 W. R., 548	2114, 2116
Rajendronath Mookhopadhyas <i>v.</i> Bassider Ruhman Khondkar. }	3 C. L. R., 569	6234
Rajendronath Roy Bahadoor <i>v.</i> Chunoomul	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 42	4251
Rajendro Protap Sahee <i>v.</i> Bhowabul Singh	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 146: 25 W. } R., 329. }	3045
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 448	1913
	14 W. R., 105	5139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajendur Kishwur Singh v. Sheopurshun Missur .	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 273 : 5 W. R., P. C., 65 : 10 Moore's I. A., 438. }	4042
Rajendur Pertab Sahee v. Lalljee Sahoo	20 W. R., 427	4181
Rajeshuree Debia v. Raj Coomaree Dossee	15 W. R., 182	3514
Rajessuree Debia v. Brindabuttu Debia	7 W. R., 212	4198, 4483
_____ v. Jogendro Nauth Roy	23 W. R., 278	86, 93
_____ v. Shibnath Chatterjee	4 W. R., Act X, 42	1752, 4391
Rajessuree Koonwar v. Inderjeet Koonwar	6 W. R., 1	1411
Rajeswara Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maharajulungaru v. Virapratapah Rudra Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maharajulungaru.	{ 5 Mad., 31 }	2325, 3366
Raj Gobind Dey v. Rajessuree Dossee	4 W. R., 10	2293
Rajhuni Singh v. Empress	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455 : 11 C. L. R., 569. }	1804
Rajiblochan v. Bimalamani Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 82	5485, 5487
Rajjo v. Lalman	1. L. R., 5 All., 180	4558
Raj Kishen Mookerjee v. Dusruth Sootrodhur	15 W. R., 234	5314
_____ v. Huro Mohun Mookerjee	5 W. R., 248	5776
_____ v. Modhoo Soodun Mundle	17 W. R., 413	2889
_____ v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	20 W. R., 342	1162
_____ v. _____	20 W. R., 421	4192
_____ v. _____	24 W. R., 114	584
_____ v. Radhamadhub Haldar	21 W. R., 349	{ 3550, 4774 }
_____ v. Sree Nath Dutt	23 W. R., 408	4819
Raj Kishen Roy v. Prankishen Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 89	584
_____ v. Surut Chunder Chuckerbutty	4 W. R., 79	1637
Raj Kishen Singh v. Huro Soonduree Dossee	15 W. R., 255	2895
Rajkishen Sircar v. Chowdhry Jaheoorul Huq	W. R., 1864, 351	279
Rajkishor Dutt Roy v. Girish Chandra Roy Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., A. C., 136	2514
Rajkishore Bhuddur v. Huree Mohun Bhuddur	19 W. R., 195	3396
Raj Kishore Dutt v. Bydonath Shaha	12 W. R., 365	6106
Rajkishoree Dossee v. Budden Chunder Shaw	{ 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49 : 6 W. R., 298. }	5588
Rajkishore Lahoory v. Gobind Chunder Lahoory	{ I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27 : 24 W. R., 234. }	3223
Raj Kishore Mookerjee v. Hureehur Mookerjee	{ 1 B. L. R., S. N., 8 : 10 W. R., 117. }	2295
Rajkishore Mozoomdar v. Heeraloll Bakshee	Marsh., 188	1618
Raj Kishore Mullick v. Brindaban Chunder Poddar	15 W. R., 119	4934
Rajkishore Shaw v. Bhadoo Nashoo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 78	2883
Rajkissen Singh. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Ramjoy Surma Mozoomdar	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 605 : 6 W. R., Mis., 111. }	3926
_____ v. Ramjoy Surma Mozoomdar	{ I. L. R., 1 Calc., 186 : 19 W. R., 8. }	284
Rajkisto Majee v. Nobae Seal	1 W. R., 351	2228
Rajkisto Paul v. Nittyanund Paul	20 W. R., Cr., 50	2892
Raj Kisto Roy v. Beer Chunder Joobraj	6 W. R., 308	4749
Raj Koomar Singh v. Sahebzada Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 20	3188
Rajkrishna Mookerjee v. Kali Charan Dobain	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 122 : 15 W. R., 109. }	2856, 4745
Raj Krishna Singh v. Collector of Mymensingh	19 W. R., 232	1654, 4148
_____ v. Pronoda Dabee Raj Coomaree	21 W. R., 74	1899
Rajkristo Banerji v. Bama Soonduree	23 W. R., 296	1454
Rajkristo Moitro v. Koylashi Chunder Bhuttacharjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 24	166
Raj Kristo Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	23 W. R., 90	1104
		5981

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajkristo Roy v. Dinobundoo Surma . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 360: 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5.	3147
— v. Kishoree Mohun Mojoomdar	3 W. R., 14 . . .	2514
Rajkristo Singh v. Bungshee Mohun . . .	14 W. R., 448, note . . .	5378
— v. Huro Soonduree Chowdrain	13 W. R., 313 . . .	628
— v. Sefatoollah . . .	7 W. R., 85 . . .	2660
Rajkumar Banerji v. Rajlakhi Dabi . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 441 . . .	3524
Rajkumar Mookerjee v. Prannath Mookerjee . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 15 W. } R., 499. }	5691
Rajkumar Nobodip Chundro Deb Burmun v. Bir Chundra Manikya Bahadoor.	25 W. R., 404 . . .	2894
Rajkumar Paramanik v. Stewart . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 23 . . .	5721
Raj Kumar Rangopal Narayan Singh v. Ram Dutt Chowdry.	5 B. L. R., 264: 13 W. R., } F. B., 82. }	3891
Raj Kumar Roy v. Kadambini Debi . . .	4 B. L. R., F. B., 175 . . .	899
— v. Kalikrishna Roy . . .	7 B. L. R., 197 . . .	4776
Rajkumar Sing v. Kali Charan Sing . . .	1 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 11 W. } R., 57. }	177
Raj Kunwar v. Indirjit Kunwar . . .	5 B. L. R., 585: 13 W. R., } 52. }	331, 3397 5047, 5138
Rajlakhi Debi v. Taramani Chowdhraim . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 281: 11 W. } R., 218. }	1138
Rajlakhi Debia v. Gakul Chandra Chowdhry . . .	3 B. L. R., P. C., 57: 12 W. } R., P. C., 47: 13 Moore's } I. A., 209. }	1750, 2195
Raj Luckhee Chowdhraim v. Shib Dyal Tewaree Chowdhry	18 W. R., 520 . . .	840
Rajluckhee Debia v. Mohesh Chunder Mojoomdar	14 W. R., 191 . . .	1202
Raj Lukhee Debia v. Chunder Kant Chowdhry	14 W. R., 173 . . .	2856
Rajlukhee Dasse v. Pearun Bibee . . .	23 W. R., 82 . . .	5367
Rajlukhy Dasse v. Rash Munjury Chowdrain	5 C. L. R., 515 . . .	3508
Raj Mahomed v. Banoo Rasmah . . .	12 W. R., 34 . . .	1754
Raj Manick Singh v. Ruttun Manick Bose . . .	15 W. R., 46 . . .	5282
Rajmoheeney Dabee v. Muddoosoodun Dey	Bourke, O. C., 24 . . .	913
Raj Mohiney Chowdhraim v. Dino Bundhoo Chowdhry	17 W. R., 566 . . .	183
Rajmohun Bose v. East Indian Railway Company	10 B. L. R., 241 . . .	2824, 4705
Rajmohun Gossain v. Gourmohun Gossain . . .	4 W. R., P. C., 47: 8 Moore's } I. A., 91. }	1718, 2018 2366
Rajmohun Mitter v. Commissioner of the Soonderbuns	1 W. R., 344 . . .	5984
— v. Gooroo Churn Aych . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 106 . . .	3018
Rajmohun Mookerjee v. Nilmonee Mitter . . .	11 W. R., 222 . . .	4758
Rajmohun Neogee v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry . . .	10 W. R., 166 . . .	2691
Rajmonnee Dabee v. Chunder Kant Sandel . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 440: 10 C. } L. R., 437. }	1193, 4290 4291
Rajmungul Roy v. Anundmoyee . . .	11 W. R., 63 . . .	4465
Rajnarain v. Rowshun Mull . . .	22 W. R., 124 . . .	2018, 2801
Rajnarain Bose v. Universal Life Assurance Company	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 594: 10 C. } L. R., 561. }	1697, 2682 2705, 5860
Rajnarain Deb Chowdhry v. Kassee Chunder Chowdhry.	10 B. L. R., 324: 18 W. R., } 404. }	2089
Raj Narain Dutt v. Gourmonnee Dossee . . .	6 W. R., 215 . . .	1730, 4562
Raj Narain Koer v. Inder Chunder Baboo . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39 . . .	220
Rajnarain Koonwar v. Lala Tamoli Raut . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 91 . . .	2759
Raj Narain Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee . . .	14 W. R., 247 . . .	356
— v. Modhoo Soodun Mookerjee	20 W. R., 19 . . .	534
— v. Woamesh Chunder Goopto	8 W. R., 444 . . .	3392
Rajnarain Roy Chowdhry v. Atkins . . .	1 W. R., 45: 5 W. R., Act X, 30 . . .	1613

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajnarain Sein. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 42	1509
<i>v. Deegobur Paul</i>	14 W. R., Cr., 22	1509
Rajnarain Singh <i>v. Heeralal</i>	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 142	2465
<i>v. Sheera Mean</i>	7 W. R., 67	5393
Rajnarayan Pal. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 25	2661
Rajnath Pandah <i>v. Doorga Lall</i>	12 W. R., 136	1755
Rajoo <i>v. Raj Coomar Singh</i>	7 W. R., 137	2775
Rajoo Singh <i>v. Guneshmonee Burmonee</i>	15 W. R., 400	3367
<i>v. Lalla Balgobind Lal</i>	1 W. R., 26	6237
Rajpal <i>v. Chooramun</i>	4 N. W., 10	753, 765
Rajpati Singh <i>v. Ram Sukhi Kuar</i>	1. L. R., 2 All., 40	1940
Rajranee Dossee. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 52: 24 W. R., 206.	4766
Rajrani of Burdwan <i>v. Purikhit Rawtra</i>	7 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., 426.	4662
Rajroopram <i>v. Buddoo</i>	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 93: 1 Hyde, 155.	5918
Raj Roop Singh <i>v. Buldeo Singh</i>	2 W. R., 258	2572
Rajrup Koer <i>v. Abdul Hossein</i>	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 394: L. R., 7 I. A., 240: 7 C. L. R., 529.	2234
Raju Balu <i>v. Krishnarav Ramchandra</i>	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273	4577
Rajub Mundle <i>v. Lochun Mundle</i>	W. R., 1864; Cr., 73	1030, 1353
Rajunder Narain Rae <i>v. Bijai Govind Singh</i>	2 Moore's I. A., 181	3229, 4763
<i>v.</i>	2 Moore's I. A., 253	4776, 4794
Rajvi Narayan Mandlik <i>v. Dadaji Bapuji</i>	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 523	4813
Rakal Doss Tewaree <i>v. Kinooram Haldar</i>	7 W. R., 242	969
Rakhab Doss. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	992, 4643
Rakhal Chandra Rai Chowdhuri <i>v. Secretary of State for India.</i>	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 603	2694, 4437
Rakhal Chunder Bose <i>v. Dwarka Nath Misser</i>	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346	4388, 4389
Rakhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v. Mahtab Khan</i>	25 W. R., 221	1608
Rakhal Chunder Tewaree <i>v. Kinooram Haldar</i>	10 W. R., 442	430, 5897
Rakhal Churn Mundul <i>v. Watson & Co.</i>	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 50	4687
Rakhal Das Bundopadhyia <i>v. Indurmonee Dabee</i>	1 C. L. R., 155	5452
Rakhaldas Madak <i>v. Madhusudhan Madak</i>	3 B. L. R., A. C., 409: 12 W. R., 319.	1183
Rakhal Das Mookerjee <i>v. Tunoo Poramanic</i>	7 W. R., 239	4912
Rakhaldass Singh <i>v. Sheo Pershad Singh</i>	24 W. R., Cr., 73	1992, 4195
Rakhaldoss Bose <i>v. Golam Surwar</i>	2 W. R., Act X, 69	1170, 1782
Rakhaldoss Moduck <i>v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia</i>	Marsh., 293: 2 Hay, 157	6061
Rakhaldoss Mookerjee <i>v. Shurno Moyee</i>	6 W. R., 100	215
Rakhal Doss Mundul <i>v. Protab Chunder Hazrah</i>	12 W. R., 455	4504
Rakhaldoss Singh <i>v. Heera Motee Dossee</i>	22 W. R., 282	4997
Rakhaldoss Singhee <i>v. Roy Chunder Dutt</i>	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 124	1708
Rakhal Monee Dossee <i>v. Brojendro Gopal Roy</i>	23 W. R., 303	6286
Rakhi Bibi <i>v. Rahat Bibi</i>	7 N. W., 191	333, 5784
Rakhmabai <i>v. Radhabai</i>	5 Bom., A. C., 181	6239
Rakhmaji. In re the petition of	1. L. R., 9 Bom., 558	5028
Rakub Doss <i>v. Sooraj Mull</i>	Bourke, O. C., 131	5820
Raku Bibi <i>v. Mahomed Musa Khan</i>	4 B. L. R., A. C., 10	4336

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ralli v. Fleming	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 417: 2 C. } L. R., 93. }	6012
— v. Gau Kim Swee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 939 . . .	1780
Rama v. Chengalvaraya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 429 . . .	3595
— v. Jan Mahomed	3 B. L. R., A. C., 18: 11 W. } R., 574. }	53
— v. Kunji	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 375 . . .	4441, 5955
— v. Ranga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 552 . . .	2198
— v. Shivram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 116 . . .	5244
— v. Venkatachalam	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 576 . . .	3588
— v. Venkatesa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 171 . . .	3216
Ramabai v. Trimbak Ganesh Desai	9 Bom., 283 . . .	2422, 5684
Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan v. Appa	12 Bom., 13 . . .	5927
Ramabhai Girdharbhai v. Ali Akbar Kajrani	1 Bom., 6 . . .	321, 2797
Ramachandra v. Bhimrao	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 577 . . .	2684
— v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 495 . . .	2499
— v. Pitehaikanni	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 434 . . .	4840
Ramachandra Mankeshwar v. Bhimrao Raoji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 577 . . .	3568
Rama Chandra Shastry v. Papu Aiyan	3 Mad., 27 . . .	4865.
Rama Chandra Surya Arichandrana Deo v. Darvada } Ramanna Chandiri. }	3 Mad., 207 . . .	6227
Ramadhar v. Ram Dayal	I. L. R., 8 All., 536 . . .	5007
Ram Adheen Pandey v. Goordial Pandey	2 N. W., 434 . . .	792
Ramadhin v. Mahesh	I. L. R., 2 All., 471 . . .	4545
Ramadhin Mahton v. Ganesh	I. L. R., 4 All., 238 . . .	172
Ramagopal v. Majeti Mallikarjanudu	1 Mad., 396 . . .	269
Ramaishar Singh v. Saiva Zalim Singh	2 Agra, 8 . . .	5607
Ramaiya v. Narayanasamy	3 Mad., 209 . . .	3281, 3435
Ramakistnam v. Ragavachari	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 406 . . .	1062
Rama Koer v. Lalla Bhugwan Lall	22 W. R., 224 . . .	4052
Ramakrishna v. Namasivaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 295 . . .	4904
Ramakrishna Chetti v. Palanyandi Kudambar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 262 . . .	5248
Ramakrishnaappa v. Adinarayana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 511 . . .	3866
Ramakristnacastrulu v. Darba Lakshmiddevamma	1 Mad., 320 . . .	488
Ramalakshamma v. Ramanna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 482 . . .	3191
Ramalakshmi Ammal v. Sivananantha Perumal Sethu- } rayer. }	12 B. L. R., 396: 17 W. R., } 553: 14 Moore's I. A., 570 }	3422
Ramalinga Pillai v. Sattasiva Pillai	7 Mad., 97 . . .	2223, 2318
— v. Sudasiva Pillai	1 W. R., P. C., 25: 9 Moore's } I. A., 506. }	2323
Ramamani Ammal v. Kulanthai Nauchear	17 W. R., 1: 14 Moore's I. } A., 346. }	3828
Raman v. Hassan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 247 . . .	1723, 2117
— v. Kurunatha Tharakan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 10 . . .	55, 1723
— v. Pakrichi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 385 . . .	2442
— v. Vairavan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 392 . . .	3584
Ramanada Butt v. Bithee	4 Mad., 263 . . .	3203, 4598
Ramanadamisaraayar v. Ramabhattar	2 Mad., 412 . . .	3265
Ramanadan v. Srinivasa Murthi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80 . . .	1811
Ramanadan Chetti v. Kunnapu Chetti	6 Mad., 304 . . .	3593, 6282
Ramanadan Chetty v. Vijiasami	4 Mad., 425 . . .	819
Ramanada Sastri v. Minatchi Ammal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 236 . . .	4801
Ramanagra Singh v. Ramyad Singh	5 C. L. R., 176 . . .	3453
Raman Ammal v. Subhan Annavi alias Subramaniyan } Annavi. }	2 Mad., 399 . . .	1901
Ramanand v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 All., 377 . . .	1568, 2486
		167

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramanandan Chetti v. Periatambi Shervai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 250	3499
Ramanand Kuar v. Raghunath Kuar	I. L. R., 8 Calc. 769 : 11 C. L. R., 149 : L. R., 9 I. A., 41. }	1418, 4224
Ramanand Singh v. Gobind Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 384	6052
Ramanatha Mudali v. Vaithalinga Mudali	2 Mad., 238	2392
Ramanath Dass v. Boloram Phookun	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 677 : 9 C. L. R., 233. }	3114
Ramanath Dutt v. Joykishen Mookerjee	11 W. R., 3	3925
— v. Matunginee Dossee	12 B. L. R., 110	1630, 6221
Ramanath Rakhit v. Chand Hari Bhuya	6 B. L. R., 356	1194
— v. Muchiram Paramanik	3 B. L. R., Ap., 63	330, 1180
Ramanihi Nayar. In the matter of	1 L. R., 1 Mad., 266	2927
Ramanjem Naidoo v. Rungiah Naidoo	8 Mad., 192	3810
Ramanoogra Chobey v. Putmoorta Chobayan	7 W. R., 205	1271, 4368
Ramanoogra Narain. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., P. C., 17	2955
Ramanoogra Sahoy v. Byjnath Lal	10 B. L. R., 291, note : 15 } W. R., 164. }	172
Ramanooagro Singh v. Kishen Kishore Narain Singh	23 W. R., 265	275
Ramanooj Doss v. Government	2 W. R., Mis., 36	498
Ramansar Pandey v. Raghubar Jati	1 L. R., 5 All., 490	807, 1922
Ramanugra Narain v. Mahasundur Kunwar	12 B. L. R., 433	223
Ramanuja v. Devanyaka	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 361	3406
Ramanuja Aiyangar v. Venkata Charry	4 Mad., 260	2022
Ramanuja Ayyangar v. Virappa Tevan	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 90	1441, 3872
Ramanuja Chariyar v. Venkata Varadh Aiyangar	4 Mad., 54	3490
Ramanuj Sewak Singh v. Hingu Lal	1 L. R., 3 All., 157	4303
Ramanund Koondoo v. Chowdhry Soonder Narain } Sarungy. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 331	3157
Ramanund Mahton v. Koylash Mahton	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 236	3540
Ramapa v. Umanna	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 123	1909
Ramappa Naicken v. Sithammal	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 182	3614, 5912
Ramaput Singh v. Furlong	3 W. R., 38	4784
Rama Bai v. Sridhur Pershad Narain Sahai	4 C. L. R., 397	2297
Ramaraja v. Arunachala	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 248	3334
Rama Raju v. Subbarayudu	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 387	1637
Rama Rao v. Suriya Rao	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 84	4836
— v. —	3 C. L. R., 265	3951
Ramasami v. Bhaskarasami	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 67	4980, 4985
— v. Collector of Madura	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 67	5052
— v. Kadar Bibi	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 492	5052
— v. Kandasami	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 379	3588
— v. Lokanada	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 387	3588
— v. Narassama	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 133	4332
— v. Queen-Empress	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 292	100
— v. Ramasami	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 115	1511
— v. —	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 220	2312
Ramasami Aien v. Manjeya Pillai	6 Mad., 61	1960
Ramasami Aiyar v. Venkataramiyan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 91 : L. R., 6 I. A., 196. }	4794
Ramasami Ayyan v. Ramu Mupan	3 Mad., 372	305
— v. Seshayyengar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 181	3589
Ramasami Ayyangar v. Bagirathi Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 180	2137
— v. Marimuttu Bhattan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 404	3769, 4410
Ramasami Chetti v. Angappa Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220	6230
		3500
		4290
		6143
		4052

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramasami Chetti v. Saluckai Tevar <i>alias</i> Oyya Tevar	8 Mad., 186	2518
Ramasami Mudaliar v. Sellattammal	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 375	2164, 2204
Ramasami Padeiyatchi v. Virasami Padeiyatchi	3 Mad., 272	2213, 5020
Ramasami Sastrigal v. Samiyappanayakan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 179	3897
Ramasamy Aiyar v. Minakshi Ammal	2 Mad., 409	2214
Ramasamy Chetty v. Venkatachettapathy Chetty	2 Mad., 468	3146
Ramasamy Kon v. Bhavanni Ayyar	3 Mad., 247	1072
_____ v. Sinthiwayian <i>alias</i> Chinna Bhavani Ayyar.	3 Mad., 247	1072
Ramasawmy Aiyar v. Venkata Achari	2 W. R., P. C., 21 : 9 Moore's I. A., 344.	5263
Ramasekara Pillai v. Dharmaraya Goundan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 113	3451
Ramashesharaya Panday v. Bhagavat Panday	4 Mad., 5	2370, 2448
Ramasvami Chetti v. Pappa Reddi	1 Mad., 190	2455
Ramaswami Ayyan v. Appavaiyan	1 Mad., 211	5820
Ramaswamy Mudali v. Valayuda Mudali <i>alias</i> Aiyathoray Mudali.	4 Mad., 266	2707
Ram Autar v. Ajudhia Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 231	3327
_____ v. Dhanauri	I. L. R., 8 All., 540	3537
_____ v. Dhuneeram	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 122	4843
_____ v. Sheo Dutt	6 N. W., 243	3160
Ram Autar Rai v. Talimundi Kuar	7 N. W., 49	4539, 4544
Ram Awtar Singh v. Tulsi Ram	5 C. L. R., 227	329, 2916
Rama Varma Tambaram v. Raman Nayar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 89	6174
Rama Varar v. Krishnen Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 270	3754
Ramaya Elapa v. Muhamadbhai	10 Bom., 495	4250
Ramayya v. Chandra Sekara	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 256	3141, 6015
Ram Bakhsh v. Panna Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 457	1133
Ram Baksh Chatlangi v. Hridoy Muni Debi	8 B. L. R., 10, note : 10 W. R., 446.	798
Rambaksh Chetlangi v. Banwari Gobind Bahadur	2 B. L. R., A. C., 65 : 10 W R., 357.	5326
Ram Baksh Singh v. Madat Ali	7 N. W., 95	1892
_____ v. Ram Lal Doss	21 W. R., 428	1926, 3516
Ram Baks Lal v. Kishori Mohan Shaha	3 B. L. R., A. C., 273 : 12 W. R., 130.	3963, 3980
Ram Bandhu v. Kusu Bhattu	5 C. L. R., 481	4258
Ram Baran Rai v. Murli Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 505	4584, 6243
Ram Baran Ram v. Salig Ram Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 896	6001, 6187
Ram Beharee Singh v. Sitara Khatoon	10 W. R., 315	4830
Rambhanjan Bhakat v. Srikrishna Bhakat	2 B. L. R., A. C., 260 : 11 W. R., 140.	3021
Rambharose Lal v. Gopi Bibi	7 N. W., 300	3691
Rambhat v. Lakshman Chintaman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 630	171
Rambhat Agnihotri v. Collector of Puna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 592	1405
Rambhau Bapushet v. Bhai Bapushet	2 Bom., 352 : 2nd Ed., 333	2274
Ram Bhisto Acharjee v. Cheyt Lal Tewary	15 W. R., 451	4462
Ram Bhujun Bhukut v. Ketave Ram Chowdhry	6 W. R., Act X, 21	4561
Ram Bhurosee Chowdhry v. Hunooman Singh	21 W. R., 240	544
Ram Bhurosee Singh v. Bissessur Narain Mahata	18 W. R., 454	538
_____ v. Mahomed Asguree Khan.	19 W. R., 205	557
Ram Bhurose Singh v. Jewa Mahatoon	11 W. R., 319	4481
Rambhuros Lal v. Gopee Beebee	6 N. W., 66	1612, 1632
Rambrem Lal v. Abluckh Singh	Marsh., 267 ; 2 Hay, 148	4162
Rambromo Chuckerbutty v. Bunsu Kurmohar	11 C. L. R., 122	6229
		328
		5055

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Ram Bromo Pandah <i>v.</i> Kaminee Soonduree Dossee	6 W. R., 295	2284
Rambuddun Singh <i>v.</i> Sree Koonwar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 22	1390, 1813
Ram Buksh <i>v.</i> Ram Sookh	3 Agra, 253	2853
— <i>v.</i> Sookh Deo	1 N. W., 111 : Ed. 1873, 159	3891
Ram Buksh Singh <i>v.</i> Purdumun Kishore	2 Agra, Part II, 202	3029, 6284
Ram Buksh Thakoor <i>v.</i> Ajoodhya Lal	2 W. R., Mis., 45	6088
Rambundhoo Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Modhoo Soodun Patra	21 W. R., 134	1433
Ram Bunsee Koomaree <i>v.</i> Soobh Koomaree	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 193	2275, 3851
Ram Bunsee Koonwar <i>v.</i> Moheshur Koonwar	1 W. R., 338	2486
Ram Bunsee Koonwaree <i>v.</i> Soobh Koonwaree	7 W. R., 321	2275, 3851
Ramburun Singh <i>v.</i> Jankee Sahoo	22 W. R., 473	1393
Ram Dushan Mahto <i>v.</i> Jebli Mahto	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 853	4456, 6004
Ram Butty Kooer <i>v.</i> Kamessur Pershad	22 W. R., 36	896, 3242
Ram Bux <i>v.</i> Girdharee Lall	1 Agra, 178	6015
Rambux Chittangeo <i>v.</i> Modosoodhun Paul Chowdhry	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 675 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 155 : 7 W. R., 377.	5674
Ram Chand <i>v.</i> Collector of Mirzapore	3 Agra, 7	2063
— <i>v.</i> Fateh Singh	1 L. R., 6 All., 112	5362
— <i>v.</i> Punna Lal	3 N. W., 323	31
Ram Chandar Bahadur <i>v.</i> Kamta Prasad	1 L. R., 4 All., 300	5466
Ram Chand Bera <i>v.</i> Government	6 C. L. R., 365	2883, 5630
Ram Chand Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Madhub Narain Roy	1 C. L. R., 359	800
— <i>v.</i> Nuddiar Chand Ghose	23 W. R., 230	1359, 5287
Ram Chand Dutt <i>v.</i> Mosai Santal	1 L. R., 11 Calc., 738	5697
Ram Chand Koomar <i>v.</i> Modhoooodun Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 119	4823
Ram Chand Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Kamenee Debea	10 W. R., 236	{ 910, 912 4903
Ram Chandra <i>v.</i> Bhikibai	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 477	4975
— <i>v.</i> Soma	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 305, note	3133
Ram Chandra Apaji <i>v.</i> Palaji Bhaurav	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 137	4186
Ram Chandra Babaji <i>v.</i> Sitaram Vinayak	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 68	5117
Ram Chandra Ballal <i>v.</i> Baba Esconda	12 Bom., 163	807
Ram Chandra Bapuji Gokhle <i>v.</i> Vasudev Morbhat Kale	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 451	6113
Ram Chandra Bhikaji <i>v.</i> Collector of Ratnagiri	10 Bom., 305	5687
Ram Chandra bin Madhavray <i>v.</i> Abaji	1 Bom., 64	4563
Ram Chandra Pose <i>v.</i> Snead	7 B. L. R., Ap., 58	5587
Ramchandra Chintaman <i>v.</i> Kalu Raju	1 L. R., 2 Bom., 362	1106
Ram Chandra Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brajanath Surma	3 B. L. R., Ap., 109	4461
— <i>v.</i> Subal Patro	{ 3 B. L. R., Ap., 74 : 11 W. R., 539.	{ 543
Ramchandra Dabhalkar <i>v.</i> Anant Sat Shenvi	1 L. R., 8 Bom., 25	2851
Ram Chandra Dada Naik <i>v.</i> Dada Mahadev Naik	1 Bom., Ap., 76	2460, 5972
— <i>v.</i> Lakshman Dada Naik	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 561	1752, 2471 2534
Ram Chandra Das. In the goods of	9 B. L. R., 30 : 18 W. R., 153	1237
Ramchandra Dikshit <i>v.</i> Savitribai	4 Bom., A. C., 73	2428, 5684 5742
Ramchandra Ganesh <i>v.</i> Devba	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 626	3221
Ramchandra Goswami <i>v.</i> Matilal Bagchi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 169	1198
Ram Chandra Jana <i>v.</i> Jiban Chandra Jana	1 B. L. R., A. C., 203	1360
Ram Chandra Jivaji Tilve <i>v.</i> Khatal Mahomed Gori	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 28	757
Ramchandra Kolatkar <i>v.</i> Mahadaji Kolatkar	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 141	3974
Ramchandra Kulkarni <i>v.</i> Vithoji valad Malharji Patil	4 Bom., A. C., 178	663
Ramchandra Lakshmanji. In re will of	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 118	1238
Ramchandra Mantri <i>v.</i> Venkatrao Mantri	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 598	2057
Ramchandra Narsinha <i>v.</i> Collector of Ratnagiri	7 Bom. A. C., 41	2936

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Chandra Pal v. Becharam Dey	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 28, note : 10 } W. R., 329. }	1410
Ramchandra Raghunath v. Abaji bin Rastya	{ 6 Bom., A. C., 12 }	5746
Ramchandra Sakharan v. Keshav Durgaji	{ I. L. R., 6 Bom., 100 }	5584
_____ v. Sakharan Gopal	{ I. L. R., 2 Bom., 346 }	2419, 4388
Ram Chandra Tantra Das v. Dharmo Narayan Chuckerbutty.	{ 7 B. L. R., 341 : 15 W. R., } F. B., 17. }	413
Ramchandra Vasudev v. Nanaji Timaji	{ 7 Bom., A. C., 26 }	2109
Ramchandra Vishnu Bapat v. Sagunabai	{ I. L. R., 4 Bom., 261 }	2426
Ram Chand Seal. In the goods of	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 2 : 4 C. L. } R., 290. }	3086
Ram Chand Sen v. Audaito Sen	{ I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1054 }	1101, 2847
Ram Chand Somardar v. Kalachand Chuckerbutty	{ 1 W. R., 287 }	5064
Ram Charan v. Narbir Mahton	{ 4 B. L. R., A. C., 216 : 13 } W. R., 259. }	3723
Ram Charan Buhardar v. Reazuddin	{ I. L. R., 10 Calc., 856 }	5091
Ram Charan Bysak v. Harvey	{ 2 B. L. R., S. N., 11 : 10 W. } R., 373. }	6221
_____ v. Lakhikant Bannik	{ 7 B. L. R., 704 : 16 W. R., F. } B., 1. }	3542
Ram Charan Lal v. Jhatu Sahu	{ 12 B. L. R., 413, note : 14 } W. R., 25. }	438
Ramchhaibar Misr v. Bechu Bhagat	{ I. L. R., 7 All., 641 }	816, 5458
Ram Chund v. Zahoor Ali Khan	{ 1 Agra, 134 }	1344, 3277
_____ v. _____	{ 1 Agra, 135 }	4969
Ram Chunder v. Choonee Lall	{ 12 B. L. R., 35 }	1344, 4969
_____ v. Mohendronath Bose	{ 21 W. R., 141 }	120, 4408
_____ v. Nund Lall Bose	{ 19 W. R., 132 }	1882, 5622
Ramchunder Adhikaree v. Ramjeebun Adhikaree	{ 12 W. R., 427 }	417
Ramchunder Banerjee v. Muddun Mohun Tewaree	{ W. R., 1864, 355 }	992
Ram Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	{ 16 W. R., 180 }	6007, 6282
Ram Chunder Bysack v. Dino Nath Surma Sirkar	{ 5 C. L. R., 470 }	4799, 4846
Ram Chunder Chatterjee v. Kanye Laha	{ 25 W. R., Cr., 19 }	470
_____ v. Ram Jeebun Dass	{ 14 W. R., 141 }	5910
Ram Chunder Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder Chowdhry	{ 19 W. R., 196 }	5776
_____ v. Kashee Mohun	{ 21 W. R., 57 }	1586, 1587
_____ v. Mariott	{ 15 W. R., 465 }	891, 5015
Ram Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Brojo Nath Mozumdar	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 929 : 4 C. } L. R., 247. }	1210, 1379
_____ v. Gooroo Churn Chuckerbutty.	{ 6 W. R., 305 }	76, 88
_____ v. Kashinath Moetro	{ W. R., 1864, 66 }	2250
Ram Chunder Dey v. Kissen Mohun Shaha	{ 6 W. R., 68 }	5342
Ram Chunder Dutt v. Chunder Coomar Mundul	{ 13 Moore's I. A., 181 }	1676
_____ v. Din Doyal Poramanick	{ 2 W. R., Act X, 16 }	4652
_____ v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	{ 12 B. L. R., 229 : 19 W. R., } 353. }	534
Ram Chunder Geer Gossain. In re	{ 6 W. R., Cr., 40 }	1595, 1637
Ram Chunder Ghosaul v. Joggutmonmohiney Dabee	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 283 : 3 C. L. } R., 336. }	4102
Ram Chunder Ghuttuck. In re	{ 18 W. R., Cr., 18 }	3234, 3235
Ram Chunder Goopta v. Bhagessur Surma	{ W. R., 1864, 357 }	3439
Ram Chunder Gossain v. Mutty Lall Bagchee	{ 11 W. R., 19 }	971
Ram Chunder Halder v. Govind Chunder Sen	{ 1 C. L. R., 542 }	4905
_____ v. Jonab Ali Khan	{ 17 W. R., 230 }	1198
Ram Chunder Lalla. In re	{ 1 C. L. R., 134 }	4816
		4008
		4739

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Chunder Misser <i>v.</i> Kally Prosonno Singh	2 Hay, 625 . . .	3918
Ram Chunder Mitter <i>v.</i> Kistoo Kaminee Dossee	10 W. R., 194 . . .	4216
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramzanee Bebee . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 4 . . .	5206
Ram Chunder Mundle. In the matter of . . .	9 W. R., Cr., 19 . . .	4533
Ram Chunder Paul <i>v.</i> Omora Churn Deb . . .	16 W. R., 155 . . .	4034
	18 W. R., 26 . . .	879
Ram Chunder Poddar <i>v.</i> Haridas Sen . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 463 . . .	2197, 5047
Ram Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Ram Churn Bukshee . . .	9 W. R., 372 . . .	1896
_____ <i>v.</i> Umbica Dossea . . .	7 W. R., 161 . . .	3157, 3334
Ram Chunder Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bholanath Lushkur	22 W. R., 200 . . .	5194
Ram Chunder Sao <i>v.</i> Bunseedhur Naik . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 741 . . .	1844
Ram Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Doorga Nath Roy . . .	2 C. L. R., 152 . . .	1197, 1476
Ram Chunder Shaha <i>v.</i> Collector of Mymensingh . . .	22 W. R., 48 . . .	1869
_____ <i>v.</i> Manick Chunder Banikya	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 428: 9 C. L. R., 157. . .	5106, 5107
_____ <i>v.</i> Manick Chunder Manikya	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 428: 9 C. L. R., 157. . .	1132, 1205
		4332
Ram Chunder Shaw <i>v.</i> Empress . . .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 575: 8 C. L. R., 250. . .	4400
Ram Chunder Singh <i>v.</i> Joher Jumma Khan . . .	14 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 23 W. R., 376. . .	500
	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 484: L. R., 12 I. A., 188. . .	2038
_____ <i>v.</i> Madho Kumari		3423, 5040
Ram Chunder Sircar <i>v.</i> Prangobind Boishnub . . .	25 W. R., 71 . . .	2090
Ram Chunder Surmah <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Pal . . .	23 W. R., 226 . . .	3819
Ram Chunder Surmah Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Dagoo Khan	10 W. R., 339 . . .	4912
Ram Chunder Surmah Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Kalee Chunder Singh. }	7 W. R., 307 . . .	5339
Ram Chung <i>v.</i> Gora Chand Chung . . .	24 W. R., 344 . . .	3085
Ram Churn Banerjee <i>v.</i> Kisto Doogar . . .	3 W. R., Act X, 132 . . .	1643
_____ <i>v.</i> Muddun Mohun Tewaree	Marsh., 269: 2 Hay, 198 . . .	5257
_____ <i>v.</i> Torita Churn Paul . . .	18 W. R., 343 . . .	4928
Ram Churn Bundopadhyia <i>v.</i> Drogo Moyee Dossee	17 W. R., 122 . . .	3011, 4868
		5327, 5335
Ram Churn Bysack <i>v.</i> Lucas . . .	16 W. R., 279 . . .	2
Ram Churn Dey <i>v.</i> Ina Sheik . . .	24 W. R., 463 . . .	3174
_____ <i>v.</i> Januu . . .	17 W. R., Cr., 6 . . .	960
Ram Churn Lall <i>v.</i> Hatee Mahtoon . . .	13 W. R., 247 . . .	4210
_____ <i>v.</i> Koondun Koomaree . . .	14 B. L. R., 423, note . . .	843
_____ <i>v.</i> Rughoobeer Singh . . .	11 W. R., 481 . . .	843
Ram Churn Mahtoon <i>v.</i> Surubjit Mahtoon . . .	9 W. R., 494 . . .	157
Ram Churn Pattuck <i>v.</i> Koor Pandey . . .	10 W. R., 176 . . .	4476
Ram Churn Pooree <i>v.</i> Nunnho Mundul . . .	14 W. R., 147 . . .	2254
Ram Churn Raha Bukshee <i>v.</i> Mungul Sircar	16 W. R., 232 . . .	1452
Ram Churn Singh Khettree <i>v.</i> Meadhun Durjee . . .	8 W. R., 90 . . .	4926
Ram Churn Tewaree <i>v.</i> Jussoda Koonwer . . .	2 Agra, 134 . . .	2428
Ram Churn <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad . . .	2 N. W., 228 . . .	2917
Ram Comul Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Nund Ram Koolal	10 W. R., 262 . . .	6105
Ram Comul Mistry <i>v.</i> Gopeekisto Gossamee . . .	1 Hay, 563 . . .	5311
Ramecomye Mitter. In re . . .	Bourke, O. C., 149 . . .	2626
Ram Connoy Audicarry <i>v.</i> Johur Lall Dutt . . .	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 67: 7 C. L. R., 294. . .	2498
Ram Coomar Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Sein	7 W. R., 132 . . .	1075
Ram Coomar Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Brojessuree Chowdhrair	6 W. R., Mis., 14 . . .	3512
_____ <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Mis., 33 . . .	180
Ram Coomar Chunder Roy <i>v.</i> Faqueeroonissa Begum	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 119 . . .	3733

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Coomar Coondoo <i>v.</i> Chunderkanto Mookerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 233 : L. R., 4 I. A., 23.	704, 1214
Ram Coomar Dey. In the matter of the petition of — <i>v.</i> Shushee Bhooshun Ghose	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 626	5442
Ram Coomar Dhara <i>v.</i> Bhojrub Chunder Mookerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 33	1645
Ram Coomar Doss <i>v.</i> Bidhoo Mookerjee Dossee	15 W. R., 31	498
Ram Coomar Dutt. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340	6182
Ram Coomar Ghosal <i>v.</i> Debee Pershad Chatterjee	6 W. R., Act X, 87	4151
Ram Coomar Ghose <i>v.</i> Gobind Nath Sandyal	7 W. R., 480	5618
— <i>v.</i>	12 W. R., 391	416, 5620
— <i>v.</i> Kali Krishna Tagore	L. R., 13 I. A., 116 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 99.	1661, 3807
— <i>v.</i> Prosunno Coomar Sannyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 106	1207
Ram Coomar Koondoo <i>v.</i> McQueen	11 B. L. R., 46 : 18 W. R., 166 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 40.	6134
Ram Coomar Kur <i>v.</i> Jakur Ali	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 716 : 10 C. L. R., 613.	3217
Ram Coomar Mitter <i>v.</i> Ichamoyi Dasi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 36 : 6 C. L. R., 429.	2199
Ram Coomar Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Raghob Mundul	2 W. R., Act X, 2	1608
— <i>v.</i> Rugoonath Mundul	1 W. R., 356	1589, 1618
Ram Coomar Paul <i>v.</i> Jogender Nath Paul	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 56 : 2 C. L. R., 310.	2239
Ram Coomar Roy <i>v.</i> Bejoy Gobind Bural	7 W. R., 535	4164
— <i>v.</i> Collector of Beerbhoom	5 W. R., 80	4439
Ram Coomar Sein <i>v.</i> Prosunno Coomar Sein	W. R., 1864, 375	3388
Ram Coomar Sen <i>v.</i> Khoda Neway	7 C. L. R., 223	4845
— <i>v.</i> Ram Comul Sen	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 388	3875, 5700
Ram Coomar Shaha <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Hazra	5 W. R., 207	3143
— <i>v.</i> Kala Chand Shaha	21 W. R., 395	364
Ram Coomar Shome <i>v.</i> Gunga Pershad Sein	14 W. R., 109, note	4477
Ram Coomar Singh <i>v.</i> Ramsoondur Singh	17 W. R., 362	5588
Ram Coomar Sircar <i>v.</i> Kalee Coomar Dutt	10 W. R., 279	1464, 5778
Ramdan <i>v.</i> Beharee Lall	1 N. W., 114 : Ed. 1873, 200	2291
Ramdas <i>v.</i> Birjnundun Das <i>alias</i> Laloo Baboo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 616 : 12 C. L. R., 284.	3215
Ram Das Brij Govandas. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 107	670
Ram Das Maghi. In the matter of	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 110	2779
Ramdas Saha <i>v.</i> Man Mahini Dasi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 4	5756
Ramdas Sakharlal <i>v.</i> Gangadhar Raghunath Dongre	2 Bom., 186 : 2nd Ed., 178	4904
Ramdass Baboo <i>v.</i> Watson	W. R., 1864, 371	3191
Ramdas Sen <i>v.</i> Collector of Moorshedabad	2 B. L. R., S. N., 6	4403
Ram Dass Gossamee <i>v.</i> Prosunnomoye Dossee	16 W. R., 297	2701, 2712
Ramdass Sadhoo <i>v.</i> Anund Chunder Roy	19 W. R., Cr., 27	396
Ram Dass Singh <i>v.</i> Ram Narain	2 Agra, Rev., 9	2974
Ramdass Surmah <i>v.</i> Sonatun Goohoo	W. R., 1864, 275	1993
Ram Dayal <i>v.</i> Mahtab Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 506	6185
— <i>v.</i> Megu Lall	I. L. R., 6 All., 452	1905
Ram Dayal Singh <i>v.</i> Latchmi Narayan	6 B. L. R., Ap., 25 : 14 W. R., 388.	1615
Ramdebul Lall <i>v.</i> Mitterjeet Singh	17 W. R., 420	1171
Ram Dehul <i>v.</i> Chukhoo	1 N. W., 208 : Ed. 1873, 291	5265
Ramdee Koonwaree <i>v.</i> Shib Dyal Singh	7 W. R., 334	1816
Ram Dehul Pandey <i>v.</i> Kashee Rawut	14 W. R., 232	540
Ram Deye Kower <i>v.</i> Bishen Dyal Singh	8 W. R., 339	1816

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Ram Dhan Biswas <i>v.</i> Kefal Biswas	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. R., 141. }	5663
Ramdhan Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Jainarayan Panja	8 B. L. R., Ap., 36, note: 12 W. R., 536. }	5130
_____ <i>v.</i> Komaltara	3 B. L. R., A. C., 99, note: 11 W. R., 301. }	4477
Ramdhani Sahai <i>v.</i> Rajrani Kooer	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 337: 9 C. L. R., 23. }	5408
Ramdhan Khan <i>v.</i> Haradhan Paramanick	9 B. L. R., 107, note: 12 W. R., 404. }	1591, 5176 5199
Ramdhan Mandal <i>v.</i> Rajballab Paramanik	6 B. L. R., Ap., 10	6231
_____ <i>v.</i> Rameswar Bhattacharjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 235: 11 W. R., 117. }	3456
Ramdhan Mitter <i>v.</i> Kailas Nath Dutt	4 B. L. R., A. C., 20: 12 W. R., 457. }	440
Ramdhan Rakhit <i>v.</i> Panchanan Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., S. N., 9: 10 W. R., 144. }	827
Ramdhone Acharjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 17	715
Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Ishanee Dabee	2 W. R., 123	4154
Ram Dhone Shaw <i>v.</i> Nobumony Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 218	2828
Ramdul Singh <i>v.</i> Purnessuree Pershad Narain Singh	7 W. R., 78	3833
Ramdhun <i>v.</i> Bhowanee Singh	3 Agra, 139	3128
Ramdhun Banerjee <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Mookerjee	11 W. R., 311	5766
Ram Dhun Bhuggut <i>v.</i> Guneshee Mahtoon	16 W. R., 96	3386
Ram Dhun Dey <i>v.</i> Ram Monee Dey	21 W. R., 280	157
Ramdhun Dhur <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 406: 11 C. L. R., 565. }	3952, 5408
Ram Dhun Doss <i>v.</i> Ram Rutton Dutt	10 W. R., 425	80, 3114
Ramdhun Ghose <i>v.</i> Anund Chunder Ghose	2 Hyde, 93	2473
_____ <i>v.</i> Eshan Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 34	1847
Ramdhun Goor <i>v.</i> Gooroodossee Dossee	13 W. R., 40	3496
Ramdhun Mundle. In the matter of	18 W. R., Cr., 39	4750
Ramdhun Roy <i>v.</i> Abdool Gunee	9 W. R., 390	3498
Ramdhun Satra <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Chowdry	12 W. R., 250	4174
Ramdhyan Koonwar <i>v.</i> Phoolbas Kooer	14 W. R., 340	902, 2346 2445, 2515 3160, 4254 4261
Ram Din <i>v.</i> Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 502: L. R., 12 I. A., 12. }	3381
Ram Dial <i>v.</i> Gulab Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 26	2870
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahtab Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 701	5274
_____ <i>v.</i> Ram Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 181	220, 3099
_____ <i>v.</i> Shah Baz Khan	1 Agra, 15	4471
Ram Doolal Sen <i>v.</i> Radha Nath Sen	23 W. R., 167	1822
Ramdoolal Singh <i>v.</i> Gopal Kristo Singh	16 W. R., 156	1247
Ramdoolary Kooer <i>v.</i> Thacoer Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 61: 2 C. L. R., 547. }	4795
Ram Doolub Sandyal <i>v.</i> Ram Naran Moitro	7 W. R., 455	3396
Ram Doss <i>v.</i> Lallah Nundocomar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 189	1881
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohesur Deb Misrec	7 W. R., 446	2255
Ram Doss Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Ramjeebun Poddar	6 W. R., Act X, 103	2927
Ramdoss Byragee <i>v.</i> Gunga Doss	3 Agra, 295	2332
Ramdoss Koondoo <i>v.</i> Nilkanto Dhur	8 W. R., 6	3556, 5777
Ram Doss Lushkur <i>v.</i> Hureehur Mookerjee	23 W. R., 86	5172
Ramdoss Nushkur <i>v.</i> Rash Monee Dossee	25 W. R., 189	5075
Ram Doss Sen <i>v.</i> Stephenson	10 W. R., 366	4403

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramdoyal Khan <i>v.</i> Ajoodhia Ram Khan	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 1 : 25 W. R., 425. }	2739, 3196 4404
Ramdular Misser <i>v.</i> Jhumack Lal Misser	8 B. L. R., 455 : 17 W. R., 265. }	3710, 3724
Ramdut Singh <i>v.</i> Mahender Prasad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 452 : 12 C. L. R., 47. }	2177
Ram Dutt Misr. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Cr., 29	4500
Ram Dutt Singh <i>v.</i> Horakh Narain Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 549 : 8 C. L. R., 209. }	1161, 3383
Ram Dyal <i>v.</i> Chinta Monee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 28	4504
_____ <i>v.</i> Dhoobey Jhaunnan Lal	3 N. W., 14	5830
_____ <i>v.</i> Jankey Dobey	3 Agra, 274	533
_____ <i>v.</i> Mushtak Ahinad	6 N. W., 326	566
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Dass	3 Agra, 43	5614
Ram Dyal Bajpie <i>v.</i> Heera Lal Paray	3 C. L. R., 386	1825
Ramdyal Banerjee <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	12 W. R., 269	4932
Ramdyal Deb <i>v.</i> Magnee	1 W. R., 227	2309
Ram Dyal Dutt <i>v.</i> Ram Doolal Deb	11 W. R., 273	333, 4033 4046, 4399
Ramdyal Gangooly <i>v.</i> Huro Soonduree Dossia	10 W. R., 272	5748
Ram Dyal Gooye <i>v.</i> Amrit Lal Khamaroo	9 W. R., 555	84, 92
Ram Dyal Gossain <i>v.</i> Kattyane Debia	8 W. R., 256	3397
Ramdyal Koondoo <i>v.</i> Gooroo Dass Sen	18 W. R., 450	3341
Ramdyal Singh. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 89	3604, 4854
Ramendra Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Collector of Rajshahye	14 W. R., 113	2075
Ramen Nayar <i>v.</i> Kandapuni Nayar	1 Mad., 445	3763
Rameshar Chaubey <i>v.</i> Mata Bhikh	I. L. R., 5 All., 341	3286
Rameshar Pershad Narayan Sing. In the matter of { the petition of	9 B. L. R., Ap., 34 : 18 W. R., Cr., 1. }	389
Rameshar Singh <i>v.</i> Bisheshar Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 734	14, 3455
_____ <i>v.</i> Kanbia Sahu	I. L. R., 3 All., 653	3987
Rameshur Kulwar <i>v.</i> Beharee Seth	3 N. W., 208 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 254. }	5266, 5680
Rameshur Panda <i>v.</i> Soujharee Coomar	4 W. R., 32	3929
Rameshur Rai <i>v.</i> Subhoo Rai	1 N. W., 81 : Ed. 1873, 134	4318
Rameshwar Mandal <i>v.</i> Ramchand Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1033	3343
Ramessur Audhikar <i>v.</i> Watson & Co.	7 W. R., 2	2928
Ramessur Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Bhanoo	12 W. R., 272	2773
_____ <i>v.</i> Shib Narain Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 419	397, 6239
Ramessur Dutt <i>v.</i> Lootfunnissa	6 W. R., Mis., 130	164
Ramessur Dyal Sing <i>v.</i> Rajkishore Singh	13 W. R., 325	307
Ramessur Ghose <i>v.</i> Azeem Joardar	17 W. R., 373	5064, 5793
Ramessuri Dassee. In the matter of the petition of { _____ <i>v.</i> Doorgadas Chatterjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 103 : 7 C. L. R., 85. }	1878, 5459
Ramessur Mahatah <i>v.</i> Kullyanessuree Debia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 103 L. R., 85. }	1878, 5459
Ramessur Misser <i>v.</i> Brojo Bhokun Misser	9 W. R., 283	116
Ramessur Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Ishan Chunder Mookerjee	25 W. R., 271	4577
Ramessur Nath Singh <i>v.</i> Golamee Sahoo	10 W. R., 457	1388
_____ <i>v.</i> Huro Lal Singh	24 W. R., 309	416
Ramessur Persad Narain Sing <i>v.</i> Koonj Behari Pattuk {	6 W. R., 87	5591
Ramessur Pershad Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Doolee Chand	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 633 : L. R., 6 I. A., 33. }	4575
Rameswar Nath Sing <i>v.</i> Haralal Sing	19 W. R., 422	3960
Rameswar Nath Singh <i>v.</i> Mewar Jugjeet Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 170	5103
Rameswar Sing <i>v.</i> Ramtanu Ghose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 341	4004
	4 B. L. R., A. C., 24	440

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramey v. Broughton	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 652	3177
Ramfal Shaw v. Biswanath Mandal	5 H. L. R., Ap., 28	6230
Ramgati v. Imitari Banu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 20 : 10 W. R., 280. }	6241
Ram Gholam v. Sheo Tahal	I. L. R., 1 All., 266	186
Ram Gholam Sahoo v. Jankee Pershad Sahoo	25 W. R., 31	673
Ram Gholam Singh. In the matter of the petition of	6 W. R., Cr., 59	3862
Ram Ghose v. Greedhur Ghose	14 W. R., 429	3158
— v. Radha Churn Gangooly	15 W. R., 416	3006
Ram Ghulam v. Chotey Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 46	4851
— v. Dwarka Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 170	3831
— v. Hazaru Koer	I. L. R., 7 All., 547	833
— v. Janki Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 124	1037
Rangobind Jha v. Mungarram Chowdhry	13 C. L. R., 83	5068
Rangobind Jugodeb v. Denobundhu Srichundun Mohapattra. }	9 C. L. R., 281	242, 2031
Ram Gobind Koond v. Hossein Ali	7 W. R., 90	2355
Ram Gobind Roy v. Dushoobhoorjah Debee	18 W. R., 195	2978
— v. Kushuffudoza	14 W. R., 1	5350
— v. —	15 W. R., 141	5367
Ram Gobind Singh v. Gheenoo Singh	20 W. R., 406	3878
— v. Magistrate of Ghazeepore	4 N. W., 146	1388, 1985
Ram Golam Dobe v. Ayma Begum	12 W. R., 177	2842
Ram Golam Singh v. Heet Narain Sahoo	2 C. L. R., 292	4947
— v. Nursing Sahoy	25 W. R., 43	6103
Ram Gopal v. Khiali Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 448	3705
Ramgopal Barick v. Shipershad Sircar	12 W. R., 483	828
Ramgopal Chuckerbutty v. Chundernath Sein	10 W. R., 289	6102
— v. Poorno Chunder Banerjee	12 W. R., 475	5596
Ram Gopal Ghose v. Bullodeb Bose	W. R., 1864, 385	4953
Ramgopal Law v. Blaquiere	1 B. L. R., O. C., 35	4156
Ram Gopal Mookerjee v. Masseyk	2 W. R., P. C., 43 : 8 Moore's I. A., 239. }	2819, 3813
Ram Gopal Roy v. Chunder Coomar Mundul	2 W. R., 65	2069
— v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co.	14 Moore's I. A., 453 : 17 W. R., 285. }	3122
— v. Nundo Gopal Roy	4 W. R., 42	641, 1755
— v. Oma Soondry Dassee	2 Hay, 41	1782, 4652
Ram Gopal Sein v. Allum Mullick	7 W. R., 405	3255
Ramgopal Shah v. Ramgopal Shah	9 W. R., 136	67
Ramgopal Chatterjee v. Bhobunmohun Banerjee	Cor., 126	3075
Ram Gopaul Singh v. Teluckdharee Sahie	W. R., F. R., 97	5692
Ramgopaul Tewaree v. Gorachund Poryal	15 W. R., 28	1197
Ram Gunga Doss v. Gobind Chunder Doss	16 W. R., 284	2228
Ramgut Singh v. Ram Sarun Singh	3 N. W., 141	1430
Ram Guttee v. Ibrahim Ismailjee Seedat	7 W. R., 353	5279
Ramguttee Acharjee v. Kristo Soonduree Debia	20 W. R., 472	2915
Ramguttee Doss v. Gholam Ahmed Khondkar	W. R., F. R., 84	1816
Ram Guttee Mundul v. Thakoor Doss Mundul	22 W. R., 418	2566
Ram Gutty v. Goonomonee Dabee	11 W. R., 177	5131
Ramgutty Doss v. Nundo Coomar Doss	2 W. R., 11	371
Ramgutty Gangooly v. Kuralee Pershad Gangooly	17 W. R., 446	307, 429
Ramgutty Kurmokar v. Boistub Churn Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 167	2329
Ramgutty Nag Chowdhry v. Buroda Churn Bose	1 W. R., 120	5678
Ramgutty Surina v. Kasi Chunder Surma	W. R., 1864, 317	2193
Ramhari Sarma v. Trihiram Sarma	7 B. L. R., 337 : 15 W. R., 412	67
		3710
		2299

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramhit Rai v. Satgür Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 247	3208, 3216
Ramhurry Mondul v. Mothoor Mohun Mondul	20 W. R., 450	4867, 4879 5140
Ramia v. Bhagi	1 Bom., 66	2315, 2526
Ramiengar alias Ramanuja Chariyar v. Gnanasambanda Pandarasannada.	5 Mad., 53	109
Rami Reddi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 48	1296, 1301 1837, 5527
Ramireddy Narsareddy v. Mumareddy Papireddy	5 Mad., 404	171
Ramjadoo Gangooly v. Luckhee Narain Mondul	8 W. R., 488	1616, 1753
Ram Jadub Chatterjee v. Rash Monee Dossee	8 W. R., 321	5734
Ramjadub Sircar v. Ameeroonissa Bibee	13 W. R., 27	890
Ramjai Mazumdar. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 67 : 14 W. R., Cr., 65.	3623
Ramjan Beg v. Cook	6 B. L. R., Ap., 91 : 14 W. R., 428.	5702
Ramjan Khan v. Raman Chamar	11 C. L. R., 480	739
Ramjas v. Baij Nath	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 89	1731, 5726
Ramjay Dey v. Srinath Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 567	198
Ramjay Dey v. Srinath Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 170 : 11 W. R., 24.	3330
Ram Jeawan v. Ram Lall	2 Agra, 190	448
Ramjeeawan Rai v. Deep Narain Rai	Agra, F. B., 78 : Ed. 1874, 60 Marsh., 396 : 2 Hay, 449 : W. R., F. B., 93.	33, 1734
Ramjeebun Bose v. Tripoora Dossee	4 W. R., Act X, 30	5301
Ramjeebun Chowdhry v. Peary Lall Mundul	17 W. R., 338	2931
Ramjeebun Chuckerbutty v. Khooderam Chatterjee v. Pershad Shar	7 W. R., 458	4208
Ramjeebun Doobey v. Luchmonee Dabea	W. R., 1864, Cr., 5	4494, 5885
Ramjeebun Doss v. Doyalee Dossee	11 W. R., 246	5117
Ramjeebun Koyee v. Shahazadee Begum	9 W. R., 336	5701, 5936
Ramjeebun Mookerjee v. Woona Churn Hajrah	7 W. R., 117	1362
Ramjee Lall v. Anderson	7 W. R., 141	1785
Ramjeewan Lall v. Arjun Chowbey	10 W. R., 303	4913
Ram Jewun Lall v. Sham Lall Misser	20 W. R., 123	5439
Ram Jewun Singh v. Collector of Shahabad	19 W. R., 127	65, 2877
Ram Jewun Singh v. Collector and Magistrate of Shahabad.	15 W. R., 132	1985
Ramji v. Dharma v. Ghaman	- v. Radha Pershad Singh	16 W. R., 109
Ramji bin Tukaram v. Chinto Sakharam	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 683	2884, 6232
Ramji Madanji v. Rangayya Chetti	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 498	1108, 3210 2123
Ramji Manar v. Little	1 Bom., 199	3442, 3974 4022
Ramjisdas v. Bhagwan Bax	1 Mad., 168	1367, 4676
Ramjiwan Mal v. Chand Mal	10 Bom., 57	3795
Ram Jishi v. Lakshminibhai	L. R., 5 I. A., 197	4228
Ramjoy Ghose v. Ram Ranjun Chuckerbutty	1. L. R., 7 All., 227	2852
Ramjoy Goopto v. Jugodessuree	1 Bom., 189	2462
Ramjoy Kurmoker. In the matter of the petition of	8 C. L. R., 367	4305
Ramjoy Mojoomdar v. Kedar Narain Roy	22 W. R., 399	5142
Ramjoy Mundul v. Kallymohun Roy Chowdhry	25 W. R., Cr., 10	5150, 5882
Ramjoy Mundul v. Ram Sunder Mundul	25 W. R., 75	5661
Ramjoy Muzoomdar v. Russell	Marsh., 282	4588
Ram Joy Sein v. Nundomoyee Dabea	2 C. L. R., 4	535
Ramjoy Singh v. Nagur Gazee	W. R., 1864, 370	1379
	10 W. R., 374	4904
	5 W. R., Act X, 68	1183

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramjoy Surmah <i>v.</i> Joynath Surmah	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 395 : 12 C. }	5672
— <i>v.</i> Mirza Ali	L. R., 314. }	461
— <i>v.</i> Prankishen Singh	18 W. R., Cr., 10	296, 1783
Ramjoy Surmah Mojoomdar <i>v.</i> Puran Kishen Singh	2 W. R., 80	2228
Ramjun <i>v.</i> Lachee	W. R., F. B., 124	299
Ram Jus Rae <i>v.</i> Ram Narain	1 Agra, 49	11
Ramjuthun Singh <i>v.</i> Mehdee	2 N. W., 382 : Agra, F. B., }	5242
Ram Kanai Roy Chowdry <i>v.</i> Trilochan Chuckerbutty	Ed. 1874, 226. }	
Ram Kanaye Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Prossuno Coomar Sein	3 N. W., 282 : Agra, F. B., }	1658, 3003
Ram Kanaye Doss <i>v.</i> Kishen Chunder Roy	Ed. 1874, 258. }	
Ram Kanaye Ghose <i>v.</i> Gooroo Prosunno Roy	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13	3397
Ram Kanaye Shaha <i>v.</i> Bykuntath Shaha	13 W. R., 176	312
Ram Kannye Gossamee <i>v.</i> Meernomoyee Dossce	Marsh., 22 : 1 Hay, 55	3113
Ram Kant Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bindobun Chunder Gope	16 W. R., 30	3827
— <i>v.</i> Brindabun Chunder Doss	15 W. R., 89	5693
— <i>v.</i> Brojo Mohun Mozoomdar	2 W. R., 49	5212
Ram Kant Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Chunder Narain Dutt	2 W. R., Act X, 71	4
— <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Singh	16 W. R., 246	3910, 4437
Ramkanth Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Bhubun Mohun Biswas	6 W. R., Act X, 20	556
— <i>v.</i> Kalee Mohun Mookerjee	2 C. L. R., 474	2198
Ram Kanth Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i>	7 W. R., 172	1640
Ram Kant Nandi <i>v.</i> Shib Nanda Rai	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 25 : W }	2930, 4745
Ram Kant Pandey <i>v.</i> Guneshee Koonwur	R., F. B., 183. }	
Ramkant Roy <i>v.</i> Rajkishore Deb	22 W. R., 84	3255
Ramkant Sircar <i>v.</i> Jadub Chunder Dass	22 W. R., 310	4018, 5777
Ram Kanye Dutt <i>v.</i> Haroo Chunder Mojoomdar	2 C. L. R., 166	4440
Ram Keran Deo <i>v.</i> Fuhima Bebee	6 W. R., 47	5780
Ram Khelawan Rai <i>v.</i> Shiva Dass	24 W. R., 94	3918
Ram Khelawun Singh <i>v.</i> Oudh Kooer	21 W. R., Cr., 44	967
— <i>v.</i> Soondra	17 W. R., 229	6223
Ram Khilowan Ram <i>v.</i> Fox	7 W. R., 37	2945
Ram Kiukur Sein. In the matter of	2 Agra, 76	3706
Ram Kirpal <i>v.</i> Rup Kuari	21 W. R., 101	1406, 6032
Ram Kirpal Shukul <i>v.</i> Rup Kuar	7 W. R., 152	2994
Ram Kishan <i>v.</i> Bhawani Das	7 N. W., 239	5304
Ram Kishen <i>v.</i> Doolee Chand	13 W. R., 67	4445
— <i>v.</i> Sedhu	I. L. R., 6 All., 269 : L. R., 11 }	1694
Ramkishen Doss <i>v.</i> Hurkhoo Singh	L. R., I. A., 37. }	
Ramkishen Sircar <i>v.</i> Deler Ali	I. L. R., 3 All., 633	274
Ramkishen Singh <i>v.</i> Cheet Banoo	I. L. R., 1 All., 333	3294
Ram Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Krishno Monee	22 W. R., 547	3546
— <i>v.</i> Lukhee Debee	I. L. R., 2 All., 275	789
Chowdhra.	7 W. R., 329	5977
Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Biseshur Bhuttacharjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 36	1605
Ramkishore Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Kallykanto Chuckerbutty	W. R., 1864, 102	2503
Ramkishore Gangopadhyia <i>v.</i> Bandikaratan Tewari	23 W. R., 106	3014
Chowdhry.	W. R., 1864, 290	3156
Ram Kishore Lall <i>v.</i> Nand Ram	Marsh., 231	5267
Ramkishore Mundle <i>v.</i> Moorad Mundle	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 479 : 8 C. L. }	1458, 2521
	R., 1. }	
	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 203	3422
	4 C. L. R., 100	1820
	W. R., 1864, Act X, 67	6224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Kishore Mundul <i>v.</i> Chand Mundul . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 84 . . .	1614
Ram Kishore Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Anund Misser . . .	21 W. R., 12 . . .	51
Ram Kishore Sein. In the matter of the petition of . . .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 19 W. } R., Cr., 12. } . . .	20
Ram Kishore Singh <i>v.</i> Ramsurbo Chatterjee . . .	11 W. R., 454 . . .	4132
Ramkissen Doss <i>v.</i> Luckeynarain . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 312 . . .	3466
Ram Kissen Singh <i>v.</i> Kundun Lall . . .	W. R., 1864, 177 . . .	4013
— <i>v.</i> Sheonundun Sing . . .	23 W. R., 412 . . .	2446
Ramkimore Acharjee Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Arip Khan . . .	21 W. R., Cr., 6 . . .	4731
Ramkisto Biswas. In the matter of . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 52 . . .	1256
Ramkisto Paul Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Hurry Dass Kooudoo . . .	Marsh., 219: 1 Hay, 569 . . .	1725, 3301
Ramkisto Shaha <i>v.</i> Nemy Churn Chollhai . . .	W. R., 1864, 110 . . .	4980
Ram Koomar Kyburto Dass <i>v.</i> Sonatun Dass Poramanick. . .	3 C. L. R., 23 . . .	5206, 5790
Ram Koomar Singh <i>v.</i> Kishari . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 68: 11 C. } L. R., 318. } . . .	4764
Ramkrishna. In re . . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 47 . . .	5849
Ramkrishnabhat <i>v.</i> Vithaba bin Malharji . . .	3 Bom., A. C., 23 . . .	2498
Ramkrishna Das Surrowji <i>v.</i> Surfunnissa Begum . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 129: L. R., } 7 I. A., 157. } . . .	323, 449 4189
Ramkrishna Gopal <i>v.</i> Vithu Shivaji . . .	10 Bom., 441 . . .	5788, 5818
Ram Krishna Kine <i>v.</i> Narshiva Shanbog . . .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 478, note . . .	2968
Ram Krishna Mahadev <i>v.</i> Bayaji Santaji . . .	5 Bom., A. C., 35 . . .	633, 3309
Ramkrishna Roy <i>v.</i> Madan Gopal Roy . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 103: 12 W. } R., 194. } . . .	3334
Rama Kristna <i>v.</i> Ranga . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 424 . . .	2848
Ram Kristo Dass <i>v.</i> Harain . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 517: 12 C. } L. R., 141. } . . .	2977
Ram Kristo Deb <i>v.</i> Rajchunder Surmah . . .	11 W. R., 246 . . .	4520
Ram Kristo Manjhee <i>v.</i> Amecroonissa Bibee . . .	7 W. R., 314 . . .	3963
Ramkristo Roy <i>v.</i> Naik Tara Dass . . .	12 C. L. R., 449 . . .	5952
Ram Kristo Sircar <i>v.</i> Kaloo . . .	18 W. R., 284 . . .	2845
Ramkullee Koer <i>v.</i> Court of Wards . . .	18 W. R., 474 . . .	1491
Ramkumar. In the matter of . . .	10 C. L. R., 521 . . .	1151, 4447
Ramkumar Mandal <i>v.</i> Brajahari Mridha . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 75: 10 W. } R., 410. } . . .	4788
Ramkuvarbai <i>v.</i> Damodhar Narbheram . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 146 . . .	5133, 5792
Ram Lakhan Rai <i>v.</i> Bakhtaur Rai . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 623 . . .	851, 1704
— <i>v.</i> Bandan Rai . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 711 . . .	6132
Ram Lakhi <i>v.</i> Durga Charan Sen . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 680 . . .	3391
Ramlal <i>v.</i> Harrison . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 832 . . .	1814, 3144
— <i>v.</i> Lancaster . . .	3 N. W., 114 . . .	5613
— <i>v.</i> Mahes Baboo . . .	5 B. L. R., 677, note . . .	2854
— <i>v.</i> Radhey Lal . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 330 . . .	1936
— <i>v.</i> Tula Ram . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 97 . . .	5089, 5237 5258
Ram Lal Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Surdaree Jah . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21 . . .	758
Ram Lall. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875 . . .	4748
— <i>v.</i> Chummon Ghuttuck . . .	24 W. R., 271 . . .	1584, 4925
— <i>v.</i> Dalganjan . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 369 . . .	5253
— <i>v.</i> Koondun Lall . . .	3 Agra, 97 . . .	5614
— <i>v.</i> Rung Lall . . .	17 W. R., 47 . . .	5127
Ram Lall Agarwallah <i>v.</i> Moonia Bibee . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 79 . . .	460
Ram Lall Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Tara Soondari Burmonya . . .	8 W. R., 280 . . .	1745
Ram Lall Ghose <i>v.</i> Lalla Pecumlall Doss . . .	Marsh., 403: 2 Hay, 526 . . .	1605
Ram Lall Jha <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Dey . . .	10 W. R., 451 . . .	297
Ram Lall Kurfurma <i>v.</i> Ram Tarun Koondoo . . .	16 W. R., 254 . . .	4245
Ram Lall Misser <i>v.</i> Chundrabullee Dabee . . .	13 W. R., 228 . . .	3005

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Lal Moitra v. Bama Sundari Dabia .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 307	1894
Ram Lal Mookerjee v. Chittro Coomaree .	15 W. R., 277	2826
_____ v. Jodu Nath Chatterjee	9 C. L. R., 337	5303
_____ v. Komola Kaminee Debee	24 W. R., 92	5172
Ram Lal Shaw v. Joggendro Narain Roy .	18 W. R., 328	2986
Ram Lal Singh v. Janki Mahatoon .	4 C. L. R., 14	5936
_____ v. Lill Dhary Muhton .	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 776	1570
_____ v. Thakoor Dyal .	9 W. R., 576	4849
Ramlal Mookerjee v. Haran Chandra Dhar	3 B. L. R., O. C., 130	401, 2214
	W. R., O. C., 9.	2498, 4072
		4682
_____ v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 304: 10 C. L. R., 349: L. R., 8 I. A., 46.	2569
_____ v. Tara Soonduree Debia .	W. R., 1864, 3	248, 2424
Ramlal Sett v. Kanni Lal Sett .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 663	2272, 2560
Ram Lal Sircar v. Gopal Doss .	7 W. R., 154	2577
Ram Lal Tewari v. Supharam .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 R., Cr., 34.	462
Ramlal Thakursidas v. Lakmichand Muniram .	1 Bom., Ap., 51	2376, 4263
Ram Lapit Ram v. Chooaram .	4 C. L. R., 97	4325, 5805
Ramlinga Khanapuri v. Virupakshi Khanapuri .	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 538	1867, 1905
Ram Lochan v. Munsoor Ali Chowdhry .	11 W. R., 339	2472
Ramlochan Das v. Mansur Ali .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 65: 10 W. R., 96.	3823
Ram Lochan Sircar v. Kamini Debi .	5 B. L. R., 460, note	1467
Ram Lochun Bubra v. Madhub Chunder Bubra .	3 W. R., 118	1667
Ram Lochun Chowdhry v. Joy Doorga Dossia .	11 W. R., 283	5798
Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty v. Ram Soonder Chuckerbutty.	20 W. R., 104	4175
Ram Lochun Dutt v. Petumber Paul .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 111	6006
Ramlochan Lall v. Goorpershad .	5 N. W., 172	1187, 1635
Ram Lochun Pattuck v. Rughoobur Dyal .	15 W. R., 111	4874
Ram Lochun Patuk v. Kunhya Lal .	6 W. R., 84	2456
Ram Lochun Paul v. Brojo Mohinee .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 118	4016
Ram Lochun Shaha v. Unno Poorna Dossee .	7 W. R., 144	4149
Ram Lochun Sircar v. Denonath Paul .	2 W. R., 279	1813, 5386
_____ v. Prithree Ram Chowdhry .	10 W. R., 430	4206, 5104
_____ v. Ram Narain .	2 W. R., Mis., 37	4715
Ramlochan Soor v. Nittya Kallee Debia .	1 C. L. R., 296	4526
Ram Loll Mookerjee v. Debender Nath Chatterjee	12 W. R., 211	6134, 6137
Ramloll Thackoorseydass v. Soorjunmull Dhoondmull	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 8	335, 5583
Ram Manick Roy v. Asgur .	4 Moore's I. A., 339	5303
Ram Manick Shah v. Brindabun Chunder Potdar	11 W. R., 112	1067, 1579
Ram Manickya Dey v. Juggunnath Gope .	5 W. R., 230	4562
Ram Mohan Das v. Lakhi Narayan Roy .	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 563	1256
Ram Mohinee Debia v. Javed Sircar .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 207	3822
Ram Mohinee Dossee v. Pran Koomaree .	6 W. R., Act X, 82	2888
Ram Mohun Doss v. Jhupproo Doss .	3 W. R., 88	882
Ram Mohun Mookerjee v. Nursing Deb .	14 W. R., 41	1708
	W. R., F. B., 54: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 63: 1 Hay, 379.	4194, 4480
Ram Monee v. Aleemoodeen .	20 W. R., 374	4406, 6246
Rammonee Chuckerbutty v. Alla Buksh .	4 W. R., Act X, 46	4192
Rammoney Dossee v. Gobind Chunder Lahoory .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27: 24 W. R., 234.	1635
Ram Money Dossia v. Pearee Mohun Mozoomdar	6 W. R., 325	2295
		5674, 5737

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6688

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Monohur Singh <i>v.</i> Kooldeep Narain Singh .	11 W. R., 514 . . .	2478
Ram Mundur <i>v.</i> Jankipershad	12 C. L. R., 139 . . .	1424
Ram Mungul Ghose <i>v.</i> Lukheenarain Shaha .	1 W. R., 71	5176
Ramnad Zamindar <i>v.</i> Dorasami	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 341 . .	3376
Ram Naffer Khara <i>v.</i> Degumber Chatterjee .	W. R., 1864, 259 . . .	6108
Ram Nandan Rai <i>v.</i> Lal Dhar Rai	1. L. R., 3 All., 775 . .	1480
Ram Narain <i>v.</i> Heera Lall	1 Agra, 226	2852
— <i>v.</i> Huree Dass	3 Agra, 81	3204
Ramnarain Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 79	241
Ramnarain Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Poolin Behari Lall Singh	2 C. L. R., 5	2945
Ram Narain Doss <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Banerjee .	19 W. R., 202	1767
— <i>v.</i> Tweedie	12 W. R., 161	3012
Ram Narain Doss Biswas <i>v.</i> Sreemunth Poddar .	9 W. R., 498	4757
Ramnarain Kallia <i>v.</i> Gopal Doss Singh . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 613 . .	1835
— <i>v.</i> Monee Bibee	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 735 . .	4530
— <i>v.</i> Monee Bibee	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 613 . .	1835
— <i>v.</i> Monee Bibee	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 735 . .	4530
Ram Narain Koer <i>v.</i> Mahabir Pershad Singh .	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 208 . .	97, 4687
Ram Narain Lal <i>v.</i> Bhawani Prasad	1. L. R., 3 All., 443 . . .	2162
— <i>v.</i> Gumbeer Singh	19 W. R., 108	1623
Ramnarain Manjhee <i>v.</i> Futtema Sogra	23 W. R., 399	1451
Ram Narain Mitter <i>v.</i> Nobin Chunder Moordafarash	18 W. R., 208	570, 5078
Ramnarain Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Mahtab Chunder . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 48 . .	3816, 5270
Ramnarain Poddar <i>v.</i> Levy	2 Hyde, 183	426
Ramnarain Porumanick <i>v.</i> Dossee	1 W. R., 280	2081
Ram Narain Rai <i>v.</i> Ram Coomar Chunder Poddar	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 562 . .	1738
Ram Narain Roy <i>v.</i> Nil Monee Adhikaree . .	23 W. R., 169	2741
— <i>v.</i> Nil Monee Adhikaree	24 W. R., 144	4229
Ram Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Goberdhun Lall Chowdhry	21 W. R., 2	159
— <i>v.</i> Horonath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 86 . .	1609
— <i>v.</i> Huronath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 92 . .	5193
— <i>v.</i> Mahtab Bibi	1. L. R., 2 All., 828 . . .	5412
— <i>v.</i> Peary Bhugut	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 830: 13 C.	2260
— <i>v.</i> Peary Bhugut	L. R., 109	
— <i>v.</i> Pertum Singh	11 B. L. R., 397: 20 W. R., 189	2155
— <i>v.</i> Ramoon Paurey	23 W. R., 76	2242
— <i>v.</i> Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty	1. L. R., 13 Calc., 245 . .	5724
Ram Narain Singh Deo <i>v.</i> Bistoo Thakoor . .	15 W. R., 299	4207
Ram Narayan Banerjee <i>v.</i> Jayakrishna Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 70 . .	8
Ram Narayan Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Sarada Debi . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 39 . . .	5689
Ram Nath <i>v.</i> Gowhur	2 N. W., 230	1488, 5122
Ramnath Chowdhry. In re petition of . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 17	6015
— <i>v.</i> Digumber Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 30	281
Ramnath Ghose <i>v.</i> Amrit Moyee Dossee . . .	5 W. R., 168	3836
Ramnath Ram <i>v.</i> Deen Dyal Ram	W. R., 1864, 311	4216
Ram Nath Roy <i>v.</i> Saleem Ahmed Khan . . .	3 N. W., 188	3919
Ram Nath Roy Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Arnee Kally Debia	W. R., 1864, 177	4130
— <i>v.</i> Bhagbut Mohapattur	W. R., 1864, 177	2422
— <i>v.</i> Hurri Chunder Roy ?	3 W. R., Act X, 140 . . .	4973
Chowdhry.	5 W. R., 50	3286
Ramnath Sen Lushkur <i>v.</i> Rash Mohun Mookerjee	24 W. R., 214	1057
— <i>v.</i> Wise	25 W. R., 378	6118
Ramnath Singh <i>v.</i> Gondee Singh	10 W. R., 441	1161, 2989
— <i>v.</i> Huro Lall Pandey	8 W. R., 188	2929
Ramnath Tolapattro <i>v.</i> Durga Sundari Debi .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 550 . .	2341

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Ram Needhee Koondoo <i>v.</i> Rughoo Nath Narain Mullo	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 456 : 25 W. R., 516.	1409
Ram Needhy Boyde <i>v.</i> Neamut	Marsh., 355 : 2 Hay, 437	5075
Ram Newaz Singh <i>v.</i> Kishun Rai	6 N. W., 137	4467, 5267
Ram Nidhee Koondoo <i>v.</i> Ajoodhya Ram Khan	20 W. R., 123	1213, 2852
_____ <i>v.</i> Goluck Chunder Moshanto	11 W. R., 280	3873
Ramnidhee Manjie <i>v.</i> Parbutty Dassee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 823 : 6 C. L. R., 362.	2753, 4136
Ramnidhy Koondoo <i>v.</i> Ojoodhyaram Khan	11 B. L. R., Ap., 37 : 20 W. R., 123.	1623, 3016
Ram Nirunjun Singh <i>v.</i> Prayag Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 138 : 10 C. L. R., 66.	1213, 2852
Ram Nuffer Bhattacharjee <i>v.</i> Dhol Gobind Thakoor	1 C. L. R., 421	3873
Ram Nursing Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Gangooly	23 W. R., 10	990, 1101
Ram Nursing Mitter <i>v.</i> Tripoora Soonduree Dassia	9 W. R., 105	334
Ram Onoogroho Singh <i>v.</i> Montorun	6 W. R., 223	3023
Ram Oomrao Singh <i>v.</i> Sutun Lall	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77 : Ed., 1873, 168.	1733
Ram Parshad Hazaree <i>v.</i> Soomathra Dabea	5 W. R., Mis., 24	5375
Ram Paul Singh. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 14	1488
Rampersad <i>v.</i> Juggernaut	6 C. L. R., 1	264
Rampersaud <i>v.</i> Callachund Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N.S., 325; and on } appeal, Id., 373.	2657
Rampersaud Roy <i>v.</i> Callachand Doss	Bourke, O. C., 423	354
Ram Pershad <i>v.</i> Buljeet Singh	2 Agra, 252	2627
_____ <i>v.</i> Chaineram	1 N. W., 11 : Ed. 1873, 10	431, 5896
_____ <i>v.</i> Kishna	3 Agra, 146	4557
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hashim	2 Agra, 249	2445
_____ <i>v.</i> Mewa Kooer	2 N. W., 12	4021, 4903
_____ <i>v.</i> Nazeer Hossein	1 Agra, Rev., 63	1636
_____ <i>v.</i> Sheva Pershad	1 Agra, 71	4814
Rampershad Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Johhoo Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1003	352, 3882
Rampershad Doss <i>v.</i> Sreehuree Doss	18 W. R., 399	1719
Ram Pershad Dutt <i>v.</i> Krishto Mohun Shaw	18 W. R., 297	1416
Ram Pershad Misser <i>v.</i> Phoolputtee	7 W. R., 98	2240
Rampershad Narain Tewaree <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	21 W. R., 152	2740
Rampershad Ojha <i>v.</i> Bhurosa Koonwar	9 W. R., 328	4235
Ram Pershad Sahoo <i>v.</i> Rumzanee	2 Agra, 37	2453
Rampershad Singh <i>v.</i> Najbunnissa Kooer	9 W. R., 501	242, 6227
_____ <i>v.</i> Neerbhoy Singh	11 B. L. R., 76 : 19 W. R., 24.	4518
Rampershad Sookul <i>v.</i> Rajunder Sahoy	9 W. R., 262	4156
Rampershad Tewary <i>v.</i> Sheo Churn Doss	10 Moore's I. A., 490	1143
Rampershad Vogut <i>v.</i> Ramtohil Singh	Marsh., 655	5792
Ram Pertab Singh <i>v.</i> Bholabutty Koonwar	9 W. R., 586	31, 2314
Ramphal Lal <i>v.</i> Jafir Ali	7 N. W., 199	2351
Ramphal Rai <i>v.</i> Rambaran Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 53	529, 3080
_____ <i>v.</i> Tula Kuari	I. L. R., 6 All., 116	2816, 5216
Ramphul <i>v.</i> Durga	I. L. R., 7 All., 815	3330
Ramphul Ahir <i>v.</i> Bhugwan Dutt Paurey	12 W. R., 326	1868
Ramphul Lall <i>v.</i> Chundee Pershad	1 N. W., 204 : Ed. 1873, 287	2196
Ramphul Pandey <i>v.</i> Wahed Ali Khan	14 W. R., 66	4747
Ramphul Sahoo <i>v.</i> Misree Lall	24 W. R., 97	1455
		4829, 4852
		4016, 6232
		1359, 2943
		8276

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramphul Singh v. Deg Narain Singh {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 517 : 10 C. } L. R., 489.	2176, 2343
Rampiyari v. Mulchand	I. L. R., 7 All., 114	2317
Ram Prasad v. Dirpal	I. L. R., 3 All., 744	102
_____ v. Raghunandan Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 738	1778, 1783
_____ v. Rai Kishen	I. L. R., 6 All., 36	5891
_____ v. Sitla Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 484	2577
_____ v. Sukh Dai	I. L. R., 2 All., 720	1226
Ramprasad Hazra. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 426	264
Ramprasad Rai v. Dina Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 515 {	1164, 2867
_____ v. Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 402	4086
Ramprasad Ram v. Raghunandan Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 239	34
Ramprosad Das v. Rajo Koer	5 C. L. R., 94	1482
Ramprosad Janna v. Lakhi Narain Pradhan	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 197	5760
Ramprotab Misser v. Abhilack Misser	3 C. L. R., 170	3434
Rampurgass Singh v. Ameer Ali	3 Agra, Mis., 3	683, 4194
Ramputty Koer v. Kalee Churn Singh	14 W. R., 94	3562
Rampyari Debi v. Dinanath Mookerjee {	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13 : 10 W. } R., 375.	1196, 1198
Ramrao Trimbak v. Yeshvantrao Madhavrao	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 327	5742
Ram Rau v. Raja Rau	2 Mad., 114	2231, 5593
Ramrav Khanderav v. Govind Pandshet	6 Bom., A. C., 63	50, 2942
Ram Rewaz Koowar. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 536	317, 697
Ram Rooh Chowdree v. Deokee Nundun	2 N. W., 169	1969
Ramrooder Surma v. Frankishen Surma	2 Hay, 86	1360, 5221
Ram Roop Singh v. Sheo Golan Singh	25 W. R., 327	5267
Ram Rotton Mundul v. Netro Kally Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 339	3824
Ram Rucha Doss v. Doorga Dutt Misser	13 W. R., 453	1569, 3047
Ramrudeegowda v. Dessai Sahib	17 W. R., 8	1481
Ram Rukha Roy v. Gobind Doss Byragee	15 W. R., 291	4457, 4653
Ramrunginee Dossee v. Gooroodoss Roy	17 W. R., Cr., 9	1755, 3797
_____ v. _____	18 W. R., Cr., 36	4485, 4491
_____ v. _____	22 W. R., 212	516
Ram Runjun Bhandari v. Madhub Ghose	25 W. R., Cr., 33	517
Ramrunjun Chuckerbutty v. Anund Coomar Mookerjee	15 W. R., 323	5510
_____ v. Banee Madhub Mookerjee	21 W. R., 253	771
_____ v. Court of Wards	21 W. R., 192	1249
_____ v. Jowhurujeum Khan	23 W. R., 129	1711
_____ v. Ram Lal Mukhopadhyia	5 C. L. R., 62	842
_____ v. Ram Prosad Dass	13 C. L. R., 26	3338
_____ v. _____	5 C. L. R., 128	366
Ramrutno Sircar v. Chunder Mookhee Debia	2 W. R., Act X, 74	5890
Ramrutton v. Oriental Inland Steam Navigation Com- pany. }	2 Hyde, 89	1609, 1617
Ramrutton Rae v. Furrookoonnissa Begum	4 Moore's I. A., 233	6275
Ram Ruttun Audo v. Ram Chunder Pal	25 W. R., 113	4798
Ram Ruttun Banerjee v. Ameeroolmolk Bunwaree } Gobind. }	6 W. R., Mis., 95	4873
Ram Ruttun Dass v. Gobind Dass	2 Agra, 141	3479, 3509
Ram Ruttun Ghose v. Prosunno Nath Bhuttacharjee	20 W. R., 203	4284
Ram Ruttun Neogee. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 3	325
_____ v. Phool Singh	W. R., 1864, 147	965
Ramruttun Neogy v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	17 W. R., 193	5288
Ramruttun Rae v. Dwarka Doss	2 N. W., 193	3776
Ram Ruttun Saha v. Bawool Mundul	23 W. R., Cr., 4	3815
Ram Sabuk Bose v. Kaminee Koomaree Dossee	14 B. L. R., 394	1223
		4660, 5329

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Sabuk Bose <i>v.</i> Monmohinee Dossee	{ L. R., 2 I. A., 71 : 23 W. R., 113. }	4660, 5329
Ram Sagur Dutt <i>v.</i> Nobogopaul Mookerjee	{ Bourke, O. C., 367 }	5879
Ram Sahai <i>v.</i> Bank of Bengal	{ I. L. R., 8 All., 262 }	2703
— <i>v.</i> Gaya	{ I. L. R., 7 All., 107 }	1485, 4558
Ram Sahai Misser <i>v.</i> Bisraj Singh	{ 1 Agra, Rev., 19 }	1675
Ram Sahai Singh <i>v.</i> Dhunookdharee Singh	{ 1 W. R., 266 }	996
— <i>v.</i> Maniram	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 807 : 6 C. } { L. R., 223. }	3145, 5967
— <i>v.</i> Sheo Sahai Singh	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 492 : 1 } { Ind. Jur., N. S., 421 : 6 } { W. R., Mis., 98. }	3495, 3506 3526
Ramsahaya Singu <i>v.</i> Muzhar Ali	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40 }	4308
Ram Sahay Singh <i>v.</i> Kookhoo Singh	{ 15 W. R., 414 }	1489
Ram Sahoy <i>v.</i> Balmokund	{ L. R., 3 I. A., 259 : 26 W. } { R., 55. }	2215, 2216 2762, 4224
— <i>v.</i> Seethoo	{ 1 N. W., 28 : Ed. 1873, 26 }	6096
— <i>v.</i> Sheo Dyal	{ L. R., 3 I. A., 259 : 26 W. } { R., 55. }	2215, 2216 2762, 4224
Ram Sahoy Singh <i>v.</i> Koldeep Singh	{ 15 W. R., 80 }	2852, 4468
— <i>v.</i> Mohabeer Pershad	{ 25 W. R., 185 }	2164
Ram Sahye Bhukkut <i>v.</i> Lalla Laljee Sahye	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 149 : 9 C. } { L. R., 457. }	2337, 2398
Ram Saran Lal <i>v.</i> Amirta Kuar	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 369 }	6159
Ramsaran Mitter <i>v.</i> Rakhal Das Dutt	{ 6 B. L. R., 244, note : 11 W. } { R., 412. }	2846
Ram Saran Sing <i>v.</i> Bhajan Dobay Karpardaz	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 155 : 11 W. } { R., 515. }	1626
Ram Sarun Singh <i>v.</i> Pran Pearee	{ 1 W. R., 156 }	1680
Ram Sarun Sahoo <i>v.</i> Veryag Mahton	{ 25 W. R., 554 }	305, 5176
Ram Sarup <i>v.</i> Bela	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 313 : L. R., } { I. A., 44. }	1097, 2042
— <i>v.</i> Rukmin Kuar	{ I. L. R., 7 All., 884 }	1443
Ramsay <i>v.</i> Anderson	{ 7 C. L. R., 336 }	391
Ramsebak Misser. In the matter of	{ 5 B. L. R., 179 }	2675, 5533
— <i>in</i> petition of	{ 6 B. L. R., 119 }	2665
Ramsebuk <i>v.</i> Ramlall Koondoo	{ 6 B. L. R., 310 }	2660
Ram Sevak Das <i>v.</i> Raghubar Rai	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 815 : 8 C. } { 11 L. R., 457. }	2376, 3219 3226, 4278
Ramsewak Chowdhuree <i>v.</i> Nackchedee Singh	{ I. L. R., 3 All., 72 }	2401
Ram Sewak Singh <i>v.</i> Nakched Singh	{ 3 Agra, 357 : Agra, F. B., Ed. } { 1874, 160. }	2885
Ram Shahai Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Sanker Bahadur	{ I. L. R., 4 All., 261 }	3871, 4876 4998
Ramshet Bachashet <i>v.</i> Pandharinath	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 65 : 15 W. } { R. Cr., 7. }	6257
Ramshet bin Bachashet <i>v.</i> Balkrishna bin Ababhat	{ 8 Bom., A. C., 236 }	3442, 3975 4026
Ramshewuk Roy <i>v.</i> Sheo Gobind Sahoo	{ 6 Bom., A. C., 161 }	5727
Ram Shewuk Sahoo <i>v.</i> Futto Roy	{ 8 W. R., 519 }	2509, 2516
Ram Shodoy Ghose <i>v.</i> Juttadharee Haldar	{ 12 W. R., 184 }	5689
Ram Shunker <i>v.</i> Lalce Bae	{ 7 W. R., 95 }	2843
— <i>v.</i> Sheo Pershad	{ 2 Agra, 268 }	3832
Ram Shurn Shaha <i>v.</i> Bhote Kinkur	{ 5 N. W., 7 }	2919
Ram Singh Mohapattur <i>v.</i> Bhottro Manjee Sonthal	{ 14 W. R., 268 }	36
Ram Sookh <i>v.</i> Tara Singh	{ 24 W. R., 298 }	3272
	{ 3 Agra, 40 }	5024

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Soondaree Debee. In the matter of . . .	1 C. L. R., 86 . . .	4503
Ramsoundaree Debia Chowdhrair v. Ram Pershad Sadhoor . . .	8 W. R., 288 . . .	1733
Ram Soonder Bandopadhyay. In the matter of . . .	10 B. L. R., Ap., 21 . . .	494
Ram Soonder Doss v. Mahomed Abbed . . .	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 102 . . .	5775
Ram Soonder Roy v. Ram Sahye Bhugut . . .	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 919 . . .	2337
Ram Soonder Sandyal v. Gopessur Mostofee . . .	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 716 : 2 C. L. R., 220. }	3513
Ram Soonder Sircar v. Kistobag Bag . . .	Marsh., 322 : 2 Hay, 421 . . .	5763
Ram Soondur v. Ram Canto . . .	11 W. R., 8 . . .	3496
Ram Soondur Banerjee v. Doorga Churn Barui . . .	19 W. R., 128 . . .	494
v. Kalce Pershad Hajrah . . .	19 W. R., 267 . . .	5775
Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Komul Dutt . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 92 . . .	5589
v. Ramessur Acharjee . . .	8 W. R., 454 . . .	4205
Ram Soondur Dey v. Shoshi Mohun Pal Chowdhry . . .	11 C. R., 389 . . .	2658, 5440
Ram Soonduree Dassia v. Surut Soonduree Debia . . .	17 W. R., 33 . . .	5586
Ram Soondur Mundul v. Akima Bibee . . .	8 W. R., 366 . . .	1810
Ram Soondur Poddar. In the matter of . . .	2 C. L. R., 515 . . .	1258, 5163
Ram Soondur Sein v. Krishno Chunder Goopto . . .	17 W. R., 380 . . .	2752, 4892
Ram Soondur Shaha v. Delanney . . .	20 W. R., 103 . . .	4867
Ram Soondur Singh v. Surbanee Dossee . . .	22 W. R., 121 . . .	2144
Ram Soondur Thakoor v. Taruck Chunder Turkoruttun . . .	19 W. R., 28 . . .	1458
Ram Subbag Das v. Gobind Prasad . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 622 . . .	3186
Ram Sudoy Ghose v. Rajhullubh Saha . . .	15 W. R., 547 . . .	3530
Ram Sukh Bhunjoo v. Brahmayoi Dasi . . .	6 C. L. R., 470 . . .	3291
Ramsundar v. Nirotam . . .	1 L. R., 6 All., 477 . . .	3610
Ram Sundar Poramanick v. Prasanna Kumar Bose . . .	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 382 : 5 W. R., Act X, 22. }	2890
Ram Sundar Singh v. Ram Bandhan Singh . . .	7 N. W., 126 . . .	765, 5118
Ram Sunder De v. Rajab Ali . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 558 . . .	490
Ram Sunder Tewari v. Srimunt Dewasi . . .	{ 14 B. L. R., 371, note: 10 W. R., 215. }	1734
Ram Sunker Bhadoory. In the matter of . . .	3 C. L. R., 440 . . .	3452
Ram Sunker Sanaputty v. Gopal Kishen Deo . . .	1 W. R., 68 . . .	3129, 3180
Ram Sunker Senaputty v. Bir Chunder Manikya . . .	I. J. R., 4 Calc., 714 . . .	560
Ram Sunker Sein v. Nilkant Biswas . . .	9 W. R., 392 . . .	4913
Ram Surn Muhton v. Jinonanth Bhuggut . . .	10 W. R., 396 . . .	5007
Ram Surohee Singh v. Kashee Roy . . .	6 W. R., 176 . . .	1676
Ram Surun Dass v. Ram Chund . . .	1 Agra, 283 . . .	4807, 6153
Ram Surun Lall v. Lokebas Koore . . .	18 W. R., 39 . . .	880, 5380
Ram Surun Singh v. Gooroo Dyal Singh . . .	1 W. R., 83 . . .	228, 3334
v. Mahomed Ameere . . .	13 W. R., 78 . . .	{ 3251, 3442 4287 }
v. Pran Pearee . . .	{ 15 W. R., P. C., 14: 13 Moore's I. A., 551. }	1680
Ram Surun Sokool v. Sheerstun Koormee . . .	2 Agra, Pt. II, 166 . . .	2291
Ramtahal Ram v. Rameshar Rau . . .	I. L. R., 8 All., 140 . . .	761
Ram Tahul v. Madho . . .	2 Agra, 111 . . .	5100
Ramtanu Acharji v. Komal Lochan Roy . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 37 . . .	5064
Ramtarak Karati v. Dinanath Mandal . . .	7 B. L. R., 184 . . .	321, 2856
Ram Tarrun Koondoo v. Hossein Buksh . . .	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 785 : 2 C. L. R., 385. }	4869
Ram Taruck Barrik v. Sidheesuree Dossee . . .	13 W. R., 60 . . .	150
Ram Taruck Ghose v. Bireswar Banerjee . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 32 . . .	1653
Ram Taruck Ghossal v. Radha Bullub Sircar . . .	15 W. R., 96 . . .	4284
Ramtonoo Surmah Sircar v. Gour Chunder Surmah Sircar . . .	3 W. R., 64 . . .	{ 4792, 4820 5798 }

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramtonu Acharjee v. Pearymohun Acharjee	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 551: 7 C. } L. R., 557. }	5693
Ramtuhul Lall v. Sheo Nath Singh	{ 1 N. W., 24: Ed. 1873, 22 } 15 B. L. R., 208: 23 W. R., }	1361
Ramtuhul Singh v. Bisseswar Lal Sahoo	{ 305: L. R., 2 I. A., 131. }	5492, 6150
Ramtuhul Thakoor v. Oodit Narain Singh	12 W. R., 36	6233
Ram Tunoo v. Sreenath Doss	Marsh., 537: 2 Hay, 659	5262
Ram Tunoo Acharjee v. Radha Gobind	11 W. R., 407	5064
Ram Tunoo Koondoo v. Mullicka Dossee	14 W. R., 338	5796
Ram Tunoo Koloo v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick	19 W. R., 91	4891
Ramu Naikan v. Subbaraya Mudali	7 Mad., 229	3939
Ram Yad v. Bissessur Bhuttacharjee	2 W. R., Mis., 23	194
Ramyad Sahoo v. Doolar Sahoo	9 W. R., 441	384
Ram Yad Singh v. Lalla Saligram Singh	16 W. R., 98	4258
— v. Nirunjun Koer	22 W. R., 420	371
Ramzan Ali v. Anwar Ali	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 19: 11 W. } R., 52. }	536
— v. Durpo Komilla	24 W. R., Cr., 58	{ 49, 3616 6029 }
— v. Janki Prasad	6 B. L. R., 119	2665
Ranchoddas Dayaldas v. Ranchoddas Nanabhai	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 581	606, 5378
Ranchoddas Krishnadas v. Bapu Narhar	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 439	1741
Ranchoddas Nathubhai v. Jeychand Khusalehand	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 405	{ 1108, 3210 3221 }
Ranchhod Jamnadas v. Lallu Haribhai	10 Bom., 95	2615
Ranchodji v. Lallu	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 304	290
Ranchod Varajbhai v. Municipality of Dakor	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 142	619
Rane v. Rane	3 Bom., A. C., 173	2365
Raneegunge Coal Association v. Hem Lall	24 W. R., Cr., 17	4501
Ranga v. Sithaya	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 368	1114
— v. Suba Hedge	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 473	{ 1075, 1093 2967 }
Rangai. In the matter of	12 C. L. R., 500	5167
Rangaiyan v. Hari Krishna Aiyar	2 Mad., 437	2822
Ranganayaka Ammal v. Venkatachellapati Nayudu	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 323	4341
Ranganmani Dasi v. Kasinath Dutt	{ 3 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 13 W. } R., 76, note. }	2373, 4320
Rangansary v. Shappani Asary	5 Mad., 375	813
Rangasami Ayyangar v. Narasimhulu Nayak	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 213	1301
Rangasami Mudelliar v. Sirangan	4 Mad., 254	762
Rangasvami Ayyangar v. Krishna Ayyangar	1 Mad., 72	1678
— v. Vanjulattammal	1 Mad., 28	2192
Rangaswami Gounden v. Sabapathy Gounden	4 Mad., 162	978
Rangil Das v. Bai Girja	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 559	242
Ranglal Sahu v. Siali Dhar Das	{ 3 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 11 W. } R., 293. }	3800
Rangoba Naik v. Collector of Ratnagiri	8 Bom., A. C., 107	3298, 5590
Rango Vinayak Dev v. Yamunabai	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 44	2425
Rango Vithal v. Rikshivadas bin Rayachand	11 Bom., 174	4960
Rangubai v. Bhagirthibai	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 377	2119, 2137
Ranjit Singh v. Ilahi Baksh	1. L. R., 5 All., 520	{ 1448, 2871 4076 }
— v. Jagannath Prosad Gupta	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 375	4674
— v. Sheo Prasad Ram	1. L. R., 2 All., 487	293, 3227
Rannak Husain v. Harbans Singh	1. L. R., 3 All., 283	985
Ranoji v. Kandoji	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 557	2418
Ransordass Bhogilal v. Kesrising Mohanlal	1 Bom., 229	1348, 2085

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ranu valad Avaji Mali v. Ramabai kom Mahadu Mali	6 Bom., A. C., 265	3958, 4252
Rao Bahadur Singh v. Jowahir Kuar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 887 : L. R., 11 I. A., 75.	5104
Rao Baneeram v. Newaz Bibee	8 W. R., 511	5121
— v. Ram Nath Shah	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 18 W. R., 412.	568
Raojee Gunesh v. Rao Jalee Pershad	8 W. R., 91	770
Raoji Narayan v. Krishnaji Lakshman	11 Bom., 139	3546
Rao Kurun Singh v. Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan .	10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's I. A., 176, 187.	2209, 2301 4155
— v. Mehtab Koonwer	3 Agra, 150	1751
Raol Gorain v. Teza Gorain	4 B. L. R., Ap., 90	2155
Rao Oomrao Singh v. Sutun Lall	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77: Ed 1873, 168.	1488
Rasaji bin Davlaji v. Sayana bin Sagdu . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 7	2719
Rash Beharee Baboo. In the matter of the petition of — v. Gooroodoss Baboo	7 W. R., 130	166
Rash Beharee Lall v. Burmessur Nauth	7 W. R., 115	167
Rash Beharee Roy v. Doorgabur Roy	10 W. R., 30	3397
— v. Ezud Buksh	14 W. R., 211	375
— v. Nimaye Churn	11 W. R., 276	2792, 4476
Rash Beharee Singh v. Koonj Beharee Singh .	W. R., 1864, 223	2300, 2333
— v. Saheb Roy	W. R., 1864, Mis., 31	5121
Rash Behari Dass v. Gopinath Barapanda Mohapatn.	12 W. R., 76	3556, 5776
Rash Behari Lal Sing v. Nabayi Poddar	11 C. L. R., 352	3249
Rashbehari Mukherji v. Sakhi Sundari Dasi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 99: 11 W. R., 465.	326, 4478
Rash Behary Bundopadhyia v. Peary Mohun Mooker- jee.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 644	1190
Rash Behary Bysack v. Buddun Chunder Singh .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 346: 3 C. L. R., 116.	3014
Rashbehary Ghose v. Barroda Proshad Mookhopadhyia	12 C. L. R., 550	3244
— v. Ram Coomar Ghose	7 C. L. R., 380	3809
Rash Behary Lall v. Wajan	22 W. R., 487	1614, 3044
Rash Behary Mookerjee v. Khettro Nath Roy	12 B. L. R., 208, note: 11 W. R., 516.	811, 1932
Rashbehary Mookhopadhyia v. Surnomoyee .	1 C. L. R., 418	1637, 6110
Rash Dharee Singh v. Nuthoonce Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 403: 9 C. L. R., 79.	211
Rashessur Surmah v. Kaleekanath Surmah .	24 W. L. R., 301	4476
Rashmohun Goshamy. In the matter of the petition of; — v. Kalinath Raha	11 B. L. R., 138, note: 11 W. R., 455.	2721
Rash Mohun Goswamy v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee .	19 W. R., 3	5885
Rashmonee Dassee v. Bhubo Nath Bhuttacharjee .	9 W. R., 113	3315
Rashmonee Debea v. Hurrnath Roy	12 W. R., 252	34
— v. Ramjoy Shaha	1 W. R., 280	4150
Rashmonee Debia v. Rajaram Sircar	2 Hay, 516	525
Rash Munjoore Chowdhrair v. Radha Soonduree Dossee	15 W. R., 86	570
Rashomonee Dossee v. Chowdhry Junmojoy Mullick	23 W. R., 283	1453
Rashum Beebee v. Bissonauth Sircar	9 W. R., 356	5830, 5831
Rasik Lal v. Gajraj Singh	6 W. R., Act X, 57	5018
Rasmonee Debea v. Pran Kishen Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 414	3354
Rasmonee Debia v. Mahomed Hafezzoolah	7 W. R., P. C., 66	4000, 4008
Ras Muni Dibiah v. Prankishen Das	12 W. R., 29	5741
Rassam v. Donzelle	4 Moore's I. A., 392	4000, 4008
Rassmonee Dossee v. Monshar Ally	23 W. R., 121	2982
Rassonada Kayar v. Sitharama Pillai	1 Hay, 483	510
	2 Mad., 171	4136

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rasul Bibi v. Mobarik Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 303, note : 11 W. R., 186.	230
Ratanbai v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company	7 Bom., O. C., 120, note	1382
Ratan Chand Shri Chand v. Hanmantrav Shivbakao	8 Bom., O. C., 130	1382
Ratan Dabee v. Modhoosoodun Mohapator	6 Bom., A. C., 166	1542
Ratanji Hormasji v. Edalji Hormasji	2 C. L. R., 328	2317
Ratan Krishen Poddar v. Raghuo Nath Shaha	8 Bom., O. C., 181	2615, 4313
Ratan Kuar v. Jiwan Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 287 : 10 C.	5707
Ratanmani Dasi v. Miller	L. R., 275.	
Ratan Rai v. Hanuman Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 194	4187, 4860
Ratan Shankar Revashankar v. Gulabshankar Lalshankar	4 B. L. R., O. C., 63 : 15 W.	2663
Ratansi Kalianji. In the matter of the petition of	R., O. C., 18, note.	4994
Ratan Singh v. Wazir	I. L. R., 5 All., 118	4052, 5670
Ratansi Pancham v. Saunders	4 Bom., A. C., 173	5933
Rateeah v. Phokondee	10 Bom., 21	5670
Ratiram v. Chiranjil Lal	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 148	1863
Ratnam v. Govindarajulu	I. L. R., 1 All., 165	4916
Ratnasubbu v. Ponnappa	8 Bom., O. C., 159	5586
Rau Narasinga. Ex parte	5 W. R., Cr., 1	957
Rautan v. Ibrahim Rautan	I. L. R., 3 All., 579	5423
— v. Kadangot Shupan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 339	2379
Ravichand Dalichand v. Moti Lal Narbheram	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 69	2294
Ravi Varma v. Koman	2 Mad., 164	684
Ravji bin Keshav. In re	1 Mad., 441	2648
Ravji v. Sharangpani v. Gangadharbhat	1 Mad., 112	3763
Ravji Narayan v. Krishnaji Lakshman	4 Bom., A. C., 77	1494
Ravji Narayan Mandlik v. Dadaji Bapuji, Mamlatdar of Ratnagiri.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 223	830
Ravji Raghunath v. Mahadevray Vishvanath	8 Bom., Cr., 13	1952
Ravji Ranchod Naik v. Vishnu Ranchod Naik	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 29	2378
Ravji Shivram Joshi v. Kaluram	11 Bom., 139	5397
Ravlojirav bin Tamajirav v. Balvantrav Venkatesh	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 523	5502
Ravlofi Tamaji v. Dholpa Raghu	2 Bom., 237	5591
Rav Saheb V. N. Mandlik v. Kamaljabai Saheb Nimbalkar.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 241	4664
Rawlins v. Daniel	12 Bom., 160	808
(Rawut) Urjun Singh v. (Rawut) Ghunsiam Singh	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 437	2097, 3363
Rayachand Amichand. Ex parte	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 123	230, 4952
Rayacharl v. Venkataramaniah	10 Bom., 26	1098, 4511
Rayadur Nallatambi Chetti v. Rayadur Makunda Chetti	2 Agra, 56	3811
Rayan Krishnamachariyar v. Kuppenayyangaar	5 Moore's J. A., 169	2230
Rayappa Chetti v. Ali Sahib	2 Bom., 391 : 2nd Ed., 369	4429, 4678
Rayappan v. Virabbadra	4 Mad., 60	2367
Raya Raghoba Kamat v. Anapurnabai kom Subalbat	3 Mad., 455	2460
Rayasangji Shivsangji v. Gulam Rasul	1 Mad., 180	2138, 2288
Rayee Monee Dossee v. Puddum Mookhee Dossee	2 Mad., 336	4946
Rayekishoree Dossee v. Bonomallee Churn Mytee	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 530	5285
Raye Kissory Dassee v. Nilcant Dey	10 Bom., 98	4855
Raye Kumul Dossee v. Jhoroo Mollah	9 Bom., 286	181
Raygo v. Pogose	13 W. R., 66	2466
Raynor v. Mussoorie Bank	10 W. R., 209	244
— v. —	20 W. R., 370	2952
	15 W. R., 543	6279
	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 709, note	186
	I. L. R., 2 All., 55	6218
	I. L. R., 7 All., 681	832, 5966

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raynor v. Sungeher Singh	5 N. W., 211	1363, 5431
Razabai kom Rangoji v. Sadu bin Bhavani	8 Bom., A. C., 98	1459
Razeeooddeen v. Jhuboo Singh	W. R., 1864, 75	3963
— v. Zeenut Bibee	8 W. R., 463	3723
Reade v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 391	3745
Reardon. In the matter of the petition of	8 Mad., 85	3812
Reasut Ali v. Abbott	12 W. R., 132	2745, 3667
Reasut Hossein v. Abdoollah	L. R., 1 I. A., 72	4635
— v. —	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 131 : 26 W. R., 50 : L. R., 3 I. A., 221. }	4764, 4849 5115, 5126
— v. Chorwar Sing.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 470 : 9 C. L. R., 260. }	1177
— v. Jsumunt Roy	15 W. R., 396	2715
Reaz Ali Khan v. Government of India	19 W. R., 267	3156
Reazooddeen v. Collector of Cuttack	10 W. R., 175	1249, 3207
Reazooddeen Mahomed v. McAlpine	22 W. R., 540	5637
Reazoonissa v. Bookoo Chowdhraim	12 W. R., 267	1753
— v. Dad Ali	8 W. R., 326	1660
Reazoonnissa Khanum v. Doyanauth Jha	Marsh, 638	5081
Rebeiro v. Rebeiro	3 W. R., 63	6200
Rednum Atohutaramayya v. Mahomed Amin Khan } alias Dada Sahib.	5 Mad., 272	3777
Redoy Kissen Dutt v. Ram Coomar Sen	3 C. L. R., 231	5305
Reed. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 20	4662
— v. Gourmoney Dabee	6 Moore's I. A., 490	4641
— v. Sreekishen Singh	15 W. R., 430	5177
Reednath Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of } v. Gopee Sahoo	19 W. R., 191	272
Reedoy Kisto Muzoomdar v. Puddo Lochun Muzoomdar	1 W. R., 12	354, 369
Reedoy Nath Dooripa v. Puddo Lochun Chuckerbutty	22 W. R., 205	580
Reet Bhunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 31	5477
Reference by Board of Revenue	I. L. R., 2 All., 654	5846
—	I. L. R., 2 All., 664	5845
—	I. L. R., 3 All., 788	5862
—	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 15	5861
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 155	5858
— . In the matter of } —	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254 : 10 C. L. R., 33. }	5847
—	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 92 : 13 C. L. R., 164. }	5848
Reference by the Chief Presidency Magistrate. In the } matter of.	6 C. L. R., 392	985
Reference by Sessions Judge of Surat	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 352	5606
Reference from District Judge under s. 49 of the Stamp } Act, 1879.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848, 5856
Reference under Stamp Act, 1879	I. L. R., 5 All., 360	5863
—	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848, 5856
—	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 394	5852
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 176	5853
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 203	5858
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 209	5856
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 349	5846
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 350	5844
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 385	5845
—	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 421	5856
—	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 11	5853

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reference under Stamp Act, 1879	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 15	5844
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 87	5844
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 104	5860
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 453	5861
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 532	5845
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 564	305, 5852
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 138	5854
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 140	5863
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 146	5860
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 358	5860
Reg. v. Abdul Kadar	3 Bom., Cr., 45	1951
v. Acharjys	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 224	1338
v. Adivigadu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171	2911
v. Ahone Akong	9 Bom., 356	2911
v. Ajam Dulla	8 Bom., Cr., 115	708, 5597
v. Alibhai Mitha	8 Bom., Cr., 103	1007
v. Ali valad Faker Muhammad	5 Bom., Cr., 9	713
v. Amba kom Girsoji	4 Bom., Cr., 1	5162
		16, 1010
v. Amrita Govinda	10 Bom., 497	1022, 5579
		1313
v. Amruta Nathu	7 Bom., Cr., 29	4119
v. Annaji valad Govindram	1 Bom., 93	2582, 2584
v. Anta bin Dadoba	1 Bom., 101	5554
v. Anvarkhan valad Gulkhan	9 Bom., 172	1961, 5554
v. Arjun	1 Bom., 87	1289, 5152
v. Arjun Megha	11 Bom., 281	4376
v. Arunachellam	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 164	3630
v. Atmaram Vaman Bhandarkar	3 Bom., Cr., 8	1047
v. Auba bin Bhivrav	4 Bom., Cr., 6	1241
v. Avji bin Naru	8 Bom., Cr., 22	1256, 5161
v. Babaji bin Bhau	4 Bom., Cr., 16	6190
v. Babji valad Bapu	4 Bom., Cr., 5	965, 3612
v. Bagu valad Oswari	4 Bom., Cr., 34	5561
v. Bahirji bin Krishnaji	1 Bom., 39	1010
v. Bai Ratan	10 Bom., 166	966
v. Bai Divale	5 Bom., Cr., 48	149, 587
v. Bai Rupa	2 Bom., 124: 2nd Ed., 117	2229
		1297
v. Balapa bin Dandapa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 639	1005, 6167
v. Balvant V. Pendharkar	11 Bom., 137	714
v. Bapu Parbat	7 Bom., Cr., 81	1222
v. Bapu Yadav	11 Bom., 172	619
v. Bassuji Gungaram	7 Bom., Cr., 2	5567
v. Bechar Khushal	5 Bom., Cr., 43	2910
v. Bechar Mava	4 Bom., Cr., 38	609, 4358
v. Bhagtidas Bhagvandas	5 Bom., Cr., 51	3618
v. Bhagu bin Shabaji	5 Bom., Cr., 47	262
v. Bhaishankar Hariram	8 Bom., Cr., 18	1789
v. Bhaishankar Narbheram	6 Bom., Cr., 75	2581
v. Bhala Chula	1 Bom., 17	258, 4723
v. Bhaskar Kharkar	3 Bom., Cr., 1	5602
		4363
v. Bhau bin Vithu	3 Bom., Cr., 53	2008
v. Bhavanishankar	11 Bom., 3	709
v. Bhavanishankar Haribhai	5 Bom., Cr., 55	612
v. Bhikoba Vinoba	4 Bom., Cr., 9	5874
v. Bhista bin Madanna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 308	

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Budhu Nanku	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 476	343
— v. Byha valad Surjim	1 Bom., 91	5548, 6263
— v. Cassidy	4 Bom., Cr., 17	453, 707
— v. Chand Nur	11 Bom., 240	1153
— v. Changia valad Shumia	7 Bom., Cr., 68	6190
— v. Chanviovra	1 Bom., 4	5566
— v. Chenappa valad Nagappa	5 Bom., Cr., 44	5568
— v. Chill	8 Bom., Cr., 92	2105
— v. Chima	8 Bom., Cr., 164	{ 15, 451
— v. Chouthmal Lachiram	11 Bom., 144	1805
— v. Connon	6 Bom., Cr., 15	1220
— v. Dalpatram Peinabhai	5 Bom., Cr., 105	1668
— v. Dalsukram Haribhai	2 Bom., 407: 2nd Ed., 384	4732
— v. Damo Dhar Dalji	1 Bom., 181	{ 2785, 4110
— v. Damodhar Ram Chandra	5 Bom., Cr., 68	4360
— v. Daya Anand	11 Bom., 44	6189
— v. Dayabhai Parjaram	1 Bom., 140	1963, 5156
— v. Dayal Jairaj	3 Bom., Cr., 20	1010
— v. Dayalji Enderji	8 Bom., Cr., 21	735
— v. Deva Dayal	11 Bom., 237	2922, 3097
— v. Devama	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 64	1967
— v. Devsanvat bin Shivram Sanvat	3 Bom., Cr., 34	1011
— v. Dhania Daji	5 Bom., Cr., 59	{ 984, 1221
— v. Dharmaya valad Sangapa	8 Bom., Cr., 12	4751
— v. Dhondu Ramchandra	5 Bom., Cr., 100	1155
— v. Dhori Kullan	9 Bom., 165	4374
— v. Diaz	3 Bom., Cr., 51	3624
— v. Dip Chand Khushal	4 Bom., Cr., 30	966
— v. Dixon	6 Bom., Cr., 14	4359
— v. Dod Basaya	11 Bom., 13	1155
— v. Donoghue	5 Mad., 277	3612
— v. Dorabji Balabhai. In the matter of	11 Bom., 117	3607
— v. Dossa Sera	1 Bom., 139	5549
— v. Durgaram Madhavram	7 Bom., Cr., 55	2104
— v. Durwan Geer	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 253: 5 W. R., Cr., 73. }	251
— v. Dwarka Nauth Dutt	{ 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 67: 7 W. R., Cr., 15. }	2907
— v. Elmstone	7 Bom., Cr., 89	1987
— v. Fattechand Vastachand	5 Bom., Cr., 85	{ 4058
— v. Gaji kom Ranu	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 311	460
— v. Ganga kom Mhasu	5 Bom., Cr., 13	4120
— v. Gangaram Malji	6 Bom., Cr., 43	{ 23, 720, 964
— v. Gania bin Bapu	3 Bom., Cr., 50	5155, 5164
— v. Ganoji bin Pandji	5 Bom., Cr., 49	6165, 6267
— v. Ganu Bapu	2 Bom., 422: 2nd Ed., 398	1318
— v. Ganu bin Dharoji	6 Bom., Cr., 57	3826
— v. Ganu bin Krishna Gurav	4 Bom., Cr., 25	711
— v. Ganu bin Tatia Selar	5 Bom., Cr., 38	3826
— v. Ganu Ladu	2 Bom., 132: 2nd Ed., 126	1975
— v. Ganu valad Ramchandra	4 Bom., Cr., 3	{ 1800, 4920

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Gapau kom Kusaji	1 Bom., 92	1955
— v. Garbad Bechar	9 Bom., 344	1007
— v. Gazi kom Aba Dore	7 Bom., Cr., 83	4358
— v. Genu bin Aku	5 Bom., Cr., 83	6191
— v. Girdhar Dharamdas	6 Bom., Cr., 33	1259, 5601
— v. Goluck Doss	1 Hyde, 282	1989
— v. Gopal Lakshuman	5 Bom., Cr., 25	5602
— v. Govinda	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 342	1334
— v. Govinda bin Babaji	5 Bom., Cr., 15	3604, 5602
— v. Govindas Haridas	6 Bom., Cr., 76	714
— v. Govind Babli Raul	11 Bom., 278	1020
— v. Gregory	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 423	1950
— v. Gulabdas Kuberda	11 Bom., 99	1317
— v. Gulam Abas	12 Bom., 147	5550
— v. Guna bin Regnak	3 Bom., Cr., 29	3619
— v. Gurningapa	7 Bom., Cr., 58	960
— v. Hanmanta	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 610	5994
— v. Hanmant Gavda	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 228	1220
— v. Hanmapa bin Malapa	7 Bom., Cr., 37	5546
— v. Hargovandas	9 Bom., 448	735, 5945
— v. Hari bin Vithoji	1 Bom., 139	5600
— v. Harishankar Fakirbhat	2 Bom., 136 : 2nd Ed., 130	5881
— v. Hart	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 333	4238
— v. Hau Nagji	7 Bom., Cr., 74	2029
— v. Hira Jiva	7 Bom., Cr., 59	3626
— v. Hira Punja	1 Bom., 33	2623
— v. Hossein Jan	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 190	1989
— v. Imam valad Baban	3 Bom., Cr., 57	23
— v. Irapa bin Basapa	8 Bom., Cr., 162	4726, 4733
— v. Jafar Ali	8 Bom., Cr., 113	969, 6182
— v. Jaffer Naik	2 Bom., 133 : 2nd Ed., 127	1256
— v. Jagunnath Bhat bin Appa Bhat	5 Bom., Cr., 103	1153
— v. Jaili Bhavin	6 Bom., Cr., 60	4376
— v. Jaimal Shravan	10 Bom., 69	1047
— v. Jeetaram Shaw	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 404	706
— v. Jellapa bin Mudakappa	1 Bom., 181	958
— v. Jetha Bhala	16 Bom., 68	986
— v. Jetha Moti	2 Bom., 135 : 2nd Ed., 129	5821
— v. Jethya valad Vestya	9 Bom., 171	101
— v. Jibhai Vaja	11 Bom., 11	4114
— v. Jivanji Linji	6 Bom., Cr., 1	4726, 4731
— v. Jivan Usman	3 Bom., Cr., 12	254, 3625
— v. Joma bin Balu	4 Bom., Cr., 37	1152, 5547
— v. Jora Hasji	11 Bom., 242	1809
— v. Joti bin Satu	1 Bom., 37	5821
— v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 293	691
— v. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24	3783
— v. Kabhai Rava Bhai	5 Bom., Cr., 40	707
— v. Kadir valad Balu	7 Bom., Cr., 50	1802
— v. Kala bin Hari Gama	7 Bom., Cr., 72	3620
— v. Kala Karsan	6 Bom., Cr., 55	399
— v. Kalidas Keval	5 Bom., Cr., 10	3624
— v. Kalla Lakhmaji	2 Bom., 419 : 2nd Ed., 395	1800, 4920
— v. Kalubhai Meghabhai	7 Bom., Cr., 35	5153
— v. Kalu Patil	11 Bom., 146	260
— v. Kalya bin Fakir	5 Bom., Cr., 34	1019, 6272
		4353

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Kandskora	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 223	3627
— v. Karsan Goja	2 Bom., 124: 2nd Ed., 117	587, 2229
— v. Karsanlal Danatram	5 Bom., Cr., 20	6259
— v. Kasamji	5 Bom., Cr., 6	3623
— v. Kashinath Bachaji Eagul	8 Bom., Cr., 168	1511
		150, 1004
— v. Kashinath Dinkar	8 Bom., Cr., 126	1221, 1264
		4364, 4532
		4621, 5523
		6249
— v. Kashya Rama	8 Bom., Cr., 63	2898
— v. Kassamia	1 Bom., 100	3626
— v. Kastya Rama	8 Bom., Cr., 63	3866, 4121
— v. Kasya bin Ravji	5 Bom., Cr., 35	5995
— v. Katunji Bhukan	1 Bom., 17	3604
— v. Keru bin Ramshet	5 Bom., Cr., 100	619
— v. Keshavshet	6 Bom., Cr., 74	5601
— v. Khanderav Bajirav	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 10	6164
— v. Khandoji bin Tanaji	5 Bom., Cr., 21	4363
— v. Khatabai	6 Bom., Cr., 9	5993
— v. Khoda Jagta	1 Bom., 137	713
— v. Khushai Hiranman	4 Bom., Cr., 28	2007, 5591
— v. Kikabhai Parbadas	9 Bom., 451	709
— v. Krishnaji Bapuji Gaikavad	3 Bom., Cr., 39	5543
— v. Krishna Parashram	5 Bom., Cr., 69	3615
— v. Krishnashet bin Narayanshet	5 Bom., Cr., 46	3773
— v. Kuberio Ratno	4 Bom., Cr., 8	3619
— v. Kusa valad Lakshman	7 Bom., Cr., 70	6189, 6190
— v. Kushya bin Yesu	4 Bom., Cr., 11	5570
— v. Ladu	7 Bom., Cr., 87	5518, 5566
— v. Lakhu valad Sakru	8 Bom., Cr., 118	3626
— v. Lakhya Govind	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 50	2907
— v. Lakshman Balaji	3 Bom., Cr., 10	3630
— v. Lakshuman Ram Chandra	3 Bom., Cr., 56	2922
— v. Lala Shambhu	10 Bom., 70	3611
— v. Lallubhai Jassubhai	5 Bom., Cr., 64	4718
— v. Lallu Karwar	5 Bom., Cr., 63	1990
— v. Lalubhai Gopalidas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 232	2921
— v. Larkins	4 Bom., Cr., 30	965
— v. Lingana bin Ginbana	4 Bom., Cr., 14	3865
— v. Locha Kala	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 340	6182
— v. Lukhma Chango	9 Bom., 153	619
— v. Mahipya valad Bomya Mahar	5 Bom., Cr., 99	6182
— v. Malapa bin Kapana	11 Bom., 196	1003
— v. Malhari bin Shivji	3 Bom., Cr., 41	1986
— v. Malhari Lauji	6 Bom., Cr., 45	254
— v. Malharji bin Nauloji	3 Bom., Cr., 36	3624
— v. Malhar Ram Chandra	7 Bom., Cr., 64	1973, 5521
— v. Mangla Bhulia	7 Bom., Cr., 69	3616
— v. Manikram Surajram	11 Bom., 231	2680
— v. Manohar Raiji	5 Bom., Cr., 17	149
— v. Mansang Bhavsang	10 Bom., 74	5497
— v. Maruti Dada	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 15	15
— v. Mathur Purshotam	4 Bom., Cr., 13	3626
— v. Mavji Dayal	5 Bom., Cr., 10	3624
— v. Mehervanji Bejanji	6 Bom., Cr., 6	735, 1326
— v. Mehtarji Gopalji	7 Bom., Cr., 67	5146

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Miya valad Daud	6 Bom., Cr., 10	724
— v. Moroba Bhaskarji	8 Bom., Cr., 101	1259, 2778
— v. Muhammad Ali valad Abdul Ali	8 Bom., Cr., 9	6189
— v. Muhammad Khan valad Imam Khan	6 Bom., Cr., 54	5518
— v. Muhammad Saib	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 277	5567
— v. Muliya Nana	5 Bom., Cr., 24	5600
— v. Murar Trikam	5 Bom., Cr., 3	1154, 5553
— v. Muthavan	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 191	5602
— v. Nabaji valad Vithoji	4 Bom., Cr., 2	985
— v. Nana Moroji	8 Bom., Cr., 1	5162
— v. Nantamram Uttamram	6 Bom., Cr., 64	2028, 6183
— v. Narainappa Comte	5 Bom., Cr., 39	256, 4691
— v. Narayan Babaji	9 Bom., 346	1044
— v. Narayan Gangaram	9 Bom., 343	6277
— v. Narayan Krishna	2 Bom., 416: 2nd Ed., 392	306, 3625
— v. Narayan Sundar	5 Bom., Cr., 1	3864
— v. Naro Gopal	5 Bom., Cr., 56	2029, 6250
— v. Natha Kalyan	8 Bom., Cr., 11	2011, 5167
— v. Natha Lalla	5 Bom., Cr., 67	5993
— v. Nathalal Pitambar	10 Bom., 102	4371
— v. Natha Mula	4 Bom., Cr., 37	691, 4532
— v. Navranbeg Dulabeg	10 Bom., 73	1990
— v. Navroji Dadabhai	9 Bom., 358	1317
— v. Nevalmal valad Umedmal	3 Bom., Cr., 16	1005, 1852
— v. Omrito Nauth Jha	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 399: 6 W. R., Cr., 61. {	3098
— v. Padala Venkatasami	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 4	1957
— v. Pandu bin Vithoji	4 Bom., Cr., 7	4490, 4494
— v. Pandurang Mayral	5 Bom., Cr., 41	4500
— v. Papidio Muthdo	9 Bom., 167	2015
— v. Parbat	7 Bom., Cr., 82	1047
— v. Parbhudass Ambaram	11 Bom., 90	1532
— v. Parsapa Mahadevapa	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 339	3613
— v. Parshram Keshav	7 Bom., Cr., 61	399
— v. Pestanji Dinsha	10 Bom., 75	1833
— v. Pevadi bin Bassappa	2 Bom., 421: 2nd Ed., 397 {	1318
— v. Pirtal	10 Bom., 356	5512, 5529
— v. Poshu bin Dhambaji Patil	2 Bom., 134: 2nd Ed., 128	18, 286
— v. Prabhakar N. Soman	3 Bom., Cr., 11	722, 3098
— v. Prema Bhika	1 Bom., 89	1800, 4920
— v. Premji Bhagvan	10 Bom., 295	5153
— v. Purshotam Valji	5 Bom., Cr., 33	2906
— v. Pyla Atchi	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 278	1671, 4358
— v. Ragha Naranji	7 Bom., Cr., 31	3624
— v. Raghoji bin Kanoji	3 Bom., Cr., 42	1970
— v. Rahimat	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 147	1220
— v. Rama Bhivgowda	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 223	1043, 4363
— v. Rama bin Gopal	1 Bom., 107	4690
— v. Rama bin Rabbaji	1 Bom., 34	5496
— v. Ramajirav Jivbajirav	12 Bom., 1	4622
		4354, 5161
		986
		5550
		2761, 4711
		5565
		736, 1153
		1317, 1971
		4691

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Ramasami Padayachi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 394	24
v. Ram Chandra Eknath	6 Bom., Cr., 36	4099
v. Ramcomul Mitter	1 Hyde, 195	1792
v. Ramdas Samaldas	12 Bom., 217	690, 5886
v. Ramji valad Daji	5 Bom., Cr., 12	6025
v. Ramlojerio	5 Bom., Cr., 27	958
v. Ramswami Mudliar	6 Bom., Cr., 47	984
v. Ranchoddas Nathubhai	4 Bom., Cr., 35	263, 5152
v. Ranchhod Dyal	10 Bom., 424	5602
v. Ratanrav bin Mahadevrao Chavan	3 Bom., Cr., 32	1317
v. Rattanji Bhukan	1 Bom., 17	5563
v. Ravji valad Tajul	8 Bom., Cr., 37	1220
v. Ravlojirav bin Hanmantrav	5 Bom., Cr., 7	1806, 1965
v. Reay	7 Bom., Cr., 6	3630
v. Remedios	7 Bom., Cr., 77	2902
v. Sada Shibappa Pandurang Gappa	3 Bom., Cr., 59	285
v. Sadu Dadabhai	5 Bom., Cr., 29	4239
v. Sadu valad Pavadi	9 Bom., 166	966
v. Sajjan valad Vithu	3 Bom., Cr., 39	3626, 5597
v. Sakharam Anatoba	5 Bom., Cr., 104	3626
v. Sakharam Manohar	10 Bom., 109, note	5831
v. Sakharam Mukundji	11 Bom., 125	691
v. Sakya valad Kavji	11 Bom., 166	5150
v. Sambhu Raghu	5 Bom., Cr., 36	1832, 5152
v. Samia Kaundan	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 347	6269
v. Samsen Babaji	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 173	5570
v. Sangapa bin Bashiapa	3 Bom., Cr., 43	586
v. Sankar Bhagvat	7 Bom., Cr., 76	2938
v. Santu bin Lakhappa Kore	2 Bom., 417 : 2nd Ed., 394	955
v. Sardar Pathu	5 Bom., Cr., 45	5568
v. Shankar Abaji Hoshing	1 Bom., 38	1043
v. Shankar Venkaji	6 Bom., Cr., 69	6028
v. Shipping Master of Calcutta	3 Bom., Cr., 15	259
v. Shivbasapa	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 371	3812
v. Shivya	7 Bom., Cr., 73	958, 5601
v. Showdar Ghenar	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 219	1011
v. Siddu bin Balnath	7 Bom., Cr., 39	4217
v. Subhana bin Ganu	1 Bom., 95	713
v. Subi Sani	9 Bom., 169	3621
v. Surkya valad Dhaku	8 Bom., Cr., 28	5519
v. Surya bin Krishna Mandavkar	5 Bom., Cr., 31	6183
v. Tai	3 Bom., Cr., 38	6190
v. Thaku bin Ira	8 Bom., Cr., 24	5516
v. Thomesit	5 Bom., Cr., 81	5168
v. Timmi	5 Bom., Cr., 22	5601
v. Tockia	2 Bom., 131 : 2nd Ed., 125	1788, 1801
v. Tribhuvan Ishwar	1 Bom., 3	3623
v. Tukaram Ragho	3 Bom., Cr., 54	3630
v. Tukaya bin Tamana	12 Bom., 234	3618
v. Tunga Tuka	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 214	5554
v. Ukha Sau	5 Bom., Cr., 14	3624
v. Umtha Rugnath	7 Bom., Cr., 88	625
v. Uttamchand Kapurchand	5 Bom., Cr., 8	262
v. Vahala Jetha	11 Bom., 120	1808
	7 Bom., Cr., 56	1007, 3606

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Vakhatchand . . .	1 Bom., 50 . . .	4217
— v. Vakta valad Lakhu . . .	9 Bom., 164 . . .	660
— v. Venkaji Bhaskar . . .	8 Bom., Cr., 19 . . .	1044
— v. Venku Narsa . . .	9 Bom., 170 . . .	5604
— v. Vinayak Divakar . . .	8 Bom., Cr., 32 . . .	5529 ⁵³
— v. Vinayak Trimbak . . .	2 Bom., 414 : 2nd Ed., 391 . . .	1267, 55
— v. Virji Kuvarji . . .	2 Bom., 135 : 2nd Ed., 129 . . .	5821
— v. Vishvanath Daulatray . . .	4 Bom., Cr., 33 . . .	2796
— v. Vithaldas Pranjivandas . . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 462 . . .	2921
— v. Vithal Lakshuman . . .	5 Bom., Cr., 13 . . .	906
— v. Vithoba bin Soma . . .	5 Bom., Cr., 61 . . .	5569
	2 Bom., 422 : 2nd Ed., 398 . . .	1800, 4920
— v. Vithu bin Mallu . . .	5 Bom., Cr., 36 . . .	5153
— v. Vyankatray Shrinivas . . .	7 Bom., Cr., 50 . . .	3630
		2762, 3603
— v. Vyankatsvami . . .	2 Bom., 112 : 2nd Ed., 106 . . .	4358, 4625
— v. Wells . . .	7 Bom., Cr., 1 . . .	260, 5905
— v. Yed Ali Khan . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 424 . . .	5991
— v. Yella valad Parshia . . .	3 Bom., Cr., 37 . . .	2905
— v. Yenku Bapuji . . .	8 Bom., Cr., 39 . . .	3097
— v. Yessappa bin Ningappa . . .	5 Bom., Cr., 41 . . .	6191
— v. Zora Karubeg . . .	4 Bom., Cr., 12 . . .	3624, 5298
Reg. on the prosecution of Shallard v. Watkins . . .	2 Mad., 444 . . .	955
Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedas Nundy v. East Indian Railway Company . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 244 . . .	5549
Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedoss Nundy v. East Indian Railway Company . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 : } Bourke, O. C., 395. }	2904
Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of . . .	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151 : 11 W. } R., O. C., 24. }	3783
	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60 : 12 W. } R., 386, note. }	925
Registration Act, 1871. ————	6 B. L. R., 576 . . .	4757
Reid v. Scott Thomson & Co. . .	11 B. L. R., 20 . . .	4800
Reily v. Bamasoonduree Dossee . .	2 Hyde, 172 . . .	4764
— v. Hur Chunder Ghose . . .	25 W. R., 398 . . .	4797
— v. Judoo Nath Ghuttuck . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 722 : 12 C. } L. R., 398. }	3792
Remfry v. DePenning . . .	25 W. R., 175 . . .	4166
— v. Shillingford . . .	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 929 . . .	1174, 5309
Rennie v. Gunganarain Chowdhry . .	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 130 . . .	545
Reotee Singh v. Ramjeet . . .	3 W. R., 10 . . .	5904
Rethbunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh . .	2 N. W., 50 . . .	4072
Retoo Raj Pandey v. Lalljee Pandey . .	4 W. R., Mis., 9 . . .	3849, 6134
Revji Patil v. Sakharam . . .	24 W. R., 399 . . .	2201
Rewa Mahton v. Ram Kishen Singh . .	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 615 . . .	5455
Rewal Mahton v. Penum Mundar . .	L. R., 13 I. A., 106 : I. L. R., } 14 Calc., 18. }	2484
Rewunpersad v. Radha Beeby . . .	22 W. R., 9 . . .	4337
Rewun Pershad v. Jankee Pershad . .	7 W. R., P. C., 35 : 4 Moore's } I. A., 137. }	5623
Rewut Konwur v. Omrao Bahadoor Singh . .	11 Moore's I. A., 25 . . .	1435
Reza Ali v. Bhikun Khan . . .	21 W. R., 148 . . .	2502, 2545
— v. Purnanand Chuckerbutty . . .	7 W. R., 334 . . .	2748
	6 B. L. R., Ap., 84 : 14 W. } R., 474. }	1879
		4814
		4400

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rezaooddeen Hossein v. Fuzloonissa	5 W. R., Mis., 12	5618
Rezsa Hossein v. Ifutoonnissa	2 Hay, 564	3650
Rhidoy Krishna Ghose v. Kailas Chandra Bose	4 B. L. R., F. B., 82: 13 W. } R., F. B., 3. }	572
Rhidoy Kristo Mestri v. Nobin Chunder Sen	12 C. L., R., 457	4128
Riasat Ali <i>alias</i> Babu Miya <i>alias</i> Bodiuzzuma. In { the matter of the petition of. }	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 352: 8 C. L. } R., 572. }	452, 2015
Riayatullah Khan v. Nasir Khan	I. L. R., 6 All., 616	4879
Riazunissa v. Tekun Jha	1 B. L. R., S. N., 18: 10 W. } R., 246. }	1615
Ribban v. Partab Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 81	2864
Richardson v. Government	1 W. R., P. C., 47	4650
Ricks. In the matter of	3 Mad., 151	2656
Ridai Nath Sandyal v. Iswar Chandra Saha	4 B. L. R., Ap., 57, note	4300
Ridhnath Sahoy v. Gopee Sahoo	14 W. R., 90	227
Rigordy v. Smith	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 290	3787
Rindamma v. Venkataramappa	3 Mad., 268	2316
Ritchie, Stewart, & Co., v. Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co. }	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125	4676
Ritchie v. Stokes	2 Mad., 255	132
Ritooraj v. Oujagur Singh	15 W. R., 227	245
Rittoo Raj Rae v. Juggeshur Rae	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 40: Ed. } 1873, 98. }	536, 541
Rivett-Carnac (Administrator General, Bombay) v. Jivibai. }	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478	2504
Roberts v. Harrison	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 333: 9 C. } L. R., 209. }	3456
Robert v. Jaquehim	Bourke, O. C., 112	5276
— v. Lombard	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192	3101, 3197
Robert and Charriol v. Isaac	6 B. L. R., Ap., 20	1389
— v. Nobinmoney Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 92	425
— v. Shircore	7 B. L. R., 510	5839
Robertson, Gladstone, & Co. v. Kasturymull	3 B. L. R., O. C., 103	1055, 1071
Robertson's case. In the matter of Act XIX of 1857	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146
Robinson. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 273	2633
Robinson v. Ayya Krishnama Chariyar	7 Mad., 37	4578
Robson v. Maseyk	3 W. R., Mis., 2	4465
Rochia Mohato. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 42: 8 C. L. } R., 273. }	722, 1838
Rodeshwar v. Manroop Koer	L. R., 13 I. A., 20	1199, 1505
Roda Mal v. Ram Harakh	I. L. R., 7 All., 711	3951
Roe v. Roe	3 B. L. R., Ap., 9	1547
Rogers v. Montriau	6 B. L. R., 550	3199
— v. Rajendro Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 51: 8 Moore's } I. A., 103. }	1354, 4634
Rogho Nundun Lall v. Mohesh Lall	3 C. L. R., 137	5927
Roghoobuns Tewaree v. Shib Dutt	4 W. R., Act X, 48	1629
Roghoobun Tewaree v. Bishen Dutt Dobey	2 W. R., Act X, 92	1619
Roghooburdyal Mundur v. Christian	3 W. R., 123	4598
Roghoonath Dos v. Alladeen Pattuck	5 W. R., 9	1924
— s v. —	8 W. R., 201	1144
Roghoonath Doss Mohapattur v. Bydonath Doss Maharatha. }	14 W. R., 364	292, 3245
Roghoonath Mundul v. Juggut Bundhoo Bose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 214: 8 C. L. } R., 393. }	5004
Roghoonath Singh v. Mohun Lal Mitter	7 W. R., 296	5728
Roghoo Nundun Lall Sahoo v. Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	3 W. R., Mis., 27	355

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Roghooram Biswas <i>v.</i> Ram Chunder Dobey	W. R., F. B., 127 : B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34. }	5682
Roghuni Singh <i>v.</i> Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455 : 11 C. L. R., 569. }	6266, 6267
Rohan Singh <i>v.</i> Surat Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 318 : L. R., 12 I. A., 25. }	4227
Rohee Lall <i>v.</i> Dindyal Lall	21 W. R., 257	1783, 5759
Roheemolla <i>v.</i> Palmer	Cor., 8	6276
<i>v.</i>	Cor., 133	656
Rohilkhand and Kumaon Bank <i>v.</i> Row <i>v.</i>	I. L. R., 6 All., 468 I. L. R., 7 All., 490	889 3750
Rohima Bye <i>v.</i> Mahomed Hadjee Joosub	13 B. L. R., 91 : 21 W. R., 303. }	213, 2822 4713
Rohimoni Dabi <i>v.</i> Zamiruddin	8 C. L. R., 597	315
Rohimoonissa <i>v.</i> Abdoollah Khan	22 W. R., 319	4806
Rohimusissa Begum <i>v.</i> Mohamed Mirza	10 C. L. R., 103	5810
Rohimunnissa <i>v.</i> Leakut Ali Khan	22 W. R., 506	2504
Rohinee Debia <i>v.</i> Digambur Chatterjee	23 W. R., 422	158, 2343
<i>v.</i> Shib Chunder Chatterjee	15 W. R., 558	4765
Rohinee Kant Roy <i>v.</i> Tripoora Soonduree Dassia	8 W. R., 45	6286
Rohini Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Kishen Gobind Dass	4 C. L. R., 125	273, 285
Rohini Kant Ghose <i>v.</i> Mahabharat Nag	8 B. L. R., 514, note : 10 W. R., 258. }	5690
Rohini Nandan Gossain <i>v.</i> Ratneswar Kundu	8 B. L. R., Ap., 89, note : 15 W. R., 345. }	2973
Rohini Nundun Mitter <i>v.</i> Bhogoban Chunder Roy	14 B. L. R., 144, note : 22 W. R., 154. }	3527
Rohoman Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 4 : 18 W. R., Cr., 67. }	5945
Roikunt Nath Kundu <i>v.</i> Lukhun Majhi	9 C. L. R., 425	1846
Rojoni Kant Bhoomick. In the matter of	13 W. R., Cr., 24	344, 5543
Rollo <i>v.</i> Smith	1 B. L. R., O. C., 10	3751, 3853
Romanath Bal <i>v.</i> Behari Bag Bagdi	13 C. L. R., 303	976
Romanath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 69	3866, 5911
Romanath Dutt <i>v.</i> Joy Kishen Mookerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 80	1635
Romanath Jha <i>v.</i> Luchimput Singh	19 W. R., 418	3511
Romanath Rakheet <i>v.</i> Dhooke Sham Bhooya	11 W. R., 510	3810
Romanath Roy Chowdry <i>v.</i> Kally Proshad Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 346	1747
Roma Nath Thakoor <i>v.</i> Chunder Narain Chowdhry {	Marsh., 136 : W. R., F. B., 45 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S. 44. }	44
Romanauth Gossain's case	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	936
Roma Prosad Roy <i>v.</i> Shorup Paramanick	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 712	585
Roma Prosunno Singhee <i>v.</i> Boykanto Nath Ghosal	3 C. L. R., 504	1900
Romesh Chunder Banerjee <i>v.</i> Jadub Chunder Chatterjee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 14	5463
Romesh Chunder Dutt <i>v.</i> Gooroo Doss Nundee	W. R., 1864, 204	1582
Romon Audheekaree. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 37	2624
Rongai <i>v.</i> Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 513 : 12 C. L. R., 500. }	255, 5167
Roocha Ram Misr <i>v.</i> Naga Doss	2 N. W., 92	56, 692 1617
Roodro Narain Roy <i>v.</i> Nittyanund Doss	8 W. R., 195	1919
Roodurmun Misser <i>v.</i> Damoodur Misser	1 Hay, 365	5262
Roodurnarain Roy <i>v.</i> Coomarnarain Patnaik	13 W. R., 320	1202
Rook Begum <i>v.</i> Walagowhur Shah	3 W. R., 187	3633
Rooke <i>v.</i> Pyari Lal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 43 : 11 W. R., 434. }	2795, 2844
Rookhini Kant Roy <i>v.</i> Sharikatunissa Bibi	13 B. L. R., 246, note : 20 W. R., 64. }	6114

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rookho v. Madho Doss	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 59 .	2215
Rookminee v. Choonnee Lal	1 Agra, Mis., 6	679
Rookminee Koer v. Ram Tohal Roy	21 W. R., 223	4873
Rookmin Kooer v. Bukshee	5 N. W., 155	5182
Rookminy Bullub Roy v. Mulk Jamania Begum .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 914: 12 L. R., 534.	4065
Rookmonee Debia v. Krishno Churn Misser .	20 W. R., 147	2531
Rooknee Kant Chowdhry v. Nund Lal Chowdhry	25 W. R., 120	1910
Rooknee Roy v. Amrith Lal	14 W. R., 254	5931
Rookonissa v. Woolfut Ali	3 W. R., 232	4598
Rookumee Kooer v. Ram Tuhul Roy	17 W. R., 156	3839
Roopa v. Sahib Singh	1 Agra, Rev., 58	1160
Roopa Bewa v. Ram Coomar Sundynl	Marsh., 248: 2 Hay, 13	5278
Roopa Koonwar v. Juggoo Lal Oopadhya	11 W. R., 257	4476
Roopchand Aswal v. Karfool	25 W. R., 54	480
Roopchand Bhukut v. Hur Kishen Doss	23 W. R., 162	1739
Roopchand Roy v. Ram Kant Kobeeraj	W. R., 1864, 98	5775
Roop Debee v. Gungoo Mull	3 N. W., 66	1709
Roop Kalee Kooer v. Doolar Pandey	20 W. R., 101	3151
Rooploll Khettry v. Mohima Churn Roy	10 B. L. R., 271, note .	2533, 6008 6151
Roopmonjoree Chowdranee v. Ram Lal Sirkar .	1 W. R., 144	1750, 1770 2080, 2148
Roop Moonjuree Kooeree v. Beer Chunder Joobraj	9 W. R., 308	2063, 2230
Roop Mungul Singh v. Anund Roy	3 W. R., 111	4142, 4932
_____ v. Chooramun Singh	16 W. R., 182	3458
Roopnarain Dutt. In the matter of	18 W. R. Cr., 38	5162
Roopnarainee Kooer v. Ressel Tewaree	24 W. R., 119	5760
Roopnarain Misr v. Kashee Ram Singh Timbiram	2 N. W., 67	780, 1081
Roop Narain Singh v. Chatooree Singh	12 W. R., 283	1036
_____ v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain	9 W. R., 297	4159, 4233
_____ v. Juggoo Singh	10 W. R., 304	3074
_____ v. Madho Singh	Marsh., 617	3985
_____ v. Ramayee Singh	3 C. L. R., 192	1919, 4293
Roopo Bewah v. Kekaroo	21 W. R., Cr., 41	3882
Roop Ram Doss v. Saceram Nath Kurmokur	23 W. R., 141	4213
Roopun Roy v. Purdeep Singh	22 W. R., 10	1586
Roopun Tewaree v. Buckle	10 W. R., 142	5659
Roostun Singh v. Alum Singh	1 Agra, 291	6149
Roshan Singh v. Harkishan Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 535	2277
Roshun Doosadh v. Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 768: 6 C. } L. R., 219.	1788, 1806
Roshun Jahan v. Enaet Hossein	5 W. R., 5	2080, 3633 3634, 3679 3680, 3747
Roshun Jehan v. Enayut Hossein	W. R., F. B., 41: Marsh., } 127: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 44: } 1 Hay, 269.	4408, 4412
Roshun Mahomed v. Mahomed Kuleem	7 W. R., 150	3714
Rosik Lal Nundi v. Karlik Shaut	22 W. R., Cr., 48	4505
Rossool Mahomed v. Bassoo Bewah	8 W. R., 163	1478
Rosul Singh v. Kishoree Lal	8 W. R., 499	294
Rotee Rumun Oopadhya v. Greeja Nund Oopadhya	5 W. R., 160	5615
Rotty Rumun Oopadhya v. Chunder Binode Oopadhya	5 W. R., Mis., 10	3159
Roushan Bibee v. Hurraykristo Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926	1119, 1744 6110, 6114
Roushan Sirkar v. Nabin Chandra Ghatak	6 B. L. R., 377, note: 12 R., 402.	3770

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Roushun Jahan v. Enaet Hossein	W. R., 1864, 83	2080, 3748
Roussac v. Thacker & Co.	1 Hyde, 9	1156
Rousseau v. Nuboo Kishore Bhudro	12 W. R., 302	5206
— v. Pinto	7 W. R., 190	2767, 6248
— v. —	10 W. R., 54	4529
Rowshun v. Bholanath Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 67	6279
Rowshun Beebee v. Kureem Buksh	4 W. R., 12	2020
Rowshun Bibee v. Chundermadhub Kur	16 W. R., 177	4937
Rowshun Jehan v. Collector of Furneah	14 W. R., 295	1251
Rowshun Koer v. Ram Dihal Roy	13 C. L. R., 45	3721
Rowson v. Hanama Mestri	I. L. R., 1 Mad, 280	100
Rowthakonni. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431	3572, 3613
Royal Bank of India v. Eastern Bengal Indigo Company } — v. Hormasji Kharsedji	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 281 3 Bom., O. C., 153	5638 168, 5823
Royal Reddi v. Linga Reddi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 1	884
Roy Chunder Roy v. Bampton	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188	2629
Roychurn Dutt v. Ameena Bibi	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 385	5641
Roy Dhunput Singh v. Lekraj Roy	1 C. L. R., 525	3319
— v. Prem Bibee	24 W. R., 72	776
Roy Dinkur Doyal v. Sheo Golam Singh	22 W. R., 172	4028, 5013
Roy Kally Prosonno Sein v. Kisto Nund Dundee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 13	3180
Royes Mollah v. Mudhoosoodun Mundul	9 W. R., 154	4164
Roy Goodur Suhaye v. Achebur Lall	13 W. R., 120	5132
Roy Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad	17 W. R., 211	3904
Roy Huree Kishen v. Asmedh Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 57	3314
— v. Nursing Narain	6 W. R., Act X, 63	2885
Roy Jung Bahadoor v. Jugdeo Roy	25 W. R., 247	581
Roy Luchineput Singh v. Jowahur Ali	18 W. R., 497	1912
— v. Moshuruff Ali	25 W. R., 80	303
Roy Meghraj v. Beejoy Gobind Bural	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 197: 23 } W. R., 438. }	5123
Roy Mohan Lall Mittra v. Bishnu Chandra Chatterjee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 100	4408
Roy Mohun Lall Mitter v. Buhoo Soonduree Debia	10 W. R., 145	4408
— v. Choolun Singh	1 Hay, 433	5485
Roymoney Dossee. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150	6197
— v. Roghunath Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 14	2239
Roy Nandipat Mahata v. Urquhart	4 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 13 } W. R., 209. }	860, 3096 4445, 5461
Roy Nundeeput Mohatoon v. Stewart	23 W. R., 20	5612
Roy Odyte Narain Singh v. Ubhurun Roy	4 W. R., Act X, 1	4171
Roy Preeonath Chowdhry v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry	8 W. R., 100	3535
Roy Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty } — v. Prasanna Chandra Roy } Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 237: 11 } W. R., 120. } 2 B. L. R., A. C., 249	4272, 4938 177, 1195
Roy Sooltan Bahadoor v. Laloo Kooer	17 W. R., 300	3557
Rozeeoodeen v. Jehangeer	5 W. R., Mis., 22	5622
Rucha Rae v. Permeshur Dyal	2 N. W., 252	4951
Ruchpaul v. Johuree	1 Agra, 147	4295
Ruckmaboye v. Lulloobhoy Mottichund	5 Moore's I. A., 254	1578, 3112 5877, 5972
Ruddravarapu Vissam Raz alias Konamraze. Ex parte	3 Mad., 287	3825
Ruder Perkash Misser v. Hurdai Narain Sabu	5 C. L. R., 112	5049
— v. —	9 C. L. R., 16	2283
Rudrakant Surma Sircar v. Nobokishore Surma Biswas } — v. —	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663: 12 C. } L. R., 269. }	3163

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rudra Prokash Misser v. Bhola Nath Mukherjee .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 612	3745
Rudr Narain Singh v. Rup Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 734	2264, 5067
Ruff v. Stokoe	9 W. R., 213	2986
Rughobur Suhai v. Chuttraput	1 Agra, 73	2771
Rughonath Dass v. Ram Gopal	5 N. W., 29	3991
Rugho Nath Dobey v. Huttee Dobey	1 Agra, Mis., 17	3836
Rughoobar Doyal Singh v. Bhakaree Singh	22 W. R., 472	2487
Rughoo Bibee v. Noor Jehan Begum	12 W. R., 459	3092
Rughoobur Dyal v. Maina Koer	12 C. L. R., 564	172, 371
Rughoobur Dyal Sahoo v. Kishen Pertab Sahee	L. R., 6 I. A., 211	37
Rughoobur Dyal Singh v. Ram Narain Kolya	22 W. R., 312	1429, 5267
Rughoobur Singh v. Hurree Pershad	6 W. R., 75	3281
Rughoobur Thakoor v. Syefoollah Khan	23 W. R., 289	5305
Rughoomohinee Dossee v. Kashee Nath Roy Chowdhry	10 W. R., 156	564, 5170
Rughoonath Bose v. Oomed Ali	W. R., F. B., 177	769
Rughoonath Coondoo v. Hurish Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, 326	3076
Rughoonath Dass v. Chuckerdhun Raut	15 W. R., Cr., 49	5161
Rughoonath Dass v. Halle	16 W. R., 60	3794
v. Shiromonee Pat Mohadebee	24 W. R., 20	{ 3133, 3221
Rughoonath Dobey v. Puresh Ram Mahata	10 W. R., 9	{ 3228, 3527
Rughoonath Doss v. Luchmee Narain Singh	10 W. R., 407	4165
Rughoonath Mitter v. Woomanath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 47	4143
Rughoonath Panjah v. Luckhun Chunder Chowdhry	18 W. R., 23	5919
Rughoonath Pershad v. Huree Mohunt	10 W. R., 37	1902, 2459
Rugho Nath Pershad Singh v. Byjnath Sahoy	24 W. R., 349	327
Rugho Nath Rai v. Chundoo Lall	2 Agra, Pt. II, 195	4269
Rughoonath Roy v. Anundo Pauray	10 W. R., 387	4187, 4459
v. Baraik Geereedharee Singh	7 W. R., 244	5140
Rughoonath Sahoy v. Boondir Mundir	1 W. R., 36	4021
Rughoonath Singh v. Toodey Singh	5 N. W., 19	2915
Rughoonath Sonar v. Mokoond Doss	25 W. R., 213	5478, 5730
Rughoonath Surmah v. Gobind Chunder Roy	14 W. R., 170	5185
Rughoonauth Sahai Chotayloll v. Manick Chand	6 Moore's I. A., 251	5634
Rugho Nundun Pershad Singh v. Chuttur Paul Singh	10 W. R., 335	1068
Rugho Nundun Singh v. Arcott	19 W. R., 46	4912
v. Cochrane	20 W. R., 16	2709
v. Gopal Chund Chowdhry	24 W. R., 372	5275, 5936
v. Wilson	20 W. R., 7	3135
Rughunath Panjah v. Issur Chunder Chowdhry	23 W. R., 301	5275
Rughu Nath Singh Manku v. Pareshram Mahata	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 153	690
Rughu Nundun Rain v. Sumessar Panday	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 635 : 13 C. L. R., 89	5070
Rubeemuddy Akun v. Poorno Chunder Roy Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., 489 : 22 W. R., 235	3115
Ruhmoonissa v. Soopun Jan	22 W. R., 336	5621
Ruhmun v. Shumsoddeen Hyder	18 W. R., 244	3000
Ruhmut-oolah v. Tuffuzzool Hossein	W. R., 1864, 183	3026
Rujabai v. Ismail Ahmed	1 Agra, Rev., 67	3985
Rujapat Rai v. Mahomed Ali Khan	7 Bom., O. C., 27	533
Rujomoney v. Sibchunder Mullick	5 N. W., 215	4235
Rujoneekant Mitter v. Premchand Bose	2 Hyde, 103	1146, 1453
Ruka Bai v. Ganda Bai	Marsh., 241 : 1 Hay, 513	4041
Rukhinee Bullubh v. Brojonath Sircar	I. L. R., 1 All., 594	2420
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 308	2507
		2413
		5474

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
<i>v. Ahmed Hossein</i>	22 W. R., 443	4232
Rukhyakar Bhuttacharjee <i>v. Kuroona Moyee Dabee</i>	25 W. R., 280	3857
Rukmini Dasi <i>v. Kader Nath Ghose</i>	5 B. L. R., Ap., 87	2309
Rukmin. In the matter of	1. L. R., 1 All., 287	183, 5115
Rukmini Burmonia <i>v. Foodun Koomaree Burmonia</i>	23 W. R., 408	312, 316
Ruknadawla Nowab Ahmed Ali Khan <i>v. Hurdwari Mull.</i>	5 B. L. R., 578	478
Rummeezooddeen Bhooyan <i>v. Joyimala</i>	15 W. R., 303	5752
Rummun Singh <i>v. Eshree Pershad</i>	2 Agra, 144	2980
Rumonee Debea <i>v. Baluck Doss Mohant</i>	{ 11 B. L. R., 336, note: 14 W. R., 101.	2252
Rumonee Soonduree Dossia <i>v. Panchanun Bose</i>	4 W. R., 105	3178
Ruman Doss <i>v. Ashbul Doss</i>	1 W. R., 180	2248
Rumzan Ali <i>v. Soorujbhan</i>	7 W. R., 403	4346
Run Bahadoor <i>v. Poodhee Roy</i>	W. R., 1864, 319	5285
Run Bahadoor Singh <i>v. Hardoyal Singh</i>	21 W. R., Cr., 32	1544
_____ <i>v. Lucho Coowar</i>	4 C. L. R., 270	1411
_____ <i>v. Lucho Koer</i>	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 406: 7 C. L. R., 251.	1206, 5062
_____ <i>v. Muloorum Tewaree</i>	8 W. R., 149	3798
_____ <i>v. Roy Narain Doss</i>	7 C. L. R., 82	2727
_____ <i>v. Tilessuree Koer</i>	22 W. R., Cr., 79	4728, 4731 4735
Run Bahadur Singh <i>v. Lucho Koer</i>	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 301: L. R., 12 I. A., 23.	5022, 5027 5062, 5067
_____ <i>v. Raj Rup Koer</i>	4 C. L. R., 498	4663, 4666
Rundle <i>v. Secretary of State</i>	1 Hyde, 37	2804
_____ <i>v.</i>	2 Hyde, 25, 36	4586
Rungama <i>v. Atchama</i>	7 W. R., P. C., 57: 4 Moore's I. A., 1.	2135
Rungiah Pillai <i>v. Chinnasami Pillai</i>	3 Mad., 374	5660
Rung Lall <i>v. Hem Narain Gir</i>	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 166	847, 5295
Runglall Gossain <i>v. Gowree Mundul</i>	10 W. R., 285	878
Rung Lall Misser <i>v. Roghoobur Singh</i>	9 W. R., 169	4457, 4477
_____ <i>v. Tokhun Misser</i>	1. L. R., 2 Calc., 114: 25 W. R., 304.	761
Rungo Bujaji <i>v. Babaji</i>	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 83	3230
Rungo Debee Boistobee <i>v. Huree Narain Oopadhyia</i>	11 W. R., 138	884
Rungo Kopohooa <i>v. Dehassur Mussulman</i>	13 W. R., 302	514
Rungolall Deo <i>v. Deputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom</i>	Marsh., 117: W. R., F. B., 34: 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 34: 1 Hay, 200.	2039
Rungo Lall Mundul <i>v. Abdool Guffoor</i>	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 314: 3 C. L. R., 119.	2990
Rungo Monee Debia <i>v. Raj Coomar Bebee</i>	6 W. R., 197	143
Rungo Monee Dossee <i>v. Campbell</i>	12 W. R., 111	1640
_____ <i>v. Unnopoorna Debia</i>	7 W. R., 149	4162
Rungrav Ravji <i>v. Sidhi Mahomed Ebrahiun</i>	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 482	4978
Rungunmoney Dossee <i>v. Brijol Lall Day</i>	Cor., 25	5284
Runjeet <i>v. Choonnee Lall</i>	1 Agra, 78	804
Runjeet Narain Singh <i>v. Shureefoonissa</i>	10 W. R., 478	3211, 3996
Runjeet Ram Koolal <i>v. Mahomed Waris</i>	21 W. R., 49	2203
Runjeet Ram Panday <i>v. Goverdhun Ram Panday</i>	20 W. R., 25	6001
Runjeet Singh <i>v. Gugraj Singh</i>	L. R., 1 I. A., 9	3367
_____ <i>v. Madud Ali</i>	3 Agra, 222	2359
Runjit Singh <i>v. Bunwari Lal Sahu</i>	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 993	4466
_____ <i>v. Meherban Koer</i>	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 662: 2 C. L. R., 391.	161, 497 740, 742 3031, 3097

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

6705

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Runjit Singh v. Schoene, Kilburn, & Co.	4 C. L. R., 390 .	4182
Runnoo Pandey v. Buksh Ali .	3 N. W., 2 .	2088, 6031
Runneo Roy v. Kadoo Lall .	25 W. R., 58 .	571
Runnoo Singh v. Kalichurn Misser	16 W. R., Cr., 18	980, 5994
Runpal Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh	11 W. R., 106 .	4908
Run Singh v. Mahomed Abid .	2 Agra, 127 .	5081
Rupabai v. Parbhuram Kirpashankar	8 Bom., A. C., 102	1125
Rupa Jagshet v. Krishnaji Govind . .	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 169	1574, 2238
Rup Chand Chowdhry v. Latu Chowdhry . .	3 C. L. R., 97 .	3430
Rupchand Dagdusa v. Davlatrav Vithalrav . .	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 495	2342
Rupchand Hindumal v. Rakhmabai . . .	8 Bom., A. C., 114	4841, 5402
Rupchandra Ghose v. Rupmanjari Dasi . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 325	2121
	R., 274.	4561
Rupennessa Bibi Chowdrani v. Abeduddin Mahomed	8 C. L. R., 73 . .	3801, 3802
Rup Kishore v. Mohin	I. L. R., 3 All., 415 .	3805
Rup Kuari v. Ram Kirpal Shukul	I. L. R., 3 All., 141 .	3138
Ruplal Khettry v. Mahima Chandra Roy . .	5 B. L. R., 254 . .	4982
Rup Narain v. Awadh Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 478 .	2603
Rup Narain Singh v. Junko Bye	3 C. L. R., 112 . .	4551
Rup Singh v. Baisni	I. L. R., 7 All., 1: L. R.,	1573, 2250
	I. A., 149.	2328
——— v. Mukhraj Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 887 .	3468
Rushton. In the goods of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 736 .	1238
——— v. Atkinson	11 W. R., 485 . .	332, 3012
——— v. Girdharee Tewaree	Marsh., 331: 2 Hay, 394	1583
Russick Chuuder v. Ram Lall Shaha	22 W. R., 301 . .	1429, 2797
Russickdas Bairagy v. Preonath Misree . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 102: 12 C.	3856
	L. R., 405.	
Russick Lall Chatterjee. In the matter of .	15 W. R., 518 . .	5918
Russick Lall Day v. Jadubram Day	10 B. L. R., Ap., 25 .	5532
Russick Lall Mullick. In the matter of . .	7 C. L. R., 382 . .	979, 1959
Russickloll Mudduck v. Lokenath Kurmocar .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 688: 5 C. L.	2971, 3056
	R., 492.	
Russik Lal Shaha Chowdhry v. Prem Dhun Bural	24 W. R., 279 . .	1767
Russool Bibee v. Jan Ali Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 267, note: 17 W. }	292
	R., 31.	
Russool Nushyo. In re	11 W. R., Cr., 3 . .	4506
Russoolun v. Fuzeeltoonnissa	W. R., 1864, Mis., 36	4941
Rustamji Adesir Davar v. Ratangi Rustamji Wadia	7 Bom., O. C., 9 . .	1032
Rustomji Burjorji v. Kessowji Naik	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 161	743, 4522
	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 287	201, 744
		5284
Rustomji Eduljee Croos v. Cursetjee Sorabjee Croos	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 349	5835
		1448, 1467
Rustum Ally v. Ameer Ally Soudagur . . .	10 W. R., 487 . .	4263
Rustum Singh v. Mahurbar Singh	5 N. W., 179 . .	3259
Rutcheputty Dutt Jha v. Rajundur Narain Bae	2 Moore's I. A., 132.	2281
Rutna Dobain v. Purladh Dobey	7 W. R., 450 . .	2318
Rutnee v. Roghober Dyal	2 Agra, 278 . .	79
Rutnessur Biswas v. Hurish Chunder Bose .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 221	1373
Rutnessur Dass. In the matter of	14 W. R., 381 . .	4036
Rutnessur Koondoo v. Majeda Bibee	7 W. R., 252 . .	3258
Rutnessur Singh v. Ram Tunoo Ghose . . .	12 W. R., 491 . .	440
		2754, 4093
Rutta Bebee v. Dumree Lal	2 N. W., 153 . .	6128.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ruttan Chand Shooree v. Huree Monee	5 W. R., 225	2420
Ruttanjote Kooer v. Ram Dass	10 B. L. R., 290: 19 W. R., 131.	498
Ruttee Sirdar v. Sajoo Poramanick	11 B. L. R., 345: 20 W. R., 235.	1146
Ruttonjee Eduljee Shet v. Collector of Thanna	10 W. R., P. C., 13: 11 Moore's I. A., 295.	2960, 3074
Ruttonmonee Dabee v. Komolakanth Mookerjee	12 B. L. R., 283, note: 12 W. R., 364.	3117
Rutton Monee Debia v. Gunga Monee Debia Chowdhrain	3 W. R., 94	3441
Ruttonsey Lalji v. Pooribai	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 304	999, 4519
Ruttonsey Morarji v. Jamnadas Pitamberdas	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 692	1088
Rutton Singh v. Greedharee Lall	8 W. R., 310	534, 3079
Ruttoo Singh v. Crowdy	2 W. R., 477, note	3804
Rutto Singh v. Bajrang Singh	13 C. L. R., 280	480
Ruttun v. Dhoomee Khan	3 Agra, 21	3689
Ruttun Bebee v. Makarum Ali	2 Agra, 309	4459
Ruttun Bewa v. Buhur	14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
Ruttun Chand Bysack v. Bocha Bibee	12 W. R., 529	4125
Ruttunessur Chatterjee v. Gooroo Churn Chatterjee	9 W. R., 296	1199
Ruttun Kristo Bosoo v. Bhugoban Chunder Bosoo	18 W. R., 32	2329
Ruttun Monee Dassee v. Kaleekissen Chuckerbutty	W. R., F. B., 147	2891, 5272
Ruttunmonee Dossee v. Jotendromohun Tagore	6 W. R., Act X, 31	4929
Ruttun Monee Dutt v. Brojo Mohun Dutt	22 W. R., 11	4310
_____ v. _____	22 W. R., 333	6101
Ruttun Singh v. Oomrao Singh	4 N. W., 13	4548
Rutty Kant Bose v. Gungadhur Biswas	W. R., F. B., 13: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6: Marsh., 40.	6175
Ruznudeen Hossein v. Fuzalun	3 W. R., 120	1357
Ryall v. Sherman	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 287	774
Ryasutulla v. Doogachurn Pal	15 B. L. R., 294: 24 W. R., 121.	4825
Ryaw Petee. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 15	4742
Rychurn Paul v. Pearymonee Dassee	Marsh., 622	2485
Ryesunnissa Begum v. Bydonath Saha	17 W. R., 354	1631
Byrappan Nambiar v. Kelu Kurup	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 150	3757

S

Saadoollah Shaikh v. Kaleechurn	12 W. R., 358	839
Sabapathi v. Subraya	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 58	5126, 5140
Sabapathi Chetti v. Subraya Chetti	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 250	5813
Sabapati Chetti v. Chedumbara Chetti	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 297	3137
Sabapati Mudaliyar v. Narayansvami Mudaliyar	1 Mad., 115	1208, 3099
Sabeer Khan v. Kallidoss Dey	1 W. R., 199	5875
Sabetoolah Meah v. Rohim Dewan	9 W. R., 273	4868
Sabhanatha Dikshatar v. Subba Lakshmi Ammal	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 80	245
Sabhapati Mudali v. Muttasvami Mudali	1 Mad., 103	3492, 5243
Sabharam bin Vithoji v. Sadashiv bin Sayaji	1 Bom., 96	5660
Sabhee Sing. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 50	153
Sabir Khan v. Ram Luckhee Chowdhrain	10 W. R., 438	4490, 4494
Sabitra Monee v. Mudhoo Soodun Singh	Marsh., 519	4500
Sabo Bewa v. Nahagun Maiti	2 B. L. R., Ap., 51: 11 R., 380.	4900
Sabramanya v. Gnanasambantha	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 374	1701

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sabuktulla v. Hari	10 C. L. R., 199	1687
Sachit v. Budhua Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 429	1422, 2523 5045
Sadabart Prasad Sahu v. Foolbash Koer	3 B. L. R., F. B., 31 : 12 W. R., F. B., 1	2391
Sadagopa Ayyangar v. Dorasami Sastri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 214	4767
Sadagopa Chariyar v. Ruthna Mudali	5 Mad., 457	3929, 4823
Sadagopacharyar v. Ragavacharyar	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 282	971
Sadagopa Edintara Maha Desika Swamiar v. Jamuna Bhai Ammal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 54	2104, 3253 5414, 5447
Sadakat Hossein v. Mahomed Yusuf	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 663 : L. R., 11 I. A., 31	3635
Sadashiv Anant v. Vithal Anant	11 Bom., 32	4023
Sadashiv Bhaskar Joshi v. Dhakubai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450	6130
Sadashiv Joshi v. Dinkar Joshi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 520	2180
Sadashiv Moreshtar v. Hanso bin Shravan	5 Bom., A. C., 156	416
Sadashiv Moreshtar Ghate v. Hari Moreshwar Ghate	11 Bom., 190	1711, 2126
Sadashiv Yeshwant v. Atmaram Sakharan	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 535	1243
Sadasiva v. Muttu Sabapathi Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 106	3553
Sadasiva Pillai v. Ramalinga Pillai	15 B. L. R., 383 : 24 W. R., 193 : L. R., 2 I. A., 219	1684, 3828
Sadasivayyar v. Muttu Sabapathi Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 106	5437
Sada Sookh v. Shiva Dyal	1 Agra, 109	364
Sadat Ali v. Sadattunissa	3 B. L. R., Ap., 101 : 12 W. R., 37	532
Sadaya Pillai v. Chinni	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 352	4985
Sadayappa v. Ponnama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554	2628, 2659
Saddanando Maiti v. Nowrattam Maiti	8 B. L. R., 280 : 16 W. R., 289	526
Sadeeronnissa Bibi v. Shourubby Debea	25 W. R., 459	1780
Sadha v. Bhagwani	7 N. W., 53	3407, 3986
Sadhan Chandra Bose v. Guru Charan Bose	8 B. L. R., 6, note : 15 W. R., 99	3000, 3011
Sadhoojunna v. Ramhurry Mundul	1 Hay, 461	4601
Sadho Singh v. Kishnee	3 N. W., 318	3796
Sadhu Sarun Singh v. Dwarka Singh	12 C. L. R., 45	13
Sadik Ali Khan v. Imdad Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 286	360
— v. Muhammad Husain Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 384	791
Sadodin v. Spiers	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 437	337, 2659
Sadu v. Baiza	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 37	2330, 5009
— v. Sambhu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 592	1621
Saduck Sircar v. Mohamoya Debia	5 W. R., Act X, 16	1606
Saduk Ali Khan v. Pearce	9 W. R., 142	4141
Sadut Ali v. Ramdhone Misser	12 C. L. R., 43	3245
Sadut Ali Khan v. Abdool Gunney	11 B. L. R., 203 : 19 W. R., 171 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 165	1439
— v. Manikarnika Chowdhrair	W. R., 1864, 119	4610
— v. Phillipe	1 W. R., 290	525
Saefoollah Khan v. Luchmeeput Singh Doogur	13 W. R., 58	5321
Saem Sirdar v. Kamaluddy Sirdar	22 W. R., 424	4874
Safdar Ali Khan v. Lachman Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 554	306, 2025 4780
Sagangowda bin Basangowda v. Basapa bin Chenapa	9 Bom., 62	4175
Sage v. Mackay & Co.	2 Hay, 463	5753
— v. Nirunjun Chatterjee	9 W. R., Cr., 12	1255
Sagore Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Sherbourne	Bourke, O. C., 103	1933

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of
Sagore Dutt v. Ramchunder Mitter	1 Hyde, 136	2103, 2823
Sagore Monee Dossee v. Biprodoss Dey	1 W. R., 249	5106
Sagur Dutt. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 41 : 18 W. R., Cr., 44, note.	1152
Sahadeo Pandey v. Nokhid Pandey	15 W. R., 573	4980
Sahai Nand v. Mungniram Marwari	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 542	78, 1225
Sahai Pandey v. Sham Narain	1 L. R., 2 All., 142	3934
Sahebdi v. Kuran	21 W. R., Cr., 37	4723
Sahebjan Bibee v. Sufdur Ali	22 W. R., 288	5131, 5782
Saheb Jehan v. Asudoollah	5 W. R., Mis., 28	209
Saheb Ram Jha v. Kasheenath Jha	21 W. R., 295	388
Saheb Roy v. Choonee Singh	9 W. R., 487	207, 4289
— v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh	22 W. R., 221	4937, 6098
Sahebzadee Begum v. Himmud Bahadoor	12 W. R., 512 : 4 B. L. R., A. C., 1 : 3.	2735, 3637 193, 3700
Sahee Ram v. Mahomed Abdul Rahaman	6 N. W., 268	3644
Sahiba Begum v. Atchamma	4 Mad., 115	3677
Sahib Rautan v. Ibrahim Rautan	1 Mad., 441	2648
Sahib Singh v. Put Ram	1 Agra, Rev., 17	5074
Sah Koondun Lall v. Makhun Lall	1 N. W., 168, Ed. 1873, 247	329
Sahodra v. Rai Jang Bahadur	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 224 : L. R., 8 I. A., 210.	3407
Sahoo Chund v. Geetum Singh	2 Agra, 206	411
Sahoo Gokul Pershad v. Zynub	1 N. W., 176 : Ed. 1873, 255	4955
Saikappa Chetti v. Kulanda Puri Nachiyar alias Kat-tama Nachiyar.	3 Mad., 84	4962
Sainal Ranchhod v. Dullabh Dvarka	10 Bom., 360	5143
Sajan v. Roopram	Agra, 61	4902
Sajanji valad Godaji v. Anaji valad Lakshman	4 Bom., A. C., 142, note	4799
Sajibullah Sirkar v. Hazi Khosh Mohamed Sirkar	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 264	4850
Sajiwan v. Gopal	1 B. L. R., S. N., 15 : 10 R., 149.	423
Sakalchand Savaichand v. Dayabhai Ichhachand	4 Bom., A. C., 70	4197
Sakharam Dikshit v. Ganesh Sathe	1 L. R., 3 Bom., 193	3361
Sakharam Govind Kale v. Damodar Akharam	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 468	815, 3451
Sakharam Krishnaji v. Madan Krishnaji	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 232	4780
Sakharam Mahadev v. Hari Krishna	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 113	319, 1465 2453
Sakharam Mahipat v. Dawud valad Jawabhai	1 L. R., 4 Bom., 76, note	6131
Sakharam Narasimha Sardesai v. Vithu Lakha Gouda	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 250 : 2 Bom., 237 : 2nd Ed., 225.	3977
Sakharam Narayan v. Gopal Lakshuman	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 656, note	3973
Sakharam Ramchandra Dikshit v. Govind Vaman Dikshit.	10 Bom., 361	912, 2233 2234
Sakharam Sadashiv Adhikari v. Sitabai	1 L. R., 3 Bom., 353	2311
Sakharam Shridhar Gadkari v. Chairman of the Municipality of Kaliyan.	7 Bom., A. C., 33	5260
Sakharan Trimbak v. Ranu valad Vithal Apaji	1 Bom., 191	2416
Sakharam Vithal Adhikari v. Collector of Ratnagiri	8 Bom., A. C., 288	3260
Sakhawat Ally v. Noorjehan Begum	1 L. R., 10 Calc., 429	86, 91
Sakhnandan Lal. In the matter of the petition of	1 L. R., 6 All., 163	269
Sakho Narayan Khandalkar v. Narayan Bhikaji Khandalkar.	6 Bom., A. C., 238	782, 5891
Sakkaji Rau v. Lutchmana Gaundan	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 149	3058
Sakrman Dichut v. Dharam Nath Tewari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 141	3980
Sakvarbhai v. Bhavanji Raje Ghatji Zanjarra Deshmukh	1 Bom., 194	2412
Salahmunissa Khatoon v. Mohesh Chunder Roy	16 W. R., 85	5024, 5144

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

8209

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
<i>Salamat Ali v. Budh Singh</i>	I. L. R., 1 All., 303 . . .	2020
— <i>v. Minahan</i>	I. L. R., 4 All., 337 . . .	2649
<i>Salamat Hossein v. Luckhi Ram</i>	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 521 . . .	415
<i>Salamut Bebee v. Bhugwan Doss</i>	2 N. W., 33	2918
<i>Salehooonissa Khatoon v. Mohesh Chunder Roy</i>	17 W. R., 452	1180, 1622
<i>Saleh Shabi Sabi-ud-din Abu Saleh.</i> In the matter of the petition of <i>v. Asadunissa</i> {	6 B. L. R., 126 : 15 W. R., } F. B., 1.	5139
— <i>Bibee.</i> {	6 B. L. R., 126 : 15 W. R., F. B., 1.	5139
<i>Saligram Misser v. Janki Koer</i>	9 W. R., 122	168
<i>Saligram v. Azim Ali Beg</i>	10 Moore's I. A., 114 . . .	3120
— <i>v. Debi Parshad</i>	7 N. W., 38	1691, 4554
— <i>v. Jhunna Kuar</i>	I. L. R., 4 All., 546 . . .	5814
— <i>v. Juggun Nath</i>	1 Agra, 137	4603
— <i>v. Lultaparshad</i>	6 N. W., 329	2153
— <i>v. Meheen Lall</i>	2 Agra, 235	4464
— <i>v. Pursidh Ram</i>	1 Agra, 186	4543
— <i>v. Ram Sewuk</i>	1 Agra, Mis., 14	1925
— <i>v. Secretary of State</i>	12 B. L. R., 167 : 18 W. R., } 389 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 119.	58, 2596
<i>Saligram Singh v. Barun Rai</i>	4 N. W., 92	3964
— <i>v. Gheenoo Singh</i>	16 W. R., 19	4270
— <i>v. Gobind Sahai</i>	4 B. L. R., Ap., 64	819
<i>Saligram Tewaree v. Beharee Misser</i>	W. R., 1864, 36	4011
<i>Salikram v. Azim Ali Beg</i>	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 117 : 8 } Moore's I. A., 270.	4635
<i>Saluji Kesraji v. Rajsangji Jalumsangji</i>	2 Bom., 169 : 2nd Ed., 162	310, 3115 4398, 4410
<i>Salu kom Raghuji v. Ravaji bin Ramjee</i>	1 Bom., 41	5774 3126
<i>Salur Zamindar v. Pedda Pakir Raju</i>	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 371	2062
<i>Sam v. Appundi Ibrahim Saib</i>	6 Mad., 75	3545, 3549 5796
<i>Samachurn Audhicaree Byragee v. Roopdoss Byragee</i>	6 W. R., 68	2265
<i>Samakkaundan v. Perumal Chetti</i>	2 Mad., 14	6134
<i>Samalbhair Nathubhai v. Someshvar</i>	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 38	2377, 4321
<i>Samal Nathu v. Jaishankar Dalsukram</i>	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 254	385
<i>Samandra Kunwar. In re</i>	8 B. L. R., 390, note	2447
<i>Samantha Pandara v. Sellappa Chetti</i>	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 175	2258
<i>Samar Ali v. Karim-ul-lah</i>	I. L. R., 8 All., 402	3989
<i>Samarapuri Chetti v. Shanmuga Chetti</i>	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 47	4981
<i>Sama Rayar v. Annamalai Chetti</i>	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 164	1998
<i>Samasundari Debi v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.</i>	3 B. L. R., Ap., 120 : 12 W. } R., 160.	1204
<i>Samat v. Amra</i>	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 394	2295
<i>Samathal v. Mathoosri Kamatchi Amma Boyi Saib Avergul.</i>	7 Mad., 395	3955
<i>Sambanda Mudaliyar v. Nanasambandapandara</i>	1 Mad., 298	2254
<i>Sambasivanna v. Bhogappa</i>	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 378	971
<i>Sambhubhai Barsandas v. Shivaldas Sadashivdas</i>	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 89	4195, 6007
<i>Sam Chunder Baboo v. Teeluck Chunder Baboo</i>	2 Hay, 142	411
<i>Sami Achari v. Somasundram Achari</i>	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 119	4995
<i>Sami Ayyangar v. Gopal Ayyangar</i>	7 Mad., 176	2821
<i>Sami Chetti v. Ammani Achy</i>	7 Mad., 260	4034
<i>Saminada Pillai v. Subba Reddiar</i>	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 333	3046, 4043
<i>Saminadba Mudali v. South Indian Railway Company</i>	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 420	4703

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saminathaiyan v. Saminathaiyan	4 Mad., 153	2972, 5828
Saminatha Pillay v. Varisai Mahomed Ravattan	2 Mad., 304	5657
Samiraddi Khalifa v. Haris Chandra	3 B. L. R., A. C., 49: 13 W. } R., 451, note. }	5411
Samiruddin. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 211: 10 C. } L. R., 11. }	1794
Samod Ali v. Mahomed Kassim	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663: 12 C. } L. R., 269. }	3163
Samshere Khan v. Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 154: 8 C. L. } R., 158. }	1334
Samsuddin Pirjade v. Ganpatrav Jagannath	7 Bom., A. C., 19	312
Samsuddin Sultan v. Ramji Bhika	5 Bom. A. C., 151	5826
Samuel Pillai v. Ananthanatha Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 351	1031
Samy Aiyangar alias Ramasawmy Aiyangar v. Alaga-singa Aiyangar. }	3 Mad., 33	991
Sandes v. Jomir Shaikh	9 W. R., 399	5242, 5682
— v. Suroop Chunder Biswas	2 W. R., Act X, 11	2915
Sandys v. Setul Mundul	10 W. R., 420	1058
Sangamram v. Sheobart Bhagat	I. L. R., 3 All., 112	1467, 4541 4543, 5273
Sangapa bin Baslingapa v. Gangapa bin Niranjapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 476	5243
Sangapa Malapa v. Bhimangowda Mariapa	10 Bom., 194	2793, 5255 6004
Sangappa bin Chanbasappa v. Sahebanna bin Kenged- appa. }	7 Bom., A. C., 141	2378
Sangappa bin Ningappa v. Basappa bin Parappa	7 Bom., A. C., 1	4810
Sangaralingam Pillai. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 78	292
Sangili Vira Pandia Chinnatambiar v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 29	5525
Sangili Virapandia Chinnathambiar v. Alwar Ayyan- gar. }	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 42	198, 800 2234
Sangoovien v. Kollathoorayen	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 116	1676
Sangram Singh v. Bujharat Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 36	3485
— v. Rajan Bahi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 219: L. } R., 12 I. A., 183. }	1835
Saniocollah v. Aftabooddeen Mahomed	23 W. R., 245	5348
Sanjeeviyah v. Nanjiyah	4 Mad., 455	804
Sanjivi v. Errapa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 290	3354
— v. Ramasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 494	828
Sanjoogee Kooer v. Hur Pershad	24 W. R., 274	2084
Sankana Kalana v. Virupakshapa Ganeshapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 146	3958, 4028 4614
Sankara Naraina v. Vijaya Raghunadha Mattayan } Pannikondar. }	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 134	1247
Sankaranarayana v. Kunjappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 411	3597
Sankarappa v. Kamayya	3 Mad., 231	1395
Sankara Subbaiyan v. Subba Rau	2 Mad., 1	1741, 3427 6084
Sankaravadivammal v. Kumarasamy	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 473	829, 5174
Sankar Dobeey. In the matter of the petition of	4 B. L. R., A. C., 65	4852
Sanniyasi Razu v. Jaganadha Narayana Ramachandra Razu. }	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 268	5595
— v. Zamindar of Salur		
Sansar Roy v. Indrasun Roy	25 W. R., 6	4476
Santaiya v. Ramaraya	7 Mad., 257	180, 362
Santaya Mangarsava v. Narayan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 182	4802
Santee Ram Jana v. Modoo Mytee	20 W. R., 363	4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Santiram Panja <i>v.</i> Bykunt Panjah	10 B. L. R., 397 : 19 W. R., } . 280.	3801
Sant Kumar <i>v.</i> Deo Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 365	314, 1421 2292, 2523
Santoo Mundle <i>v.</i> Abdool Biswas	13 W. R., Cr., 35	5046
Sanwul Singh <i>v.</i> Makhun Pandey	2 N. W., 143	5154
Sarabjit Singh <i>v.</i> Chapman	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 81 : L. R., } 13 I. A., 44.	5471, 5482
Saradamayi Chowdhraïn <i>v.</i> Nabin Chandra Roy Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 333 : 11 W. R., 255.	3567
Sarada Sundari Debi <i>v.</i> Gobindmani Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 137, note	4957
Sarangapani <i>v.</i> Narayanasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 567	2476, 2544
Sarapu Venkadesau <i>v.</i> Malai Isvaraiyya	1 Mad., 1	5124
Sarasibala Debi <i>v.</i> Nand Lal Sen	5 B. L. R., 389	916
Sarasuti <i>v.</i> Mannu	I. L. R., 2 All., 134	3993
Sarasvati <i>v.</i> Pachanna Setti	3 Mad., 258	338, 2320
Saraswati Dasi <i>v.</i> Dhanput Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431 : 12 L. R., 12.	3114
Saraswati Debi <i>v.</i> Nabadwip Chandra Gossain .	5 B. L. R., 380	1840, 4456
Sarat Chandra Roy <i>v.</i> Collector of Chittagong .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 3	6004
Sarat Secondary Dabea <i>v.</i> Anund Mohun Surma Ghut-tack.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 273 : 4 C. L. R., 448.	1666
Sarat Sundari Debi <i>v.</i> Paresnarain Roy . . .	8 B. L. R., 113 : 16 W. R., } P. C., 9.	1757
. <i>v.</i> Prosonno Coomar Tagore	6 B. L. R., 677 : 15 W. R., } P. C., 20 : 13 Moore's I. A., 607.	1189, 1620
. <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 784	4654
. <i>v.</i> Surjakant Acharji Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 53 : 11 W. R., 397.	293, 740
. <i>v.</i> Watson	2 B. L., A. C., 159	1754
Sarat Sundari Debya <i>v.</i> Soorjya Kant Acharjya .	25 W. R., 242	65
Saravana Tevan <i>v.</i> Muttayi Ammal	6 Mad., 371	4032
Sarawak and Hindustan Banking and Trading Com-pany. In the matter of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 704 : 3 C. L. R., 581.	1180
Sarboree Kanto Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Anadya Kanto Bhutta-charjee.	12 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 20 W. R., 226.	44
Sardhari Lal. In the matter of the petition of .	13 B. L. R., Ap., 40 : 22 W. R., Cr., 10.	2381
Sardowan <i>v.</i> Hurbuns Narain Singh	11 W. R., 254	946
Sarifunnissa <i>v.</i> Inayet Hossein	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 415 : 5 W. R., 88.	170, 171
Sarju Prasad <i>v.</i> Beni Madho	I. L. R., 6 All., 6	1317, 1831
Sarkies <i>v.</i> Bundhoo Bae	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 81 : Ed. 1873, 172.	4855
— <i>v.</i> Kali Coomar Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 98	1442
— <i>v.</i> Prosonomoyee Dossee	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 794 : 8 C. L. R., 76.	4186
— <i>v.</i> Sangram Singh	6 B. L. R., 578, note : 14 W., } R., 194.	2726
Sarnam Tewari <i>v.</i> Sakina Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 37	424, 442
Saroda Churn Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Mahomed Isuf Meah .	I. L. R., 3 All., 417	2630
Saroda Mohun Roy Chaothri <i>v.</i> Dakhina Mohun Roy Chaothri.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 376	628
	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 308 : L. R., } 13 I. A., 84.	1559, 1576 1709, 1751
		5900
		166
		5668
		5961
		5448
		3349

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Shama Soonduree Dossee.	7 W. R., 209 . . .	478
_____ v. Shiboporee Dossee	24 W. R., 35 . . .	1663
Sarodapersad Roy Chowdhry v. Nobinchand Dutt	Marsh., 417: 2 Hay, 527 . . .	568
Sarodapershad Chattopadhyaya v. Brojonath Bhutta-charjee.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 910: 6 C. L. R., 195. }	3173
Saroda Pershad Ganguli v. Pahali Mahanti	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 913 . . .	546
Sarodapershad Mitter v. Kylash Chunder Banerjee	7 W. R., 315 . . .	4233
Saroda Prasad Mullick v. Lachmipat Singh Dugar	9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: 18 W. R., 89. }	1207, 2696
Saroda Prosad Gangooly v. Prosonno Coomar Sandial	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 290: 10 C. L. R., 223. }	1352, 1390
_____ v. Taruck Chunder Bhutta-charjee.	2 C. L. R., 325 . . .	5310
Saroda Prosad Mullick v. Lutchmeeput Sing Doogur	10 B. L. R., 214: 17 W. R., 289: 14 Moore's I. A., 529 }	434, 1892
Saroda Soondery Debea v. Wooma Churn Sircar	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17 . . .	5481
Saroda Soondery Dossee v. Tincowry Nundy	1 Hyde, 70 . . .	6286
_____ v. _____	1 Hyde, 223 . . .	2835
Saroda Soonduree Debee v. Hazee Mahomed Mundul	5 W. R., Act, X, 78 . . .	287, 2531
Saroda Soonduree Dossia v. Muddun Mohun Shaha	24 W. R., 162 . . .	3036
Saroda Soondury Dossee v. Doyamoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 938 . . .	4665, 4666
Sarstedt v. Agra Bank	12 Bom., 268 . . .	3370, 3399
Sarsuti v. Kunj Behari Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 345 . . .	4752
Sarubai v. Bapu Narhar	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 660 . . .	4470, 4877
Sarupi v. Mukhram	2 N. W., 227 . . .	4569
Sarwan Singh. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149 . . .	2285
Sashachellum Chetty v. Gobindappa	5 Mad., 451 . . .	2033
Sashadry Aiyangar v. Peria Natchiar alias Parwatha Vurthani Natchiar.	8 Mad., 94 . . .	1812
Sashannah Chetti v. Ramasamy Chetti	4 Mad., 7 . . .	2075
Sashi Bhusan Banerjee v. Tarachand Kar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 329: 11 W. R., 553. }	1093
Sashi Bhusan Dutt v. Jadu Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 552 . . .	5823
Sashti Charan Chatterjee v. Tarak Chandra Chatterjee	8 B. L. R., 315: 15 W. R., F. B., 9. }	3849, 3850
Sasta Kooer v. Kundun Lal	8 W. R., 369 . . .	169
Satapa valad Dau Dongre v. Karbasapa	7 Bom., A. C., 21 . . .	6100
Satbhawan v. Sahoo Banarasee Doss	2 N. W., 365 . . .	483
Satcowree Singh v. Kristo Bangal	11 W. R., 529 . . .	424
Satcowrie Sein v. Gobind Chunder Sein	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 56 . . .	3288, 3289
Satish Chunder Rai Chowdhuri v. Thomas	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 658 . . .	2547
Satku valad Kadir v. Ibrahim valad Mirza	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 457 . . .	5483
Satnarain Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 All., 392 . . .	5262
Satoor v. Satoor	2 Mad., 8 . . .	6258
Satooram Mojoomdar v. Peonath Banerjee	10 W. R., 424 . . .	5210
Satracherla v. Setarama	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 61 . . .	336
Satrakumaji v. Visram Hasgavda	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 97 . . .	635
Satto Saran Ghosal v. Tarini Charan Ghose	3 B. L. R., A. C., 287 . . .	4794
Sattyannand Ghosal v. Zahir Sikdar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 119 . . .	5135
Satyamoni Dassi v. Bhuggobutty Churun Chattopadhyaya	1 C. L. R., 466 . . .	2691
Satyasaran Ghosal v. Bhairab Chandra Brahmo	2 B. L. R., A. C., 196: 11 W. R., 80. }	479
_____ v. Mahesh Chandra Mitter	2 B. L. R., P. C., 23: 12 Moore's I. A., 263: 11 W. R., P. C., 10. }	3507
Saudamini Dasi v. Thakomani Debi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 114 . . .	1581, 3067
		5357
		2886

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saudamini Debes <i>v.</i> Anand Chandra Halder	{ 7 B. L. R., 178, note: 13 W. } R., 37.	3839
Saudamini Debi <i>v.</i> Sarup Chandra Roy	{ 8 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 17 W. } R., 363.	2973
Saunders. In the goods of	6 N. W., 62	131
— <i>v.</i> Nga Shoay Geen	8 W. R., 3	2835, 3083
— <i>v.</i> Romanath Paul	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395	4523
Savaklal Karsandas <i>v.</i> Ora Nizmuddin	8 Bom., O. C., 77	52, 6135
Savalgiapa Virbasapa <i>v.</i> Basvanapa Basapa	10 Bom., 399	5279
Savanta. In re	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 137	5512
Sava Ramji <i>v.</i> Jadhavji Nathu. Ex parte Gamble	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142	424
Savi <i>v.</i> Chand Sickdar	Marsh., 348: 2 Hay, 438	563
— <i>v.</i> Issur Chunder Mundul	20 W. R., 146	4928
— <i>v.</i> Jeetoo Meeah	{ Marsh., 186: W. R., F. B., } 59: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 80: } 1 Hay, 451.	1641
— <i>v.</i> Mohesh Chunder Bose	W. R., 1864, Act X, 29	567
— <i>v.</i> Obhoy Nath Bose	2 W. R., Act X, 27	2
— <i>v.</i> Panchanun Roy	25 W. R., 503	3813, 5178
Savitriava <i>v.</i> Anandrav	12 Bom., 224	5755
Savitribai <i>v.</i> Luximibai	1 L. R., 2 Bom., 573	5593
Sayji bin Satu <i>v.</i> Patlu	8 Bom., A. C., 139	2412, 2423
Sawantee <i>v.</i> Sewa Ram	2 N. W., 35	4144
Sawruth Pandey <i>v.</i> Basdeo Singh	2 Agra, 168	541, 4814
Sawruth Sing <i>v.</i> Bheenuck Sahoo	{ 14 B. L. R., 422 note: 12 W. } R., 522.	2291
Sayaji <i>v.</i> Umaji	3 Bom., A. C., 27	3919
Sayaji bin Nimbaji <i>v.</i> Ramji bin Langapa	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 446	2993, 3341
Saya Loo <i>v.</i> Nga Paw Loo	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 4	5813
Sayama Kumar Roy <i>v.</i> Jan Mahomed	{ 4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 W. } R., F. B., 21.	4742
Sayama Lal Dutt <i>v.</i> Saudamini Dasi	5 B. L. R., 362	3708
Sayef Ali <i>v.</i> Mahomed Jowad Ali	7 W. R., 196	2117, 2526
Sayefoolah Khan <i>v.</i> Chaya Khakoor	18 W. R., 532	6120
Scanlan <i>v.</i> Herrold	10 W. R., 295	1632
Schiller <i>v.</i> Finlay	8 B. L. R., 544	5615
— <i>v.</i> Marker	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	731, 1370
Schillizi & Co. <i>v.</i> Cox, Steel, & Co.	17 W. R., 545	4070
Schlaepfer, Pütz, & Co. <i>v.</i> Eastern Bengal Railway Company.	21 W. R., 380	5648
Seinde, Punjab, and Delhi Bank <i>v.</i> Mudoosoodun Chowdhry.	Bourke, O. C., 322	4707
Scott <i>v.</i> Finlay	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 386	1689
— <i>v.</i> Ricketts	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 356	598
— <i>v.</i> Scott	7 Mad., 394	6023
Seale <i>v.</i> Brown	1 L. R., 1 All., 710	4441
Sealy <i>v.</i> Ramnarain Bose.	4 W. R., Cr., 22	1948
Secretary of Ferry Fund Committee <i>v.</i> Ward	Marsh., 89: 1 Hay, 155	1515
Secretary of State for India. In the matter of the petition of.	2 C. L. R., 461	4612
— <i>v.</i> Abdul Hakkim Khan	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 294	1402
— <i>v.</i> Administrator General of Bengal.	1 B. L. R., O. C., 87	4392
— <i>v.</i> Anandomoyi Debi	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 95	1672, 5991
— <i>v.</i> Arathoon.	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 173	522
		1060

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Secretary of State for India <i>v.</i> Bombay Landing and Shipping Company.	5 Bom., O. C., 23 .	952, 6042
<i>v.</i> Hari Bhanji	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 273 .	5219
<i>v.</i> Jamnadas	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 737 .	4391
<i>v.</i> Jagan Prasad	1. L. R., 6 All., 148 .	654
	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 652 .	433
- <i>v.</i> Kanachee Boye Sahiba	7 Moore's I. A., 476 .	57, 2322
- <i>v.</i> Khanzadi	5 B. L. R., 312	2048
- <i>v.</i> Khemchand Jeychand	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 432 .	407, 4392
- <i>v.</i> Marjum Hosein Khan	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 359 .	184, 5371
- <i>v.</i> Muhammad Husain	1 Mad., 360	1201, 2732
- <i>v.</i> Mutu Sawmy	4 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 13 W. R., 245 .	317, 3150
- <i>v.</i> Narayanan	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 130 .	3598
- <i>v.</i> Nilamekam Pillai	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 406 .	2069
- <i>v.</i> Nundun Lall	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 435 .	4304
- <i>v.</i> Nunja	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 163	331, 3059
- <i>v.</i> Poran Singh	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 740 .	2038
- <i>v.</i> Radha Pershad Wasti	9 C. L. R., 139	1589
- <i>v.</i> Ram Ugrah Singh	1. L. R., 7 All., 140 .	2873, 6007
- <i>v.</i> Rashbehary Mookerjee {	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 591: 12 C. L. R., 27 .	5364
- <i>v.</i> Sassoon	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 513	2957
- <i>v.</i> Sham Bahadoor	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 769	2949
- <i>v.</i> Sheo Singh Rai	1. L. R., 2 All., 756	1370
- <i>v.</i> Vira Rayan	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 175	3445, 4178
Seesaugor Tea Co. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	4230
Seedee Nazeer Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Ojoodhya Ram Khan	8 W. R., 399	953
Seedich Ghosaul <i>v.</i> Apar	Bourke, O. C., 418	4685, 6138
Seedick Dhoosal <i>v.</i> —	Bourke, O. C., 391	2685
Sees Ram <i>v.</i> Chait Ram	2 Agra, 266	2686
Seeta Kulwar <i>v.</i> Jugurnath Pershad	3 Agra, 170	28
Seetal Kisto Roy <i>v.</i> Gosseenath Shah	Marsh., 465	4810
Seetanath Bose <i>v.</i> Sham Chand Mitter	17 W. R., 418	4934
Seetanath Ghose <i>v.</i> Madhub Narain Roy Chowdhry	1 W. R., 329	4
Seetanath Mundul <i>v.</i> Anund Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 5	492
Seetaput Roy <i>v.</i> Ali Hossein	24 W. R., 11	3495
Seetaram. In the goods of	4 N. W., 60	797, 1931
- <i>v.</i> Dhunnook Dharee Sahye	Hay, 260	679
- <i>v.</i> Juggobundhoo Bose	2 W. R., 167	2128
- <i>v.</i> Kishun Dass <i>alias</i> Kishnaram	3 Agra, 402	1738
- <i>v.</i> Kummeer Ali	15 W. R., 250	1714
Seetaram Gossain <i>v.</i> Fakeer Chand Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 433	1361
Seetaram Gower <i>v.</i> Golucknath Dutt	Marsh., 174: 1 Hay, 378	2300
Seetaram Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Ramnarain Mookerjee	6 W. R., 51	3144, 4338
Seetaram Sahoo <i>v.</i> DaCosta	12 W. R., 294	1755
- <i>v.</i> Golam Sahoo Bahadur	18 W. R., 325	4616
- <i>v.</i> Sheo Gbolam Sahoo	18 W. R., Cr., 34	773
- <i>v.</i> Sheo Golam Sahoo	19 W. R., 183	668
- <i>v.</i> Shewgolam Sahoo	18 W. R., Cr., 62	782, 5526
Seetul Sahoo <i>v.</i> Hurkishen Doss	5 W. R., P. C., 76	5512
Seetul Pershad <i>v.</i> Birj Mohun Dass	Agra, 25	1781
- <i>v.</i> Doolhin Badam Konwur	8 W. R., P. C., 22: 11 Moore's I. A., 268	80
- <i>v.</i> Hur Chund Sahoo	1 Agra, 263	4234
- <i>v.</i> Mahomed Kureem Khan	5 N. W., 164	4824
		753

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Seetul Pershad Mitter <i>v.</i> Junmejy Mullick	12 W. R., 244 . . .	325
Seetul Pershad Singh <i>v.</i> Gour Dyal Singh .	1 W. R., 283 . . .	2087, 2188
Seetul Singh <i>v.</i> Luchmun Singh . . .	3 N. W. 23 . . .	5213
_____ <i>v.</i> Sooruj Buksh Siqgh . . .	6 W. R., 318 . . .	2919
_____ <i>v.</i> Thakoor Tewary . . .	1 N. W., Part II, p. 31 : Ed. } 1873, 89. }	3378
Seith Gobin Dass <i>v.</i> Ranchore <i>alias</i> Rughobeer	3 N. W., 324 . . .	567
Seith Hur Lall <i>v.</i> Manickpal . . .	3 N. W., 176 . . .	2203
Seith Kishen Chand <i>v.</i> Kour Askunder Gir	1 N. W., 95 : Ed. 1873, 145 .	4012
Sekunder Mondul <i>v.</i> Nocowri Biswas	11 C. L. R., 547 . . .	3495
Selam Sheikh <i>v.</i> Baidonath Ghatak . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 312 : 12 } W. R., 217. }	4610
Selamut <i>v.</i> Mowla Buksh . . .	5 W. R., 194 . . .	4458, 4826
Sengamalathammal <i>v.</i> Valaynda Mudali . . .	3 Mad., 312 . . .	3658
Sengotha <i>v.</i> Ramasami . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 241 . . .	2492
Sennandan <i>v.</i> Kollkiran . . .	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 208 . . .	2732
Sennay Poorasay Hindu Janonookoola Nidhi <i>v.</i> Thayar } Ammal. }	8 Mad., 193 . . .	1771
Senra Disai Venra Jagath Virarama Dhikku Vijaya } Sethurayar <i>v.</i> Annasami Ayyar. }	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 359 . . .	921
Senuk Kachee <i>v.</i> Oree Doobey . . .	2 N. W., 241 . . .	793
Sepabee Singh <i>v.</i> Chundun . . .	2 N. W., 160 : Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 213. }	371
Serajunge Jute Company <i>v.</i> Sorabdee Akoond . . .	25 W. R., 252 . . .	1429, 4851
Seraj Mundul <i>v.</i> Bistoo Chunder Roy . . .	7 W. R., 459 . . .	1104
Serajool Huq <i>v.</i> Keramatoolah . . .	19 W. R., 88 . . .	535
_____ <i>v.</i> Roy Luchmeeput Singh . . .	20 W. R., 242 . . .	298
Serumah Umah <i>v.</i> Palathan Vitil Marya Coothy Umah	15 W. R., P. C., 47 . . .	1143
Seru Mohun Bapia <i>v.</i> Bhagoban Din Pandey . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 602 . . .	1341, 2223
Seshadri <i>v.</i> Krishnan . . .	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 192 . . .	5250
Seshadri Ayyangar <i>v.</i> Sandanam . . .	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 146 . . .	5962
Seshaiya <i>v.</i> Gouramma . . .	4 Mad., 336 . . .	3589
_____ <i>v.</i> Kandaiya . . .	2 Mad., 249 . . .	2241
Seshathri Ayyengar <i>v.</i> Sankara Ayen . . .	7 Mad., 296 . . .	2022
Seshayiyangar <i>v.</i> Rughunatha Row . . .	5 Mad., 345 . . .	4909
Seshayyangar <i>v.</i> Jainulavadin . . .	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 66 . . .	2787
_____ <i>v.</i> Seshayyangar . . .	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 143 . . .	4345, 4530
Seshayyar <i>v.</i> Pappuvaradayyangar . . .	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 185 . . .	5269
Setarama Krishna Rayudappa Ranga Rao <i>v.</i> Vijaya } Rama Gajapaty. }	3 Mad., 31 . . .	1714
Seta Rama Krishna Rayudappa Ranga Rao <i>v.</i> Jagunti } Sitayamma Garu. }	3 Mad., 67 . . .	4402
Seth Dhunraj <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for India	1 N. W., 118 : Ed. 1873, } 204. }	3374, 3579
Seth Gokul Dass Gopal Dass <i>v.</i> Murli . . .	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 602 : 2 C. } L. R., 156 : L. R., 5 I. A., }	3790, 4422
Seth Gujmul <i>v.</i> Chahee Kowar . . .	78. }	1076, 1095
Seth Jaideal <i>v.</i> Seth Sitaram . . .	L. R., 2 I. A., 34 . . .	2708, 4029
Seth Lakhmi Chand <i>v.</i> Seth Indra Mull . . .	L. R., 8 I. A., 215 . . .	4649
Seth Mulchand Badharsha <i>v.</i> Bai Mancha . . .	4 B. L. R., P. C., 31 : 13 W. } R., I. C., 36 : 13 Moore's }	1728
Seth Oodey Kurrun <i>v.</i> Chait Ram . . .	I. A., 365. }	2511
	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 491 . . .	5375
	2 Agra, 125 . . .	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Seth Samur Mull v. Choga Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 421 : L. R., } 6 I. A., 238. }	2067
Sethu v. Nayana	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577	3310
— v. Venkatarama	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 112	3554
Seto Luchmee Chund v. Seto Zorawur Mull	6 Moore's I. A., 204	4645
Seton v. Bijohn	8 B. L. R., 255 : 17 W. R., 165	430, 4127
Setrucherla Ramabhadra Raju Bahadur v. Vairicherla } Surianarayana Raju Bahadur. }	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 314	3979
Settiappan v. Sarat Singh	3 Mad., 220	3242
Seva Lall v. Mahomed	2 Agra, 160	6157
Seva Ram v. Ali Bakhsh	I. L. R., 3 All., 805	1688
Sevinthia Pillay v. Mootoosawmy	8 W. R., 2	663
Sevvaji Vijaya Raghunadha Valoji Kristnan Gopaldar } v. Chinna Nayana Chetti. }	10 Moore's I. A., 151	4193, 6001
Sewak Ram v. Ram Bhawan Ojha	1 Agra, 212	538
Sewa Ram v. Risal Chowdhry	1 Agra, 144	4537
Sew Bux Bogla v. Shib Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 225	5418, 5962
Shaboo Majee v. Noorai Mollah	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691 : 7 } W. R., 386. }	5675
Shabudin Mahomed v. Hirnak Rajnak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 47	5846
Shadal Khan v. Amin-ulla Khan	I. L. R., 4 All., 92	5054
Shadee Lal v. Bhawanee	2 N. W., 52	3271, 3331
Shadee Ram v. Surma	2 Agra, 110	4902
Shadhoo Jha v. Bhugwan Chunder Opadhia	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 75 : 5 W. } R., Act X, 17. }	3076, 6185
Shadhoo Singh v. Ramanoograha Lall	9 W. R., 83	140, 158
Shadhoo Suran v. Bhuggoo Lall	12 W. R., 98	1644
Shadi v. Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 538	4950
Shadulla Howladar v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 875 : 12 C. } L. R., 506. }	823
Shafi-uddin v. Lochan Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 94	399
Shafkatunissa v. Shib Sahai	I. L. R., 4 All., 171	4954
Shahabooddeen v. Futteh Ali	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 646 : 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 135 : 7 }	4878
Shahabooddeen v. Naduroojuma	W. R., 260. }	5314
Shahabooddeen Chowdhry v. Ramguttty Chuckerbutty	12 W. R., 44	3419
Shah Ahmed Sujad v. Taree Rai	9 W. R., 556	4468, 5411
"Shah Callender." In the matter of the ship	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 343	319
Shaheb Tewaree v. Kishoree Sahoy Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 263	3782, 5642
Shahebzadi Begum v. Himmat Bahadur	24 W. R., 330	4911
Shahiram v. Shib Lal	{ 4 B. L. R., A. C., 103 : 12 } W. R., 512. }	2735, 3637
Shahjan Bibee v. Shib Chunder Shaha	I. L. R., 7 All., 378	3693, 3700
Shah Makhanlal v. Srikrishna Singh	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 44 : 11 W. } R., P. C., 19 : 12 Moore's }	4836, 5430
Shah Muhammad v. Kashi Das	I. A., 157. }	5700
Shah Sahib. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 All., 199	3679
Shahunshah Begum v. Fergusson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19	510, 1577
Shahye Royree v. Barton	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 499	4020, 4187
Shair Mull v. Singaravelu Mudali	Marsh., 250 : 2 Hay, 14	5238
Shakaram Mahadev v. Hari Krishna	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 294	6178
Shakur Mahomed v. Chunder Mohun Sha	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 113	741, 4123
Shalgram v. Kubirun	21 W. R., Cr., 38	3076
	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 61 : 11 W. } R., 400. }	1665
		4814
		3864
		4928

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shama Charan Chatterji v. Madhub Chandra Mookerji.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 93	813, 4467
Shamacharan Das v. Joyentoolah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 750	4798, 4799
Shama Charan Ghose v. Taraknath Mukhopadhyaya	3 B. L. R., Ap., 115	4850
Shama Charan Neogi v. Nabin Chandra Dhoba	6 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 15 W. R., 239.	5777
Shama Churn Audhicaree Byragee v. Roop Doss Byragee	6 W. R., 68	4824
Shama Churn Bhutt v. Payne & Co.	18 W. R., 292	2338
Shama Churn Bose v. Bhola Nath Dutt	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 9	5891
Shama Churn Chatterjee v. Boidonath Banerjee	11 W. R., 2	5277
Shama Churn Chatterjee v. Prosono Coomar Santikaree.	5 C. L. R., 251	5287
Shama Churn Chuckerbutty v. Bindabun Chunder Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 892: 9 W. R., 181.	5025
Shama Churn Dey v. Chundër Coomar Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 677	5131, 5133
Shama Churn Ghose v. Radha Kristo Chaklanuviss	14 W. R., 210	5142
Shama Churn Halder v. Beharee Lall Koilay	14 W. R., 443	4571
Shama Churn Lall v. Collector of Tirhoot.	1 W. R., 308	243
Shama Churn Mullick. In the goods of	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 52: 24 W. R., 206.	1380
Shama Churn Singh v. Protap Coomar Ghossal	20 W. R., 292	3288
Shamadaniissa Beebee v. Ferasutoollah Sirdar	2 C. L. R., 23	4662
Shama Kant Bundopadhyaya. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 69	2732
Shama Keshee v. Raj Kishore	14 W. R., 179	4991
Shamanund v. Nund Koomar	3 Agra, 290: Agra, F. B., Ed., 1874, 153.	2925
Shamanund v. Ramavatar Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 1	485
Shamanund Surma v. Shumbhoo Chunder Doss	7 W. R., 205	5745
Shama Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Hurro Pershad Roy Chowdhry.	3 W. R., P. C., 11: 10 Moore's I. A., 203.	4902
Shamapuddo Dutt v. Nobin Chunder Bose	15 W. R., 283	795
Shama Puddo Moitro v. Dinonath Bagchee	25 W. R., 108	3877
Shama Sankar Biswas. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16	796
Shamasankar Mazundar. In the matter of the petition of.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 45	4294
Shama Soondary v. Hurro Soondary	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 348: 8 C. L. R., 528.	1853
Shama Soonderee Dabee v. Prosono Coomar Tagore.	1 W. R., 114	1806, 4489
Shama Soonderee Debea & Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	7 W. R., 376	6255
Shama Soonderee Dossee v. Bindabun Chunder Mozoomdar.	Marsh., 199: 1 Hay, 574	309
Shama Soondery Debia v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	6 W. R., Cr., 10	67
Shamasoondery Debea v. Bejoy Gobind Bural	W. R., 1864, 331	1907
Shama Soondery Dossea v. Tarinee Churn Singh	3 W. R., 194	4933
Shamasoondery Dossee v. Kartick Churn Mitter	Bourke, O. C., 326	4501
Shama Soonduree v. Shurut Chunder Dutt	8 W. R., 500	5267
Shama Soonduree Chowdhrair v. Jumoon Chowdhrair	24 W. R., 86	3418
Shamasoonduree Debia v. Binode Lall Pakrashee	14 W. R., 396	2457
Shamasoonduree v. Collector of Maldah	12 W. R., 164	2507
Shamasoonduree v. Degumburee Debia	21 W. R., 368	2208, 2488
Shamasoonduree v. Digamburee Debia	13 W. R., 1	1889
Shamasoonduree v. Kristo Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 316	1994, 4192
Shamasoonduree v. Mallyut Mundul	11 W. R., 101	325
Shamasoonduree v. Puresh Narain Roy	20 W. R., 182	161, 5171
Shamasoonduree v. Rohimooddeen Sirdar	24 W. R., 71	2974
Shamasundari Debi v. Dukhu Mandal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 227	3789
Shamasundari v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 12 W. R., 160.	2879
		4409
		3789
		4299

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shamasundari Dēbi v. Sital Khan	8 B. L. R., Ap., 85, note : W. R., 474. . . .	2973
Shamasundari Dabi v. Nobin Chunder Kolya .	6 C. L. R., 117	3047
Shama Sunker Chowdhry v. Sreenath Banerjee .	12 W. R., 354	3818
Shamasunkur Mozoomdar v. Anundmoyee Dassya	18 W. R., Cr., 64	1806, 4489 6255
Sham Bagdee v. Fukeer Chand Bagdee	6 W. R., 222	5280
Shambhu Nath Nath v. Ram Chandra Shaha .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 267	3211
Sham Chand Bysack v. Bungo Chunder Chatterjee	Marsh., 556 : 2 Hay., 663	5765
_____ v. Kishen Prosaud Surma	18 W. R., 4 : 14 Moore's I. A., 595. . . .	41, 2946
_____ v. Lucas	5 W. R., Mis., 5	3526
Sham Chand Ghose v. Ram Krishto Bewrah .	19 W. R., 309	1768
Sham Chand Giree. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243	5928
Sham Chand Koondoo v. Kally Kanth Roy . .	Marsh., 336 : 2 Hay., 314	3143
Sham Chand Kundu v. Brojonath Pal Chowdhry .	12 B. L. R., 484 : 21 W. R., 94	5305, 5309
Sham Chand Mitter v. Juggut Chunder Sircar .	22 W. R., 50	4336, 5313
Sham Chand Doss v. Cox	Cor., 82	4587
Sham Chunder Adhicary v. Sobin Bhoopal Sing . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 397 : 10 C. L. R., 419. . . .	739
Sham Chund Ghose Mundul v. Doyamoyee Mundulnee	9 W. R., 338	1715
Sham Chund Mitter. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106	2921
Sham Churn Auddy v. Tariney Churn Banerjee .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 422 : 25 W. R., 228. . . .	4570
Sham Churn Koondoo v. Dwarkanath Kubeeraj .	19 W. R., 100	1615
Sham Coomar Roy v. Juttun Bibee	14 W. R., 448	5378
Shamdane v. Bhojoo Ram	22 W. R., 44	5927
Sham Doss v. Bhola Doss	1 W. R., 324	2843
Shamee Mahomed v. Prodhan Palee	5 W. R., 178	5767
Shamee Mahomed Sircar v. Brinda Mundle . .	11 W. R., 100	3130
Shami Mohammed v. Mohammed Ali Khan . .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 22 : 11 W. R., 67. . . .	3446, 3479 4344
Shamjee Nashyo. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 481	5570
Shamjha v. Doorga Roy	7 W. R., 122	4924
Shamkant Banerjee v. Gopal Lal Tagore . . .	1 W. R., 328	67, 3186
Shamkaran v. Piari	I. L. R., 5 All., 596	3460
Sham Kishore Mundle v. Shoshibhoosun Biswas .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 707 : 5 C. L. R., 509. . . .	769, 4525
Sham Kishore Shaw v. Cowie	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	1395
Sham Kuar v. Gaya Din	I. L. R., 1 All., 255	2288
Sham Lal v. Bahadoor Ali	7 W. R., 156	1471
_____ v. Banna	I. L. R., 4 All., 296	2433
_____ v. Hira Chand	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 367	1516
_____ v. Kanahia Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 316	3533
Shamlal Das. In the goods of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 21	685
Sham Lal alias Shama v. Anuntee Lal	24 W. R., 312	5767
Sham Lal Ghose v. Boistub Churn Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 407	1614
_____ v. Muddun Gopal Ghose	6 W. R., Act X, 37	1610
_____ v. Sekunder Khan	3 W. R., 182	4206
Sham Lal Sahoo v. Afsuroonissa	22 W. R., 184	3725
_____ v. Hady Bunjara	2 Hay., 522	5185
Sham Lal Sein v. Hurosoondry Gooptea . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 36 : 5 W. R., 29. . . .	1136, 2239
Shamlall Set v. Huro Soonduree Goopta . . .	5 W. R., 29 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 36. . . .	1136, 2239
Sham Lal Singh v. Radha Bibee	4 C. L. R., 173	1834
Sham Manna v. Ramdyal Goohoo	1 W. R., Mis., 3	677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shamnarain v. Administrator General of Bengal	23 W. R., 111	4189
——— v. Court of Wards	20 W. R., 197	1567, 2298 5762
Sham Narain Chowdhry v. Court of Wards	23 W. R., 432	5183
Sham Narain Lall v. Khemajeet Matoe	12 W. R., F. B., 11	4812
Sham Narain Singh v. Rughoobur Dyal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 508 : 1 C. L. R., 343.	2366, 3997
Sham Narayan Lal v. Khimajit Matoe	4 B. L. R., F. B., 1	4807, 4812
Shamrav Pandoji v. Niloji Ramaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 200	5727, 5958
Shamrav Pandurang v. Trustees of Bhugvan Das Purshotom Das.	5 Bom., O. C., 163	458
Shamsonnessa Begum v. Love	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 527	433
Sham Soonder Bhattacharjee v. Monee Ram Doss	25 W. R., 233	5282
Sham Soonder Kooer v. Jumna Kooer	25 W. R., 148	2164, 3255
Sham Soondur Kooer v. Rajendur Misser	10 W. R., 390	3820
Sham Soondur Koonwar v. Rughoonath Suhaye	11 W. R., 264	225
Sham Sunder Dass v. Raheem Buksh	6 N. W., 252	5457
Shand & Co. v. Atmakuri Adinarayana Chetti	2 Mad., 193	1061
Shankara v. Hanma	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 470	5224
Shankar Abaji Hoshing. In the matter of the petition of	6 Bom., Cr., 69	3611, 6028
Shankarapa Dargo Patel v. Danapa Virantapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 604	1520, 4012
Shankar Babu v. Vishnu Narayan	4 Bom., A. C., 79	4616
Shankarbhai Gulabbhai v. Kassibhai Vithalbhai	9 Bom., 69	3442, 3975
Shankar Bbarati Svami v. Venkapa Naik	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 422	2257
Shankar Das v. Jograj Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 599	4802
Shankar Dial v. Amir Haider	I. L. R., 2 All., 752	226
Shankar Lal v. Sukhrani	I. L. R., 4 All., 462	5851
Shankar Ramchandra v. Vishnu Anant	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 67	4778
Shanks v. Savage	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 177	4517
Shanmuga v. Meddleton	1 Mad., 443	3845, 5686
Shannon. In the matter of the petition of	2 N. W., 79	3851
Shantapa v. Balapa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 561	3933
Shanti Lakshminarasamma v. Vepa Venkatramadas	3 Mad., 237	5691
Shantomonee Gooptah v. Sutto Bhama Gooptah	7 W. R., 34	4184
Shanto Teorni v. Belilios	3 B. L. R., Ap., 151	976
Shapurji Jahangirji v. Superintendent of the Poona City Jail.	12 Bom., 238	3328
Shapurji Jehangir v. Morgan	4 Bom., A. C., 187	655, 5659
Shapurji Jivanji v. Collector of Bombay	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 483	2961
Shapurji Nowroji Pochaji v. Bhikaaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 242	3357
Sharafatunnissa v. Lachmi Narain	7 N. W., 288	3256, 3257
Sharat Chunder Burmon v. Hurgobindo Burmon	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 510	1177, 2879
Sharat Chunder Ghose v. Kartick Chunder Mitter	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 810 : 12 C. L. R., 455.	998
Sharat Sundari Dabia v. Bhobo Pershad Khan Chowdhuri	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 101	3421
Sharma v. Gour Shah Bangally	Marsh., 542	1367
Sharo Bibi v. Baldeo Das	1 B. L. R., O. C., 24	4671
Sharoda Koomaree Dossee v. Mohinee Mohun Ghose	20 W. R., 272	5323
Sharoda Moyee Burmonee v. Wooma Moyee Burmonee	8 W. R., 9	333, 410 1880
Sharodapersaud Mookerjee v. Bengal Indigo Co.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 32	2817
Sharoda Pershad Gangooly v. Raj Mohun Roy	18 W. R., 165	3803
Sharoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Sreenath Mookerjee	15 W. R., 520	4935
Sharoda Pershad Mullick v. Dhunput Singh	19 W. R., 219	4958
Sharoda Pershad Roy v. Bholanath Banerjee	11 W. R., 441	1099
Sharoda Prosunno Mookerjee v. Bipeen Beharee Bose	13 W. R., 71	1596

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sharoda Sbondari Debia v. Gonee Sheik	10 W. R., 419	3020
Sharoda Soonduree Debee v. Gobind Monee <i>alias</i> Brojo } Soonduree Dabee.	24 W. R., 179	249, 317
Shashi Bhushan Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the } petition of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 623	1272 .
Shashi Bhushan Bhadury. In re	1 B. L. R., A. C., 45 : 10 W. R., } 82.	4434
Sha Sufi Sufila v. Official Trustee of Bengal.	L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Shathuk Paul v. Gudadhur Roy	4 W. R., 100	2772
Shatyanundo Ghosal v. Camperdown Pressing Co.	21 W. R., Cr., 43	1267, 2924
Shaunkara Subbien v. Vellayan Chetty	5 Mad., 179	5675
Shaw v. Bill	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 38	1090
— v. Subramier	5 Mad., 108	2647
Shayama Sunduri Dasi v. Watson & Co.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 720 : 11 C. } L. R., 98.	1242
Shearman v. Fleming	5 B. L. R., 619	1730, 3200
Shebosoonduree Debea v. Mahomed Ali	W. R., 1864, 137	5644
Sheeb Chunder Bhattacharjee v. Saadut Ally Khan	4 W. R., Cr., 12	2018
Sheeb Chunder Mahneah v. Brojonath Aditya	14 W. R., 301	4101
Sheeb Dyal Pauleet v. Dwarkanath Sookul	2 W. R., Act X, 54	3071, 5073
Sheeb Narain Roy v. Chidam Doss Byragee	6 W. R., Act X, 45	3024, 4171
Sheebpershad Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the pe- } tition of.	17 W. R., Cr., 46	4150
Sheelabutty Debia v. Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty } Sheen v. Johuson	9 W. R., 597	5508
Sheero Coomaree Dabee v. Keshub Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 2 All., 368	763
Sheeroo Coomaree Dabee v. Mahatab Chund } Sheetanath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of } — v. Promothonath Mookerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188 : 5 W. } R., 224.	4334
Sheetul Pershad v. Mahomed Kureem Khan	W. R., 1864, 380	4956
Sheetul Pershad Mitter v. Junmejoy Mullick	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 303 : 7 C. } L. R., 475.	878
Shekari Varma Valia Rajah v. Mangalom Amugar	5 N. W., 164	681
Shekhara Paniker v. Ravu Nayar	12 W. R., 244	765
Shemsulosman v. Bansidhar Dutt	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 57	1723
Sheobaran v. Bhairo Prasad	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 193	3767
Sheobharos Rai v. Jiach Rai	7 B. L. R., Ap., 32	3763
Sheoburn Lall v. Ram Purtap Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 880	1627
Sheoburrut Singh v. Lalljee Chowdhry	3 W. R., Act X, 20	1346, 1443
Sheoburt v. Dharee Thakoor	13 W. R., 202	4546
Sheochurn v. Bussunt Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 194	4394
Sheo Churn Doss v. Rampershad Tewarry	3 N. W., 282 : Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 258.	80
Sheo Churn Kandoo v. Goodur Burnwar	10 Moore's I. A., 490	2500, 3985
Sheo Churn Lall v. Heera Lall	3 Agra, 138	1658, 3003
— v. Ram Surun Sahoo	11 C. L. R., 537	2351
Sheo Churn Narain Singh v. Chukraree Pershad Narain } Singh.	16 W. R., 49	1346
Sheo Churn Sahoo v. Curtis	15 W. R., 436	886
Sheo Churn Singh v. Gorachand Ghose	3 W. R., 139	1143, 1925
Sheo Churun Singh v. Fakera Doobay	3 W. R., Act X, 125	4255
Sheo Dayal Mal v. Hari Ram	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 91 : 7 C. L. } R., 69.	4604
Sheo Dial v. Prag Dat Misr. In the matter of the } petition of.	I. L. R., 7 All., 590	5190
Sheo Dial Chaubey v. Collector of Gorakhpur	I. L. R., 3 All., 229	5061
	I. L. R., 5 All., 264	4798, 6136
		4811
		2091

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheo Disht Narain Singh v. Rameshar Dial.	I. L. R., 7 All., 188 . . .	2869
Sheodut Ram Tewary v. Ramadhree . . .	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 35: Ed. } 1873, 93. }	4535
Sheodyal Aheer v. Gool Mahomed Khan . . .	2 N. W., 236 . . .	4826
Sheo Dyal Pooree v. Mohabeer Pershad . . .	10 W. R., 477 . . .	1736, 4473
Sheodyal Singh v. Girban Singh . . .	6 W. R., Cr., 73, 79 . . .	19
_____ v. Hodgkinson . . .	24 W. R., 342 . . .	1755, 5765
_____ v. Mahomed Kamil . . .	3 Agra, Mis., 2 . . .	5916
Sheo Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree . . .		1209, 2444
_____ v. Judoonath Tewaree . . .	9 W. R., 61 . . .	2454, 2466
Sheogholam v. Buddree Nath . . .	4 N. W., 36 . . .	4789
Sheo Gholam v. Ramjeawun Singh . . .	5 N. W., 114 . . .	4915
Sheo Gholam Sahoo v. Rahut Hossein . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 6 . . .	5449
Sheo Gholam Sahu v. Khub Lal . . .	2 C. L. R., 206 . . .	5449
Sheo Gholam Singh v. Nursingh . . .	4 N. W., 120 . . .	161, 5171
Sheo Gobind v. Sham Narain Singh . . .	7 N. W., 75 . . .	1464, 2454
Sheo Gobind Rawut v. Abhai Narayan Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 17 . . .	322, 6089
Sheo Golam Lall v. Beni Prosad . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 27: 4 C. L. } R., 29. }	1686
Sheogolam Sahoy v. Mohadeo Lall Sahoo . . .	18 W. R., 110 . . .	5755
Sheo Golam Singh v. Ramroop Singh . . .	15 B. L. R., 34, note: 23 W. } R., 25. }	4004
_____ v. Roy Dinker Dyal . . .	21 W. R., 226 . . .	3907
_____ v. Roy Dinkar Dyal . . .	12 W. R., 215 . . .	4929
Sheogolam Tewaree v. Faquera Misser . . .	3 Agra, 400 . . .	46
Sheo Jalun v. Gunes . . .	2 Agra, 237 . . .	3530
Sheojuttun Roy v. Anwar Ali . . .	13 W. R., 189 . . .	2737, 3710
Sheo Lall Bohree v. Mahomed . . .	13 W. R., P. C., 4 . . .	2005
Sheo Lall Chowdhury v. Chunder Benode Oopadhya	9 W. R., 586 . . .	1408
Sheo Lall Sahoo v. Ramzanee . . .	2 Agra, 35 . . .	4536
Sheo Narair. v. Hira . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 535 . . .	4545
_____ v. Jai Gobind . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 281 . . .	6101
_____ v. Joogul Kishen Ram . . .	7 W. R., 327 . . .	3181
Sheo Narain Bhuggut v. Budh Singh . . .	11 W. R., 423 . . .	158
Sheo Narain Singh v. Bishen Prosad Singh . . .	10 C. L. R., 337 . . .	2508, 3400
_____ v. Choonee Bhuggut . . .	24 W. R., 299 . . .	5623
_____ v. Khurgo Koerry . . .	10 C. L. R., 337 . . .	2508, 3400
Sheo Narayan Sing v. Harbans Lal . . .	5 B. L. R., 497: 14 W. R., 65 . . .	795
Sheonath alias Burray Kaka v. Ramnath alias Chotay { Kaka.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161: 5 W. } R., P. C., 21: 10 Moore's } I. A., 413. }	353
Sheonath Singh v. Ram Thul Rae . . .	1 N. W., Pt. II, 39: Ed. 1873, } 97. }	567, 5119
Sheo Nauth Singh v. Bishonath Singh . . .	2 Agra, Pt. II, 191 . . .	4579
Sheo Nund Rai v. Mahanund Ram . . .	1 Agra, 45 . . .	388
Sheo Nundun Pershad v. Mukdoom Buksh . . .	20 W. R., 364 . . .	6105
Sheo Nundun Singh v. Ghunsam Kooree . . .	21 W. R., 143 . . .	87
Sheonundun Singh v. Kahsa Kooer . . .	6 N. W., 179 . . .	2078
Sheopal v. Deen Dyal . . .	5 N. W., 145 . . .	3958
_____ v. Khadim Hossein . . .	7 N. W., 220 . . .	3443
Sheopalul Singh v. Nabee Ashruf Khan . . .	3 N. W., 342 . . .	567
Sheopal Singh v. Rai Seetaram . . .	3 N. W., 18 . . .	4927
Sheo Partab Narain Singh v. Sheo Gholam Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 875 . . .	3144
Sheo Pergah Roy v. Injore Tewaree . . .	21 W. R., 433 . . .	5810
Sheo Persad Jha v. Gunga Ram Jha . . .	5 W. R., 221 . . .	2392

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheopersad Singh <i>v.</i> Leela Singh . . .	12 B. L. R., 188 : 20 W. R., 160.	1166
Sheopershad Lall <i>v.</i> Thakoor Rai . . .	3 Agra, 254 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 153.	1471
Sheo Pershad Narain <i>v.</i> Collector of Monghyr	7 W. R., 5 . . .	2338, 3565
Sheopershad Ram <i>v.</i> Thakoopershad . . .	5 W. R., 103 . . .	3566
Sheopershad Singh <i>v.</i> Gopal Lall . . .	14 W. R., 276 . . .	2084
<i>v.</i> Kally Dass Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 543 : 5 C. L. R., 138.	5271
<i>v.</i> Soorjibunsee Koor	24 W. R., 281 . . .	519, 3069
Sheo Pershad Sookool <i>v.</i> Shunkur Sahoy	16 W. R., 190 . . .	4157
Sheo Pershad Tewary <i>v.</i> Moleema Beebee . . .	1 N. W., 53 : Ed. 1873, 108	1434, 4309
Sheo Pertab Lal <i>v.</i> Issur Roy . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 23 . . .	1539
Sheo Pertab Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Hurshunker Pershad Singh	5 N. W., 40 . . .	3496
Sheo Prasad <i>v.</i> Anrudh Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 273 . . .	2919
<i>v.</i> Miller . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 440 . . .	3481
<i>v.</i> Udai Singh . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 474 . . .	295, 4906
Sheoproskash Doss <i>v.</i> Joyram Doss	I. L. R., 2 All., 718 . . .	2643
Sheo Prokash Misser <i>v.</i> Fukeer Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 57 . . .	3437
<i>v.</i> Hurdai Narain	13 W. R., 20 . . .	2332
<i>v.</i> Ram Sahoy Singh	22 W. R., 550 . . .	540
Sheoprosad <i>v.</i> Jung Bahadoor . . .	8 B. L. R., 165 : 17 W. R., 62.	5482
Sheoprosun Misser <i>v.</i> Rajender Kishore Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 389 : 12 C. L. R., 494.	5189
Sheo Prosunno Chobey <i>v.</i> Gopal Surn . . .	W. R., 1864, 100 . . .	2176
Sheo Prosunno Singh <i>v.</i> Buldharee Lall . . .	15 W. R., 529 . . .	4531
Sheo Prosun Singh <i>v.</i> Brojoo Sahoo . . .	13 W. R., 232 . . .	88
Sheo Purshun Chobey <i>v.</i> Collector of Sarun . . .	7 W. R., 232 . . .	1490, 1884
Sheo Purshun Pandey <i>v.</i> Brun Pandey . . .	13 W. R., 256 . . .	3912
Sheopurshun Singh <i>v.</i> Rajendro Kishore Singh	13 W. R., 256 . . .	163, 686
Sheoraj Koor <i>v.</i> Nuckchedee Lall . . .	24 W. R., 251 . . .	1487
Sheoraj Nundun Singh <i>v.</i> Rajcoomar Baboo Deo Nun- dun Singh.	12 W. R., 123 . . .	5766
Sheoraj Rai <i>v.</i> Kashi Nath . . .	14 W. R., 72 . . .	5342
Sheoraj Singh <i>v.</i> Banwari Das . . .	24 W. R., 23 . . .	4155
<i>v.</i> Collector of Moradabad . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 247 . . .	4998, 6223
<i>v.</i> Legge . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 172 . . .	5031
<i>v.</i> Nurkhan . . .	2 N. W., 379 . . .	5956
Sheoram Singh <i>v.</i> Sewak Ram . . .	3 Agra, 293 . . .	1248, 3352
Sheo Ratan <i>v.</i> Lappu Kuar . . .	7 N. W., 354 . . .	5190
Sheo Ratan Kuar <i>v.</i> Mahipal Kuar . . .	20 W. R., 83 . . .	4398
Sheo Ratan Lal <i>v.</i> Chotey Lal . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 14 . . .	4764
Sheo Ratan Singh <i>v.</i> Sheo Sabai Misr . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 258 . . .	5126, 5788
Sheoruttungir <i>v.</i> Doorga . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 647 . . .	1501, 3893
Sheo Ruttun Koonwar <i>v.</i> Gour Beharee Bhukut . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 358 . . .	4552
Sheo Ruttun Singh <i>v.</i> Phool Koomaree . . .	I. L. R., 36 . . .	5398
Sheo Sahae Panday <i>v.</i> Rutta Beebee . . .	6 N. W., 180 . . .	5030
Sheo Sahai <i>v.</i> Ata Hossein . . .	7 W. R., 449 . . .	4194
Sheo Sahoy Pandey <i>v.</i> Ram Bhunjun Singh . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68 . . .	2150, 2342
Sheo Sahoy Singh <i>v.</i> Bechun Singh . . .	2 N. W., 180 . . .	3044
<i>v.</i> Birj Behary Singh . . .	20 W. R., 83 . . .	3196
Sheo Sahoy Tewaree <i>v.</i> Kampershad Narain Tewaree	23 W. R., 127 . . .	2975
	22 W. R., 31 . . .	1922
	22 W. R., 195 . . .	2993, 4899
	24 W. R., 333 . . .	3526
		4910

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheo Saran Tato v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 877	5571
Sheo Shunkur Lall v. Dhurm Joy Pooree	8 W. R., 360	4589
Sheo Shunkur Sahoy v. Hirdey Narain Sahu	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 25 : 5 C. L. R., 194.	4845
v. Hridhoy Narain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 143 : 12 C. L. R., 34.	4871
Sheo Singh Rai v. Dakho	6 N. W., 382	2125, 2225
		2313
	I. L. R., 1 All., 688 : 2 C. L. R., 193 : L. R., 5 I. A., 87.	1405, 1408
		2125, 4648
Sheo Sohye Roy v. Luchmeshur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 577	3406
Sheo Soondary v. Pirthee Singh	L. R., 4 I. A., 147	2296
Sheo Soonduree v.	21 W. R., 89	2280, 2284
Sheo Suhaye Dhamee v. Bhooree Mahtoon	3 W. R., 33	2841
Sheo Suhaye Roy v. Goodur Roy	8 W. R., 328	1745, 1810
Sheo Surn Lall. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 280	4730
Sheo Surn Singh v. Ram Khelawun Singh	14 W. R., 165	135
Sheo Surn Lall v. Chumun Lall	24 W. R., 220	4476
Sheo Surun Ojha v. Goolbanee Kooer	W. R., 1864, 264	1778
Sheo Surun Sahai v. Mohamed Fazil Khan	10 W. R., Cr., 20	4451, 6281
Sheetuhul Ram v. Ram Narain Singh	5 C. L. R., 291	2268
Sheo Tuhul Singh v. Ram Kooer	W. R., 1864, 311	3717
Sheo Tuhur Rai v. Sheobhung Rai	1 N. W., 45 : Ed. 1873, 41	3041
Sheoumber Sahoo v. Bhowaneedeem Kulwar	2 N. W., 223	3388
Shepherd v. Hogg	Cor., 67	132
— v. Trustees of the Port of Bombay	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 132	2619
	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 477	3102
Sherafutoollah Chowdhry v. Abedoonissa Bibee	17 W. R., 374	1899
	8 W. R., 204 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 249.	3707
Sheraj Ali Chowdhry v. Ramjan Bibee	25 W. R., 116	2357
Sherajooddeen Ahmed v. Horel Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 143	5663
Sher Ali v. Prendergaat	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 645	4222, 4645
Sher Bahadur Singh v. Dariao Kuar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258	553
Sheriff v. Dina Nath Mookerjee	6 Bom., O. C., 22	5641
Sheriff of Bombay. In re	6 Mad., 452	3646
Sherif Saib v. Usanabibi Ammal	2 C. L. R., 511	1287, 5599
Sher Mahomed. In the matter of	24 W. R., 124	3562
Sherman v. Schorn	21 W. R., 346	3878
Shero Coomaree Dabee v. Shitaram Hazra	8 W. R., 131	4957
Shero Koomaree Debee v. Keshub Chunder Bose	13 B. L. R., Ap., 17	829
Sherokumari Debi v. Shitaram Hazdra	18 W. R., 1	4457
Sheroocomaree Debia v. Keshub Chunder Bosoo	I. L. R., 5 Rom., 5	1720, 5402
Sheshgiri Shanbhog v. Salvador Vas	25 W. R., 30	288
Shetabdee Biswas v. Molamdee Mundul		590, 3185
Sheth Kahandas Narandas v. Dahiabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 182	4260
Shetliff v. Scott	22 W. R., 39	502, 657
Shetul Shaikh v. Hills	24 W. R., 184	3805, 3809
Shewab Singh v. Asgur Ali	6 W. R., 267	1828
Shewak Mahtoon v. St. Joseph	9 C. L. R., 21	4825
Shewak Ram v. Bhowani Buksh Singh	6 C. L. R., 140	2205
Shewak Ram Roy v. Mohammed Shamsul Hoda	3 B. L. R., A. C., 196 : 12 W. R., 26.	1415
Shew Narain Singh v. Miller	17 W. R., 234	449, 2626
Shewram v. Rohomutoollah	W. R., 1864, 94	4331
Shew Sukoy Lall v. Wajed Ali Khan	13 W. R., 205	2737
Shiam Lall v. Narain Dass	2 Agra, 106	4902

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shiam Soonder v. Narain Dass	2 Agra, 343	79
Shibba v. Hulasi	1. L. R., 5 All., 518	5694
— v. Ramlal	3 N. W., 216	1176
Shibban Lal v. Tiloke Chand	1. L. R., 2 All., 619	217
Shib Chandra Chakravati v. Johobux	{ 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 570: 9 C. L. R., 224.	4832
Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of	8 B. L. R., 30	2666
Shibcharan v. Ratiram	1. L. R., 7 All., 20	377
Shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhraim	6 W. R., Mis., 51	3448
Shib Chunder Bidyaruttan v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee	13 W. R., 298	1144
Shib Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar	16 W. R., 29	1928, 3537
Shib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane	Bourke, O. C., 388	3811, 5647
Shib Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah	5 W. R., 139	38
Shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Dassia	5 W. R., Mis., 22	509, 884
Shib Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmocar	12 W. R., 245	299
Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhyia	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 476	5718
Shib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind	12 W. R., 212	5624
Shib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi	7 C. L. R., 103	4910, 6103
Shib Churun Sen v. Jouardhon Dey	1 C. L. R., 397	528
Shibdas Bandapadhyia v. Bamandas Mukhapadhyia	8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360.	{ 3055, 5315
Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad	1. L. R., 2 All., 443	3209, 3532
Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad	4 N. W., 63	2412, 2429
Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	5748
Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree	9 W. R., 61	{ 1209, 2444
Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 605	195, 886
Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee	5 W. R., 202	5265
Shibessuree Dabia v. Beckwith	3 W. R., Act X, 152	2255
Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly	17 W. R., 71	2698
— v. Mothooranath Acharjo	13 W. R., P. C., 18: 13 } Moore's I. A., 270.	2254, 6010
Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarree	1 W. R., Mis., 32	577
Shib Gopal v. Baldeo Sahai	1. L. R., 2 All., 264	1184, 4276
Shib Jaton Roy v. Panchanan Bose	3 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 11 W. R., 467.	{ 1433, 1701
Shib Kishen Doss v. Abdul Sobhan Chowdhry	3 W. R., 103	4792, 5798
Shib Koonwer v. Sadho Singh	2 Agra, 255	5212
Shib Kristo Dah v. Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry	15 W. R., 408	4866
Shib Kristo Shaha Chowdhry v. Miller	{ 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 150: 13 C. L. R., 433.	2629
Shibkristo Sircar v. Abdul Hakeem	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 692: 5 C. L. R., 455.	4418
Shib Kumar Joti v. Kali Prasad Sen	1 B. L. R., A. C., 167	3816
Shib Lal v. Ganga Prasad	1. L. R., 6 All., 551	3440
— v. Hira Lal	1. L. R., 1 All., 622	1410
— v. Radha Kishen	1. L. R., 7 All., 898	3512
Shib Lal v. Lochun Singh	3 Agra, Rev., 7	5376
Shib Lal Singh v. Moorad Khan	9 W. R., 126	5590
Shib Narain Banerjee v. Government	W. R., 1864, 138	5981
— v. Ram Narain Lushkur	20 W. R., 142	4403
Shib Narain Bose v. Ramnidhee Bose	9 W. R., 87	2329, 2419
Shib Narain Dutt v. Ekramoonissa Begum	17 W. R., 356	1628, 1642
Shib Narain Ghose v. Aukhil Chunder Mookerjee	22 W. R., 485	1625
— v. Kashee Pershad Mookerjee	1 W. R., 226	1613, 1653
Shib Narain Pal v. Koonjo Kaminee Dabee	22 W. R., 466	5422

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shib Narain Shaha v. Bipin Behary Biswas	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 512: 1 C. } L. R., 539. }	1882
Shib Narain Singh v. Gobind Dass Bhukut	23 W. R., 154	1894
Shib Narayan Pohraj v. Kishor Narayan Pohraj	1 B. L. R., A. C., 146: 10 } W. R., 131. }	3824
Shib Nath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 58	1325
Shib Nath Chatterjee v. Nubokishen Chatterjee	21 W. R., 189	5025
Shib Nath Roy v. Watson & Co.	8 W. R., 374	5189
Shibnundun Roy v. Sreenarain Roy	11 W. R., 415	1035
Shibo Doorga Chowdhra v. Hossein Ali Chowdhry	6 W. R., 218	3280
Shibo Kooeree v. Joogun Singh	8 W. R., 155	1414, 2120 2141
Shiboo Narain Singh v. Mudden Ally	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 608: 9 C. } L. R., 8. }	3242, 5246 5431, 5666
Shiboo Roy v. Kashee Roy	25 W. R., 394	5681
Shiboo Soonduree Dabi v. Beckwith	9 W. R., 580	5587
Shiboo Soonduree Debea v. Secretary of State	7 W. R., 373	1424
Shibo Prosad Pandah. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 124: 3 C. } L. R., 122. }	5266
Shibo Soondery Dossee v. Rakhil Doss Sirkar	1 W. R., 38	707, 1512
Shibo Soonduree Debia v. Sowdaminee Debia	25 W. R., 78	1849
Shibo Soonduree Dossee v. Chunder Kant Ghose	21 W. R., 217	2359
Shibo Soonduree Dossee v. Panchcowree Chundra	14 W. R., 158	4809
Shibo Sundari Dasi v. Kali Churan Rai	W. R., 1864, 296	5760
Shib Persad Roy v. Rughoonath Singh	W. R., 1864, 295	2889, 5334
Shib Pershad Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 38	3166
Shib Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Gungamonee Debee	16 W. R., 291	4502
Shib Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Muddun Mohun Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 80	1046
Shib Pershad Panah v. Muddun Mohun Doss	15 W. R., 415	1210, 2343
Shib Prasad Das v. Anna Purna Dayi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 451: 12 W. } R., 435. }	532
Shib Prasad Panda. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 59: 14 W. } R., Cr., 77. }	5076
Shib Prokash Singh v. Sardar Doyal Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 544: 2 C. L. } R., 260. }	4807
Shib Prosad Doobey v. Promothonath Ghose	10 W. R., 193	4622
Shib Ram Dey v. Banee Madhab Mitter	11 W. R., 117	5468
Shib Ram Ghose v. Pran Faria	4 B. L. R., Ap., 89: 13 W. } R., 280. }	1746
Shib Ram Lall v. Raj Coomar Mitter	6 W. R., 48	3523
Shib Ram Mundul v. Makhun Lall Biswas	7 W. R., 179	2931
Shib Sahae v. Mukhool Ahmed	15 W. R., 68	513
Shib Sahai v. Thika Ram	2 N. W., 204	1401
Shib Sahaye Singh v. Nursingh Lall	7 N. W., 97	432
Shib Shankar Lal v. Banarsi Das	22 W. R., 352	2993
Shib Shunkur Neogy v. Huro Soonduree Goopta	I. L. R., 7 All., 891	4538
Shib Singh v. Bhoop Singh	13 W. R., 209	339
Shibsoonduree Debia v. Mahomed Ali	2 Agra, 303	4087
Shib Suhaye Sookool v. Beer Chunder Joobraj	W. R., 1864, 137	5005
Shibu Manjee v. Noshee Mookerjee	11 W. R., 30	1585
Shiddeshvar v. Ram Chandrarav	17 W. R., Cr., 3	2018
Shidhojirav v. Naikjirav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 463	5743
Shidlingapa v. Chenbasapa	10 Bom., 228	973
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 235	1714, 2098 3751, 4596 2230, 3366 4785

Name of Case.	Volume and	Column of Digest.
Shidmal Gura v. Anderson	11 Bom., 39	2858
Shimbhoo v. Narain Singh	5 N. W., 153	5268
Shimbhu Narain Singh v. Bachcha	1 L. R., 2 All., 200	5086
Shinam Gounden v. Coomara Gounden	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 115	2129
Shiponessa Bibee v. Kaminee Bibee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 121	4340
Shirajdee Pramanick v. Emam Buksh Biswas	13 W. R., 104	5812
Shircore v. Administrator General	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50	6210
Shirekuli Timapa Hegda v. Mahablya	1 L. R., 10 Bom., 435	1478
Shiro Kumari Debi v. Govind Shaw Tanti	1 L. R., 2 Calc., 418	6005
Shistee Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Kumlakant Roy	17 W. R., 348	3840
Shistidhur Parui. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., Ap., 19	1328
Shitanath Biswas v. Kishen Mohun Mookerjee	5 W. R., 130	365
Shitaram v. Ramanund	16 W. R., Cr., 66	257
Shiudas Narayan Singh v. Bhagwan Dutt	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 11 R., 10.	2740, 5789
Shiu Dayal Puri v. Mahabir Prasad	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 8	4460
Shiu Golam Singh v. Baran Singh	{ 1 B. L. R., A. C., 164: R., 198.	2348
Shiu Subai Mullick v. Lala Hari Subai Singh	{ 3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	4415
Shivalingaya v. Nagalingaya	1 L. R., 4 Bom., 247	5007
Shiva Charan Dass v. Roostum	Agra, F. B., 69: Ed. 1874, 53	3891
Shiva Nathaji v. Joma Kashinath	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 341	5949
Shivaramhari v. Arjun	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 258	1106
Shivasundari Debi v. Ramshamayati Kurmi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3	3832
Shivji Hasam v. Datu Mavji Khoja	12 Bom., 281	2226, 3752
Shivlal bin Khubchand v. Balvantrav Vinayak	2 Bom., 75: 2nd Ed., 70	5220
Shivlal Khubchand v. Apaji Bhivrav	1 L. R., 2 Bom., 654	5975
Shivram v. Genu	1 L. R., 6 Bom., 515	3945, 4842
— v. Narayan	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 27	5403
Shivram Narayan v. Ravji Sakham	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 254	3285, 5000
Shivram Udayam v. Kondaji Muktaji	1 L. R., 8 Bom., 340	5414
Shivram Vithal v. Bhagirhibai	6 Bom., A. C., 20	2032, 5875
Shivrudrappa v. Kashinath Vishnu	6 Bom., A. C., 141	2795, 2797
Shiv Shankar v. Govindlal Parbhudas	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 467	4295
Shivshankar Govindram v. Justices of the Peace for } Bombay.	5 Bom., O. C., 145	5581
Shoay Att v. Shoay Doang	14 W. R., 218	1356
Shodone Mohaldar v. Halalkhore Mohaldar	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 645: 3 C. L. R., 462.	5260
Shohrat Singh v. Bridgman	1 L. R., 4 All., 376	2006
Shoindoo Noshyo v. Rung Lall Jhah	25 W. R., Cr., 21	669
Shojonee Dossia v. Dhuronee Dhur Ghose	16 W. R., 226	1873
Shokhee Bewa v. Mehdee Mundul	9 W. R., 327	1303, 4487
Shomaed Ali Sowdagur v. Eusoof Khan Chowdhry	15 W. R., 80	5707
Shome Dutt Chowdhry v. Surt Narain Chowdhry	24 W. R., 242	4972
Shonai Bewa v. Monoram Mundul	11 C. L. R., 15	3452
Shonai Poramanick. In re	1 C. L. R., 486	294, 4309
— v. Jogendro Shaha	1 C. L. R., 486	3855
Shoobhunkuree Dossee v. Chand Monee Dossee	7 W. R., 335	4111, 5151
Shoobul Chunder Kulleah v. Koylash Chunder Mal	14 W. R., 23	4111, 5151
Shoo Chand Chunder v. Grant	7 W. R., 10	2200
Shoodan Kurmoker v. Ram Churn Pal	2 W. R., 137	5753, 6245
Shoodhun Mundle. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 58	3497
Shooghury Kper v. Boshisht Narain Singh	8 W. R., 331	3036
Shook Deb Shaha v. Alladi	2 C. L. R., 13	1534
		79
		5349

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shookmoy Chunder Dass v. Monohari Dasi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 269 : 8 C. L. R., 473; and on appeal, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 684 : L. R., 12 I. A., 103.	2562, 2563
Shookoomar Singh v. Cruise	6 W. R., Act X, 105	2742
Shookoor Ali v. Umola Ahalya	8 W. R., 504	5
Shookrah Shaikh v. Nund Coomar Banerjee	25 W. R., 246	301
Shookram Sookul v. Ram Lall Sookul	9 W. R., 248	1782
Shoorut Soondry Dabee v. Binny (Jardine, Skinner, & Co	25 W. R., 347	3008, 3066
Shooshi Bhoosun Pal v. Guru Churn Mookhopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 89 : 8 C. L. R., 285.	4400
Shoovankurry Dabee v. Dwarka Nath Mookerjee	25 W. R., 344	5924
Shorafut Ali v. Ramzan	W. R., 1864, Act X, 53	528
Shorendro Mohun Roy v. Bhuggobutty Churn Gungo- padhya.	10 B. L. R., 403, note : 18 W. R., 332.	3801
Shornomoyee v. Watson & Co.	20 W. R., 211	1568
Shoorop Chunder Paul v. Mothoor Mohun Paul Chowdhry	4 W. R., 109	291, 4035
Shoroshee Bala Dabee v. Nund Lall Sein	13 W. R., 364	3993
Shorosutty Dassee v. Gopeesondery Dassee	Marsh., 423 : 2 Hay, 512	482
Short v. Pickering	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 138	4717
Shortt v. Abdul Rahiman	6 Bom., O. C., 53	5713
Shorusoti Dasi v. Parbutti Dasi	6 C. L. R., 362	1623, 3016 88, 1945
Shosheebhoosun Mozoomdar v. Muddomutty Guptee	14 B. L. R., 21	2234, 2382 3209, 4134
Shoshee Kishen Mookerjee. In the matter of	14 W. R., 328	224
Shoshee Mohun Shaha v. Sheer Sircar	5 W. R., Act X, 13	554
Shoshee Mookhee Burmonya v. Dwarkanath Biswas	6 W. R., Mis., 84	5470
Shoshee Shekholeswar Roy v. Haro Gobind Bose	13 C. L. R., 356	428
Shoshi Bhooshun Pal v. Guru Churn Mookhopadhya {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 89 : 8 C. L. R., 285.	559
Shoshimohun Pal Chowdhry v. Nobo Krishto Poddar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801	1126
Shoshimukhi Dabia v. Nobin Chunder Roy	4 C. L. R., 92	388
Shoshinath Ghose v. Krishna Sunderi Dasi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 381 : 7 C. L. R., 313 : L. R., 7 I. A., 250.	2113
Shoshi Shikuressur Roy v. Tarokessur Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 121; and on appeal, I. L. R., 9 Calc., 952 : 13 C. L. R., 62 : L. R., 10 I. A., 51.	2567, 2568
Shostee Churn Roy v. Collector of Chittagong	13 W. R., 155	1492
Shoteenath Mookerjee v. Obhoy Nund Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 331	3451, 4466
Showdaninee Dossee v. Haran Chunder Surma	6 W. R., Act X, 103	1659
_____ v. Manick Ram Chowdhry	9 W. R., 386	5930
_____ v. Ram Roodro Gangooly	8 W. R., 367	306, 4291
_____ v. Shookool Mahomed	7 W. R., 94	1653
Showers v. Gobind Dass	I. L. R., 1 All., 409	5365
Showkut Ali v. Ahmud Ali	8 W. R., 39	3694
Shoylendo Geer Sunnyasee v. Patoo Doss Busanea	23 W. R., 304	5680
Shreesb Chunder Doss v. Assimonissa	7 W. R., 234	1654
Shreesteedhur Chuckerbutty v. Sreenath Biswas	18 W. R., 332	878
Shreesteedhur Dey v. Doorga Narain Nag	17 W. R., 462	568
Shrewsbury. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 313	2059
Shridhar Hari v. Chima valad Ladu	10 Bom., 17	4425
Shridhar Narayan v. Atmaram Govind	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 455	2654
Shridhar Vinayak v. Babaji bin Jivaji	6 Bom., A. C., 220	1696
_____ v. Narayan valad Babaji	11 Bom., 224	4994
Sringarpure v. Pethe	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 662	396, 6145

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
<i>Shrinivas v. Radhabai</i>	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 570	5424
<i>Shrinivas Uspirav v. Reid</i>	9 Bom., 266	2855
<i>Shriram Venkatasami v. Queen</i>	6 Mad., 120	725
<i>Shri Shailapa v. Balapa Lokanna</i>	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 446	4889
<i>Shrish Chunder Mookhopadhy.</i> In the matter of the } petition of. }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 161	90
<i>Shudanund Mohapattur v. Bonomalee Doss</i>	6 W. R., 256	2366
<i>Shudek Sircar v. Mohamoya Dabee</i>	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 77	1606
<i>Shugan Chand v. Government, North-Western Provinces</i>	I. L. R., 1 All., 79	1124
<i>Shuhochuree Dossee v. Showdaninee Dossee</i>	7 W. R., 306	2740
<i>Shumbhoo Chowdhry v. Combs</i>	2 W. R., 179	5701
<i>Shumbhoo Chunder Mowlick v. Prankristo Mullick</i>	13 W. R., 105	5678
<i>Shumbhoo Lall Girdhur Lall v. Collector of Surat</i> { I. A., 1. }	4 W. R., P. C., 55 : 8 Moore's } I. A., 1. }	4215
<i>Shumbhoo Mohun Roy v. Tripoora Sunkur Roy</i>	12 W. R., 126	3824
<i>Shumbhoo Nath Banerjee v. Tarinee Churn Bose</i>	6 W. R., Act X, 33	543
<i>Shumbhoonath Biswas v. Kisto Dhun Sircar</i>	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8	3188
<i>Shumbhoonath Chowdhry v. Prokash Chunder Dutt</i>	8 W. R., 272	2771
<i>Shumbhoonath Ghose v. Nobimoney Dossee</i>	Bourke, O. C., 92	425
<i>Shumbhoonath Mozoomdar v. Kasheesuree Debee</i>	13 W. R., 100	5673
<i>Shumbhoo Nath Poddar v. Luckynath Dey</i>	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 920	5424
<i>Shumbhoonath Shaha v. Guruchurn Lahiri</i> { R., 437. }	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 894 : 6 C. L. } R., 437. }	3135, 3140 3491
<i>Shumbhu Nath Mookhopadhy v. Hurro Sundari</i> } Dabia Chowdrain. }	11 C. L. R., 140	5293
<i>Shumbhu Nath Sarkar v. Ram Komul Gaha</i>	13 C. L. R., 212	490, 1325
<i>Shumboo Chunder Chowdry v. Modhoo Kyburt</i>	10 W. R., 56	1760
<i>Shumboo Chunder Holdar v. Ram Lall Ghose</i>	13 W. R., 436	3448
<i>Shumboo Chunder Mullick v. Prankristo Mullick</i>	14 W. R., 322	3246
<i>Shumboo Chunder Shaha v. Baroda Soonduree Debee</i>	5 W. R., 45	3344
<i>Shumboo Chunder Singh v. Ram Narain Doss</i>	9 W. R., 217	4962
<i>Shumboo Chunder Surnokar v. Russick Chunder Chung</i>	15 W. R., 346	4903
<i>Shumboo Geer Gossain v. Ram Jewan Lall</i>	8 W. R., 509	1733, 4169
<i>Shumboonath Roy v. Monowar Ali</i>	W. R., 1864, 109	4012
<i>Shumboonath Shaha v. Bunwaree Lall Roy</i>	11 W. R., 102	3394
<i>Shumbul Singh v. Toondun Singh</i>	24 W. R., 469	581
<i>Shumdan Ali v. Muthoornath Dutt</i>	14 W. R., 226	4164
<i>Shams Ahmud v. Goolam Moheeoodeen</i>	3 N. W., 153	1682
<i>Shumshare Ali v. Lutafut Kureem</i>	18 W. R., 504	4852
<i>Shum Sheer Singh v. Ramjeeawun Rae</i>	2 N. W., 416	3824
<i>Shumsheir Ali Khan v. Ram Chunder Goopto</i>	22 W. R., 174	5130
<i>Shumsher Ali v. Doya Bibi</i>	8 C. L. R., 150	3032
<i>Shumsher Ally v. Kurkut Shah</i>	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 236 : 6 C. L. } R., 549. }	5705
<i>Shumsher Bahadoor v. Mahomed Ali Beg</i>	2 Agra, 158	6224
<i>Shumsher Khan.</i> In the matter of the petition of } I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624 }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624 }	5563
<i>Shumshoolnissa v. Zohra Beebee</i>	6 N. W., 2 : Agra, F. B., Ed. } 1874, 286. }	3672
<i>Shumshurooddy v. Jan Mahomed Sikdar</i>	21 W. R., 260	2774, 5767
<i>Shumsool Osman v. Bunsheedhur Dutt</i>	15 W. R., 366	1626
<i>Shums-oon-nissa Khanum v. Rai Jan Khanum</i>	6 W. R., P. C., 52	3702
<i>Shundhabun Mohunt v. Shurut Chunder Roy</i>	23 W. R., 160	5760
<i>Shunguny Menon v. Kalampully Valia Nair</i>	6 Mad., 117	1425
<i>Shunker v. Hur Narain</i>	1 Agra, Rev., 49	352, 3882
<i>Shunker Lall v. Poorun Mull</i>	2 Agra, 150	1500
<i>Shunker Singh v. Birmah Mahto</i>	23 W. R., Cr., 25	6066
<i>Shunkur Lall v. Ram Lall</i>	1 N. W., 177 : Ed. 1873, 256	2860, 3832

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shunkur Patukh v. Lala Sheochurn Lal . . .	{ 2 N. W., 443 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 238. }	5778
Shunkurputtee Thakoorain v. Saifoollah Khan . . .	18 W. R., 507 . . .	5198
Shunkur Sahoo v. Gurbhoo Sahoo . . .	15 W. R., 216 . . .	5287
Shunmugam v. Moidin . . .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 229 . . .	3196, 4714
Shunt Buksh v. Lalla Nund Ram . . .	11 W. R., 48 . . .	1203
Shunto Doss Antith v. Hurechur Mookerjee . . .	20 W. R. 368 . . .	2929
Shurbessur Ghose v. Sadhoo Churn Ghose . . .	15 W. R., 130 . . .	2772
Shurbo Nerain Singh v. Ally Buksh Shah . . .	2 Hay, 415 . . .	3660
Shurfoonnissa v. Koolsoom . . .	25 W. R., 447 . . .	3661
Shurfun Bibee v. Collector of Sarun . . .	{ 12 B. L. R., 66, note: 10 W. R., 199. }	799, 4132
Shurfunnissa Bibee Chowdhraïn v. Kylash Chunder } Gungopadhyā. }	25 W. R., 53 . . .	4472
Shurfuraz Mollah v. Dhunoo . . .	16 W. R., 257 . . .	139, 6243
Shurno Moyee v. Kashee Kant Bhuttacharjee . . .	7 W. R., 511 . . .	342
_____ v. Pattarri Sirkar . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 625 . . .	812, 3336
_____ v. Suttas Chunder Roy . . .	2 W. R., P. C., 14 . . .	1724, 5356
Shurnomoyee Dasi v. Srinath Das . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 614 . . .	3387, 3993
Shurnomoyee Dassee v. Uma Soondery Chowdhraïn . . .	2 C. L. R., 138 . . .	634
Shurnomoyee Dossee v. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 421 . . .	2476
Shurnomoyee Dossee v. Gopal Lall Doss . . .	Marsh., 497 . . .	2424
Shurnomoyee Dossia v. Joy Narain Bose . . .	8 W. R., 481 . . .	2771
Shuroop Chunder Bhoomick v. Pertab Chunder Singh . . .	7 W. R., 219 . . .	5335
Shuroop Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Kasheeshuree Dossia . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 55 . . .	2889
Shuro Soonduree Debia v. Buloram Gooho . . .	24 W. R., 423 . . .	3798
Shuroop Chunder Gooho v. Amceerunnissa Khatoon . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 703 . . .	1894
Shurrut Chunder Chuttopadhyā v. Rajkissen Mookerjee . . .	15 B. L. R., 350 . . .	89
Shurrut Chunder Kur v. Ram Shunkur Surmah . . .	10 W. R., 214 . . .	5693
Shuruf Jan v. Futteh Ali . . .	22 W. R., 389 . . .	2972
Shurufoddin v. Khashinath . . .	13 W. R., Cr., 64 . . .	4626
Shurufoonissa Bebee v. Hushmut Ali . . .	9 W. R., 533 . . .	2884
Shurut Chunder Banerjee v. Bama Churn Mookerjee . . .	4 C. L. R., 410 . . .	4102
Shurut Chunder Dey Sircar v. Jadubnarain Nundee . . .	1 W. R., 90 . . .	3817
Shurut Chunder Mookerjee v. Thakoormonee Debia . . .	9 W. R., 240 . . .	666
Shurut Chunder Sein v. Muthoora Nath Pudatick . . .	7 W. R., 303 . . .	1415
Shurut Chunder Sen v. Abdool Khye Mahomed Mohu- } tessur Billah. }	23 W. R., 327 . . .	3474, 3527
Shurutoolah Merdha v. Gooroo Churn Dass . . .	8 W. R., 310 . . .	434, 5453
Shurut Soonduree Dabee v. Puresh Narain Roy . . .	12 W. R., 85 . . .	811
_____ v. _____ . . .	13 W. R., 464 . . .	6095
Shurut Soonduree Debee v. Government . . .	7 W. R., 42 . . .	67, 3130
Shurut Soonduree Debia v. Collector of Mymensingh . . .	7 W. R., 221 . . .	1249
_____ v. Khemunkuree Debia . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 87 . . .	4424
Shurwar Hossein Khan. In the matter of the petition } of. }	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 720 : 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 200. }	91
Suryutoollah Mirdha v. Teeta Gazee Howladar . . .	21 W. R., 82 . . .	1939
Shusee Mookhee Dossee v. Bissessuree Dabee . . .	10 W. R., 343 . . .	1748, 1769
Shushee Bhoosun v. Muddun Mohun Chattopadhyā . . .	2 C. L. R., 297 . . .	4289, 6176
Shushee Bhoosun Banerjee v. Nubo Coomar Chatterjee . . .	8 W. R., 94 . . .	3802
Shushee Mohun Pal Chowdhry v. Aukil Chunder } Banerjee. }	25 W. R., 232 . . .	2343
_____ v. Ram Koomar } Koondoo. }	22 W. R., 193 . . .	629
Shushee Shekhur Audhikaree v. Suleem Biswas . . .	22 W. R., 191 . . .	30

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shustee Churn Patuck <i>v.</i> Aukhil Chunder Sen	23 W. R., 103	4666
Shustee Dhur Mozoomdar <i>v.</i> Nuteeja Bibee	7 W. R., 36	4480
Shustee Ram Paul <i>v.</i> Nobo Kant Roy Chowdhry	14 W. R., 190	4166
Shutt Churn Ghosal <i>v.</i> Mahomed Ally	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5696
Shyam Chand Koondoo <i>v.</i> Land Mortgage Bank of India.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 695: 12 C. } L. R., 440. }	420
Shyam Churn Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Heera Chand Mozoomdar.	Marsh., 48: 1 Hay, 113	1456
Shyam Singh <i>v.</i> Punchum Majee	2 Agra, 243	4926
Shyam Soonder <i>v.</i> Cheita	3 N. W., 71	3886
Sia Dasi <i>v.</i> Gur Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 362	1710, 2490
Sibboosoondery Dabia <i>v.</i> Bussoomutty Dabia	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 191	2467
Sibchunder Kurmocar. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93, note	2651
Sibessury Dabee <i>v.</i> Lukhy Dabee	1 W. R., 88	1993
Sibnarain Ghose. In re	5 Moore's I. A., 322	4633
— <i>v.</i> Hullodhur Doss	6 Moore's I. A., 207	4632
Sib Sahaya Sukul <i>v.</i> Bir Chandra Jubaraj	2 B. L. R., A. C., 172	5743
Sibta <i>v.</i> Badri Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 134	2291
Sibt Ali <i>v.</i> Muniruddin	I. L. R., 6 All., 479	1347
Sibur Jelya <i>v.</i> Gopal Chandra Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., 423, note: 19 W. } R., 200. }	5183
Siddee Gopal Sadhoo Khan <i>v.</i> Nund Lall Dey	4 W. R., Mis., 8	2646
Siddesory Dossee <i>v.</i> Doorga Churn Sett	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 22: Bourke, } O. C., 360 }	2113, 2136 2542
Siddessuree Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Kissoreekant Gossain	W. R., 1864, Act X, 101	1590
Siddha <i>v.</i> Biligiri	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 354	2907
Siddhessur Dutt <i>v.</i> Sham Chand Nundun	15 B. L. R., 9, note: 23 W. } R., 285. }	3162
Siddhessuree Debia <i>v.</i> Denobundhoo Koondoo	6 W. R., 65	6231
Sideshury Chowdhraim. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 50	6181
Sidhee Nazir Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Ojoodhyaram Khan	5 W. R., P. C., 83: 10 Moore's } I. A., 540. }	1698, 3997 4431, 5334 5346, 5971
Sidhee Nuzeer Ali Khan <i>v.</i> Woomesh Chunder Mitter	2 W. R., 75	4173
Sidhee Nuzur Ally Khan <i>v.</i> Ojoodhyaram Khan	10 Moore's I. A., 322: 1 Ind. } Jur., N. S., 185. }	4640
	10 Moore's I. A., 540: 5 W. } R., P. C., 83. }	1698, 3997 4431, 5334 5346, 5971
Sidh Gopal <i>v.</i> Ajudhiaprasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 392	1403
Sidhoo Lal. In the matter of the petition of	2 N. W., 398	86
Sidlingapa <i>v.</i> Sidava kom Sidlingapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 624	2411, 2434 5684
Sieveking, Droop, & Co. <i>v.</i> Focke	9 W. R., 215	4743
Sikher Chund <i>v.</i> Dulpottry Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 363: 5 C. } R., 374. }	90, 1750 3433, 4159
Sikki <i>v.</i> Vencatasamy Gounden	8 Mad., 144	2436
Sikundar Ali <i>v.</i> Purwurush Ali	3 N. W., 132	2895
Sikur Chund <i>v.</i> Sooringmull	1 Hyde, 272	3089
Simmani Ammal <i>v.</i> Muttammal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 265	2304
Simpson. In the goods of	1 Mad., 171	132
— <i>v.</i> Cleghorn	6 C. L. R., 91	4871
Simroo Kareegun <i>v.</i> Anund Chunder Roy	Marsh., 57: 1 Hay, 130	6109
Simson <i>v.</i> Gorachand Doss	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 473	1054, 5712
— <i>v.</i> Venkatagopalaim	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 475	374, 5953
— <i>v.</i> Virayya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 359	1061
Sinammal <i>v.</i> Administrator General of Madras	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 169	2339, 2443

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sinclair. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 177	1270, 3559
— v. Broughton	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 341 : 13 C. L. R., 185 : L. R., 9 I. A.,	2783
Sinde v. Sinde	4 Bom., A. C., 51	3409
Singamma v. Vinjamuri Venkatacharlu	4 Mad., 165	2111
Sinia Tevar v. Rangasami Aiyangar	7 Mad., 112	4760
Sinthayee v. Thanakapudayen v. <i>alias</i> Pondily Udayan	4 Mad., 183	1903, 2414
Siraj-ood-dowlah v. Noor Ahmad	5 N. W., 194	5630
Siraj-ul-huq v. Khadim Husain	1. L. R., 5 All., 380	6149
Sirbadh Rai v. Raghunath Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 568	187, 5533
Sirdar v. Molungo Sirdar	24 W. R., 1	3937
Sirdar Begum v. Izzut-ool-nissa	2 N. W., 149	3368
Sirdar Dyal Singh v. Ram Buddun Singh	17 W. R., 454	4438
Sirdar Khan v. Buldeo Singh	6 N. W., 99	2089
Sirdar Kuar v. Chandrawati	I. L. R., 4 All., 330	3129
Sirdar Sainey v. Piran Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 453	1773
Sital v. Madho	I. L. R., 1 All., 394	6055
Sitalparshad v. Jagdat Misser	7 N. W., 254	2265
Sital Prasad v. Autul Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 633	1414
Sitanath Bose v. Mathura Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 11 W. R., } 68. }	5205
Sita Nath Das v. Luchmiput Singh	11 C. L. R., 268	2711
— v. Mohesh Chunder Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 627	3639, 5407
Sitanath Ghose v. Shamasundari Dasi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 37, note : 14 } W. R., 26. }	1836
Sitanath Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 888 : 12 C. } L. R., 574. }	5130
Sitanath Mookerjee v. Haimabutty Dabee	24 W. R., 377	5248
Sitanath Shaha v. Nobin Chunder Roy	5 C. L. R., 102	2431
Sitapathi Nayudu v. Queen	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 52	4247
Sitapershad Dass v. Thakurdass	5 C. L. R., 73	5874, 6027
Sitaram v. Aheeree Heerahnee	11 B. L. R., 129 : 20 W. R., 41 }	2249
— v. Amir Begam	I. L. R., 8 All., 324	1099
— v. Atmaram Baji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 227, note	3691, 5054
— v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 733	416
— v. Dasrath Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 492	833
— v. Mahipal	I. L. R., 3 All., 533	850
— v. Zalim Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 231	823
Sitarama v. Narayanan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 130	2187
Sitaram Abbaji. In re	10 Bom., 58	3598
Sitaramaiyar v. Alagiry Iyer	4 Mad., 285	2665
Sitarama Krishna Rayadappa Ranga Raz v. Sanyasi } Razu Pedda Baliyara Simhulu. }	3 Mad., 4	4302, 5051
Sitaram Amrut v. Bhagvant Jaganath	6 Bom., A. C., 109	5650
Sitaraman v. Susa Pillai	2 Mad., 254	3825
Sitarama Razu v. Jaganada Narayana Ramachendra } Razu Pedda Baliyar Simhulu. }	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 367	5676
Sitarambhat v. Sitaram Ganesh	6 Bom., A. C., 250	5595
Sitaram Dandekar v. Ganesh Gokhle	6 Bom., A. C., 121	2246, 3860
Sitaram Krishna v. Daji Devaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 418	5265
Sitaram Sahu v. Mohan Mandar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 345 : W. R., 90. }	3985
Sitaram Vasudev v. Khanderao Balkrishna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 286	1074
Sithalakshmi v. Vythilinga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 548	2026
		8135
		4950, 6018

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sitha Ummal v. Rungasami Iyengar	5 Mad., 385	3385, 6127
Sitla Bakhsh v. Lalta Prasad	1. L. R., 8 All., 388	4010
Sitla Din v. Sheo Prasad	1. L. R., 4 All., 60	3522
Sittaram Atmaram. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 23	1049
Situl Purshad v. Luchmi Purshad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 30 : 13 C. } L. R., 382 : L. R., 10 I. A., } 129. }	3899
Siva Bhagiam v. Palami Padiachi	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 401	2520
Siva Bux Savuntharam. In re	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 317	393
Sivaganga v. Lakshmana	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 188	5390
Sivagnana Tevar v. Periasami	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 312 : L. R., 5 } I. A., 61. }	2394, 2450 2518
Sivananjanja Perumal Sethurayer v. Muttu Ramalinga } Sethurayer. }	3 Mad., 75	2264, 2318
— v. Meevakshi Ammal	5 Mad., 377	2323
Sivappachari v. Mahalinga Chetti	1 Mad., 50	2422
Sivarajadhani Nilakantham Pillai v. Kuppa Gantulu } Ramiah Pantulu. }	2 Mad., 311	5261
Sivarama v. Rama	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 99	759
— v. Subramanya	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 57	5467
Sivaramaiyar v. Samu Aiyar	1 Mad., 447	3264, 5430
Sivarama Pillai v. Turnbull	4 Mad., 43	4143
Sivasankara Mudali v. Parvati Anni	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 96	3237
Sivasubramanya v. Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 285	2170
Sivu v. Chenamma	5 Mad., 417	1728, 4230
Skinner v. Aldwell	2 N. W., 3	4455
— v. Jager	1. L. R., 6 All., 138	5115
— v. Mahtab	4 N. W., 160	1479
— v. Orde	10 B. L. R., 125 : 14 } Moore's I. A., 309 : 17 } W. R., 77. }	465
— v. —	1. L. R., 1 All., 230	3001
— v. —	1. L. R., 2 All., 241 : L. R., } 6 I. A., 126. }	1340, 3785
— v. Rujub Ali Khan	2 W. R., Act X, 105	4338
— v. Skinner	2 Agra, 128	3145, 6016
Skinner alias Nawab Mirza v. Orde	6 N. W., 225	554
— v. —	1. L. R., 2 All., 241 : L. R., } 6 I. A., 126. }	683
Skinner alias Nowshaba Begum. In the matter of	13 Moore's I. A., 532	4339
Skinner & Co. v. Shama Soonduree	19 W. R., 429	3145, 6016
Smallwood v. Parry	Cor., 39	4635
Smith v. Boggs	5 B. L. R., Ap., 21	4202
— v. —	5 B. L. R., Ap., 22	6276
— v. Bulwant Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 24	2649
— v. Dinonath Mookerjee	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 213	2651
— v. Gopal Sheikh	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 14	228
— v. Guneshee Lal	3 N. W., 83	1123
— v. Mokhum Mahton	18 W. R., 526	5656
— v. Nundun Lal	6 W. R., Act X, 13	468
— v. Secretary of State	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 340	1708
— v. Sona Bibee	2 W. R., Mis., 10	3799, 6090
— v. Stewart	Bourke, O. C., 292	4969, 5115
Smyth v. Smyth	5 B. L. R., Ap., 153	3835
Snadden v. Mahwine	2 B. L. R., A. C., 292	6061
— v. Todd, Findlay, & Co.	7 W. R., 286	1555
		1360
		2776, 4215

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Snadden <i>v.</i> Todd, Finlay, & Co.	7 W. R., 313	300, 4898
Snaith <i>v.</i> McQuhae	7 Mad., 332	3599
Snell <i>v.</i> Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 201	4704
Sobbee Jha <i>v.</i> Shosheenath Jha	15 W. R., 150	770, 773
Sobha Bibee <i>v.</i> Sakhamut Ali	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 371 : 1 C. L. R., 331.	1917
Sobhagchand Gulabchand <i>v.</i> Bhaichand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193	4838, 5401 6141, 6142
Sobha Kooeree <i>v.</i> Hurdey Narain Mohajun	25 W. R., 97	79, 80 2444
Sobha Mahton <i>v.</i> Paharoo	2 N. W., 310	1630
Sobhan <i>v.</i> Shubraton	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558 : 5 C. L. R., 21.	3740
Sobha Pandey <i>v.</i> Sahodra Bibi	I. L. R., 5 All., 322	3324
Sobharam <i>v.</i> Gungapershad	2 N. W., 260	1177
Sobha Sah <i>v.</i> Inderjeet	5 N. W., 148	3964
Sobil Dass <i>v.</i> Chundra Deb	20 W. R., Cr., 15	5160
Sobratun <i>v.</i> Toova	7 W. R., 273	4478
Sodamini Dasi <i>v.</i> Jageswar Sur	5 B. L. R., Ap., 27 : 13 W. R., 339.	1898
Sofaoll Khan <i>v.</i> Wopean Khan	9 W. R., 123	5812
Sofir Merdha <i>v.</i> Nobokishore	2 W. R., 286	1984
Sofiruddeen <i>v.</i> Empress	2 C. L. R., 132	1007
Sohan <i>v.</i> Mathura Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 449	5688
Sohan Lal <i>v.</i> Aziz-unnissa Begam	I. L. R., 7 All., 136	4918
_____ <i>v.</i> Karim Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 281	789
Sohodea Bibee <i>v.</i> Deendyal Lal	11 B. L. R., 138, note	2721
Sohodwa <i>v.</i> Smith	12 B. L. R., 82 : 20 W. R., 139.	5197
Sohudra <i>v.</i> Roy Kaliha Sahoy	W. R., 1864, Mis., 23	187
Sohun Lall <i>v.</i> Lala Gya Pershad	6 N. W., 265	484
Sohun Patuck <i>v.</i> Omoola Koowur	Marsh., 7	5267
Sohun Singh <i>v.</i> Keola Bibee	16 W. R., 169	3055
Sojan <i>v.</i> Roop Ram	2 Agra, 61	1149, 3593
Sokabai <i>v.</i> Lakshmibai	12 Bom., 9	1215
Sokhina Bibi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 87 : 8 C. L. R., 387.	1958
Solah Bibee <i>v.</i> Keerun Bibee	16 W. R., 175	3675
Solano <i>v.</i> Ahmeida	10 C. L. R., 573	817
_____ <i>v.</i> Doolhin Umrit Koer	W. R., 1864, Act X, 65	2989
_____ <i>v.</i> Hoormut Bahadoor	1 Hay, 573	3076
_____ <i>v.</i> Moran & Co.	4 C. L. R., 11	1483
_____ <i>v.</i> Soobrun Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 4	3803
Soldar Mondul <i>v.</i> Nilcomul Chatterjea	1 C. L. R., 528	1696
Solicitor. In re a	4 B. L. R., P. C., 29	5722
Solomon. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 767	3154, 5136
_____ <i>v.</i> Abdool Azeez	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 687 : 8 C. L. R., 169.	995
_____ <i>v.</i> Abdool Aziz	4 C. L. R., 366	2817, 4402 4407
_____ <i>v.</i> Lalla Ram Lall	7 C. L. R., 481	1705
Somangouda bin Dajamangouda <i>v.</i> Bharmangouda	1 Bom., 43	2356
Somarimull <i>v.</i> Bhairudas Johury	7 B. L. R., 431	589, 2211
Somashekhar <i>v.</i> Subhadramaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 524	328, 2192
Somasundaram Chetti <i>v.</i> Administrator General	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	133, 3093
Somasundara Tambiran <i>v.</i> Sakkarai Pattan	4 Mad., 369	3942
Somerooddeen. In the matter of	11 W. R., 159	4393

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Somkali v. Bhairo	I. L. R., 5 All., 55	1431
Somree v. Jitun Sonar	22 W. R., Cr., 30	3606, 3740
Somu v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 316	962
Somu Gurukhal v. Rangammal	7 Mad., 13	4817
Sona v. Leelanund Singh	5 W. R., 290	2035
Sona Beebee v. Lall Chand Chowdhry	9 W. R., 242	5329
Sonachala v. Manika	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 516	6083
Sonai Sardar v. Bukhtar Sardar	25 W. R., Cr., 46	3864
Sonaka Chowdrain v. Rhoobunjoy Shahla	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 311	240, 5726
Sonamall v. Sudaram Rotti	7 B. L. R., Ap., 23	4398
Sonamonee Dossee v. Jov Doorga Dossee	17 W. R., 551	498, 1885
Sonamonee Dossia v. Motee Singh	14 W. R., 385	5730
Sonamoni Dasi v. Lilanund Singh	11 C. L. R., 30	5723
Sonaollah v. Imamooddeen	24 W. R., 273	1683
Sonatan Roy v. Ananda Kumar Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 11 W. R., 96.	2928
Sonatan Bukshee v. Gopal Chunder Shamunto	15 W. R., 31	5582
Sonatan Bysack v. Juggut Soondree Dossee	8 Moore's I. A., 66	2531
Sonatun Doss v. Kalee Pershad Doss	13 W. R., 146	4434
Sonatan Ghose v. Abdool Farar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 109: 2 W. R., 91.	5106
— v. Abdul Turrub	2 W. R., 105	4203
Sonatan Misser v. Ruttun Mollah	W. R., 1864, 95	2283
Sonaya Pillai v. Kalamegam	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 139	3598
Sonbai v. Ahmedbhai Haribhai	9 Bom., 398	268, 3090
Sonet Kooer v. Himmut Bahadoor	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 391: 25 W. R., 239: L. R., 3 I. A., 92.	2057, 3046
Sonkally Koonwar. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 53	85
Sonnum Sookul v. Elahee Buksh	7 W. R., 453	1105
Soobah Doorgah Lal Jha v. Neelanund Singh	7 W. R., 73	1719, 2275
Soobah Pirthee Lal Jha v. Soobah Doorga Lal Jha	13 C. L. R., 86	3565
Soobansee Singh v. Juggesshur Koer	6 Mad., 40	5221
Soobba Tevan v. Moothookoody	W. R., F. B., 57: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 82.	2352
Soobhudra Dossee v. Boloram Dewan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 663: 7 C. L. R., 201.	3957
Soobhul Chunder Paul v. Nitye Churn Bysack	W. R., 1864, Act X, 12	4931
Soobhul Singh v. Meeto Singh	5 Mad., 437	6176
Soobramania Telaver v. Sokka Telaver	16 W. R., 5	6085
Soobudra v. Ramprokash Singh	7 W. R., 375	2709
Soobudra Bebee v. Sheo Churn Lall	14 W. R., 414	5117
Soobul Chunder Goocho v. Tumeezooddeen Chowdhry	11 W. R., 32	2019, 5300
Soobul Chunder Paul v. Attur Ali	Marsh., 221: 1 Hay, 497	3348, 3796
Sooburnomonee Dabea v. Petumber Dobey	24 W. R., 274	2586
Sooda Ram Doss v. Joogul Kishore Goopto	4 W. R., Mis., 14	209
Soodha Monee Dossee v. Brojonath Mozoomdar	W. R., 1864, 176	194
— v. Gooeroopersaud Dutt	20 W. R., 419	1619
Soodha Mookhee Dossee v. Ram Guttee Kurmocar	10 B. L. R., 380: 19 W. R., 279.	4827
Soodharam Bhattacharjee v. Odhoy Chunder Bundo- padhya	1 W. R., 41	1395
Soodheekeena Chowdhraim v. Gopee Mohun Sein	8 W. R., 487	1611
Soodishte Lall Chowdhry v. Nuthoo Lall Chowdhry	12 W. R., 24	338, 1433
Soodukhina Chowdhraim v. Issur Chunder Mozoomdar	11 W. R., 350	338, 339
— v. Raj Mohun Bose		771, 1768
		4458

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soogun Chund v. Gopal Gir	4 N. W., 101	2332
Soojaat Ali v. Huree Thakoor	6 W. R., Act X, 44	1628
Soojan Bibee v. Achmut Ali	14 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 21 W. R., 414.	1837
Soojan Mull v. Hyder Jung Bahadoor	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58	3112, 6184
Soojat Ali v. Zameerooddeen	5 W. R., 158	3670
Soojat Mahomed v. Mahomed Torab	25 W. R., 281	6158
Soojaut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 19	4105
Soojun Mundul v. Woozeer Mundul	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 20	5232
Sookhan Sahoo v. Government	18 W. R., Cr., 5	6255
Sookhanundamoyee Debia v. Baney Madhub Mookerjee	1 W. R., 73	317
Sookhee Monee Debia v. Brijoraj Mookerjee	17 W. R., 228	2700
Sookheemonee Dossee v. Mohendro Nath Dutt	13 W. R., P. C., 14	1697
Sookh Lall Bhoojwalla v. Goolzar Bhoojwalla	14 W. R., 228	4473
Sookhmoyee Chowdhrair v. Raghubendro Narain Chowdhury	24 W. R., 7	3163
Sookli Moyee Dossee v. Nurmooda Dossee	15 W. R., 210	759, 3448
Sookhmun v. Chooramun	1 Agra, 172	4008
Sookhnuud v. Nundoo Singh	2 Agra, 221	4965
Sookho Soonduree Dabia v. Wooma Soonduree Dabia	18 W. R., 255	684
Sookhraj Bahadoor v. Jureena Bibee	11 W. R., 341	681
Sookh Raj Singh v. Tuffazool Hossein	2 N. W., 142	2772, 5452
Sookhram v. Nirput Singh	3 Agra, 67	1078
Sookna Medhee v. Gundhoo Ram Mundul	12 W. R., 264	1823, 2022
Sookoomar Singh v. Kashee Singh	13 W. R., 250	5940
Sookram Doss v. Nund Kishore Doss	22 W. R., 21	114
Sookram Misser v. Crowdy	19 W. R., 284	4216
Sookyaboye Ammal v. Latchmi Ammal	13 W. R., P. C., 3	4234
Sooltan Ali v. Chand Bibee	9 W. R., 130	138
Sooltan Bahadoor v. Laloo Kooer	17 W. R., 300	3557
Sooltan Chund v. Schiller	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 252: 3 C. L. R., 287.	1115, 1117
Soomar Jaffer v. Abdool Kurreem	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 230	600
Soomrun Rai v. Mahesh Dutt	4 N. W., 33	3168
Soomrun Thakoor v. Chunder Mun Misser	3 C. L. R., 282: 5 C. L. R., 26.	2157, 2396
Soomungul Kooer v. Court of Wards	17 W. R., 560	1252
Soomut Doss v. ———	21 W. R., 292	203, 1883
	24 W. R., 151	1889
Soonar v. Khuderun	2 N. W., 251	3021
Soonatun Saha v. Ramjoy Saha	Marsh., 549	139
Soonder Bebee v. Khilloo Mull <i>alias</i> Ram Lall	2 N. W., 90	4327, 4866
Soonder. Koomaree Debea v. Gudadhur Pershad { Tewaree.	4 W. R., P. C., 116: 7 Moore's I. A., 54.	2105, 2144
Soonder Lall v. Baillie	24 W. R., 287	2318
Soonder Narain v. Bennud Ram	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 76	4047
Soonder Narain Pandah v. Namdar	21 W. R., 407	2277
Soondur Kooer v. Lalla Rughoobur Dyal	10 W. R., 246	3705
Soondur Koomaree Debia v. Kishoree Lal Sein	5 W. R., 246	4233
Spondur Monee Chowdhrair v. Bhoobun Mohun Chowdry	11 W. R., 536	1387
Soondur Singh v. Buhooria Alum Bashee	24 W. R., 36	451
Soonea v Ram Suha	2 N. W., 146	183
Sooney Ram Marwaree v. Byjnath Kooer	10 W. R., 88	3929
Soonjharee Koonwur v. Ramessur Pandey	5 W. R., Mis., 47	5827
Soonut Dass v. Bhoaban Lall	20 W. R., 478	203
Soopersaud. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 91	2645, 2651

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soophul Singh v. Methoo Singh	1 W. R., 163	383
Soopromonian Setty v. Heilgers	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 71: 4 C. L. R., 377.	4606
Soopun Khan v. Huro Pershad Paul	4 W. R., Att X, 50	778
Sooraj Buksh v. Ramjeeawun	4 N. W., 5	5379
Sooraj Kant Acharje v. Khoodee Narain Manna	22 W. R., 9	5756
Sooraj Monee Dayee v. Suddanund Mohapattur	12 W. R., 296	282
Soorasoondery Dabee v. Golam Ally	15 B. L. R., 125, note: 19 } W. R., 142.	1598, 1663 4148
Soorendronath Roy v. Bhyrub Mundul	14 W. R., 462	145
_____ v. Purmanund Ghose	15 W. R., 342	291
_____ v. Rughoobur Dyal Awustee	15 W. R., 392	337
Soorendro Nath Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 106	393
Soorendro Pershad Dobe v. Jugobundhoo Pandey	22 W. R., 426	2749
_____ v. Nundun Misser	21 W. R., 196	1038, 2765 4155
Sooriah Row v. Cotaghery Boochiah	5 W. R., P. C., 127: 2 } Moore's I. A., 113.	3843, 6246
_____ v. Enoogunty Sooriah	5 W. R., P. C., 125: 2 } Moore's I. A., 72.	3842
Soorja Kant Acharj Chowdry. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 383	219, 5924
Soorja Koer v. Nath Buksh Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 102	2433
Soorj Buksh Singh v. Sreekishen Doss	9 W. R., 500	5409
Soorjee Kanto Roy v. Forlong	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 382: 6 W. R., Act X, 44.	5074
Soorjeemqney Dossee v. Denobundo Mullick	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 37: 4 W. R., P. C., 114: 6 Moore's I. A., 526.	2550
_____ v. Denobundoo Mullick	9 Moore's I. A., 123	2552
Soorjmonsee Dossee v. Suroop Chunder Shah	W. R., 1864, 198	1677
Soorjmukhi Koer. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 272	277
Soorjo Coomar Bhuttacharjee v. Bhugwan Chunder Roy	24 W. R., 328	4784
Soorjo Coomar Surma Roy v. Krishto Coomar Chowdhry.	12 B. L. R., 224: 14 W. R. F. B., 30.	5734
Soorjo Kant Banerjee v. Kristo Kishore Poddar	14 W. R., 423	322, 4007
Soorjo Koomar v. Gungadbur Roy	12 W. R., 80	6112
Soorjomonee Dayee v. Suddanund Mohapattur	12 B. L. R., 304: 20 W. R., 377: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 212.	2341, 2367 5026
Soorjomonee Dossee v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	25 W. R., 331	1603
Soorjo Narain Panda v. Bissumbur Singh	23 W. R., 311	1833
Soorjoon v. Ishree Brahman	3 N. W., 74	2301
Soorjoo Pershad v. Krishan Pertab Bahadoor	1 N. W., 49: Ed. 1873, 46	2197
Soorma Khatoon v. Attafoonnissa Khatoon	2 Hay, 210	3653
Soornum Butty v. Boodhessuree	10 W. R., 313	4848
Sooruj Buksh Singh v. Sreekishen Doss	6 W. R., Mis., 126	220, 5409
Sooruj Komar Pershad v. Mahadeo Dutt	5 N. W., 50	2331
Sooruj Pershad Tewary v. Saheb Lall Tewary	3 W. R., 25	4879
Sorabjee Vacha Gauda v. Koonwarjee Manickjee	5 W. R., P. C., 29: 1 Moore's I. A., 47.	1726
Sorabji Fardunji v. Dulabhbhai Hargovandas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 65	1131, 1541
Sorabji Nassarvanji Dundas v. Justices of the Peace for the City of Bombay.	12 Bom., 250	612, 1570 5876
Sorabji Ratanji v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company.	7 Bom., O. C., 119, note	1382
Sorbojit Roy v. Gonesh Prosad Misser	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 761	2103

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soroop Chunder Hazra. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 938	1144
Soroop Chunder Paul v. Durup De Dombal	1 W. R., 72	529
Soroop Narain Pandah v. Soondur Porya	11 W. R., 505	237
Soruth Soondery Dabee v. Brodie	1 W. R., 274	2089
Soshi Bhusan Chand. In the matter of the petition of v. Grish Chunder Taluqdar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 694	3454, 4291
Soshikishore Bundopadhya v. Chooramonee Putto Mahadabee.	W. R., 1864, 107	2240
Sotish Chunder Lahiry v. Nil Comul Lahiry	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 45	4944, 5404
Soudaminee Dossee v. Bholanath Shaha	9 W. R., 363	5965
— v. Bistoo Narain Roy	8 W. R., 323	2890
— v. Dheraj Mahatab Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 585: 6 W. R., Mis., 102.	3437
Soudaminey Dossee v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 262	276
— v. Juggomohun Sen	1 Hyde, 172	2463, 2560
Soudamonee Dossee v. Poorno Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 113	1208
Soujharee Coomar v. Rameshur Panda	4 W. R., 32	3180
Southern Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3929
Southo Pursad Dass v. Parasu Padhan	26 W. R., 98	953
— v. Rughoo Padhan	19 W. R., 262	4789
Sowdaminee Debia v. Mohesh Chunder Mookerjee	4 B. L. R., F. B., 11: 12 W. R., F. B., 8.	2932
Sowdamini Chowdhrair v. Krishna Kishor Poddar	12 C. L. R., 163	5486
Sowdamonee Debya v. Spalding. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 658: 15 W. R., 471.	1826
Spencer v. Kadir Buksh	6 B. L. R., 658: 15 W. R., 471.	338, 2880
— v. Puhul Chowdhry	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 294, note	4308
Spence's Hotel Company v. Anderson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 295	338, 2880
Speyer v. Janssen	Bourke, O. C., 28	4308
Spink v. Moran	21 W. R., 161	427
Spooner v. Juddow	4 Moore's I. A., 353	929
Sreechand v. Budhoo Singh	13 W. R., 301	2093, 5898
Sreechund Baboo v. Mullick Choolhwa	9 W. R., 564	4594
Sreedhessury Chowdry v. Muddun Koowar Jha	1 W. R., 128	4122, 4634
Sreedhur Churn Nundee v. Juggobundoo Pau	W. R., 1864, Mis., 37	5973
Sreedhur Jha v. Dabee Dutt	9 W. R., 170	2975
Sreegobind Singh v. Odit Narain Singh	W. R., F. B., 4	3279
Sreegopal Mullick v. Dwarkanath Sein	15 W. R., 520	4997
— v. Ram Churn Nuskur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 856: 12 C. L. R., 125.	195
Sreehuree Bukshee v. Gopaulehunder Samunt	15 W. R., 500	1581
Sreehuree Roy v. Hill	9 W. R., 156	6111
Sreehurry Paul v. Nilmoney Sen	1 Hyde, 169	325, 1629
Sreehurry Roy v. Hills	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21	2978
— v. —	7 W. R., 476	4771, 6124
Sreekant Bhattacharjee v. Rajnarain Chatterjee	10 W. R., 1	1997
Sreekant Ghose v. Bhugwan Chunder Sen	24 W. R., 13	1381
Sreekant Roy. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 74	402
Sreekant Roy Chowdhry v. Kitabooddeen Sirdar	10 W. R., 49	5251
Sreekant Shaha v. Kalsoo Doss	10 W. R., 135	6030
Sree Kishen v. Eshree Purtaub Rai	2 Agra, 299	1845
		1635, 5766
		279
		333, 541
		1434
		4249

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sree Kishen Chowdhry v. Ramkisto Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 317	3225
Sreekissen v. Juglal	9 W. R., Cr., 5	5556
Sreekristo Biswas v. Joy Kristo Biswas	24 W. R., 304	4985
Sreekunt Doss v. Ramjeebun Roy	18 W. R., 342	5479
Sreemanchunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee	Cor., 57	6128
Sree Misser v. Crowdy	15 W. R., 243	1183, 3804
Sree Mohun Ghuttuck. In the matter of	13 Moore's L. A., 343	265
Sreemulmoney Bebee v. Goberdhone Bermono	2 Ind. Jur., N. S. 319	3425
Sreemunchunder Dey v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 Moore's } I. A., 28. }	299, 300 477, 6127 877, 4320
Sreemunjuree Dossee v. Poorusuttum Doss	9 W. R., 499	3979
Sreemunt Dutt v. Krishnanath Roy	25 W. R., 10	83
Sreemunt Koondoo v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee	8 W. R., 197	1784, 4752
Sreemunt Kowar v. Akbur Mundul	8 W. R., 438	1467, 5367
Sreemunt Lall Ghose v. Shama Soonduree Dassee	12 W. R., 276	4526
Sreemunto Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10	4508
Sreemunto Duloui v. Ramchand Aduck	5 W. R., Cr., 57	3245
Sreemunto Hajrah v. Tajooddeen	21 W. R., 409	2928
Sreemunto Koondoo v. Brijonath Paul Chowdhry	16 W. R., 296	5271
Sreemunto Puramanick v. Abhoy Churn Manna	11 W. R., 297	56, 5351
Sreemunt Ram Dey v. Kookoor Chand	15 W. R., 481	4115
Sreemunt Ram Totadar v. Ram Kishen Sen	22 W. R., 387	5694
Sreemunt Sen v. Gorai Gazez	18 W. R., 199	4157
Sreemutty v. Lukhee Narain Dutt	22 W. R., 171	5340
Sreenarain Bagchee v. Smith	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 807: 4 C. } L. R., 148. }	2467
Sree Narain Berah v. Goro Pershad Berah	6 W. R., 219	2661
Sreenarain Bysack. In re	2 Hyde, 180	6105
Sreenarain Chuckerbutty v. Miller	15 W. R., O. C., 7	2603
_____ v. _____	5 B. L. R., 254, note	3511
Sreenarain Mitter v. Dheraj Mahtab Chund	17 W. R., 72	1411, 2112
_____ v. Kishen Soondery Dassee	11 B. L. R., 171: L. R., I. } A., Sup. Vol., 149. }	2113
_____ v. Mahatab Chand	3 W. R., 3	220
Sreenath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 221	6277
_____ v. Doorgadoss Nundy	9 W. R., 216	1034
_____ v. Furum Sookh Chunder	25 W. R., 105	4050
Sreenath Bhuttacharjee v. Ram Comul Gangooly	3 W. R., P. C., 43: 10 Moore's } I. A., 220. }	4753
Sreenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose	9 W. R., 473	3841
_____ v. Foglian Mollah	17 W. R., 374	1614
_____ v. Radhanath Bose	12 C. L. R., 370	3166
Sreenath Chatterjee v. Kylash Chunder Chatterjee	21 W. R., 248	175
Sreenath Chowdhry v. Grey	13 W. R., 114	879, 5232
_____ v. Kritattomoyee Dossee	18 W. R., 286	5123, 5132
Sreenath Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder Bundo- padhyaya	1 C. L. R., 453	1186
Sreenath Churn Dass v. Dwarkanath Ghose	14 W. R., 318	4829
Sreenath Churn Soor v. Nilkanto Dey	22 W. R., 309	4782
Sreenath Doss. In the goods of	20 W. R., 440	1237
Sreenath Dutt v. Dwary Dhallia	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2	5668
_____ v. Nandkishore Doss	5 W. R., 208	4312
_____ v. Ramgopal Chatterjee	11 W. R., 108	5117
_____ v. Unnoda Churn Dutt	23 W. R., Cr., 34	5946
Sreenath Ghosal v. Bissonath Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 10: } 5 W. R., 100. }	3123
Sreenath Ghose. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 33	1045

Name of Case.	Volume and I	Column of Digest.
Sreenath Ghose v. Rajchunder Paul . . .	8 W. R., 171 . . .	172, 371
_____ v. Sahib Ram Roy . . .	12 B. L. R., 504, note: 12 W. R., 304.	1924
Sreenath Ghossal v. Joy Narain Kavar . . .	3 W. R., Act X, 11 . . .	4316
Sreenath Goocho v. Yusoof Khan . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 556: 9 C. R., 334.	793
Sreenath Holdar v. Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 4 . . .	5323
Sreenath Koondoo v. Huree Narain Mudduck	7 W. R., 399 . . .	81
Sreenath Kur. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 450: 10 C. R., 421.	2759
Sreenath Lall v. Jumneyjoy Mullick . . .	Marsh, 550: 2 Hay, 649 . . .	4209
Sreenath Mookerjee v. Komul Kurmocar	16 W. R., 83 . . .	5277, 5650
_____ v. White . . .	13 W. R., 126 . . .	4266
Sreenath Mundle v. Sreeram Rajput . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 62 . . .	981, 5154
Sreenath Nag v. Chunder Nath Ghose . . .	17 W. R., 192 . . .	1708
Sreenath Nag Mozoomdar v. Mon Mohinee Dossia	6 W. R., 35 . . .	143, 2342
Sreenath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., 405 . . .	4442
_____ v. Ainooddeen Shaha . . .	25 W. R., 103 . . .	581
_____ v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia . . .	20 W. R., 112 . . .	146, 2023
_____ v. Cally Doss Ghose . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 82 . . .	2815, 2828
_____ v. Goluck Chunder Sein . . .	15 W. R., 348 . . .	1197, 6240
_____ v. Radhanath Mookerjee . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 773 . . .	206
_____ v. Ross . . .	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 13 . . .	4604
Sreenath Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 462 . . .	5829
Sreenath Singh Chowdhry v. Hurreeprea . . .	10 W. R., 449 . . .	6141
Sreenath Thakoor v. Watson . . .	4 W. R., Mis., 4 . . .	5588
Sreenabash Roy v. Umbika Churn Roy . . .	12 W. R., 375 . . .	4436
Sreenibash Laek v. Prosunno Coomar Mitter . . .	25 W. R., 218 . . .	1456
Sreepershad v. Rajgooroo Treembuknath Deo	10 W. R., 44 . . .	3158
Sreepershad Surmah Bhuttacharjee v. Shuroopa Dossia	9 W. R., 452 . . .	5383
Sreeputty Mirdha v. Kartick Singha . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 10: 11 C. L. R., 181.	903
Sreeputty Roy v. Ioharam Roy . . .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 687: 7 W. R., 384.	5672
Sreeram v. Hokoom Singh . . .	2 N. W., 467 . . .	4760
Sreeram Bhuttacharjee v. Puddomookhee Debia	9 W. R., 152 . . .	2413, 2424
Sreeram Chandra Lerkan v. Bipindaas . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 710 . . .	2029
Sreeram Chatterjee v. Lakhun Magilla . . .	Marsh, 379: 2 Hay, 427 . . .	1641, 1659
Sree Ram Chowdhry v. Denobundhoo Chowdhry .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 490: 9 C. L. R., 147.	178
Sreeram Chunder Banerjee v. Mudhoo Soodun Roy	24 W. R., 17 . . .	218
Sreeram Ghose v. Molook Chand Deb . . .	4 W. R., Act X, 3 . . .	1636
_____ v. Sreenath Dutt Chowdhry . . .	7 W. R., 451 . . .	2356
Sreeram Hazrah v. Gyaram Hatee . . .	11 W. R., 507 . . .	3870
Sreeram Roy Chowdhry v. Kolemooddee Mollah	9 W. R., 477 . . .	4758
Sreesah Chunder Doss v. Assimonissa . . .	7 W. R., 234 . . .	1654
Sreesheedhur Shaha v. Woomeshnath Roy . . .	5 W. R., Mis., 1 . . .	2708
Sreestee Dhur Chuckerbutty v. Sreenath Biswas	18 W. R., 332 . . .	878
Sreestee Dhur Dey v. Doorga Narain Nag . . .	17 W. R., 462 . . .	568
Sri Bullo Bhattacharji v. Baburam Chattopadhy	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 169 . . .	167, 5733
Srichand v. Nim Chand Sahu . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 13 W. R., 337.	1168
Sridhar Nandi v. Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 211: 14 W. R., 286, note.	4151
Srihari Mandal v. Jadunath Ghose . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10 W. R., 169.	2742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Srihary Mundul v. Murari Chowdhry	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 257	3116
Srikant Bhattacharji v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee	6 C. L. R., 242	1993
Srikant Dey v. Huri Das Pal	11 C. L. R., 131	2774
Srikant Roy v. Satcori Shaha	3 C. L. R., 181	5660
Srikhanti Narayanappa v. Indupuram Ramalingam	3 Mad., 226	4272
Sri Kishen v. Huri Kishen	5 Moore's I. A., 432	1729
Srikishen v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., } 12 I. A., 142.	2070
Srinarayan Mitter v. Krishna Sundari Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 279 : 11 W. } R., 196.	2112
Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 606	543
Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar	8 B. L. R., 240, note : 10 W. } R., 467.	3013, 5317
Srinath Das v. Park Pittar	5 B. L. R., 550 : 14 W. R., } O. C., 41.	3319
Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 511 : 12 C. } L. R., 445.	410
Srinath Gangopadhyaya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy	4 B. L. R., F. B., 3 : 12 W. } R., F. B., 14.	3364, 3399
_____ v. Sarbamangala Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 144 : 10 W. } R., 488.	2268, 2496
Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry	9 B. L. R., 220 : 18 W. R., } 240.	5316
Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 934 : 13 C. } L. R., 372.	3400
Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar	4 B. L. R., Ap., 99 : 13 W. } R., 309.	3511
Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry	5 B. L. R., Ap., 10	304
Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42	3595
_____ v. Ponnambalam	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376	102
_____ v. Venkatramana	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 121	3349
Srinivasa Ayyangar v. Kuppan Ayyangar	1 Mad., 180	2138, 2288
_____ v. Peria Tambi Nayakar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 420	240
_____ v. Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 393	906, 4353
_____ v. Rengasami Ayyangar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 304	2300
Srinivasa Bhatta v. Malayachan Mannadi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 211	4348
Srinivasachari v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 336	2032
Srinivasa Chetti v. Nunjunda Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 174	3046
Srinivasammal v. Vijayammal	2 Mad., 37	2534
Srinivasa Nayudu v. Yelaya Nayudu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 251	2405
Srinivasa Rau Saheb v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 226	4572
Srinivasa Sastri v. Seshayyengar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 37	4775
Srinivasayyar v. Laksmamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 206	5265
Srinivassa Chariyar v. Venkatasa Naikar	4 Mad., 440	114
Srinivassiyengar v. Srinivassaranga Chariyar	4 Mad., 10	3414
Srinivas Udpirav v. Reid	9 Bom., 266	2855
Srinop v. Empress	12 C. L. R., 226	346
Sriongo Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol 13 : Marsh. 527 : W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Sripoti Churn Dey v. Mohip Narain Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 643 : 13 C. } L. R., 119.	4004
Sri Prasad v. Raj Guru Triambuknath Deo	6 B. L. R., 555 : 14 W. R. } 386.	2391, 6096
Sri Ram v. Bhagirath Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 227	4833
Sriram Biswas v. Juggernath Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 187 : 5 W. R., Act X, 45.	563

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sriram Chunder Halder. In the matter of the petition of.	20 W. R., Cr., 65 . . .	505
Sriram Chunder Halder v. Chairman of the Howrah Municipality.		
Sriram Ghatak v. Brajamohan Ghosal . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41 : 11 W. R., 449.	881
Sriramulu v. Ramayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 15 . . .	2126, 2129
—		2134
Srish Chunder Sircar Chowdhry v. Shib Narain Pal . . .	22 W. R., 466	5422
Srishteedhur Biswas v. Mudan Sirdar	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 648 . . .	5196
Srishteedhur Bose v. Shama Churn Ghose	14 W. R., 53	554, 5661
Srishteedhur Mundul v. Gobind Suruckar	6 W. R., Act X, 15	5320
Sristeedhur Bhuttacharjee v. Kaleedoss Dey	24 W. R., 479	1449
Sristeedhur Paroe v. Indrobhoosun Chuckerbutty . . .	18 W. R., 25	1328
Sristeedhur Samunt v. Romanath Rokhit	6 W. R., 58	3373
Stalkart v. Gopal Panday	12 B. L. R., 197 : 20 W. R., 168.	1169
— v. Lalla Bhurrut Lall	W. R., 1864, Act X, 115 . .	56
Staves v. Dias	10 W. R., 444	6186
Steel v. Ichchannoyi Chowdhraim	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 111 . . .	205
— v. Roberts	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 809 : 8 C. L. R., 439.	355
Stephen. In the goods of	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3 : 10 W. R., 90.	3087
— v. Gasper	1 W. R., 265	3129
— v. Stephen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 714 : 10 C. L. R., 533.	77
— v. —	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 901 : 13 C. L. R., 430.	78
— v. —	10 C. L. R., 536	4254
Stephenson v. Baumgartner	3 Agra, 104	1399, 4250
— v. Unnoda Dossee		6078
—		161, 435
Sterling v. Cochrane	6 W. R., Mis., 18	3540, 5171
Stewart. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 114 . . .	2635
— v. Delhi and London Bank	1 B. L. R., P. C., 55 : 10 W. R., P. C., 43.	454
— v. Scinde, Punjab, and Delhi Railway Company . . .	17 W. R., 201	3105, 4683
Stowell v. Ajudhia Nath	5 B. L. R., 195	928
— v. Billings	I. L. R., 6 All., 255	5433, 5445
Striman Sada Gopa v. Kristna Tatachariyar	I. L. R., 1 All., 350	1704, 3519
Strimathoo Moothoo Vijia Ragoonadah Raneer Kollandapuree Natchiar alias Kattama Natchiar v. Dorasinga Taver.	1 Mad., 301	2849
Strinarasimma Chariyar v. Narasimma Chariyar	15 B. L. R., 83 : 23 W. R., 314 : L. R., 2 I. A., 169.	1413
Strinivasa v. Narayanasami	5 Mad., 183	231
Struthers v. Wheeler	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 1	9591
—	6 C. L. R., 109	912
Studd v. Brij Nundun Pershad Singh	9 C. L. R., 350	5389
Suba Bibi v. Balgobind Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 178	1398
Subad Domni v. Katiram Dome	20 W. R., Cr., 58	3741
Subah Roy v. Baldeo Singh	24 W. R., 225	306
Subba v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83	6269
— v. Trincal	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 460	4486
— v. Venkata	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 9	3586
— v. —	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 21	432, 864
Subba Aitala. In re	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 304	261, 3880

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Subba Chetty v. Masti Immadi	3 Mad., 303	3756
Subbaiya v. Rajesvara Sastrulu	4 Mad., 354	3366, 3367
Subbaiyar v. Kristnaiyar	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 383	4279
Subbaji Rau v. Srinivasa Rau	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 264	2026, 5968
Subbaluvammal v. Ammakutti Ammal	2 Mad., 129	2132
Subbarama v. Eastulu Muttusami	3 Mad., 378	3201, 3300
Subbaramien v. Ponnusawmy Chetty	5 Mad., 298	6222
Subba Rau v. Rama Rau	3 Mad., 376	2830
— v. Virappa	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 162	3773
Subbaraya v. Devandra	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 301	1097
— v. Srinivasa	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 580	3595
Subbaraya Gurukul v. Chellappa Mudali	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 315	5252
Subbaraya Kamti v. Krishua Kamti	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 159	3028
Subbaraya Mudali v. Collector of Chingleput	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 303	{ 2853, 3041
— v. Government	1 Mad., 286	{ 3059
Subbarayana v. Subbakka	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 236	2805, 4253
Subbarayulu Nayak v. Rama Reddi	1 Mad., 141	2418
Subba Venkatara Maiyan v. Subraya Aiyan	4 Mad., 257	2062, 3579
Subbaya v. Buthaya	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 380	3823
— v. Yarlagadda Ankinidu	1 Mad., 255	3564
— v. Yellamma	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 130	41
Subbayya v. Chellamma	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 477	5960
— v. Venkatesappa	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 49	2345, 2534
Subbayyan v. Rупpa Nagasami Ayyan	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 155	4870
Subbiem Pillay v. Arnachala Irungol Pillay	5 Mad., 444	5389
Subbiramaniya Ayyan v. Velayuda Devar	1 Mad., 212	6176
Subbramaniam Mudaliar v. Kaliani Ammal	7 Mad., 226	5702
Subbramaniya Pillay v. Perumal Chetty	4 Mad., 251	{ 2429, 3372
Subbramanyian v. Ganapathi	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 123	{ 214, 3597
Subbroya Oodian v. Queen	5 Mad., 326	5117
Subbu v. Vasanthappan	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 351	5217
Subbu Hegadi v. Tongu	4 Mad., 196	1967
Subbuvian. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 233	3585
Subdul v. Buldeo	1. L. R., 3	2435
Subhabhat v. Vasudevhat	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 113	4757
Subhaiyan v. Sankara Subhaiyan	2 Mad., 347	4908
Subhagi v. Muhammad Ishak	1. L. R., 6 All., 463	3898
Subhan Ali v. Sufdar Ali	24 W. R., 227	3365
Subhanji bin Bahirji v. Bhavanav bin Anandrav	1 Bom., 173	4542
Subharaya Mudali v. Queen	4 Mad., 81	3526
Subjan Bibi v. Sariutulla	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 : 12 W. R., 329.	{ 1560, 5091 802, 811 836, 1363 1385, 1931 5431
Subjan Ostagur. In the matter of the petition of	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 531 : 6 W. R., Mis., 77.	{ 5918
Suboorun v. Golam Nujee	2 W. R., 55	3253
Subramania Ayyan v. Venkata Rayar	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 254	4140
Subramania Ghanapati v. Queen	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 261	5996
Subramanian Chetti v. Prince of Arcot	1. L. R., 2 Mad., 146	5676
Subramanian Pattar v. Panjamma Kunjamma	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 324	1869
Subramaniya Ayyar v. Ramachandra Rau	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 335	3233
Subramaniyayyan v. Subramaniyayyan	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 125	5387
Subramanya v. Appasami	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 355	218
— v. Ponnusami	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 92	2479

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.
Subramanya v. Gnanasambantha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374
— v. Rajaram	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 573
— v. Sadasiva	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 75
— v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 361
Subramanya Ayyar v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 396
Subramanyan. Ex parte	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 187
— v. Mandayan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 453
Subraya Gounden v. Venkatagiri Aiyar	6 Mad., 22
Subraya Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai	3 Mad., 71
— v. Subraya Mudali	4 Mad., 14
Subupalayi Ammal v. Appakutti Aiyangar	3 Mad., 106
Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo v. Lotf Ali Khan	14 W. R., 339
Suda Nund v. Dwarka Singh	2 N. W., 194
Sudanund Mohapattur v. Bonomalee Doss	Marsh., 317 : 2 Hay, 205
— v. Soorjoo Monee Dayee	11 W. R., 436
— v. Soorjoomonee Debee	8 W. R., 455
Sudaram Majee v. Mirtunjoy Dey	12 W. R., 509
Sudder Golab Singh v. Ram Buddul Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1 : W. R., Act X, 28.
Suddurtonnessa v. Majada Khatoun	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 694 : 2 C. L. } R., 308. }
Sudduruddeen Sircar v. Ramjoy Mojomdar	14 W. R., Cr., 51
Suddye Purira v. Boistub Purira	12 B. L. R., 84, note : 15 W. } R., 261. }
Suderooddeen v. Huronath Sein	8 W. R., 87
Sudharam Patar v. Sudharam	3 B. L. R., A. C., 91 : 11 W. } R., 457. }
Sudindra v. Budan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 80
Sudisht Lal v. Sheobarat Koer	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 245 : L. R., 8 } I. A., 39. }
Sudukhina Chowdhrair v. Raj Mohan Bose	3 B. L. R., A. C., 377
Sudurooddeen v. Bhetoo Pulee	5 W. R., Act X, 70
Sudurooddeen Mahomed. In the matter of	7 W. R., 316
Sufatoollah. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 49
Sufdar Reza v. Amzad Ali	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 703 : 10 C. } L. R., 121. }
Sufdur Ali v. Woolfut Ali	3 W. R., 232
Sufdur Ali Khan v. Joo Narain Singh	16 W. R., 161
Sufeehun v. Mahomed Hubeboollah Khan	8 W. R., 379
Sufeoollah Sircar v. Begum Bibee	25 W. R., 219
Suffer Ali v. Futeh Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 2
Suffer Ali Khan v. Golan Hyder Khan	6 W. R., Cr., 60
Sufferoonissa Beebee v. Gholam Hossein Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Mis., 2
Sufferuddin v. Ibrahim	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 754
Suffuroonisa Bibee v. Noorul Hossein	17 W. R., 419
Sufi Sufilla v. Official Trustee of Bengal	L. R., 8 I. A., 197
Sufroo Shaikh Durjee v. Futeh Shaikh Durjee	15 W. R., 505
Sufur Ali v. Moh-sh Chunder Kaug	4 W. R., Mis., 16
Sufuroonissa v. Sree Dhoopee	8 W. R., 384
Suganehand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharimal	2 Bom., 270

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Suganchand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharimal	12 Bom., 113	1035, 2105 2576, 2819
Sugeeram Begum v. Juddobuns Suhaye	9 W. R., 284	3089
Sugra Bibi v. Masuma Bibi	1 L. R., 2 All., 573	2206
Suhai v. Bishun Dyal	1 Agra, 269	3640
Suhodara Bibee v. Mohendro Nath Bose	2 W. R., 271	1093
Suhoodera v. Ram Rutton Tewaree	Marsh., 3 : 1 Hay, 24	3397
Suhuj Narain Sahee v. Ram Pershad Misser	21 W. R., 146	1812
Sujan Singh v. Gungaram	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 337 : L. R., 9 I. A., 58.	3776
Sujawut Ali v. Busheerooddeen	2 W. R., 189	1091
Sujeewun Singh v. Rupal Singh	10 W. R., 351	2255
Sujjad v. Sahit Ali	3 Agra, 140	628
Sujjad Ali v. Bholaram	5 N. W., 24	3278
Sujun Koer v. Heitoo	1 N. W., 165 : Ed. 1873, 244	5745
Sujun Koonwer v. Pirbhoo Lall	2 Agra, Pt. II, 211	1187, 1755
Sukee Monee Debia v. Huree Mohun Mookerjee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 6	1394
Sukeenaah Banoo v. Huro Churn Buruj	6 W. R., 34	5656
Sukhai v. Daryai	1 L. R., 1 All., 374	2235
Sukhawat Ali v. Kesho Tewaree	6 N. W., 208	5480
Sukhawatoollah v. Puthoo Goldar	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	3872
Sukhawut Hossein v. Dhajah Roy	2 Hay, 597	5
Sukhbasi Lal v. Guman Singh	1 L. R., 2 All., 366	5268
Sukhdaik Misr v. Karini Chaudhri	1 L. R., 3 All., 521	2148
Sukhdeo Rai v. Sheo Ghulam	1 L. R., 4 All., 382	2863, 5085
Sukhee Monee Debia v. Brojoraj Mookerjee	17 W. R., 69	5446
Sukhimani Dasi v. Mahendra Nath Dutt	4 B. L. R., P. C., 16	1923
Sukhi Mani Haldar v. Gunga Gobind Mundle	W. R., 1864, Act X, 126	1697
Sukh Nandan v. Renniek	1 L. R., 4 All., 193	1641
Sukhnandan Lal. In the matter of the petition of	1 L. R., 6 All., 163	5902
Sukho v. Durga Prasad	1 L. R., 2 All., 448	269
Sukho Bibi v. Ram Sukh Das	1 L. R., 5 All., 263	5148
Sukoomut Bibee v. Warris Ali	22 W. R., 400	3339
Sukram v. Kala Kahar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 105	3732
Sukrit Narain Lal v. Raghunath Sahai	1 L. R., 7 All., 445	1392, 4475
Sukry Kurdeppa v. Goondakull Nagireddi	6 Mad., 71	2650
Sule v. Dhundiraj Venayak	3 Bom., A. C., 55	4772
Suleman Kadr v. Dorab Ali Khan	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 1 : L. R., 8 I. A., 117.	56
Suleman Vadu v. Trikamji Velji	12 Pom., 10	3679, 6218
Sultan Akeni Sahib v. Bava Malimiyar	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 295	6153
Sultan Ahmad v. Maula Bakhsh	1 L. R., 4 All., 21	164
Sultan Chand v. Smyth	12 B. L. R., 358 : 21 W. R., 221.	5043
Sultanji T. Patil Sirvale v. Raghunath R. Marathe	2 Bom., 48 : 2nd Ed., 45	5900
Sultan Kuar v. Gulzari Lal	1 L. R., 2 All., 290	2396
Sumar Ahmed v. Ismail Haji Habib	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 158	411
Sumarmal Joharimal v. Nahanubai	7 Bom., O. C., 183	1474, 4522
Sumat Das. In the matter of the petition of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 27	424
Sumbhonauth Ghose v. Jodoonauth Chatterjee	Cor., 88	203, 1983
Sumbhoo Chunder Chowdhry v. Naraini Debia	5 W. R., P. C., 100	2111, 2574
Sumbhoo Chunder Khan v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 24	2139
Sumbhoo Chunder Shaha v. Baroda Soonduree Debea	5 W. R., 45	663
Sumbhoo Lall Girdhur Lall v. Collector of Surat	8 Moore's I. A., 1 : 4 W. R., P. C., 55.	632
		4634

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sumboo Chunder Holder. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 1860
Sumboonauth Ghose v. Juddoonauth Chatterjee	2 Hyde, 259	5897
Sumeena Bebee v. Koylash Chunder Koy	6 W. R., Act X, 79	1577, 2572
Sumeera Khatoon v. Gopal Lall Tagore	1 W. R., 58	525
Sumeeroodeen Lushkur v. Huronath Roy	1 W. R., 58	4147
Summun Jha v. Bhooput Jha	2 W. R., Act X, 93	1617
Sumrun Thakur v. Chundermun Misser	18 W. R., 498	4311
Sumundra Koonwar v. Kalee Churn Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 17: 9 C. L. } R., 415. }	2471
Sundar v. Khuman Singh	13 W. R., 199	2447
Sundara v. Subbanna	I. L. R., 1 All., 614	2870
Sundaram v. Sankara	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 354	1493
Sundaramurti Mudali v. Vallinayakki Ammal	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 334	1180, 3289
Sundar Das v. Mansa Ram	1 Mad., 465	4716
Sundardas Jagjivandas v. Mohandas Ticundas	I. L. R., 7 All., 407	5591
Sundardas Mulji. Ex parte	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 454	1886, 5957
Sundar Jagjivan v. Gopal Eshvant	10 Bom., 58	655
Sundar Lal v. Yakub Ali	4 Bom., A. C., 68	2665
Sunder Bahu v. Monohur Lall Upadhya	I. L. R., 6 All., 362	4828, 6144
Sunder Dass. In re	10 C. L. R., 79	5387
Sundhya Mala v. Dabi Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 42	2464, 2471
Sundhyamula v. Devi Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 715	5423
Sundram Chetti v. Queen	9 C. L. R., 216	5005
Sundraraja Ayyangar v. Jaganada Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203	5005
Sundriah v. Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 111	4099, 5262
Sungara Narayana Pillay v. Sandira Pillay	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 254	5269
Sungram Singh v. Juggun Singh	6 Mad., 13	2169
Sungut Lal v. Baijnath Roy	2 N. W., 18	1320
Sunj Baree v. Payag Patuk	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 164	810
Sunker Lall v. Juddoobuns Suhaye	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 5: Ed. } 1873, 65. }	5685
Sunkur Bharti Swami v. Sidha Lingayah Charanti	9 W. R., 285	342, 2729
Sunkur Dobey v. Obhoy Churn Mohaputtur	6 W. R., P. C., 39: 3 Moore's } I. A., 198. }	1678
Sunkur Dyal Singh v. Purmessur Dyal Singh	12 W. R., 385	1407, 1751
Sunkur Lall Pattuck Gyawal v. Ramkalee Dhamin	6 W. R., 44	5244
Sunkur Pershad v. Goury Pershad	18 W. R., 104	4852
Sunkur Sahoy v. Sheo Pershad Sookool	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 321	4991
Sunkur Singh v. Huree Mohun Thakoor	16 W. R., 270	5669, 5701
Sunnooburnessa Khanum v. Meher Chund	22 W. R., 460	5747
Sunnud Ali v. Kurimoonissa	W. R., 1864, 313	2352, 2374
Sunoo Khan v. Oodea	9 W. R., 124	3292
Sunraj Kuari v. Ambika Prasad Singh	2 Agra, 140	4820
Sunt Lall Misser v. Bhurosee Issur	I. L. R., 6 All., 14	568
Suntokhee Thakoor v. Belassee Koonwur	18 W. R., 57	403
Suntokh Rai v. East Indian Railway Company	10 W. R., 276	3419, 4229
Suntosh Ram Doss v. Geru Pattuck	2 Agra, 200	5215
Suppakon. Ex parte	23 W. R., 22	647
Suppanachari v. Chakkara Pattan	1 Mad., 450	3499
Suput Singh v. Imrit Tewari	1 Mad., 411	50
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 720: 6 C L. R., 62.	3395
		4707
		5096
		1982
		1145, 1146
		1147, 3227

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surahutoonissa Khatoon v. Gyanee Buktoor . . .	11 W. R., 142 . . .	1646
Suraj Bansi Kunwar v. Mahipat Singh . . .	7 B. L. R., 669 : 16 W. R., 18.	1415
Suraj Bansi Koer v. Sheo Persad Singh . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 148 : 4 C. L. R., 226 : L. R., 6 I. A., 88.	2160
Suraj Din v. Chattar . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 755 . . .	4918
Surajpal Singh v. Jairamgir . . .	I. L. R., 7 All., 855 . . .	5689
Suraneni Venkata Gopala Narasimha Roy v. Suraneni Lakshmi Venkama Roy.	3 B. L. R., P. C., 41 : 12 W. R., P. C., 40 : 13 Moore's I. A., 113.	2446, 2449
Suraneny Lakshmy Venkama Row v. Suraneny Venkata Gopala Narasimha Row.	3 Mad., 40 . . .	2446, 2449
Surat Dhobni. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 302 . . .	1832
Suraya v. Lakshminarasamma . . .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 291 . . .	2293, 2294
Surbanund Pandey v. Ruchia Pandey . . .	4 W. R., Act X, 32 . . .	3810
Surbeshur Mustofee v. Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty . . .	2 Hay, 81 . . .	2352
Surbessur Dey v. Mahomed Sircar . . .	7 W. R., 243 . . .	545
Surbessur Methoor v. Gossain Doss Methoor . . .	17 W. R., 210 . . .	2358
Surbeswar Ghose v. Choto Arizollah Mandal . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 78 : 17 W. R., 213.	5754
Surbhai Dayalji v. Raghunathji Vasanji . . .	10 Bom., 397 . . .	3150
Surbo Chunder Doss v. Woomanund Roy . . .	11 W. R., 412 . . .	530, 5687
Surbo Mohun Roy v. Surut Chunder Roy . . .	16 W. R., 34 . . .	5812
Surbomungala Dass v. Shashibhooshun Biswas . . .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 413 . . .	4670
Surbomungola Dabee v. Mohendronath Nath . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508 . . .	6201
Surbomungula Dossee v. Suttish Chunder Roy . . .	2 W. R., 231 . . .	1592
Surdar Khan v. Chundoo . . .	1 Agra, 228 . . .	3281
Surdharee Lall v. Girindur Chunder Ghose . . .	1 C. L. R., 475 . . .	3517
— v. Laboo Moodee . . .	25 W. R., 500 . . .	3720, 3722
Surdharee Loll v. Mansoor Ally Khan . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 298 . . .	2103
Sunder Nath Pal Chowdhry v. Brojonath Pal Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 352 . . .	1737, 4967
Surendra Nath Banerjee v. Chief Justice and Judges of the High Court, Calcutta.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 109 . . .	1043
Surendranath Roy. In the matter of the petition of . . .	5 B. L. R., 274 : 13 W. R., Cr., 27.	968, 6182
— v. Hiramani Barmani . . .	1 B. L. R., P. C., 26 : 10 W. R., 35 : 12 Moore's I. A., 81.	2230, 2284 2285, 2537
Surfoji v. Kamakshiamba . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 452 . . .	671
Surfraz Khan v. Tasawur Ali . . .	2 Agra, 253 . . .	1766
Surgee. In the matter of . . .	3 C. L. R., 281 . . .	3609
Suri Bhatta v. Sitarama Bhatta . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 195 . . .	13
Suriutollah Chowdhry v. Madur Bux Chowdhry . . .	W. R., 1864, 363 . . .	1380
Suriya Rau v. Raja of Pittapur . . .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 499 : L. R., 13 I. A., 97.	2118
Surjanarain Dass. In the matter of the petition of . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 88 . . .	2897
Surjan Chowdhry v. Imambandi Begum . . .	6 B. L. R., 506, note : 12 W. R., 527.	3978
Surjan Singh v. Jagan Nath Singh . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 313 . . .	4001
Surjokant Nundi v. Mohesh Chunder Dutt . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 70 . . .	2289
Surjo Narain Ghose v. Hurri Narain Mollo . . .	1 C. L. R., 547 . . .	1781
Surjoo Pershad v. Kashee Rawut . . .	21 W. R., 121 . . .	535, 6102
Surju Prasad v. Bhawani Sahai . . .	I. L. R., 2 All., 481 . . .	4782
— v. Mansur Ali Khan . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 463 . . .	3903
Surju Prasad Singh v. Khwahish Ali . . .	I. L. R., 4 All., 512 . . .	3164

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
<i>Surjya Narain Singh v. Sirdhary Lall</i>	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 825 : 12 C. } L. R., 400. }	2499
<i>Surm Rae v. Ubhman Rae</i>	2 N. W., 209	1030, 5785
<i>Surmust Khan v. Kadir Dad Khan</i>	1 Agra, F. B., 39 : Ed. 1874, } 29. }	1149
<i>Surno Moyee v. Adoito Churn Roy</i>	Marsh., 605	1583, 1659
— <i>v. Blumhardt</i>	9 W. R., 552	1585, 1593
— <i>v. Bykunt Chunder Mustofee</i>	25 W. R., 17	4290, 6275
— <i>v. Collector of Rungpore</i>	W. R., F. B., 4 : Marsh., 13 : } 1 Hay, 37. }	3404, 5111
— <i>v. Dinonath Gir Sunnyasee</i>	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 908 : 13 C. } L. R., 69. }	2997
— <i>v. Doorga Monee Dossee</i>	10 W. R., 335	4424
— <i>v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.</i>	20 W. R., 276	42
— <i>v. Luchmeeput Doogur</i>	9 W. R., 338	476, 5754
— <i>v. ———</i>	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 694 : 7 } W. R., 52, 512. }	3091
— <i>v. Poolin Behary Mundul</i>	3 C. L. R., 15	4408
— <i>v. Ramchurn Singh</i>	3 Agra, 251	4301
— <i>v. Shoshee Mokhee Burmonia</i>	12 Moore's I. A., 244 : 11 W. } R., P. C., 5. }	550, 4657 6044
— <i>v. Shoshi Mookhi Burmonia</i>	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258	553
— <i>v. Singhroop Bebee</i>	W. R., 1864, Act X, 134	2989, 3129
— <i>v. Suttees Chunder Roy</i>	3 W. R., P. C., 14 : 8 Moore's } I. A., 164, 165, 166. }	4638
— <i>v. ———</i>	10 Moore's I. A., 123	5356
— <i>v. Watson & Co.</i>	20 W. R., 276	42
<i>Surnomoyee Dabee v. Purresh Narain Roy</i>	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 576	5293
<i>Surnomoyee Dassya v. Land Mortgage Bank of India</i> {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 173 : 8 C. } L. R., 341. }	5322
<i>Surnomoyee Debia v. Gunga Gobind Roy</i>	2 W. R., 264	1701
<i>Surnomoyee Dossee v. Khan Mundul</i>	9 W. R., 270	1614
— <i>v. Kishen Koomaree</i>	14 W. R., 324	2708
<i>Surnomoyi v. Johur Mahomed Nashyo</i>	10 C. L. R., 545	1639, 1745
<i>Suroop Chunder Chowdhry v. Nimchand Chuckerbutty</i>	13 W. R., 284	2752
<i>Suroop Chunder Hazra v. Troyluckonath Roy</i>	9 W. R., 230	1144
<i>Suroop Chunder Mitter v. Dhonaye Biswas</i>	23 W. R., 103	3010
<i>Suroop Chunder Mozoomdar v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.</i>	Marsh., 334 : 2 Hay, 468	1992
<i>Suroop Chunder Nag v. Bonomalee Pundit</i>	9 W. R., 116	4002
<i>Suroop Chunder Patre v. Jadoo Moytee</i>	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7	5708
<i>Suroop Chunder Roy v. Goluck Chunder Dhur</i>	14 W. R., 477	3480
— <i>v. Mohender Chunder Roy</i>	22 W. R., 539	3821
<i>Suroop Manna v. Bonomalee Churn Mytee</i>	15 W. R., 240	1646
<i>Suroop Ram Deb v. Gobind Ram Deb</i>	7 W. R., 13	353
<i>Suroop Sirdar v. Beer Chunder Manikhya</i>	25 W. R., 370	5056
<i>Surossutty Dossee v. Umbika Nund Biswas</i>	24 W. R., 192	5761
<i>Surot Soondry Dabee v. Onwar Persad Narain Dey</i>	12 B. L. R., 207, note	811
<i>Surratoolla v. Dasee Beehee</i>	1 W. R., 66	2499
<i>Surreshoollah v. Ram Coomar Goopta</i>	25 W. R., 556	2989
<i>Suroop Chunder Sircar Chowdry v. Ramrutton Mullick</i>	1 Moore's I. A., 358	4644
<i>Surrut Soondery Dabee v. Watson</i>	11 W. R., 25	1180
<i>Surta v. Ganga</i>	I. L. R., 7 All., 411	233, 1494 5950
— <i>v. ———</i>	I. L. R., 7 All., 875	1494, 5950
<i>Surubjeet Narain Singh v. Gouree Pershad Narain Singh</i>	7 W. R., 269	354, 360 376, 384

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surub Narain Chowdhry v. Shew Gobind Pandey	11 B. L. R., Ap., 28	2190
Surubsookh v. Usgur Ally Khan	2 Agra, Pt. II, 180	826
Suruj Mookhi Konwar v. Bhagwati Konwar	10 C. L. R., 377	142
Surut Chunder Chatterjee v. Ashootosh Chatterjee	24 W. R., 46	2077
Surutram Bhaya v. G. I. P. Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 96	4709
Surut Soondari Debya v. Prangobind Moozomdar	5 C. L. R., 262	1662
Surut Soonduree Debia v. Rajendur Kishore Roy Chowdhry	9 W. R., 125	1736, 1810
Surut Soonduree Debia v. Sumeerodeen Talookdar	22 W. R., 530	5122
Surwan Hossein Khan v. Gholam Mahomed	9 W. R., 170	5305
Surwar Hossein Khan v.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 879	3378
Surwun Singh. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149	3378
Suryaji v. Tukaram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 358	2033
Suryanna v. Durgi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 258	1518
Suryaprasaka Rau v. Vaisya Sannyasi Razu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 401	3261
Sushee Mohun Shaha Chowdhry v. Tara Purshad Mo-joomdar	25 W. R., 478	5733, 5956
Sushteesbur Mookerjee v. Mackenzie	2 W. R., Act X, 76	1055
Susman Gossain v. Ram Churn Bhukut	5 W. R., Mis., 48	2794
Sustee Churn Dey v. Ishan Chunder	22 W. R., 383	685
Sutees Chunder Roy v. Gunes Chunder	3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's } I. A., 164, 165, 166. }	1597
Sutherland. In the matter of	9 W. R., 478	4638
— In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 229	4743
— v. Crowdy	18 W. R., Cr., 11	4488, 4489
— v. Shaw	Bourke, A. O. C., 92	4494, 4497
— v. Singhee Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808	4488, 4489
Sutrooghun Dutt v. Brojogopal Ghose	3 W. R., 143	4494, 4497
Sutroogun Satputty v. Sabitra Dye	5 W. R., P. C., 109	144
Sutteeschunder Roy v. Modhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 91	2109, 2147
Sutti Churn Ghosal v. Mudden Kishore Indoo	5 Moore's I. A., 107	527, 5304
Sutto Bhama Dossea v. Jameeruddy Khan	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 12	4641
Sutto Churn Ghosal v. Bhyrub Chunder Brohmo	9 W. R., 565	6082
— v. Gouree Pershad Roy	13 W. R., 117	3507
— v. Mohesh Chunder Mitter	3 W. R., 178	525
— v. Obhoy Nund Doss	2 W. R., Act X, 31	4151, 5357
— v. Suroop Chunder Doss	12 W. R., 465	2752, 3011
— v. Tarinee Churn Ghose	3 W. R., 178	4866, 4892
Sutto Surn Ghosal v. Dhone Kristno Sircar	1 W. R., 88	4409, 4433
Sutto Surrin Ghosal v. Mohesh Chunder Mitter	12 Moore's I. A., 263: 11 W. } R., P. C., 10. }	4151, 5357
— v. Tarinee Chunder Ghose	12 W. R., 154	1567, 1736
— v. Tarinee Churn Ghose	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 388	1581, 3067
Suttya Ghosal v. Suttayanund Ghosal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 556	5357
Suttya Krishna Ghosal. In the goods of	15 W. R., 474	5135
Suttayanund Ghosaul v. Huro Kishore Dutt	14 W. R., 76	3745
Suttya Nundo Ghosaul v. Suroop Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 55: 6 C. L. } R., 375. }	3036
Sutyabhama Dasse v. Krishna Chunder Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 44	1593
Suyeedun v. Zuhoor Hossein	2 Mad., 158	3818
Svami Nayudu v. Subramania Mudali	1 Mad., 105	1679, 3032
Svamiyar Pillai v. Chokkalingam Pillai	3 B. L. R., A. C., 270	4019
Swarnamayi v. Gauri Prasad Das	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113: 13 } W. R., F. B., 15. }	3769, 4069
— v. Pratap Chandra Burua		5268
		1641, 2934
		3825

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Swarnamayi v. Shashi Mukhi Barmani	{ 2 B. L. R., P. C., 10: 11 W. R., P. C., 5: 12 Moore's I. A., 244. }	550, 4657 6044
Swarnamayi Raur v. Srinibash Koyal	6 B. L. R., 144	2737, 4136 6002
Swayne v. Brojo Mobun Roy	1 Hay, 297	3792
Syam Singh v. Baidyanath Rai	13 C. L. R., 176	1107, 1941
Syedun v. Allah Ahmed	W. R., 1864, 327	3669, 6083
— v. Velayet Ali Khan	17 W. R., 239	3689
Syfoollah v. Bhutton alias Buttessur	10 W. R., 68	34
Syfoollah Khan v. Forbes	25 W. R., 55	572
Syfoolla Khan v. Kalee Pershad Sahoo	20 W. R., 256	1626
Syefun v. Rudder Sohay	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 582	4170
Sylhet and Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
—	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945
Syud Khan v. Jumal Bibee	5 W. R., Mis., 19	3509
Syudoonissa v. Feda Hossein	12 W. R., 182	5011
T		
Tabbur Singh v. Motee Singh	8 W. R., 306	3495, 3526
— v. —	9 W. R., 443	3495, 3497 3526
Tabira v. Government	6 W. R., 123	40
Tadiya v. Hasanebiyari	6 Mad., 9	3650
Tafuzzal Hossein Khan v. Bahadur Singh	{ 7 B. L. R., 706, note: 11 W. R., 205. }	3542
Tahal v. Bieheshar	I. L. R., 8 All., 57	5814
Taig Ali v. Golab Chowdhree	3 Agra, 314	4015
Taija Mull v. Oomrao	1 Agra, Rev., 64	1643
Taij Singh v. Kousilla	1 Agra, 90	2340
Taj v. Gungapershad	2 Agra, 45	819
Tajammul Husain v. Uda	I. L. R., 3 All., 668	4554
Tajeh Mahomed Purdhan v. Jogendro Deh Roykut	8 W. R., 368	534
Tajim v. Wahed Ali	22 W. R., 118	3656
Tajoo Beebee v. Noorun Beebee	1 W. R., 31	3648
Tajubai v. Sub-Collector of Kolaba	3 Bom., A. C., 132	2936
Tajuddin Khan v. Ram Parshad Bhagat	I. L. R., 1 All., 217	2917
Taj Uldeen Khan v. Ghafoorul-nissa	3 N. W., 341	3141
Takee Ali Khan v. Gool Mahamed Khan	1 N. W., 69: Ed. 1873, 123	4440
Takhuroodeen Mahomed Eshan Chowdhry v. Kurimbux Chowdhry	3 W. R., 20	3141, 5248
Taki Mahomed Mandal v. Krishna Nath Rai	{ 7 B. L. R., 7: 15 W. R., Cr., 53. }	975
Talan Bibi v. Tenu Bibi	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 15 W. R., 65. }	5742
Taleb Hossein v. Ameer Baksh	22 W. R., 529	1063
Taleb Hossein Khan v. Gooroo Pershad Roy	20 W. R., 57	4951
Talemand Singh v. Rukmina	I. L. R., 3 All., 353	2259
Talim Mundal v. Watson & Co.	17 W. R., 94	1376, 4747
Talluri Venkayya v. Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 130	6270
Talub Hossein v. Walker	7 W. R., 470	5623
Tamaonnissa v. Wojjulmonnee Dossee	20 W. R., 72	4247
Tamarashherri Sivithari Andarjanom v. Maranat Vasudevan Nambudripad	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 215	1101, 4234
Tamaya v. Timapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 262	3079

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tameez Begum v. Furhut Hossein . . .	2 N. W., 55 . . .	3733
Tamiz Mandal v. Umid Karigar . . .	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 215 . . .	5541
Tamizuddin Mirdha v. Gaffur Khan . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 40 . . .	5672
Tamizuddin Mulla v. Nyanutulla Sirkar . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note: 11 W. R., 528. . .	5432
Tamizuddin Munshi v. Huro Nath Pal . . .	9 C. L. R., 253 . . .	513
Tammirazu Ramzogi v. Pantina Narsiah . . .	6 Mad., 301 . . .	3362
Tamor Sing v. Kalidas Roy . . .	4 B. L. R., O. C., 51 . . .	751
Tamvaco v. Skinner . . .	1 B. L. R., O. C., 39 . . .	275
— v. — . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221 . . .	1064
Tandavarya Mudali v. Valli Ammal . . .	1 Mad., 398 . . .	2374
Tanji v. Nagamma . . .	3 Mad., 137 . . .	3441
Tanoo. In the matter of . . .	15 W. R., Cr., 11 . . .	1794
Tanuvyan v. Valaganada . . .	1 Mad., 3 . . .	2984
Tapidas Gobindbhai v. B. B. and C. I. Railway Company . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 116 . . .	1380
Taponidi Hordanund Bharati v. Mathura Lal Bhagat . . .	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 499 . . .	3251, 5128
Tara Banu v. Abdul Guffer Chowdhry . . .	12 C. L. R., 486 . . .	3403
Tara Bebee v. Ghasiram . . .	3 C. L. R., 247 . . .	2262
Tarachand v. Reeb Ram . . .	3 Mad., 50 . . .	2216, 2340
— v. Reebam . . .	3 Mad., 177 . . .	1469
Tara Chand Banerjee v. Boistub Churn Bhudro . . .	16 W. R., 196 . . .	781
Tara Chand Biswas v. Nafar Ali Biswas . . .	1 C. L. R., 236 . . .	2697, 5340
— v. Radha Jeebun Mustofee . . .	24 W. R., 148 . . .	3094
— v. Ram Gobind Chowdhry . . .	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 778: 4 C. L. R., 20. . .	2970, 5340
— v. Ram Jeebun Moostafee . . .	22 W. R., 202 . . .	2777, 5331
Tarachand Bose v. Nobeon Chunder Mitter . . .	3 W. R., 138 . . .	2535
Tara Chand Chuckerbutty v. Deb Nath Roy . . .	10 C. L. R., 550 . . .	4665
Tarachand Coondoo Chowdhry. In the goods of . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10: } Bourke, Test., 3. }	4662
Tarach and Dutt v. Wakenoonissa Bibee . . .	7 W. R., 91 . . .	2981, 5313
Tarachand Ghose v. Abdul Ali . . .	8 B. L. R., 24: 16 W. R., O. C., 1. }	3147, 3174
— v. Anand Chandra Chowdhry . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10 W. R., 450. }	3290, 3306
— v. Pudum Lochun Ghose . . .	5 W. R., 249: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 207. }	5622, 5727
— v. Radhamonee Dossee . . .	7 W. R., 469 . . .	2298
Tara Chand Hajrah v. Doorga Churn Hajrah . . .	10 W. R., 205 . . .	5074
Tarachand Megraj v. Kashinath Trimbak . . .	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 62 . . .	825
Tarachand Mookerjee v. Jadoonath Mookerjee . . .	Marsh., 79: 1 Hay., 141 . . .	3494, 6229
Tarachand Mytee v. Nilambur Mundul . . .	3 W. R., 227 . . .	1210
Tarachand Pirchand v. Lakshman Bhabani . . .	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 91 . . .	5073
Tarachand Roy v. Keenaram Kurmocar . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 118 . . .	4864
— v. Nobin Chunder Roy . . .	21 W. R., 132 . . .	1524
Taracharl v. Konadala Ramachandra Reddi . . .	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 152 . . .	6094
Tarachunder Banerjee v. Ameer Mundul . . .	22 W. R., 394 . . .	3529
Tarachund Mundul v. Bhyrur Chunder Chuckerbutty . . .	15 W. R., 551 . . .	2992, 4280
Tarachurn Mookerjee v. Joynarain Mookerjee . . .	8 W. R., 226 . . .	5919
Tarada Baladu v. Queen . . .	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 112 . . .	2345
Taradoss Bhuttacharjee v. Bhaloo Sheikh . . .	8 W. R., Cr., 69 . . .	2763, 5600
Tarafdi Mundul v. Chunder Bhoosun Banerjee . . .	16 W. R., Cr., 74 . . .	100
Tarakant Banmerjee v. Puddmoney Dossee . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 63: 10 } Moore's I. A., 476. }	4488, 4490
Tarakant Bhuttacharjee v. Luckhee Dabea . . .	2 Hay., 8 . . .	2749, 4661
— v. Wise . . .		5404

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tarak Chandra Bhuttacharjee v. Baikantnath Sannial	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107 : 10 C. L. R., 281 : L. R., 8 I. A., 65.	444, 5378
Taraknath Mookhopadhy v. Collector of Hooghly	4 B. L. R., A. C., 37 : 13 V R., 13.	2787
Tara Kunwar v. Mangri Meeah	6 B. L. R., Ap., 114	3716
Taramani Dasi v. Radha Jiban Mustafi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 142 : 14 W. R., 485.	817, 3830
Tara Mohun Bhuttacharjee v. Kripa Moyee Debia	9 W. R., 423	2139, 2288
Taramonee Chowdhrair v. Rajlukhee Chowdhrani	2 Hay, 575	79
Taramonee Dasse v. Birressu Mozoomdar	1 W. R., 86	532, 5198
Taramonee Dassia v. Mackintosh	9 W. R., 272	5193
Tara Monee Debia v. Shibnath Tulapattur	6 W. R., 191	2702
Taramonee Dossee v. Kally Churn Surmah	W. R., 1864, Act X, 14	1813
— v. Panchanun Bose	18 W. R., 508	342
Taramonee Goopte v. Lukheemonee Dasse	Marsh., 29 : 1 Hay, 67, 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22.	3007
Taramonee Koonwaree v. Jeebun Mundar	6 W. R., Act X, 98	2290
Taramoney Dabee v. Hurro Mohun Chatterjee	11 B. L. R., Ap., 23	560
Taranath Chuckerbutty v. Joy Soonduree Dabee	21 W. R., 93	4340
Taranath Dutt v. Collector of Sylhet	5 W. R., Waste Land Court Ref., 1.	689
Taranath Roy v. Rajbullub Bhunje	7 W. R., 464	6188
Tarapersad Roy Chowdhry v. Gopal Dass Dutt	Marsh., 72 : W. R., F. B., 24 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 79 : 1 Hay, 235.	5121
Tara Pershad Tangee v. Lukhee Narain Paurai	21 W. R., 6	1971
Taraprasad Mittra v. Ram Nrising Mittra	6 B. L. R., Ap., 5 : 14 W. R., 283.	1843
Taraprasad Mytee v. Nund Kishore Giri	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 842 : 12 C. L. R., 448.	5312
Taraprasad Roy v. Surjokanto Acharjee Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., 281, note : 15 W. R., 152.	5414
Taraproshad Sahoo. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 88	5192
Taraprosunno Mojomdar v. Bishonath Sircar	23 W. R., 144	1048
Tara Prosunno Sircar v. Koomaree Bebee	23 W. R., 389	5751, 5756
Tarasingh v. Chaida Mull	2 Agra, 177	5762
Tara Soondaree Chowdhrair v. Collector of Mymensingh	13 B. L. R., 495 : 20 W. R., 446.	2752
Tara Soondery Debya v. Shama Soondery Debya	4 W. R., 58	4179
Tarasoonduree v. Rash Munjuree	12 W. R., 78	701, 6031
Tara Soonduree Burmonya v. Shibeshur Chatterjee	6 W. R., Act X, 51	5268
Tara Soonduree Dabee v. Oojul Monee Dossee	14 W. R., 111	1899, 2308
Tara Soonduree Dabia v. Radha Soondur Roy	24 W. R., 63	1617
Tarasoonduree Kuloonee v. Bhobun Chunder Ghose	23 W. R., 462	485
Tara Sundari Burmoni v. Behari Lal Roy	1 B. L. R., A. C., 28	5335
Taree Mahtoon v. Ram Sahoy Singh	25 W. R., 110	3204
Tareeneepersad Ghose v. Kallee Churn Ghose	Marsh., 215 : 2 Hay, 90	1929
Tareeny Churn Bonnerjee v. Maitland	11 Moore's I. A., 317	325
Tareeny Persaud Ghose v. Bengal Indigo Company	2 W. R., Act X, 9	4209
Tareknarain Singh v. Pucha Singh	W. R., 1864, 376	1398, 4649
Tarif Biswas v. Kalidas Banerjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 223 : 11 W. R., 86.	4927
Tarinee v. Bamundoss Moakhef	1 W. R., 331	5239
Tarinee alias Sawah Monee Debia v. Sreenath Mookerjee	25 W. R., 385	843

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tarinee Churn Banerjee <i>v.</i> Nund Coomar Banerjee	1 W. R., 47	2192
Tarinee Churn Bose <i>v.</i> Kashinath Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 37	2930
_____ <i>v.</i> Ramjee Pal	23 W. R., 298	3001
_____ <i>v.</i> Shumbhoo Nath Panday	3 W. R., Act X, 139	577
Tarinee Churn Brohmo <i>v.</i> Roma Soonduree Dossee	20 W. R., 312	182
Tarineechurn Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Tarineechurn Chuckerbutty	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6	5283
Tarinee Churn Ghose <i>v.</i> Hunsman Jha	20 W. R., 240	333
Tarinee Churn Mozoomdar. In the matter of the } petition of. }	19 W. R., Cr., 29	5542
Tarinee Churn Nyaba Chusputty <i>v.</i> Taranath Gooho	12 W. R., 449	1224, 5829
Tarinee Churn Roy <i>v.</i> Gopal Kisto Roy	2 W. R., S. & C. Ref., 5	5696
Tarinee Churn Sannyal <i>v.</i> Mohima Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 426	4404
Tarinee Churn Shah <i>v.</i> Bonomali Nag	19 W. R., Cr., 33	4105
Tarinee Kant Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Ganoda Soonduree Debee	24 W. R., 460	4024
Tarinee Kant Lahiree Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Rajkishore Tontry	24 W. R., 334	6279
Tarinee Kant Lahoree <i>v.</i> Koonj Beharee Awastee	12 W. R., 112	1596, 4910
Tarinee Kant Lahoori <i>v.</i> Haneer Mundul	7 W. R., 203	5985
Tarineekant Lahoori Chowdhry. In the matter of the } petition of. }	8 W. R., Cr., 79	4725
Tarineepersad Ghose <i>v.</i> Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry	Marsh., 476: 2 Hay, 593	1692, 2976
Tarinee Pershad Sein <i>v.</i> Dwarkanath Rukheet	15 W. R., 451	137, 3746
Tarinee Prosaud Banerjee. In the matter of the peti- } tion of. }	18 W. R., Cr., 8	19, 5162 5163
Tariney Churn Bose <i>v.</i> Municipal Commissioners of } Serampore. }	24 W. R., Cr., 25	4048
Tariney Churn Goho. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., Ap., 26	2656
Tariney Churn Nundy <i>v.</i> Abdur Rohoman	2 C. L. R., 346	3219, 3300 5840
Tarini Charan Bose <i>v.</i> Debbarayan Mistri	8 B. L. R., Ap., 69	4167
Tarini Charan Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Saroda Sundari Dasi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 145: 11 W. } R., 468. }	2109, 2348 4153, 6243
Tarini Charan Ganguli <i>v.</i> Watson	3 B. L. R., A. C., 437: 12 } W. R., 413. }	2507, 3397 4335
Tarini Charan Mookerjee <i>v.</i> Purna Chandra Roy	6 B. L. R., 717: 15 W. R., } 397. }	5931
Tarinidas Bandyopadhyay <i>v.</i> Bishtoo Lal Mukhopadaya	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 608	3524
Tarini Kant Lahiri <i>v.</i> Krishna Moni Chowdraini	5 C. L. R., 179	4269
_____ <i>v.</i> Nundkishore Patronovis	12 C. L. R., 588	1186
Tarini Pershad Misra <i>v.</i> Mahamud Chowdhry	1 L. R., 7 Calc., 376	5724
Tarini Prasad Ghose <i>v.</i> Khudumani Debi	5 B. L. R., 184: 13 W. R., } 261. }	4872
_____ <i>v.</i> Raghab Chandra Bandopadhyaya } _____ <i>v.</i> Ramkrishna Banerjee	5 B. L. R., 187, note: 13 W. } R., 205. }	4872
Tarini Prosad Misser <i>v.</i> Hurriah Chunder Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., 224 } 8 C. L. R., 548	3290, 3291 5724
_____ <i>v.</i> Mahammad Chowdhry	6 C. L. R., 555	219, 5637
Tariny Debi <i>v.</i> Shama Churn Mitter	1 L. R., 8 Calc., 954	5327
Tarokessur Roy <i>v.</i> Soshi Shikuresur Roy	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 952: 13 C. } L. R., 62: L. R., 10 I. A., } 51. }	2568
Tarruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee <i>v.</i> Divendro Nath } Sanyal. }	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 831: 12 C. } L. R., 566. }	838, 1931
Tarrucknath Paulit <i>v.</i> Gladstone	1 Hyde, 178	1395
Tarruck Nauth Ghose <i>v.</i> Kaleepershad Khettry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 210	1060
Tarruck Nauth Paulit <i>v.</i> Sherbourne	Cor., 62	1051
Tarsanah <i>v.</i> Kadharey Lall	5 N. W., 1	529, 3874
Tarsi Ram <i>v.</i> Man Singh	1 L. R., 8 All., 492	1493, 3489

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Taruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Huro Sunkur Sandyal	22 W. R., 267 . . .	50, 2942
Taruck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Huro Chunder Chuckerbutty.	15 W., 473 . . .	753, 3496
Taruck Chunder Mookerjee v. Panchu Mohini Debya	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 791: 8 C. L. R., 297.	4893
Taruck Chunder Nundee v. Modhoosoodun Nundee	5 W. R., Act X, 80 . . .	1597
Taruck Chunder Poddar v. Jodeshur Chunder Koondoo	11 B. L. R., 193: 19 W. R., 178.	2347
Taruck Chunder Sen v. Doorga Churn Sen . . .	20 W. R., 2 . . .	3160
Tarucknath Mookerjee v. Goureechurn Mookerjee.	3 W. R., 147 . . .	910, 2699 6018
_____ v. Meydee Biswas . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 17 . . .	215, 3809
_____ v. Mohendro Nath Ghose . . .	13 W. R., 56 . . .	1769
_____ v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee . . .	8 W. R., 137 . . .	3159
Taruck Nath Mullick v. Jeamat Nosya . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 353 . . .	754, 1852
Tarucknath Poramanick v. McAllister . . .	6 W. R., Act X, 34 . . .	1589
Tarucknath Roy v. Taboorunissa Chowdhrair . . .	7 W. R., 39 . . .	247
Tarucknath Sirkar v. Prosunno Coomar Ghose . . .	19 W. R., 48 . . .	2533
Tarukpodo Ghosal v. Shyama Churn Napit . . .	8 C. L. R., 50 . . .	3046
Tarunginee Dassee v. Chowdry Dwarkanath Mussant . . .	20 W. R., 196 . . .	2428
Taru Patur v. Abinash Chunder Dutt . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 76 . . .	1843
Tasaduk Hossain v. Beni Singh . . .	13 C. L. R., 128 . . .	510, 4017
Tasouwar Ali v. Koonj Beharee Lal . . .	3 N. W., 8, note . . .	4155
Tasuduk Ali v. Muksud Ali . . .	6 N. W., 272 . . .	4540
Tata Chariar v. Singara Chariar . . .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 219 . . .	1900
Tata Charlu v. Konadula Ramachandra Reddi . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 152 . . .	1495
Tatia v. Sadashiv . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 40 . . .	2991, 3421
Tatur Khawas v. Jagannath Prasad . . .	7 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 15 W. R., 131.	2772
Tatya Vithoji v. Bapu Balaji . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 330 . . .	1519
Taufik-un-nissa v. Ghulam Kambar . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 506 . . .	3650
Tavasi Telavar v. Palaniandi Telavar . . .	3 Mad., 187 . . .	1453
Tawakkul Rai v. Lachman Rai . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 344 . . .	3992, 4202 4555
_____ v. Sheo Ghulam Rai . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 344 . . .	3992, 4202 4555
Tawangar Ali v. Kura Mal . . .	I. L. R., 3 All., 394 . . .	3323
Tayanmaul v. Sashachalla Naiker . . .	10 Moore's I. A., 429 . . .	4656
Tayheb Ally. In the matter of . . .	2 Hyde, 63 . . .	3686
Taylor v. Asmedh Koonwar . . .	4 W. R., 86 . . .	456, 1040
Taylor. In re . . .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101 . . .	1157
_____ v. Brooke . . .	1 Bom., Ap., 48 . . .	5648
_____ v. Nundee Jan . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 25 . . .	680
_____ v. Phillott . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375 . . .	6216
_____ v. Virasami . . .	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108 . . .	6012
Tayubunissa Bibi v. Sham Kishore Roy . . .	7 B. L. R., 621: 15 W. R., 228.	318, 1786 2252
Tayumana Reddi v. Perumal Reddi . . .	1 Mad., 51 . . .	2218, 2533
Tazoonnissa v. Wassil . . .	24 W. R., Cr., 64 . . .	982
Tazun Bibi v. Shib Chunder Dhur . . .	19 W. R., 170 . . .	4003, 4008
Teacotta Shekdar. In the matter of the petition of _____ v. Ameer Majee . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 393: 10 C. L. R., 239.	6027
Teagaraya Mudali v. Mariyappa Pillai . . .	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 264 . . .	3220
Teekadharee Singh v. Mohur Singh . . .	7 W. R., 260 . . .	3714
Teekaram Kybutt v. Rajkishen Roy . . .	Marsh., 495 . . .	1380, 5749
Teeknoo v. Mooniah . . .	7 W. R., 440 . . .	2314
Teekram v. Doorga Pershad . . .	1 Agra, 196 . . .	4562

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Teekum Lall Dass v. Macarthur	1 W. R., 279	2799
Teekum Singh v. Dullo	2 Agra, 324	2004
Teelottuma Debee v. Brojo Lall Shamunt	8 W. R., 478	5318
Teeluck Chunder v. Shama Chura Prokash	1 W. R., 209	2340
Teeluck Chunder Oswal v. Gour Chunder Shaha	2 W. R., Act X, 100	531
Teeluckdharee Roy v. Mooraleedhur Roy	13 W. R., 284	3557
Teelucko Kooer v. Nirban Singh	9 W. R., 439	1723
Teeluk Rai v. Ramjus Rai	5 N. W., 182	1178
Teenaram v. Ramrutton	2 Hyde, 181	427
Teencowree Chatterjee v. Dinonath Banerjee	3 W. R., 49	2140, 2497 2510
Teen Cowree Dossee v. Hureehur Mookerjee	8 W. R., 308	4667
Tegh Singh v. Amin Chand	1 L. R., 5 All., 269	824
Teil v. Gordon	2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 142	2641
Teil & Co. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 37	436, 3779 4716
Teja Singh v. Pokhun Singh	10 W. R., 95	1881, 3536
Teja Singh v. Rajnarayan Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 62	1881, 3536
Tej Chund v. Sree Kanth Ghose	6 W. R., P. C., 48 : 3 Moore's I. A., 261.	3062, 4133
Tej Narain Chatterjee v. Ram Tunoo Mojoondar	12 W. R., 370	1926
Tejpal v. Kesri Singh	1 L. R., 2 All., 621	2724
Tej Protap Singh v. Champa Kalee Koer	1 L. R., 12 Calc., 96	2452
Tejram v. Harsukh	1 L. R., 1 All., 101	5928
Tejram v. Mooltan Mull	3 N. W., 70	3845
Tejram Jagrupaji v. Kusaji bin Gangji	7 Bom., A. C., 110	421
Tej Singh v. Gobind Singh	1 L. R., 2 All., 850	4539
Tej Singh v. Ram Dass	Agra, F. B., 125 : Ed. 1874, 94.	3028
Tekaet Bhao Narain Deo v. Court of Wards	15 W. R., 59	2891
Tekaet Khood Narain Singh v. Toolsee Roy	15 W. R., 9	5145
Tekaetne Goura Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree	19 W. R., 252	3431, 5076
Tekait Chooramun Singh v. Dunraj Roy	1 L. R., 5 Calc., 56	1657
Tekait Megraj Singh v. Joy Munzul Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 78	6118
Tekaitnee Goura Coomaree v. Bengal Coal Company	13 W. R., 129 : 5 B. L. R., 667, note : 12 B. L. R., 282, note.	3118, 3431 5076
Tekaitni Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company	5 B. L. R., 667, note : 12 B. L. R., 282, note : 13 W. R., 129.	3118, 3431 5076
Telokdharee Sahoo v. Bissendro Narain Sahee	Marsh., 418 : 2 Hay, 528	4980
Teluck Chand Baboo v. Soudaminee Dossee	23 W. R., 108	3836
Teluck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddon Mohun } Joogee. }	15 B. L. R., 143, note : 12 W. R., 504.	333, 2517
Teluckdharee Sahie v. Rajender Protaub Sahie	2 W. R., F. B., 97	2228
Temmakal v. Subbamal	2 Mad., 47	2082
Temulji Rustamji v. Fardunji Kavasji	5 Bom., A. C., 137	2794
Terietput Singh v. Gossain Sudersan Das	1 L. R., 4 Calc., 46	6104
Tetai Abom v. Gagai Gura Chawa	3 B. L. R., Ap., 30	5822
Tetley v. Administrator General of Bengal	2 N. W., 418	1224
Tej v. Jai Shankar	1 L. R., 1 All., 726	270
Tej v. Peet Singh	Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 117	3506
Tewaree Loll v. Kasseenauth	W. R., F. B., 79	3955
Tewaree Raghoonath Sahai v. Mahomed Nazeer	4 N. W., 108	4034
Thackoor Dass Sett v. Hogg	Cor., 68	27, 4244
Thacoordass Nundee v. Shunkur Roy	3 W. R., Cr., 53	394
Thacoor Prosad v. Baluck Ram	12 C. L. R., 64	5143

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thaker Madhavji. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 460 . . .	4663
Thakersey Devraj v. Hurbhum Narsey . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 432 . . .	3170, 4272 5229
Thakoorain Sahiba v. Mohun Lall	7 W. R., P. C., 25 : Moore's I. A., 386.	2300, 2479
Thakooranee Dossee v. Bisheshur Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 202 : W. R., Act X, 29.	1653, 2930 5184
	3 W. R., Act X, 142 . . .	1654
Thakoor Chunder v. Chowdhry Chotee Singh	Marsh., 261 : 2 Hay, 112	3775, 3778
Thakoor Chunder Paramanick. In the matter of the petition of. {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 595 : 6 } W. R., 228.	1169, 3054
Thakoor Churn Roy v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs . . .	13 W. R., 336	2891, 5368 5370
Thakoor Dass Chuckerbutty v. Ram Jeebun Chucker- butty. }	14 W. R., 150	358
Thakoor Dass Goshamee v. Gopee Kristo Goshamee . . .	15 W. R., 18	241
Thakoordass Roy Chowdhry v. Nubeen Kishen Ghose . . .	15 W. R., 552	5108, 5352
Thakoor Dass Singh v. Luchmepoot Doogur	7 W. R., 10	434, 1927
Thakoor Dayhee v. Balack Ram	10 W. R., P. C., 3 : 11 } Moore's I. A., 139.	2264, 2495 2511
Thakoordeen Tewaree v. Ali Hossein Khan	8 W. R., 341	1424, 1811
	13 B. L. R., 427 : 21 W. R., 340 : L. R., 1 I. A., }	1247, 1456 1811, 4139 4233
Thakoordeen Tewarry v.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106 : 0 W. R., P. C., 3 : 11 Moore's I. A., 139.	2264, 2495 2511
Thakoor Deyhee v. Balukram	17 W. R., 208	3334, 3842
Thakoor Doss Acharjee Chuckerbutty v. Shoshee } Bhoosun Chatterjee.	12 W. R., 73	3541
Thakoor Doss Gossain v. Kashee Nath Mundul	22 W. R., 431	561
Thakoor Doss Gossain v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee . . .	14 W. R., 168	4520
Thakoor Doss Mookerjee v. Ameer Mundul	2 W. R., Act X, 3	538
Thakoor Doss Mozoomdar v. Radha Soonderee Dossee . . .	11 W. R., 509	3043
Thakoor Doss Roy v. Bhyrub Chunder Bhuttacharjee . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21 . . .	382
Thakoor Doss Roy Chowdhry v. Nobin Kristo Ghose . . .	22 W. R., 126	3335, 3835
Thakoor Dutt Singh v. Gopal Singh	14 W. R., 4	145, 1634
Thakoor Dyal Sen. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 51	6270
Thakoor Dyal Sen. In the matter of	2 N. W., 261	5636
Thakoor Dyal Sen. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 142 . . .	277
Thakoor Kapilnath Sahai v. Government	10 B. L. R., 168	6020
Thakoor Kapilnath Sahai v. Deo	13 B. L. R., 445 : 22 W. R., 17.	2003
Thakoor Lall Misser v. Brohmo Moyee Dabee	15 W. R., 253	778, 780
Thakoor Lall Misree v. Kanye Lall Tewaree	7 W. R., 510	839
Thakoor Luleet Narain Deo v. Juggurnath Misser	8 W. R., 476	1378
Thakoor Mahatab Deo v. Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613 : 9 C. L. R., 398.	5466
Thakoor Pathuk v. Ram Soomrun Lal	2 N. W., 433	3323, 6081
Thakoor Patuck v. Ramsoomrun Lal	1 N. W., 17 : Ed. 1873, 16 .	4428, 6081
Thakoor Pershad v. Bashmutty Koer	24 W. R., 428	1845
Thakoor Pershad v. Kalika Pershad	2 Agra, 104	5070
Thakoor Pershad v. Kalka Pershad	6 N. W., 210	4511
Thakoor Pershad v. Mahomed Baker	8 W. R., 170	1582, 1616
Thakoor Pershad Singh v. Mahadeo Singh	5 N. W., 210	498
Thakoor Pershad Singh v. Mahadeo Singh	24 W. R., 390	55, 1700 3292

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thakoor Singh v. Sheopershad Ojhar	5 N. W., 8	2843
Thakur Das v. Futteh Mull	7 B. L. R., 275 : 16 W. R., O. C., 3. }	2579
——— v. Shadi Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 56	3461
Thakurdas Manjhi v. Namdar Mundul	24 W. R., Cr., 12	1294
Thakur Doyal v. Ram Narain Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 375	4197
Thakur of Masuda v. The Widows of the Thakur Nandwara	I. L. R., 2 All., 819	155
Thakur Prasad v. Ahsan Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 668	162
——— v. Partab	I. L. R., 6 All., 442	3297
Thakurya v. Sheo Singh Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 872	3303
"Thalatta." In the matter of the	Bourke, Ad., 1	5645
"Thalatta," The, v. The "Anne"	Bourke, A. O. C., 87	5645
Thama Sing v. Kalidas Roy	5 B. L. R., 386	415
Thambu Chetti Subraya Chetti v. Arundel	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 287	3574
Thamman Singh v. Ganga Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 342	1481
——— v. Jamal-ud-din	I. L. R., 7 All., 442	4558
Thanakoti v. Muniappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 498	5094
Thandraya Gounden v. Sith Aiyar	4 Mad., 254	762
Thangathanni v. Ramu Mudali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 358	2112, 2117
Than Singh v. Chundun Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 296	5731
Thathu Baputty v. Chakayath Chathu	7 Mad., 179	3752
Thayammal v. Venkatarama	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 401	1411, 2142
Thayu Kunjama v. Shungunni Valia Kymal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 71	4271
Thekme Beldar v. Ram Kishen Lall	15 W. R., 71	3762
Thenju v. Chimma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 413	324, 1621
Theodorus v. Aboul Burkut Ameenoolah	W. R., 1864, Act X, 106	3760
Thesikam Iyengar v. Ganapathy Iyer	4 Mad., 320	1934
Thewaram v. Empress	10 C. L. R., 187	3075
Thiagaraja v. Gnanasambantha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374	1260, 1972
Thiagaraja Mudali v. Ramanuja Charry	6 Mad., 151	5177
Thir Sing v. Venkataramier	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 92	6074
Thiruvengadathiengar v. Sangiliveerappa Pandya Chin-nathumbiar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65	3146, 3156
Thomas. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	3572
——— v. Manager of the Pioneer Press	2 Agra, Mis., 1	4704
——— v. Ogle	Bourke, A. O. C., 100	3795
Thomas & Co. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352	601
Thompson v. Jehangir Hormasji	3 Bom., O. C., 66	2664
——— v. Thompson	Bourke, Mat., 1	4678
Thompson's Policy. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347	2834
Thomson. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 421	5838
——— . In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 180 : 14 W. R., 257	5896
——— . In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 205	4743, 5938
Thookra v. Rampershad Tewarry	10 Moore's I. A., 490	3739
Thornhill v. Taylor	1 Agra, 215	31, 2314
Thornton v. Thornton	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 422	2351
Thucker Bhagvandas Harjivan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 489	12, 747
Thukrain Sookraj Koowar v. Government	14 Moore's I. A., 112	1546, 1547
Thukree Roy v. Heeramun Singh	Marsh., 470 : 2 Hay, 597	2662, 5120
Thumbusamy Moodelly v. Hoosain Rowthen	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 1 : L. R., 2 } I. A., 241. }	1028
Thummun v. Golab Rae	2 N. W., 89	577
Thurga Ramachandra Rau v. Appayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 128	3896
		329
		3580

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thyila Kandi Ummatha v. Thyila Kandi Cheria Kunhamed.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 308	5036
Tiery v. Kristo Mohun Bose	L. R., 1 I. A., 76	1567, 6033
Tietkins. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 84	2656
Tikamdas Javahirdas v. Gangakom Mathuradas	11 Bom., 203	1072, 2731
Tika Ram v. Khuda Yar Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 191	2872
Tikaram Singh v. Sandes	22 W. R., 335	1645
Tikdey v. Lalla Huree Lall	W. R., 1864, 133	2129
Tilak Patak v. Mahabir Panday	7 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 15 W. R., 454.	4170, 4865
Tilkoer v. Roy Anundkishore	10 C. L. R., 547	2078
Tillakchand Hindumal v. Jitamal Sudaram	10 Bom., 2-6	1034, 1397 1945
Tillessuree Kooer v. Asmedh Kooer	24 W. R., 101	1682, 2207 4268
Tilock Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gourmonee	2 Hay, 364	3009
Tilok Chand v. Sada Ram	7 N. W., 113	3242
Tiluck Chand v. Soudamini Dasi	I. L. R., 4 Cal., 566: 3	1124, 6280
— v. Sowdaminee Dassee	L. R., 456.	5613
Tiluck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddun Mohun Joogee	25 W. R., 275	333, 2517
Tiluck Chunder Dutt Chowdhry v. Brojo Soondur Mitter	12 W. R., 504: 12 B. L. R., 143, note.	4909
Tiluck Chunder Goocho v. Gour Monee Debee	24 W. R., 121	3131, 3499
Tiluckdharee. In the matter of	6 W. R., Mis., 91	6167
Tiluckdharee Singh v. Samoodra Singh	2 C. L. R., 1	2771
Tiluck Roy v. Phoolman Roy	6 W. R., 9	2200, 3395
Timal Kuari v. Ablakh Rai	7 W. R., 450	3181
Timapa Shambhog v. Maneshvar Kashi.	I. L. R., 1 All., 254	864
Timmappa v. Lakshamma	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 181	3951
Timmappa Bhat v. Parmeshriamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 385	2424, 3371
Timmappa Heggade v. Mahalinga Heggade	5 Bom., A. C., 130	2454
Timmappaya v. Lakshminarayana	4 Mad., 28	2171
Timmarsa Puranik v. Badiya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 284	3031
Timmaya Mada v. Lakshmana Bhakta	2 Bom., 70: 2nd Ed., 66	246
Timmi Reddy v. Achanma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 215	2448
Timmu v. Deva Rai	2 Mad., 325	4835, 5533
Tincowrie Chatterji v. Denonath Banerjee	L. L. R., 5 Mad., 265	2110
Tincowrie Dossee v. Umbika Churn Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 155	3530
Tinnappa Chetti v. Murugappa Chetti	23 W. R., 41	1407
Tinumonni Dasi v. Nibarun Chunder Gupta	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 107	2306
Tippayya Holla v. Venkata	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 154: 12 L. R., 376.	3581
Tipperah Loan Office v. Gour Chunder Barman	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 74	2693
Tirbhobun Singh v. Jhono Lal	2 C. L. R., 349	565
Tirthanund Thakoor v. Herdu Jha	18 W. R., 206	1607
— v. Mutty Lall Misser	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 252	5200
— v. Paresmon Jha	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 774	5411
Tirth Nund Thakur v. Mohur Mundul	10 B. L. R., 142, note: 13 R., 449.	1631
Tiruchittambala Chetti v. Seshayyengar	17 W. R., 278	5421, 5969
Tiruchurna Perumal Nadan v. Sanguvien	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 383	2991
Tirukrishnama Chariar v. Krishnasawmi Tatachariar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 118	5264
Tirumala v. Lakshmi	L. R., 6 I. A., 120	4830
Tirumala Rau Sahib v. Pingala Sunkara Rau	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 147	1558, 5056
Tirumalasami Reddi v. Rama Sami Reddi	1 Mad., 312	6006
Tirumalathamal v. Venkataramanaiyan	6 Mad., 420	1415
	2 Mad., 378	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tirumamagal Ammal v. Ramasvami Ayyangar	1 Mad., 214	2336
Tiruvalur Kirustnappa Mudali. In the matter of	1 Mad., 59	2835
Tiruvengada v. Rangasami	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 19	1830
— v. Rangayyengar	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 114	110
Tiruvengada Chari v. Vythilinga Pillai	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 418	411
Titooram Bose v. Tarineechurn Ghose	15 W. R., 127	3496
Titu Bibi v. Ibrahim Mollah	12 C. L. R., 304	5315
— v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	1 L. R., 9 Calc., 683	5315
Titu Maya v. Queen	{ 1 L. R., 8 Calc., 618, note : 1 C. L. R., 1 }	1014
Tiyagaraja Padyachi v. Ramannjam Pillai	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 422	4766
Tod v. Ponambilath Parapravan Kunmahod Hajee	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 169	3759, 3761
Todal Singh v. Thomson	2 N. W., 237	657
Todd. In the matter of the petition of	5 N. W., 237	3738
Todee Singh v. Gardner	2 Agra, 342	46
Tofail Ahmud v. Baneer Madhub Mookerjee	24 W. R., 394	5690
Tohul Roy v. Mahadeo Dutt	W. R., 1864, 327	4930
Tokai Sherob v. Davod Mullick Fureedoon Beglar	{ 4 W. R., P. C., 87 : 6 Moore's } I. A., 510. }	5377
Tokes Ribee v. Abdool Khan	{ 1 L. R., 5 Calc., 536 : 5 C. } L. R., 458. }	2662
Tomminissa v. Nujeema Banoo	8 W. R., 340	4469
Tommy v. Soobha Kurim Lal	2 W. R., Act X, 73	2993
Tonoo Ram Gossain v. Mohessur Gossain	24 W. R., 302	3257
Toofanee Doss v. Mun Rakhun Roy	15 W. R., 202	4130
Toofeah v. Jussouda	2 Agra, 337	5100
Toog Oung v. British India Steam Navigation Company	24 W. R., 430	4529
Toolsee v. Mohadeb Raot	6 W. R., 197	2303
Toolsee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Paul	15 W. R., 175	196
Toolseedoss Kobeeraj v. Bhyrub Lal Tewaree	8 W. R., 311	6072
Toolsee Doss Seal. In re	{ 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 133 : 7 W. } R., 228. }	3782, 4434
Toolsee Dutt Misser v. Brojo Mohun Thakoor	9 W. R., 332	4130, 4346
Toolseemoney Debee v. Jogesh Chunder Shaha	1 C. L. R., 425	5282
Toolseemoney Dossee v. Cornelius	11 B. L. R., 144	2585, 2591
Toolsee Ram v. Nahar Singh	3 N. W., 43	5630
— v. Nahur Singh	3 Agra, 271	3367, 4459
— v. Narain Singh	3 Agra, 265	5632
— v. Nund Kishore Lal	12 W. R., 471	5738
Toolsee Sahoo v. Mohadeo Doss	10 W. R., 483	4851
Toolun v. Ooman Shunker	2 Agra, 117	5111
Toomy v. Durrup Singh	12 W. R., 473	1735
Toona v. Kureemun	6 W. R., Mis., 31	1487
Toonanjan v. Mehndee Begum	3 Agra, 13	3695
Toondun Singh v. Pokh Narain Singh	14 W. R., 205	1874
— v. Poklee Narain Singh	20 W. R., 54	1479
Toponidhee Dhirj Gir Gossain v. Sreeputty Sahanee	{ 1 L. R., 5 Calc., 832 : 6 C. } L. R., 305. }	5068
Toppya Pillay v. Peddoo Pillay	5 Mad., 383	5679
Torab Ali v. Chooramun Singh Chowdhry	24 W. R., 262	5583
— v. Mahomed Ameer Hossein	3 C. L. R., 105	3323, 6103
— v. President of the Municipal Committee of Allahabad.	6 N. W., 170	5976
Torab Ali Khan v. Nilruttun Lal	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 155	3356
Torab Ally v. Mahomed Tukkee	19 W. R., 1	4656, 6008
Toral Komhar v. Auchhi	9 B. L. R., 253 : 18 W. R., 401	3717

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Toree Mahomed <i>v.</i> Mahomed Mabood Bux	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 730 : 13 C. L. R., 91. }	3216, 3497
Torit Rhoosun Bonnerjee <i>v.</i> Taraprosunno Bonnerjee {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 756 : 4 C. L. R., 161. }	2469, 4261
Tosodookh Hossein <i>v.</i> Meajan	W. R., 1864, 337	1353
Totakot Shangunni Menon <i>v.</i> Kurusingal Kaku Varid	4 Mad., 190	2214
Tota Ram <i>v.</i> Har Kishan	I. L. R., 7 All., 224	5079
_____ <i>v.</i> Khub Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 253	222
_____ <i>v.</i> Mohun Lall	2 Agra, 120	1345, 1741
_____ <i>v.</i> Sher Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 261	2700
Toulton <i>v.</i> Gwyther	Bourke, O. C., 273	4399, 4410
Toyab Shaikh. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 58	5576
Toyboon <i>v.</i> Mahomed Wajid	2 C. L. R., 504	806, 1475
Toyluck Nauth Doss <i>v.</i> Megnauth Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245	2102
Toyluckomohun Tagore <i>v.</i> Gobind Chunder Sen	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 128 : 1 Hyde, 289. }	3961
Toyluckonath Sirdar <i>v.</i> Baluckram Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 26	5919
Trailakhanath Roy <i>v.</i> Kashinath Roy	6 B. L. R., 633 : 14 W. R., 458. }	1143
Trailokia Nath Nundi <i>v.</i> Shurno Chungoni	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 539	1848
Trailokya Nath Biswas <i>v.</i> Joykali Chowdhrair	11 C. L. R., 454	1372
Trailokya Nath Ghose <i>v.</i> Chundra Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 424	2837, 5651
Tranquebar Sami Ayyan <i>v.</i> Nathambedu Ammai Ammal	6 Mad., 234	3190
Treelochun Chatterjee <i>v.</i> Radhamonce Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 74	3497
Treelochun Roy <i>v.</i> Rajkishen Roy	5 W. R., 214	2343, 2358
Treepoorasoondery Dossee <i>v.</i> Debendronath Tagore	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45	668, 3361
Tribhovan Gangaram <i>v.</i> Amina	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 516	6220
Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas <i>v.</i> Motilal Ramdas	1 Bom., 34	3302
Triceam Panachand <i>v.</i> Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company. }	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 244	1069, 4587
Trikam Damodhar <i>v.</i> Lala Amirchand	8 Bom., A. C., 131	5532
Trikam Goverdhun <i>v.</i> Dullabh Kuber	2 Bom., 389 : 2nd Ed., 367	4681
Trilochan Ghose <i>v.</i> Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmik Bhattacharji. }	3 B. L. R., A. C., 298 : 12 W. R., 175. }	225
Trilochun Chattapadhyay <i>v.</i> Nobo Kishore Ghuttuck	2 C. L. R., 10	6002
Trilochun Chuckerbutty <i>v.</i> Komola Kant Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 536	3428, 4977
_____ <i>v.</i> Umesh Chunder Lahiri	7 C. L. R., 571	5348
Trilochun Doss <i>v.</i> Gugun Chunder Dey	24 W. R., 413	2490
Trilochun Dutt <i>v.</i> Ishen Chunder Chowdhry	3 W. R., 176	337
Triloke Chunder Sen <i>v.</i> Aukhil Chunder Sen	21 W. R., 65	2772
Trilokenath Jha <i>v.</i> Hur Dutt Khurhuree	9 W. R., 299	885
Trilokinath. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 184 : L. R., 5 I. A., 171. }	1455
Trimalrav Raghavendra <i>v.</i> Municipal Commissioners of Hubli. }	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172	4657
Trimbak Anant <i>v.</i> Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu	1 Bom., 27	6157
Trimbak Balkrishna <i>v.</i> Narayan Damoodar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 481	2380
Trimbak Bawa <i>v.</i> Narayan Bawa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 188	2181
Trimbak Dixit <i>v.</i> Narayan Dixit	11 Bom., 69	2257, 3259
Trimbak Ranu <i>v.</i> Nana Bhavani	12 Bom., 144	5236
Trimbak Ravji <i>v.</i> Nana	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 504	4196
Tripoora Soondoree Chowdhranee <i>v.</i> Kali Chunder Roy Chowdhry. }	18 W. R., 327	5466
Tripoora Soondaree <i>v.</i> Gopal Nath Roy	25 W. R., 358	4299
Tripoora Soonduree. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., 45	1711, 4307
_____ <i>v.</i> Juggurnath Dutt	24 W. R., 321	678
		4556

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tripooora Soonduree v. Koylash Chunder Bose . . .	15 W. R., 265 . . .	5689
Tripooora Soonduree Debia v. Ijjutoonnissa Khatoon v. Promothonath Roy . . .	24 W. R., 411 . . .	3245
Tripp v. Kubeer Mundul . . .	11 W. R., 533 . . .	3834
— v. Nursing Chunder Mitter . . .	9 W. R., 209 . . .	3288
Tripura Sundari v. Durga Churn Pal . . .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 7 . . .	335
— v. Rasik Chandra Kanungui . . .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 74 . . .	5464
Triton Insurance Company v. The "Moorhill" . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 134: 15 W. R., 189. } . . .	4853, 5800
Troup v. East India Company . . .	15 B. L. R., Ap., 3 . . .	5642
Troylohotaran Chowdhry v. Muthoora Mohun Dey . . .	4 W. R., P. C., 111: 7 Moore's } . . .	514
Troylokha Nath Biswas. In the matter of . . .	I. A., 104. } . . .	1187
Troylokhyanath Mitter. — . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 41 . . .	1278
Troyluckhnath Chuckerbutty v. Jhabboo Shaikh . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 742 . . .	5157
Troyluckhonath Banerjee v. Brindabun Chunder Sirkar } Chowdhry. } . . .	1 C. L. R., 83 . . .	3150
Troyluckhonath Chowdhry v. Kaleema Bibee . . .	10 W. R., 334 . . .	404, 699
Troyluckhonath Roy v. Collector of Beerbhoom . . .	18 W. R., 438 . . .	2931
Troyluckho Tarinee Dossia v. Mohima Chunder Muttuck . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 96 . . .	353
Troylukhonath Bose. In re.	W. R., 1864, 338 . . .	2991
Trunath Chowdry v. Manick Chunder Doss . . .	7 W. R., 400 . . .	4104
Trustees and Mortgagees Act, 1886. In the matter of . . .	5 W. R., Cr., 58 . . .	351, 368
Tufani Singh. In re	14 W. R., 469 . . .	6064
— v. Durgaban	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381 . . .	4424, 5138
Tuffazal Hossein Khan v. Raghunath Prasad . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 141 . . .	4461
Tufuzzool Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 21 . . .	412
Tukaram v. Satvaji Khanduji	7 B. L. R., 186: 14 Moore's } . . .	4015
— v. Sujangir Guru	I. A., 40. } . . .	3460, 4464
Tukaram Ambaidas v. Ramchandra valad Bhimanna } Dhugi. } . . .	2 Hay, 17 . . .	3415
Tukaram bin Atmaram v. Ramachandra Budharam . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 206 . . .	2395
Tukaram bin Ramkrishna v. Gunaji bin Mhaloji . . .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 585 . . .	3943
Tukaram Hari Atre. In re	6 Bom., A. C., 247 . . .	423
Tukaram Vithal. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 314 . . .	5832
Tukaram Vithoji v. Khandoji Malharji . . .	8 Bom., A. C., 129 . . .	617
Tukee v. Khooshdel Biswas	10 Bom., 354 . . .	4810
Tukee Ali v. Saadut Ali	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 527 . . .	5650
Tukhea v. Deo Narain Singh	6 Bom., O. C., 134 . . .	5921
Tukheya Rai v. Tupsee Koer	6 W. R., 151 . . .	1194
Tukoji Maharaj Holkar v. Titambardas Naranji . . .	5 N. W., 14 . . .	5059
Tukroonissa Begum v. Mogul Jan Bibee . . .	5 N. W., 137 . . .	5156, 6268
Tularam v. Harjiwan Das	24 W. R., 248 . . .	748
Tuljaram Morarji v. Mathuradas	15 W. R., Cr., 23 . . .	5812
Tulsee Monee Dassee v. Feary Mohun Baboo . . .	9 Bom., 427 . . .	6017
Tulsha v. Gopal Rai	8 W. R., 370 . . .	2302
Tulshi Pershad Singh v. Ramnarain Singh . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 60 . . .	4131
Tulshi Sahu v. Nursingram	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 662 . . .	333, 1460
Tulsidas Dhunji v. Virbasapa	25 W. R., 79 . . .	4045
Tulsi Panday v. Buchu Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 632 . . .	3070
Tulsi Ram v. Gangaram	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 117: L. R., } 12-I. A., 205. } . . .	2211, 2575
	12 C. L. R., 333 . . .	1518
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 624 . . .	578
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 596: 12 } L. R., 223. } . . .	4875
	I. L. R., 1 All., 252 . . .	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tulsiram Das <i>v.</i> Mohamed Afzal <i>alias</i> Mirza	1 B. L. R., A. C., 12: 10 W. R., 48. }	3280
Tulsi Sahu <i>v.</i> Mahadeo Das	2 B. L. R., A. C., 105: 10 W. R., 483. }	4851, 5800
Tumeezooddeen Chowdhry <i>v.</i> Surwar Khan	7 W. R., 209	3024, 3406
Tumeezooddeen Khondkar <i>v.</i> Mohima Chunder Mookerjee. }	11 W. R., 227	4914
Tumeezooddy <i>v.</i> Busarut	21 W. R., 76	772
Tundan Singh <i>v.</i> Pukh Narayan Sing	5 B. L. R., 546: 13 W. R., 347. }	482
Tunnoo <i>v.</i> Kureem Buksh	23 W. R., Cr., 2	661
Turibullah. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 338	1155, 1269
Turif Biswas. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 455	5830
Turner, Morrison, & Co., <i>v.</i> Ralli, Mavrojani, & Co.	2 B. L. R., O. C., 127	1059
Tusneef Hossein <i>v.</i> Sookhoo	14 W. R., 453	79, 91
Tvatat Svami <i>v.</i> Andanya Charantj	6 Bom., A. C., 132	4564
Tweedie <i>v.</i> Poonochunder Gangooly	8 W. R., 125	1673
— <i>v.</i> Poono Chunder Gangoollee	12 W. R., 138	1757
— <i>v.</i> Ram Narain Doss	9 W. R., 151	3802
Tyebunnessa <i>v.</i> Kaniz Fatima	13 C. L. R., 247	4512
U		
Ubilack Rai <i>v.</i> Dallial Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 557	1847
Ublukh Rai <i>v.</i> Sheo Nundun Singh	3 Agra, 80	2444
Uchhuba Dossia <i>v.</i> Nittyanund Shaha	24 W. R., 463	667
Uda Begum <i>v.</i> Imamuddin	I. L. R., 1 All., 82	50, 54
— <i>v.</i> —	I. L. R., 2 All., 74	189
Udai Chand Mukhopadhy. In the matter of the petition of. }	9 B. L. R., Ap., 31	4920
Udai Chandra Mandal <i>v.</i> Ahmedulla	7 B. L. R., 616, note	1410
Udai Ram <i>v.</i> Ghulam Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 186	1176
Udai Singh <i>v.</i> Bharat Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 456	1871
— <i>v.</i> Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 1 All., 135	5611
Udai Tara Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Abdul Gani	3 B. L. R., Ap., 90	4199
Udaiya Tevar <i>v.</i> Katama Nachiyar	2 Mad., 131	4867, 4962 4988
Udaram Sitaram <i>v.</i> Ranu Panduji	11 Bom., 76	2235, 2409 5384
— <i>v.</i> Sonkabai	10 Bom., 483	2421
Udaya Aditya Deb <i>v.</i> Jadab Lal Aditya Deb	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 199	2150
Udaya Chandra Rana <i>v.</i> Bhajahari Jana	2 B. L. R., Ap., 45	3953
Udaya Charan Dhur <i>v.</i> Kalitara Dasi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 52	1180
Udaya Varma <i>v.</i> Nayar Chambithu	1 Mad., 322	4430
Uddoy Adittya Deb <i>v.</i> Jadublal Adittya Deb	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 113: 4 C. L. R., 181. }	5102
Uddoyto Churn Sahoo <i>v.</i> Ramdhun Roy	16 W. R., 296	3495
Udeyadeta Deb <i>v.</i> Gregson	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 624	229, 1940
Udey Kunwar <i>v.</i> Ladu	6 B. L. R., 283: 15 W. R., P. C., 16: 13 Moore's I. A., 585. }	1680, 4745 4863
Udhar Singh <i>v.</i> Rancee Koonwur	1 Agra, 234	2486, 4962
Udit Narain Singh <i>v.</i> Harogouri Prosad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 590	14, 3454
Udit Singh <i>v.</i> Padarath Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 54	3354
Udoy Chand Biswas <i>v.</i> Panchoo Ram Biswas	11 C. L. R., 514	2365
Udoytara Chowdhraim <i>v.</i> Shib Nath Surma Bahadoori	9 C. L. R., 207	1629

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ufzool Begum v. Ladlee Begum	2 N. W., 325	3657
Ugarchand Manackchand v. Madapa Somana	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 324	6032, 6144
Uggrakant Chowdhry v. Hurro Chunder Shickdar	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 209	1847
Ugrah Nath v. Laganmali	I. L. R., 4 All., 83	3532
Ujagar Singh v. Pitam Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 120: L. R., } 8 I. A., 190. }	2154
Ujalamayi Dasi v. Chandra Kumar Neogi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 24	2844
Ujal Mundul v. Namdar Mundul	24 W. R., Cr., 12	1294
Uji v. Hathi Lala	7 Bom., A. C., 133	2221
Ujjala Bewa. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 52 3	966
Ujmuddin Khan v. Ziaul-nissa Begum	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 422: 5 C. } L. R., 11: L. R., 6 I. A., } 137. }	5652
Ujolla Dasi v. Dhiraj Mahatab Chand	7 C. L. R., 215	5336
Ukanda Varriyar v. Ramen Nambudiri	1 Mad., 262	3754
Ukha v. Daga	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 182	4881
Ukhoy Sunkur Chuckerbutty v. Indro Bhusan Deb Roy	12 W. R., F. B., 27	1620, 2931
Ukoor Doss v. Chunder Sekhur Doss	3 W. R., 152	2250
Ulfatunnissa alias Elahijan Bibi v. Hosain Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 520: 12 C. } L. R., 209. }	4807
Ulfutunnissa v. Mohan Lal Sukal	6 B. L. R., Ap., 33	1218, 2695
Ullman v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta	7 B. L. R., Ap., 60	5531
Ulltaf Ali Khan v. Ram Lal	8 B. L. R., 265	650
Ulruk Singh v. Beny Persad	5 W. R., P. C., 77	4659
Ultaf Ali Khan v. Ram Lal	Agra, F. B., 83: Ed. 1874, 63	3308, 3529
Uluck Monee Chowdhraim v. Panchoo Coomar Chunder Chowdhry.	21 W. R., 124	757
Umabai v. Bhavu Padmanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 557	2335
Umacharau Banerjee. In re	7 B. L. R., Ap., 3	6041
Umacharan Chowdhry v. Nitambini Debi	2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. } R., 359. }	2420
Umacharan Sett v. Hari Prosad Missry	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7	528, 562
Umachurn Bag v. Ajadannissa Hibee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 430	5294, 5877
Uma Churn Dutt v. Uma Tara Dabee	8 W. R., 181	1586
Uma Churn Mundle v. Joshein Sheikh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 84	2924
Uma Churn Santra v. Beni Madhub Roy	7 C. L. R., 352	4488, 4508
Uma Churn Sen v. Gobin Chunder Mozumdar	1 C. L. R., 460	5382
Umaid Bahadur v. Udoi Chand alias Munmun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 119: 6 C. L. } R., 500. }	2288, 2299
Umaji valad Manaji Patil Dumale v. Hari Ram Chandra Kulkarni.	4 Bom., A. C., 143	4829
Uma Kishoree Dassi v. Huro Gobind Shaha	5 W. R., Act X, 96	532
Umareswara v. Singaperumal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 376	5389
Umamoyi Burmonea v. Tarini Prasad Ghose	7 W. R., 225	3817, 4265
Umanath Mookhopadhy v. Nilmoney Sing	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429	4670
Umanund Roy v. Browne	7 W. R., 352	5709
_____ v. Sreekishen Banerjee	7 W. R., 248	1466
Umarchan v. Mahomed Khan	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 41	1229
Uma Sankar Roy Chowdry v. Mansur Ali Khan	5 B. L. R., Ap., 6: 13 W. R., } 327. }	4214, 6076
Uma Shankar v. Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 75	3393
Uma Sundari v. Nittyanund Shaha Rai	3 C. L. R., 157	4944
Uma Sundari Dasi v. Birbul Maudal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 183	5315
_____ v. Dwarka Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 284: 11 W. } R., 72. }	3164, 3968
_____ v. Ramji Hafdar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 242: 9 C. } L. R., 13. }	3561, 4279

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Uma Sundari Dasi v. Umacharan Sadkhan . . .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 117 . . .	3912
Umasundari Debi. In the matter of the petition of . . .	5 B. L. R., Ap., 29 . . .	5116, 5939
Uma Sundari Dabee v. Sourobinnee Dabee . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 288 : 9 C. } L. R., 83. }	2145, 2340
Uma Sunker Moitro v. Kali Komul Mozumdar . . .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 256 : 7 C. L. } R., 145. }	2141, 2289
Uma Sunkur Sircar v. Tarini Chunder Singh . . .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 571 : 11 C. } L. R., 366. }	
Umatarra Debia v. Krishna Kamini Dasi . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 102 . . .	5005
Umatul Fatima v. Azhur Ali . . .	9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note : 15 } W. R., 356. }	1207, 2696
Umbica Churn Banerjee v. Digumburee Dabee . . .	12 W. R., 429 . . .	4478
Umbica Churn Bhattacharjee v. Prosonno Coomar Sen . . .	9 C. L. R., 365 . . .	5061
Umbica Churn Dey v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty . . .	9 W. R., 596 . . .	1756
Umbica Churn Pottro v. Boidonath Pottro . . .	1 W. R., 82 . . .	2931
Umbica Nundun Biswas. In the matter of . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434 : 1 C. } L. R., 561. }	2663
Umbica Prosad Tewary v. Ram Sahay Lall . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 898 : 10 C. } L. R., 505. }	2163
Umbica Proshad. In the matter of . . .	1 C. L. R., 268 . . .	4722
Umbica Sunduri Chowdrain v. Ajitulla Mondul . . .	8 C. L. R., 148 . . .	1313
Umbicka Churn Goopta v. Madhub Ghosal . . .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 870 : 4 C. } L. R., 55. }	4467
Umbika Churn Chuckerbutty v. Dwarka Nath Ghose . . .	8 W. R., 506 . . .	803, 5433
Umbika Churn Dutt v. Nadir Hossein . . .	11 W. R., 133 . . .	5790
Umbika Churn Koondoo v. Dhurmo Doss Koondoo . . .	11 W. R., 129 . . .	4820
Umbika Churn Mundle v. Ramdhun Mohurir . . .	11 W. R., 35 . . .	4151, 4890
Umbika Churn Shet v. Bhuggobutty Churn Shet . . .	3 W. R., 173 . . .	3368
Umbika Dassia v. Chirunjeel Pershad Bose . . .	13 W. R., 81 . . .	1480
Umbika Debia v. Pranhuree Doss . . .	13 W. R., F. B., 1 . . .	5323
Umda Khanum. In the matter of . . .	3 C. L. R., 72 . . .	4732
Umduteonissa v. Asloo . . .	20 W. R., 297 . . .	26, 3695
Umed Ali v. Saffihan . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 175 . . .	3656
— v. Salima Bibi . . .	I. L. R., 6 All., 383 . . .	4917
Umedchand Hukamchand v. Bulakidas Lalchand . . .	5 Bom., O. C., 16 . . .	3300
Umed Dholchand v. Pir Saheb Jiva Miya . . .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 134 . . .	4889, 5657
Umed Kika v. Nagindas Narotamdas . . .	7 Bom., O. C., 122 . . .	852, 2438
Umedmal Motiram v. Dava Bin Dhondiba . . .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547 . . .	5804
Umed Ram v. Daulat Ram . . .	I. L. R., 5 All., 564 . . .	1822, 4777
Umed Sangji v. Collector of Surat . . .	7 Bom., A. C., 50 . . .	6123
Umer Ali v. Kumzan Ali . . .	23 W. R., 347 . . .	5690
— v. Safer Ali . . .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 334 . . .	1562
Umes Chandra v. Shastidhar Mookerjee . . .	3 B. L. R., Ap., 99 . . .	4896
Umes Chandra Roy v. Nabin Chandra Mazumdar . . .	5 B. L. R., 327, note . . .	965
Umesh Chandra Mookerjee v. Sageman . . .	5 B. L. R., 633, note . . .	3815
Umesh Chunder Banerjee v. Mohini Mohun Dass . . .	9 C. L. R., 301 . . .	5050
Umesh Chunder Roy v. Raj Bullub Sen . . .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 279 : 10 C. } L. R., 204. }	1814, 3202
Umiashankar Lakhmiram v. Chotalal Vajeram . . .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 19 . . .	1826
Umirto Lall Bose. In the matter of . . .	18 W. R., 11, note . . .	3269
Umjad Ally Khan v. Mohumdee Begum . . .	10 W. R., P. C., 25 . . .	
Ummer Kutti v. Abdul Kadar . . .	Moore's I. A., 517. . .	3118, 3486
Umrai v. Ram Lal . . .	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 165 . . .	5451
	I. L. R., 7 All., 384 . . .	3674, 4251
		3221
		5688

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Umrao Begam v. Land Mortgage Bank of India . . .	I. L. R., 1 All., 547 . . .	5200
v.	I. L. R., 2 All., 451 . . .	5200
Umrao Lal v. Behari Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 297 . . .	4999
Umrao Singh v. Fakir Chand. In the matter of the petition of. }	I. L. R., 3 All., 749 . . .	3622
Umrao Thakur v. Gakul Mandal	8 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 16 W. } R., 7. }	5130
Umrit Chowdhry v. Hyder Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 63. . .	1181
Umrith Nath Chowdhry v. Gouree Nath Chowdhry }	15 W. R., P. C., 10: 13 } Moore's I. A., 542. }	2360
Umrithnauth Chowdhry v. Chunder Kishore Singh . . .	21 W. R., 31 . . .	1918, 1927
v. Koonj Behary Singh	W. R., F. B., 34 . . .	523, 2980
Umrit Koweree v. Kidarnath Ghose	3 Agra, 182 . . .	2425
Umrit Lal Banerjee v. Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee . . .	7 W. R., 24. . .	537
Umr-un-nissa v. Muhammad Yar Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 24 . . .	3424
Uncovenanted Service Bank. In the matter of . . . }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 829: 3 C. } L. R., 597. }	5841
v. Duffin	3 N. W., 99 . . .	588
v. Khettermohun Ghose	6 N. W., 88. . .	3310
v. Marshall	6 N. W., 306 . . .	3203
v. Palmer	2 N. W., 456 . . .	3006
Undhoob Narain v. Chutterdharee Singh	9 W. R., 480 . . .	3283, 3351
Unicha Kandyib Kunhi Kutti Nair v. Valia Pidigail } Kunhamed Kutti Maraccar. }	4 Mad., 359 . . .	3212, 6098
Unidi Rajaha Raje Venkataperumal Rauze v. Pemmasamy Venkatadry Naidoo. }	4 W. R., P. C., 121: 7 Moore's } I. A., 128. }	1785, 2064
Unjoor Singh v. Fuzboonnassa	2 Hay, 155 . . .	1173
Unkar Das v. Narain	I. L. R., 4 All., 24 . . .	3241
Unmole Singh v. Ram Bhunjun Misser	3 Agra, 407 . . .	4853
Unnian v. Rama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 415 . . .	3765
Unniraman v. Chathan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 451 . . .	5953
Unnocol Chunder Chowdhry v. Hurry Nath Koondoo . .	2 C. L. R., 334 . . .	1895
Unnoda Churn Dass Biswas v. Mothura Nath Dass } Biswas. }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 860: 4 C. } L. R., 6. }	3360, 5315
Unnoda Gobind Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee	W. R., F. B., 163 . . .	3192
Unnoda Persad Roy v. Erskine	12 B. L. R., 370: 21 W. R., 68	1175
v. Koorpan Ally	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 518: 1 C. } L. R., 408. }	3470, 3528
Unnodapersaud Mookerjee v. Kristo Copmar Moitro }	15 B. L. R., 60, note: 19 W. } R., 5. }	3129, 3337
Unnoda Pershad Banerjee v. Chunder Sekhur Deb . . .	7 W. R., 394 . . .	3066, 4589
Unnoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Soorendronath Pal Chowdhry. }	20 W. R., 105 . . .	5077
Unnoda Proshad Dutt v. Shama Soonduree	24 W. R., Cr., 20 . . .	4362
Unnoda Soonduree Dossee v. Oodhub Nath Roy	11 W. R., 125 . . .	2696
Unnopoorna v. Hurbullubh Singh	8 W. R., 465 . . .	6018
Unnopoorna Dassee v. Gunganarain Paul	2 W. R., 296 . . .	2236
Unnopoorna Dassee v. Nufur Poddar	21 W. R., 148 . . .	1833
Unnopoorna Debia v. Dossee	14 W. R., 254 . . .	34
v. Ram Lochun Ghose	5 W. R., 227 . . .	10
Unnopoorna Dossee v. Radha Mohun Pattro	19 W. R., 95 . . .	5176, 5195
Unnopoorna Dosia v. Ooma Churn Dass	18 W. R., 55 . . .	5198
Ununtoram Chatterjee v. Protab Chunder Shiromonee .	16 W. R., 231 . . .	2570
Ununtoram Dass v. Ram Lochun Aitch	14 W. R., O. C., 15 . . .	5797
Ununt Ram Hazrah v. Kuralee Pershad Mistree . . .	23 W. R., 441 . . .	3826

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Upendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose . . . {	3 B. L. R., O. C., 119 : 17 W. } R., 370, note. }	227, 312 2263
Upendra Lal Mukhopadhyaya v. Collector of Rajshahye . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 113 . . .	3352
Upendra Lal Roy v. Prasannamayi . . .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 221 . . .	2129
Upendra Mohan Tagore v. Takalia Bepari . . .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 345 . . .	3531
— v. Thanda Das . . .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 349 . . . {	2317, 3000 3011
Upendra Narain Myti v. Gopee Nath Bera . . . {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 817 : 12 C. } L. R., 356. }	2456, 2479
Upendra Nath Dhal v. Soudamini Dasi . . .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 535 . . .	3742
Upendro Lal Bose. In the matter of . . . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 675 : 7 C. L } R., 413. }	4407
Uporoop Tewary v. Lalla Bandhjee Suhay . . . {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 749 : 8 C. } L. R., 192. }	2398
Uppalapati Ganakaya Garu v. Palavi Ramudu . . .	2 Mad., 475 . . .	5088, 5698
Upper Assam Tea Company v. Thopoor . . .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1 . . .	99
Uppu Lakkshmi Bhayamma Garu v. Purvis . . .	2 Mad., 167 . . .	2876
Uramkumarath Kannan Nayar v. Uramkumarath Tenju Nayar . . . {	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 1 . . .	3757, 5044
Urduhub Churn Debta v. Sookdeb Debta . . .	24 W. R., 45 . . .	815
Urjoon Dutt Bonick v. Ram Nath Kurmoker . . .	21 W. R., 123 . . .	3044
Urjoon Sahoy. In the matter of the petition of . . . {	20 W. R., 90 . . .	226
— v. Nilmonee Singh Deo . . .	5 Moore's I. A., 169 . . .	2230
Urjun Singh v. Ghunsiam Singh . . .	1 Hyde, 212 . . .	5501
Urna Churn Chetty v. Gordon . . .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224 . . .	5914
Urquhart v. Gilbert . . .	12 W. R., 492 . . .	5461
— v. Nundeeput Mahaputtur . . .	19 W. R., 118 . . .	1507, 1687
Ushrufoonnessa Begum v. Gridharee Lall . . .	2 W. R., 307 . . .	3791, 4439
Usmut Koowar v. Tayler . . .	3 Agra, 237 . . .	3675
Ussud Ali Khan v. Olfut Beebee . . .	21 W. R., 333 . . .	316, 1779
Ustoorun v. Mohun Lal . . .	5 W. R., P. C., 26 : 1 Moore's } I. A., 19. }	1722, 6004
Usudoollah v. Imaman . . .	20 W. R., 75 . . .	6109
Uthur Hossein v. Ramphal Roy . . .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 92 . . .	4759, 5018
Utshab Narayan Chowdhry v. Chittra Raka Gupta . . .	13 W. R., Cr., 72 . . .	4100
Uttam Chunder Chatterjee v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee . . .	6 Bom., A. C., 45 . . .	3530
Uttamram Manikram v. Girdharlal Motiram . . .	9 Bom., 39 . . .	1541
Uttamram Maniklal v. Damodhardas Maniklal . . .	22 W. R., Cr., 76 . . .	6010
Uttom Chunder Gangooly v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee . . . {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634 : 10 C. } L. R., 466. }	2757
Uttom Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of . . .	13 Moore's I. A., 232 . . .	476
Uzhar Ali v. Ultaf Fatima . . .		
V		
Vadake Vatil Ismal v. Odakel Beyakutti Umah . . .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 347 . . .	3646
Vadali Ramakristnama v. Manda Appaiya . . .	2 Mad., 407 . . .	2084, 4154
Vadamalai Thiruvana Tevar v. Caruppen Servai . . .	4 Mad., 401 . . .	5703
Vadju v. Vadju . . .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 22 . . .	3977
Vadrevu Ranganaya Kamma v. Vadrevu Bulli Ramaiya . . .	5 C. L. R., 439 . . .	5505
Vaimbilee v. Empress . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 826 . . .	718, 1009
Vairicharla Surya Narayana v. Nadiminti Bhagavat Patanjali Shastri . . . {	3 Mad., 120 . . .	3383, 4406
Vaithelingam v. Saminada . . .	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 44 . . .	5033
Vakatchand Lakhmichand v. Advocate General . . .	8 Bom., O. C., 96 . . .	1112
Vakaty Ramareddy v. Duvvuru Ayappareddy . . .	7 Mad., 234 . . .	4276 4786

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vaku valad Ram Patil v. Pand valad Malji Patil	6 Bom., A. C., 243	1098
Valaji Isaji v. Thomas	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 190	4782
Valamaramayyan v. Virappa Kandian	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 145	3587
Valampudducherri Padmanabhan v. Chowakaren Pudia- purayil Kunhi Kolendan.	5 Mad., 320	1813
Valayet Hossein v. Maniran	5 C. L. R., 91	3630
Valiappa Ravuthan v. Mahommed Khasim	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 166	1774
Valia Tamburatti v. Vira Rayan	1 L. R., 1 Mad., 228	3220, 3235
Valimia Alimia v. Gulam Kadar Mohidin	6 Bom., A. C., 25	3677
Valiya Kesava Vadhyar v. Suppen Nair	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 308	1229
Vallabh Bhula v. Rama	9 Bom., 65	4987
Vallabhdas Hirachand v. Gokaldas Tejiram	3 Bom., A. C., 89	2075
Valla bin Hataji v. Sidoji bin Kondaji	5 Bom., A. C., 87	1813
Vallabhram Jagjivan v. Woodhouse	1 Bom., 144	4051
Vallabhram Shibnarayan v. Bai Hariganga	4 Bom., A. C., 135	2336
Vallinayagam Pillai v. Pachche	1 Mad., 326	2529, 2531
Valoo Chetty v. Sooryah Chetty	1 L. R., 1 Mad., 252 : L. R., 4 I. A., 109.	2538, 4655
Valu v. Ganga	1 L. R., 7 Bom., 84	2427
Valu Mudali v. Sowerby	2 Mad., 246	1578, 6238
Vamanaji Konera. In the matter of	1 Bom., 136	4442
Vaman Janardan Joshi v. Collector of Thana	6 Bom., A. C., 191	5502
Vaman Shripad v. Maki	1 L. R., 4 Bom., 424	3064
Vana Jagannathji v. Hata Dipaji	11 Bom., 46	1364
Van Ingen v. Dhunua Lall Lallah	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 108	4683
Vanneri Purushottaman Nambudri v. Patanattil Kanju Menavan.	2 Mad., 382	3424
Varada Chetty v. Vaiyapury Mudali	4 Mad., 151	3497
Varadaraja v. Venkatachala Pillai	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 345	5177, 5186
Varadarajulu Nayudu. Ex parte	1 Mad., 66	3781
Varadha Reddi v. Venkata Subba Reddi	5 Mad., 213	5730
Varadiperumal Udaiyan v. Ardanari Udaiyan	1 Mad., 412	2329
Varajlal Shivalal v. Dalsukh Varajlal	12 Bom., 196	955
Varanakot Narayanan Namburi v. Varanakot Naray- anan Namburi.	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 328	3758, 5048
Varathachari v. Balu Naicken	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 255	3590
Vardalaca Charri. In the matter of	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 15	2667
Varden Seth Sam v. Luckpathy Royjee Lallah	Marsh., 461 : 9 Moore's I. A., 303.	6133
v.	9 Moore's I. A., 303	1529, 1577 4154
Varikara Vadaka Vittil Valia Parvatthi v. Varikara Vadaka Vittil Kamaran Nayar.	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 341	3762
Varjivan Rangji v. Aji Daji	1 Bom., 165	2777
v. Ghelji Gokaldas	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 563	2196
Varma Valia v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah.	7 Mad., 210	3753
Vasanji Haribhai v. Lallu Akhu	1 L. R., 9 Bom., 285	321, 4392 5592
Vassareddy Lutchmeputty Naidoo In re	5 Moore's I. A., 300	4658
Vasudev v. Vamanji	1 L. R., 5 Bom., 80	2839
Vasudeva v. Chinnasami	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 584	3151, 5955
Vasudeva Bhallu v. Narasamma	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 6	1829, 4163
Vasudevan v. Keshavan	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 309	3767
Vasudev Anant v. Ramkrishna	1 L. R., 2 Bom., 529	70, 607
Vasudeva Patrudu v. Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simbulu	3 Mad., 1	2992
Vasudeva Shanbhaga v. Kuleadi Narnapai	7 Mad., 290	4033

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vasudeva Shanbog v. Naraina Pai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 356	4115
Vasudev Balkrishna v. Salubai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 227	4288
Vasudev Bhat v. Narayan Daji Damle	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131	2261
— v. Venkatesh Sanbhav	10 Bom., 139	1034, 2395
Vasudev Daji v. Babaji Ranu	8 Bom., A. C., 175	1682
Vasudev Hari v. Tatia Narayan	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387	6143
Vasudev Moresbhar Gunpule v. Rama Babaji Dange	11 Bom., 149	4765
Vasudev Pandit v. Collector of Puna	10 Bom., A. C., 471	70
— v. Narayan Joshi	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 15	1521
Vasudev Sadashiv Modak v. Collector of Ratnagiri	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 99: L. R., 4 } I. A., 119. . . .	4390
Vasudev Vishnu v. Narayan Jagannath	9 Bom., 289	3861
Vasudev Vishnu Dikshit v. Narayan Jagannath Dikshit	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 642, note	3859
Vasudev Vithal Samant v. Ramchandra Samant	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 129	2851
Vathiar. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 121	5905
Vato Koer v. Rowshun Singh	8 W. R., 82	2445
Vaughan v. Heseltine	I. L. R., 1 All., 753	3081, 3889
— v. Lalchand Ghose	15 W. R., 281	5707
Vayalil Pudia Madathemmil Moidin Kuttiaiyissa v. } Udaya Varma Valia Rajah. . . .	2 Mad., 315	3763
Vayangara Vadaka Vittil Manja v. Pariyangot Padin- } gara Kuruppath Kadugochen Nayar. . . .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 89	1147
Vayidinada v. Appu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 44	2128, 2134
Vaythenatha Sastrial v. Sami Pandithar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 116	3587, 3593
Vedanta v. Kanniyappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14	5988
Vedanta Chariar v. Ayyasami Mudali	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 332	3590
Vedanta Desikacharyulu v. Perindevamma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 249	4342
Vedavalli v. Narayana	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 19	2364
Veerapah Chetty. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 14 } R., 405. . . .	5473
Velaet Ali Khan v. Azmun	11 W. R., 513	4161
— v. Matadeen	10 W. R., 402	297
— v. Matadeen Ram	13 W. R., 3	1365
Velaety Begum v. Rugbonath Persad	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 747: 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 263: 8 W } R., 147. . . .	274
Velayet Hossein v. Wulee Ahmed	23 W. R., 207	818
Velayuthan v. Laksmama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 506	1693
Veliet Doss v. Bunarussee Roy	W. R., 1864, 262	2573
Vellai Mira Ravuttan v. Mira Moidin Ravuttan	2 Mad., 414	
— v. Varisai Mira Ravuttan		
Vellanki Venkata Krishna Rao v. Venkata Rama } Lakshmi. . . .	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174: L. R., } 4 I. A., 1: 26 W. R., 21. . . .	2122
Vellaya v. Jaganatha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 307	3518, 3521
Vellayan Chetty v. Aijan alias Thundavamurty Chetty	4 Mad., 374	5128
Vellayan Chetti v. Tiruvakone	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 76	1677
Vellaya Padyachy v. Moorthy Padyachy	4 Mad., 174	3586
Velliappen Chetty v. Nootoo Thevan	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11	4809
Velli Periya Mira Ravuthan v. Moidin Padsha Ravuthan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 332	3350
Velliymammal v. Katha Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 61	3596, 5955
Vembakum Somayagee Janakee Ammal v. Mooneswamy } Chetty. . . .	4 Mad., 176	2171, 2404
Vencama Shetty v. Pamoo Shetty	5 Mad., 323	402, 2211
Vencata Chella Chetty v. Parvatham	8 Mad., 134	5121
Vencata Chella Kandian v. Panjanadien	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 213	2318
Vencata Chella Mudali v. Sashagerry Rau	7 Mad., 283	4482
		3134, 3306

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vencata Niladry Row v. Enoogooty Sooriah	5 W. R., P. C., 79	4653
v. Vutchavoy Vencataputty Raj	5 W. R., P. C., 80	4211
Vencataramanier v. Manche Reddy	7 Mad., 298	3134
Vencataswara Naicker v. Alagoomoohoo Servacaren	4 W. R., P. C., 73 : 8 Moore's I. A., 327.	1848, 3579
Vencataswara Yelliappah Naiker v. Alagoo Mootoo Servagaren.	8 Moore's I. A., 327 : 4 W. R., P. C., 73.	1848, 3579
Vencatavarada Iyengar v. Vencata Luchmamal	23 W. R., 91	2722
Vengaua Naikar v. Raghava Chary	2 Mad., 291	3770
Vengamuthu v. Pandaveswara Gurukal	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 151	2839
Vengappayan v. Rajapayan	1 Mad., 298	627
Vengideswara Putter v. Chatu Achen	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 224	1212, 2727
Venithithan Chetty v. Moothiroolandi Chetty	6 Mad., 4	4757
Venkaji Lakshman Deshpande v. Yamunabai	7 Bom., A. C., 114	5692
Venkapa v. Chenbasapa	1 L. R., 4 Bom., 21	3244, 3266
Venkappa Chetti v. Akku	7 Mad., 219	3428
Venkata v. Kannam	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 184	3946
v. Muttusami	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 314	1542
v. Rama	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 249	5265
v. Subhadra	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 548	2111, 2118 2129
Venkata Balakrishna Chetti v. Vijiaragunadha Valaji Krishna Gopaler.	4 Mad., 32	3175, 5732
Venkatabala Krishna Chettiyar v. Kaliyana Ramaiyengar	5 Mad., 48	109
Venkatachala v. Appathorai	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 134	3252
v. South Indian Railway Company	1 L. R., 5 Mad., 208	4707
Venkatachalam v. Thimma Naikan	5 Mad., 64	5702
Venkatachalam Chetti v. Andiappan Ambalam	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 232	6043
Venkatachala Reddiar v. Narayana Reddy	4 Mad., 393	5697
Venkatachari v. Anantachari	1 Mad., 69	6177
Venkatachella Chetti v. Parvatammal	2 Mad., 418	5769
Venkatachella Maniyakarar v. Thathammal	4 Mad., 460	1579, 2262
Venkatachellam Chetti v. Audian	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 358	4790
v. Kaduinthuai	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 145	3589
Venkatachellam Pillay v. Tirumala Chary	2 Mad., 289	3991
Venkata Chella Pillay v. Chinnaya Mudaliar	5 Mad., 166	2393
Venkatachellasami Chettiar v. Kristnasawmy Iyer	8 Mad., 1	1058
Venkatagiri v. Raghava	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 142	4816
Venkatagiri Raja v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 13	223
v. Pitchana	1 L. R., 9 Mad., 27	3592
Venkatagopal v. Rangappa	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 365	3594
Venkatakrishna Charya v. Coelho	1 L. R., 6 Mad., 170	431
Venkata Krishnayyar v. Kaveri Shettati	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 201	2385
Venkata Kristnaiya v. Venkatachalaayar	5 Mad., 1	3568
Venkatalaksmamma v. Narasayya	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 545	2123
Venkata Mahipati Gangadhara Rama Rau v. Buchi Sitayya.	1 L. R., 8 Mad., 219	5028
Venkatamma v. Ciengalayappa	1 L. R., 7 Mad., 555	686
Venkatammal v. Andayappa Chetti	6 Mad., 180	2415, 2458
Venkatanarasammah v. Ramiah	1 L. R., 2 Mad., 108	3928
Venkatanarasayya v. Achemma	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 3	4337
Venkata Narasiah v. Subbamma	1 L. R., 4 Mad., 178	3253
Venkata Narasimha Appa Row v. Court of Wards	L. R., 7 I. A., 38 : 6 C. L. R., 153	5505
v. ———	L. R., 13 I. A., 155 : 1 L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	4657
v. Narayya Appa Row	L. R., 7 I. A., 38 : 6 C. L. R., 153.	5505

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Venkata Narasimha Appa Row <i>v.</i> Venkatakristnia	5 Mad., 410	1935
Venkatanarayana <i>v.</i> Subbarayudu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 214	3580
Venkata Narsammah <i>v.</i> Ramiah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 108	4827
Venkatanaru <i>v.</i> Akkamma	3 Mad., 139	3243
Venkatapathi <i>v.</i> Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 457	3261, 3329
Venkatappah <i>v.</i> Papammah	5 Mad., 132	1044, 4717
Venkata Ramalakshmi Garu <i>v.</i> Gopala Appa Row	L. R., 13 I. A., 155 : I. L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	6235, 6258
Venkataraman <i>v.</i> Mahalingayyan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 508	4657
Venkataramana Hodai <i>v.</i> Bapanna Pai	7 Mad., 103	5430, 5969
Venkatarama Naik <i>v.</i> Chinnathambu Reddi	7 Mad., 1	1400, 1911
Venkataramanaiya <i>v.</i> Kuppi	3 Mad., 302	4819
Venkataramanayya <i>v.</i> Srinivasa Rau	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 182	243
Venkataramanier <i>v.</i> Ananda Chetty	5 Mad., 120	3211
Venkata Ramanjulu Nayudu <i>v.</i> Ramachandra Nayudu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 150	5196
Venkataramanna <i>v.</i> Chavela Atchiyamma	6 Mad., 127	3590
Venkata Rama Rao <i>v.</i> Venkata Suriya Rao	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 333 : 8 C. L. R., 304.	1491, 4437
Venkata Rama Rau <i>v.</i> Venkata Suriya Rau	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 281	2494, 2510
Venkataramayyan <i>v.</i> Dikshatar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 358	2510
Venkata Rao <i>v.</i> Court of Wards	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 128	2404
Venkataratnamma <i>v.</i> Ramanujasami	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 312	5505
Venkatarayalu <i>v.</i> Narasimha	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 174	2934
Venkatarayar <i>v.</i> Srinivasa Ayyangar	7 Mad., 32	3484
Venkata Reddi <i>v.</i> Parvati Ammal	1 Mad., 460	2257
— <i>v.</i> Venkataramaiya	1 Mad., 418	3975
Venkata Reddy <i>v.</i> Lister	7 Mad., 342	916
Venkatasami <i>v.</i> Queen	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 102	4574
Venkatasami Naik. In the matter of the petition of	4 Mad., 101	1025
— <i>v.</i> Kuppaiyan	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 354	4795, 4796
— <i>v.</i> Situpati Ambalam	7 Mad., 359	2402
Venkatasamy Naick <i>v.</i> Muthuvijia Ragunada Rani	5 Mad., 227	3591
Kathama Natchiar <i>alias</i> Kalandapuri Natchiar.	4 Mad., 404	3077
Venkatasa Naidu <i>v.</i> Sadagopasamy Iyer	4 Mad., 410	109
Venkatasa Naiker <i>v.</i> Srinivassa Chariyar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 283	114, 1387
Venkatassubbaramayya <i>v.</i> Surayya	2 Mad., 113	6045
Venkata Subha Pattar <i>v.</i> Giri Ammal	4 Mad., 243	3353
Venkata Subba Row <i>v.</i> Sesha Reddy	4 Mad., 331	3156
Venkata Subia <i>v.</i> Sivaramappa	2 Mad., 1	3589
Venkatasvami Nayakkan <i>v.</i> Subba Rau	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 344	1888, 5829
Venkata Viraragavayyengar <i>v.</i> Krishnasami Ayyangar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 117	1741, 3427
Venkatasa <i>v.</i> Sengoda	3 Mad., 28	6084
Venkatassaiya <i>v.</i> Venkatacharlu	7 Mad., 77	2801, 3329
Venkatasa Nayadu <i>v.</i> Shagatopa Shri Shagatopa Swami	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 160	4889
Venkatesh Narayan Pai <i>v.</i> Krishnaji Arjun	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 187	1774
Venkateshwara <i>v.</i> Kesava Shetti	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 384 : L. R., 8 I. A., 143.	2126
Venkateswara Iyan <i>v.</i> Shekhari Varma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 280	108
Venkatramana <i>v.</i> Thir Singh	4 Mad., 345	3036, 3038
Venkatramanna <i>v.</i> Brammanna Sastrulu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 4	3907
Venkatramaya <i>v.</i> Viraya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 108	8755, 4655
Venkatrav Bapu <i>v.</i> Bijesing Vithalsing	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 450	104
Venkatrayudu <i>v.</i> Nagadu		2150
		5667
		3206, 3476
		3153

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Venkatrayudu v. Papi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 182	4810
Venkat Shrinivas v. Armstrong	3 Bom., A. C., 47	2783
Venkayya v. Subbarayudu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 283	3580
Venkayyar v. Venkatasubbayyar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 53	4785
Venkittarama Pattar v. Keshava Menon	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 349	1080
Venkopadhyaya v. Kavari Hengusu	2 Mad., 36	2414, 3233
Venu v. Coorya Narayan	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 376	3768
Verasami Nayadu v. Subba Rau	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 54	112
Verasut Hossein v. Jughdharree Sing	13 W. R., 59	4933
Vericherla Surya Narayana Raju v. Satracherla Jaganada Raju. }	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 253	4578
Vernede v. Abdul Giri Chinna Swami	2 Mad., 427	102
Verner v. Abdool Ali	23 W. R., 73, 239	2947, 2955
Vicar-General of the Portuguese Mission in Bengal	17 W. R., 188	1197
Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Cuddalore, v. Nelson. }	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 129	3600
Vidaram v. Chandra Shekharram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 163	743, 1864
Vijaya v. Sripathi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 94	2414
Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo Sawmy Periya Oodya Taver v. Katama Natchiar (Rajah of Shivagunga). }	11 Moore's I. A., 50	4962, 4988
Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo-Swamy Perria Woodia Taver v. Anga Mootoo Natchiar. }	6 W. R., P. C., 50 : 3 Moore's I. A., 278. }	3583
Vijaya Ragava v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 466	3599
Vijayasami v. Periasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 242	3418
Vijiarangam v. Lakshuman	8 Bom., O. C., 244	2118, 2439
Vijkor v. Jijibhai Vaji	9 Bom., 310	2491
Vilaette Khanum v. Mehier Ali	24 W. R., Cr., 4	3800
Villayet Ali Khan v. Ameenooddeen Ahmed	23 W. R., 19	6026
Vinayak Anandrav v. Lakshmibai	1 Bom., 117	4615
Vinayak Divakar v. Bai Itcha	3 Bom., A. C., 36	2310
Vinayak Govind v. Babaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 230	2783
Vinayak Joshi v. Janardan Joshi	7 Bom., A. C., 23	3133, 3306
Vinayak K. Dhavle v. Bhau B. Samvat	4 Bom., A. C., 39	3307
Vinayak Narayan Jog v. Govindrav Chintaman Jog	6 Bom., A. C., 224	6225
Vinayak Raghunath v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway Co. }	7 Bom., O. C., 113	4338
Vinayakrav Ganpatrav v. Ransordas Pranjivandas	7 Bom., A. C., 90	2531, 2536
Vinayak Sadaashiv Voze v. Raghi	4 Bom., A. C., 202	1098, 1382
Vinayak Vasudev v. Ritohie, Steuart, & Co..	4 Bom., O. C., 139	5253
Virabhadra Pillai v. Hari Rama Pillai	3 Mad., 38	1033
Virabhadra Rau v. Ramaiya alias Babpautula	4 Mad., 148	1075, 2711
Virabuddha Gaud. Ex parte	1 Mad., 199	1815, 5641
Virada Pratapa Raghunada Deo v. Brozo Kishoro Patta Deo. }	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 69 : 25 W. R., 291 : L. R., 3 I. A., 154. }	2943, 6135
Viramma v. Narayya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 283	3492
Virammal v. Kasturi Rungayyengar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 381	1853
Virankutti v. Chiyamu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 557	3743
Viranna v. Nagayyah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 6	4790
Vira Pillay v. Muruga Muttayan	2 Mad., 340	1298
Virappa Nayak v. Kathana Talavachi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 428	3768
Viraragava v. Ramalinga	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 148	3157
v. Ramudu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 170	5302
Viraragava Ayyangar v. Varada Ayyangar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 123	2127
		422
		5424

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Viraragavamma v. Samudrala	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 208	2406
Viraraghava v. Subbakka	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 397	822
Viraraghava Ayyangar v. Venkata Charyar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 217	814
Viraramuthi Udayan v. Singaravelu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 306	2417
Vira Rayen v. Valia Rani (Rani of Calicut)	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 141	3753
Virasami v. Athi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 595	3478
Virasami Mudali v. Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 144	4354
— v. Ramasami Mudali	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 87	5802
Virasami Nayudu v. Arunachella Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 200	4274
Virasamy Mudali v. Manommany Ammal	4 Mad., 32	{ 3175, 3480 3510, 5732
Virasangappa v. Rudrappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 440	2441
Virasvami Chetti v. Appasvami Chetti	1 Mad., 375	{ 2434, 2212 2440, 5206 2393, 4858
Virasvami Gramini v. Ayyasvami Gramini	1 Mad., 471	{ 5384
Virasvami Nayak v. Sayambabay Sahiba	2 Mad., 6	3288
Virdachala Nattan v. Ramasavami Nayakan	1 Mad., 341	2608, 5798
Viresa v. Tatayya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 467	1995
Virgas. In the goods of	1 Bom., 103	3085
Virjivandas Madhabdas v. Mahomed Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 208	1569, 4265
Virsingappa bin Baslingappa v. Sadashivappa Appa } Golkhandi.	7 Bom., A. C., 74	5433, 5453
Visalatchi Ammal v. Annasamy Sastry	5 Mad., 150	2421, 2430
— v. Sivasankara Taker	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 292	794
Visalatchmi Ammal v. Subbu Pillai	6 Mad., 270	2263
Vishnubhat v. Babaji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 345, note	3057
Vishnu Bhau Joshi v. Ravji Bhau Joshi	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 18	179
Vishnucharya v. Ram Chandra	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 253	1036, 4597
Vishnu Dikshit v. Narsinghrav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 584	5892
Vishnu Keshav Sathe. In re application of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 58	5857
Vishnu Shambhog v. Manjamma	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 108	{ 1460, 1903 2427, 2527
Vishnu Trimbak v. Tatia alias Vasudev Pant	1 Bom., 22	5110
Vishvanath v. Mahadaji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 147	2595
Vishvanath Atmanaram v. Bapu Narayan	1 Bom., 262	1815, 5796
Vishvanath Hari. In the matter of the petition of	7 Bom., A. C., 71	182
Vishvanathrav Kachesvar v. Narayan bin Gopal Khape	9 Bom., 424	3285
Vissappa v. Ramajogi	2 Mad., 341	{ 2594, 2859 5591, 5876
Visvanath Gungadbur v. Krishnaji Gunesh	3 Bom., A. C., 69	2299
Visvanath Maheshvar v. Virchand Panachand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 16	5418
Viswambhara Rajendra Devu Garu v. Saradhi Charana } Samantaraya Garu.	3 Mad., 111	1361, 3275
Vithal alias Gopal Gonesh Bivalkar. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 148	6083
Vithal Ambaram v. Dayabhai Murlidhar	10 Bom., 54	5662
Vithaldas Manickdas v. Jeshubai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 219	2308
Vithaldas Narotamdas v. Karsandas Keshavdas	5 Bom., O. C., 76	4259
Vithal Janardan v. Vithojirav Putlajirav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 588	3459
Vithal Krishna v. Balkrishna Janardan	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 610	5970, 6078
Vithal Krishua Joshi v. Anant Ramchandra	11 Bom., 6	5264
Vithal Mahadev v. Dand valad Mahomed Husen	6 Bom., A. C., 90	{ 1846, 3638 4027
Vithal Nilkanth Pinjale v. Vishvasrav bin Bapujirav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 497	3966
Vithal Ramchandra v. Gangaram Vithoji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 180	4051
Vithalrav Eshwantrav. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 65	4387

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vithal Vishvanath Prabhu v. Ram Chandra Sadashiv Kirkire.	7 Bom., A. C., 149 . . .	3117, 3165
Vithoba Bava v. Hariba Bava	6 Bom., A. C., 54	2453
Vithoba bin Chabu v. Gangaram bin Biramji	12 Bom., 180	3442
Vithoba bin Keshavshet v. Shabajirav	5 Bom., A. C., 122	5674
Vithoba Malhari v. Corfield	3 Bom., Ap., 1	712, 2784
Vithoba valad Ukba v. Chotalal Tukaram	7 Bom., A. C., 116	2214
Vithu v. Narayan Dabhalkar	5 Bom., A. C., 30	312, 4881
Vithu bin Manku v. Amrita bin Joti	7 Bom., A. C., 72	2849
Vitla Butten v. Yamenamma	8 Mad., 6	2394, 2532
Vizagapatam Municipality v. Walker	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 269	3602
Vizaramarazu v. Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 525 : L. R., } 12 I. A., 120.	3171
Vizaramarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau v. Secretary of State for India.	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Vonlintzgy v. Narayan Sing	6 I. L. R., Ap., 64	4071
Vraundavandas Ramdas v. Yamunabai	12 Bom., 229	2265, 2266
Vrijavalabh Das Khushal Das v. Collector of Ahmedabad.	10 Bom., 190	613
Vurella Gangaia. Ex parte	2 Mad., 305	1911
Vurmah Valia v. Ravi Vurmah	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 235 : L. R., } 4 I. A., 76.	3754
Vyakunta Bapuji v. Government of Bombay	12 Bom., Ap., 1	2858, 2958 2963, 3796
Vyankatray Anandray Nimbalkar v. Jayavantrav bin Malharav Ranadive.	4 Bom., A. C., 191	2130, 2138
Vyankatraya v. Shivrambhat	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 256	3078
Vyasrav Balaji v. Subhaji Narayan	5 Bom., A. C., 173	5090
Vydanadayyan v. Sitaramayyan	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 52	4275
Vydanathyyan v. Minakshi Ammal	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 5	2520
Vydinatha v. Subramanya	1. L. R., 8 Mad., 235	6084
Vyricherla Raz Bahadoor v. Nadminti Bagavat Sastri	25 W. R., 3	3578
Vytheelingum v. Government	21 W. R., 199	4422
Vythelinga Mudelly v. Cundasawmy Mudelly	8 Mad., 21	2768, 4521 4527
Vythilinga Mudali v. Ravana Sundarappayyar	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 167	2728
Vythilinga Muppanar v. Vijayathammal	1. L. R., 6 Mad., 43	1694, 2127
Vythilinga Pillai v. Thetchanamurti Pillai	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 76	3346
W		
Wafeah v. Saheeba	8 W. R., 307	3332, 5041
Waghji Thackersey v. Khatao Rowji	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 167	4525
Wagji Korji v. Tharia Topan	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 58	1039
Wahed Ali v. Jumayee	2 B. L. R., F. B., 73 : 11 W. } R., F. B., 1.	827
— v. Mullick Enayet Hossein Ali	12 B. L. R., 500 : 20 W. R., } 31.	1924, 3523
— v. Sadiq Ali	12 B. L. R., 487, note : 17 } W. R., 417.	5411
Wahedoollah v. Golam Akbur	25 W. R., 205	644, 2594
Waheooddeen v. Jhungoree	2 N. W., 16	4468
Wahid Ali v. Ashruff Hossain	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 732 : 10 C. } L. R., 529.	3670
Wahidoonnissa v. Roy Mohabeer Pershad Sahoo	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 128	1916
Wahidunnessa v. Sarga Dass	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 39	1691

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wahidunnissa v. Shubrattun	6 B. L. R., 54: 14 W. R., } 239.	3658
Waigankar v. Wadekar	5 Bom., A. C., 194	5219, 5790
Waj Bibee v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 23	3645
Wajed Ali v. Chundrabutty Kooeree	22 W. R., 542	2983
Wajed Hossein v. Abdool Kadir	13 W. R., 418	4958
v. Ahmed Reza	17 W. R., 480	898, 4445 5400, 6150
Wajeed Ali v. Abdool Ali	W. R., 1864, 121	3678, 3683
Wajibun v. Kadir Buksh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 292	3686 3205
Wajid Ali Khan v. Lala Hanuman Prasad .	4 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 12 W. R., 484.	308, 3726 6076
Wajid Ali Shah v. Dianat-ullah Beg	I. L. R., 8 All., 31	1443, 5225
Wajid Hossein v. Nankoo Singh	25 W. R., 311	2165
Wajoodeen Hossein v. Madhoo Chowdry	17 W. R., 404	3061
Wala Hiraji v. Hira Patel	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 518	390
Walee Mahomed v. Kumur Ali	7 W. R., 428	1828
Wali Alam v. Nasran	3 B. L. R., Ap., 104: 12 W. R., 50.	180, 6089
Wali Muhammad v. Turab Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 497	1900
Waliulla v. Ghulam Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 535	323, 381
v. Miran Saheb	2 Bom., 301, 2nd Ed., 285	3637
Walker v. Atnaram Mundur	14 W. R., 478	4191
Wallace. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 24	2104
v. Jefferson	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 453	2679
Wallah Allee v. Golam Gous	10 B. L. R., Ap., 32: 19 W. R., 215.	4172
Waller. In re	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 430	5715
Wallis v. Taylor	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 37	5714
Waman Ramchandra v. Dhondiba Krishnaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 126	1575, 4778 4783, 4803
Wannathan Kandile Chiruthal v. Keyakadath Pydei } Kurup.	6 Mad., 194	3755
Ward v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 33	2905
Warden v. Warden	9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	1550
Waris Ali v. Muhammad Ismail	I. L. R., 8 All., 552	2876
Waris Meah v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584	2007
Warrish Ally v. Lalla Ram Sahaye	1 Hay, 197	4896
Waselun Hak v. Gowhurunnissa Bibi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7: 10 W. R., 105.	679
Watkins v. Dhunnoo Baboo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 140: 8 C. L. R., 433.	3858
v. Roheenee Bullub	10 B. L. R., Ap., 11	2651
Watson v. Aga Mehedee Sherazee	L. R., 1 I. A., 346	917, 3318
v. Collector of Rajshahye	3 B. L. R., P. C., 48: 12 W. R., P. C., 43: 13 Moore's I. A., 160.	519, 6223
v. Dost Mahomed Khan	2 Hay, 4	3061
v. Gobind Chunder Mozoomdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 46	4927
v. Golab Khan	1 B. L. R., S. N., 21: 10 W. R., Cr., 28.	1257
v. Gonesh Chunder Sahoo	3 C. L. R., 240	528, 5307
v. Government	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3 W. R., 73.	3394, 6187
v. Hedger	W. R., 1864, Act X, 25	2792
v. Jonmenjoy Coondoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 934	4514

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Watson v. Pokhur Doss Paul	4 W. R., 2	1676
— v. Pyari Lal Shaha	7 B. L. R., 175	3839
— v. Rutnokant Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 19	546
— v. Sreemunt Lal Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 447	4637, 5350
Watson & Co. v. Anjunna Dossee	10 W. R., 107	1604
— v. Bhoonya Koonwar Narain Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 105	3800
— v. Blechynden	1 W. R., 368	5215
— v. Brojo Soonduree Dabee	10 W. R., 395	5627
— v. Brojosoonduree Debia	16 W. R., 225	5611
— v.	17 W. R., 376	5627
— v. Bykantnath Dass	14 W. R., Cr., 80	1255
— v. Choto Joora Mundul	Marsh, 68: 1 Hay, 232	1605
— v. Dhonendra Chunder Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 6	552
— v. Doorgamonee Dossee	21 W. R., 410	5636
— v. Dwarkanath Sircar	Marsh., 415: 2 Hay, 533	5268
— v. Hurgobind Sookul	22 W. R., 35	1214
— v. Hurry Nath Sircar	7 W. R., 388	1057
— v. Jhukroo Singh	1 W. R., 195	1599
— v. Joggeshar Attah	Marsh., 330: 2 Hay, 436	1599
— v. Kunhye Bahadoor	9 W. R., 294	771, 4913
— v. Mohendronath Paul	23 W. R., 436	581, 5634
— v. Mohesh Narain Roy	24 W. R., 176	3066
— v. Neel Kant Sircar	10 W. R., 330	1621
— v. Nidhoo Digwar	10 W. R., 87	5773
— v. Nistarini Gupta	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 544	7
— v. Nobin Mohun Babu	10 W. R., 72	144
— v. Nund Lal Sircar	21 W. R., 420	1617
— v. Ram Dhun Ghose	17 W. R., 496	580, 1631
— v. Sham Lal Pandah	10 W. R., 73	1604, 1782
— v. Shurnomoyee	9 W. R., 259	905, 1568
— v. Shurut Soonduree Debia	7 W. R., 395	4288
— v. Sreedhur Mundle	10 W. R., 421	3117, 5191
— v. Surnomoyee	8 W. R., Cr., 63	4493
— v.	24 W. R., 414	1544
— v. Tarinee Churn Gangooly	17 W. R., 494	2995
Wazeer Ali v. Dunne	1 Agra, Rev., 15	1587
— v. Kaim Ali	5 N. W., 196	3688
Wazeer Mahton v. Chuni Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 727: 9 C. } L. R., 377. }	4967
Wazir Mahton v. Lulit Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 166: 8 C. } L. R., 505. }	174
— v.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 160	3483
Wazir Muhammad Khan v. Gauri Dat	I. L. R., 4 All., 412	2920
Wazir Singh. In the case of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 65: 12 W. } R., Cr., 46. }	5603
Weatherall v. Dillon	6 N. W., 200	3772
Webb v. Rinchiden	14 W. R., 214	3910, 5689
Webbe v. Lester	2 Bom., 55: 2nd Ed., 52	1575, 5817
Webor Ali v. Gaddai Behari	2 C. L. R., 165	5986
Webster v. Keena	9 W. R., Cr., 70	3293
Welsh. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 280	4112
Wemyss. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 721	3563
Wharton v. Moona Lall	1 Agra, 96	6200
Whateley v. Palanji Pestanji	3 Bom., O. C., 137	1356
White. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 582	2622
		4666

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
White v. Bishto Chunder Bose	2 Hay, 567	2379
— v. Tripura Sunkur Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 297	5744
Whymper & Co. v. Buckle & Co.	I. L. R., 3 All., 469	1058
Wilaiti Begam v. Nur Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 514	5032
Wilayat Husain v. Allah Rakhi	I. L. R., 2 All., 831	3651
Wilayatunnissa v. Najibunnissa	I. L. R., 1 All., 583	166
Wilkinson v. Abbas Sirkar	3 B. L. R., O. C., 96	460, 1576
— v. Gangadhar Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 486	4248, 4715
Williams v. Brown	I. L. R., 8 All., 108	241, 741
— v. Great Eastern Hotel Co.	Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166	5950
— v. Williams	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 688	3791
Williamson v. Arab Ismail Khan	11 B. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349.	1549
Willis v. Willis	4 B. L. R., O. C., 52	5721
Willson. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 149	1550
— v. Government	12 W. R., 122	5904
— v. Kanhya Sahoo	11 W. R., 143	403
— v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429	1366, 1379
Wingrove v. Wingrove	14 W. R., 416	3574
Winter v. Gartner	1 B. L. R., O. C., 79	1545
— v. Round	1 Mad., 202	2630
— v. Way	1 Mad., 200	2820, 4681
Winterscale v. Gopal Chandra Seal	3 B. L. R., O. C., 90	656, 2813
Wise. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 20	4509.
— v. Abdool Ali	2 W. R., 127	4771
— v. —	7 W. R., 136	5933
— v. Ameeroonnissa Khatoon	3 W. R., 219	38
— v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 34	1922
— v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 132	40, 1759
— v. —	L. R., 7 I. A., 73	38
— v. Bansee Shaha	16 W. R., 51	43
— v. Bhoobun Moyee Debia	3 W. R., P. C., 5 : 10 Moore's I. A., 165.	42, 3236
— v. Brojendro Coomar Roy	18 W. R., 91	3806
— v. Burn	4 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	3434, 4128
— v. Collector of Backergunge	L. R., 7 I. A., 73	5680, 6000
— v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry	13 W. R., 271	4458
— v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain	16 W. R., 150	4603
— v. Hurro Chunder Shaha	6 W. R., Act X, 90	42, 3236
— v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	14 W. R., 380	4035
— v. Jagabandhu Bose	2 B. L. R., P. C., 69 : 12 } Moore's I. A., 477.	5125
— v. Jugbundhoo Bose	7 Moore's I. A., 431	540
— v. Juggobundoo Bose	12 W. R., 229	4907
— v. Jugobundhoo Baboo	4 W. R., Mis., 10	510
— v. Kishen Koomar Bose	4 Moore's I. A., 201	5536
— v. Lakhoo Khan	16 W. R., 50	33, 2749
— v. Radhagobind Shaha	20 W. R., 181	3541
— v. Rajender Coomar Roy	11 W. R., 200	510, 4637
— v. Rajkrishna Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 5.1 : 6 W. R., Mis., 84.	3804
— v. Rajnarain Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., F. B., 258 : 10 W. R., 30.	1503
— v. Ram Chunder Bysack	7 W. R., 415	3822
— v. Romanath Sen Luskhur	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5	283
		3540
		3799
		3117

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wise v. Rubaa Khatoon	19 W. R., 299	136, 5762
— v. Sunduloonissa Chowdranee	7 W. R., P. C., 13: 11 } Moore's I. A., 177. }	1723, 3703
Wiseman v. Gopaul Doss Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 277	4618
Wishwambhar Pandit v. Prabhakar Bhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 269	167, 4266
Wodoy Tara Chowdhraia v. Abdool Jubbur Chowdhry }	24 W. R., 339	3473, 3491
Wolee Alum v. Misrun	12 W. R., 50	3515
Wolfut v. Nusrutoollah	25 W. R., 48	180, 6089
Womda Khanum v. Rajroop Koer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 335: 1 C. } L. R., 295. }	5123
Womes Chunder Chatterjee v. Chundee Churn Roy }	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 293	3778
Womesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Collector of 24-Per- } gunnals. }	8 W. R., 439	4901
Womesh Chunder Ghose v. Shama Sundari Bai	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 98: 8 C. L. } R., 489. }	5363
Womesh Chunder Roy v. Deendyal Poramanick	2 Hay, 12	1777
Wood. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891: 11 C. } L. R., 357 }	288
— Corporation of Town of Calcutta	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 322: 9 C. } L. R., 193. }	651
— v. —	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891: 11 C. } L. R., 357. }	651, 2767
— v. Wood	14 B. L. R., Ap., 6	651
— v. —	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 485: 1 C. } L. R., 562. }	1555
Woodhouse v. Calcutta and South Eastern Railway Co.	9 W. R., 73	1549
Woodit Singh v. Buldeo Singh	21 W. R., 12	4070
Woodoy-aditto Deb v. Makoond Narain Aditto Deb	22 W. R., 225	4859
Woodoy Chand Halder v. Gooroo Churn Mojoomdar	13 W. R., 148	2061, 5102
Woodoy Chand Jana v. Nitye Mundul	9 W. R., 111	5655
Woodoy Chand Mookhopadhy. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 31	4770, 4807
Woodoy Chunder Dhur v. Kaleetara Dassia	11 W. R., 393	4920
Woodoy Chunder Mundul v. Ahmedoollah	12 W. R., 467	1180
Woodoy Narain v. Durriah Roy	W. R., 1864, 187	1410
Woodoy Narain Sein v. Tarinee Churn Roy	11 W. R., 496	6108
Woodoy Tara Chowdhraia v. Abdool Gunny	12 W. R., 16	1611
Woodrow v. Schiller	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 90	4199
Woodwant Mahtoon v. Hunooman Pershad Singh	22 W. R., 419	5216
Wolfut Hossein v. Jumoon Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 60	6187
Wooma Churn Banerjee v. Haradhun Mojoomdar	1 W. R., 347	2930
Wooma Churn Chatterjee v. Kadambini Dabee	3 C. L. R., 146	3364, 4155
Wooma Churn Chowdhry v. Beharee Lall Mookerjee	21 W. R., 274	573, 3014
— v. Kumolay Kaminee Dabee	25 W. R., 58	5266
— v. Kurralee Churn Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 163	3984
Wooma Churn Dhole v. Teil	14 B. L. R., Ap., 13	1895
Wooma Churn Dutt v. Grish Chunder Bose	17 W. R., 32	898
Wooma Churn Mitter v. Bejoy Kishore Roy	W. R., 1864, 130	395
— v. Mohamoya	11 W. R., 60	325, 1631
Wooma Churn Mookerjee v. Hurry Churn Pose	1 W. R., Mis., 10	3181
— Chowdhry. }	1 W. R., Mis., 10	4757
Wooma Churn Mozoomdar v. Chunder Kant Roy }	16 W. R., 255	1918
— Chowdhry. }	13 B. L. R., 215, note	494
Wooma Churn Roy Mozoomdar. In re		494

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wooma Churn Sett v. Huree Pershad Misser . . .	10 W. R., 101 . . .	528, 562
Wooma Dace v. Gocoolanund Dass . . .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 587 : 2 C. } L. R., 51 : L. R., 5 I. A., 40. }	2134, 2148 2304
Wooma Kant Bukshee v. Gunga Narain Chowdhry . . .	20 W. R., 385 . . .	1852
Woomakant Sircar v. Gopal Singh . . .	2 W. R., Act X, 19 . . .	5183
Woomamoyee Burmonya v. Bokoo Behara . . .	13 W. R., 333 . . .	5178
_____ v. Hills . . .	11 W. R., 377 . . .	5361
_____ v. Kunuck Chunder Mookerjee . . .	17 W. R., 418 . . .	5752
_____ v. Ram Bukhsh Chetlangee . . .	16 W. R., 11 . . .	829, 5419
Woomanath Roy Chowdhry v. Ashumburee Biswas . . .	12 W. R., 476 . . .	1647
_____ v. Debnath Roy Chowdry . . .	14 W. R., 471 . . .	1621, 5109
_____ v. Roghoonath Mitter . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 63 . . .	5175, 5313 5320
_____ v. Sreenath Singh . . .	15 W. R., 260 . . .	30, 6221
Woomanath Tewaree v. Koondun Tewaree . . .	19 W. R., 177 . . .	5178
Wooma Nundo Roy v. Browne . . .	6 W. R., Cir. Ref., 30 . . .	2999
Woomapersad Shaw v. Shumsher Sirdar . . .	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 10 . . .	5695
Woomapershad Roy v. Grish Chunder Prochundo . . .	1. L. R., 10 Calc., 639 . . .	2337
Wooma Soonduree Burmonia v. Gooroo Pershad Roy . . .	15 W. R., 74 . . .	2702
Wooma Soonduree Dossee v. Biressur Roy . . .	8 W. R., 289 . . .	3210
_____ v. Dwarkanath Roy . . .	11 W. R., 72 . . .	3164, 3868
_____ v. Rajkisto Roy . . .	21 W. R., 366 . . .	3074
Wooma Soonduree Dossia v. Beerbul Mundul . . .	11 W. R., 563 . . .	5315
Wooma Soonduree Thakooranee v. Kishoreo Mohun Banerjee . . .	8 W. R., 238 . . .	4210
Wooma Sunkory v. Ally Ashruff . . .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 96 . . .	538
Wooma Tara v. Bhurosa Ram Dass . . .	24 W. R., 409 . . .	4269
Woomatara Dabee v. Unnopoorna Dossee . . .	10 W. R., 426 . . .	5005
Woomatara Debea. In re . . .	6 W. R., Mis., 120 . . .	5116
Woomatara Debia v. Unnopoorna Dassee . . .	11 B. L. R., 158 : 18 W. R., } 163. }	4659, 5005
Wooma Tara Goopta v. Kalee Tara Goopta . . .	25 W. R., 93 . . .	667
Woomatool Fatima Begum v. Meerunmunnissa Khanum . . .	9 W. R., 318 . . .	3332, 3658
Woomesh Chunder Bose v. Matungiuee Debia . . .	2 W. R., 170 . . .	877
_____ v. Muddun Mohun Sircar . . .	2 W. R., 44 . . .	5663
_____ v. Soorjee Kanto Roy Chowdhry . . .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 713 : 6 C. } L. R., 49. }	548
Woomesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Isharutoollah . . .	8 W. R., 439 . . .	5363
_____ v. Kumuroodeen Luskur . . .	7 W. R., 20 . . .	564
Woomesh Chunder Goopto v. Rajnarain Roy . . .	10 W. R., 15 . . .	3392, 3813
Woomesh Chunder Mojoomdar v. Dwarkanath Roy . . .	4 W. R., 80 . . .	4314
Woomesh Chunder Mookerjee v. Bama Dossee . . .	7 W. R., 15 . . .	1753
Woomesh Chunder Mookerjee v. Sageman . . .	12 W. L., O. C., 2 . . .	1814, 3202
Woomesh Chunder Roy v. Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee . . .	11 W. R., 197 . . .	5935
_____ v. Jonardun Hajra . . .	15 W. R., 235 . . .	295
_____ v. Markund Mookerjee . . .	12 W. R., 34 . . .	3815
_____ v. Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar . . .	10 W. R., 457 . . .	5050
Woomesh Chunder Sootee v. Ram Chunder Mowree . . .	4 W. R., Act X, 40 . . .	5072
Woon Chit Poe v. Wee Chang . . .	15 W. R., 185 . . .	5976
Woopendro Mohun Tagore v. Takalia Beparee . . .	11 W. R., 570 . . .	5351
_____ v. Thanda Dossia . . .	12 W. R., 263 . . .	2317, 3000 3011
Woopendro Nath Sircar v. Brojendronath Mundul . . .	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 346 : 9 C. } L. R., 263. }	5474
Woosum Ali v. Jan Ali . . .	11 W. R., 394 . . .	1428
Woozeer Ali v. Doorga Churn Roy . . .	5 W. R., Act X, 79 . . .	554
Woozeerun v. Noorul Jan . . .	9 W. R., 98 . . .	4472

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Woozeerunnissa Bibee v. Mahomed Hossein	15 B. L. R., 166, note	2837, 5650
Woseeun v. Byjnath Singh	25 W. R., 171	3941
Wozeer Begum v. Fuzloonissa	W. R., 1864, 373	5356
Wright v. Seetaram	2 Agra, 105	809
Wuheedun v. Wusee Hossein	15 W. R., 403	3632, 3636
Wuzeer Ali v. Kalée Coomar Chuckerbutty	11 W. R., 228	1770, 4895
Wuzeer Jemadar v. Noor Ali	12 W. R., 33	326, 3248
Wuzeer Mullick Sircar v. Nitumbinee Debee	18 W. R., 484	5671
Wuzeerooddeen v. Sheobund Lall	11 W. R., 285	1423, 5752
Wuzeerun Beebee v. Warris Ali	1 W. R., 51	3117
Wuzuroonnessa v. Saeedun	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 613: 6 W. R., 240.	3820, 3969
Wyman v. Banks	10 B. L. R., 71: 18 W. R., 516.	3103
Wyndham. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Wynne. In the goods of	13 B. L. R., 392	6198
Yachereddy Chinna Bassavapa v. Yachereddi Gowdapa	5 W. R., P. C., 114	2291
Yacoob. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 13 Cal., 272	4580, 5158
— v. Adamson		
Yacoob Ali v. Kooer Singh	2 N. W., 111	5341
Yakoob Ali v. Kaernoolah	8 W. R., 329	2929, 6108
— v. Luchmun Doss	6 N. W., 80	340, 3704
— v. Ubdoolrahman	3 Agra, 383: Agra, F. D Ed. 1874, 172.	5243
Yakoob Ali Chowdhry v. Ram Doolal	13 C. L. R., 272	797, 3953
Yakub v. Narasinga	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 572	3592
Yakub Sahib v. Jaffer Ali Sahib	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 167	3592
Yamunabai v. Narayan Moreshwar Pendse	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 164	5099, 5101
Yanamandram Venkaya v. Shillakuru Venkata Naraina Reddy.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 131	3584
Yanumula Venkayamah v. Yanumula Boochia Venkondora.	13 W. R., P. C., 21: 1 Moore's I. A., 333.	2325
Yarakalamma v. Anakala Naramma	2 Mad., 276	2780
Yar Ali. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., Cr., 70	5881
Yashavant Subaji Kulkarni v. Gopal Ladko Bhau-darkar.	2 Bom., 202: 2nd Ed., 194	1032, 4259
Yekeyamian v. Agnisvarian	4 Mad., 307	2369
Yeknath Babaji v. Gulab Chand Kabangi	1 Bom., 85	139, 4141 4403
Yeknath Ram Chandra v. Waman Brahmadev	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 241	3164
Yella Chetti v. Munisami Reddi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 101	850
Yellapa valad Bhimapa v. Mankia	8 Bom., A. C., 27	5239
Yellappa bin Basappa v. Mantappa bin Basappa	3 Bom., A. C., 102	6155
Yenamandra Sitaramasami v. Midatana Sanyasi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 400	2171
Yenkoba Balshet Kasar v. Rambhaji valad Arjun	9 Bom., 12	2826
Yenumula Gavuridevamma Garu v. Yenumula Raman-dora Garu.	6 Mad., 93	2314, 2323
Yerrabalu Viraragava Reddi v. Abdul Khadir	4 Mad., 26	881
Yesaji Apaji Patil v. Yesaji Mhaloji	8 Bom., A. C., 35	1441
Yeshwant Baburav v. Govind Shankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 453	5415
Yesvantrav Amritrav Jamin v. Ismail Ali Khan	2 Bom., 99: 2nd Ed., 94	202

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Yettapa Naikar v. Venkateswara Yettia	5 Mad., 405	2417
Young v. Bank of Bengal	1 Moore's I. A., 87	2655
— v. McCorkindale	19 W. R., 159	3142, 3317 3331, 3333 1062, 2814
— v. Mangalapilly Ramaiya	3 Mad., 125	3200
Yusaf Ali Khan v. Chubbee Singh	5 N. W., 122	1170, 4472
Yusan Khatun v. Ramnath Sen	7 B. L. R., Ap., 26	4955
Yusoof Ali Chowdhry v. Fyzoonissa Khatoon Chowdrain.	15 W. R., 296	3700, 4520 4901
Yusuf Ali v. Collector of Tipperah	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 138	3680
Yusuf Khan v. Sirdar Khan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 83	3528
Z		
Zadah v. Parmeshar Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 524	1464
Zafaryab Ali v. Bakhtawar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 497	5226
Zahid v. Peeree	3 N. W., 92	5220
Zahoor Hossein v. Assud Ali	2 Agra, Pt. II, 178	4929, 5211
Zahrah v. Bhugwan Doss	16 W. R., 211	295
Zahur v. Nur Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 99	3716
Zahur Khan v. Bakhtawar	I. L. R., 7 All., 327	845, 3534
Zahuran v. Tayler	2 B. L. R., A. C., 86 : 10 W. R., 380.	6151
Zahurruddin v. Collector of Goruckpore	4 B. L. R., P. C., 36 : 13 W. R., P. C., 31.	2048
Zahurunnissa v. Khuda Yar Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 528	341, 6223
Zaib-oonnissa v. Adjoodhya Pershad	2 N. W., 198	908
Zaibulnissa Bibi v. Kulsum Bibi	I. L. R., 1 All., 250	3152
Zaibunissa v. Elahee Begum	19 W. R., 268	1405
Zainab Begam v. Manawar Husain Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 277	885
Zainul-Abdin Khan v. Ahmad Raza Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 67 : I. R., 5 I. A., 233.	760
Zaker Ali Chowdhry v. Jugdessuree	1 W. R., 323	4314
Zalem Misser v. Kundun Kooer	11 W. R., 194	6272
Zalem Roy v. Deb Shahee	Marsh., 167 : 1 Hay. 373	3983
Zalem Tewaree v. Gobindgeer Gossain	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85	2802
Zalim v. Choonee Lall	3 Agra, 194	5375
Zalim Rai v. Doorga Rai	1 Agra, Rev., 69	2975, 4314
Zalim Singh v. Bisheswar Kandu	7 N. W., 181	3106
— v. Ujagar Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 367	2872
Zameer Sirdar v. Asseemooddeen Sirdar	23 W. R., 257	815
Zamin Ali v. Hossein Ali	2 Agra, Pt. II, 187	4005
Zamir Husain v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 110	3709
Zamorin of Calicut v. Narayana	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284	6086
— v. Sitarama	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 405	3598
Zaukilal v. Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 94	831
Zaynub v. Baba Cazanee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 54	1727
Zearuddin Howaldar. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 48	4739
Zebonnissa v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 352	1079, 1091 2718
Zebunnissa Bibee. In the matter of	12 W. R., 376	1001
Zeemut Ali v. Alimoonissa	10 W. R., 277	470
Zeemutoonissa Khanum v. Khutoo Begum	12 W. R., 239	676
Zeenut Bebee v. Rahatooonissa	7 W. R., 243	5301

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Zeenuttunissa v. Tombs	W. R., 1864, 251	1376
Zemindar of Chikati v. Peddakimedi Zemindar	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 569	907, 4231
Zemindar of Devaracota v. Vemuri Venkayya	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 389	3591
Zemindar of Hurupam v. Zemindar of Merangi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 253	4578
Zemindar of Karvatinuggar v. Kanniappah	4 Mad., 149	3588, 4053
Zemindar of Kottam v. Zemindar of Pittapur	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 171	665
Zemindar of Kurapam v. Nademinti Bhagavat Pataizali Shastri.	3 Mad., 120	3383, 4406
Zemindar of Oodiarpalliam v. Balosamy Chetty	3 W. R., P. C., 50: 7 Moore's } I. A., 224.	1506
Zemindar of Palakonda v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Zemindar of Pittapuram v. Proprietors of Kolanka	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 23: L. R., } 5 I. A., 200.	4980, 4985 5052
Zemindar of Ramnad v. Dorasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 341	3376
_____ v. Perianayagaum Pillai	L. R., 1 I. A., 209	1758, 2219 2242
_____ v. Ramamany Ammal	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 234	2058, 5302
_____ v. Zemindar of Yettiapooram	7 Moore's I. A., 441	4127, 4647
_____ v.	10 Moore's I. A., 47	6003
Zemindar of Saittur v. Caruppen Servai	4 Mad., 401	5703
Zemindar of Salur v. Pedda Pakir Raju	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 371	2062
Zemindar of Saptur v. Pandya Tevar	7 Mad., 53	2914
Zemindar of Shivagunga v. Muhammad Mira Ravutan	7 Mad., 150	6042
_____ v. Subrarama Aiyar	4 Mad., 302	3334
Zemindar of Sivagiri v. Alwar Ayyangar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 42	198, 2234
_____ v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 29	5525
_____ v. Tiruvengada	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 339	801
Zemindar of Venkatagiri v. Raghava	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 142	4816
Zerkalee Kooer v. Lalla Doorga Pershad	16 W. R., 149	5364
Zimutunnissa Bibee v. Muddun Mohun Pal	22 W. R., 537	766
Zinnut Bibee v. Jaffur Ali	14 W. R., 172	1457
Zinulabdin Rowten v. Vijien Virapatren	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 49	3546
Zohorooddeen Sirdar v. Baharoolla Sircar	W. R., 1864, 185	3672, 3679
Zohurutollah Chowdry v. Asalooddeen Chowdry	15 W. R., 129	4206
Zoolfee Begum v. Ram Surun Roy	10 W. R., 80	3342
Zoolfekar Ali v. Mahomed Tukee	9 W. R., 438	484
Zoolfun Bibee v. Radhica Prosonno Chunder	I. L. R. 3 Calc., 560: 1 C. L } R., 388.	5187
Zorawar Singh v. Jawahir Singh	3 Agra, 167	80
Zoynooddeen Hossein Khan. In the matter of the petition of.	11 B. L. R., 370	5864
_____ v. Secretary to the Board of Revenue.	20 W. R., 106	5864
Zoynul Abdeen v. Phoolash Chunder Bothrah	15 W. R., 126	1474
Zuburdust Khan v. His wife	2 N. W., 370	1545, 5096
_____ v. Indurmun	1 Agra, F. B., 71: Ed. 1874, } 55.	2236
Zuheerooden Paikar v. Campbell	4 W. R., 57	3016
Zuhoor Hossein v. Syedun	11 B. L. R., 367, note: 11 W. } R., 142.	1488
Zuhoorun v. Nujubooddeen	11 W. R., 505	3775
Zuhoorun Begum v. Mahomed Wajed	18 W. R., 87	5923
Zuhuruddeen Hossein. In the matter of the petition of.	25 W. R., Cr., 8	4920, 6182
Zuleff Ali v. Yeshvadbai Saheb	9 Bom., 314	59
Zumeelooddeen Mahomed v. Russik Chand Addy	W. R., F. B., 85	2750

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Zumeer Ali <i>v.</i> Doorgabun	1 W. R., 230	4560
Zumeeroodunnissa Khanum <i>v.</i> Phillipe	1 W. R., 290	525, 1390
Zumeer Sirdar <i>v.</i> Asseemooddeen Sirdar	23 W. R., 257	5447
Zumeerunnissa <i>v.</i> Gayer	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 26	2999
Zumurudoonissa <i>v.</i> Radha Churn Ghuttuck	9 W. R., 590	1731, 5813
Zyn-ood-deen <i>v.</i> Wright	3 Agra, 77	1374

